



Acknowledgment Form
RFQ 2022-01: Engineering Study for Rehabilitation of High Hazard Potential Dams

By my signature below I accept that I have reviewed and acknowledge any addendums that have been issued for this solicitation.

ADDENDUM NUMBER: 1 Questions and Responses

Please complete the following information:

RESPONDENT (Company Name) _____

RFQ Title: _____

ADDRESS _____

CITY, ST ZIP _____

PHONE _____

E-MAIL _____

Please Type or Print Name and Title

Name _____ Title _____

Signature _____ Date _____

RFQ 2022-01: Engineering Study for Rehabilitation of High Hazard Potential Dams
Re: Addendum Number 1 | Responses to Questions Received by the IDWR

.....

- 1. Can you please provide a list of existing engineering analyses or prior studies/resources available for those pursuing this RFQ?**

Response:

No, according to section 3.0 Roles and Responsibilities (page 5); the successful Respondent will be provided IDWR Dam Safety files, the various existing site inspection reports prepared by IDWR staff and others, design and as-built drawings, and any other existing engineering analysis or publication deemed appropriate to prepare a summary of engineering recommendations listing needed repair and/or replacement of critical features or components. IDWR Dam Safety staff shall make themselves available to provide a historical record of all existing data, photographs, and other file information that resides in IDWR archives.

- 2. What is the potential contract award, timeframe, and estimated value?**

Response:

Information can be found in the RFQ document. Idaho Department of Water Resources Website: <https://idwr.idaho.gov/about-idwr/solicitations/>

- *1.0 Introduction (page 2)*

- 3. Who were the previous awarded vendors from the past dam projects?**

Response:

There is no previous awarded vender(s) for this referenced opportunity.

- 4. Is electronic signature on all documents acceptable (versus wet signature“)?**

Response:

Yes, conditioned upon the signature being a legitimate representation.

- 5. Please confirm the three (3) project profiles to be included in Section 2 are not counted in the maximum 10-page limit.**

Response:

The term“ Reference Project Profiles” is synonymous with Relevant Project Descriptions, and as such will be included in being counted toward the 10-page limit. All list(s) of references (personal company, or project-related) will not be counted against the 10-page limit. All references will be considered a separate item.

- 6. We could not locate “Deep Creek Lower” (Referenced in Table 1) in the IDWR database. Is this the same as “Deep Creek” listed in the database? It is listed as having a height of 91 feet and storage of 5,537 acre-feet.**

Response:

Yes, the two (2) names are referenced to the same structure.

7. Based on the aerial images of the dams in the IDWR database, it appears most of the dams are earth embankment construction. However, from the aerial image Fish Creek Dam looks like it could be a multiple arch or other type of structure. Can you provide clarification on the type of dam Fish Creek is?

Response:

Fish Creek Dam is an existing concrete multiple-arch dam with concrete buttress walls. All other structures in the list of seven (7) dams are earthen embankment construction. Please be aware that some of these embankment dam(s) may have concrete appurtenances, for example: spillways, conduits, inlet/outlet boxes, core walls, etc.

8. Are the three required forms in Attachment B (1. Cover Page; 2. Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters; and 3. Signature Page for RFQ Response) excluded from the 10-page limitation referenced in Section 5.2?

Response:

Yes; the referenced pages are excluded from being counted toward the 10-page limit; however, noting that all pages must be completed, signed and dated, as appropriate.

9. Are the additional three relevant projects requested in Section 5.4 Required SOQ Organization and Contents: FRQ Section 2: Qualifications and Experience (page 11, "Provide descriptions of up to three (3) relevant projects, each completed no longer than six (6) years prior to date of submission. The projects must demonstrate the required experience to collectively address the types of services required for this Project.") excluded from the 10 page limitation referenced in Section 5.2, Page Limitations, Required Copies, and Labeling (page 11)?

Response:

The term "Reference Project Profiles" is synonymous with Relevant Project Descriptions, and as such will be included in being counted toward the 10-page limit. All list(s) of references (personal company, or project-related) will not be counted against the 10-page limit. All references will be considered a separate item.

10. Is it correct that the requested references listed under 5.1 Submittal Requirements, Deadline, and Location (page 10, "A minimum of three (3) References and contact information.") are the same as the "reference project profiles" listed in Section 5.2's excluded pages, and therefore do not count in the 10 page limit?

Response:

The term "Reference Project Profiles" is synonymous with Relevant Project Descriptions, and as such will be included in being counted toward the 10-page limit. All list(s) of references (personal company, or project-related) will not be counted against the 10-page limit. All references will be considered a separate item.

11. Section 3.0 Roles and Responsibilities states that file records have been compiled for each dam. Are these records available for use in preparation of the proposal?

Response:

No, the successful Respondent will be provided IDWR Dam Safety files, the various existing site inspection reports prepared by IDWR staff and others, design and/or as-built drawings, and any

other existing engineering analysis or publication deemed appropriate to prepare a summary of engineering recommendations listing needed repair and/or replacement of critical features or components. IDWR Dam Safety staff shall make themselves available to provide a historical record of all existing data, photographs, and other file information that resides in IDWR archives.

12. Have any potential failure modes been developed for the 7 dams, and if so, can this information be provided?

Response:

No, according to section 3.0 Roles and Responsibilities (page 5); the successful Respondent will be provided IDWR Dam Safety files, the various existing site inspection reports prepared by IDWR staff and others, design and/or as-built drawings, and any other existing engineering analysis or publication deemed appropriate to prepare a summary of engineering recommendations listing needed repair and/or replacement of critical features or components. IDWR Dam Safety staff shall make themselves available to provide a historical record of all existing data, photographs, and other file information that resides in IDWR archives.

13. Two of our key personnel are in the process of obtaining Idaho licensure through reciprocity. Our remaining proposed personnel all are licensed in Idaho. Is it acceptable to obtain Idaho licensure by NTP?

Response:

Key personnel listed in the SOQ must be currently licensed as a professional engineer(s) in Idaho. IDWR will confirm respondents' qualification(s) prior to award. Any/ all respondents will be excused from being selected for award absent confirmation of qualification(s) prior to award.

14. Section 5.5 SOQ Evaluation Criteria Weighting identifies a 'Project Specific Approach' (Review, Evaluation, and Recommendation Approach) as a scored component, but it is not listed in 5.4 Required SOQ Organization and Contents. Should the 'Project Specific Approach' be provided after the 'Team Structure' (RFQ Section 2)?

Response:

**5.4 Required SOQ Organization and Contents Pages 11-12 have been updated.*

SOQ Section 3: Project Specific Approach

- Describe how Respondent will review, evaluate, select, prioritize, and present in a summary report those dams and/or appurtenant items most deserving of repair or replacement in terms of benefiting population at risk and estimated costs thereof.
- Describe the approach proposed to satisfy the Objectives (Section 2.0) according to the metrics listed in the Roles and Responsibilities (Section 3.0).

Will the 'Project Specific Approach' count toward the 10-page limit?

Response: *Yes, the Project Specific Approach will be counted toward the 10-page limit described in the RFQ.*

15. The final bullet under *5.1 Submittal Requirements, Deadline, and Location* requires a minimum of three (3) references and contact information, but it is not listed in *5.4 Required SOQ Organization and Contents*. Should the references and contact information be included as the last item in RFQ Section 1: Minimum Qualification Requirements? Will the references count toward the 10-page limit?

Response:

The term "Reference Project Profiles" are synonymous with Relevant Project Descriptions, and as such, will be included in being counted toward the 10-page limit. All list(s) of references (personal company, or project-related) will not be counted against the 10-page limit. All references will be considered a separate item.