
FOR DISPOSAL WELL, EASTERN SNAKE RIVER PLAIN, IDAHO



IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES WATER INFORMATION BULLETIN NO. 39

OCTOBER 1974

WATER INFORMATION BULLETIN NO. 39

CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL DATA FOR DISPOSAL WELLS, EASTERN SNAKE RIVER PLAIN, IDAHO

by

R. L. Whitehead

Prepared by the United States Geological Survey
in cooperation with

Idaho Department of Water Resources

Published by

Idaho Department of Water Resources

R. Keith Higginson

Director

October 1974

CONTENTS

Page
Abstract
ILLUSTRATIONS
Figure 1. Index map of Idaho showing estimated density of disposal wells and the general area covered by this report
2. Diagram illustrating sampling site- and well-numbering system 4
3. Photograph of a rock outcrop showing fractured basalt
4. Photographs of typical irrigation-disposal wells 9
5. Photographs showing irrigation-waste water running off a potato field and into a disposal well
6. Photographs of typical city-street drain wells
7. Diagram showing a typical domestic septic-tank effluent disposal well
8. Map showing locations of selected disposal wells, sampling sites, and contours on the water table in the Idaho Falls area
TABLES
Table 1. Temperature-conversion table

TABLES (Cont'd.)

	Page
Гab 2.	le Selected data for disposal wells visited in the Idaho Falls area
3.	Quality-of-water data for selected sites in the Idaho Falls area
4.	Comparison of water at or near the last point of diversion with water at the disposal well
5.	Concentrations of oil, grease, and dissolved lead in samples from selected sites 26
6.	Pesticide analyses of samples from selected sites
7.	Standard chemical analyses of water from selected sites

CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL DATA FOR DISPOSAL WELLS, EASTERN SNAKE RIVER PLAIN, IDAHO

by

R. L. Whitehead

ABSTRACT

About 5,000 disposal wells are reported to be in use in Idaho. Some of these wells are used to dispose of runoff from agricultural lands and city streets. Others are used to dispose of domestic and industrial wastes.

From May 1972 to April 1973, 119 disposal wells on the eastern Snake River Plain were visited and information on them collected. Depths of the disposal wells visited ranged from 35 to 488 feet (11 to 149 meters) and averaged 102 feet (31 meters). Most of these wells were so shallow as to not extend to the underlying water table. Flow rates into the wells ranged from less than 0.01 to 3.7 cubic feet per second (0.28 to 100 liters per second) and averaged about 0.48 cubic foot per second (2.3 liters per second).

One hundred five water samples were collected from 55 irrigation-disposal wells, 14 city-street drain wells, 5 canals, 1 diversion ditch, 2 sites on the Snake River, and 1 domestic well. The samples collected were analyzed for 30 different chemical parameters although not all parameters were determined for each sample. Of four samples analyzed for pesticides, two contained detectable concentrations of some constituents. One of these samples contained traces (0.01 to 0.04 microgram per liter) of DDE, DDT, dieldrin, chlordane, diazinon, and silvex, as well as 0.26 microgram per liter of 2,4-D; the other sample contained 0.01 and 0.02 microgram per liter of DDT and DDE respectively. Oil and grease concentrations in 10 city-street drain samples ranged from 11 to 4,000 milligrams per liter and dissolved-lead 1/ concentrations ranged from 19 to 1,600 micrograms per liter. One sample of irrigation-runoff water contained 4 milligrams per liter of oil and grease and less than 100 micrograms per liter of dissolved lead. Analyses of water samples from irrigation-disposal wells and city-street drains showed a wide range of values for sediment, turbidity, total and fecal coliform bacteria, and fecal streptococci bacteria.

INTRODUCTION

Approximately 5,000 disposal wells are estimated to be in Idaho (Abegglen and others, 1970). Most of the wells inject irrigation-runoff water, runoff from city streets, septic-tank wastes, and wastes from a few industries into the basaltic aquifer of the Snake River Plain (fig. 1). Despite the many disposal wells reportedly in use, little information has been available on the rates of inflow and the quality of the waste water entering these wells.

¹ Material passing 0.45 micrometer fifter; may include finely divided particulate matter.




FIGURE 1. Index map of Idaho showing estimated density of disposal wells and the general area covered by this report.

Studies by Smith and Douglas (1973) and Bondurant (1971) provided some data on the quantity and quality of irrigation-runoff water entering subsurface drains after passing through the soil zone. Also, reports of the U. S. Geological Survey (Barraclough and others, 1966 and 1967) describing the dispersion of radioactive wastes injected into the Snake Plain aquifer at the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission's National Reactor Testing Station are available (fig. 1).

Purpose and Scope

Public concern for the protection of the quality of the water in Idaho's aquifers resulted in the Idaho State Legislature establishing a permit system to control the use of disposal wells in the State. However, to apply a permit system effectively and to evaluate the effects of the use of disposal wells on the State's aquifers, information regarding the existence and location of disposal wells, and on the quantity and quality of the waste-water entering these wells is needed.

The Idaho Department of Water Administration (now the Idaho Department of Water Resources), the State agency responsible for implementing and administering the permit system for Idaho, initiated a continuing inventory of disposal wells in 1972. Its initial effort is being concentrated on the Snake River Plain, where most of the wells are thought to be located (fig. 1), with a complete statewide inventory as their final goal.

The U. S. Geological Survey, in cooperation with the Idaho Department of Water Resources, started a program in 1972 to determine the rates of flow and the quality of the waste waters entering a selected number of the disposal wells inventoried by the Idaho Department of Water Resources.

Data from the Idaho Department of Water Resources' inventory were used to select wells for sampling and for measuring flow rates. Where possible, rates of inflow to wells were measured using standard techniques, however, in some instances only estimates of inflow could be made. Field determinations of several quality-of-water parameters were made in a specially equipped mobile field unit to insure accuracy of results. The parameters measured in the field are:

- 1. Total coliform bacteria
- 2. Fecal coliform bacteria
- 3. Fecal streptococci bacteria
- 4. Dissolved oxygen
- Hydrogen-ion activity (pH)
- 6. Specific conductance
- 7. Temperature

All other chemical analyses were made in laboratories of the U. S. Geological Survey. About 25 percent of the samples collected were analyzed for common ions, nutrients, and total organic carbon content; four samples were analyzed for pesticides. Samples from 10 city-street drains and one irrigation-waste water site were collected and were analyzed for oil, grease, and dissolved-lead concentrations in addition to the parameters mentioned previously. The data collected and presented herein are descriptive of 119 disposal wells in

use and of the general character of the liquid wastes entering disposal wells in the vicinity of Idaho Falls which is in the eastern part of the Snake River Plain, Idaho.

Sampling-Site and Well-Numbering System

The well-numbering system used by the U. S. Geological Survey in Idaho indicates the location of wells within the official rectangular subdivision of the public lands, with reference to the Boise base line and meridian. The same numbering system is used for all sampling sites in this report even though some of the sample sites were at canals or on the Snake River. The first two segments of the number designate the township and range. The third segment gives the section number, followed by three letters and a numeral, which indicate the quarter section, the 40-acre (16 hectare) tract, the 10-acre (4 hectare) tract, and the serial number of the site within the tract respectively. Quarter sections are lettered a, b, c, and d, in counterclockwise order from the northeast quarter of each section (fig. 2). Within the quarter sections, 40-acre (16 hectare) and 10-acre (4 hectare) tracts are lettered in the same manner. Well 2N-37E-14ddd1 is in the SE¼SE¼SE½ sec. 14, T. 2 N., R. 37E., and was the first site inventoried in that tract.




FIGURE 2. Diagram illustrating sampling-site- and well-numbering system.

Use of Metric Units

The International System of Units is being adopted for use in reports prepared by the U. S. Geological Survey. To assist readers of this report in understanding and adapting to the new system, many of the measurements reported herein are given in both units. In addition, table 1 and the factors listed below are presented as an aid to conversion from one system of units to another. Chemical data for concentrations are given only in milligrams per liter (mg/l) or micrograms per liter (µg/l) because these values are (within the range of values presented) numerically equal to equivalent values expressed in parts per million, or parts per billion, respectively.

Multiply English Units	By	To Obtain SI Units
	Length	
inches (in)	25.4 .0254	millimeters (mm) meters (m)
feet (ft)	.3048	meters (m)
miles (mi)	1.609	kilometers (km)
	Area	
acres	4047 .4047 .4047 .004047	square meters (m ²) hectares (ha) square hectometer (hm ²)
square miles (mi ²)	2.590	square kilometers (km²) square kilometers (km²)
	Volume	
gallons (gal)	3.785 3.785 3.785 x 10 ⁻³	liters (1) cubic decimeters (dm ³) cubic meters (m ³)
million gallons (106 gal)	3785 3.785 x 10 ⁻³	cubic meters (m ³)
cubic feet (ft ³)	28.32	cubic hectometers (hm ³) cubic decimeters (dm ³)
cfs-day (ft ³ /s-day)	.02832 2447 2.447 x 10 ⁻³	cubic meters (m ³) cubic meters (m ³)
acre-feet (acre-ft)	1233 1.233 x 10 ⁻³ 1.233 x 10 ⁻⁶	cubic hectometers (hm ³) cubic meters (m ³) cubic hectometers (hm ³) cubic kilometers (km ³)
	Flow	
cubic feet per second (ft ³ /s)	28.32 28.32 .02832	liters per second (1/s) cubic decimeters per second (dm ³ /s) cubic meters per second (m ³ /s)
gallons per minute (gpm)	.06309 .06309 6.309 x 10 ⁻⁵	liters per second (1/s) cubic decimeters per second (dm ³ /s)
million gallons per day (mgd)	43.81 .04381	cubic meters per second (m ³ /s) cubic decimeters per second (dm ³ /s) cubic meters per second (m ³ /s)
	Mass	
ton (short)	.9072	tonne (t)

TABLE I
TEMPERATURE—CONVERSION TABLE

oc_	0F	<u> </u>	oF	oC	oF	oC	oF	oC	oF
-8.0	17.6	6.0	42.8	16	60.8	26	78.8	45	113
-6.0	21.2	7.0	44.6	17	62.6	27	80.6	50	122
-4.0	24.8	8.0	46.4	18	64.4	28	82.4	55	131
-2.0	28.4	9.0	48.2	19	66.2	29	84.2	60	140
0	32.0	10	50.0	20	68.0	30	86.0	65	149
1.0	33.8	11	51.8	21	69.8	32	89.6	70	158
2.0	35.6	12	53.6	22	71.6	34	93.2	75	167
3.0	37.4	13	55.4	23	73.4	36	96.8	80	176
4.0	39.2	14	57.2	24	75.2	38	100	85	185
5.0	41.0	15	59.0	25	77.0	40	104	90	194

OC = Degrees Celsius = 0.56 (OF - 32).

DISPOSAL WELLS

A waste disposal or injection well, also locally referred to as a sink or drain well, is defined by the State of Idaho as "any excavation or artificial opening into the ground more than eighteen (18) feet (5.5 meters) in vertical depth below land surface which is constructed by any percussion, rotary, boring, digging, jetting, or augering machine and which is used for or intended to be used for the subsurface disposal of any liquid or solid material which may affect the ground waters of the State of Idaho" (Idaho Department of Water Administration Water Laws and Regulations, 1971, sec. 42-3902).

Wells are used for disposal of five types of liquid wastes:

- 1. Irrigation and storm runoff from agricultural land.
- 2. Storm runoff and other wastes from city streets.
- 3. Domestic sewage.
- 4. Industrial wastes.
- 5. Low-level radioactive wastes.

Wells used for the disposal of runoff from agricultural land and city streets are located in topographically low areas so that water can flow by gravity into the well. Wells used to dispose of domestic sewage and industrial wastes are located at convenient sites and are generally covered at the land surface. Wells used for the disposal of low-level radioactive wastes in Idaho are located only on the National Reactor Testing Station which is operated by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

From the users' point of view, the disposal well offers an economical method for disposing of excess water or wastes. Generally, the basalt underlying the Snake River Plain will readily accept large amounts of liquids provided a fractured zone is penetrated by the

OF = Degrees Fahrenheit = 1.80 (OC) + 32.

well. Most disposal wells are cased only to shallow depths so that most of the fractures penetrated by the well can receive liquid. Figure 3 is a photograph of a rock outcrop showing fractures in basalt. Some of the openings shown are more than 1-inch (25 millimeters) wide along the fractures and are several inches (tens of millimeters) across at fracture junctions. In some places, lava tubes form very large openings, up to tens of feet (several meters) in diameter and hundreds of feet (tens of meters) long (Abegglen and others, 1970), that will accept and transmit large quantities of waste water from wells penetrating these openings.




FIGURE 3. A rock outcrop showing fractured basalt.

Studies made in other parts of the United States have shown that most of the bacteria analyzed for in waters injected into fine-grained material having intergranular porosity do not travel more than a few tens of feet (few meters) away from the point of injection (Vecchioli and others, 1972; Crosby and others, 1968; and McGauhey, 1968). However, fractures, joints, lava tubes, and other large openings in basaltic formations may allow bacteria to migrate much greater distances. For this reason, liquid wastes injected into the basaltic aquifer of the Snake River Plain may present a significant potential pollution problem. Also, some ionic chemical constituents, such as nitrate, tend to remain in solution and are not readily removed from the water by filtration, regardless of the type of aquifer materials, or by ion-exchange processes (Scalf and others, 1968). Thus, both bacteria and dissolved chemical constituents in waste water may be a source of pollutants to the aquifer of the Snake River plain.

Irrigation-Disposal Wells

Irrigation-disposal wells are an important part of many irrigation systems on the Snake River Plain. Their principal use is to dispose of runoff from precipitation and snowmelt, and excess irrigation water. Generally, the water to be injected is more or less laden with sediment and with organic debris, such as leaves and twigs. Although most wells have settling ponds that serve to remove much of the sediment, others have none at all. A wide variety of cribs and screens are built around and over the wells for removal of floating debris. Figure 4 shows some typical irrigation-disposal wells, settling ponds, screens, cribs, and other features. Figure 5 shows irrigation-waste water running off a potato field into a low area at the end of the field which acts as a settling pond, the water then flows into the disposal well inside the screened compartment.

City-Street Drain Wells

City-street drain wells are used in several municipalities on the Snake River Plain to dispose of runoff from precipitation and snowmelt (Abegglen and others, 1970). Figure 6 shows three city-street drain wells as examples. Most street drain wells in the Idaho Falls area are in residential areas. Some of the installations have collector basins in low street corners that are connected by tile drains to a well which may be below the road surface and under a cover as shown in figure 6b. Other wells are at low areas along the curb (fig. 6a and c). These receive flow directly from the street's gutter.

Disposal Wells for Domestic Sewage, Industrial Waste, and Low-Level Radioactive Waste

No domestic-sewage disposal wells were inventoried or sampled as a part of this study. Reports by Perlmutter and Guerrera (1970) and Scalf (1968) contain data on domestic sewage that is being injected into the subsurface in other areas. Construction of a typical system for disposing of domestic wastes is shown in figure 7 (modified from Sceva, 1968).

There are only a few industrial-disposal wells in Idaho. The two wells visited were plugged with sediment and no longer in use. In Idaho, most of these types of wells which are in use are used to dispose of dairy or food-processing wastes (Abeqqlen and others, 1970).

Low-level radioactive wastes are injected into two wells at the National Reactor Testing Station. The chemical quality of the ground water in the vicinity of these wells is being monitored on a continuous basis by the U. S. Geological Survey in cooperation with the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission as a part of a program of ground-water studies being made by these agencies. Reports describing results obtained from these studies are available to the public (Barraclough and others, 1967), and for this reason no water samples were collected at the National Reactor Testing Station for this study.




FIGURE 4. Typical irrigation-disposal wells showing settling ponds, screens, cribs, and other features. (continued on next page)





FIGURE 4. (Continued.)




Α



В

FIGURE 5. Irrigation-waste water running off a potato field (A) and into a disposal well (B). The well is inside the screened area.



B

C

FIGURE 6. Typical street drain wells. (A) Well is beneath round steel grate, (B) well is beneath manhole cover in center of intersection with connections to the catchment basins, in the foreground and at the street corners, by subsurface tile lines, (C) well is beneath rectangular grate.




FIGURE 7. A typical domestic septic-tank effluent disposal well. (Modified from Sceva, J. E. 1968.)

PHYSICAL AND QUALITY-OF-WATER DATA

Prior to this study, no published data were available on the quality and quantity of liquid wastes being disposed of by wells in the Snake Plain aquifer except as noted in the previously mentioned report of Smith and others (1973), Bondurant (1971), and Barraclough and others (1966-67). Most of the data for this report were collected in the vicinity of Idaho Falls, Idaho (fig. 1), where disposal wells have been in use for many years and where cases of contamination of domestic wells by disposal wells have been reported (Abegglen and others, 1970). Although this study was not intended to relate contamination of domestic wells to nearby disposal wells, the data presented in this report may be useful to other investigators attempting to establish sources of ground-water contamination.

One hundred nineteen irrigation- and city-street disposal wells were visited and 88 samples were taken of the water flowing into 69 of these wells. A total of 17 samples was also taken from one domestic well, five canal sites, two sites on the Snake River, and one diversion ditch. All the wells visited and all sites that were sampled are shown on figure 8. Selected physical data for the disposal wells visited are listed in table 2. Quality-of-water

TABLE 2
SELECTED DATA FOR DISPOSAL WELLS VISITED IN THE IDAHO FALLS AREA

Direction to domestic well: N, north; S, south; W, west; E, east; NE, northeast, SW, southwest; SE, southeast.

	·									
					itude Above Me ea Level, in Fee		1	estic	proved proved	
Well Number	Date Drilled	Diameter, in Inches	Depth, in Feet	Land Surface, Estimated	Bottom of Well	Water-Table Surface, Estimated	Area Drained by Well, in Acres ^a	Distance to Nearest Domestic Well, in Feet, Estimated	Direction to Domestic Well	Remarks
					Irrigation-Dis	posal Wells				
6N-36E-35dab1	1920's	48	46	4,760	4,714	4,755	-	2,700	N	Reported depth, 65 ft.
35dab2	1930's	8	96	4,760	4,664	4,755	na na	2,700	N	Reported depth, 65 ft.
35dab3	1930's	8	73	4,760	4,687	4,755	**	2,700	N	Reported depth, 65 ft.
35dab4	1930's	8	40	4,760	4,720	4,755	-	2,700	N	Reported depth, 65 ft.
35dab5	1930's	8	56	4,760	4,704	4,755	-	2,700	N	Reported depth, 65 ft.
5N-36E-12bcc1	1920's	8	154	4,757	4,603	4,651	-	5,300	S	Reported depth, 208 ft.
4N-37E-36dcc1	-	_	-	4,762	-	4,650	-	300	W	
4N-38E-15cbb2	1948	6	488	4,805	4,317	4,758	-	200	S	Reported depth, 515 ft.
29ccc1	1960	6	55	4,782	4,727	4,672	-	250	W	Reported depth, 186 ft.
3N-37E- 2dba1	1947	6	87	4,756	4,699	4,598	-	2,600	N	
2ddd1	1947	6	*	4,755	-	4,600	-	2,300	S	
6dad1		8	-	4,865		4,560	-	600	S	Redrilled in 1971.
11adc1	1961	6	60	4,754	4,694	4,595	80	1,300	NE	

SELECTED DATA FOR DISPOSAL WELLS VISITED IN THE IDAHO FALLS AREA

				Alti	Altitude Above Mean Soa Level, in Feet	9	The state of the s	stic	Į	
Well Number	bəlird otsO	Diameter, in Inches	Teeft ni ,drqsQ	Land Surface, Estimated	Bottom of Well	Water-Table Surface, Estimated	Area Drained by Well, in Acres ⁸	Distance to Mearest Domes Well, in Feet, Estimated	Direction to Domestic Wel	Remarks
		The state of the s		. TT	Irrigation-Disposal Wells (Cont'd.)	Wells (Cont'c				
3N-38E. 3hcb	1940's	v	25	4,797	4,663	4,698	\$	200	Ž	
	176		0150	4,806	4,656	4,705	80	2,600	S	
4dcc1	1948	Ø	143	4,789	4,646	4,689	80	200	ĆΩ	
7dab I	£	Ó		4,765	4,655	4,655	20	000		
725/	1	O	C	4,785	4,685	4,650	80	200	SE	Reported depth, 120 ft
Sedel	1954	Ø	66	4/70	4,671	4,655	99	1,550	12	Reported depth, 165 ft
9abb		√ 0	9.	4,790	20,4	4,689	40	200	in the second	
9cbb1		Ø	:	4,782	ŧ	4,662	•	200	ζ⁄2	Reported depth, 125 ft
10bab1	:	Φ	58	4,805	727.47	4,696	ŧ	1,200	120	
1000	3	Ø	120	4,797	4.677	4,694	;	300	IJ Z	
	046	-O	8	4,796	4713	4,690	V.	200	ere ere	Reported depth, 126 ft
Sab S		Ç	,	4,760	ş	4,650	20	1,150	[J.J.	
20bcc1	1	ŧ	,	4,755	:	4,646	ı	200	SW	
29bbc1	3	9	134	4,752	4,618	4,636	\$	200	S	Reported depth, 160 ft
2bdc1	,	ı	,	4,722	1	4,568	ŧ	1,500	XX	Reported plugged.
2cda1	1930	\O	<u> </u>	4,719	4,578	4,568	63	1,200	Ø	
2N-37E- 2cdd1	1950	9	b140	4,719	4,579	4,568	•	650		
2dob1	1	9	19	4.717	4,650	4,570	40	1,400	SE	
29cd1	3	9	,	4.718	j	4,570	25	300	SE	
Obdal	1	Ó	122	4,740	4,618	4,560	1	1,700	MN	Same water flows into
10bda2	ź	9	135	4,740	4,605	4,560	•	1,700	M	both wells

					titude Above M Sea Level, in Fe			stic	phonesis project	
Well Number	Date Drilled	Diameter, in Inches	Depth, in Fect	Land Surface, Estimated	Bottom of Well	Water-Table Surface, Estimated	Area Drained by Well, in Acres ^a	Distance to Nearest Domestic Well, in Feet, Estimated	Direction to Domestic Well	Remarks
				Irriga	tion-Disposal	Wells (Cont'	d.)			
2N-37E-11aba1		6	144	4,719	4,575	4,567	12	350	NE	
llabel	<u>.</u>	6	80	4,723	4,643	4,568	25	1,300	NW	
llbaal	-	6	-	4,720	.,0.0	4,568	2	250	W	
11bad1	_	6	82	4,723	4,641	4,567	85	1,150	N	
14bcc1	-	6	75	4,728	4,653	4,561	-	1,200	S	
14ccc2	_	_	-	4,718	,	4,561		300	w	
14ccd1	-	**	~	4,718	_	4,561	-	500	E	
14cdc1	1910	6	43	4,716	4,673	4,562		200	NW	Reported depth, 100 ft.
14cdc2	en.	6	157	4,714	4,557	4,562	-	300	NE	
15adcl		6	115	4,724	4,609	4,559	100	1,800	SE	
15dab1	**	6	67	4,723	4,656	4,569	60	1,600	SE	
15dca1	<u>.</u>	6	b120	4,717	4,597	4,558	*	1,400	SE	Reported depth, 70 ft.
15dcd1		6	66	4,710	4,644	4,588		1,300	E	Reported depth, 80 ft.
15ddd1	-	6	b70	4,719	4,649	4,560	~	150	E	Well capped, not used.
15ddd2	-	•	p80	4,719	4,639	4,560	-	100	S	
19ccb1	1968	8	40	4,710	4,670	4,537	•	1,800	S	Reported depth, 60 ft.
19dcd1	~	6	50	4,688	4,638	4,539	8	200	W	
21acc1	1953	-6	35	4,695	4,660	4,551		2,600	S	Reported depth, 75 ft.
21bdd1	1935	6	102	4,697	4,595	4,551	*	2,400	NW	
21ddd1	1930	6	161	4,689	4,528	4,552		300	E	Reported depth, 80 ft.
22ccc1	-	6	49	4,689	4,640	4,552	-	100	W	
22ddb1	•	6	100	4,699	4,599	4,558	30	800	S	

TABLE 2 (Continued)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

SELECTED DATA FOR DISPOSAL WELLS VISITED IN THE IDAHO FALLS AREA

					itude Above M lea Level, in Fe			stic	==	
Well Number	Date Drilled	Diameter, in Inches	Depth, in Feet	Land Surface, Estimated	Bottom of Well	Water-Table Surface, Estimated	Area Drained by Well, in Acres ^a	Distance to Nearest Domestic Well, in Feet, Estimated	Direction to Domestic Well	Remarks
				Irriga	tion-Disposal	Wells (Cont'e	1.)			
2N-37E-23bca1	1937	6	142	4,703	4,561	4,561	_	400	N	Reported depth, 120 ft.
24cba1	-	4	63	4,700	4,637	4,570	v	200	NW	To the second se
26bbd1		6	83	4,689	4,606	4,561	8	700	W	
26cbc2	1961	6	74	4,689	4,615	4,560	•	450	SE	Driller's log depth, 100 ft
26ccb1	1961	6	b98	4,689	4,591	4,560		200	SE	Driller's log.
26cdb1 ·		6	110	4,685	4,575	4,561	15	700	W	Reported depth, 100 ft.
27bab1	μ.	6	b95	4,689	4,954	4,554	-	500	N	Well nearly plugged.
27bba1	1925	6	b60	4,689	4,629	4,554	٠	400	N	Proggot.
27bbc1	1925	6	54	4,684	4,630	4,552	-	400	SW	
27bac1	**	~	-	4,690		4,552	-	350	NW	
27ddc1	1940	6	120	4,684	4,564	4,558	-	450	S	
30bbb1	-	-	-	4,699		4,537	-	500	W	Well nearly plugged.
33bcb1	1967	5	91	4,676	4,585	4,545	•	1,150	N	Reported depth, 85 ft.
34acb1	4*	6	84	4,673	4,589	4,556		1,000	NW	•
34cab1	u	8	123	4,669	4,546	4,553		1,550	NW	Water cascading into well below surface.
2N-38E-28acc1	*	6	129	4,712	4,583	4,590	80	2,600	SW	0.020 0.00.000
30dcd1	~	4		4,703		4,578	-	1,300	W	
31abc1	~	6	b ₁₃₀	4,701	4,571	4,577	80	1,300	NW	
31acd1	1940 's	6	139	4,700	4,561	4,578	40	1,150	E	Reported depth, 130 ft.
31bdc1		6	43	4,698	4,655	4,575	40	1,300	SW	Reported depth, 130 ft.
31cdc1	-	6		4,694	4,583	^c 4,600	_	1,200	W	Reported depth, 190 ft.

SELECTED DATA FOR DISPOSAL WELLS VISITED IN THE IDAHO FALLS AREA

	Remarks			4 4 4	Reported depth, (35 ft.						Reported depth, 96 ft.		Reported depth, 120 ft.		:				Well destroyed.	Reported Jepth, 135 H.	Reported depth, 130 ft.
11	eW officetion to Domestic We		Ę.	ガン	귔	m	S	Z.	On.	MS	œ	Z,	100	Q	SA SA	W Z	엁	3 ,		(₹)	123 64
	Distance to Nearest Dome Well, in Feet, Estimated		0	00%;	450	006	350	1,400	2.100	1,050	950	400	850	800	1,106	1,200	000,	300	,	030	004
	Area Drained by Well, in Acres ^a			' ;	38	160	160	20	20		ı	ı	÷	ş	A Company	00	/ <u>^</u>	3	1	9	Ş.
11	Water-Pable Surface, Fefimated	Wells (Cont'c	, 1	4,570	4,5/6	4,585	4,680	4,529	4,528	4. 5. 5.	4,558	4,540	4,537	4538	4,531	1531	4.538	4,534	4,571	4,576	4,578
Altitude Above Mean Sea Level, in Feet	Bottom of Well	Irrigation-Disposal Wells (Cont'd.)	0	4,5%0	4,622	4,588	•	4,566	4,544	4.596	4.579	4,557	4,537	4.597	4,608	4.488	¢	,	,	4.558	4,558
Altit	Land Surface, Estimated	Irrigati	7	/vo.4	4,693	4,699	4,768	1,650	4,650	1,665	4,658	4.653	4,644	4,648	4,049	4,650	1,649	4,042	4,660	689*+	4,679
	Depth, in Fect	The state of the s	<		[<u></u>	income.	5	84	90)	9	79	96	107		<u>~</u>	201	ŧ	ş	ŧ	Sandaga)	(*** !
	Diameter, in Inches		`	ρ'	∞	9	"wee"	ø	9	S	S	9	9	AG.	Ŷ	٩	S	Ç	v	90	∜ों
	bəllinG əncQ	ON AND A TO A MARKANIA		ı	1040	0961	ż	ı	ŧ	3	1952	t	1954	;	ı	(3)6[ľ	1938	*	1953	1001
	Weli Number	Wildest Community of Management of Community		2N-38E-31dbcl	31dcc1	32ddc1	2N-39E- 7edel	IN-36E-12adc1] Cdab]	1N-37E- 3bbc1	3ddb1	40001	Seedi	Sdcbi	6.be	[0000]	Sadel	Sebel	12acc1	1N-38E- 6acb1	Tacci

TABLE 2 (Continued)

SELECTED DATA FOR DISPOSAL WELLS VISITED IN THE IDAHO FALLS AREA

					titude Above N Sea Level, in Fo			stic		
Well Number	Date Drilled	Diameter, in Inches	Depth, in Feet	Land Surface, Estimated	Bottom of Well	Water-Table Surface, Estimated	Area Drained by Well, in Acres ^a	Distance to Nearest Domestic Well, in Feet, Estimated	Direction to Domestic Well	Remarks
				Mrrigat	ion-Disposal '	Wells (Cont'd	.)			
1N-38E- 7bcd1 7bdd1 7cbc1		6 4 8	b ₁₃₀ 162 134	4,679 4,679 4,676	4,549 4,515 4,542	4,576 4,579 4,572	80 80 40	1,150 400 250	W SE W	Reported depth, 130 ft. Reported depth, 130 ft.
					City-Street D	rain Wells				
2N-37E-13cbc1 13cbd1 13cbd2 14ddd1 24cbb1 25aac1	1956 1955	6	172	4,750 4,731 4,731 4,740 4,702 4,693	4,568 4,598	4,570 4,571 4,571 4,569 4,565 4,573				
2N-38E-17aca1 17acb1 17acb2 17cbc1 18bab1 18bbb1 18bda1 19acc1 20ada1	1958	6 8 8 8	95 b ₁₂₀ 40 b ₁₃₅	4,729 4,729 4,730 4,724 4,715 4,725 4,715 4,702 4,702	4,634 4,604 4,675 4,567	4,590 4,590 4,590 4,584 4,581 4,580 4,581 4,580 4,589	Not determined.	Not determined.	Not determined,	Driller's log. Driller's log.

TABLE 2 (Continued)
SELECTED DATA FOR DISPOSAL WELLS VISITED IN THE IDAHO FALLS AREA

**************************************		***************************************			itude Above M ea Level, in Fe			Stic	<u> </u>	
Well Number	Date Drilled	Diameter, in Inches	Depth, in Feet	Land Surface, Estimated	Bottom of Well	Water-Table Surlace, Estimated	Area Drained by Well, in Acres ^a	Distance to Nearest Domestic Well, in Feet, Estimated	Direction to Domestic Well	Remarks
				City-	Street Drain	Wells (Cont'c	I.)			
2N-38E-20baa1 20dac1 20dad1 20dec1	1958	۷ 2 2 9			4,603 4,637 4,680	4,586 4,587 4,588 4,585 4,580	determined.	determined.	determined.	Driller's log. Reported depth, 134 ft.
30adc1 30cab1	1956	6	b ₁₅₅	4,707 4,700	4,545	4,575	Not	Not	Not	Driller's log.

^a Area drained by well as reported by owners.

b Reported.

^c Measured.

data are given in tables 3-7. Figure 8 shows the approximate altitude of the water table (Mundorff and others, 1964) and the inferred direction of ground-water movement in the vicinity of Idaho Falls.

Physical Data

The location and physical characteristics of the 119 disposal wells visited are given in table 2. These wells range in depth from 35 to 488 feet (11 to 149 meters). Well diameters range from 4 to 48 inches (102 to 1,220 millimeters).

Rates of inflow to these disposal wells are given in table 3. The rates range from less than 0.01 to 3.7 cubic feet per second (0.28 to 100 liters per second) and average 0.48 cubic foot per second (2.3 liters per second). Generally, according to reports by farmers, water flows into the irrigation-disposal wells for 24 to 36 hours during each irrigation period, with 8 to 12 periods per season, depending upon the crop being irrigated and the weather. The amount of water needing to be disposed of was reported by well owners to vary greatly during each irrigation period and from year to year. According to them, very little water flows to irrigation-disposal wells in water short years.

Some of the disposal wells, listed in table 2, penetrate the water table thus permitting liquid wastes to be dispersed directly into the ground-water body. Others are terminated in fractured zones above the water table and the liquid wastes in these can move both laterally and vertically depending upon the fracture system. Distances from nearby domestic wells to the disposal wells visited range from 100 to 5,300 feet (30 to 1,615 meters) (table 2).

Quality-of-Water Data

All quality-of-water analyses made on the samples collected are presented in tables 3 to 7. Table 3 provides a listing arranged primarily by type of sampling site and secondarily by location to facilitate comparison of samples collected from selected types of wells. Table 4 provides a listing of selected samples arranged to facilitate a comparison of the chemical character of water entering disposal wells with samples taken of this water at or near its last point of diversion before it enters the field being irrigated. Tables 5, 6, and 7 provide a listing, by location, of selected types of chemical data to facilitate comparison of only these particular parameters.

Because it is beyond the scope of this report, no interpretation of the data presented is made. However, a report on a companion study, which will describe the effects of the use of disposal wells in the Snake River Plain on ground-water quality, is being prepared and will include interpretations of these data.

TABLE 3

QUALITY-OF-WATER DATA FOR SELECTED SITES IN THE IDAHO FALLS AREA

(Chemical constituents in milligrams per liter; microbiological determinations

in number of bacteria per 100 milliliters of sample.)

Site Location Number	Date of Collection	Rate of Inflow, in Cubic Feet per Second	Calcium (Ca)	Magnesium (Mg)	Sodium (Na)	Potassium (K)	Bicarbonate (HCO ₃)	Sulfate (SO ₄)	Chloride (Cl)	Nitrite plus Nitrate $(NO_2 + NO_3)$	Total Nitrogen, as N	Total Phosphorus, as P	Specific Conductance, in Micromhos at 250C	Hd	Temperature (oC)	Turbidity, in Jackson Turbidity Units	Dissolved Oxygen, in Percent Saturation	Total Coliform Bacteria	Fecal Coliform Bacteria	Fecal Streptococci Bacteria	Total Organic Carbon	Sediment, in Milligrams per Liter
										Irrigat	ion-Disposa	ıl Wells		•								
3N-37E- 2dba1	7-12-73 7-13-73 4- 2-73	a0.06 a.06 1.6	42 7.2	9.5	7.3	6.5 5.6	166 55	21	5.0 5.0 1.0	0.05 0 .07	3.5	0.62	323 292 95	7.6 7.5 7.5	25.0 17.0 3.0	2 4 2	73	520 - 1,600	>80 100 0	^a 25,000 - 50,000	10 - 10	7 9 31
2ddd1 6dad1 11adc1	7-26-72 4- 5-73 7-13-72	.15 1.5 .28	- - -	- -	-	-	- -	- -	5.4 1.4 7.0	.04 .13 .64	- - -	• - -	358 153 314	7.2 7.6 8.0	25.0 3.5 20.0	7 650 30	16 104 -	1,400 36,000	220 200 560	480,000	• - -	1 5,209 66
3N-38E- 3dbc1 4dcc1	6-15-72 6-13-72	1.1 .64	38	7.6	5.4 -	1.9	140	21	4.2 5.0	.19 .09	1.1	.51	268 283	7.6 7.6	17.5 12.0	80 25	-	220	¹⁶⁰ ² 1,000 350	3,600 ² 26,000	7.0 - 10	213 65 98
7dcc1 10cbb1 18dab1	6- 7-72 6-15-72 6-15-72	a.84 a.01 a.10	40 - -	8.7	5.0	8.7 - -	156 - -	24 - -	5.6 4.0 5.0	.54 .03 .03	1.2 - -	.24 - -	297 267 274	7.4 7.9 7.0	18.5 14.0 27.5	70 35 25	-	3,400 -	280 7,300	-		49 58 31
20bcc1 29bbc1 2N-37E- 2bdc1	10- 3-72 6-15-72 8- 3-72	^a .08 ^a .01 .22	-	-	-	- - *	-	- -	8.0 10 5.6	.49 0 .03	-	- - -	309 329 335	8.6 ^a 7.0 8.5	14.0 27.5 29.5	70 20 70	100 - 91	^b 1,400 18,000	390 ^a 48,000 330	980	- * -	56 170
2eda1 2edd1 2deb1	8- 2-72 8- 5-72 9-22-72	.26 1.3 < 0.01	-	-	-	-		-	5.6 5.6 7.5	.20 .01 .04	-	-	348 326 312	7.4 8.0 7.1	22.0 12.5 8.5	25 4 15	65 90 64	6,000 b9,500 10,000	820 440 b ₅	2,500 12,000	- -	130 16 4
2ded1 10bda1 11aba1	8- 5-72 7-27-72 9-22-72	a,01 .33 >.03	-		- -	-	- -	- - -	5.4 5.2 7.4	.49 0 0	.*	- - -	346 307 298	7.4 7.6 7.1	15.5 17.0 9.5	30 9 10	74 104 84	^a 160,000 1,300 _{b44,000}	^a 18,000 420 b ₂₃	7,500 b9,000	• • •	173 33 13
llabel llbadl l4beel	8- 5-72 7-28-72 7-27-72	.65 .24 ^a .02	40	9.1	8.0	3.3	148	23	5.7 5.7 6.2	.04 .04 2.0	.75 -	.34	325 315 338	8.2 8.0 7.8	22.5 25.0 19.5	95 65 80	101 126 93	35,000 b8,800 b3,500	380 b _{5,500} b ₆₀₀	^b 4,000 14,000	0 -	286 157 2,940
14cdc1 14cdc2	8- 4-72 3-20-73 9- 8-72	a.02 .01 .64	22 37	3.3 9.0	1.1 9.0	6.4 4.7	89 146	4.3 27	5.3 1.4 6.2	.02 .05 .07	1.6 .82	.31 .31	333 141 294	8.1 7.8 8.3	21.5 2.0 21.5	60 7 650	101 96 95	^b 100,000 ^b 70 44,000	^b 65 0 1,100	8,000 b _{16,000} b _{8,000}	12 7.0	200 67 331
15adc1 15dab1	8- 3-72 4- 4-73 8- 1-72	.27 a.02 .21	38	8.9	8.2	2.7	150	23	6.0 2.2 5.6	.12 .66 .05	.74	.32	325 206 331	7.8 8.2 8.1	19.0 8.5 20.5	50 120 75	98 98 84	^b 50,000 180,000 12,000	470 16 b ₁₂₀	110,000 2,000	1.0	1,190 203 135
15dca1 15dcd1	9- 7-72 8- 2-72	.72 .86	38	9.2	8.9	4.7	145	27	6.3 5.3	.08 .07	.51	.17	292 333	7.7 7.5	11.0 16.0 21.0	700 5 3	88 83 116	19,000 36,000 b ₁ ,700	140 140 60	8,800 530	3.5	129 7 8
19cebl 21acel 26bbd1	8-15-72 9- 8-72 9-11-72	^a 0.01 ^a .02 .20		-		-	- -		5.8 6.0 7.4	0.05 .09 .03	-		280 292 306	7.0 8.2 8.0	16.5 12.5	260 350	97 110	^b 80,000 ^b 8,500	170 4,500	^b 65,000 8,500	-	88 236
26cbc2 26ccb1 26cdb1	8-17-72 6-20-72 7-26-72	a.02 .22 .71	- - -	-	- - -	-	- - -	- - -	6.0 4.0 5.6	0 0 .04	•	- -	304 250 327	6.8 7.7 7.0	15.0 21.0 17.5	8	86 78	25,000	110 280 290	2,900	- - -	34
27bab1 27bba1 27bec1	9-10-72 9-20-72 8-16-72	a.01 .45 a.02	- - -	-	-	-		- * -	6.3 8.2 6.5	.15 .07 .07	- -	-	317 317 348	6.8 7.8 7.0	12.0 16.0 28.5	20 120 2	75 78 30	20,000 5,200 ^b 120,000	710 860 230	18,000 66,000 24,000	- -	14 49 16
27ddc1 30bbb1	9-17-72 10- 3-72 9-19-72	^a .01 ^a .10 ^a .08	- - 37	- 9.6	- 9.8	1.9	- - 144	- 26	5.9 7.3 7.0	0 2.6 0	0.39	0.06	299 298 296	8.6 8.0 8.8	19.5 11.5 15.5	20 15 50	103 109 94	3,600 98 b _{1,800}	>31 8 330	^a 500 170 3,500	1.5	35 2 24
33bcb1 2N-38E- 28acc1	9- 9-72 6-27-72	.15 .77	39 36	9.4 8.0	8.9 6.4	4.4 2.2	148 139	27 17	6.0 4.0	.07 .01	.72 .61	.28 .08	302 259	7.7 7.8	17.0 23.0	110 6	76	92,000 480	120 51	5,200 3,400	7.0 4.0	7 6

TABLE 3 (Continued)

QUALITY-OF-WATER DATA FOR SELECTED SITES IN THE IDAHO FALLS AREA

Site Location Number	Date of Collection	Rate of Inflow, in Cubic Feet per Second	Calcium (Ca)	Magnesium (Mg)	Sodium (Na)	Potassium (K)	Bicarbonate (HCO3)	Sulfate (SO ₄)	Chloride (Cl)	Nitrite plus Nitrate $(NO_2 + NO_3)$	Total Nitrogen, as N	Total Phosphorus, as P	Specific Conductance, in Micromhos at 250C	На	Temperature (oC)	Turbidity, in Jackson Turbidity Units	Dissolved Oxygen, in Percent Saturation	Total Coliform Bacteria	Fecal Coliform Bacteria	Fecal Streptococci Bacteria	Total Organic Carbon	Sediment, in Milligrams per Liter
	***************************************				**************************************					Irrigation-L	Dienoeal Wel	ils (Cont'd								print		
										ii iiga tioii-t	zisposai wei	us (cont u.	,									
2N-38E- 30dcd1	(11:00 a.m.) 6-22-72	a.04	-	-	-	-	-		4.0	0	_	-	262	7.6	20.0	-	-	-	280	-	-	-
31abc1 31acd1 31bdc1 31dcc1 32ddc1 2N-39E- 7cdc1 1N-37E- 4ccc1 5ccd1 5dcb1 6cbc1 1N-38E- 6acb1 7acc1 7bcd1	(12:00 Noon) 6-22-72 6-20-72 6-22-72 6-22-72 6-22-72 6-22-72 4-6-73 9-9-72 9-21-72 10-5-72 3-20-73 6-21-72 7-25-72 6-29-72 7-25-72	.98 3.7 1.2 .89 .34 a1.1 a1.0 a.05 a.04 a.01 a.02 .41 .89 .78 1.5 2.4	35 35 41 16 33	7.5 	5.9 6.1 8.9 - 2.8 5.9 - 6.4	2.5 	134 	17 17 26 4.5 17	5.0 4.0 4.0 3.9 2.4 6.7 8.0 8.0 1.2 4.0 5.1 7.0 4.0 5.4	.03 .07 .15 .09 .05 .19 .02 .09 1.4 .24 0 .04 0	2.1 .37 .57 .51 .55 .66	.76 	269 234 272 256 253 246 121 310 321 297 109 265 284 254 251 291	7.6 7.0 7.0 7.6 7.0 7.5 7.5 7.8 8.2 8.5 8.7 7.6 7.9 7.0 7.4	21.5 21.0 26.0 21.0 26.0 12.5 3.0 15.5 20.0 10.0 1.0 21.0 21.0 23.5 26.0	20 	97 70 94 129 98 104	\$80 390 >a100,000 24,000 250 b1,900 330 7,000 2,200	550 180 70 110 260 180 - 330 270 b180 0 b82 b50 460 660 220	17,000 1,700 25,000 3,000 1,000 b1,800 4,800	4.5 	36 14 579 7 17 95 1,166 45 386 46 1,600
										City-S	treet Drain	Wells										
13cbd1 14ddd1 24cbb1 2N-38E-17acb2 17cbc1 18bab1 19acc1 20ada1 20baa1 20dac1	c12-21-72 c12-22-72 c12-21-72 c12-22-72 10-10-72 3-22-73 3-22-73	a0.10 .05 a.01 .01 a.01 a.05 a.01 a.01 a.05 a.01	20	1.5	180 	2.9	47 40	11 	280 32 23 73 28 1.0 3.3 3.8 100 38 11 1.5 9.5 290 70 19 20 9 .7 270 96 11 2.0 5.4 7.7 13	0.44 	3.3 2.0 3.4 2.7	0.82	1,010 215 175 363 167 56 108 114 446 323 446 81 155 1,068 471 180 196 56 46 950 382 135 61 87 129 184	7.0 6.2 8.2 8.2 6.5 8.3 7.0 8.5 8.2 6.1 6.6 8.7	0.5 .5 1.0 9.5 4.0 9.0 .5 .5 5.0 28.0 1.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 .5 4.0 0 1.0 .5	1,000 1,000 1,100 1,000 80 15 20 1,000 1,000 35 60 1,300 1,300 800 200 25 40 - 1,000 1,000 35 320 1,200 1,200	104 92 99 83 97 92 107 105	3,800 5,300 5,900 2,200 4,100 b24,000 b30 2,400 2,900 2,5 a730,000 2,200 17,000 a36,000 b100 4,000 4,400 80 b400 8,200 17,000	b200 a60 180 a60 b20 b410 0 520 b410 0 a27,000 a27,000 a280 0 480 b280 5 a20 100	25,000 b1,100 b1,100 b1,100 10,000 a20,000 a1,800 a6,500 5,800 5,800 b9,800		1,126 124 312 36 33 56 55 538 489 89 128 1,863 929 1,170 152 7 6 1,550 40 543 450 504

TABLE 3 (Continued) QUALITY-OF-WATER DATA FOR SELECTED SITES IN THE IDAHO FALLS AREA

Site Location Number	Date of Collection	Rate of Inflow, in Cubic Feet per Second	Calcium (Ca)	Magnesium (Mg)	Sodium (Na)	Potassium (K)	Bicarbonate (HCO3)	Sulfate (SO ₄)	Chloride (C1)	Nitrite plus Nitrate (NO ₂ + NO ₃)	Total Nitrogen, as N	Total Phosphorus, as P	Specific Conductance, in Micromhos at 25oC	Hd	Temperature (0C)	Turbidity, in Jackson Turbidity Units	Dissolved Oxygen, in Percent Saturation	Total Coliform Bacteria	Fecal Coliform Bacteria	Fecal Streptococci Bacteria	Total Organic Carbon	Sediment, in Milligrams per Liter
										S	Snake River											
2N-37E-24ada1 1N-37E-17cda1	9-28-72 11- 2-72 11-29-72 12-28-72 1-24-73 6-26-72 9-13-72		32 35	7.6 9.5	5.9 9.9	1.5	131	- - - - 19 27	4.9	0 0.10 .15 .21 .16 .09	0.40 1.1 .37 .36 .33	0.04 .08 .03 .04 .05 .08	295 299 282 314 365 246 302	8.3 8.2 8.3 8.0 7.6 8.1 7.4	9.5 4.0 4.0 .5 0 13.0 12.5	3 2 2 2 1	100 104 116 113 108	65 52 30 29 37	b ₃₅ b ₃₆ 30 13 b ₁₂		2.0	
											Canals											
d6N-36E- 35dad1 3N-37E- 13bca1 2N-38E- 29cbc1 1N-38E- 6acb2 7bbb1	4- 3-73 7-12-72 7-13-72 6-21-72 6-21-72 6-28-72 6-29-72		48 39 - - - 34	9.0	41 7.1 - - - 6.4	4.3	292 157 - - - 134	8.3 21 - - - 17	16 5.0 5.0 4.0 4.0	.28 .11 .04 .04	.95 .34 - - - - .63	.10 .07 - - - .08	489 279 280 248 255 250 250	8.0 8.3 8.0 7.0 7.4 7.0 7.0	6.5 17.0 17.0 15.5 16.0 20.5 18.0	10 9 8	115 - - - - -	^b 240 62 - - - 380	b ₄ b ₁₃ 120 b ₂₉ 12 180	b ₅₀₀ 100 	10 3.5 - - 1.5	39 29 28
										Di	version Dite	ch										
2N-37E- 27bba2	9-20-72	-		-		-	-	-	6.8	0	•	-	285	8.1	14.0	30	100	p800	b ₄	180	-	20
										Dom	estic-Supply	Well										
2N-37E- 14ecc1	8-17-72 3-14-73	-	71 71	22 22	19 18	3.6 3.5	301 301	45 40	1 I 1 I	1.9 1.9	2.1 2.2	.03 .03	533 605	7.0	11.5 12.0	.2	99 -	0	0 0	0 0	0 -	3

Estimated value.

b Results based on colony count outside the acceptable range.

C Sample collected by the Idaho Department of Water Resources.

d Canal distributes water from wildlife refuge lands to a system of five disposal wells.

Actual value known to be greater than value shown.

Actual value known to be less than value shown.

TABLE 4

COMPARISON OF WATER AT OR NEAR THE LAST POINT OF DIVERSION WITH WATER AT THE DISPOSAL WELL

Leibert des et en		Discharge, r Second	Milligrams	Milligrams	pro-				L and a second s	Percent		er of Bact illiliters of		S	
Site Location Number	Date of Collection	Rate of Inflow or Discharge in Cubic Feet per Second	Chloride (Cl), in Milli per Liter	Nitrite plus Nitrate (NO2+NO3), in Mi per Liter	Specific Conductance, i Micromhos at 25°C		Temperature (°C)	Turbidity, in Jackson Turbidity Units	Dissolved Oxygen, in grams per Liter	Dissolved Oxygen, in of Saturation	Total Coliform Bacteria	Pecal Coliform Bacteria	Fecal Streptococci Bacteria	Sediment, in Milligrams per Liter	Remarks
031 0757 0 31 . 1	7-12-72	^a 0.06	5.0	0.05	323	7.6	25.0	2	_	_	520	>80	a25.000	7	Well
3N-37E- 2dba1 13bca1	7-12-72 7-12-72	595	5.0 5.0	.11	279	8.3	17.0	9	-	-	62	200	100	29	Canal
			0	06	+44	7	+8.0	-7	_	-	+458		+24,900	-22	
2N-37E-27bba1	9-20-72	.45	8.2	.07	317	7.8	16.0	120	6.6	78	5,200	860	6,000	49	Well
27bba2	9-20-72	1.40	6.8	.00	285	8.1	14.0	30	8.7	100	800	- 4	180	20	Diversion ditch ^b
		95	+1.4	+,()7	+32	-0.3	+2.0	+90	-2.1	-22	+4,400	+856	+5,220	+29	Change at well
1N-38E- 7bcd1	6-29-72	1.5	4.0	.03	251	7.0	23.5	25	•	_	7,000	460	4,800	46	Weli
7bbb1	6-29-72	a75	4.0	.06	250	7.0	18.0	15		-	380	180	310	47	Canal
			0	1,2.1	- [0	+5.5	+1()	£.	*	+6,620	+280	+4,49()	~ 1	Change at well

> Actual value is known to be greater than value shown.

a Estimated value.

b Diversion ditch is located about 600 fect northwest of well; the entire discharge shown was diverted onto the field that was drained by the disposal well.

CONCENTRATIONS OF OIL, GREASE, AND DISSOLVED 1 LEAD IN SAMPLES FROM SELECTED SITES TABLE 5

Site Location Number	noitasiloD to stad	Oil and Grease, in Milli- grams per Liter	Dissolved Lead, in Micrograms per Liter	Remarks
2N-37E-13cbc1	312-20-72	1,300	0 8	Street, storm runoff sample,
15adc1	3-22-73 54- 4-73	D) 🛫	38	Street, storm runoff sample. Irrigation,waste water sample.
24cbb1	3-22-33	<u>~</u>	200	Street, storm runoff sample.
2N-38E-17acb2	2002	Š	0,70	Street, stom runoff sample,
[7che:	000	<u>~</u> €/	200	Street, storm runoff sample.
200 000 000	CACA	(C)	200	Street, storm runoit sample,
19400	3-22-73	8	000	Street, storm runoff sample.
2013c		Section 1		Street, storm muniff sample.
20dad1	(L) (L) (L)	- - - - -	200	Street, storm runoff sample.
2366	C. C.	(M)	98	actues found a los jeals

[.] Values determined on ample passing 0.45 micrometer filter, may include findly divided particulate marter.

Actual value known to be less than value shown.

^a Sample collected by the fdato Department of Water Resources.
^b Spowmelt runoff flowing into an icrivation-disposal well.

Snowmelt tunoff flowing into an irrigation-disposal well.

TABLE 6
PESTICIDE ANALYSES OF SAMPLES FROM SELECTED SITES 1/2

(Insecticide and herbicide constituents, in micrograms per liter.)

	ion	in Cubic and			SECTICII	DES		HERB	ICIDES	
Site Location Number	Date of Collection	Rate of Inflow, in 6 Foot per Second	Chlordane		DDT	Diazinon	Dieldrin	2,4-D	Silvex	Remarks
3N-38E-20bcc1	10 3-72	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Irrigation-waste water sample
2N-37E-14ddd1	10-10-72	<.10	.03	.01	.04	.01	.01	.26	.02	Street, storm-runoff sample $2/$
15adc1	8-15-72	.50	.00.	.02	.01	.00	.00	.00.	.00	Irrigation-waste water sample 3/
27ddc1	10- 3-72	.10	.00	.00	.00.	.00	.00	.00	.00.	Irrigation-waste water sample 3/

^{1/} Insecticides and herbicides analyzed for, but not detected in the samples included aldrin, DDD, endrin, heptachlor, heptachlor epoxide, lindane, parathion, methyl parathion, malathion, 2,4,5-T, and polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) compounds.

^{2/} The area drained by this well is a relatively new (less than 10 years old) residential area consisting of well established lawns and new construction.

^{3/} The land drained by this well was planted in potatoes and was sprayed with chemicals by aircraft 12 days prior to collection date. A rain storm occurred several hours prior to collection of sample.

< Actual value known to be less than value shown.

TABLE 7 STANDARD CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF WATER FROM SELECTED SITES

(Chemical constituents, in milligrams per liter.)

4-1-4-1-4-1-4-1-4-1-4-1-4-1-4-1-4-1-4-1															· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Site Location Number	Date of Collection	Silica (Si02)	Calcium (Ca)	Magnesium (Mg)	Sodium (Na)	Potassium (K)	Bicarbonate (HCO3)	Alkalinity, as CaCO3	Sulfate (SO4)	Chloride (Cl)	Fluoride (F)	Nitrite plus Nitrate $(NO_2 + NO_3)$	Total Nitrogen, as N	Total Phosphorus, as P	Dissolved Solids (sum of constituents)	Hardness, as Ca, Mg	Percent Sodium	Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR)	Specific Conductance, in Micromhos at 25°C	ЬН	Temperature (^o C)	Total Organic Carbon
	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER									Irrigation-I	Disposal Wel	ļ\$										
3N-37E- 2dba1 3N-38E- 3dbc1 7dcc1 2N-37E-11bad1 14cdc1 14cdc2 15dab1 15dca1 30bbb1 33bcb1 2N-38E-28acc1 31abc1 32ddc1 1N-37E- 4ccc1 6cbc1 1N-38E- 6acb1 7bcd1	7-12-73 4- 2-73 6-15-72 6- 7-72 7-28-72 3-20-73 9- 8-72 8- 1-72 9- 19-72 9- 19-72 9- 9-72 6-27-72 6-20-72 6-22-72 9- 9-72 3-20-73 6-21-72 6-29-72	20 4.2 8.8 10 12 12 16 13 16 14 16 12 11 11 16 3.3	42 7.2 38 40 40 22 37 38 38 37 39 36 35 35 41 16 33 35	9.5 1.4 7.6 8.7 9.1 3.3 9.0 8.9 9.2 9.6 9.4 8.0 7.5 7.6 11 3.2 7.4 7.7	7.3 .4 5.4 5.0 8.0 1.1 9.0 8.2 8.9 9.8 8.9 6.4 5.9 6.1 8.9 2.8 5.9	6.5 5.6 1.9 8.7 3.3 6.4 4.7 2.7 4.7 1.9 4.4 2.2 2.5 1.9 5.2 3.1 2.1 1.8	166 55 140 156 148 89 146 150 145 144 148 139 134 138 153 70 137	136 45 115 128 121 73 120 123 119 118 121 114 110 113 126 57	21 0 21 24 23 4.3 27 23 27 26 27 17 17 17 26 4.5	5.0 1.0 4.2 5.6 5.7 1.4 6.2 5.6 6.3 7.0 6.0 4.0 4.0 3.9 6.7 1.2 4.0 4.0	0.6 .1 .3 .4 .7 .2 1.0 .7 .9 .8 1.0 .4 .4 .4 .4 .5	0.05 .07 .19 .54 .04 .05 .07 .05 .08 0 .07 .01 .07 .05 .02 .24	1.4 3.5 1.1 1.2 .75 1.6 .82 .74 .51 .39 .72 .61 2.1 .37 .57 3.1 .55 .66	0.62 .84 .51 .24 .34 .31 .31 .32 .17 .06 .28 .08 .76 .25 .52	195 52 157 180 175 95 182 174 183 177 185 154 150 152 193 70 148 150	140 24 130 140 140 69 130 130 130 130 120 120 120 150 53 110 120	9 3 8 10 11 3 13 12 12 14 12 10 10 10 10 10	0.3 0 .2 .3 .3 .1 .3 .3 .4 .3 .3 .2 .2 .2 .3	323 95 268 297 315 141 294 331 292 296 302 259 234 246 310 109 265 251	7.6 7.5 7.6 7.4 8.0 7.8 8.3 8.1 7.7 8.8 7.7 7.8 7.0 7.5 7.8 8.7 7.6 7.0	25.0 3.0 17.5 18.5 25.0 2.0 21.5 20.5 11.0 15.5 17.0 23.0 21.0 12.5 15.5 1.0 21.0 21.0 23.5	10 10 7.0 10 0 12 7.0 1.0 3.5 1.5 7.0 4.0 4.5 3.0 5.5 20 8.5 4.5
										City-Street	Drain Wells											
2N-37E-13cbc1 14ddd1 2N-38E-17acb2 20dac1	a12-20-72 3-22-73 a12-20-72 a12-20-72	1.9 2.7 2.4 1.3	20 12 15 16	1.5 1.2 2.0 1.1	180 3.1 60 170	2.9 2.4 5.3 2.1	81 47 40 40	66 39 33 33	11 5.4 9.2 8.8	280 3.3 100 270	.3 .1 .2 .2	.44 .27 .62 .56	3.3 2.0 3.4 2.7	.82 .48 .50	540 56 217 492	56 35 46 44	87 15 71 89	10 .2 3.9 11	1,010 108 446 950	7.0 8.2 6.5 6,6	.5 4.0 .5 .5	
										Snak	e River											
1N-37E-17cda1	6-26-72 9-13-72	12 15	32 35	7.6 9.5	5.9 9.9	1.5	131 143	107 117	19 27	4.9 7.3	.2 .9	.09 .03	-	.08 .04	148 177	110 130	10 14	.2 .4	246 302	8.1 7.4	13.0 12.5	-
^b 6N-36E-35dad1 3N-37E-13bca1 1N-38E- 7bbb1	4- 3-73 7-12-72 6-29-72	26 12 12	48 39 34	15 9.0 8.0	4.1 7.1 6.4	4.3 1.6 1.5	292 157 134	240 129 110	8.3 21 17	16 5.0 4.0	1.8 .5 .4	.28 .11 .06	.95 .34 .63	.10 .07 .08	306 173 149	180 130 120	32 10 10	1.3 .3 .3	489 279 250	8.0 8.3 7.0	6.5 17.0 18.0	10 3.5 1.5
										Dome	estic Well											
2N-37E-14ccc1	8-17-72 3-14-73	25 24	71 71	22 22	19 18	3.6 3.5	301 301	247 247	45 40	11	.5 .4	1.9 1.9	2.1 2.2	.03 .03	354 347	270 270	13 13	.5 .5	533 605	7.0 7.6	11.5 12.0	0 .

a Sample collected by Idaho Department of Water Resources.
 b Canal distributes water from wildlife refuge lands to a system of five disposal wells.

PREPARED IN COOPERATION WITH THE IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER ADMINISTRATION




FIGURE 8. LOCATIONS OF SELECTED DISPOSAL WELLS, SAMPLING SITES, AND CONTOURS ON THE WATER TABLE IN THE IDAHO FALLS AREA.

REFERENCES CITED

- Abegglen, D. E., Wallace, A. T., and Williams, R. E., 1970, The effects of drain wells on the ground-water quality of the Snake River Plain: Idaho Bur. Mines and Geology Pamphlet 148, 51 p.
- Barraclough, J. T., Teasdale, W. E., and Jensen, R. G., 1967, Hydrology of the National Reactor Testing Station, Idaho annual progress report, 1965: U. S. Geol. Survey open-file report, Feb. 1967, 107 p.
- Barraclough, J. T., Teasdale, W. E., Robertson, J. B., and Jensen, R. G., 1966, Hydrology of the National Reactor Testing Station, Idaho: U. S. Geol. Survey open-file report, Oct. 1967, 95 p.
- Bondurant, J. A., 1971, Quality of surface irrigation runoff water: Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural Engineers 1971, v. 14, no. 6, p. 1001-1003.
- Crosby, J. W. III, Johnstone, D. L., Drake, C. H., and Fenton, R. L., 1968, Migration of pollutants in a glacial outwash environment: Water Resources Research, v. 4, no. 5, Oct. 1968, p. 1095-1114.
- Idaho Department of Water Administration 1971, Water laws and regulations: Volume 1, 1971, sec. 42-3902, p. 157.
- McGauhey, P. H., 1968, Engineering management of water quality, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, New York, 295 p.
- Mundorff, M. J., Crosthwaite, E. G., and Kilburn, Chabot, 1964, Ground water for irrigation in the Snake River basin in Idaho: U. S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1654, 224 p.
- Perimutter, N. M., and Guerrera, A. A., 1970, Detergents and associated contaminants in ground water at three public-supply well fields in southwestern Suffolk County, Long Island, New York: U. S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 2001-B, 22 p.
- Scalf, M. R., and others, 1968, Fate of DDT and nitrate in ground water: U. S. Dept. of the Interior, Federal Water Pollution Control Administration, Robert S. Kerr Water Research Center, Ada, Oklahoma and U. S. Dept. of Agricultural Soil and Water Conservation Division, Agricultural Research Service, Southwestern Great Plains Research Center, Bushland, Texas, April 1968, 46 p.
- Sceva, J. E., 1968, Liquid waste disposal in the lava terrane of central Oregon: U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Pacific Northwest Water Laboratory, Technical Projects Branch report no. FR-4, 1968, 66 p.
- Smith, J. H., and Douglas, C. L., 1973, Microbiological quality of surface drainage water from three small irrigated watersheds in southern Idaho: Jour. Environmental

REFERENCES CITED (Cont'd.)

Quality, 1973, v. 2, no. 1, p. 110-112.

Vecchioli, John, Ehrlich, G. G., and Ehlke, T. A., 1972, The travel of pollution-indicator bacteria through the Magothy aquifer, Long Island, New York: U. S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 800-B, p. B237-B239.