

JOURNAL
of the
STATE SENATE



ORGANIZATIONAL SESSION

and

FIRST REGULAR SESSION

of the

FORTY-EIGHTH LEGISLATURE

of the

STATE OF IDAHO

1985

PROPERTY OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
BOISE, IDAHO

PESTICIDES; AMENDING TITLE 45, IDAHO CODE, BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW CHAPTER 17, TITLE 45, IDAHO CODE, TO PROVIDE DEFINITIONS; TO PROVIDE FOR THE CREATION OF A LIEN ON CROPS FOR PROVIDERS OR APPLICATORS OF FERTILIZERS OR PESTICIDES ON, OR FOR THE GROWING OF SUCH CROPS TO SECURE PAYMENT FOR SUCH FERTILIZERS OR PESTICIDES; TO PROVIDE FOR THE PRIORITY OF SUCH LIEN; TO PROVIDE FOR FILING A NOTICE OF CLAIM OF LIEN; TO PROVIDE FOR CONTENTS OF THE LIEN CLAIM; TO PROVIDE FOR THE DURATION OF THE LIEN; TO PROVIDE A LIMITATION ON ACTIONS TO FORECLOSE SUCH A LIEN, AND TO PROVIDE FOR THE AWARD OF COSTS AND ATTORNEY'S FEES; AND TO PROVIDE FOR THE RELEASE OF A LIEN CLAIM.

§ 1004 was introduced, read the first time at length, and referred to the Judiciary and Rules Committee for printing.

H 1, by Ways and Means Committee, was introduced, read the first time at length, and referred to the State Affairs Committee.

On request by Senator Ricks, granted by unanimous consent, the Senate advanced to the Fifteenth Order of Business.

Miscellaneous Business

On motion by Senator Ricks, seconded by Senator Kiebert, the Senate adjourned until 11:30 a.m., Monday, January 14, 1985.

DAVID H. LEROY, President

Attest: DOROTHEA BAXTER, Secretary

EIGHTH LEGISLATIVE DAY MONDAY, JANUARY 14, 1985

Senate Chamber

President Leroy called the Senate to order at 11:30 a.m.

Roll call showed all members present except Senator Peavey, absent and excused.

Prayer was offered by Senator Lacy.

Reading and Correction of the Journal

The JUDICIARY AND RULES Committee reports that the Senate Journals of the proceedings of January 10 and 11, 1985, were read and approved as corrected.

FAIRCHILD, Chairman

Report adopted.

On request by Senator Ricks, granted by unanimous consent, the Senate advanced to the Sixth Order of Business.

Reports of Standing Committees

January 14, 1985

The JUDICIARY AND RULES Committee reports that § 1004 has been correctly printed.

FAIRCHILD, Chairman

§ 1004 was referred to the Agricultural Affairs Committee.

On request by Senator Ricks, granted by unanimous consent, the Senate advanced to the Eleventh Order of Business.

Introduction, First Reading and Reference of Bills, House Petitions, Resolutions and Memorials

§ 1005

BY RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE

AN ACT

RELATING TO THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION AND ITS JURISDICTION TO REVIEW REVENUE REQUIREMENTS AND OTHER REGULATORY IMPLICATIONS OF THE SWAN FALLS COMPROMISE.

§ 1006

BY RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE

AN ACT

RELATING TO THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES; AMENDING SECTION 42-1805, IDAHO CODE, TO PROVIDE THAT THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES SHALL HAVE THE POWER TO PROMULGATE RULES AND REGULATIONS.

§ 1007

BY RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE

AN ACT

RELATING TO WATER RIGHTS; AMENDING CHAPTER 5, TITLE 61, IDAHO CODE, BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SECTION 61-502B, IDAHO CODE, TO PROVIDE THAT GAIN UPON SALE OF A PUBLIC UTILITY'S WATER RIGHT SHALL ACCRUE TO THE BENEFIT OF THE RATEPAYERS.

§ 1008

BY RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE

AN ACT

RELATING TO WATER RIGHTS FOR HYDROPOWER PURPOSES; AMENDING SECTION 42-203, IDAHO CODE, TO REDESIGNATE THE SECTION, TO MAKE CERTAIN ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGES AND TO PROVIDE FOR THE MAILING OF NOTICES TO PAID SUBSCRIBERS; AMENDING CHAPTER 2, TITLE 42, IDAHO CODE, BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SECTION 42-203B, IDAHO CODE, TO PROVIDE THAT THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES SHALL HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO SUBORDINATE RIGHTS GRANTED FOR POWER PURPOSES TO SUBSEQUENT UPSTREAM RIGHTS, AND TO LIMIT PERMITS OR LICENSES GRANTED FOR POWER PURPOSES TO A SPECIFIC TERM; AMENDING CHAPTER 2, TITLE 42, IDAHO CODE, BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SECTION 42-203C, IDAHO CODE, TO PROVIDE THAT THE DEPARTMENT SHALL CONSIDER CRITERIA WHEN AN APPLICANT'S APPROPRIATION WOULD SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF WATER AVAILABLE FOR A SUBORDINATED POWER USE; AMENDING CHAPTER 2, TITLE 42, IDAHO CODE, BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SECTION 42-203D, IDAHO CODE, TO PROVIDE THAT THE DEPARTMENT SHALL REVIEW ALL PERMITS ISSUED PRIOR TO THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS ACT; PROVIDING THAT THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ACT SHALL NOT AFFECT ANY INTERSTATE COMPACT; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY.

§ 1005, § 1006, § 1007, and § 1008 were introduced, read the first time at length, and referred to the Judiciary and Rules Committee for printing.

FOR WHICH THE DIRECTOR MAY REFUSE TO ISSUE OR REFUSE TO RENEW A CERTIFICATE OF REGISTRATION.

S 1095
BY TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

AN ACT
RELATING TO IMPLEMENTS OF HUSBANDRY; AMENDING SECTION 49-101, IDAHO CODE, TO INCLUDE MINT TUBS AND MINT WAGONS UNDER THE DEFINITION OF "IMPLEMENTS OF HUSBANDRY"; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

S 1096
BY TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

AN ACT
RELATING TO THE DISTRIBUTION OF FEES FROM SNOWMOBILE FEES; AMENDING SECTION 49-2608, IDAHO CODE, TO PROVIDE FOR THE DISTRIBUTION OF MONEYS, TO CREATE THE SEARCH AND RESCUE ACCOUNT, TO PROVIDE FOR USES OF MONEYS IN THE SEARCH AND RESCUE ACCOUNT; TRANSFERRING MONEYS FROM A CERTAIN ACCOUNT IN THE DEDICATED FUND TO THE SEARCH AND RESCUE ACCOUNT, AND PROVIDING FOR THE USES OF SUCH MONEYS; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

S 1097
BY TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

AN ACT
RELATING TO THE TRANSPORTATION OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES, WINE AND BEER; AMENDING SECTION 23-505, IDAHO CODE, TO PROHIBIT THE TRANSPORTATION OF OPEN CONTAINERS OF ALCOHOLIC LIQUOR, WINE AND BEER.

S 1098
BY TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

AN ACT
RELATING TO MOTOR VEHICLE LIENS AND ENCUMBRANCES; AMENDING SECTION 49-412, IDAHO CODE, TO PROVIDE THAT IF A TITLE APPLICATION IS RETURNED FOR CORRECTION AND IS NOT RETURNED WITHIN A SPECIFIED TIME THE ORIGINAL DATE AND HOUR OF RECEIPT SHALL BE VOID.

S 1090, S 1091, S 1092, S 1093, S 1094, S 1095, S 1096, S 1097, and S 1098 were introduced, read the first time at length, and referred to the Judiciary and Rules Committee for printing.

H 19, by Education Committee, was introduced, read the first time at length, and referred to the Education Committee.

Second Reading of Bills

S 1054, by Local Government and Taxation Committee, was read the second time at length and filed for third reading.

H 28, by Resources and Conservation Committee, was read the second time at length and filed for third reading.

S 1052, by State Affairs Committee, was read the second time at length and filed for third reading.

S 1044, by Judiciary and Rules Committee, was read the second time at length and filed for third reading.

S 1066, by Education Committee, was read the second time at length and filed for third reading.

Third Reading of Bills

On request by Senator Ricks, granted by unanimous consent, S 1008, S 1007, S 1006, and S 1005 were placed at the head of the calendar.

S 1008 was read the third time at length, section by section, and placed before the Senate for final consideration, the question being, "Shall the bill pass?"

Roll call resulted as follows:

AYES—Anderson, Beck, Beitelspacher, Bilyeu, Bray, Hudge, Calabretta, Chapman, Crapo, Darrington, Dobler, Fairchild, Gilbert, Horsch, Kiebert, Lannen, Marley, McLaughlin, McRoberts, Noh, Parry, Peavey, Rakozy, Reed, Risch, Smyser, Staker, Sverdsten, Sweeney, Thorne, Tominaga, Twiggs, Watkins, Yarbrough. Total - 34.

NAYS—Batt, Carlson, Crystal, Ricks, Ringett, Rydalen. Total - 6.

Absent and excused—Lacy, Little. Total - 2.

Total - 42.

Whereupon the President declared S 1008 passed, title was approved, and the bill ordered transmitted to the House.

On request by Senator Noh, granted by unanimous consent, the President ordered the Statement of Legislative Intent relating to S 1008 spread upon the pages of the Journal.

STATEMENT OF LEGISLATIVE INTENT
S 1008

Prepared by Senator Michael D. Crapo
of the Senate Resources and Environment Committee
February 1, 1985

I. INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT.

Beginning in approximately 1977, a significant controversy arose between Idaho Power Company and certain other water users in the State of Idaho over the extent of Idaho Power Company's water rights at the Swan Falls Dam. Ultimately litigation was instituted against numerous water users by Idaho Power Company to clarify the status of the disputed water rights. Both the Governor and the Attorney General of the State of Idaho became extensively involved in attempts to resolve this dispute. In 1983 and 1984, in two separate legislative sessions, the Idaho Legislature also grappled with the controversy unsuccessfully. At issue was whether the water rights of Idaho Power Company should be subordinated to future appropriators to encourage further development of agricultural uses, domestic, commercial, municipal or industrial (DCMI) uses, or other uses which would be beneficial to Idaho.

Ultimately, in October, 1984, an Agreement was reached between the Governor of the State of Idaho, the Attorney General of the State of Idaho and Idaho Power Company which resolved the controversy. The agreement required legislative action and was made contingent upon passage by the Idaho State Legislature of certain legislation which was

referenced in the agreement. This bill, Senate Bill 1008, is the centerpiece of the legislation which is contemplated by the agreement.

II. STATEMENT OF PURPOSE.

This legislation is intended to resolve conflicts over whether an existing water right for power is subordinated. The legislation resolves these conflicts by defining the nature of such water rights. It is also intended to assure that water is available for development in Idaho and to provide a basis for reallocation of water for future development. It recognizes that Idaho's population and commercial and industrial expansion as well as Idaho's agricultural needs will require an assured amount of water.

The legislation also clarifies the authority of the Idaho Department of Water Resources to subordinate future hydropower water rights. Finally, the legislation is an assertion by the Legislature of the State of Idaho of its authority to limit and regulate the use of water for power purposes.

III. SECTION BY SECTION ANALYSIS.

A. SECTION 1. (AMENDING SECTION 42-203 OF THE IDAHO CODE.)

Section 1 amends Section 42-203 of the Idaho Code by renumbering the section to be Section 42-203A and adding new notice requirements for applications to divert in excess of ten (10) c.f.s. or one thousand (1,000) acre feet of water. Notice of such applications must be published statewide, once per week for two consecutive weeks. Section 1 also provides a mechanism by which persons interested in being notified of any proposed diversions may request in writing to be notified by the Department of Water Resources. Such requests may specify any class of notices of application. Persons making such requests must pay annual mailing fees to be established by the Department of Water Resources.

B. SECTION 2. (ADDING A NEW SECTION TO CHAPTER 2, TITLE 42, IDAHO CODE.)

Section 2 adds a new section to Chapter 2 of Title 42 of the Idaho Code to be designated as Section 42-203B, Idaho Code. This legislation is an exercise of the State's authority under the 1928 Amendment to Article XV, Section 3 of the Idaho Constitution to limit and regulate the use of water for power purposes. The section represents a specific legislative finding that it is in the public interest of the State of Idaho to assure that the State has the power to regulate and limit the use of water for power purposes to assure an adequate supply of water for future beneficial upstream uses. It also represents a legislative protection of the rights of a user of water for power purposes (1) against depletion to the extent of a minimum flow established by State action; and (2) to the continued use of water available above the minimum flow subject to reallocation to future uses acquired pursuant to State law. The water right for power purposes shall not be subject to depletion up to the amount of the minimum flow as

defined by any applicable contract with the State. As applied to the Swan Falls Agreement, the existing minimum stream flow at the Murphy U.S.G.S. gauging station is recommended for change to seasonal flows of 3,000 c.f.s. and 5,500 c.f.s. The Agreement recognizes Idaho Power Company's rights as unsubordinated up to the amount of those flows. While the State may later change the minimum flows, the recognition of the nature of the company's rights will not change. Valid subordination conditions governing any existing hydropower rights are not modified or removed by this legislation.

To accomplish the balancing of these potentially competing interests, this section establishes a trust in which title to certain specified water rights will be held. The trust pertains to water rights for power purposes which are in excess of minimum stream flows established by state action. The term "state action" refers only to action by the Idaho Department of Water Resources in compliance with all applicable law, and/or the establishment of minimum stream flows in the State Water Plan by the Idaho Water Resource Board, both of which actions are subject to ratification, modification or rejection by the Idaho State Legislature. To the extent of the established minimum flows and any right recognized by contract, such water rights for power purposes remain unsubordinated to all uses. The amount of water or water rights held in the trust is thus keyed to the maintenance of the established minimum stream flows rather than any estimates of how much water may be available above such minimum flows. Any portion of such water rights above the established minimum flows will be held in trust by the State of Idaho, by and through the Governor of the State of Idaho. This trust will hold these water rights for the benefit of the power user so long as they are not appropriated as provided by law by future upstream beneficial users. The trust also operates, however, for the use and benefit of the people of the State of Idaho, to assure that water is made available for appropriation by future upstream users who satisfy the criteria of Idaho law for reallocation of the water rights held in the trust. No person to whom trust waters are reallocated shall be required to pay compensation to any party, other than appropriate administrative fees established by the director for processing of the reallocation.

The governor is given specific authority to enter into agreements with power users to define applicable minimum stream flows in accord with the terms of this section. These contracts must be ratified by the Idaho State Legislature.

Thus, existing hydropower rights which have not been effectively subordinated shall not be subject to depletion below any applicable minimum flows established by the State. Hydropower rights in excess of such flows will be held in trust by the State and are subject to subordination to, and to depletion by lawful beneficial uses. In addition, if the holder of

such a hydropower right enters into an agreement with the State defining the extent of its hydropower right, the right will remain unsubordinated to the extent provided by the Agreement. Such agreements must be ratified by law, and ratification of one such agreement is conferred by this section.

The Director of the Department of Water Resources is empowered as to all future licenses to subordinate the rights granted in either a permit or a license to subsequent upstream beneficial depletionary uses, to assure the availability of water for such uses. The director also shall have the authority to limit permits or licenses for power purposes to a specific term.

As applied to the agreement between Idaho Power Company, the Governor and the Attorney General, this trust arrangement results in the State of Idaho possessing legal title to all water rights previously claimed by Idaho Power Company above the agreed minimum stream flows and Idaho Power Company holds equitable title to those water rights subject to the trust. The Idaho Department of Water Resources is the entity which makes the determination of whether water is to be reallocated from the trust under the criteria of Section 42-203C and in compliance with the State Water Plan. The Company's rights may be asserted by the state, as trustee, and by Idaho Power Company, as beneficiary of the trust and as the user of the water right. Idaho Power Company is not the sole beneficiary of the trust, however. Future appropriators, as persons on whose behalf the trust waters are held, may seek to appropriate the trust waters in conformance with State law. The State acts as trustee in their behalf as well. At such time as a future appropriator is granted a water right in the trust waters, Idaho Power Company's rights in such appropriated water become subordinated.

C. SECTION 3. (ADDING A NEW SECTION TO CHAPTER 2, TITLE 42, IDAHO CODE.)

1. Section 3 adds a new section to Chapter 2 of Title 42 of the Idaho Code to be designated as Section 42-203C, Idaho Code. This section specifies the criteria which must be met to appropriate waters which are subject to the trust established in Section 2. This section contemplates a three-step analysis as to appropriations of water from the trust established in Section 2:

First, the proposed use must be evaluated under the criteria presently existing in Section 42-203A, including local public interest. (Senate Bill 1008 does not adversely affect the use of existing local public interest criteria. Review of these factors is separate from the new factors added by the bill in Section 42-203C.)

Second, if the proposed use meets these criteria, there must be a determination of whether the proposed use would "significantly reduce" the amount of water available to the power user whose rights are

owned by the trust. If a significant reduction is not found, then the application should be granted.

Third, if a significant reduction is found, then the proposed use must be evaluated in terms of the criteria stated in Subsection 42-203C(2). The finding of a significant reduction does not infer that any portion of the trust waters should not be developed. Such a finding simply results in the necessity of evaluating the proposed use according to the terms of the criteria stated in Subsection 42-203C(2). These criteria focus on the benefits of the proposed use to the state and local economy, the impact on electric utility rates, the promotion of the family farming tradition, and the promotion of full economic and multiple use development of Idaho's water resources. The fifth criteria sets a cap on agricultural development above the Murphy Gauge.

Subsection 42-203C(2) (v) clarifies that the burden of proof in establishing that any of these criteria would prevent granting of the application is upon the protestant. This subsection was included to implement the specific legislative intent that the administrative burdens of meeting the new criteria would not block future development.

None of the factors in Subsection 42-203C(2) are to be given greater weight than any other by the director in determining whether to allow future beneficial use of the trust waters. This provision represents legislative intent that the consideration of the family farming tradition, hydropower use, domestic, commercial, municipal and industrial uses, or other multiple use developments are each to be given equal consideration in the reallocation process. It is the intent that otherwise qualified water uses which promote the family farming tradition or create jobs should be recognized as essential to the economy of the State of Idaho.

The criteria identified in Subsection 42-203C(2) are intended solely to guide the director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources in determining whether a proposed use has greater net benefits to the State than the existing hydropower use. The criteria identify those factors to be considered in making this determination. Proposed uses for domestic, commercial, municipal or industrial purposes and the like are not intended to receive less weight in the evaluation process simply because they are not mentioned specifically in the criteria. Nor is it intended that these uses be subject to the family farming standard contained in Subsection 42-203C(2) (ii), or the agricultural cap contained in Subsection 42-203C(2) (v). In such circumstances only the criteria relevant to the proposed use and its impact on hydropower would be pertinent.

The legislation also specifically ties the appropriation of water from the trust to conformance with "state law" and not to the new public interest criteria. This provides flexibility to the state in the future to change

the law if it becomes necessary, without modifying the operation of the trust provisions. Thus, State water policy is not frozen by this legislation.

D. SECTION 4. (ADDING A NEW SECTION TO CHAPTER 2, TITLE 42, IDAHO CODE.)

Section 4 adds a new section to Chapter 2 of Title 42 of the Idaho Code to be designated as Section 42-203D, Idaho Code. This section provides that the Idaho Department of Water Resources shall review all water permits issued by it prior to the effective date of this act; provided, however, that permits having been put to beneficial use prior to July 1, 1985 are exempt. These permits are to be reviewed to assure that they comply with the requirements of this act. The director is authorized to either cancel the permits or subject them to new conditions.

E. SECTION 5.

Section 5 clarifies that this act does not modify, amend or repeal any existing interstate compact.

F. SECTION 6.

Section 6 declares the provisions of this act to be severable in the event that any portion thereof is declared to be invalid or unenforceable.

§ 1007 was read the third time at length, section by section, and placed before the Senate for final consideration, the question being, "Shall the bill pass?"

Roll call resulted as follows:

AYES—Anderson, Batt, Beck, Beitelspacher, Bilyeu, Bray, Budge, Calabretta, Chapman, Crapo, Crystal, Darrington, Dobler, Fairchild, Gilbert, Horsch, Kiebert, Lannen, Marley, McLaughlin, McRoberts, Noh, Parry, Peavey, Rakozy, Reed, Ringert, Risch, Smyser, Staker, Sverdsten, Sweeney, Thorne, Twigg, Watkins, Yarbrough. Total - 36.

NAYS—Carlson, Ricks, Rydalah, Tominaga. Total - 4.

Absent and excused—Lacy, Little. Total - 2.

Total - 42.

Whereupon the President declared § 1007 passed, title was approved, and the bill ordered transmitted to the House.

§ 1006 was read the third time at length, section by section, and placed before the Senate for final consideration, the question being, "Shall the bill pass?"

Roll call resulted as follows:

AYES—Beck, Beitelspacher, Bilyeu, Bray, Budge, Calabretta, Chapman, Crapo, Darrington, Dobler, Fairchild, Gilbert, Horsch, Kiebert, Lannen, Marley, McLaughlin, McRoberts, Noh, Peavey, Rakozy, Reed, Risch, Smyser, Staker, Sverdsten, Sweeney, Thorne, Twigg, Watkins. Total - 30.

NAYS—Anderson, Batt, Carlson, Crystal, Parry, Ricks, Ringert, Rydalah, Tominaga, Yarbrough. Total - 10.

Absent and excused—Lacy, Little. Total - 2.

Total - 42.

Whereupon the President declared § 1006 passed, title was approved, and the bill ordered transmitted to the House.

§ 1005 was read the third time at length, section by section, and placed before the Senate for final consideration, the question being, "Shall the bill pass?"

Roll call resulted as follows:

AYES—Anderson, Heck, Beitelspacher, Bilyeu, Bray, Budge, Calabretta, Chapman, Crapo, Darrington, Dobler, Fairchild, Horsch, Kiebert, Lannen, Marley, McLaughlin, McRoberts, Noh, Parry, Peavey, Rakozy, Reed, Ringert, Risch, Smyser, Staker, Sverdsten, Sweeney, Thorne, Twigg, Watkins, Yarbrough. Total - 33.

NAYS—Batt, Carlson, Crystal, Gilbert, Ricks, Rydalah, Tominaga. Total - 7.

Absent and excused—Lacy, Little. Total - 2.

Total - 42.

Whereupon the President declared § 1005 passed, title was approved, and the bill ordered transmitted to the House.

§ 1015, having been held, was read the third time at length, section by section, and placed before the Senate for final consideration, the question being, "Shall the bill pass?"

On request by Senator Darrington, granted by unanimous consent, § 1015 was referred to the Fourteenth Order of Business, General Calendar.

§ 1016, having been held, was read the third time at length, section by section, and placed before the Senate for final consideration, the question being, "Shall the bill pass?"

Moved by Senator Anderson, seconded by Senator Beck, that § 1016 be referred to the Fourteenth Order of Business for amendment.

An amended motion was made by Senator Ricks, seconded by Senator Kiebert, that the Senate recess until 1:30 p.m. of this day.

The question being, "Shall the amended motion pass?"

The amended motion passed by voice vote, and the Senate recessed until 1:30 p.m. of this day.

**RECESS
AFTERNOON SESSION**

The Senate reconvened at 1:30 p.m., pursuant to recess, President Leroy presiding.

Roll call showed all members present except Senators Bilyeu, Kiebert, Lannen, Peavey, and Tominaga, absent and excused; and Senators Lacy and Little, absent and formally excused by the Chair.

Prior to recess the Senate was at the Thirteenth Order of Business, Third Reading of Bills.

Senator Peavey was recorded present at this order of business.

The President announced that the motion to refer § 1016 to the Fourteenth Order of Business, General Calendar, was before the Senate for consideration, the question being, "Shall the motion pass?"