Mountain Home Air Force Base Water Resilience Project

Request for Qualifications (RFQ) No. 2023-01

Addendum No. 2

September 21, 2022

- Responses to Questions by Interested Respondents

- Revised Signature Page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Question</strong></th>
<th><strong>Response</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 | **Can SOQs be submitted both electronically and via paper, the SOQ requires a paper submittal?**  
The SOQ requires two forms of submittals:  
1. 5 paper submittals of the SOQ  
2. one electronic submittal that shall be placed on a thumb drive. |
| 2 | **What is the status of easements, permits, and environmental clearances? What is the role for the OA in these project tasks?**  
**Easements:** Some of the easements along the pipeline alignment are currently being acquired. The OA may need to assist with acquiring additional easements along the pipeline.  
**IDEQ Facility Plan Addendum:** The approved Facility Plan Addendum will need to be updated by the Owner’s Advisor to reflect the new project owner configuration along with any other changes to the pump station and pipeline arising from the coordination efforts with the MHAFB.  
**Environmental Studies:** Please refer to questions 8 and 9 for statements regarding Environmental clearances.  
**Miscellaneous Permits:** The OA will be expected to assist IWRB staff with identifying the necessary permits and determining whether the IWRB or the Design-Builder should be responsible for getting the permits that they have identified. |
| 3 | **Is Progressive Design Build a possibility for delivering the project?**  
The owner’s advisor services will include assisting IWRB staff with selecting the appropriate project delivery method. |
| 4 | **What level of design will the OA be providing to the DB, 10, 20, or 30%?**  
IWRB staff and the OA will review the project information and decide how much design effort beyond the current level will be needed to procure a Design-Builder, but currently none is expected for the OA. |
| 5 | **Will resumes be required or are they allowed?**  
The RFQ in Section 3.2.A.b clearly states the Lead Consultant’s resume is included as a response to the criterion “Team Organization and Experience”. Resumes for other team members shall be provided in an Attachment to the SOQ and labeled as “Team Resumes”.  
**This section shall be limited to 10 pages.** |
| 6 | **Will you make the past documents available to potential respondents?**  
IDWR’s project information is not available to potential respondents during the RFQ process. |
| 7 | **Does the federal funding require National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) process?**  
IWRB staff is determining whether additional environmental studies will be needed. |
| 8 | **Is the NEPA process completed?**  
The past project received environmental approval from the Bureau of Land Management for the current project extents, and IWRB staff is expecting to work with the OA to determine whether additional environmental studies will be needed. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9</th>
<th>Will the NEPA process be a service provided by the OA?</th>
<th>The services provided by the OA may include the preparation of an environmental study, but currently IWRB staff is seeking that determination.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Responsibility of the OA is to provide the professional services to the IWRB, is the OA conflicted from providing the services whether in house or out of house?</td>
<td>Yes, Section 2.3 General Requirements; Bullet Number 7 states the “OA and Project Sub-Consultants will be ineligible to act as a design professional or general contractor for this Project [Design-Build Project].” Also refer to the Contract for Owner’s Advisor Services Section 6. Conflict of Interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>When will the sample contract be provided for review?</td>
<td>The draft Contract was posted on the IDWR Solicitations Opportunities webpage on 09-09-2022.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>In the Term and Conditions of the example contract what if the respondent has exceptions to the contract?</td>
<td>If a respondent has exceptions to the Contract, they shall be submitted in writing and provide them as an attachment in their submittal titled “Comments on the Terms and Conditions of the Draft Contract”. <strong>There is no page limit for this Attachment.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Is the OA expected to tie up the loose ends prior to DB being selected like NEPA?</td>
<td>Yes, the OA will be expected to prepare adequate procurement documents that will be issued to the interested Design-Builders in the procurement process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Regarding out of state reciprocity for labor statues, will the OA be required to enforce the state law for out for state contractors?</td>
<td>The OA and IWRB staff will work together to prepare the construction contract terms and conditions that will be compliant with the applicable state and federal requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Will slides be available online?</td>
<td>Slides from the information meeting on 9-9-2022 were posted on the IDWR Solicitations Opportunities webpage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Can you provide a link to the referenced Department of Defense Security and Anti-Terrorism standards?</td>
<td>The Department of Defense Security and Anti-Terrorism Standards can be found here: <a href="https://www.wbdg.org/ffc/dod/unified-facilities-criteria-ufc">https://www.wbdg.org/ffc/dod/unified-facilities-criteria-ufc</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>What is the preliminary schedule for the DB procurement?</td>
<td>A draft preliminary schedule is provided in Figure 1 of this Addendum No. 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Can you provide a list of and location of the most current related project/planning documents?</td>
<td>The IWRB webpage provides relevant project information that is available to Respondents. The webpage is located here: <a href="https://idwr.idaho.gov/IWRB/projects/MHAFB/">https://idwr.idaho.gov/IWRB/projects/MHAFB/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Will you provide a list of attendees to the 9/6/2022 meeting?</td>
<td>Yes, the list of attendees is provided in Figure 2 of this Addendum No. 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>In the pre-proposal meeting, you indicated that you did not wish for design work to be executed in advance of issuing the DB RFP to contractors. If our team had a way to reduce schedule and cost risk, and put more control in the hands of IDWR, would you entertain a modified approach to developing the DB RFP? If so, can we add a subsection to our response to propose this approach, while still maintaining the 25-page limit?</td>
<td>Yes, the OA’s services will include working with IWRB staff to manage project risk which will include selecting the appropriate delivery method, which will also include addressing the risks for cost and schedule. If the criterion requests a description or method of how to perform certain portions of work, the respondent will need to provide a statement describing that method in accordance with those criteria (refer to 3.6.A). Also, respondent’s SOQs shall not exceed 25 pages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>The RFQ requests that we “Identify the key team member(s) who will be helping the IWRB conduct a pre-construction site survey. Please explain the processes that will be used to conduct and document the results of pre-construction site surveys.” Can you provide additional context to the goal of the survey? In our experience, the Design-Builder would normally perform a pre-construction site survey. Is the survey a stipulation of permitting where it is the owner’s responsibility to document existing conditions, including environmental/habitat conditions, prior to ground disturbance? Understanding the context of the survey will help with identifying appropriate team members and describing the processes and approach.</td>
<td>Criterion 3.8 asks respondents to explain the processes for how they will conduct site surveys and to identify the appropriate team member(s) who will be performing the work. It is expected that the survey will be used as baseline information that will be issued to the Design-Builders during the procurement process. Based on the respondent’s experience with surveys for design-build procurements, describe your processes for site survey that will be beneficial to IWRB and the procurement process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Please confirm whether or not resumes are included as part of the 25-page limit within the body of the submission or a separate attachment within an appendix.</td>
<td>Section 3.2.A.b requires the Lead Consultant’s resume. Resumes for other team members shall be provided in an Attachment to the SOQ and labeled as “Team Resumes”. This section shall be limited to 10 pages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>If a firm is selected as the project’s Owner’s Advisor, they would then be precluded from selection for the project’s design-builder?</td>
<td>In accordance with Section 2.3: General Requirements of the Request for Qualifications. “The OA and Project sub-consultants will be ineligible to act as a design professional or general contractor for this Project [Design-Build Project]”. Also refer to the Contract for Owner’s Advisor Services Section 6. Conflict of Interest.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 24 | Are the following items excluded from the stated page limit:  
  a. Front cover/back cover  
  b. Table of Contents  
  c. Tabs/Section dividers  
  d. Resumes included as an appendix  
  e. Required RFP attachments:  
  i. A (cover page)  
  ii. B (debarment)  
  iii. C (signature page)  
  f. Contact information for all references cited through sections 3.1-3.9 included as an appendix  
  g. Copies of relevant licenses or certifications included as an appendix |  
|  | The SOQ shall be no more than 25 single sided pages in accordance Section 3.10.D of the RFQ. Clarification of the items excluded from the page limit are provided below:  
 a. Covers for the front and back will **not** count towards the page limit  
 b. Table of Contents will **not** count towards the page limit  
 c. Tabs and Section Dividers will **not** count towards the page limit  
 d. Resumes for Team members shall be included in an Attachment titled “Team Resumes” and shall not exceed 10 pages. This Attachment will not count towards the page limit.  
 e. Required RFQ Attachments (cover page, debarment, and signature page) do **not** count towards the page limit  
 f. References for Sections 3.3 through 3.9 shall be included in an Attachment titled “References for Sections 3.3 through 3.9”. This Attachment will **not count towards the page limit.**  
 g. Copies of relevant licenses or certifications shall be included with the resumes in the Attachment titled “Team Resumes” |
<p>| 25 | Will smaller than 11pt fonts be acceptable for graphics, maps, charts, and tables? |<br />
|  | Text in graphics, maps, and charts shall be as close to 11 pt font as possible. Text in tables shall be no smaller than 11 pt font. |
| 26 | Are 11x17 pages accepted? |<br />
|  | 11x17 are acceptable and shall count as 2 single sided pages. |
| 27 | For the 25-page max, is it 25 double sided pages or 25 single sided? |<br />
|  | SOQs shall be single sided pages and shall be no longer than 25 pages. |
| 28 | Who ultimately will be the client signing the contract for this project (IDWR or IWRB)? |<br />
|  | The IWRB will be responsible for executing the Contract with the OA. |
| 29 | As projects of this significance/size are not as frequently undertaken, would IDWR/IWRB consider extending the experience for the lead consultant to the last 10 years? |<br />
|  | Relevant experience for the Lead Consultant shall be limited to the last 5 years. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Section 3.8 discusses the assistance in a pre-construction survey. In our experience, a pre-construction survey can be performed for a number of reasons ranging from anticipation of claims from neighboring parties to a check for hazardous chemicals or potential environmental species of concern. Can you clarify the purpose and intent of the pre-constructions survey so we can best align our key team members?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Section 3.8 asks respondents to explain the processes for how they will conduct site surveys and to identify the appropriate team member(s) who will be performing the work. It is expected that the survey will be used as baseline information that will be issued to the Design-Builder during the procurement process. Based on the respondent’s experience with surveys for design-build procurements, describe your processes for site survey that will be beneficial to IWRB and the procurement process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>When is the anticipated RFQ for qualified contractors who are interested in project to submit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Refer to Figure 1 in this Addendum No. 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Is there an anticipated plan/spec design schedule or will this be established once a DB Firm is selected?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A draft preliminary schedule is issued with Addendum No. 2. The OA will be expected to prepare, update, and manage the delivery schedule for the project once under contract with the IWRB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Is there a pipe material preference for the 14 mile pipeline (steel, pvc, hdpe) or will this be established through specifications that will be provided through design process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>There is no preference for pipe materials at the current time. It is expected that the pipe material types will be specified during the Design-Build process with the assistance of the OA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>The Owner’s Advisor Services - Mountain Home Air Force Base Water Resilience Project RFQ requests that “By my signature I accept the terms, conditions and requirements contained in the solicitation, including, but not limited to, the Idaho Water Resource Board Standard Contract Provisions, as incorporated by reference into this solicitation.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>See the revised Signature Page attached to this Addendum No.2.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MHAFB pipeline and pumping station
estimated completion dates

Updated Sep. 7, 2022
Figure 1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Printed Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 John Parker</td>
<td>Project Mgr</td>
<td>OTP Eng</td>
<td>208-384-0755</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Ray Elderidge</td>
<td>Principal</td>
<td>Schmidt Eng</td>
<td>208-331-9226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Robert Keel</td>
<td>Business Dev Exec</td>
<td>El Constr Combine</td>
<td>208-830-8941</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Kelly Somner</td>
<td>Sr. Engr</td>
<td>Shanks Eng</td>
<td>208-331-9226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Tom Fitzgerald</td>
<td>Prin.</td>
<td>Schwabe Eng</td>
<td>208-331-9226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Bryant Charles</td>
<td>Senior Engr</td>
<td>Shanks Eng</td>
<td>208-331-9226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Martha Knowlton</td>
<td>Vice Pres</td>
<td>Brown &amp; Caldwell</td>
<td>360 320 1175</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Donald Paddock</td>
<td>Office Mgr</td>
<td>R2 Engineering</td>
<td>208-805-1100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 John Baker</td>
<td>VP</td>
<td>Jacobs</td>
<td>208-331-9226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Ken Fehlinger</td>
<td>Program Mgr</td>
<td>Jacobs</td>
<td>208-331-9226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Gregg Thompson</td>
<td>Project Mgr</td>
<td>Jacobs</td>
<td>208-331-9226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Nick Smith</td>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td>Stauffer</td>
<td>208-331-9226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Michael E.</td>
<td>Project Mgr</td>
<td>Stauffer</td>
<td>208-331-9226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 James Blessett</td>
<td>Principal</td>
<td>Ketron Assoc</td>
<td>208-285-1792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Matt Sisson</td>
<td>Sales</td>
<td>Fehr &amp; Fehr</td>
<td>208-606-2217</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Tim Nicholson</td>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td>Kiewit, Inc</td>
<td>208-410-6114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Zach H.</td>
<td>Proj Mgr</td>
<td>Kinley-Horn</td>
<td>208-407-8972</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Mike Schubert</td>
<td>Wtr Mgmt Lead</td>
<td>HDR</td>
<td>208-387-7070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2
SIGNATURE PAGE

Originals and copies of the response shall be submitted in accordance with the solicitation documents. This signature page must be submitted with the original signature (ink or electronic) of an individual authorized to bind the submitting Respondent.

NO LIABILITY WILL BE ASSUMED BY THE IDAHO WATER RESOURCE BOARD FOR A RESPONDENT’S FAILURE TO OBTAIN ANY PROPERLY ISSUED SOLICITATION ADDENDUMS IN A TIMELY MANNER FOR USE IN THE RESPONDENT’S RESPONSE TO THIS SOLICITATION.

Mail your response to: Idaho Water Resource Board
PO Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0098

Personal or courier delivery to: Idaho Water Resource Board
322 E Front Street, 6th Floor
Boise, ID 83702

This RFQ response is submitted in accordance with all documents and provisions of the specified RFQ Number and Title provided below. By my signature I accept the terms, conditions, and requirements contained in the solicitation. As the undersigned, I certify I am authorized to sign and submit this response for the named Respondent. I further acknowledge I am responsible for reviewing and acknowledging any addendums that have been issued for this solicitation.

RFQ No: 2023-01                                    RFQ Title: Owner’s Advisor Services

RESPONDENT (Company Name) ________________________________

ADDRESS ________________________________________________

CITY, ST, ZIP ___________________________________________

PHONE: _____________________  FAX: _____________________  FEIN: _____________________

Email: ________________________________________________

____________________________________________________
Signature  Date

____________________________________________________
Printed Name  Title

RETURN THIS SIGNATURE PAGE WITH YOUR STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

Rev. 9-21-22