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# GROUND-WATER PUMPAGE FROM THE SNA $K E$ PLAN AQUIFER, 

## SOUTHEASTERN MARO

by

H. W. Young and W. A. Harenberg


#### Abstract

This report estimates, by a method described herein, the amount of ground water pumped from the Snake Plain aquifer in southeastern Idaho. Emphasis is placed on the method used to estimate withdrawals for irrigation purposes.

Ground-water withdrawals for irrigation needs were computed using the average number of kilowatt-hours of electrical energy consumed per acre-foot of water pumped and the total kilowatt-hours used by irrigation wells. A statistical technique was employed to determine sample size and location and to evaluate the accuracy of the results.

A sample of 173 wells indicates that about 527 kilowatt-hours are used to pump 1 acre-foot of ground water from the Snake Plain aquifer. Using this coefficient and the total electrical power consumed by irrigation wells, irrigation pumpage is estimated to be about $1,000,000$ acre-feet. Statistical evaluation of the sample population indicates that the results are accurate to within 10 percent of actual withdrawals.

Municipal ground-water pumpage is estimated to be about 34,000 acre-feet, industrial pumpage about 38,000 acre-feet, and rural-domestic pumpage about 7,000 acre-feet. Total ground-water pumpage from the Snake Plain aquifer in 1969 for irrigation, municipal, industrial, and rural-domestic uses is estimated to be about 1,079,000 acre-feet.


## INTRODUCTION

The rapidly-expanding agricultural and industrial growth occurring on the Snake River Plain in Idaho is requiring the use of increasing amounts of ground water. Persons and agencies responsible for the planning needed to accommodate this growth often must forecast the amount of water that will be needed in the future. To do this, they first need accurate data indicative of the amount of ground water presently being pumped. An accurate estimate of present use will not only be of aid in existing and future planning studies, but it will also be useful in other types of investigations whose goals are to describe the availability and the effects of use of the ground-water resource.

Generally, the past estimates made by vatious workers of the amount of ground water pumped were based largely on reported information collected during short-term water-use studies or as a subsidiary part of other water-resource investigations. As a result, few measurements of the quantities pumped for irrigation and other uses were made. Previous workers have been discouraged from making an accurate estimate of pumpage because of the lack of a suitable method for making the estimate within reasonable time and cost limits. These workers, and others concerned with the water resources of Idaho, have long recognized the need for a better, more reliable, and quicker method of estimating pumpage than has previously been available.

Recently, the use of statistical techniques to guide the collection and analysis of pumpage data has served to provide a better method of estimating the quantity of water withdrawn in an area and for evaluating the accuracy of the withdrawal figure obtained. This method is described and applied in this report. In the first application of this method in Idaho, a statistically derived estimate for the quantity of ground water withdrawn for irrigation use from the Snake Plain aquifer of southeastern Idaho is obtained and its accuracy assessed. It is anticipated that future studies will be made using this method to obtain periodical estimates of ground-water withdrawals for the Snake Plain aquifer and for other parts of Idaho.

The study described in this report was accomplished within a program of water-resource investigations made by the U. S. Geological Survey in cooperation with the Idaho Department of Water Administration.

## Location

The Snake Plain aquifer underlies approximately 9,600 square miles of the Snake River Plain in southeastern Idaho (fig. 1). The boundary of the aquifer, as defined by Norvitch, Thomas, and Madison (1969, p. 2), encompasses the area covered by this study and is shown in figure 2. As shown, this boundary was arbitrarily drawn along the foot of the mountains surrounding the Plain and across the mouths of tributary valleys. Generally, the boundary marks the contact of the Snake Plain aquifer with the usually less permeable surrounding material.

Centers of water use, of agricultural development, and of population are mostly near the Snake River, which flows along the eastern and southern margins of the Snake River Plain. The Snake River is the primary source of surface water for irrigation of croplands lying near the river. Ground water is used almost exclusively on the more recently developed irrigated lands extending out into the Plain away from areas irrigated with surface water. (See fig. 2.)


FIGURE 1. Index map showing area covered by this report.

## Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this report is (1) to describe and to establish a procedure for estimating the quantity of ground water pumped annually from the Snake Plain aquifer in southeastern Idaho for irrigation, industrial, municipal, and rural-domestic use; and (2) to provide an evaluated estimate of the amount being pumped for these purposes. Because the amount of ground water pumped for irrigation use greatly exceeds the amount pumped for all other uses, and because of its large magnitude, the procedure for estimating this value is described in detail.

In attempting to establish a procedure for estimating the amount of ground water pumped for irrigation, several approaches were considered. If the number of acres irrigated with ground water and the average rate of application were known, a value for the total quantity of ground water pumped could be calculated. A search made of the information available indicated that these data could not be readily collected.

It was found, however, that the total amount of electrical energy used by all irrigation pumps could be obtained. These data, and information on the amounts of water pumped and energy consumed at randomly selected wells, were used to estimate the total amount of ground water pumped for irrigation. The following procedure to compute this estimate was established: the total number of kilowatt-hours of electrical energy consumed by 2,044 irrigation wells on the Plain was acquired and the discharge of and kilowatt-hours consumed in 173 randomly selected index wells on the Plain were measured. Using these data, the average kilowatt-hours consumed per acre-foot of water pumped was calculated and, by applying this figure to the total number of kilowatt-hours used, a total figure for irrigation pumpage was then computed.

The amount of ground water pumped for municipal and industrial purposes was estimated using data obtained from questionnaires, from previous investigations, and by interviewing owners of water-supply systems. The amount of ground water used for rural-domestic purposes was estimated using population data from reports of the U. S. Bureau of the Census and published per capita consumption figures.

## Previous Investigations

Numerous estimates of the quantity of ground water pumped in all or parts of Idaho have been made. As a part of its continuing program of ground-water investigations, the $U$. S. Geological Survey has reported on quantities pumped in several water-use reports (Mackichan and Kammerer, 1961; and Murray, 1968). A report prepared for the ldaho Water Resource Board by the University of Idaho also contains pumpage data for Idaho (Water Resources Research Institute, University of Idaho, 1968).

Estimates of the amount of ground water pumped for irrigation on the Srake River Plain are contained in two published reports. Mundorf, Crosthwaite, and Kllbutn (1964, p. 23) estimated that 920,000 acre-feet of ground water was pumped for irrigation needs in 1959. Norvitch, Thomas, and Madison (1969, p. 9) estimated that 2,100,000 acre-feet of ground water was pumped in 1965 to meet imigation requirements.

All the pumpage estimates noted above were based largely on reported data that incorporated a sparse number of field observations. The authors of these estimates all recognized the lack of firm data and pointed out the need for an intensified study to determine ground-water pumpage, and for a means to substantiate and evaluate the final estimates.

## Acknowledgments

The success of this study is largely owing to the excellent cooperation extended to the U. S. Geological Survey by the many well owners, private companies, municipalities, and governmental agencies who provided access to their wells and records. Individual well owners freely provided pertinent data regarding construction of their wells, size of pumps, and details of their irrigation distribution systems, and also allowed the discharges of and water levels in their wells to be measured. Data on well locations and on kilowatt-hours consumed at wells were provided by the Idaho Power Company, Lost River Electric Cooperative, Inc., and the Utah Power and Light Company; without these data, the amount of ground water used for irrigation could not have been calculated. All municipal officials contacted gave full cooperation by supplying pumpage data. The large amount of historical pumpage data collected and supplied by the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation enabled use of their Minidoka North Side Pumping Division as a test area for the method used in this report to determine irrigation withdrawals.

## GROUND-WATER WITHDRAWALS

## Irrigation Pumpage

## Method Used

To determine with absolute accuracy the amount of ground water pumped annually for irrigation on the Snake River Plain would require that the exact quantity of water pumped at each and every irrigation well on the Plain be measured each year. Because there are several thousand irrigation wells on the Plain, the effort required to make these measurements would be prohibitively expensive and time consuming. Practically, then, it is to estimate irrigation pumpage using some other method.

A commonly accepted method for estimating the amount of water pumped in an area consists of using (1) average discharge values and values of consumed energy derved from a number of index wells considered representative of conditions in the area being studied and (2) the total energy consumed by all wells in the area, to obtain a total pumpaze value. In the past, index wells were arbitrarily selected to represent different pumping lifts, types of irrigation systems (flood or sprinkler), well yields, topographic settings and infiltration capacities of the land irrigated, types of crops grown, and such other conditions as may have seemed pertinent to the individual making the study. The degree to which these items were considered and the number of wells selected depended entirely on the judgment of the individual making the selection.

In recent years, the use of statistical techniques to obtain an accurate measure of a population using a randomly selected sample has received widespread use. The statistical technique used in this report to aid in obtaining a measure of total pumpage was first applied to ground-water withdrawals by R. R. Luckey (written commun., 1969) who estimated the amount of ground water pumped in the Arkansas River Valley in Colorado. The benefits of using this technique are that it provides a means of determining the size of the random sample to be used, selecting the wells to be used, and evaluating the final pumpage figure obtained. Because application of this technique requires selection of the sample (index wells) on a random basis, it eliminates the problem of bias that may result from using individual judgment in selecting representative index wells.

Briefly, the technique consists of selecting a random sample (index wells) on the basis of the variance (an indicator for the total population of the amount of deviation of a variable of interest from its mean value) and the accuracy of the answer desired. The total quantity of water pumped is calculated using an average value of kwhr per acre-foot (kilowatt-hours per acre-foot) used by the index wells and the total kilowatt-hours consumed by all of the wells in the sampled population.

There are two basic requirements inherent to statistical evaluation and use of the random sample obtained as a part of this inventory of irrigation pumpage: (1) that the variable of interest (kilowatt-hours used per acre-foot of water pumped) have a normal or lognormal distribution with respect to all irrigation wells on the Plain and (2) that the variance of this variable be known or can be estimated. A graphic illustration of a normal distribution would appear as a bell-shaped curve that is symmetrical about the mean value of the variable of interest (see fig. 3). If the distribution were log-normal, a graph using logarithms of the variable on the ordinate would assume the same shape.

## Test of Method

A preliminary investigation of the data available from about 178 wells used by the $U$. S. Bureau of Reclamation's Minidoka North Side Pumping Division located on the Snake


FIGURE 3. Graph showing a normal frequency distribution.

River Plain (fig. 2) indicated that this area could be used to test the applicability of the method. It was assumed that if satisfactory results could be obtained by applying the method in this area, they could also be obtained for the Plain as a whole. The test of the method was made as follows:

1. The average number of kwhr consumed per acre-foot of water pumped by each irrigation well in the project area during the 1968 irrigation season was calculated using data supplied by the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation.
2. A plot of the cumulative frequency of the data on log-probability paper showed that the calculated values of kwhr consumed per acre-foot of water pumped were distributed approximately log-normally among the wells.
3. An evaluation of the accuracy desired for the pumpage value to be calculated was made so that the number of index wells to be randomly selected among the wells pumped in this area could be determined.

Analysis of pumpage data available for the test area for the 9 years, 1960-1968, indicated that any pumpage value calculated should be within 10 percent of the actual pumpage. This accuracy would enable relating the effects of significant variations in climatic
conditions, or number of acres irrigated, to the amount of ground water pumped annually.
The number of index wells needed to calculate a pumpage value that would be within 10 percent of the true value with a 95 percent probability of attaining that accuracy, was calculated to be 21 , using the following formula of Luckey for sampling with replacement

$$
n=\frac{b^{2} 6 y^{2}}{\log e^{2}(\alpha+1)}
$$

where
$\mathrm{n} \quad=\quad$ number of sample points (wells),
$b=a$ constant (Natrella, 1963, p. T-3) depending on $p$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p= \text { the probability that the statistic is within the confidence } \\
& \text { interval }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\sigma y^{2}=$ variance of the natural logs of $x$
where

$$
X=k w h r \text { per acre-foot pumped }
$$

e $\quad=\quad$ the base of the natural system of logarithms
$\propto=$ maximum desired fractional error made in estimating mean kwhr per acre-foot.

Using the above formula and a variance of 0.05 log units, the number of sample points (21) needed to give any desired error ( $\pm 10$ percent) with the corresponding probability ( 95 percent) of achieving that accuracy are shown below:

Probability (p) of Achieving Accuracy, in Percent

|  |  | 95 | 90 | 80 | 70 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum | 5 | 81 | 57 | 35 | 23 |
| desired | 10 | 21 | 15 | 9 | 6 |
| fractional | 20 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| error | 30 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| ( $\alpha$ ), | 40 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| in percent | 50 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

4. Selection of the index wells was made from a tabulationgiving the location of each well in the test area. Each well on the list was assigned, yumber, and a table of random numbers was used to select the index well.
5. A test of the natural logarithms of the measured values of $k w h r$ consumed per acre-foot pumped, by both the index wells and all the wells in the test area, showed that these values were distributed normally and fit the curve shown in figure 3. The fact that a log-normal distribution of this variable was found among bott the index wells and all the wells in the test area is used later as justification for assuming that the normal distribution found for the index wells used to sample the entire Snake River Plain is indicative of a normal distribution of this variable among all irrigation wells on the Plain.
6. The number of kwhr consumed per acre-foot of water pumped at each index well was calculated. These values were averaged to obtain, for the index wells, the average number of kwhr consumed per acre-foot pumped.
7. The total quantity of ground water pumped for the test area was calculated by dividing the computed average kwhr per acre-foot pumped by the index wells into the total number of kwhr used by all wells on the project.

Table 1 lists, for the period 1960-68, both the measured amount of water pumped annually by irrigation wells in the Minidoka North Side Pumping Division and the amount computed using the method just described. The pumpage computed for each year was estimated using a calculated average kwhr per acre-foot value for the index wells as computed from 1968 records. As shown, the percentage difference of the pumpage values shown for each year ranges from -5.9 to +5.1 percent and is within the estimated accuracy. Because of this close agreement between computed and actual ground-water withdrawals, and because conditions in this test area appear to closely approximate those on the Plain, it was decided that this statistical procedure could be used to obtain an accurate estimate of irrigation pumpage for the Plain.

## Application of Method

The estimate of the amount of ground water pumped for irxigation on the Snake River Plain was made by applying, with some modification, the same technique tested in the Minidoka North Side Pumping Division. In the following discussion, several of the items entering into the technique used to obtain a pumpage figure are described more fully than was done previously.

Variance.--Because of a lack of data, it was necessary to make an initial estimate of the variance. This was accomplished, using a technique suggested by Natrella (1963), by making an estimate of the range of values of $k w h r$ consumed per acre-foot of water pumped for the

Table 1
Ground-Water Pumpage by Irrigation Wells
in the Minidoka North Side Pumping Division

|  | Measured <br> Pumpage <br> (acre- <br> feet) | Computed <br> pumpage <br> (acre-feet) | Percent <br> error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1960 | 203,927 | 191,796 | -5.9 |
| 1961 | 202,921 | 191,707 | -5.5 |
| 1962 | 186,989 | 187,866 | +.5 |
| 1963 | 177,481 | 177,444 | 0 |
| 1964 | 193,389 | 192,384 | -.5 |
| 1965 | 190,719 | 200,356 | +5.1 |
| 1966 | 226,254 | 230,649 | +1.9 |
| 1967 | 200,607 | 201,867 | +.6 |
| 1968 | 191,237 | 191,863 | +.3 |

wells on the Plain. To do this, it was assumed that the average irrigation-well installation in use on the Plain has a pump efficiency of 65 percent and an electrical efficiency of 87.5 percent, and that 1.8 kilowatt-hours of electricity would be used to lift 1 acre-foot of water 1 foot. From a depth-to-water map of the area irrigated with ground water, it was estimated that 95 percent of the irrigation wells on the Plain were operating with lifts between 50 and 550 feet. Also, it was estimated that the average sprinkler system in use would have a pressure of 40 pounds per square inch, thus increasing the maximum lift by about 92 feet. Using these figures, it was estimated that values of kwhr per acre-foot pumped for 95 percent of the wells on the Plain would lie between 90 and $1,150 \mathrm{kwhr}$ per acre-foot, and a variance of 0.41 (natural-log units) was calculated. The values of kwhr per acre-foot obtained from the index wells were found to range from 54 to 1,440 , with 96 percent within the previously estimated range of 90 to 1,150 , indicating that the method used to estimate the variance is valid.

Accuracy.-The accuracy of the final irrigation-pumpage value obtained, using this method, is primarily a function of the number of wells sampled. In this case, it can be shown that a sample size of 173 wells provides an answer which is accurate within 10 percent of the true value with a 95 -percent chance of achieving that accuracy. Implicit in the above statement is the assumption that all measurements made at the index wells are accurate and that the measure of the total number of kilowatt-hours consumed is accurate. Tests of the accuracy of the measurements made at the index wells indicate that the values derived from these measurements are probably accurate to within 10 percent. Intuitively, it was considered that this 10 -percent error is compensating and that, therefore, an average
discharge value derived from these measurements is accurate. That is, although the individual measurements may be in error by plus or minus 10 percent, an average of these measurements can be used to calculate a total discharge for all wells. It was considered that the values obtained from power companies for the total number of kilowatt-hours consumed are 100 percent accurate.

Number of wells on Plain. -The total number of irrigation wells on the Plain whose pumps are powered by electrical motors was determined from records from the Idaho Power Company, Utah Power and Light Company, and the Lost River Electric Cooperative. These companies supply all the electrical power consumed by irrigation wells on the Plain. From these records, it was found that 2,044 irrigation wells used electric power. Data collected from companies supplying natural gas, butane, gasoline, and diesel fuel indicated that few wells, probably less than 10 , used these sources of energy, and that these wells could be ignored without significantly affecting the accuracy of the final pumpage value sought.

Index wells.-The number of pumping irrigation wells to be sampled on the Plain was calculated to be 173 by applying the estimated variance and the accuracy desired to Luckey's formula. Selection of the index wells was made by assigning numbers to all wells and by use of a random number table. Because Luckey's formula requires sampling with replacement, several wells were drawn more than once from the random number table with the result that discharge measurements were needed at only 158 wells. See table 2 and figure 4.

Discharge measurements.-Distribution of the water pumped by irrigation wells on the Plain is accomplished using either a closed or an open system. Typically, in a closed system the water pumped is conveyed through aluminum pipes to a sprinkler system, whereas in an open system ditches are used to distribute the water from the well to the fields. Where discharge meters have been installed on weils, the measurement of discharge is readily obtainable for either type of system. Unfortunately, however, rarely have these meters been installed and, therefore, other means of measuring well discharge had to be used. To determine the discharge for closed sprinkler systems, the water pressure at the first and last sprinkler head on each line of sprinklers in the system was measured. Using the average of these values and the size and number of orifices used, the discharge of the well supplying the system was calculated. To determine the discharge of wells supplying open systems, the quantity of water flowing in the distribution canal at a point near the well was measured using standard measuring techniques. During the 1970 irrigation season, the discharge of each index well was measured at least once; a second measurement was made on as many wells as time permitted. The value or average value obtained was considered to be the average discharge rate of the well.

Measurements of the depth to water made in index wells showed that during the irrigation season pumping water levels fluctuated less than 10 feet. These measurements, in conjunction with the discharges measured, indicate that pumping rates were not

Table 2
Sumpary of Irrigation Index-Well Data
Irrigation method: S, sprinklet system; G, gravity system; S, G, sprinkler pump was in whe at the time of measurement. Gravity discharge measurements and gravity system.

Differences in sprinkler discharge measurements are are considered accurate to within 10 percent.
Discharge measurements: Differences in sprinkier discharge measurements are due to the number of sprinkler heads operating and whether a booster

| Well <br> identification number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Irriga- } \\ & \text { tion } \\ & \text { method } \end{aligned}$ | Static water level |  | Date | Discharge measurements |  |  |  |  |  | Horse power of pump | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { kwhr } \\ \text { consumed } \\ 1970 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Kilowatt demand | Kwhr consumed per acrefoot pumped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Gailons per minute | Pumping level, feet below land surface | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gallons } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { minute } \end{gathered}$ | Pumping level, feet below land surface | Average, gallons per minute |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 509 | 5 | - | - | 7-21-70 | 1,710 | - | * | - | - | 1,710 | 300 | 358,400 | 277 | 880 |
| 652 | 5 | 4-9-70 | 312.30 | 7-8-70 | 1,790 | 313.65 | - | - | - | 1,790 | 300 | 228,960 | 302 | 916 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a 50 |  |  |  |
| 489 | S | 3-29-70 | 439.61 | 7-20-70 | 1,860 | - | - | - | - | 1,860 | 300 | 597,120 | 336 | 981 |
| 1261 | G | 4-11-70 | 245.93 | 6-11-70 | 2,020 | 251.10 | 8-18-70 | 1,840 | - | 1,930 | 150 | 313,720 | 141 | 397 |
| 26 | S | 4-1-70 | 415.91 | 7-16-70 | 2,940 | - | - | - | - | 2,940 | $400$ | 738.720 | 460 | 850 |
| 15 | s | 3-31-70 | 429.00 | 7-16-70 | 2,740 | - | - | - | - | 2,740 | 450 | 518,000 | 399 | 791 |
| 513 | S | - | - | 7-21-70 | 1,240 | - | - | - | - | 1,240 | 350 | 687,680 | 328 | 1,440 |
| 763 | 6 | 4-7-70 | 67.40 | 7-9-70 | 1,060 | 68.95 | - | - | - | 1,060 | 30 | 18,100 | 27 | 138 |
| 180 | G | 3-28-70 | 57.10 | 7-26-70 | 2,190 | - | - | - | - | 2,190 | 100 | 147,840 | 85 | 213 |
| 1196 | G | - | - | 6-10-70 | 1,370 | - | - | - | - | 1,370 | 125 | 229,120 | 107 | 424 |
| 779 | G | - | - | 7-9-70 | 2,440 | - | - | - | - | 2,440 | 250 | 412,640 | 216 | 481 |
| 1664 | S | - | - | 6-18-70 | 893 | - | 8-26-70 | 819 | - | 856 | 60 | 83,210 | 50 | 317 |
| 1629 | S | - | - | 7-7-70 | 2,250 | - | 8-26-70 | 2,000 | - | 2,130 | 250 | 233,440 | 246 | 627 |
| 1172 | S | - | - | 6-10-70 | 1,420 | - | 8-24-70 | 1,290 | - | 1,350 | 200 | 326,760 | 182 | 732 |
| 1860 | G | - | - | 6-19-70 | 520 | - | 8-5-70 | 540 | - | 530 | 75 | 155,500 | 66 | 676 |
| 1980 | G | - | - | 6-2-70 | 1,920 | 344.55 | 8- 5-70 | 1,940 | 344.00 | 1,930 | 200 | 471,240 | 182 | 512 |
| 1823 | S | " | - | 6-11-70 | 1,390 | - | 8-6-70 | 1,580 | - | 1,480 | $\begin{aligned} & 250 \\ & a 50 \end{aligned}$ | 785,030 | 283 | 1,040 |
| 994 | S | - | - | 7-9-70 | 2,160 | - | - | - | - | 2,160 | 200 | 223,360 | 177 | 445 |
| 640 | S | 4- 9 - 70 | 130.01 | 7-8-70 | 2,300 | 143.70 | 9-2-70 | 1,450 | 137.55 | b2,090 | 200 | 332,440 | 204 | 531 |
| 1777 | S | - | - | 6-17-70 | 1,060 | - | 9-4-70 | 1,060 | - | 1,060 | 350 125 | 125,920 | 102 | 523 |
| 1073 | G | - | - | 6- 5-70 | 2,560 | 142.72 | 8-7-70 | 2,490 | 138.79 | 2,520 | 200 | 302,400 | 192 | 414 |
| 1674 | S | 5. 2-70 | 156.49 | 6-18-70 | 1,400 | 154.80 | 8-26-70 | 1,790 | 156.35 | bl,710 | $\begin{aligned} & 125 \\ & \mathrm{a} 100 \end{aligned}$ | 244,720 | 141 | 448 |
| 743 | G | 4-7-70 | 51.22 | 6-23-70 | 1,480 | - | 9- 2-70 | 1,620 | - | 1,550 | 30 | 40,600 | 28 | 98 |
| 648 | S | 4-9-70 | 183.57 | 7-8-70 | 590 | 185.30 | - | - | - | 590 | 100 | 147,420 | 79 | 727 |
| 855 | G | - | - | 6-24-70 | 950 | - | 8-27-70 | 950 | - | 950 | 50 | 95,690 | 37 | 212 |

Table 2 (Continued)
Summary of Irrigation Index-Well Data

| Well <br> identim fication number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Irriga- } \\ & \text { tion } \\ & \text { method } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Static water leve. |  | Discharge measurements |  |  |  |  |  |  | Horse power of pump | Total <br> kwhr <br> consuned <br> 1970 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kilo- } \\ \text { watt } \\ \text { demand } \end{gathered}$ | Kwhr consumed per acrefoot plamped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Date | Gallons <br> per <br> minute | Pumping level, feet below land surface | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gallons } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { minute } \end{gathered}$ | Pumping Level, feet below land surface | Average, gallons per minute |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Date | Feet below land surface |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 754 | S | 4-7-70 | 64.29 | 6-23-70 | 1,130 | 63.60 | - | - | - | 1,130 | 75 | 95,150 | 63 | 303 |
| 1322 | G | 4-12-70 | 79.44 | 6-1-70 | 1,740 | - | 8- 4-70 | 1,800 | 78.08 | 1,770 | 50 | 103,240 | 57 | 175 |
| 389 | $s$ | - | - | 7-14-70 | 1,860 | - | - | 1,80 | - | 1,860 | 400 | 511,680 | 372 | 1,090 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a200 |  |  |  |
| 1550 | S | 5-1-70 | 96.40 | 6-17-70 | 1,420 | - | 8-20-70 | 1,420 | 109.50 | 1,420 | 125 | 88,880 | 109 | 417 |
| 1577 | S | 5-1-70 | 90.54 | 6-22-70 | 554 | - | 8-20-70 | 554 | - | 554 | 50 | 58,830 | 37 | 363 |
| 1515 | G | - | 150.50 | - | - | 159.10 | - | - | - | - | 100 | 184,400 | - | c296 |
| 1120 | G | - | - | 6-11-70 | 1,960 | - | 8-18-70 | 1,970 | - | 1,960 | 200 | 372,720 | 172 | 477 |
| 996 | S | 4-9-70 | 163.84 | 6-25-70 | 797 | - | 9-3-70 | 976 | - | 886 | 100 | 169,760 | 93 | 570 |
| 352 | S | 9-8-70 | 204.80 | 7-17-70 | 939 | - | - | - | - | 939 | 150 | 123,360 | 122 | 706 |
| 259 | S | 4-23-70 | 235.40 | 7-24-70 | 1,600 | - | 9-9-70 | 1,760 | - | 1,680 | 200 | 411,200 | 176 | 569 |
| 111 | $s$ | 3-26-70 | 122.76 | 7-27-70 | 2,270 | 125.17 | - | - | - | 2,270 | 125 | 310,960 | 185 | 443 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a100 |  |  |  |
| 1423 | G | 11 | 234.70 | 8 | , | 236.70 | - | - | - | - 73 | 75 | 154,580 | - | c4i0 |
| 1248 | G | 4-11-70 | 243,65 | 6-8-70 | 2,300 | - | 8-19-70 | 2,360 | - | 2,330 | 200 | 270,440 | 185 | 431 |
| 47 | S | - |  | 7-17-70 | 1,780 | - | 9-10-70 | 1,640 | - | 1,710 | 300 | 395,520 | 283 | 899 |
| 1464 | G | - | 216.80 | - |  | 217.10 | - | 1, | - | 1, | 75 | 115,400 | - | c.383 |
| 867 | G | 4-8-70 | 59.62 | 6-24-70 | 1,370 | 60.50 | 9-3-70 | 1,320 | 59.50 | 1,340 | 40 | 51,160 | 34 | 138 |
| 1224 | S | 4-11-70 | 275.00 | 6-10-70 | 950 | 282.85 | - | 1,320 | - | 1,950 | 125 | 161,360 | 124 | 709 |
| 192 | s | 3-27-70 | 57.75 | 7-24-70 | 1,320 | 144.30 | - | - | - | 1,320 | 200 | 284,480 | 172 | 708 |
| 1176 | G | 4-11-70 | 220.56 | 6-29-70 | 997 | - | 8-24-70 | 1,050 | - | 1,020 | 100 | 69,440 | 91 | 485 |
| 293 | S | 3-24-70 | 22.65 | 7-28-70 | 269 | - | - |  | - | 269 | 25 | 26,016 | 30 | 606 |
| 1700 | G | 4-28-70 | 71.25 | 6-26-70 | 1,070 | 79.50 | 8-20-70 | 1,060 | 80.50 | 1,060 | 40 | 62,740 | 33 | 169 |
| 17 | S | - | - | 7-23-70 | 2,980 | - | - | - | - | 2,980 | 600 | 702,240 | 559 | 1,020 |
| 1769 | S | - | - | 6-17-70 | 789 | - | 8-25-70 | 886 | - | 838 | 125 | 168,440 | 98 | 635 |
| 1852 | G | 5-20-70 | 353.52 | 6-3-70 | 876 | 355.50 | 8-6-70 | 983 | 351.17 | 930 | 125 | 258,520 | 112 | 654 |
| 1488 | G | - | 243.30 | - | - | 248.70 | - | - | - | $\rightarrow$ | 150 | 294,500 | - | c415 |
| 655 | S | 4-9-70 | 373.24 | 7-13-70 | 1,440 | - | - | - | - | 1,440 | 300 | 492,320 | 333 | 1,260 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a60 |  |  |  |
| 477 | S | 3-29-70 | 294.40 | 7-23-70 | 1,160 | 316.70 | - | - | - | 1,160 | 200 | 264,400 | 234 | 1,100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a100 |  |  |  |
| 1075 | S |  | 412.48 354.65 | $7-14-70$ $7-6-70$ | 2,160 1,760 | 418.11 358.23 | 9-21-70 | 1830 | 360.73 | 2,160 | 400 | 360,680 | 339 | 852 |
| 1075 633 | S | $4-10-70$ $4-9-70$ | 354.65 85.43 | 7-6-70 | 1,760 947 | 358.23 84.75 | 9-21-70 $9-2-70$ | 1,830 822 | 360.73 85.00 | 1,800 884 | 250 100 | 261,680 120,840 | 257 96 | 775 590 |

Table 2 (Continued)
Summary of Irrigation Index-We11 Data

| Well <br> identification number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Irriga- } \\ & \text { tion } \\ & \text { method } \end{aligned}$ | Static water level. |  | Date | Discharge measurements |  |  |  |  |  | Horse <br> power <br> of <br> pump | $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KiIo- } \\ \text { watt } \\ \text { demand } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Kwhr consumed per acrefoot pumped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Gallons per minute | ```Pumping level, feet below 1and surface``` | Date | Gallons per minute | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pumping } \\ \text { level, } \\ \text { feet } \\ \text { be1ow } \\ \text { 1and } \\ \text { surface } \end{gathered}$ | Average, gallons per minute |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Date | Feet below Land surface |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | S | - | - | 7-16-70 | 2,000 |  | 9-10-70 | 1,800 | - | 1,900 | 350 | 491,200 | 320 | 915 |
| 394 | S | 4-2-70 | 214.79 | 7-13-70 | 731 | 218.57 | 9-16-70 | 701 | 210.97 | 716 | 75 | 123,200 | 77 | 584 |
| 833 | S | - | - | 7-2-70 | 1,880 | - | 9-22-70 | 2,040 | - | 1,960 | 200 | 150,000 | 174 | 482 |
| 1754 | S | 4-30-70 | 162.72 | 6-18-70 | 1,000 | - | - | - | - | 1,000 | 125 | 139.040 | 105 | 570 |
| 121 | S | 3-25-70 | 39.96 | 7-27-70 | 4,040 | 45.05 | - | - | - | 4,040 | 250 | 185,600 | 230 | 309 |
| ${ }_{1210}$ | $s$ | 4-11-70 | 210.02 | 6-30-70 | 925 | 212.20 | 8-18-70 | 920 | 214,75 | 922 | 125 | 162,160 | 109 | 642 |
| 1677 | G | - | - | 6-22-70 | 1,290 | - | 9-8-70 | 1,320 | - | 1,300 | 30 | 32,060 | 25 | 104 |
| 1876 | S,G | 5-18-70 | 302.44 | 6-4-70 | 1,370 | 305.25 : | 8-6-70 | 1,380 | 301.86 | 1,380 | 150 $\mathbf{a} 40$ | 339.520 | 169 | 665 |
| 1044 | S,G | 4-10-70 | 296.41 | 6. 9-70 | 2,700 | 304.70 : . | 8-25-70 | 2,860 | 309.70 | 2,780 | $\begin{aligned} & 250 \\ & 250 \\ & \text { a50 } \end{aligned}$ | 447,600 | 270 | 527 |
| 250 | G | - | - | 7-26-70 | 3,540 | - | 9-9-70 | 3,280 | - | 3,410 | 60 | 92,976 | 39 | 62 |
| 1260 | S | 4-11-70 | 236.14 | 6-11-70 | 750 | 239.50 | 8-18-70 | 731 | 242.30 | 740 | 100 | 123,920 | 93 | 683 |
| 854 | S | - | - | 7-15-70 | 1,700 | - | - | - | - | 1,700 | 150 | 218,560 | 134 | 428 |
| 1922 | G | - | - | 6-1-70 | 700 | - | 8- 4-70 | 750 | - | 730 | 25 | 24,310 | 24 | 179 |
| 181 | G | - | - | 7-22-70 | 2,760 | - | 9-15-70 | 3,120 | - | 2,940 | 150 | 303,324 | 141 | 260 |
| 1792 | S | - | - | 6-22-70 | 1,060 | - | 8-20-70 | 1,010 | - | 1,040 | 125 | 124,680 | 97 | 507 |
| 764 | G | 4-7-70 | 116.20 | 6-23-70 | 1,660 | 118.65 | - | - | - | 1.660 | 75 | 107,840 | 64 | 209 |
| 842 | S,G | 4-7-70 | 155.44 | 6-24-70 | 1,310 | $155.60 \%$ | - | - | - | 1,310 | 75 | 126.000 | 84 | 348 |
| 452 | S | - | - | 7-22-70 | 1,650 | - | - | - | - | 1,650 | a30 100 940 | $142,720$ <br> 61,848 | 85 | 401 |
| 1149 | G | - | - | 6-8-70 | 2,360 | 267.70 | 8-31-70 | 2,390 | 265.70 | 2,380 | 200 | 255,920 | 182 | 415 |
| 568 | S | 4-8-70 | 36.19 | 7- 7-70 | 1,080 | - | - | - | - | 1,080 | 60 | 60,930 | 54 | 272 |
| 1384. | G | - 70 | 293.70 | $7{ }^{-7}$ | - | 299.30 | - | - | - | - | 150 | 366,100. | - | c521 |
| 174 | G | 4- 7-70 | 35.13 | 7-22-70 | +,100 | 103.80 | - | - | - | 1,100 | 75 | 67,360 | 66 | 326 |
| 1015 | G | 4-8-70 | 96.57 | 6-24-70 | 1,620 | 103.65 | 8-27-70 | 1,610 | 106.43 | 1,620 | 60 | 81,680 | 55 | 184 |
| 97 | S | 4-7-70 | 30.10 | 7-26-70 | 1,150 | 34.68 | 9-16-70 | 987 | 34.35 | b1,110 | 60 | 118,060 | 71 | 347 |
| 1652 | G | 5-1-70 | 61.44 | 6-16-70 | 1,320 | 64.70\% | 9-8-70 | 1.300 | 64.20 | 1,310 | a20 40 | 46,880 | 29 | 120 |

Table 2 (Continued)
Summary of Irrigation Index-Well Data

| Well <br> identification number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Irriga- } \\ & \text { tion } \\ & \text { method } \end{aligned}$ | Static water leve1 |  | Discharge measurements |  |  |  |  |  |  | Horse power$\qquad$ pump | Total <br> kwhr <br> consumed <br> 1970 | Kilowatt demand | Kwhr consumed per acrefoot pumped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Date | Gallons рет minute | Pumping <br> level, <br> feet <br> below <br> land <br> surface | Date | Gallons per minute | Puaping level, feet below land surface | Average, gallons per minute |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Date | below <br> 1and surface |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | G | - | ~ | 8. 4.70 | 900 | - | - | - | - | 900 | 75 | 52,200 | 68 | 410 |
| 960 | S | 4-22-70 | 94.70 | 7-1-70 | 932 | 95.85 | - | - | - | 932 | 75 | 62,960 | 75 | 437 |
| 1177 | G | 4-16-70 | 193.28 | 6-11-70 | 3,210 | 197.30 | 9-21-70 | 3,020 | 197.70 | 3,120 | 200 | 348,240 | 170 | 296 |
| 378 | S | - | - | 7-26-70 | 2,940 | - | - | - | - | 2,940 | 500 | 959,040 | 568 | 1,050 |
| 669 | S | 4-25-70 | 63.20 | 7-13-70 | 1,410 | 68.40 | 9- 2-70 | 1,170 | 66.75 | 1,290 | 150 | 208,360 | 117 | 493 |
| 588 | S | 4-21-70 | 36.72 | 7-1-70 | 2,200 | 50.00 | - | - | - | 2,200 | 150 | 138,560 | 123 | 304 |
| 986 | S | 4-22-70 | 1.46 .65 | 6-25-70 | 914 | 150.00 | 9-1-70 | 730 | 148.65 | b877 | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ \text { a50 } \end{array}$ | 226,480 | 101 | 625 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1069 | S | 4-16-70 | 252.51 | 6-9-70 | 623 | 255.10 | 8-24-70 | 670 | 258.10 | 647 | 150 | 199,520 | 128 | 1,070 |
| 1614 | S | 5-1-70 | 161.62 | 6-17-70 | 1,070 | 164.00 | 8-20-70 | 924 | 164.20 | 997 | 100 | 118,940 | 80 | 436 |
| 1412 | G | - | 168.50 | - | - | 170.60 | - | - | . | - | 75 | 123,680 | - | c298 |
| 185 | S | - | - | 7-24-70 | 1,480 | - | - | - | - | 1,480 | 200 | 184,160 | 185 | 57 \% |
| 1732 | S | 4-28-70 | 226.48 | 7-15-70 | 1,580 | 229.60 | 8-25-70 | 1,130 | 229.35 | 1,360 | 200 | 262,160 | 185 | 739 |
| 1832 | G | 5-20-70 | 377.28 | 6-3-70 | 1,240 | - | 8-6-70 | 1,230 | - | 1,240 | 150 | 376,160 | 136 | 595 |
| 1163 | S | - | - | 7-6-70 | 1,150 | - | - | - | - | 1,150 | 150 | 155,680 | 140 | 661 |
| 1985 | S | - | - | 6-4-70 | 1,110 | 228.90 | 8-5-70 | 1,160 | 224. 25 | 1,140 | 200 | 500,560 | 156 | 743 |
| 742 | G | - | - | 6-23-70 | 1,420 | - | 9-24-70 | 1,450 | - | 1,440 | 30 | 50,380 | 22 | 83 |
| 1467 | G | " | 179.20 | - | -- | 179.70 | - | - | - |  | 100 | 224,200 | - | C354 |
| 1320 | G | 4-20-70 | 83.04 | 6-1-70 | 1,450 | 89.13 | 8-17-70 | 1,500 | 85.10 | 1,480 | 75 | 125,520 | 60 | 220 |
| 722 | G | - | - | 6-23-70 | 961 | 66.75 | 9-2-70 | 947 | 65.80 | 954 | 30 | 47,820 | 21 | 120 |
| 794 | S | 4-25-70 | 154.18 | 7-9-70 | 832 | - | - | - | - | 832 | 100 | 121,200 | 96 | 627 |
| 1632 | S | 5-1-70 | 134.40 | 6-18-70 | 806 | 135.45 | 8-26-70 | 1,130 | 135.60 | 968 | 100 | 141,520 | 101 | 567 |
| 927 | S | 4-22-70 | 128.78 | 6-25-70 | 1,080 | - | - | - | - | 1,080 | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ \mathbf{a 3 0} \end{array}$ | 82,280 | 85 | 427 |
| 1845 | 6 | - | - | 6-3-70 | 1,830 | 371.60 | 8-6-70 | 1,780 | 372.83 | 1,800 | 200 | 527,040 | 183 | 552 |
| 49 | S | 4-25-70 | 369.24 | 7-14-70 | 2,450 | 375.70 | - | - | - | 2,450 | 300 | 237,040 | 397 | 880 |
| 1626 | S,G | - | - | 6-16-70 | 2,850 | - | 8-25-70 | 2,620 | $\cdots$ | 2,740 | 100 300 | 750,960 | 291 | 577 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a50 | 150,060 |  |  |
| 920 | S | - | - | 7-9-70 | 1,160 | - | - | - | - | 1,160 | 125 | 107,480 | 93 | 435 |
| 1074 | S | 4-16-70 | 292.15 | 6-19-70 | 2,980 | - | 8-31-70 | 2,950 | - | 2,960 | 400 | 463,200 | 368 | 675 |

Table 2 (Continued)
Sumary of Irrigation Index-Well Data


Table 2 (Continued)
Summary of Irrigation Index-Well Data

${ }^{2}$ Horse power of booster punp.
b Weighted average discharge, weighted by portion of time booster pump operated.
c Annual pumpage and kilowatt-hours consuned reported by $u$. S. Bureau of Reclamation, Minidoka-North Side Pumping Division.
significantly affected by changes in ground-viter levels (see tath 2).
Total ixigation pumpage.--The total anount of irigation water pumped on the Plain in 1969 was calculated as follows:

Acre-feet pumped $=$ kilowathours used by all wells in 1969
$\bar{X}$
where
$\bar{X}=$ average number of kilowatthours used per acre-foot pumped as determined in
1970.

Because the total number of kllowatthours of electrical encrgy consumed in 1970 by irrigation wells was not available in time for inclusion in this report, it was necessary to use 1969 energy data with pump discharge measurements mace in 1970 to calculate total pumpage. As shown in table 1, the maximum error found using 1968 pump discharge data to calculate annual pumpage for the period $1960-68$ in the Minidoka North Side Pumping Division test area was about 6 percent, which is well within the 10 -percent accuracy desired. On this basis, therefore, it was reasoned that the discharge measurements made on the Plain in 1970 could be applied to the 1969 energy data and used to calculate total irrigation pumpage for 1969 without introducing any significant error.

The total number of kiowatthours used by irrigation wells on the Plain in 1969, as obtained from the Idaho Power Company, Utah Power and Light Company, and Lost River Electric Cooperative, Inc, is listed in tablo 3.

The average number of kllowatthous used per acre-foot of water pumped in $1970(\overline{\mathrm{X}})$ by all the index wells (see table 2) was computed as follows: using the discharge measured and the corresponding kilowatts per hour demand figure obtained from the electric meter at each well, the average kilowatthours consumed per acre foot of water pumped at each well was calculater using the formula

$$
X=\frac{5431 a}{d}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
X= & \text { energy used per volume of water pumped } \\
& \text { (kilowatt-hours per acre-foot) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$5431=$ constant converting rate of flow to volume
$a=$ demand of motor (kilowatts)
$d=$ discharge of pump (gallons per minute).

## Table 3

Kilowatt-Hours Used by Irrigation Wells on the Plain, 1969

| District | Kwhr |
| :---: | :---: |
| Utah Power and Light Company |  |
| Ashton | 1,529,256 |
| Rexburg | 50,411,952 |
| Rigby | 27,418,248 |
| Shelley | 69,425,321 |
| St. Anthony | 3,278,688 |
| Lost River Electric Cooperative, Inc. |  |
| Arco | 1,178,740 |
| Idaho Power Company |  |
| Aberdeen | 21,062,960 |
| American Falls | 21,272,620 |
| Blackfoot | 66,554,790 |
| Gooding | 5,424,280 |
| Hazelton | 35,068,280 |
| Jerome | 31,990,620 |
| Rupert | 95,651,550 |
| NSPD Minidoka Project | 86,834,362 |
| Shoshone | 1,670,980 |
| Wendell | 8,581,680 |
| Total | 527,354,327 |

The values of X from the 173 index wells were prepared for entry into a digital computer system so that a computer program could be used to compute the desired sample statistics and to test the values of X for goodness of fit to a normal distribution. The sample statistics computed from natural values of X are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\mathrm{X}}=527 \text { kilowatt-hours per acre-foot, } \\
& \mathrm{s}^{2}=72,466 \text { (kilowatt-hours per acre-foot) }{ }^{2}, \\
& \mathrm{~s}=269 \text { kilowatt-hours per acre-foot, }
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$\overline{\mathrm{X}}=$ mean of the sample values,
$s^{2}=$ the sample variance, a measure of the deviation from the mean,
$s=$ standard deviation (square root of the variance);
two-thirds of the values lie within plus or minus one standard deviation.

The program used also provided Chi-square tests of goodness of fit for both the natural values and the log-transformed values of the data. From these tests, it was found that the natural values came closer to a normal distribution than did the logarithms of the values and that a normal distribution should be assumed (see fig. 5).

Since the mean ( $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ ) is used to estimate total pumpage, a measure of its accuracy is needed. To derive this, it is first necessary to compute the standard error of the mean (s.e. $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ ) as follows:
s.e. $\bar{X}=\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}=20.5$ kwhr per acre-foot
where
$\mathrm{s}=$ standard deviation of the sample (269 kwhr per acre-foot)
$n=$ sample size ( 173 wells).
Using the standard error of the mean to compute a 95 percent confidence interval (C. I.) gives
C. I. $95 \%=\overline{\mathrm{X}} \pm \mathrm{b}$ (s.e. $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ ), where $\mathrm{b}=1.96$ (see p. 8 )
$=\overline{\mathrm{X}} \pm 40.2 \mathrm{kwhr}$ per acre-foot $( \pm 8$ percent $)$.
This figure is within the 10 percent accuracy at 95 percent probability sought in determining the sample size to be taken.


Using the formula described on page ir, imigation withdrawals from the Snake Plain aquifer in 1969 were estimated to be about 1,000,000 acre-feet.

## Other Pumpage

## Municipal

Wells open to the Snake Plain aquifer are the chief source of municipal water for communities located on the Snake River Plain. The municipal pumpage data presented in this report represent the total quantity of water pumped for all uses by each municipal supply system. Quantities of water used by industries or others having their own source of supply are not included in these data. The data were collected either by mailing questionnaires to, or visiting each municipality. In either case, each municipality was requested to furnish the following data: quantity of water pumped by their system in 1969; source of water; population served; and, quantity of water furnished to industry.

Table 4 is a compilation of the data obtained for municipal supplies. As indicated, a total of about 33,700 acre-feet of ground water was pumped by municipalities in 1969. The daily per capita use figures shown were obtained by dividing the average daily quantity of water supplied by the total population served. As shown, per capita use ranges from a high of 882 gpd (gallons per day) per person in Ririe ( 14 miles south of Rexburg) to a low of 40 gpd per person in Newdale ( 10 miles northeast of Rexburg) and averages 280 gpd per person.

Rural-Domestic and Livestock

The amount of water in 1969 used by individuals with private water systems was computed using a daily per capita use figure of 100 gpd per person (Murray, 1965, p. 3). To determine the population supplied by private systems, the population served by public water-supply systems in each county was subtracted from the total county population. The population used in counties only partially included in the study area was determined on the basis of an assumed uniform distribution of the rural population throughout the county and the percent of the county within the study area.

The livestock population of each county in 1969 was compiled using data supplied by the annual Idaho State Tax Commission report (State Tax Commission, 1969). The number of dairy cows, beef cattle, horses and mules, sheep, goats, hogs, and fowl were totaled by county. The daily per capita water requirements of these livestock were taken from Murray ( 1965, p. 9 ). The quantity of ground water pumped for livestock was computed using the per capita requirements and the assumption that 50 percent of the supply was derived from ground water. In counties partially in the study area, a percentage of the total livestock

Table 4
Municipal Ground-Water Pumpage, 1969.

| City | County | Population Served | Number of Wells | Daily per Capita use (Gallons) | $\underset{\text { (acre-feet) }}{\text { Industrial Usage }}$ | Quantity Pumped (acre-feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aberdeen | Bingham | 1,494 | 2 | 48 | 32 | 80 |
| Ammon | Bonneville | 2,553 | 5 | a 280 | None | a 800 |
| Arco | Butte | 1,173 | 3 | $\mathrm{a}_{280}$ | None | a368 |
| Ashton | Fremont | 1,123 | 2 | a280 | 40 | a352 |
| Atornic City | Bingham | 20 | 2 | 685 | None | 15 |
| Basalt | Bingham | 343 | 1 | $\mathrm{a}_{280}$ | None | ${ }^{\text {a }} 108$ |
| Blackfoot | Bingham | 8,558 | 6 | 242 | 580 | 2,319 |
| Butte City | Butte | 44 | 1 | a 280 | None | 14 |
| Dubois | Clark | 431 | 2 | 231 | None | 112 |
| Eden | Jerome | 339 | 1 | 42 | 2 | 16 |
| Firth | Bingham | 364 | 2 | $\mathrm{a}_{280}$ | 11 | ${ }^{\text {a }} 114$ |
| Gooding | Gooding | 2,524 | 2 | 176 | 10 | 497 |
| Hazelton | Jerome | 399 | 2 | ${ }^{2} 280$ | None | $\mathrm{a}_{125}$ |
| Idaho Falls | Bonneville | 35,318 | 11 | 495 | 3,327 | 19,570 |
| Iona | Bonneville | 899 | 2 | a 280 | None | ${ }^{2} 282$ |
| Jerome | Jerome | 4,158 | 2 | 247 | 115 | 1,149 |
| Minidoka | Minidoka | 124 | 2 | $\mathrm{a}_{280}$ | None | a39 |
| Newdale | Fremont | 264 | 2 | 40 | None | 12 |
| Paul | Minidoka | 845 | 1 | 276 | None | 261 |
| Rexburg | Madison | 8,265 | 3 | 180 | 166 | 1,663 |
| Richfield | Lincoln | 299 | 2 | 173 | 26 | 58 |
| Rigby | Jefferson | 2,311 | 2 | 811 | 105 | 2,098 |
| Ririe | Bonneville | 563 | 2 | 882 | 6 | 556 |
| Roberts | Jefferson | 388 | 1 | ${ }^{\text {a } 280}$ | None | ${ }^{1} 122$ |
| Rupert | Minidoka | 4,528 | 3 | 174 | 62 | 883 |
| St. Anthony | Fremont | 2,811 | 4 | 98 | 74 | 307 |
| Shelley | Bingham | 2,614 | 3 | 230 | 34 | 675 |
| Shoshone | Lincoln | 1,206 | 2 | 347 | None | 468 |
| Sugar City | Madison | 612 | 2 | a 280 | 60 | ${ }^{1} 192$ |
| Teton | Fremont | 387 | 1 | 2280 | None | $\mathrm{a}_{121}$ |
| Ucon | Bonneville | 658 | 1 | 112 | 16 | 83 |
| Wendell | Gooding | 1,105 | 3 | 192 | None | 238 |
| Total |  | 86,720 | 80 | $\mathrm{b}_{280}$ | 4,666 | 33,697 |

population was used.
The estimated total ground water pumped for ruraldomestic and livestock needs in 1969 was 7,300 acre-feet (rounded); it is shown by county in table 5 .

## Industrial

The industrial pumpage data presented in this report were obtained only from users who are self-supplied and who rely on ground water as their chief source of supply. Because of the large number of companies and individuals involved, a canvass of users by mailed questionnaries was the only practical means of data collection within the time alloted for this effort. Industrial users were requested to furnish the following information: the quantity of water used by their company in 1969 and the source of their water supply (municipally supplied, private well(s), spring(s), or surface water).

Questionnarie response was about 60 percent with about 50 percent of these supplying usable data. To estimate the quantity of water used by nonrespondents, similar industries were assumed to use corresponding quantities of water. The source of the water used by nonrespondents was selected on the basis of their locations and of the source of water used by nearby industries.

As shown in table 6 , about 38,000 acre-feet of water was pumped by industry in 1969 . It should be noted that for the counties lying partially in the study area only the industrial pumpage within the study area is given.

## Total Pumpage

Total ground-water pumpage from the Snake Plain aquifer in 1969 is estimated to be $1,079,000$ acre-feet. Ground water for irrigation needs is estimated to be $1,000,000$ acre-feet, or 93 percent of the total withdrawals. Municipal, rural-domestic, and industrial ground-water pumpage is estimated to be 79,000 acre-feet or 7 percent of the total.

Ground-water withdrawals for irrigation needs greatly exceed nonirrigation pumpage to the extent that the potential error, plus or minus 10 percent, in estimating irrigation pumpage is greater ( 100,000 acre-feet) than the total nonimigation pumpage ( 79,000 acre-feet). It is, therefore, impractical to evaluate the accuracy of the the composite pumpage total with the present data.

Although no means were found to assess the accuracy of the nonirrigation pumpage estimate, the values attained agreed favorably with those found during some previous investigations. Although no attempt is made to compare or evaluate previous estimates of

Table
5

## Rural-Domestic and Livestock Ground-Water Pumpage, 1969



## Table 6

## Industrial Ground-Water Pumpage (self-supplied), 1969

| County | Pumpage (acre-feet) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bannock ${ }^{1}$ | - |
| Bingham 1 | 7,090 |
| Blaine ${ }^{1}$ | - |
| Bonneville ${ }^{1}$ | 4,090 |
| Butte ${ }^{1}$ | 8,350 |
| Clark ${ }^{1}$ | 45 |
| Fremont ${ }^{1}$ | 445 |
| Gooding 1 | 140 |
| Jefferson ${ }^{1}$ | 1,125 |
| Jerome | 560 |
| Lincoln ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | 90 |
| Madison ${ }^{1}$ | 2,670 |
| Minidoka | 10,130 |
| Power ${ }^{1}$ | 3,200 |
| Total | 37,935 |

irrigation withdrawals with the present withdrawal figure, it should be noted that these previous estimates of total pumpage show 920,000 acre-feet in 1959 (Mundorff and others, 1964, p. 23) and $2,100,000$ acre-feet in 1965 (Norvitch and others, 1969, p. 9). These estimates were based on the best data and methods available at the time they were made.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Using the method developed in this report, it is now possible, on either a monitoring or a one-time basis, to provide a value for the quantity of ground water withdrawn for irrigation use from the Snake Plain aquifer whose accuracy can be quantitatively evaluated. The same method is probably applicable to smaller areas of the aquifer, to tributary basins of the Snake River Plain, and to other parts of Idaho. However, improvement of this method for estimating irrigation pumpage will depend largely on the additional cooperation of the basic-data suppliers and on the refinement of existing well-discharge measuring procedures. For example, the valuable data on irrigation pumpage could be more simply and more reliably compiled if the irrigation-record systems of utility companies included identification of the type of pumping installation served. At the present time, their records do not distinguish between well, relift, or booster installations. Identification of these accounts as to use would greatly reduce possible errors in determining the number of wells in a study area and the total power consumption to be assigned to those wells.

In making future studies of this type, it is desirable that totalizing watermeters be used as a means of measuring the discharge of closed irrigation systems (sprinkler). Although the results attained by measuring the sprinkler head pressure and onifice size were very satisfactory, the time and manpower involved in making discharge measurements were considerable.
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explanation
U. s. Bureau of Reclamation Minidoka North
$\square$
Lands irrigated by surface water
Lands irrigated by, or supplemented by,
ground water pumped from wells

Boundary of snake plain
Boundary of Snake Plain aquifer

Irrigation method
Sprinkler
Gravity
Combination
(sprinkler and gravity
olseo

Jrigation well and identification number
Lands irrigated by, or supplemented by
ground water pumped from wells

Boundary of Snake Plain aquifer

> Irrigated land boundaries taken from U.s. Bureau of Reclamation and U.s. Soil conservation Service preiliminary field compliation data, 1966. Land classifications were not field checked for this report.

