1		·
7		
	1	STATE OF IDAHO
	2	DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
. ]	3	
	4	:
	5	RE: WATER ALLOCATION RULES AND : REGULATIONS HEARINGS. :
]	6	; ;
	7	x
]	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS REQUESTED BY
	12	ATTORNEY GENERAL LAWRENCE WASDEN OF AUDIOTAPES HELD AND
7	13	MAINTAINED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
	14	MAINIAINED BI THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
]		January 14, 1986, 2:20 p.m.
	15	
Volendermenterment	16	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17	BONNEVILLE COUNTY COURTHOUSE
-	18	IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO
	19	Con May
اا	20	
	21	
	22	P.O. Box 1625
_]	23	Transcribed by  F.O. Box 1625  605 West Fort Street  Boise, ID 83701
	24	Frances J. Morris Voice 208 345 3704
1	25	CSR No. 696  Fax 208 345 3/13 and ASSOCIATES, LLC Toll free 800 424 2354 Web www.etucker.net E-mail info@manager.net When excellence is an obligation

# APPEARANCES

BLAIR GROVER LEO AVERY DON CHINTEN ELDRED LEE

Page 1	Page 3
1 STATE OF IDAHO	1 IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO
2 DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES	2 January 14, 1986, 2:20 p.m.
3	3
4 :	THE HEARING OFFICER: (Tape begins in mid
RE: WATER ALLOCATION RULES AND :	· · · · · ·
5 REGULATIONS HEARINGS. :	5 sentence) is to provide an opportunity for formal
:	6 testimony or statements, either oral or written
6 :	7 form, relative to the department's proposed rules
7	8 and regulations for allocation of water within the
8	9 state of Idaho. These are the first rules and
9	10 regulations to be adopted in the history of this
10	11 state regarding the procedures for the
11 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS REQUESTED BY	12 appropriation of water in the state.
12 ATTORNEY GENERAL LAWRENCE WASDEN OF AUDIOTAPES HELD AND 13 MAINTAINED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES	13 This hearing is required by the
14	14 provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act,
January 14, 1986, 2:20 p.m.	<del>-</del>
15	
16	16 conducted pursuant to the department's rules and
17 BONNEVILLE COUNTY COURTHOUSE	17 regulations for practice and procedure.
18 IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO	Cross examination or questioning of
19	19 witnesses will not be permitted. All those who
20	20 wish to testify will be allowed to do so before
21 22	21 anyone will have an opportunity to be heard a
23	22 second time. The rules will implement provisions
Transcribed by	23 of Section 42-203, Idaho Code, which were amended
24 Frances J. Morris	24 during the last session of the Idaho legislature.
CSR No. 696	25 Authority for adoption of the rules is provided by
25	
Page 2	Page 4
-	
1 APPEARANCES	1 Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code.
1 APPEARANCES 2	
1 APPEARANCES	<ol> <li>Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code.</li> <li>Briefly what the department has done</li> </ol>
1 APPEARANCES 2 3 BLAIR GROVER LEO AVERY	<ol> <li>Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code.</li> <li>Briefly what the department has done</li> <li>regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we</li> </ol>
1 APPEARANCES 2 3 BLAIR GROVER	<ol> <li>Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code.</li> <li>Briefly what the department has done</li> <li>regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we</li> <li>sought input from water users on suggestions as to</li> </ol>
1 APPEARANCES 2 3 BLAIR GROVER LEO AVERY	<ol> <li>Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code.</li> <li>Briefly what the department has done</li> <li>regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we</li> <li>sought input from water users on suggestions as to</li> <li>what should be contained in the rules and</li> </ol>
1 APPEARANCES 2 3 BLAIR GROVER LEO AVERY 4 DON CHINTEN	<ol> <li>Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code.</li> <li>Briefly what the department has done</li> <li>regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we</li> <li>sought input from water users on suggestions as to</li> <li>what should be contained in the rules and</li> <li>regulations by holding several meetings throughout</li> </ol>
1 APPEARANCES 2 3 BLAIR GROVER LEO AVERY 4 DON CHINTEN ELDRED LEE	Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code. Briefly what the department has done regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we sought input from water users on suggestions as to what should be contained in the rules and regulations by holding several meetings throughout the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted
1 APPEARANCES 2 3 BLAIR GROVER LEO AVERY 4 DON CHINTEN ELDRED LEE 5	Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code. Briefly what the department has done regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we sought input from water users on suggestions as to what should be contained in the rules and regulations by holding several meetings throughout the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted some public information meetings with draft copies
1 APPEARANCES 2 3 BLAIR GROVER LEO AVERY 4 DON CHINTEN ELDRED LEE 5 6 ******	Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code. Briefly what the department has done regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we sought input from water users on suggestions as to what should be contained in the rules and regulations by holding several meetings throughout the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted some public information meetings with draft copies of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments
1 APPEARANCES 2 3 BLAIR GROVER LEO AVERY 4 DON CHINTEN ELDRED LEE 5 6 ******	Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code. Briefly what the department has done regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we sought input from water users on suggestions as to what should be contained in the rules and regulations by holding several meetings throughout the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted some public information meetings with draft copies of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments to what we had at that time proposed. We received
1 APPEARANCES 2 3 BLAIR GROVER LEO AVERY 4 DON CHINTEN ELDRED LEE 5 6 ******	Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code. Briefly what the department has done regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we sought input from water users on suggestions as to what should be contained in the rules and regulations by holding several meetings throughout the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted some public information meetings with draft copies of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments to what we had at that time proposed. We received several comments, and the rules that are before
1 APPEARANCES 2 3 BLAIR GROVER LEO AVERY 4 DON CHINTEN ELDRED LEE 5 6 ****** 7 8 9	Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code. Briefly what the department has done regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we sought input from water users on suggestions as to what should be contained in the rules and regulations by holding several meetings throughout the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted some public information meetings with draft copies of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments to what we had at that time proposed. We received
1 APPEARANCES 2 3 BLAIR GROVER LEO AVERY 4 DON CHINTEN ELDRED LEE 5 6 ****** 7 8 9 10	Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code. Briefly what the department has done regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we sought input from water users on suggestions as to what should be contained in the rules and regulations by holding several meetings throughout the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted some public information meetings with draft copies of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments to what we had at that time proposed. We received several comments, and the rules that are before
1 APPEARANCES 2 3 BLAIR GROVER LEO AVERY 4 DON CHINTEN ELDRED LEE 5 6 ****** 7 8 9 10 11	Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code.  Briefly what the department has done regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we sought input from water users on suggestions as to what should be contained in the rules and regulations by holding several meetings throughout the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted some public information meetings with draft copies of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments to what we had at that time proposed. We received several comments, and the rules that are before the hearing today reflect some 32 significant
1 APPEARANCES 2 3 BLAIR GROVER LEO AVERY 4 DON CHINTEN ELDRED LEE 5 6 ****** 7 8 9 10 11 12	Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code.  Briefly what the department has done regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we sought input from water users on suggestions as to what should be contained in the rules and regulations by holding several meetings throughout the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted some public information meetings with draft copies of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments to what we had at that time proposed. We received several comments, and the rules that are before the hearing today reflect some 32 significant changes that were made in that initial draft. This hearing has been advertised on
1	Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code.  Briefly what the department has done regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we sought input from water users on suggestions as to what should be contained in the rules and regulations by holding several meetings throughout the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted some public information meetings with draft copies of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments to what we had at that time proposed. We received several comments, and the rules that are before the hearing today reflect some 32 significant changes that were made in that initial draft.  This hearing has been advertised on December 5th, 12th, and 19th in the Post Register,
1	1 Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code. 2 Briefly what the department has done 3 regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we 4 sought input from water users on suggestions as to 5 what should be contained in the rules and 6 regulations by holding several meetings throughout 7 the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted 8 some public information meetings with draft copies 9 of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments 10 to what we had at that time proposed. We received 11 several comments, and the rules that are before 12 the hearing today reflect some 32 significant 13 changes that were made in that initial draft. 14 This hearing has been advertised on 15 December 5th, 12th, and 19th in the Post Register, 16 the Idaho Statesman, the Times News, and the
1	1 Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code. 2 Briefly what the department has done 3 regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we 4 sought input from water users on suggestions as to 5 what should be contained in the rules and 6 regulations by holding several meetings throughout 7 the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted 8 some public information meetings with draft copies 9 of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments 10 to what we had at that time proposed. We received 11 several comments, and the rules that are before 12 the hearing today reflect some 32 significant 13 changes that were made in that initial draft. 14 This hearing has been advertised on 15 December 5th, 12th, and 19th in the Post Register, 16 the Idaho Statesman, the Times News, and the 17 Coeur d'Alene Press. Notice was provided to all
1	1 Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code. 2 Briefly what the department has done 3 regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we 4 sought input from water users on suggestions as to 5 what should be contained in the rules and 6 regulations by holding several meetings throughout 7 the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted 8 some public information meetings with draft copies 9 of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments 10 to what we had at that time proposed. We received 11 several comments, and the rules that are before 12 the hearing today reflect some 32 significant 13 changes that were made in that initial draft. 14 This hearing has been advertised on 15 December 5th, 12th, and 19th in the Post Register, 16 the Idaho Statesman, the Times News, and the 17 Coeur d'Alene Press. Notice was provided to all 18 those people who requested notice of rule
1	Briefly what the department has done regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we sought input from water users on suggestions as to what should be contained in the rules and regulations by holding several meetings throughout the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted some public information meetings with draft copies of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments to what we had at that time proposed. We received several comments, and the rules that are before the hearing today reflect some 32 significant changes that were made in that initial draft.  This hearing has been advertised on December 5th, 12th, and 19th in the Post Register, the Idaho Statesman, the Times News, and the Coeur d'Alene Press. Notice was provided to all those people who requested notice of rule adopting, and I've mailed over 6,000 copies of the
1	1 Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code. 2 Briefly what the department has done 3 regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we 4 sought input from water users on suggestions as to 5 what should be contained in the rules and 6 regulations by holding several meetings throughout 7 the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted 8 some public information meetings with draft copies 9 of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments 10 to what we had at that time proposed. We received 11 several comments, and the rules that are before 12 the hearing today reflect some 32 significant 13 changes that were made in that initial draft. 14 This hearing has been advertised on 15 December 5th, 12th, and 19th in the Post Register, 16 the Idaho Statesman, the Times News, and the 17 Coeur d'Alene Press. Notice was provided to all 18 those people who requested notice of rule 19 adopting, and I've mailed over 6,000 copies of the 10 rules to various people who are on our mailing
1	Briefly what the department has done regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we sought input from water users on suggestions as to what should be contained in the rules and regulations by holding several meetings throughout the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted some public information meetings with draft copies of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments to what we had at that time proposed. We received several comments, and the rules that are before the hearing today reflect some 32 significant changes that were made in that initial draft.  This hearing has been advertised on December 5th, 12th, and 19th in the Post Register, the Idaho Statesman, the Times News, and the Coeur d'Alene Press. Notice was provided to all those people who requested notice of rule adopting, and I've mailed over 6,000 copies of the rules to various people who are on our mailing list.
1	1 Section 42-1805(8), Idaho Code. 2 Briefly what the department has done 3 regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we 4 sought input from water users on suggestions as to 5 what should be contained in the rules and 6 regulations by holding several meetings throughout 7 the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted 8 some public information meetings with draft copies 9 of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments 10 to what we had at that time proposed. We received 11 several comments, and the rules that are before 12 the hearing today reflect some 32 significant 13 changes that were made in that initial draft. 14 This hearing has been advertised on 15 December 5th, 12th, and 19th in the Post Register, 16 the Idaho Statesman, the Times News, and the 17 Coeur d'Alene Press. Notice was provided to all 18 those people who requested notice of rule 19 adopting, and I've mailed over 6,000 copies of the 10 rules to various people who are on our mailing
1 APPEARANCES 2 3 BLAIR GROVER	Briefly what the department has done regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we sought input from water users on suggestions as to what should be contained in the rules and regulations by holding several meetings throughout the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted some public information meetings with draft copies of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments to what we had at that time proposed. We received several comments, and the rules that are before the hearing today reflect some 32 significant changes that were made in that initial draft.  This hearing has been advertised on December 5th, 12th, and 19th in the Post Register, the Idaho Statesman, the Times News, and the Coeur d'Alene Press. Notice was provided to all those people who requested notice of rule adopting, and I've mailed over 6,000 copies of the rules to various people who are on our mailing list.
1	Briefly what the department has done regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we sought input from water users on suggestions as to what should be contained in the rules and regulations by holding several meetings throughout the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted some public information meetings with draft copies of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments to what we had at that time proposed. We received several comments, and the rules that are before the hearing today reflect some 32 significant changes that were made in that initial draft.  This hearing has been advertised on December 5th, 12th, and 19th in the Post Register, the Idaho Statesman, the Times News, and the Coeur d'Alene Press. Notice was provided to all those people who requested notice of rule adopting, and I've mailed over 6,000 copies of the rules to various people who are on our mailing list.  After this hearing and the other hearings throughout the state, the record will
1 APPEARANCES 2 3 BLAIR GROVER	Briefly what the department has done regarding these rules is that, in May of 1985, we sought input from water users on suggestions as to what should be contained in the rules and regulations by holding several meetings throughout the state. Then in October of 1985, we conducted some public information meetings with draft copies of rules and regulations, again, seeking comments to what we had at that time proposed. We received several comments, and the rules that are before the hearing today reflect some 32 significant changes that were made in that initial draft.  This hearing has been advertised on December 5th, 12th, and 19th in the Post Register, the Idaho Statesman, the Times News, and the Coeur d'Alene Press. Notice was provided to all those people who requested notice of rule adopting, and I've mailed over 6,000 copies of the rules to various people who are on our mailing list.  After this hearing and the other hearings throughout the state, the record will

Page 5

The rules and regulations are available here today and also available in any of our offices or in the format you see here, newspaper-type format, and will be a part of the record for today's hearing.

A general procedure for adopting is to hold this hearing under the Administrative Procedures Act which is required by law. Then, based on the testimony I receive here and at other meetings, we will revise the rules as appropriate and then adopt final rules. I expect to have that completed by February 10th of this year. Then I'll file the rules in the central office of the department and also submit copies to the law library who will then forward them to the legislature for the legislative review and hopefully approval during this session of the legislature.

It's important, I think, to get these adopted during this session of the legislature so we are not faced with another year of not having rules and regulations, especially given the settlement to the Swan Falls agreement and the public interest criteria that all water rights have to now come under. I think it's important that the rules and regulations be in place.

Page 7

Upper Valley between Blackfoot and Rexburg.

I suspect that most of what I say you have heard before, but I think it probably should be a matter of record here. Obviously, there has been a lot of discussion about whether or not the rules should apply; particularly, the public interest criteria should apply above Milner. And The Protective Union, I think, wants to be on record of opposing application of these rules above Milner.

I am aware that the department's view of the legislation is that it cannot fill that kind of a request believing that the legislation as passed requires the rules to apply to the entire stretch of the river. I guess my position would be on behalf of The Protective Union, it doesn't say that, doesn't say that it has to apply above Milner. On the other hand, it doesn't say that it does not apply above Milner.

It's my understanding that several of Eastern Idaho legislators believed, when the legislation was passed, that they were not passing it for application above Milner. And perhaps legislative effort should be made to clarify the legislation so that it does not apply above

Page 6

Today is January 14, 1986. The time is 2:20. This hearing is being conducted in the courthouse in the city of Idaho Falls.

There is a roster that has been sent around, and we have asked you to sign that for two purposes: One, if there are significant changes that we make in these rules when they are reprinted, if your name is on the list you will be certain of receiving a copy of those; and, two, if you wish to make formal testimony today, I need to have some indication on the register.

Reviewing the sign-up sheet, I don't see anybody who specifically said they wanted to testify. Some of you may want to and just did not put a check, or I can't find it. So absent that, is there anybody here that does want to provide some formal testimony today?

Mr. Grover, do you want to come forward and be the initial person? And if you would state your name when you begin your testimony that will help my secretary sort out the comments.

MR. GROVER: My name is Blair Grover. I am an attorney from Rigby, Idaho, and I am appearing today on behalf of the Protective Union which is an organization of canal companies located in the Page 8

Milner. But we want to be on record, I think, of opposing the application of, particularly the public interest criteria and the trust doctrine water, above Milner.

One of the reasons for that, in talking with people who have knowledge of water rights, applying that doctrine above Milner really creates some problems that I don't think were anticipated nor intended by the legislation. Even with flood waters, we get into difficult problems if we apply trust doctrine above Milner, because technically, the way water has been delivered and flood waters have been treated, we are going to be treating -procedure that's been followed will be dealing technically with what, I guess, are trust waters and will not be able to continue even with the use of flood waters the way we have in the past. And I don't think that the legislation was intended to upset the procedures that have been followed in the practice that's been followed here.

A second thing that bothers me with regard to the rules is that Rule 5,3 where we talk about the department considering many, many factors and then determining, I think the language is, "the greater public interest" in grant or

Page 9

- 1 denial of an application. And I am aware that the
- 2 department's view is that that's required by the
- 3 language in the Trout-Co case or the holding in
- 4 the Trout-Co case. I guess I disagree that the
- 5 court intended that kind of a result from their
- 6
- holding in the Trout-Co case. We have, as 7 everyone knows, well established in this state a
- 8 doctrine of first in time, first in right
- 9 established not only by legislation, I think, and
- 10 case law, but also by constitutional provision.
- 11 And I don't think that the supreme court, even if
- 12 they had intended to interfere with that doctrine
- 13 could lawfully do so. I don't think that they
- 14 interfere with the first in time, first in right
- 15 doctrine. And if we adopt a greater public
- 16 interest criteria, the kind of thing where the
- department's going to weigh three or four 17
- 18 applications instead of taking the one that was
- 19 first filed and consider it and say if that meets
- 20 all the criteria the water right is granted,
- 21 rather than doing that, if it considers three or
- 22 four applications on file and maybe deciding the
- 23 third one is in the greater public interest and
- 24 therefore that's the one that receives the water
- 25 right, I think that's a significant change from

care of in the canal system. Now, then, if it was

Page 11

Page 12

- changed to the point where flood waters was --
- 3 instead of using flood waters over any decreed
- 4 water we have, if there is any change in that,
- 5 then we would be using stored water at the time
- 6 when the Bureau of Reclamation or the Water
- 7 Resource Board was advertising on the radio there
- 8 is flooding down around Shelley, Blackfoot, and so 9
  - forth, use all the water you can.

10 So this is why we would like to have a 11 clarification on that because flood waters is

12 very, very important to the canal systems, not

13 only the ones that I am talking and mention I was 14 managing, but all canal companies, due to the fact

15 we need these flood waters to help string along

16 and make it so that they are decreed water and

17 stored water will last the full season. In other

18 words, the longer that the flood waters can last

19 for us, the more chances we have got of going

20 through our growing seasons in the Upper Snake 21 River Valley without running out of stored water

22 or decreed water.

23 And so I'd like to make my formal 24 protest or clarification of what flood waters is 25

in relation to the trust waters. And if it was

Page 10

the practice and procedure that we have had in the

3 the decision in the Trout-Co case.

1

2

4

5

16

THE HEARING OFFICER: If I might ask,

past and do not believe that that is required by

Mr. Grover, on the trust water above Milner, are

6 you talking only of surface water?

7 MR. GROVER: Yes. Well, I guess I am 8 talking about all the water, but primarily I think

9 we are talking about surface water.

10 THE HEARING OFFICER: I was wondering how 11 you would handle the groundwater where it comes in below Milner, and it does create a problem.

12 13 MR. GROVER: We'd like to see it apply 14 across the board, but we would certainly be

15 happier with just surface water.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much.

17 Anybody else? Yes.

18 MR. AVERY: I am Leo Avery, water manager

19 for Rudy Canal, Rigby Canal, Harrison Canal, Clark

- 20 Edwards Canal. I will talk on behalf of theirs.
- 21 I'm wishing for more of a clarification of whether
- 22 flood waters is what -- or relationship flood
- 23 waters has with trust waters. Now, in the past,
- 24 when we have been having flood waters, we use
- 25 those flood waters, we could use all we could take

going to change anything that we have been doing 2

in the past 30 or 40 years, it would be 3 detrimental to our canal systems.

4 THE HEARING OFFICER: If I might summarize,

5 Mr. Avery, what you would like to see is the

6 unrestricted use of water while the spills are 7 going past Milner --

MR. AVERY: Yes.

8

9 THE HEARING OFFICER: -- which would mean 10 water that would be in excess of --

11 MR. AVERY: The same as we have had in the 12 past. Now, then, I kind of got the idea that, if

13 this particular thing went through, then even

14 though there was water going and spilling over

15 Milner, if we were using over our decreed water, 16

we would be charged with stored water for that. 17 And that would be what would be really damaging in

18 killing canal systems.

19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 20 Someone else wish to testify? Don

Chinten?

22 MR. CHINTEN: I really just had a comment to 23 make, Ken. My name, however, is Don Chinten. I

24 am a water master for Water District No. 31 and

25 32C.

21

### Page 13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I am somewhat embarrassed today because I got down here without the piece of paper I had my marks on and without my glasses. So I can't get my glasses out to see what number I want to refer to or what page.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

THE HEARING OFFICER: I have a pair you can borrow.

MR. CHINTEN: However, I think I can get my point across here, or hopefully I can.

I noticed in there that somewhere in there that you give a frost date. And along with a frost date, you restrict the irrigation time on the use of water. And this concerns me because, with my experience in the field of distributing water in the areas in which I distribute it, this is absolutely just backwards.

Now, it looks to me like that you have got Medicine Lodge and the Beaver Creek -- I can't tell exactly on your map because it don't show counties -- but you restrict them to usage starting May 1 instead of April 1. And it looks like the justification is frost date. But the people that use the water first on all these tributaries are the higher elevation peoples for a number of reasons. Usually it's because of the

Page 15

Page 16

MR. CHINTEN: But I distribute it, yeah, on May 1. Very definitely. The daily record books will bear that out --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure.

MR. CHINTEN: -- year after year. So that's a concern of mine.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Our intent is not to say that nobody can use the water. We were putting that in for distribution purposes. I think it's potentially saying that that's when the system comes online in most years in terms of the water master.

MR. CHINTEN: Well, that's not true in this area. I think, also, in relationship, another thing that concerned me a little bit -- I wish I could just refer to it; I apologize for that -but it says something in there that it could be changed at the director's discretion or like wordage, does it not?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, yes.

MR. CHINTEN: Okay. But that don't really say too much to me. I mean, you may want to be conservative and Norm might want to be liberal, you know, if one or the other, if he was the director.

gravel, the condition of the soil or something, and they are never caught up. They are always behind. And a lot of these people use this water even April 15th in this area where you're trying to limit this to May 1. And that's a concern to

THE HEARING OFFICER: In the use of that water, do you distribute that water on a priority basis that early, or do they just take it and use

MR. CHINTEN: Both. They take it and use it, but I distribute it always on May 1, unless it's a year that's like two years ago. But the daily record books are kept May 1, and there is a high consumptive use on these streams of Beaver and Medicine Lodge, Camas, even before the Mud Lake area which is at a later frost date. And they also use it later into the year.

THE HEARING OFFICER: But you don't distribute it on a priority basis after -- before May 1 or after November?

22 MR. CHINTEN: Not normally. I have been 23 called on an April on a short year.

> THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure, when there isn' 24 sufficient water need --25

THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, that's right. And that's one of the reasons for adopting these is, up until now, it's been the total discretion of the director to set those. But, yeah, we will look at that and see if we can get --

MR. CHINTEN: I'd like to ask that it be moved up to May 1 as practice has been.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure. I would appreciate, when you get home and find your glasses, if you would jot something down so that we make sure we have all the comments that you wanted to bring in case you've forgotten any. I appreciate that. Thank you.

MR. CHINTEN: Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Lee?

MR. LEE: My name is Eldred Lee. I am the secretary of the Great Feeder. I just have a couple of comments. I agree with those that have been made. In my memory -- and I can't verify this -- it appears to me that I've been at two meetings in which I specifically asked the question of whether the Swan Falls agreement would affect the flow above Milner. And I was assured that under no circumstances would the Swan Falls agreement affect any of the diversion of water

Page 17 Page 19 1 under any circumstances above Milner. hearing, and I make a decision, you're entitled to 2 2 If I read the regulations correct, that an administrative hearing. If there has been an 3 the regulations applied to all waters in the Snake 3 administrative hearing and you're not satisfied River rather than just from Milner down. Is that 4 4 with the results of that, then you can appeal it 5 5 not correct? to the courts --6 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Correct. MR. LEE: Now --7 7 MR. LEE: Then just a comment. The water THE HEARING OFFICER: -- in every decision. 8 8 users that I have talked to feel as if they have MR, LEE: Since all of the authority is 9 been deceived by action -- and I don't know who is 9 given to you as the director, then, after the 10 10 responsible. If it is the department, then I administrative hearing, then who makes the 11 think we should be notified of that; and if it's 11 decision? 12 12 the governor's office and those who drew those THE HEARING OFFICER: After the 13 13 bills, then I think that should be clarified so administrative hearing, the appeal is to the that a person would know what appropriate action 14 district court. And that's the way it has been 15 15 to take. There is really -- you know, after being for a hundred years. 16 promised one thing and here we come and we find 16 MR. LEE: Well, I didn't read ---17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Please don't change 17 that all of our water rights may be in jeopardy --18 18 or some of them, at least -- or that new that. 19 development may be minimized because of the rules 19 MR. LEE: But that's a court procedure. 20 and regulations and the laws that are now made, it 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. 21 21 appears to us that it's pure deception. And I MR. LEE: That is the next --22 22 think, in my opinion, that that should be THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, yes. 23 corrected and clarified. And I'd like to see the 23 MR. LEE: Well, I realize that you can 24 department propose legislation that would clarify 24 always go to court, but I felt somewhere that --25 25 that principle. Because if not, then there is and I'm not faulting you or anything, but one Page 18 Page 20 1 1 going to be a lot of fault-finding, unrest, person should not have the responsibility of 2 dissatisfaction with the whole procedure that we 2 determining who should have a water right. I 3 are now attempting to set up and clarify. 3 mean, there should be other procedures available 4 I don't think you realize how the 4 to the common layperson. Sometimes it isn't worth 5 farmers feel, how the people feel, about that very 5 it to go to court. 6 6 principle. Now, I don't know whose fault that is, THE HEARING OFFICER: Can I ask this? Have 7 and I'm not laying fault to anybody. But in the 7 you been satisfied in the past the way it's 8 8 procedures that have been set up, it has come to worked? 9 9 pass. MR. LEE: Well, I haven't had anything to do 10 10 Another thing that I just jotted down, with this since -- so I can't say whether I am 11 11 in reading those rules and regulations -- and you satisfied or not. But that's the thought that 12 would correct me if I have read them wrong --12 came to me that everybody ought to have an 13 13 there is no opportunity or procedure for appeal opportunity to appeal any decision that is made by 14 from your decision. 14 one individual --15 THE HEARING OFFICER: You are wrong. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: And that's --16 16 MR. LEE: I am wrong? MR. LEE: -- whether it's you or anybody. 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: You are wrong. 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: The reason I asked 18 MR. LEE: Okay. Then I missed it. 18 that is because the procedures that we would 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Without question, any 19 follow after these rules are the procedures that 20 decision of the director is appealable. 20 we followed since the Department of Water 21 21 MR. LEE: But it has to be done by the Resources has been administering water law. And 22 courts and not through -- where is the procedure 22 that is, if you're not satisfied with the 23 23 in here for appeal? decision, there is an administrative hearing held 24 THE HEARING OFFICER: The statutes provide 24 by the director. And if you're not satisfied with

that, you can go to court. And that system has

25

that, if there has not -- if you have not had a

		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	Page 21		Page 23
1	served us for nearly a hundred years, and I would	1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	be reluctant to change it right now.	2	
3	MR. LEE: If it's worked, that's the thing I	3	
4	didn't get out of this. I couldn't read anywhere	4	I, Frances J. Morris, Court Reporter, a
5	where there is an opportunity for appeal. As far	5	Notary Public, do hereby certify:
6	as I am concerned, it should be clarified. I	6	That I am the reporter who transcribed
7	mean, it would be perfectly all right to write	7	the proceedings in the form of digital recording
8	those procedures in here so that everybody knew	8	in the above-entitled action in machine shorthand
9	that they had an opportunity.	9	and thereafter the same was reduced into
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure. We will do	10	typewriting under my direct supervision; and
11	that. I just wanted to clarify where we were	11	That the foregoing transcript contains a
12	going.	12 13	full, true, and accurate record of the proceedings
13	MR. LEE: No, I'm not that's all that I	14	to the extent they were audible and intelligible in the above and foregoing cause, which was heard
14	have. Thank you.	15	in various cities at Idaho Falls, Idaho.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.	16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	Is there anyone else who wishes to	17	my hand this day of 2008.
17	testify today? Last chance.	18	
18	Well, hearing no other people coming	19	
19	forward to provide testimony, I'll again remind	20	
20	you that we will hold hearings in Twin Falls	21	
21	tomorrow and in Boise on January 16th and an		Frances J. Morris, Court Reporter
22 23	additional hearing here in Idaho Falls tonight at	22	CSR No. 696
23 24	7:00 p.m. Beyond that, the hearing record will be kept open until January 27th for anyone wishing to	23	
25	submit written testimony. And you can submit that		
	submit written testimony. And you can submit mat	25	
	Page 22		
1	to any office of the Department of Water		
2	Resources: The one here in Idaho Falls, Twin		
3	Falls, Coeur d'Alene, or Boise. And I urge you	,	
4	if you do have comments, do that.		
5	There are no further people wishing to		
6	testify. This hearing is closed.		
7	(End of proceeding.)		·
8	-000-		
9			
10			
11			•
12			
13 14			
14 15			
16			
16 17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24		1	
25			
		<u> </u>	

<u>A</u>
able 8:16
above-entitled 23:8
absent 6:15
absolutely 13:16 accurate 23:12
Act 3:14 5:7
action 17:9,14 23:8
additional 21:22
administering 20:21
administrative 3:14 5:6
19:2,3,10,13 20:23
adopt 5:10 9:15
adopted 3:10 5:19
adopting 4:19 5:5 16:2
adoption 3:25
advertised 4:14
advertising 11:7
affect 16:23,25 ago 14:13
ago 14.13 agree 16:18
agreement 5:22 16:22,2:
allocation 1:4 3:8
allowed 3:20
amended 3:23
anticipated 8:8
anybody 6:13,16 10:17
18:7 20:16
apologize 15:16
appeal 18:13,23 19:4,13
20:13 21:5 appealable 18:20
appearing 6:23
appears 16:20 17:21
application 7:9,23 8:2 9:
applications 9:18,22
applied 17:3
apply 7:6,7,14,17,19,25
8:10 10:13
applying 8:7
appreciate 16:9,13
appropriate 5:9 17:14
appropriation 3:12
approval 5:16
April 13:21 14:4,23 area 14:4,17 15:14
areas 13:15
asked 6:5 16:21 20:17
assured 16:23
attempting 18:3
attorney 1:12 6:23
audible 23:13
AUDIOTAPES 1:12
authority 3:25 19:8
available 5:1,2 20:3
Avery 2:3 10:18,18 12:5
12:8,11
aware 7:11 9:1
В

backwards 13:16

based 5:8 basis 14:9,20 bear 15:3 Beaver 13:18 14:15 begins 3:4 behalf 6:24 7:16 10:20 believe 10:2 believed 7:21 believing 7:13 Beyond 21:23 bills 17:13 bit 15:15 Blackfoot 7:1 11:8 Blair 2:3 6:22 board 10:14 11:7 Boise 21:21 22:3 **BONNEVILLE 1:17** books 14:14 15:2 borrow 13:7 bothers 8:21 Briefly 4:2 bring 16:12 Bureau 11:6 C 2:1 23:1,1 called 14:23

Camas 14:16 canal 6:25 10:19,19,19,20 11:1,12,14 12:3,18 care 11:1 case 9:3,4,6,10 10:3 16:12 caught 14:2 cause 23:14 central 5:12 certain 6:9 certainly 10:14 certify 23:5 chance 21:17 chances 11:19 change 9:25 11:4 12:1 19:17 21:2 changed 11:2 15:18 changes 4:13 6:6 Chapter 3:15 charged 12:16 check 6:15 Chinten 2:4 12:21,22,23 13:8 14:11,22 15:1,5,13 15:21 16:6,14 circumstances 16:24 17:1 cities 23:15 city 6:3 clarification 10:21 11:11 11:24 clarified 17:13,23 21:6 clarify 7:24 17:24 18:3 21:11

Clark 10:19

closed 22:6

Code 3:15,23 4:1

Coeur 4:17 22:3 come 5:24 6:18 17:16 18:8 comes 10:11 15:11 coming 21:18 comment 12:22 17:7 comments 4:9,11 6:21 16:11.18 22:4 common 20:4 companies 6:25 11:14 completed 5:11 concern 14:5 15:6 concerned 15:15 21:6 concerns 13:13 condition 14:1 conducted 3:16 4:7 6:2 conservative 15:23 consider 9:19 considering 8:23 considers 9:21 constitutional 9:10 consumptive 14:15 contained 4:5 contains 23:11 continue 8:16 copies 4:8,19 5:13 copy 6:9 correct 17:2,5,6 18:12 corrected 17:23 counties 13:20 **COUNTY 1:17** couple 16:18 court 9:5,11 19:14,19,24 20:5,25 23:4,21 courthouse 1:17 6:3 courts 18:22 19:5 create 10:12 creates 8:7 Creek 13:18 criteria 5:23 7:7 8:3 9:16 9:20 Cross 3:18 CSR 1:24 23:22  $\mathbf{D}$ daily 14:14 15:2 damaging 12:17 date 13:11,12,22 14:17 day 23:17 dealing 8:14 deceived 17:9 December 4:15 deception 17:21 deciding 9:22 decision 10:3 18:14,20 19:1,7,11 20:13,23

due 11:14 d'Alene 4:17 22:3 E E 2:1,1 23:1,1,1,1 early 14:9 Eastern 7:21 Edwards 10:20 effort 7:24 either 3:6 Eldred 2:4 16:16 elevation 13:24 embarrassed 13:1 entire 7:15 entitled 19:1 especially 5:21 established 9:7.9 everybody 20:12 21:8 exactly 13:19 examination 3:18 excess 12:10 expect 5:10 experience 13:14 **extent 23:13** F 23:1 faced 5:20 fact 11:14 factors 8:24 Falls 1:18 3:1 5:22 6:3 16:22,24 21:20,22 22:2

5:13 8:23 17:10.24

department's 3:7,16 7:11

determining 8:24 20:2

20:20 22:1

detrimental 12:3

difficult 8:10

digital 23:7

direct 23:10

disagree 9:4

discussion 7:5

14:20 15:1

distributing 13:14

distribution 15:9

diversion 16:25

doing 9:21 12:1

draft 4:8,13

drew 17:12

Don 2:4 12:20,23

9:15

district 12:24 19:14

doctrine 8:3,7,11 9:8,12

19:9 20:24

director's 15:18

discretion 15:18 16:3

dissatisfaction 18:2

distribute 13:15 14:8,12

development 17:19

9:2,17

22:3 23:15 far 21:5 farmers 18:5 fault 18:6,7 faulting 19:25 fault-finding 18:1 February 5:11 Feeder 16:17 feel 17:8 18:5,5 felt 19:24 director 15:25 16:4 18:20 field 13:14 file 5:12 9:22 filed 9:19 fill 7:12 final 5:10 find 6:15 16:9 17:16 first 3:9 9:8,8,14,14,19 flood 8:9,12,17 10:22,22 10:24,25 11:2,3,11,15 11:18,24 flooding 11:8 flow 16:23 follow 20:19 followed 8:14,19,20 20:20 foregoing 23:11.14 forgotten 16:12 form 3:7 23:7 formal 3:5 6:10,17 11:23 format 5:3,3 forth 11:9 forward 5:14 6:18 21:19 four 9:17,22 Frances 1:24 23:4,21 frost 13:11,12,22 14:17 full 11:17 23:12 further 22:5

> G general 1:12 5:5 give 13:11 given 5:21 19:9 glasses 13:3,4 16:10 go 19:24 20:5,25 going 8:13 9:17 11:19 12:1,7,14 18:1 21:12 governor's 17:12 grant 8:25 granted 9:20 gravel 14:1 Great 16:17 greater 8:25 9:15,23 groundwater 10:11 Grover 2:3 6:18,22,22 10:5,7,13 growing 11:20 guess 7:15 8:15 9:4 10:7 hand 7:18 23:17

handle 10:11

decreed 11:3,16,22 12:15

department 1:2,13 4:2

definitely 15:2

delivered 8:12

denial 9:1

Water Allocation Rul
h 10-15
happier 10:15 Harrison 10:19
heard 3:21 7:3 23:14
hearing 3:4,13 4:12,14,22 5:4,6 6:2 10:4,10,16
12:4,9,19 13:6 14:7,19
14:24 15:4,7,20 16:1,8
16:15 17:6 18:15,17,19
18:24 19:1,2,3,7,10,12
19:13,17,20,22 20:6,15
20:17,23 21:10,15,18
21:22,23 22:6
hearings 1:5 4:23 21:20
held 1:12 20:23
help 6:21 11:15
hereunto 23:16
high 14:15
higher 13:24
history 3:10
hold 5:6 21:20
holding 4:6 9:3,6
home 16:9
hopefully 5:16 13:9
hundred 19:15 21:1
***************************************
I
Idaho 1:1,18,18 3:1,1,9
3:15,23,24 4:1,16 6:3
6:23 7:21 21:22 22:2
23:15,15
idea 12:12
implement 3:22
important 5:18,24 11:12
indication 6:11
individual 20:14

Idaho 1:1,18,18 3:1,1,9
3:15,23,24 4:1,16 6:3
6:23 7:21 21:22 22:2
23:15,15
idea 12:12
implement 3:22
important 5:18,24 11:12
indication 6:11
individual 20:14
information 4:8,25
initial 4:13 6:19
input 4:4
intelligible 23:13
intended 8:9,18 9:5,12
intent 15:7
interest 5:23 7:7 8:3,25
9:16,23
interfere 9:12,14
irrigation 13:12

J 1:24 23:4,21 January 1:14 3:2 4:24 6:1 21:21,24 jeopardy 17:17 jot 16:10 jotted 18:10 justification 13:22

Ken 12:23 kept 14:14 21:24 killing 12:18 kind 7:13 9:5,16 12:12 knew 21:8 know 15:24 17:9,14,15 18:6 knowledge 8:6 knows 9:7

Lake 14:17 language 8:24 9:3 law 5:7,13 9:10 20:21 lawfully 9:13 LAWRENCE 1:12 laws 17:20 laying 18:7 layperson 20:4 Lee 2:4 16:15,16,16 17:7 18:16,18,21 19:6,8,16 19:19,21,23 20:9,16 21:3,13 legislation 7:12,13,22,25 8:9,18 9:9 17:24 legislative 5:15 7:24 legislators 7:21

legislature 3:24 5:15,17 5:19 Leo 2:3 10:18 liberal 15:23 library 5:14 limit 14:5 list 4:21 6:8 little 15:15 located 6:25 Lodge 13:18 14:16

longer 11:18 look 16:5 looks 13:17,21 lot 7:5 14:3 18:1

M machine 23:8 mailed 4:19 mailing 4:20 **MAINTAINED 1:13** manager 10:18 managing 11:14 map 13:19 marks 13:3 master 12:24 15:12 matter 7:4 mean 12:9 15:22 20:3 21:7 Medicine 13:18 14:16 meetings 4:6,8 5:9 16:21 meets 9:19 memory 16:19 mention 11:13 mid 3:4 Milner 7:7,10,18,19,23 8:1,4,7,11 10:5,12 12:7

12:15 16:23 17:1,4

mine 15:6

minimized 17:19

missed 18:18 Morris 1:24 23:4,21moved 16:7 Mud 14:16

N 2:1 name 6:8,20,22 12:23 16:16 nearly 21:1 need 6:10 11:15 14:25 never 14:2 new 17:18 News 4:16 newspaper-type 5:3 Norm 15:23 normally 14:22 Notary 23:5 notice 4:17,18 noticed 13:10 notified 17:11 November 14:21 number 13:4,25

O 23:1 Obviously 7:4 October 4:7 office 5:12 17:12 22:1 **OFFICER** 3:4 10:4,10,16 12:4,9,19 13:6 14:7,19 14:24 15:4,7,20 16:1,8 16:15 17:6 18:15,17,19 18:24 19:7,12,17,20,22 20:6,15,17 21:10,15 offices 5:2 Oh 19:22 Okay 15:21 18:18 ones 11:13 online 15:11 open 4:24 21:24 opinion 17:22 opportunity 3:5,21 18:13 20:13 21:5,9 opposing 7:9 8:2 oral 3:6 organization 6:25 ought 20:12

P
P 2:1,1 23:1
page 13:5
pair 13:6
paper 13:2
part 5:4
particular 12:13
particularly 7:6 8:2
pass 18:9
passed 7:14,22
passing 7:22

o0o 22:8

people 4:18,20 8:6 13:23 14:3 18:5 21:18 22:5 peoples 13:24 perfectly 21:7 permitted 3:19 person 6:19 17:14 20:1 piece 13:2 place 5:25 Please 19:17 point 11:2 13:9 position 7:15 Post 4:15 potentially 15:10 practice 3:17 8:20 10:1 16:7 Press 4:17 primarily 10:8 principle 17:25 18:6 priority 14:8,20 probably 7:3 problem 10:12 problems 8:8,10 procedure 3:17-5:5 8:14 10:1 18:2,13,22 19:19 procedures 3:11,14 5:7 8:19 18:8 20:3,18,19 21:8 proceeding 22:7 proceedings 1:11 23:7,12 promised 17:16 propose 17:24 proposed 3:7 4:10 **Protective** 6:24 7:8,16 protest 11:24 provide 3:5 6:16 18:24 21:19 provided 3:25 4:17 provision 9:10 provisions 3:14,22 public 4:8 5:23 7:6 8:3,25 9:15,23 23:5 pure 17:21 purposes 6:6 15:9 pursuant 3:16 put 6:15 putting 15:9 p.m 1:14 3:2 21:23

Q
question 16:22 18:19
questioning 3:18

R
R 2:1 23:1,1,1,1
radio 11:7

radio 11:7 read 17:2 18:12 19:16 21:4 reading 18:11 realize 18:4 19:23 really 8:7 12:17,22 15:21 17:15

reason 20:17 reasons 8:5 13:25 16:2 receive 5:8 received 4:10 receives 9:24 receiving 6:9 Reclamation 11:6 record 4:23 5:4 7:4,9 8:1 14:14 15:2 21:23 23:12 recording 23:7 reduced 23:9 refer 13:5 15:16 reflect 4:12 regard 8:22 regarding 3:11 4:3 register 4:15 6:11 regulations 1:5 3:8,10,17 4:6,9 5:1,21,25 17:2,3 17:20 18:11 relation 11:25 relationship 10:22 15:14 relative 3:7 reluctant 21:2 remain 4:24 remind 21:19 reporter 23:4,6,21 reprinted 6:8 request 7:13 requested 1:11 4:18 required 3:13 5:7 9:2 10:2 requires 7:14 Resource 11:7 Resources 1:2,13 20:21 22:2 responsibility 20:1 responsible 17:10 restrict 13:12,20 result 9:5 results 19:4 review 5:15 Reviewing 6:12 revise 5:9 Rexburg 7:1 Rigby 6:23 10:19 right 9:8,14,20,25 16:1 20:2 21:2,7 rights 5:23 8:6 17:17 river 7:15 11:21 17:4 roster 6:4 Rudy 10:19 rule 4:18 8:22 rules 1:4 3:7,9,16,22,25 4:3,5,9,11,20 5:1,9,10 5:12,21,25 6:7 7:6,9,14

S 2:1 23:1 satisfied 19:3 20:7,11,22

running 11:21

8:22 17:19 18:11 20:19

20:24	talk 8:22 10:20	v	1
saying 15:10	talked 17:8		li
says 15:17	talking 8:5 10:6,8,9 11:13	Valley 7:1 11:21	1
season 11:17	Tape 3:4	various 4:20 23:15	li
seasons 11:20	technically 8:11,15	verify 16:19	^
second 3:22 8:21	tell 13:19	view 7:11 9:2	-
secretary 6:21 16:17	terms 15:11	777	2
Section 3:23 4:1	testify 3:20 6:14 12:20	W	2
see 5:3 6:13 10:13 12:5	21:17 22:6	want 6:14,16,18 8:1 13:4	2
13:4 16:5 17:23	testimony 3:6 5:8 6:10,17	15:22,23	1
seeking 4:9	6:20 21:19,25	wanted 6:13 16:12 21:11	-
sent 6:4	Thank 10:16 12:19 16:13	wants 7:8	-
sentence 3:5	16:14 21:14,15	WASDEN 1:12	3
served 21:1	theirs 10:20	water 1:2,4,13 3:8,12 4:4	3
session 3:24 5:16,19	thing 8:21 9:16 12:13	5:23 8:4,6,12 9:20,24	3
set 16:4 18:3,8 23:16	15:15 17:16 18:10 21:3	10:5,6,8,9,15,18 11:4,5	3
settlement 5:22	think 5:18,24 7:3,8 8:1,8	11:6,9,16,17,21,22 12:6	-
sheet 6:12	8:18,24 9:9,11,13,25	12:10,14,15,16,24,24	-
Shelley 11:8	10:8 13:8 15:10,14	13:13,15,23 14:3,8,8,25	4
short 14:23	17:11,13,22 18:4	15:8,12 16:25 17:7,17	4
shorthand 23:8	third 9:23	20:2,20,21 22:1	1
show 13:19	thought 20:11	waters 8:10,12,15,17	-
sign 6:5	three 9:17,21	10:22,23,23,24,25 11:2	1 -
significant 4:12 6:6 9:25	time 3:22 4:10 6:1 9:8,14	11:3,11,15,18,24,25	1
sign-up 6:12	11:5 13:12	17:3	1
Snake 11:20 17:3	Times 4:16	way 8:12,17 19:14 20:7	5
soil 14:1	Title 3:15	weigh 9:17	-
somewhat 13:1	today 4:12 5:1 6:1,10,17	went 12:13	-
sort 6:21	6:24 13:1 21:17	WHEREOF 23:16	1
sought 4:4	today's 5:4	wish 3:20 4:25 6:10 12:20	١
specifically 6:13 16:21	tomorrow 21:21	15:15	1
spilling 12:14	tonight 21:22	wishes 21:16	-
spills 12:6	total 16:3	wishing 10:21 21:24 22:5	-
starting 13:21	transcribed 1:23 23:6	WITNESS 23:16	11
state 1:1 3:9,11,12 4:7,23	transcript 1:11 23:11	witnesses 3:19	1
6:19 9:7	treated 8:13	wondering 10:10	1
statements 3:6	treating 8:13	wordage 15:19	1
Statesman 4:16	tributaries 13:24	words 11:18	
statutes 18:24	Trout-Co 9:3,4,6 10:3	worked 20:8 21:3	
stored 11:5,17,21 12:16	true 15:13 23:12	worth 20:4	
streams 14:15	trust 8:3,11,15 10:5,23	write 21:7	
stretch 7:15	11:25	written 3:6 4:25 21:25	
string 11:15	trying 14:4	wrong 18:12,15,16,17	Ì
submit 4:25 5:13 21:25	Twin 21:20 22:2		ļ
21:25	two 6:5,9 14:13 16:20	X	ľ
sufficient 14:25	typewriting 23:10	x 1:3,6	
suggestions 4:4	3, p 2, 11 mg 23 11 c		l
summarize 12:4	U	<b>Y</b>	
supervision 23:10	understanding 7:20	yeah 15:1 16:4	
supreme 9:11	Union 6:24 7:8,16	year 5:11,20 14:13,18,23	
sure 14:24 15:4 16:8,11	unrest 18:1	15:5,5	l
21:10	unrestricted 12:6	years 12:2 14:13 15:11	
surface 10:6,9,15	Upper 7:1 11:20	19:15 21:1	l
suspect 7:2			
Swan 5:22 16:22,24	upset 8:19 urge 22:3	1	l
system 11:1 15:11 20:25	urge 22:5 usage 13:20	<b>1</b> 13:21,21 14:5,12,14,21	1
systems 11:12 12:3,18	usage 15:20 use 8:16 10:24,25 11:9	15:2 16:7	l
ujuwana 11.12 12.3,10		10th 5:11	l
	12:6 13:13,23 14:3,7,9	12th 4:15	1
· 'I'			1
T 22:1 1 1	14:11,15,18 15:8	<b>14</b> 1:14 3:2 6:1	
T 23:1,1,1 take 10:25 14:9,11 17:15	users 4:4 17:8 Usually 13:25	14 1:14 3:2 6:1 15th 14:4	

th 21:21 th 4:15 35 4:3,7 **36** 1:14 3:2 4:24 6:1 2 20 1:14 3:2 6:2 08 23:17 th 4:24 21:24 3 12:2 12:24 4:12 C 12:25 12:2 **1805(8)** 4:1 **203** 3:23 4:15 8:22 3:15 6 00 4:19 3:15 1:24 23:22 0 21:23

## 

I, Frances J. Morris, Court Reporter, a Notary Public, do hereby certify:

That I am the reporter who transcribed the proceedings in the form of digital recording in the above-entitled action in machine shorthand and thereafter the same was reduced into typewriting under my direct supervision; and

That the foregoing transcript contains a full, true, and accurate record of the proceedings to the extent they were audible and intelligible in the above and foregoing cause, which was heard in various cities at Idaho Falls, Idaho.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this  $8^{\frac{1}{1000}}$  day of  $\frac{\text{Odoloo}}{\text{2008}}$ .

Frances J. Morris, Court Reporter

CSR N $\phi$ . 696

