IDAHO WATER RESOURCES BOARD

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Public Information Meeting on the Swan Falls Agreement Twin Falls, Idaho

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Held on October 25, 1984 before Chairman Kramer

* * * * *

Transcribed by Patricia J. Terry, CSR, RPR, CRR CSR No. 653



Voice 208 345 3704 Fax 208 345 3713 Toll free 800 424 2354 Web www.etucker.net E-mail info@etucker.net



TUCKER
and ASSOCIATES, LLC
Court Reporters

When excellence is an obligation

Page 3 Page 1 1 IDAHO WATER RESOURCES BOARD 1 interest in coming out to listen to what we have 2 2 to say about the agreement that the attorney 3 3 general, the governor, and the power company have 4 4 5 5 We did negotiate this pretty much just 6 6 between the three individual principals, and so Public Information Meeting on 7 7 now that we have arrived at a consensus at that the Swan Falls Agreement Twin Falls, Idaho 8 level, we are anxious to get the word out to the 8 9 public so they can evaluate what I've been 9 10 referring to as a road map that we can use to get TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 11 to a resolution of this whole controversy. 10 12 The governor's perspective on this 11 Held on October 25, 1984 13 problem -- I should begin about two years ago. I 12 before Chairman Kramer 13 14 think most of you are aware that following the 14 15 Supreme Court decision which recognized a claimed 15 16 water right, unsubordinated water right at Swan 16 17 Falls for the Idaho Power Company, the governor 17 18 began leading a charge with the help of Senator 18 19 Negg, who's here with us tonight, to legislatively 19 Transcribed by Patricia J. Terry, CSR, RPR, CRR 20 subordinate those rights. And we fought that 20 CSR No. 653 21 battle over two years in the Idaho legislature and 21 22 fought it to a bloody standstill and weren't able 22 23 to get that accomplished. 23 24 The governor never had the desire when 24 25 he was seeking subordination to pursue a course Page 2 Page 4 1 CHAIRMAN: The candidates that have come in 1 that would lead to development with reckless 2 2 since we started, if you would like to stand and abandon. In fact, he felt a little bit offended 3 3 introduce yourself, we'd sure like to see who you that the power company didn't feel like they could 4 4 are. Anyone who hasn't? trust the state to protect their rights and wanted to put the state back in the driver's seat but to 5 5 MR. COWEN: I had a heek of a time finding 6 this building. 6 protect hydropower rights. 7 7 But as I say, we weren't able to CHAIRMAN: So you weren't alone. 8 8 MR. COWEN: I'm Jerry Cowen from Jerome, and accomplish it through the legislative group, and 9 9 I'm running in the legislative district 25. (Tape following the last session, the power company 10 inaudible.) 10 approached the governor again with an offer to 11 MR. SILVER: I'm Jeff Silver and I'm running 11 enter into an agreement for partial settlement of 12 12 the water rights lawsuits under the legislation in the same district. 13 CHAIRMAN: We're glad to have all of you 13 passed in the 1983 session called Senate Bill 14 people here. On my left is the negotiating team 14 1180. The governor's response to that offer was a 15 15 who's been working for a month putting this counteroffer to enter into negotiations for a 16 total settlement of all the lawsuits and of all 16 together. Far end Tom Nelson, Idaho Power. In the surrounding issues involved in the Swan Falls 17 the middle Pat Costello. And on the right-hand 17 18 side Pat Kole. 18 controversy. The power company took us up on the 19 19 offer, and the governor and the attorney general Pat Costello from the governor's office 20 will be the first speaker. 20 and the Idaho Power Company chief executive 21 21 officer Jim Bruce sat down for the first time in MR. COSTELLO: Thank you, Chairman Kramer, 22 22 members of the board, legislators, and members of July and just had a general discussion to kind of the public. As Tom pointed out, the governor did 23 explore the things they could agree about and the 24 24 request the board to hold these meetings around general areas of disagreement. 25 the state. And he very much appreciate s your 25 And as I say, there wasn't really any

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Page 5

objection on the part of the state to the notion that hydropower rights ought to be taken into account in future management decisions on the Snake River. And if we got away from the loaded word "subordination" and so forth that we could possibly arrive at some consensus as to how much water ought to be in the river, how we can manage the amount of water we feel can yet be developed.

And they designated the three of us to work together to flesh out the ideas at that meeting and to come back to them with additional 11 ideas to explore further. And we went on that wavi for oh, probably six, eight, ten meetings of the three principals and countless meetings of the three of us in between.

And that finally culminated this morning in the signing by the three principals of two contracts, the first one being the main contract that I think most of you got copies of tonight, which is the one that would resolve all of the outstanding issues in the litigation, and a second contract that was the old Senate Bill 1180 contract under which we identified several classes of use, current users who the power company had agreed from the start ought to be protected and

who knows, say the year 2020, sometime in the indefinite future. The status of that right while it's in this transition period -- and by the way it gets there through development approved under

public interest criteria that we're going to ask

the Idaho legislature to adopt.

The status of that water right in the meantime while it's in this transition period from becoming unsubordinated to becoming subordinated is that legal title to the water right will be held in trust by the State of Idaho by and through the governor of the state. But the power company will retain the right to beneficially use that right for the generation of power in the meantime.

So ultimately when we get to the future, unless the minimum streamflow is changed by the time we get to this level, everything above minimum streamflow is subordinated. Everything below is unsubordinated. So that is where we are moving.

And the approach that would accomplish this is a statute that would create this system in carrying out the legislature's authority to regulate hydro power under a 1928 constitutional amendment. The system was designed to solve a

who they were willing to subordinate to. And some 3,040 some of those, Tom has the exact figure, were dismissed this morning or at least the motion to dismiss then was filed.

I think I can illustrate with a little diagram what this agreement is, the guts of the agreement is about as to what we decided as far as the legal status of the rights in this case. Where we are today, we figure with current development, we've got an actual minimum flow of around 4500. The power company through this contract and actually in effect by what we did in the 1180 contract subordinated the rights above that line. Down here at the level of 3900 cfs in the summer, 5600 cfs in the winter is unsubordinated rights. That was fairly easy to get to through the process of (tape inaudible). What we've been haggling over for the past three weeks since we signed that framework that was

should be available for future development. This water right is currently under this scheme unsubordinated, but it will become subordinated in the future, possibly 25-30 years,

handed out to you has been this water in the

middle that we both or all sides have agreed

Page 7

lawsuit, but it would apply by its terms generally across the state. That's because we felt that this was not only an agreement that was appropriate for settling the lawsuits but also was based on sound public policy principles. And that

is your role now as members of the public to evaluate that on that basis.

8 So the final point I would like to make 9 is as I pointed out the governor started out

10 pushing for total subordination. He ultimately 11 after two long, hard years concluded that even if

12 we were to get a total victory in the sense of

13 getting total subordination, it would likely be

14 short lived. If there were no restrictions and no

15 more advanced management systems put into place 16 and there was too rapid development, the pressure

17 from the rate payers would be so great that the

18 legislature would probably step back in and

19 correct the balance. On the other hand, if the

20 power company had achieved a total victory,

21 pressure from the other direction probably would 22 have come in and also given back some of the water

23 for development.

> So my view is that ultimately even if we pursued the litigation to its full course, the

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 9

- 1 result of the litigation would not have been 2 determinative for all times. There's going to be 3 a political solution to this problem. There's
- 4 going to be a balance found and struck at some
- 5 point. It's the governor's firm belief that now
- 6 is the time to strike that balance, and he
- 7 believes that the approach that we've taken to
- striking that balance is a sound reasonable
- 9 approach. And we commit it to you on that basis.
- 10 And once again, thank you very much for your 11

CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Pat Costello. Now we 12 12 13 will hear from Pat Kole from the attorney 14 general's office.

15 MR. KOLE: Thank you, Chairman Kramer, 16 members of the board, legislators, members of the 17 public. My comments tonight will be brief. I 18 think the document that you have in front of you 19 speaks for itself.

20 What we have tried to do here 21 throughout the negotiation process -- and I might 22 say it's not been always a road without a few 23 rocks and pitfalls in it as we've gone through the 24 process -- is to do what has not been done in the 25 past. And that is to put the issue of Idaho's

Page 11

this irrigation season will be protected.

Secondly, we had to make sure that future development proceeded in the most responsible fashion that it could so that we could get the most utilization of a scarce resource. I say that because in looking at the department's records, you cannot clearly see that Idaho's water resource is finite and it cannot last forever. So in managing that resource, you have to get the most bang for the buck. And the system that we have in place in this agreement achieves that result.

Third, in order to handle this approach, we have to bring Idaho's water law into the 21st century. Now the code has served us well in the past, but it needs some updating now. We're at that point in time when we have to take a hard look at it, and we think we have done so in the legislative package that you have before you.

Finally, we thought it was time for the state to take responsible management actions to assure an adequate supply for multiple use of developers. And I think the agreement that you have before you achieves that result also. There is water to be allocate d for various competing

Page 10

battle over its water behind us. If you look at the history of Idaho in 1926, 1945, 1952, 1973, and 1976 and 1982, Idaho's water wars erupted continuously and more vigorously.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We thought it was time to try and come up with a solution that we could recommend to you that would put into place a system of responsible management that would guide us into the 21st century. In doing so, we wanted to create a system where there would not be any loopholes and 10 to the best of our abilities put something that you can rely upon and that in 50 years you will still be able to rely upon to guide Idaho's water management.

In order to do that, we focused on the interests to be protected. The interests to be protected we saw were primarily these: First, all current users of water had to be protected. And I can tell you under this agreement all current water users will be protected in perpetuity. They will have a vested water right which is superior in nature to that of the power company even if, even if the calculations that we have made in arriving at the figures we have used are wrong.

So the people that are currently using water as of

uses so that there will be a chance for all

sectors of Idaho's economy to grow in the future.

Page 12

In conclusion, I would like to say that litigation has benefits and it has its drawbacks. One of the drawbacks is that primarily lawyers tend to get a lot of money going into court, and that might not always be the best way that you could spend your money, because in the final analysis when you look at the money that you're going to eventually have to spend, it may make more sense to spend that money positively in trying to get something accomplished and in place as opposed to spending that money in court.

And on that subject, I'd like to point out that one of the things that we have indicated to you as being necessary is the Snake River adjudication. And there's going to be a lot of controversy about how to finance that adjudication. That's something that the legislature is going to have to address. I can tell you, though, as a lawyer that it is absolutely essential that that Snake River adjudication begin in the very near future. We have received notifications that the federal government will begin pursuing their reserved

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 13

rights. We know that is a fact.

Now, there's going to be one of two forums within which that litigation can occur. It can occur in federal court or it can occur in state court. I have not yet talked to a water user who wants that litigation to proceed in federal court. So our option is to proceed immediately as quickly as possible to adequately finance an adjudication in state court. And I think it's incumbent upon the legislature to address that issue in this session.

I think those conclude my remarks, and I'll be happy to answer questions.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Pat Kole. At this time I'd like to introduce Tom Nelson, Idaho Power. About the time I start to introduce Idaho Power, the lights start turning off. Is that part of your doing?

MR. NELSON: Thank you, Chairman Kramer, That's a service the company gives me when I try to tell everybody how important it is that we preserve the hydro base with the government. They 22 used to do that in front of the PUC all the time.

You know, being last here, I guess I'm going to spend the entirety of my life living on

the end of the ditch. But I would like to say as

code and have a water management allocation system actually work.

So when you read this agreement, hopefully the intent is not too much buried in the legalese. I would ask that you remember that we approached the resolution of the litigation in this agreement, and we approached the resolution of the entirety of the controversy. So this agreement is presented as a whole. It's obviously not presented take it or leave it because the governor, the attorney general, and the Idaho Power Company cannot make this agreement work. It takes the Water Resource Board, the legislature, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to make it work.

But when you look at it, I do ask that you look at it as a whole and not pick at pieces of it, because it is a whole. The question is not how we got to a particular provision, who gave up what to get there, or who gained what to get there. The question is as a whole does this do what it should do? It is I think a responsible suggestion for a way to resolve the controversy.

I think if you look at the potential results of the litigation, on one hand you have

Page 14

a preliminary before we get to the questions that when the governor countered our offer to negotiate 1180 with an offer to negotiate the entirety of the dispute, we were delighted. It had taken a couple years to get everybody in a posture where

that could happen. And I guess you have to go to war for a while before you appreciate some peace. Although I heard a man describe peace as a period 10 of cheating between two periods of fighting. I 11 don't know if that's right or not.

But in any event we were delighted because it's the company's business to make kilowatts, not to make water law for the world, nor to get in pitched battles with the executive branch of state government. Nor has it ever been the company's business to allocate water or be a water master. It never has been, and it doesn't want to be.

So what the company wanted to get out of this was a system where it was a water user, its rights as such were recognized, and the state was placed in a position to actually act as an allocator and manager of the state's water resources. You can't just write those words in a Page 16

Page 15

1 the power company effectively won about as clean a 2 victory as you could postulate it would win. 3

You'd have probably the result that people in 4 place would remain in place. There would be no 5 further development. Had the power company lost 6 entirely and had no water rights at all, you would 7

have the downside of a 3300 minimum flow yearround at Murphy.

So within those two constraints, this agreement puts us somewhat in the middle. The people who have developed will develop. Other people can still develop but under a different set of ground rules. But you don't run the risk that the river goes to 3300.

So regardless of your perspective, it's not so important that you look at what you think was given up from your side but to look at the question of what was retained. Because I think whether you look at it from the standpoint of too much development is permitted or from the standpoint that too much development was taken away, there is still a substantial benefit to the point of view that you represent.

And so if you look at it in that standpoint, I think that we have a situation where

18

19

20

1

6

7

- 1 development can continue, but it's not compelled.
- 2 And it will continue only if it meets the
- 3 standards which we recommend. They're not
- 4 impossible. There has been some criticism that
- 5 they're too loose. And I will leave that to later
- 6 discussion. They're the best we could do
- 7 remembering the interests that we represent and
- 8 remembering also that in the back of our mind we
- 9 had to present a package which we thought would 10 sell.

11 And so if you keep those things in 12 mind, it might give you a little better idea how

13 we got where we did. But I do commend it to you 14 as an entirety and ask that you look at it in its

15 entirety, and it should pass or fail on that

16 basis. Thank you.

17

18

19

20

25

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Tom Nelson. Now it's 17 up to you as the public to ask questions. Be sure to speak loud and clear because we like to get it on tape. Also state your name.

21 Before I go to the public, first I'd 22 like to ask any members of the Water Resource 23 Board, do you have any questions? Greg.

24 Oh, Mr. Jones.

MR. JONES: When the question is asked,

Page 17

1 MR. SHERMAN: Certainly. The intent is to

Page 19

Page 20

2 adjudicate as much as is necessary to bring in the

3 federal reserve rights. Without the federal

4 reserve rights, the adjudication above Murphy

5 gauge, for example, becomes meaningless because of

the national forest, park, indian reservation. We

7 need to bring the federal people in. If they were

8 doing the adjudication from Lewiston up, then

9 that's what we'll do. If they will agree that

10 they may only have to do it above Murphy, then

11 perhaps at this time that certainly would be

12 cheaper to do it just above Murphy. Whatever it

13 takes to bring them in is what the state proposes 14

to do.

15 GREG: Okay. My second question will be to 16 you, too, Frank. Is the 4500 cfs the historical low flow?

MR, SHERMAN: Yes, sir.

GREG: Okay. Then my next part of this question will be to the negotiators. You're at

21 3900 cfs in the summer. You're at 5600 cfs in the

22 winter. Now, as human beings in areas possible

23 and whoever thought the great salt lakes would

24 have gone to an elevation of 4208, but we do know 25

the elevation of the great salt lakes is 15 feet

Page 18

higher than that. And I'm assuming that the flows

2 here are artificial in nature because of the

3 irrigation that has gone on in the Upper Snake.

4 In other words, we're getting a larger recharge to 5

the aguifer now than we were historically. So why did you arrive at the 39/56

instead of going to the historic figure?

8 MR. COSTELLO: Well, if we'd gone to the 9 historic figure, then by definition there wouldn't

10 be any more development. I mean, if current

11 development already takes you to X and you set the

12 minimum streamflow at X, then you are saying there 13

will be no more development. We're going to 14 protect the flow. Do I understand the question

correctly?

15 16

Our aim was to provide something 17 between where we're at now and the existing 18 minimum flow so that there would be a block of

19 water identified as available for free

20 appropriation and at the same time to raise the

21 level of protection somewhat that already exists 22

for in-stream uses.

GREG: All right then, in other words, if on a very dry year the minimum summer flow dropped to 3700 second feet, then that's just the way it is

sometimes the audience doesn't hear it. Will you make sure that the question is understood before the answer is given.

4 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Jones. 5

6

GREG: Mr. Chairman, I have three questions that I would ask, some of them probably by the state hydrologist that need answers, the others by the three principals.

Frank, you mentioned that the adjudication of the Snake system, which I think everyone realizes from the headwaters to its juncture with the Columbia River is approximately 900 miles. If I understood you right, did you say that maybe not all of the system would be adjudicated?

My concern is the federal reserve rights because the Clearwater system, the Lochsa, Selway, et cetera, has a lot of federal lands within it. So if we're going to adjudicate the system, wouldn't we adjudicate the entire stream system and not just say from the Murphy gauging station up over in Lewiston up on the main stem?

23 24 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sherman, would you like to 24 25 answer that?

23

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 21

1 for the utility. No recourse on anyone?

MR. COSTELLO: To the extent that it's caused by users who are currently in place or who are grandfathered in here, they have no recourse. To the extent that it is because of new users, ones that come in after October 1st of this year, they would have recourse and in fact can take action to shut off upstream uses.

CHAIRMAN: I assume you mean appropriators.

GREG: My third question will be to the principals. You're going to ask the legislature to adopt public interest. In the western states public interest is starting to be recognized as public trust. Public trust then pushes itself into the public trust doctrine.

Are you asking the legislature to adopt a public trust doctrine? And if you are not, then please define the two for me.

19 MR. COSTELLO: I think I'll defer to Tom on 20 that. The answer is no, but I don't know the 21 definition.

22 MR. KOLE: The answer is no and no and very 23 strong emphatic explicative deleted no.

24 GREG: That's not part of my question.

25 MR. KOLE: Well, first off, you know the Page 23

1 on behalf of some of the state defendants in one 2 of the lawsuits which raised that doctrine. I 3 think that's one of the benefits of this agreement

4 is that perhaps we get the state to deter from 5 doing that. 6

But no, this agreement does not rest on the public trust doctrine, and the results it seeks can be obtained without reference to that doctrine.

CHAIRMAN: Question from the audience. AUDIENCE MEMBER: I was just wondering in the legislative process how far could the legislature go in tinkering with the different items before you guys meet on May 15th and say well, it's no go or go? And how do you warn the legislature if they're going over the line and tinkering with it so then you don't get to make a tink and look at the packaging and say well, then you're almost negotiating all over again?

MR. COSTELLO: If they mess with it too much, it will destroy the bargain. We'll have to renegotiate the whole deal. But we've got three tiers to the specificity here. If you'll look on pages two and three, items A, B, C, D, E, F, and G, item A for example says "as set forth in

Page 24

1 buzz word "public trust doctrine" I think is

- 2 something that concerns all water users because by
- 3 its very nature it implies a reallocation of a
- 4 vested appropriated water right. And what we are
- 5 doing here is absolutely the opposite. We are in
- 6 this system using the Constitution of the State of 7
- Idaho which says that the right to appropriate the
- 8 quote, unappropriated, unquote waters of the state 9 shall never be denied by in effect saying that the
- 10 Snake River is an appropriated river and because
- 11 it is an appropriated river we can now begin to 12
- use the water rights of the power company as a 13 shield to pick and choose which developments we
- 14 want to go forward. So the idea is to avoid and
- 15 in fact to head off the infiltration of the public
- 16 trust doctrine into the State of Idaho by going to
- 17
- a responsible system that is clearly recognized by 18 the Idaho Constitution.
 - - GREG: Thank you. Do you concur,
- 20 Mr. Nelson?

19

- 21 MR. NELSON: I concur that this agreement 22 does not rest on the public trust doctrine, and I
- 23 think it would be irresponsible in the extreme to 24 introduce a public trust concept to the water law
- of the State of Idaho. There was an answer filed

Exhibit 1." That means we don't -- this was an item that was the heart of the bargain and we don't want to encourage any adjustments there because it really is in the area that a shift one direction or the other could destroy the mutuality of the bargain.

The next one item B says "generally as set forth." That means it's a little less specific, and they can have a little more latitude to add to it or clarify it.

The next item doesn't even refer to an exhibit, so there's pretty much a free hand on legislation there.

That's where we were getting at with that. There are some things here that are more sacred to the principles that hold up in the agreement as a whole than others, and that's the way we designated them. I think there's a couple more, if you look back at the contingencies on pages seven and eight. Item 3 is an "as set forth." Item 4 is an "as set forth." But that's the way we attempted to flag those for the other entities that are going to be dealing with this.

CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the audience? Please state your name.

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Page 25

1 MR. MARCATONI: Oh, okav. I'm with (tape 2 inaudible) Jerry Marcatoni. As far as arriving at 3 the 3900 of flow during the summer, was any other

factor considered aside from power generation?

5 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Can we have you stand. We 6 can't hear you.

7 MR. MARCATONI: Aside from power generation 8 concerns, were any other factors considered in

arriving at 3900 cfs?

4

9 10 MR. KOLE: Yeah, the framework spelled out 11 those considerations. At the time the state water 12 plan was adopted, it was recognized that there was perhaps inadequate supplies of water for other 13 uses. So we tried to incorporate those other uses 14

15 as identified in policy 32 of the state water 16 plan.

17 MR. MARCATONI: Other uses such as? 18 MR. KOLE: Environmental considerations, fish propagation, aesthetics, a whole myriad of 19 20 other uses that are recognized in the state water

21 plan as being beneficial. 22 CHAIRMAN: Next question. Sir? State your

23 name, please. 24 MR. FERGUSON: Paul Ferguson, Shoshone.

25 Will this run counter with the state Constitution

Page 27

Page 28

1 that water last as long as we can and go as far as 2 we can.

MR. FERGUSON: The one stipulation you have on new (tape inaudible) on this water right zone?

MR. NELSON: The water plan target minimum flow at Milner Dam is zero, which is a condition realized in the summer all the time, and this agreement does not contemplate any change in that minimum flow. So short of a statement that before 10 new storage is built we should fully utilize existing storage, what goes on above Milner is not

11 12 affected by this agreement. 13

And I might explain while we're touching on the full utilization, what we were trying to do there was simply get people to ask some questions about the way we use water in the Snake River basin. And full utilization is probably as much a federal question as it is a

19 state question giving federal policies the use of 20 its reservoirs. So the way we look at full

21 utilization is you ask the questions and say is

22 there any way we can free the water up for other

23 uses, for different uses, for more intensive uses,

24 and if the answer comes back no, within existing 25

law and policy we're doing the best we can, then

Page 26

all your plans? Will the state constitutional water rights bring all this out? (Tape

3 inaudible.)

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

MR. COSTELLO: Are you referring to the appropriation doctrine?

MR. FERGUSON: Yes.

MR. COSTELLO: Okay. The question was whether this new scheme runs counter to the state constitutional provision saying the right to appropriate the unappropriated water so the state should never be denied. The key there is the

12 right to appropriate the unappropriated waters. 13 What we're doing here is saying all the waters in 14 the Snake have been appropriated. All the water

15 that's left to be appropriated from this point on

16 is only available because it was previously

17 appropriated by the power company, and the state

18 has gotten control of it through an agreement

19 which allows us to funnel it through a more 20 rigorous set of public interest criteria than the

21 state's ever used before, not only to protect and

22 take into account hydropower benefits but also so 23 that we can encourage those types of development 23

24 that will get us the most development with the

least amount of impact on the river so we make

1 that's full utilization. We simply think those

3 further with our water planning. But we were not

questions ought to be asked before we go too much

4 intending by that phrase to block any development. 5

Simply to force some questions to be asked. 6

CHAIRMAN: Sir.

MR. COWEN: Yes. Jerry Cowen. What bothers me on this subordinated water during that period of time and 20 years before it's used up, does the US Congress have to consider basic transfer and goes off and recognize that as water being used or does California have to?

MR. NELSON: Go ahead.

MR. KOLE: I think that's the major benefit that we have with the system that we've adopted. What we're telling the world and very firmly telling the world is that that water has been appropriated, and it can't be subject to appropriation by any other entity except those approved by the state.

And in addition to that, Exhibit 4 on here talks about if any of that water were to be sold by the power company at any time, any gain on that sale would go to the benefit of the rate payers. So what we're trying to do is send a

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Page 29

1 message there that under any circumstance, any 2 attempt to take that water out of the Snake River 3 basin is going to be met by a united front of both

4 the power company and the State of Idaho and of 5 course all the water users in the state.

MR. COWEN: We only have two senators against 48 -- or 98. I just wondered if you can prove beneficial use.

MR. KOLE: Well, yeah, the water's being used beneficially. It's being used to generate electricity. So that's I think the argument there.

13 MR. COWEN: Just so if you can prove 14 beneficial use (tape inaudible).

15 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: If Washington comes upl 15 16 can Idaho Power use that water that's sitting there that's laying there? Are they going to give 17 us some of that (tape inaudible).

18 19 MR. COSTELLO: Well, under this arrangement 20 the governor acts as trustee for the state, and 21 we're going to try and nick them for a mill per 22 kilowatt for administering the trust.

23 MR. NELSON: All of which will be passed 24 through to the rate payers.

25 CHAIRMAN: You had your hand up. Yes, you. Page 31

4750 minimum flow at Weiser has been violated at 2 least once already. So I don't think that the

3 changes at Murphy have that much relationship to

4 what goes on at Weiser. That flow may be either

5 too high or unprotected right now. So I don't

6 think you can tie the two together in that

7 fashion.

> MR. STEWART: So in other words, we really do leave a loophole there for California to come in because there's nothing appropriated between there and it is an appropriation there?

MR. NELSON: There's nobody that could appropriate the water if they wanted to. There's no place to use it.

MR. STEWART: You can take it on down to California.

MR. NELSON: Well, I don't think you're going to solve that by addressing a minimum streamflow at Murphy.

MR. STEWART: Okay. Increase the minimum streamflow at Murphy, then you've taken that size in cubic feet per second right on down through the system, and this is what Mr. Bebe's objective was in the original petition is to get this power generation on. You've got an unsubordinated right

Page 30

Page 32

1 MR, STEWART: Yes, Fred Stewart. And it 2 goes along the same line as Jerry Cowen. I've 3 always been concerned about the water coming from 4 California recognized in many hearings. 5 Legislatively we have a lot of sincere people 6 wanting fire generation, a lot of sincere people 7 want to develop. My big concern is do we lose it 8 to California from Idaho Power 1,058 cubic feet 9 per second in addition to what they have so a 10 minimum streamflow. Anything I've seen as yet all 11 you've addressed is minimum streamflow at Murphy. 12 The minimum streamflow as adopted by the state 13 water plan was 3300 at Murphy, and then you have

different figures at Weiser and on down. Now, as you increase this minimum streamflow at Murphy, are you going to also increase at Weiser and others on down? Is that the deal? Are you going to leave a gap between Murphy and Weiser so that the thousand cubic feet per second can head on down to California down through the Death pipe? MR. NELSON: Well, Mr. Chairman, I might

23 try. Fred, this agreement does not propose any 24 change in any minimum flow except the one at the Murphy gauge. Now, it's my understanding that the

- 1 all through the Hells Canyon reach which is your 2 80 percent among your power generation. And Idaho
- 3 Power has made no attempt whatsoever to in any way
- 4 protect that. In fact by your own letter, Tom, 5 you indicated that you wanted to start to allow

6 the water to go out.

MR. NELSON: Well, that's a subordinated right, Fred.

MR. STEWART: Pardon me?

MR. NELSON: All the rights in Hells Canyon are subordinated. They're subordinated to uses within the Snake River watershed. They're not subordinated to uses in California.

MR. STEWART: They're unsubordinated rights. MR. NELSON: They're subordinated. They're

16 subordinated by the FERC license and they're subordinated by the state water permit. 17

18 MR. KOLE: That's a key factor here, too, 19 Fred, is that the subordination clauses say they

20 are subordinated to uses within the Snake River 21 watershed. So as to uses that would take the

22 water out of the Snake River watershed, they're

23 unsubordinated. It's very important to remember

24 that because if any other state tries to make an 25 inter basin transfer, it's going to be our

19

21

22

23

24

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Page 33

argument that that water has already been fully 1 2 appropriated.

3 Now, Mr. Cowen's point is very well 4 taken. If the federal government decides to use 5 the federal law to preempt state authority, 6 there's nothing that we as a state can do about 7 that, but we're going to make every effort we can 8 as a state to make sure our house is in order. 9 And that's the reason why this agreement is 10 drafted the way it is. 11

MR. COSTELLO: Except there is something we can do because they can't take a vested property right without compensation.

14 MR. KOLE: Right. That's what we're trying 15 to do.

16 MR, COSTELLO: So we're in better shape than 17 we were before.

18 MR. KOLE: Correct.

12

13

1

8

18

19 CHAIRMAN: Next question. John?

20 MR. PEAVEYCUP: John Peaveycup. Gene may 20

21 have asked this, and I wanted to be real sure, but 22

if we run into a string of really dry years like 1977, and that 4500 was actually say 3600, those 23

24

water rights that were protected in 1180 are still 25 protected. I mean the power company's junior to

Page 34

all existing rights clear down to zero.

2 MR. KOLE: Those legal rights as of November

3 19th, 1982. I think it's important to note that

4 in entering the negotiations, the issue of 5

expanded use and quote, unquote the illegals came 6 up. And those parties are treated in the

7 agreement you have before you by paragraph 7E.

MR. NELSON: D.

9 MR. KOLE: Yeah, 7D, which will require 10 those individuals who have an expanded use in

11 order to get a water right vis-a-vis the power

12 company are going to have to take action by

13 June 30th, 1985. And we'll hope to have an

14 administrative process set up in the very near

15 future to handle that problem.

16 CHAIRMAN: Questions?

17 BRUCE: In addressing the junior rights,

when you say the minimum streamflow in Caldwell is

19 3900 cfs in dry years and I have a well 80 miles

20 in Thousand Springs and the aquifer is theorized

21 to move one mile every four years and Idaho Power

and the State of Idaho said pretty much just shut

your water off, you really get no initial effect 23

24 of the streamflow in the Snake River for 3200

years under that hydraulic pressure. So when you

Page 35

1 say -- are you going to like last night to me last 2 night you said that wouldn't be (tape inaudible)

3 the burden would be on the state to provide the

water (tape inaudible). Tonight I think Pat

5 Costello mentioned it would be just shut off. I

6 just wanted you to address that.

7 MR. COSTELLO: Well, first of all, the 8 primary of addressing that problem is that the 9 water resource department will do its planning 10 based on not granting any more rights or any kind 11 of rights that would take it down in the worst 12 case to below the minimum streamflow. And when 13 they have finished issuing that amount of rights, 14 which we say will take a few decades anyway, all 15 subsequent rights after that presumably will be 16 coupled with some kind of a condition that in 17 critical water periods they have storage rights or 18 something upstream that they could release water

Do you follow me?

down to protect that minimum flow.

BRUCE: You're saving until you reach that critical period of time, then you would -- until you pass a statute that says from now on, our policy is that from now on when we reach the

critical point (tape inaudible).

Page 36

1 MR. COSTELLO: Right, yeah. That will be 2 the primary way of addressing the minimum

3 streamflow. But I suppose you could posit a

4 situation between now and the time when the

5 department reaches that where through improper

6 planning or just extraordinary circumstances that

7 we can't conceive of somebody that gets an

8

unconditional water right which is junior to this

9 hydropower right might be found to owe

10 compensation in the event that we get into a

11 critical period that takes us down below the level 12 we now think we can go to.

13

BRUCE: Is that burden on the permittee or on the State of Idaho?

MR. COSTELLO: On the permittee.

MR. NELSON: I think, Bruce, as we discussed vesterday, you can't in your postulate shut your well off 80 miles away and do any good in 1995 --(tape stopped and began again at a slower level.)

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Do we have it already specified how that would be done?

22 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Gardner, did you have a 23 comment?

24 MR. GARDNER: I was going to comment on the 25 gentleman's question back here by saying that the

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

8

24

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Page 37

1 study by Joel Hamilton (tape inaudible) also 2 delineates what they think are the most likely 3 195,000 acres that could be developed in the 4 state. I think they worked in conjunction with 5 Chuck Broadway.

CHAIRMAN: Fred.

MR. STEWART: (Tape inaudible.) He stated 1.4 million acre feet actually goes over Milner, and that's all been plowed on, so to speak. The controversy so far is between Idaho Power (tape inaudible). But right here in the Twin Falls/ Jerome area there arises a real problem. You can take that 1.4 million acre feet down to the Bruneau project (tape inaudible) remnants of these 14 canal companies. Does this agreement in any way have an impact upon the decision of that? You've got the private power company, so to speak, is wanting a hydropower dropover. Then you've got many, many small hydropowers in the area. Then you've got you're consumptive use. Now, is that going to be decided by public interest? And if so, who makes that decision? Who has the great omniscient (tape inaudible).

The Constitution says very plainly that consumptive use has the priority over Idaho

Page 39

1 3,900 feet at about Murphy, but the average flow 2 for the month of July 1949 was 7,702 feet. Now 3 (tape inaudible) say that somehow control of the 4 river had caused that low flow. What is to keep 5 Idaho Power from exercising their dams on the 6

river to drop the flow below the 3,900 feet and a (tape inaudible) cutoff of upstream use?

MR. KOLE: Page four, paragraph 7B says that the operation, any fluctuations resulting from the operation of the company's facilities shall not be considered in the calculations. So in other words, if they hold back water at CJ Strike and that causes the water level to go down, you don't calculate that into the minimum streamflow.

MR. LEMON: So the streamflow runs between six to eight thousand cubic feet per second from the outflow of the (tape inaudible). Now how can they get below that point in the Snake River below there without control or manipulation?

MR. NELSON: Well, pumping out of the main stem. The pumps on the main stem above Swan Falls have a capacity in excess of a thousand second feet

MR. LEMON: That doesn't get you down anywhere near the 3,900.

Page 38

generation. Idaho Power of course has a prior right. But with the canal companies now, I think

3 it's a real critical question because does the

4 canal company put on the 35, 60 million, I don't

5 know where the figures are now for these things, 6

and then all of a sudden they find that their 7

rights are going down to the Bruneau project? Then we're in serious financial trouble here. 9 What ramification is this going to have? 10 MR. NELSON: The only place we touched that 10 11 Fred, is in Exhibit 7A, which is the authority of 12 the -- it's proposed to give the director 13 authority to subordinate hydropower rights. And 14 if that statute were passed and were applicable to 15 the Milner permit, then in theory the director 16 could subordinate that power right. So the 17 situation you postulate could take place, but the 18 power plant could be built and the water could be 19 run out on the Bruneau. But that's the only place 20 this particular agreement would touch on the 21 conflict between the hydropower right at Milner 22 and the Bruneau plateau gravity closest. 23 CHAIRMAN: Sir.

recorded flow was July 9, 1949 by the USGS of

MR. LEMON: George Lemon. The lowest ever 24

Page 40

MR. NELSON: It gets you down to 45. It gets you down to 45. In 1981 it occurred in about three days for being well into the 7-8,000 cfs range number 4500. So man's doing a lot of that, George.

MR. LEMON: I realize man controls the river (tape inaudible). In the '30s we diverted it all through the aquifer from above Milner (tape inaudible) maintain more water in the aquifer to keep the minimum streamflows up above.

MS. MARTIN: Elaine Martin. I notice in there that you talk about mitigation and leaving it open for later discussion. Hells Canyon is subordinated to upstream uses. Mitigation, though, will allow you to work out a fee, I take it, for Hells Canyon as well as the other dams for winter storage?

And also, will that mitigation have to be worked out even if the -- in other words, right now, if someone wanted to do a project and it didn't look like it would affect the 5600 per se, would they still have to do the miti -- I mean, you know that it's going to affect it. I mean, there's no doubt about that. But would they have to mitigate from right now immediately, or are we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 41

talking a mitigation that only occurs when we get close to the winter 5600 cfs?

MR. NELSON: Elaine, the basic reason for the mitigation provision is when we were trying to wrestle with how to regulate the river using streamflows at Murphy, you have to keep the split personality of the river involved, and the numbers were based on zero flow at Milner. But you also have to recognize that winter flows above the critical period are of extreme importance to the company in meeting its load.

So the mitigation was put in in an attempt to recognize that value that even if you're not at 5600, you have to address the value of those flows for hydropower purposes. So rather than move to a number, the control mechanism is mitigation.

All we're addressing here in the terms -- for those of you who are lost on what we're talking about, it's Exhibit 6. And the control for the winter season below Milner and above Murphy states that if you want to divert to storage in that reach in the winter months, you have to mitigate for adverse effects on hydropower. Neutrality is that the parties have

Page 43

- issue and to make policy determination as to what
- 2 mitigation means. It's just that on the first
- 3 cut, the first time we go through this, we're
- 4 agreeing that we're not going to try and get them 5 to make that determination at this time.

MS. MARTIN: But we are going to allow Idaho Power without any bad feelings to come back and fight for as much as they could possibly get for water used during that period in all dams?

MR. COSTELLO: Corresponding with the other side will fight for nothing. And the state will get caught in the middle.

MR. NELSON: We just, actually, I guess when you come down to it, it was an issue where we had irreconcilable differences, if you will. It sounds like we're getting a divorce and we're not. We couldn't agree and it wasn't important enough to break the negotiations, so we said let's just

not talk about it anymore. MS. MARTIN: I looked at that, too, and I thought well, if they didn't pump the five months in the winter and they couldn't pump the two months in the summer, they had to put water in storage five months out of the year.

MR. NELSON: And they'll have to mitigate

not suggested where you consider the mitigation as being calculated.

And you have to keep in mind the difference between a legal right to compensation and an analysis of economic damage. And that's what you address in mitigation. We avoided the word compensation for that reason. Mitigation in the sense of "making less harmful" or "lessening the effect of can be effectively anything. So we just left it open to the policy maker in that case to resolve it if and when you ever get one of those applications.

We can tell in the negotiations we weren't going to solve it, that we were going to loggerhead, we were going to deadlock on that issue. So we said all right, we'll go to the board, suggest or remain neutral, and at such time as somebody presents one of those projects, then it will have to be addressed. Hopefully maybe there will never be one.

MR. COSTELLO: I might just indicate that the other important aspect of that determination was that the controlling mechanism was left in the hands of the state, and the state water board at any time does have the authority to address that

Page 44

- 1 for it if they do it in that reach. But agreeing 2 not to talk to avoid a fight, that's my wife does.
 - She says do it my way or I won't talk to you.

3 4 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: I have a question on (tape 5 inaudible). Do I understand that the overriding б reason for the general adjudication is to force 7 the federal government's hand in state court?

8 MR. COSTELLO: I should clear that up. 9 That's only one of the reasons. There are a large 10

number of other reasons that we have to begin an 11 adjudication. Number one, we think just in a

12 review of what we know now that there is

13 potentially a large amount or large blocks of 14

water available upstream that could be put to 15 beneficial use.

16 Secondly, it's important I think for

17

18 water rights so that they know what they have with 19 some degree of assurance. If you look at the 20 history of the western United States, virtually --21 well, in fact every other western state has begun

everybody to begin a quantification of their own

22 or is in the process or has concluded adjudication

23 of the water rights. And they hit this point 10, 24 20 years ago because of history. We're at that

25 point now where history is kind of compelling us

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

20

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

inaudible.)

Page 45

to begin that process even though it's going to be a painful process.

And finally, I think in order to manage the system that we're talking about here, you have to know what you've got. If you don't know what you've got, you can't manage it. It's like taking an inventory and having some idea of what's on the shelf. If you don't have that, you're not going to be able to manage it.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Tape inaudible.) Force the federal government to pick up part of the tab on (tape inaudible). And secondly, what's to keep them from (tape inaudible) if they don't get the answer they like to see?

MR. KOLE: We've already begun to make approaches at the federal government on this issue to see if we can get them to participate in the cost study because of the benefits there will be to their agencies, as well. And what was the second part of the question?

21 MR. COSTELLO: Second one was can they go 22 back?

23 MR. KOLE: No, you can't. The US Supreme 24 Court I think has been pretty clear on that.

25 Water law is primarily an area of state court Page 47

beneficial use still is a measure of the water rights. And that will have to be looked at. The

3 state government at this time fully recognizes the

4 value of trying to reach out to (tape inaudible)

5 because of that over application of water. We're

6 going to be reluctant to take away that recharge.

7 free recharge and perhaps have to replace it with 8 a recharge project that everybody pays for. We 9 understand that. That will be considered.

CHAIRMAN: Bill, do you have a question? Elaine?

MS. MARTIN: I've already had several calls today wanting to know if they were one of those 3.000 people being released. Do you have an itemized list of those being released and could I please have one?

MR. NELSON: Well, I am going to mail one if it hasn't gone out already to every lawyer that's appeared in the case and every defendant who has appeared pro se. And if somebody has a question they need to have answered outside of that, we'll be glad to try to respond. It's alphabetical.

Our problem is we didn't always pick up all the names on the permit when we prepared the complaint. But we'll certainly cooperate with you

Page 48

Page 46

if you have a question.

jurisdiction. If the state is moving to handle 1 2 that responsibility that the preferable forum is

3 to go to state court. So that's one of the

4 reasons for taking some responsible action in the 5 very near future.

MR. NELSON: But the race is partly to the swift also because if the federal government gets to the federal court first, that's a major factor of where the case stays.

CHAIRMAN: Any questions?

MR. FERGUSON: Paul Ferguson. When you talk 11 about adjudication, will there be any attempt to quantify the amount of water that should be on the ground or will you leave those old finds as they are? We know there's a lot of them that take our water (tape inaudible). Will there be any disturbing of these water rights?

18 MR. NELSON: Well, our resource board could 19 answer that.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Young, would you like to handle that?

21 MR. YOUNG: The existing water rights 22 23 normally are reconfirmed in the (tape inaudible) 24 to the extent that they have continued to be used in the way they were originally used. Now,

But you probably have a pretty good idea if your water right involves essentially anything but irrigation or an overstated domestic, in other words, if you filed a hundred acres and called it domestic, we'd probably dismiss you. But if you're anything but irrigation, you're probably out. If you were licensed prior to November of 1982, you're out. Or if you filed anything by way of proof of beneficial use prior to November 1982, you're out.

Now, if you were actually in use by November of '82 or had a substantial investment already in your project as of that date, then we don't know about you, but you're entitled to be dismissed. So in that case you need to get with the department. We'll send you some written questions to answer. And based on those questions, then you'll be dismissed later. But we did dismiss all the non-irrigations and all of the known people in use as of November '82 today. So if you're in one of those categories, you're probably out. UNKNOWN SPEAKER: I was just wondering (tape

11

12

19

23

24

25

1

7

13

Page 49

1 MR. NELSON: You mean the people who are 2 dismissed?

3 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yes, those that will be 4 dismissed.

5 MR, NELSON: All right. The people that 6 come under 1180 that have been or will be

7 dismissed, the effect of the dismissal is to 8 remove the lawsuit and to remove Idaho Power

9 Company's water rights as any impediment to your

development. Now, that obviously doesn't 10

11 guarantee you a water right if you've got a

12 problem with a neighbor or well terms or something

13 like that, but as far as the power company's

14 lawsuit or its water right, you will have no

15 further involvement with either.

16

1

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Tape inaudible.)

17 MR. NELSON: Dismissing.

18 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Tape inaudible.)

19 MR. NELSON: That's right. It's a

20 recognized subordination of the power company's

21 rights to those permits or licenses.

22 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Tape inaudible.)

23 MR. NELSON: Anything that was in use or you

24 had the substantial investment as of November '82

25 or any non-irrigation use effectively will be

Page 51

Page 52

order for the power company to sell a water right.

it would need the consent of the Idaho Public

3 Utilities Commission, the Federal Energy

4 Regulatory Commission, and the Idaho state

5 legislature. When it got all that done, it would

have to go to the Department of Water Resources

7 and prove that there was no injury to any other 8 user by reason of the change in place of use or

9 change in nature of use of that water right.

Now when we get all that done, then the water is going to go someplace. But I suggest you and I will be a lot older before that happens.

13 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: I guess you don't do that

14 for grandchildren or great grandchildren (tape

15 inaudible), but we do know that Idaho Power is in

16 business of selling power. That certainly

17 includes in order to sell power to the general

18 public (tape inaudible). They can sell it to

somewhere else and then on the side they can

20 generate their power all the way down to the State

21 of California and generate more power than they'll 22

ever generate to Swan Falls (tape inaudible.) MR, NELSON: It's a question ahead. But I

think when our grand kids hit the turf, they're

going to be in the legislature, too. I think

Page 50

immune from challenge by the power company's water 2 rights.

3 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: I have one more question.

4 (Tape inaudible.) Between the meeting last night

5 and also again tonight, it occurs to me that (tape 6 inaudible) is that there is a loophole. I don't

7 quite understand it. But it was mentioned last

8 night and again tonight if Idaho Power sold (tape

9 inaudible) money would be put back to the users.

10 What would give them the right to sell this water

11 and to (tape inaudible)?

12 MR. NELSON: I can answer that. If you

13 remember the nursery story when you were a kid

about chicken little running around saying the sky 14

15 was falling. Well, this is kind of a chicken

16 little situation, and it came out of the politics

17 of the subordination fight. And somebody said

18 well, we have to take Idaho Power's water rights

19 away because maybe they'll sell them to

20 California, take all the money, and run off into

21 the sagebrush.

22 So this statute is an attempt to say in

23 that unlikely event, the benefit of the money goes

24 to the rate payers and not to the stockholders.

25 Now, the way the statutes are structured now, in they're about as likely to approve that situation

2 as the present legislation. I don't see that as a

3 risk, frankly. I mean, it's not even a possible

4 risk in my book. But the statute says if they can

5 work out a deal and get it approved and get money

6 from California for doing it, the money goes to

the rate payers. Maybe it's worth more that way

8 than it is raising potatoes. I don't know. 9

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Tape inaudible.) It's

10 kind of just a coincidence really. (Tape

11 inaudible.) It bothers me. It really does (tape

12 inaudible.)

MR, COSTELLO: Could I suggest just a couple

14 of things on that because obviously it's a

15 concern. You know there's more people down in

16 California. They've got more people in Congress

17 than we do. And you look at that and they've

18 talked about it openly. It's been in the press.

19 And their governor's even appointed some

20 commissions to look around to try and find some

21 water. And one of the reasons for that is that

22 Los Angeles is running out of water and Los

23 Angeles has to give up a lot of water to Colorado,

24 Arizona.

25 But the best way to protect Idaho's

| | Page 53 | B 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 | Page 55 |
|----|--|--|--|
| 1 | water I think is for us to get our law and order | 1 | don't get the misimpression the condition is |
| 2 | and to get everybody in Idaho pulling on the same | 2 | settled. Clearly it isn't. We're halfway or a |
| 3 | train. If we don't do that pretty quickly, | 3 | little over from the final resolution we all hope |
| 4 | something like that could happen. | 4 | for, and I certainly hope the water board and the |
| 5 | UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Tape inaudible.) | 5 | public and the voters will look at this think |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN: You will be last. Yeah, you. | 6 | objectively. It's very complex. There's a lot of |
| 7 | MR. PETERS: Ralph Peters. (Tape | 7 | interests at stake. A lot of people's rights are |
| 8 | inaudible.) Now that they are dismissing claims | 8 | at stake. So look at it with an open mind, |
| 9 | against nonagriculture users (tape inaudible), | 9 | objectively, and critically. We do have a long |
| 10 | where do we stand on it? Anybody else know where | 10 | ways to go. I think it's very important the |
| 11 | we stand on it? | 11 | public realizes (tape inaudible). |
| 12 | UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Tape inaudible.) Prior | i | CHAIRMAN: Ladies and gentlemen, on behalf |
| 13 | to whichever date it is, November 19th of '82 or | 13 | of the Water Resource Board, we again appreciate |
| 14 | October 1st of '84, either way, will have to go | 14 | you taking your time to come out and listen. Give |
| 15 | through a new public (tape inaudible). So before | 15 | us your comments. We will be holding public |
| 16 | we process it, correct me if I'm wrong here, | 16 | hearings. The legislature will also be holding |
| 17 | you'll have to have legislation worked out. | 17 | |
| 18 | MR. COSTELLO: Well, that's not quite right | 18 | public hearings in the near future. If you want to go through another |
| 19 | because it's covered by the contract, the 1180 | 19 | |
| 20 | | i . | session, we will be in Boise November 1st, the |
| 21 | contract which does say immediately subordinate to | 20 21 | gold room, fourth floor, 7:30. So if you are in |
| 22 | nonconsumptive, domestic, commercial, municipal, | 22 | town, stop in. Thank you for coming. |
| | and industrial right out of the box. | 1 | (End of meeting.) |
| 23 | MR. NELSON: By negative inference, Norm, | 23 | |
| 24 | what we did was we simply didn't cover those kinds | 24 | |
| 25 | of people in the interim with the suggestion that | 25 | 75. TAT VII. UNIA UNIA MANTANIA |
| | Page 54 | | Page 56 |
| 1 | the department proceed to process them. And once | 1 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE |
| 2 | we get the public interest criteria in place, then | 2 | |
| 3 | they will be subject to it. But it was our | 3 | I, Patricia J. Terry, a Notary Public |
| 4 | thought because of the minimal impact of those | 4 | in and for the State of Idaho, do hereby certify: |
| 5 | uses, the department could process while we're | 5 | That prior to being examined, the |
| 6 | getting this thing in place to get the backlog for | 6 | witness named in the foregoing deposition was by |
| 7 | Jerome and Arco and Blackfoot out of the way. | 7 | me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole |
| 8 | But we didn't say so because that was | 8 | truth, and nothing but the truth; |
| 9 | just kind of left open because we didn't say you | 9 | That said deposition was transcribed by |
| 10 | couldn't. We thought maybe you'd leap on it. | 10 | me in shorthand and reduced into typewriting under |
| 11 | UNKNOWN SPEAKER: I want to congratulate | 11 | my direction, and that the foregoing transcript |
| 12 | you, gentlemen, (tape inaudible), and you can come | 12 | contains a full, true, and verbatim record of the |
| 13 | to a compromise and I think although it may not be | 13 | said audio. |
| 14 | perfect, I think we are on the road to getting the | 14 | I further certify that I have no |
| 15 | Snake River working for all the people of Idaho. | 15 | interest in the event of the action. |
| 16 | And I want to congratulate you. | 16 | WITNESS my hand and seal |
| 17 | UNKNOWN SPEAKER: I'd like to follow up on | 17 | April 19, 2007. |
| 18 | Jerry's comments I certainly agree that on | 1-0 | |
| 19 | May 15th and the next few, if there are any | 19 | |
| 20 | heroes, it will probably be these three fellows at | 20 | Detricia I Tames Court Barreton |
| 21 | the table and some of their cohorts. In fact, a | 21 | Patricia J. Terry, Court Reporter |
| 22 | couple of them will get paid in personal | 21 | |
| 23 | satisfaction (tape inaudible). It certainly is a | 22 23 | |
| 24 | fine map or opportunity to follow a route laid out | 23 24 | |
| | for us. On the other hand, I hope the people | 25 | |
| 25 | | | |

abandon 4:2 abilities 10:11 able 3:22 4:7 10:13 45:9 absolutely 12:22 22:5 accomplish 4:8 7:21 accomplished 3:23 12:12 account 5:3 26:22 achieved 8:20 achieves 11:11,24 acre 37:8,13 acres 37:3 48:5 act 14:23 action 21:8 34:12 46:4 56:15 actions 11:21 acts 29:20 actual 6:10 add 24:10 addition 28:21 30:9 additional 5:11 address 12:20 13:11 35:6 41:14 42:6,25 addressed 30:11 42:19 addressing 31:18 34:17 35:8 36:2 41:18 adequate 11:22 adequately 13:8 adjudicate 18:20,21 19:2 adjudicated 18:16 adjudication 12:17,19,23 13:9 18:11 19:4,8 44:6 44:11,22 46:12 adjustments 24:3 administering 29:22 administrative 34:14 adopt 7:6 21:12,16 adopted 25:12 28:15 30:12 advanced 8:15 adverse 41:24 aesthetics 25:19 affect 40:21,23 agencies 45:19 ago 3:13 44:24 agree 4:23 19:9 43:17 54:18 agreed 5:25 6:21 agreeing 43:4 44:1 agreement 1:7 3:2 4:11 6:6,7 8:3 10:19 11:11 11:23 15:3,7,9,12 16:10 22:21 23:3,6 24:17 26:18 27:8,12 30:23 33:9 34:7 37:15 38:20 ahead 28:13 51:23 aim 20:16 allocate 11:25 14:17 allocation 15:1 allocator 14:24 allow 32:5 40:15 43:6

allows 26:19 alphabetical 47:22 amendment 7:25 amount 5:8 26:25 35:13 44:13 46:13 analysis 12:9 42:5 Angeles 52:22,23 answer 13:13 18:3,25 21:20,22 22:25 27:24 45:14 46:19 48:18 50:12 answered 47:21 answers 18:8 anxious 3:8 Anybody 53:10 anymore 43:19 anyway 35:14 appeared 47:19,20 applicable 38:14 application 47:5 applications 42:12 apply 8:1 appointed 52:19 appreciate 2:25 14:8 55:13 approach 7:21 9:7,9 11:14 approached 4:10 15:6,7 approaches 45:16 appropriate 8:4 22:7 26:10.12 31:13 appropriated 22:4,10,11 26:14,15,17 28:18 31:10 33:2 appropriation 20:20 26:5 28:19 31:11 appropriators 21:9 approve 52:1 approved 7:4 28:20 52:5 approximately 18:13 April 56:17 aquifer 20:5 34:20 40:8,9 Arco 54:7 area 24:4 37:12,19 45:25 areas 4:24 19:22 argument 29:11 33:1 arises 37:12 Arizona 52:24 arrangement 29:19 arrive 5:6 20:6 arrived 3:7 arriving 10:24 25:2,9 artificial 20:2 aside 25:4,7 asked 17:25 28:2,5 33:21 asking 21:16 aspect 42:22

assume 21:9

assuming 20:1

assure 11:22

assurance 44:19

attempt 29:2 32:3 41:13 46:12 50:22 attempted 24:22 attorney 3:2 4:19 9:13 15:11 audience 18:1 23:10,11 24:24 audio 56:13 authority 7:23 33:5 38:11 38:13 42:25 available 6:22 20:19 26:16 44:14 average 39:1 avoid 22:14 44:2 avoided 42:6 aware 3:14 В B 23:24 24:7 back 4:5 5:11 8:18,22 17:8 24:19 27:24 36:25 39:12 43:7 45:22 50:9 backlog 54:6 bad 43:7 balance 8:19 9:4,6,8 bang 11:10 bargain 23:21 24:2.6 base 13:22 based 8:5 35:10 41:8 48:18 basic 28:10 41:3 basin 27:17 29:3 32:25 basis 8:7 9:9 17:16 battle 3:21 10:1 battles 14:15 Bebe's 31:23 becoming 7:9,9 began 3:18 36:19 begun 44:21 45:15 behalf 23:1 55:12 beings 19:22 belief 9:5 believes 9:7 beneficial 25:21 29:8,14 44:15 47:1 48:10 beneficially 7:13 29:10 benefit 16:22 28:14,24 50:23 benefits 12:4 23:3 26:22 45:18 best 10:11 12:7 17:6 27:25 52:25 better 17:12 33:16 big 30:7 Bill 4:13 5:22 47:10 bit 4:2 Blackfoot 54:7 block 20:18 28:4 blocks 44:13 bloody 3:22

15:13 17:23 42:17,24 46:18 55:4,13 Boise 55:19 book 52:4 bothers 28:7 52:11 box 53:22 branch 14:16 break 43:18 brief 9:17 bring 11:14 19:2,7,13 26:2 Broadway 37:5 Bruce 4:21 34:17 35:21 36:13.16 Bruneau 37:14 38:7,19 38:22 buck 11:10 building 2:6 built 27:10 38:18 burden 35:3 36:13 buried 15:4 business 14:13,17 51:16 buzz 22:1 C 23:24 56:1.1 calculate 39:14 calculated 42:2

calculations 10:23 39:11 Caldwell 34:18 California 28:12 30:4,8 30:20 31:9,16 32:13 50:20 51:21 52:6.16 called 4:13 48:6 calls 47:12 canal 37:15 38:2,4 candidates 2:1 Canyon 32:1,10 40:13,16 capacity 39:22 carrying 7:23 case 6:8 35:12 42:10 46:9 47:19 48:16 categories 48:22 caught 43:12 caused 21:3 39:4 causes 39:13 century 10:9 11:15 certainly 19:1,11 47:25 51:16 54:18,23 55:4 certify 56:4,14 cetera 18:19 cfs 6:14,15 19:16,21,21 25;9 34:19 40:3 41:2 Chairman 1:12 2:1,7,13 2:21 9:12,15 13:14,19 17:17 18:4,6,24 21:9 23:10 24:24 25:22 28:6 29:25 30:22 33:19 34:16 36:22 37:6 38:23 46:10,20 47:10 53:6 55:12

challenge 50:1 chance 12:1 change 27:8 30:24 51:8,9 changed 7:16 changes 31:3 charge 3:18 cheaper 19:12 cheating 14:10 chicken 50:14,15 chief 4:20 **choose** 22:13 Chuck 37:5 circumstance 29:1 circumstances 36:6 CJ 39:12 claimed 3:15 claims 53:8 clarify 24:10 classes 5:23 clauses 32:19 clean 16:1 clear 17:19 34:1 44:8 clearly 11:7 22:17 55:2 Clearwater 18:18 close 41:2 closest 38:22 code 11:15 15:1 cohorts 54:21 coincidence 52:10 Colorado 52:23 Columbia 18:13 come 2:1 5:11 8:22 10:5 21:6 31:9 43:7,14 49:6 54:12 55:14 comes 27:24 29:15 coming 3:1 30:3 55:21 commend 17:13 comment 36:23,24 comments 9:17 54:18 55:15 commercial 53:21 Commission 15:14 51:3,4 commissions 52:20 commit 9:9 companies 37:15 38:2 company 3:3,17 4:3,9,18 4:20 5:24 6:11 7:12 8:20 10:22 13:20 14:20 15:12 16:1,5 22:12 26:17 28:23 29:4 34:12 37:17 38:4 41:11 51:1 company's 14:13,17 33:25 39:10 49:9,13,20 compelled 17:1 compelling 44:25 compensation 33:13 36:10 42:4,7 competing 11:25

complaint 47:25

board 1:1 2:22,24 9:16

deter 23:4

complex 55:6 compromise 54:13 conceive 36:7 concept 22:24 concern 18:17 30:7 52:15 concerned 30:3 concerns 22:2 25:8 conclude 13:12 concluded 8:11 44:22 conclusion 12:3 concur 22:19.21 condition 27:6 35:16 55:1 conflict 38:21 congratulate 54:11,16 Congress 28:10 52:16 conjunction 37:4 consensus 3:7 5:6 consent 51:2 consider 28:10 42:1 considerations 25:11,18 considered 25:4,8 39:11 47:9 Constitution 22:6.18 25:25 37:24 constitutional 7:24 26:1.9 constraints 16:9 consumptive 37:20,25 contains 56:12 contemplate 27:8 contingencies 24:19 **continue** 17:1.2 continued 46:24 continuously 10:4 contract 5:19,22,23 6:12 6:13 53:19,20 contracts 5:18 control 26:18 39:3,19 41:16.21 controlling 42:23 controls 40:6 controversy 3:11 4:18 12:18 15:8,23 37:10 cooperate 47:25 copies 5:19 correct 8:19 33:18 53:16 correctly 20:15 Corresponding 43:10 cost 45:18 Costello 2:17,19,21 9:12 20:8 21:2,19 23:20 26:4 26:7 29:19 33:11,16 35:5,7 36:1,15 42:21 43:10 44:8 45:21 52:13 53:18 counter 25:25 26:8 countered 14:3 counteroffer 4:15 countless 5:14 couple 14:6 24:18 52:13 54:22

coupled 35:16

course 3:25 8:25 29:5 38.1 court 3:15 12:6,13 13:4,5 13:7,9 44:7 45:24,25 46:3,8 56:20 cover 53:24 covered 53:19 Cowen 2:5,8,8 28:7,7 29:6.13 30:2 Cowen's 33:3 create 7:22 10:9 criteria 7:5 26:20 54:2 critical 35:17,22,25 36:11 38:3 41:10 critically 55:9 criticism 17:4 **CRR** 1:19 CSR 1:19.20 cubic 30:8,19 31:22 39:16 culminated 5:16 current 5:24 6:9 10:18,19 20:10 currently 6:23 10:25 21:3 cut 43:3 cutoff 39:7

D d 11:25 23:24 34:8 Dam 27:6 damage 42:5 dams 39:5 40:16 43:9 date 48:14 53:13 days 40:3 deadlock 42:15 deal 23:22 30:18 52:5 dealing 24:23 Death 30:21 decades 35:14 decided 6:7 37:21 decides 33:4 decision 3:15 37:16.22 decisions 5:3 defendant 47:19 defendants 23:1 defer 21:19 define 21:18 definition 20:9 21:21 degree 44:19 deleted 21:23 delighted 14:5.12 delineates 37:2 denied 22:9 26:11 department 35:9 36:5 48:17 51:6 54:1.5 department's 11:6 deposition 56:6.9 describe 14:9 designated 5:9 24:18 designed 7:25

desire 3:24

destroy 23:21 24:5

determination 42:22 43:1 43:5 determinative 9:2 develop 16:11,12 30:7 developed 5:8 16:11 37:3 developers 11:23 development 4:1 6:10,22 7;4 8:16,23 11:3 16:5 16:20,21 17:1 20:10,11 20:13 26:23,24 28:4 49:10 developments 22:13 diagram 6:6 difference 42:4 differences 43:15 different 16:12 23:13 27:23 30:14 direction 8:21 24:5 56:11 director 38:12,15 disagreement 4:24 discussed 36:16 discussion 4:22 17:6 40:13 dismiss 6:4 48:6.20 dismissal 49:7 dismissed 6:3 48:16.19 49:2,4,7 dismissing 49:17 53:8 dispute 14:5 district 2:9.12 disturbing 46:17 ditch 14:1 divert 41:22 diverted 40:7 divorce 43:16 doctrine 21:15,17 22:1,16 22:22 23:2,7,9 26:5 document 9:18 doing 10:9 13:18 19:8 22:5 23:5 26:13 27:25 40:4 52:6 domestic 48:4,6 53:21 doubt 40:24 downside 16:7 drafted 33:10 drawbacks 12:4.5 driver's 4:5 drop 39:6 dropover 37:18 dropped 20:24 dry 20:24 33:22 34:19

E E 23:24 56:1,1,1,1 easy 6:16 economic 42:5 economy 12:2 effect 6:12 22:9 34:23 42:9 49:7

duly 56:7

effectively 16:1 42:9 49:25 effects 41:24 effort 33:7 eight 5:13 24:20 39:16 either 31:4 49:15 53:14 Elaine 40:11 41:3 47:11 electricity 29:11 elevation 19:24,25 emphatic 21:23 encourage 24:3 26:23 Energy 15:14 51:3 enter 4:11,15 entering 34:4 entire 18:21 entirely 16:6 entirety 13:25 14:4 15:8 17:14.15 entities 24:23 entitled 48:15 entity 28:19 Environmental 25:18 erupted 10:3 essential 12:22 essentially 48:3 et 18:19 evaluate 3:9 8:7 event 14:12 36:10 50:23 56:15 eventually 12:10 everybody 13:21 14:6 44:17 47:8 53:2 exact 6:2 examined 56:5 example 19:5 23:25 excess 39:22 executive 4:20 14:15 exercising 39:5 exhibit 24;1,12 28:21 38:11 41:20 existing 20:17 27:11,24 34:1 46:22 exists 20:21 expanded 34:5,10 explain 27:13 explicative 21:23 explore 4:23 5:12 extent 21:2,5 46:24 extraordinary 36:6 extreme 22:23 41:10

F
F 23:24 56:1
facilities 39:10
fact 4:2 13:1 21:7 22:15
32:4 44:21 54:21
factor 25:4 32:18 46:8
factors 25:8
fail 17:15
fairly 6:16
falling 50:15

24:21

Falls 1:7,7 3:17 4:17 37:11 39:21 51:22 far 2:16 6:7 23:12 25:2 27:1 37:10 49:13 fashion 11:4 31:7 federal 12:24 13:4,7 15:14 18:17,19 19:3,3,7 27:18,19 33:4,5 44:7 45:11.16 46:7.8 51:3 fee 40:15 feel 4:3 5:8 feelings 43:7 feet 19:25 20:25 30:8,19 31:22 37:8,13 39:1,2,6 39:16.23 fellows 54:20 felt 4:2 8:2 **FERC** 32:16 Ferguson 25:24,24 26:6 27:3 46:11,11 fight 43:8,11 44:2 50:17 fighting 14:10 figure 6:2,9 20:7,9 figures 10:24 30:14 38:5 filed 6:4 22:25 48:5.9 final 8:8 12:8 55:3 finally 5:16 11:20 45:3 finance 12:18 13:9 financial 38:8 find 38:6 52:20 finding 2:5 finds 46:14 fine 54:24 finished 35:13 finite 11:8 fire 30:6 firm 9:5 firmly 28:16 first 2:20 4:21 5:18 10:17 17:21 21:25 35:7 43:2,3 46:8 fish 25:19 five 43:21,24 flag 24:22 flesh 5:10 floor 55:20 flow 6:10 16:7 19:17 20:14,18,24 25:3 27:6,9 30:24 31:1,4 35:19 38:25 39:1,4,6 41:8 flows 20:1 41:9,15 fluctuations 39:9 **focused** 10:15 follow 35:20 54:17,24 following 3:14 4:9 force 28:5 44:6 45:10 foregoing 56:6,11 forest 19:6 forever 11:8 forth 5:5 23:25 24:8,21

forum 46:2 forums 13:3 forward 22:14 fought 3:20,22 found 9:4 36:9 four 34:21 39:8 fourth 55:20 framework 6:19 25:10 Frank 18:10 19:16 frankly 52:3 Fred 30:1,23 32:8,19 37:6 38:11 free 20:19 24:12 27:22 47-7 front 9:18 13:23 29:3 full 8:25 27:14,17,20 28:1 56:12 fully 27:10 33:1 47:3 funnel 26:19 further 5:12 16:5 28:3 49:15 56:14 future 5:3 6:22,25 7:2,16 11:3 12:2,23 34:15 46:5 55:17

____ G G 23:25 gain 28:23 gained 15:20 gap 30:18 Gardner 36:22,24 gauge 19:5 30:25 gauging 18:22 Gene 33:20 general 3:3 4:19,22,24 15:11 44:6 51:17 generally 8:1 24:7 general's 9:14 generate 29:10 51:20.21 51:22 generation 7:14 25:4,7 30:6 31:25 32:2 38:1 gentleman's 36:25 gentlemen 54:12 55:12 George 38:24 40:5 getting 8:13 20:4 24:14 43:16 54:6,14 give 17:12 29:17 38:12 50:10 52:23 55:14 given 8:22 16:17 18:3 gives 13:20 giving 27:19 glad 2:13 47:22 go 14:7 17:21 22:14 23:13 23:15,15 27:1 28:2,13 28:24 32:6 36:12 39:13 42:16 43:3 45:21 46:3 51:6,11 53:14 55:10,18 goes 16:14 27:11 28:11 30:2 31:4 37:8 50:23

52:6

going 7:5 9:2,4 12:6,10,17 12:20 13:2,25 18:20 20:7.13 21:11 22:16 23:16 24:23 29:3,17,21 30:16,18 31:18 32:25 33:7 34:12 35:1 36:24 37:21 38:7,9 40:23 42:14,14,15 43:4,6 45:1 45:8 47:6,17 51:11,25 gold 55:20 good 36:18 48:2 gotten 26:18 government 12:25 13:22 14:16 33:4 45:11,16 46:7 47:3 government's 44:7 governor 2:23 3:3,17.24 4:10,19 7:12 8:9 14:3 15:11 29:20 governor's 2:19 3:12 4:14 9:5 52:19 grand 51:24 grandchildren 51:14,14 grandfathered 21:4 granting 35:10 gravity 38:22 great 8:17 19:23,25 37:22 51.14 Greg 17:23 18:5.6 19:15 19:19 20:23 21:10.24

ground 16:13 46:14 group 4:8 grow 12:2 guarantee 49:11 guess 13:24 14:7 43:13 51:13 guide 10:8,13 guts 6:6 guys 23:14

....<u>H</u>... haggling 6:18 halfway 55:2 Hamilton 37:1 hand 8:19 15:25 24:12 29:25 44:7 54:25 56:16 handed 6:20 handle 11:13 34:15 46:1 46:21

hands 42:24 happen 14:7 53:4 happens 51:12 happy 13:13 hard 8:11 11:18

harmful 42:8 head 22:15 30:20 headwaters 18:12 hear 9:13 18:1 25:6

heard 14:9 hearings 30:4 55:16,17 heart 24:2 heck 2:5 held 1:11 7:11 Hells 32:1,10 40:13,16 help 3:18 heroes 54:20 high 31:5 higher 20:1 historic 20:7,9 historical 19:16 historically 20:5 history 10:2 44:20,24,25 hit 44:23 51:24 hold 2:24 24:16 39:12 holding 55:15,16 hope 34:13 54:25 55:3,4 hopefully 15:4 42:19 house 33:8 human 19:22 hundred 48:5 hydraulic 34:25 hydro 7:24 13:22 hydrologist 18:8 hydropower 4:6 5:2 26:22 36:9 37:18 38:13 38:21 41:15,25

Idaho 1:1,7 2:16 3:17,21 4:20 7:6,11 10:2 13:15 13:16 15:11 22:7.16.18 22:25 29:4,16 30:8 32:2 34:21,22 36:14 37:10 37:25 38:1 39:5 43:6 49:8 50:8,18 51:2,4,15 53:2 54:15 56:4 Idaho's 9:25 10:3,13 11:7 11:14 12:2 52:25 idea 17:12 22:14 45:7

48:3 ideas 5:10,12 identified 5:23 20:19 25:15 illegals 34:5 illustrate 6:5 immediately 13:8 40:25 53:20 immune 50:1 impact 26:25 37:16 54:4

impediment 49:9 implies 22:3 importance 41:10 important 13:21 16:16 32:23 34:3 42:22 43:17 44:16 55:10 impossible 17:4 improper 36:5

inadequate 25:13 inaudible 2:10 6:17 25:2 26:3 27:4 29:14,18 35:2

35:4,25 37:1,7,11,14,23 39:3,7,17 40:7,9 44:5 45:10,12,13 46:16,23 47:4 48:25 49:16,18,22 50:4,6,9,11 51:15,18,22 52:9,11,12 53:5,8,9,12 53:15 54:12,23 55:11 includes 51:17

incorporate 25:14 increase 30:15,17 31:20 incumbent 13:10 indefinite 7:2

individuals 34:10

industrial 53:22

inference 53:23

infiltration 22:15

Information 1:6

initial 34:23

injury 51:7

intending 28:4

intensive 27:23

intent 15:4 19:1

indian 19:6 indicate 42:21 indicated 12:15 32:5 individual 3:6

hydropowers 37:19 inter 32:25

interest 3:1 7:5 9:11 21:12.13 26:20 37:21 54:2 56:15

interests 10:16,16 17:7 55:7 interim 53:25

introduce 2:3 13:15,16 22:24 inventory 45:7

investment 48:13 49:24 involved 4:17 41:7 involvement 49:15

involves 48:3 in-stream 20:22

irreconcilable 43:15 irresponsible 22:23

irrigation 11:1 20:3 48:4 48:7

issue 9:25 13:11 34:4 42:16 43:1,14 45:16

issues 4:17 5:21 issuing 35:13 item 23:25 24:2,7,11,20

24:21 itemized 47:15 items 23:14.24

J J 1:19 56:3,20 Jeff 2:11 Jerome 2:8 37:12 54:7

Jerry 2:8 25:2 28:7 30:2 Jerry's 54:18 Jim 4:21

Joel 37:1 John 33:19.20 Jones 17:24,25 18:4 July 4:22 38:25 39:2 juncture 18:13 June 34:13 junior 33:25 34:17 36:8 jurisdiction 46:1

K keep 17:11 39:4 40:10 41:6 42:3 45:12 key 26:11 32:18 kid 50:13 kids 51:24 kilowatt 29:22 kilowatts 14:14 kind 4:22 35:10,16 44:25 50:15 52:10 54:9 kinds 53:24 know 13:1,24 14:11 19:24 21:20,25 38:5 40:23 44:12.18 45:5,5 46:15 47:13 48:15 51:15 52:8 52:15 53:10 known 48:21 knows 7:1 Kole 2:18 9:13,15 13:14 21:22,25 25:10,18 28:14 29:9 32:18 33:14

45:23 Kramer 1:12 2:21 9:15 13:19

33:18 34:2,9 39:8 45:15

L Ladies 55:12 laid 54:24 lakes 19:23,25 lands 18:19 large 44:9,13,13 larger 20:4 latitude 24:9 law 11:14 14:14 22:24 27:25 33:5 45:25 53:1 lawsuit 8:1 49:8,14 lawsuits 4:12,16 8:4 23:2 lawyer 12:21 47:18 lawyers 12:5 laying 29:17 lead 4:1 leading 3:18 leap 54:10 leave 15:10 17:5 30:18

31:9 46:14 leaving 40:12 left 2:14 26:15 42:10,23 54:9 legal 6:8 7:10 34:2 42:4

legalese 15:5 legislation 4:12 24:13

| **** |
|--|
| f0 0 50 1 <i>a</i> |
| 52:2 53:17 |
| legislative 2:9 4:8 11:19 |
| 23:12 |
| legislatively 3:19 30:5 |
| legislators 2:22 9:16 |
| legislature 3:21 7:6 8:18 |
| 12:20 13:10 15:13 |
| 21:11,16 23:13,16 51:5 |
| 51:25 55:16 |
| legislature's 7:23 |
| Lemon 38:24,24 39:15,24 |
| 40:6 |
| lessening 42:8 |
| letter 32:4 |
| let's 43:18 |
| level 3:8 6:14 7:17 20:21 |
| 36:11,19 39:13 |
| Lewiston 18:23 19:8 |
| license 32:16 |
| licensed 48:8 |
| licenses 49:21 |
| life 13:25 |
| lights 13:17 |
| line 6:14 23:16 30:2 |
| list 47:15 |
| listen 3:1 55:14 |
| litigation 5:21 8:25 9:1 |
| 12:4 13:3,6 15:6,25 |
| little 4:2 6:5 17:12 24:8,9 |
| 50:14,16 55:3 |
| lived 8:14 |
| living 13:25 |
| load 41:11 |
| loaded 5:4 |
| Lochsa 18:18 |
| loggerhead 42:15 |
| long 8:11 27:1 55:9 |
| look 10:1 11:18 12:9 |
| 15:16.17.24 16:16.17 |
| 15:16,17,24 16:16,17 16:19,24 17:14 23:18 |
| 23:23 24:19 27:20 |
| 40:21 44:19 52:17,20 |
| 55:5,8 |
| looked 43:20 47:2 |
| looking 11:6 |
| loophole 31:9 50:6 |
| loopholes 10:10 |
| loose 17:5 |
| Los 52:22,22 |
| lose 30:7 |
| lost 16:5 41:19 |
| lot 12:6,17 18:19 30:5,6 |
| 40:4 46:15 51:12 52:23 |
| 55:6,7 |
| loud 17:19 |
| low 19:17 39:4 |
| lowest 38:24 |
| 10 H LOL JU. 47 |
| <u>M</u> |
| mail 47:17 |
| main 5:18 18:23 39:20,21 |
| main 3.10 10.23 39:20,21 |

```
maintain 40:9
major 28:14 46:8
maker 42:10
making 42:8
man 14:9 40:6
manage 5:7 45:3,6,9
management 5:3 8:15
  10:8,14 11:21 15:1
manager 14:24
managing 11:9
manipulation 39:19
man's 40:4
map 3:10 54:24
Marcatoni 25:1,2,7,17
Martin 40:11,11 43:6,20
  47:12
master 14:18
mean 20:10 21:9 33:25
  40:22,23 49:1 52:3
meaningless 19:5
means 24:1,8 43:2
measure 47:1
mechanism 41:16 42:23
meet 23:14
meeting 1:6 5:11 41:11
  50:4 55:22
meetings 2:24 5:13,14
meets 17:2
MEMBER 23:11
members 2:22.22 8:6 9:16
  9:16 17:22
mentioned 18:10 35:5
  50:7
mess 23:20
message 29:1
met 29:3
middle 2:17 6:21 16:10
  43:12
mile 34:21
miles 18:14 34:19 36:18
mill 29:21
million 37:8.13 38:4
Milner 27:6.11 37:8
  38:15,21 40:8 41:8,21
mind 17:8,12 42:3 55:8
minimal 54:4
minimum 6:10 7:16,18
  16:7 20:12,18,24 27:5,9
  30:10,11,12,15,24 31:1
  31:18,20 34:18 35:12
  35:19 36:2 39:14 40:10
misimpression 55:1
miti 40:22
mitigate 40:25 41:24
  43:25
mitigation 40:12,14,18
  41:1,4,12,17 42:1,6,7
money 12:6,8,9,11,13
  50:9,20,23 52:5,6
month 2:15 39:2
```

```
months 41:23 43:21,23,24
morning 5:17 6:3
motion 6:3
move 34:21 41:16
moving 7:20 46:1
multiple 11:22
municipal 53:21
Murphy 16:8 18:22 19:4
  19:10,12 30:11,13,16
  30:19,25 31:3,19,21
  39:1 41:6.22
mutuality 24:5
myriad 25:19
         N
name 17:20 24:25 25:23
named 56:6
names 47:24
national 19:6
nature 10:22 20:2 22:3
  51.9
near 12:23 34:14 39:25
  46:5 55:17
necessary 12:16 19:2
need 18:8 19:7 47:21
  48:16 51:2
needs 11:16
negative 53:23
Negg 3:19
negotiate 3:5 14:3,4
negotiating 2:14 23:19
negotiation 9:21
negotiations 4:15 34:4
  42:13 43:18
negotiators 19:20
                       0
```

| negotiators 19:20 |
|------------------------|
| neighbor 49:12 |
| Nelson 2:16 13:15,19 |
| 17:17 22:20,21 27:5 |
| 28:13 29:23 30:22 |
| 31:12,17 32:7,10,15 |
| 34:8 36:16 38:10 39:20 |
| 40:1 41:3 43:13,25 46: |
| 46:18 47:17 49:1,5,17 |
| 49:19,23 50:12 51:23 |
| 53:23 |
| neutral 42:17 |
| Neutrality 41:25 |
| never 3:24 14:18 22:9 |
| 26:11 42:20 |
| new 21:5 26:8 27:4,10 |
| 53:15 |
| nick 29:21 |
| night 35:1,2 50:4,8 |
| nonagriculture 53:9 |
| nonconsumptive 53:21 |
| non-irrigation 49:25 |
| non-irrigations 48:20 |
| Norm 53:23 |
| normally 46:23 |
| Notary 56:3 |
| note 34:3 |
| |
| |
| |

| notice 40:11 |
|-------------------------|
| notifications 12:24 |
| notion 5:1 |
| November 34:2 48:9,11 |
| 48:13,21 49:24 53:13 |
| 55:19 |
| number 40:4 41:16 44:10 |
| 44:1 1 |
| numbers 41:7 |
| nursery 50:13 |
| - |

O 0.56.1objection 5:1 objective 31:23 objectively 55:6,9 obtained 23:8 obviously 15:9 49:10 52:14 occur 13:3,4,4 occurred 40:2 occurs 41:1 50:5 October 1:11 21:6 53:14 offended 4:2 offer 4:10,14,19 14:3,4 office 2:19 9:14 officer 4:21 oh 5:13 17:24 25:1 okay 19:15,19 25:1 26:7 31:20 old 5:22 46:14 older 51:12 omniscient 37:23 once 9:10 31:2 54:1 ones 21:6 open 40:13 42:10 54:9 55:8 openly 52:18 operation 39:9.10 opportunity 54:24 opposed 12:13 opposite 22:5 option 13:7 order 10:15 11:13 33:8 34:11 45:3 51:1,17 53:1 original 31:24 originally 46:25 ought 5:2,7,25 28:2 outflow 39:17 outside 47:21 outstanding 5:21 overriding 44:5 overstated 48:4

ought 5:2,7,25 28:2 outflow 39:17 outside 47:21 outstanding 5:21 overriding 44:5 overstated 48:4 owe 36:9 P P 56:1 package 11:19 17:9 packaging 23:18 Page 39:8 pages 23:24 24:20

plans 26:1

plant 38:18

paid 54:22 painful 45:2 paragraph 34:7 39:8 Pardon 32:9 park 19:6 part 5:1 13:17 19:19 21:24 45:11,20 partial 4:11 participate 45:17 particular 15:19 38:20 parties 34:6 41:25 partly 46:6 pass 17:15 35:23 passed 4:13 29:23 38:14 Pat 2:17,18,19 9:12,13 13.14 35:4 Patricia 1:19 56:3,20 Paul 25:24 46:11 payers 8:17 28:25 29:24 50:24 52:7 pays 47:8 peace 14:8,9 Peaveycup 33:20,20 people 2:14 10:25 16:3,11 16:12 19:7 27:15 30:5,6 47:14 48:21 49:1,5 52:15,16 53:25 54:15 54:25 people's 55:7 percent 32:2 perfect 54:14 period 7:3,8 14:9 28:8 35:22 36:11 41:10 43:9 periods 14:10 35:17 permit 32:17 38:15 47:24 permits 49:21 permitted 16:20 permittee 36:13,15 perpetuity 10:20 personal 54:22 personality 41:7 perspective 3:12 16:15 Peters 53:7.7 petition 31:24 phrase 28:4 pick 15:17 22:13 45:11 47:23 pieces 15:17 pipe 30:21 pitched 14:15 pitfalls 9:23 place 8:15 10:7 11:11 12:12 16:4,4 21:3 31:14 38:10,17,19 51:8 54:2,6 placed 14:23 plainly 37:24 plan 25:12,16,21 27:5 30:13 planning 28:3 35:9 36:6

50:3 51:23

questions 13:13 14:2

17:18,23 18:6 24:24

27:16,21 28:2,5 34:16

| plateau 38:22 |
|---|
| please 21:18 24:25 25:23 |
| 47:16 |
| plowed 37:9 |
| point 8:8 9:5 11:17 12:14 16:23 26:15 33:3 35:25 |
| 39:18 44:23,25 |
| pointed 2:23 8:9 |
| policies 27:19 |
| policy 8:5 25:15 27:25 |
| 35:24 42:10 43:1 |
| political 9:3 politics 50:16 |
| posit 36:3 |
| position 14:23 |
| positively 12:11 |
| possible 13:8 19:22 52:3 |
| possibly 5:6 6:25 43:8 |
| postulate 16:2 36:17 38:17 |
| posture 14:6 |
| potatoes 52:8 |
| potential 15:24 |
| potentially 44:13 |
| power 2:16 3:3,17 4:3,9 4:18,20 5:24 6:11 7:12 |
| 7:14,24 8:20 10:22 |
| 13:16,17 15:12 16:1,5 |
| 22:12 25:4,7 26:17 |
| 28:23 29:4,16 30:8 |
| 31:24 32:2,3 33:25 |
| 34:11,21 37:10,17 38:1 38:16,18 39:5 43:7 49:8 |
| 49:13,20 50:1,8 51:1,15 |
| 51:16,17,20,21 |
| Power's 50:18 |
| preempt 33:5 preferable 46:2 |
| preliminary 14:2 |
| prepared 47:24 |
| present 17:9 52:2 |
| presented 15:9,10 |
| presents 42:18 preserve 13:22 |
| press 52:18 |
| pressure 8:16,21 34:25 |
| presumably 35:15 |
| pretty 3:5 24:12 34:22 |
| 45:24 48:2 53:3 previously 26:16 |
| primarily 10:17 12:5 |
| 45;25 |
| primary 35:8 36:2 |
| principals 3:6 5:14,17 |
| 18:9 21:11 principles 8:5 24:16 |
| prior 38:1 48:8,10 53:12 |
| 56:5 |
| priority 37:25 |
| private 37:17 |
| pro 47:20 |

```
probably 5:13 8:18,21
  16:3 18:7 27:18 48:2,6
  48:8,23 54:20
problem 3:13 9:3 34:15
  35:8 37:12 47:23 49:12
proceed 13:6,7 54:1
proceeded 11:3
PROCEEDINGS 1:9
process 6:17 9:21,24
  23:12 34:14 44:22 45:1
  45:2 53:16 54:1,5
project 37:14 38:7 40:20
  47:8 48:14
projects 42:18
proof 48:10
propagation 25:19
property 33:12
propose 30:23
proposed 38:12
proposes 19:13
protect 4:4,6 20:14 26:21
  32:4 35:19 52:25
protected 5:25 10:16,17
  10:18,20 11:1 33:24,25
protection 20:21
prove 29:8,13 51:7
provide 20:16 35:3
provision 15:19 26:9 41:4
public 1:6 2:23 3:9 7:5
  8:5.6 9:17 17:18.21
  21:12,13,14,14,15,17
  22:1,15,22,24 23:7
  26:20 37:21 51:2,18
  53:15 54:2 55:5,11,15
  55:17 56:3
PUC 13:23
pulling 53:2
pump 43:21.22
pumping 39:20
pumps 39:21
purposes 41:15
pursue 3:25
pursued 8:25
pursuing 12:25
pushes 21:14
pushing 8:10
put 4:5 8:15 9:25 10:7,11
  38:4 41:12 43:23 44:14
  50:9
puts 16:10
putting 2:15
           0
quantification 44:17
```

quantify 46:13

question 15:18,21 16:18

17:25 18:2 19:15,20

20:14 21:10,24 23:10

25:22 26:7 27:18,19

45:20 47:10,20 48:1

33:19 36:25 38:3 44:4

```
46:10 48:18,19
quickly 13:8 53:3
quite 50:7 53:18
quote 22:8 34:5
         __ R
R 56:1.1.1.1
race 46:6
raise 20:20
raised 23:2
raising 52:8
Ralph 53:7
ramification 38:9
range 40:4
rapid 8:16
rate 8:17 28:24 29:24
  50:24 52:7
reach 32:1 35:21,24
  41:23 44:1 47:4
reached 3:4
reaches 36:5
read 15:3
real 33:21 37:12 38:3
realize 40:6
realized 27:7
realizes 18:12 55:11
reallocation 22:3
really 4:25 24:4 31:8
  33:22 34:23 52:10.11
reason 33:9 41:3 42:7
  44:6 51:8
reasonable 9:8
reasons 44:9,10 46:4
  52:21
received 12:24
recharge 20:4 47:6,7,8
reckless 4:1
recognize 28:11 41:9,13
recognized 3:15 14:22
  21:13 22:17 25:12,20
  30:4 49:20
recognizes 47:3
recommend 10:6 17:3
reconfirmed 46:23
record 56:12
recorded 38:25
records 11:7
recourse 21:1,4,7
reduced 56:10
refer 24:11
reference 23:8
referring 3:10 26:4
regardless 16:15
regulate 7:24 41:5
Regulatory 15:14 51:4
relationship 31:3
release 35:18
```

```
released 47:14,15
reluctant 47:6
rely 10:12,13
remain 16:4 42:17
remarks 13:12
remember 15:5 32:23
  50:13
remembering 17:7,8
remnants 37:14
remove 49:8,8
renegotiate 23:22
replace 47:7
Reporter 56:20
represent 16:23 17:7
request 2:24
require 34:9
reservation 19:6
reserve 18:17 19:3,4
reserved 12:25
reservoirs 27:20
resolution 3:11 15:6,7
  55:3
resolve 5:20 15:23 42:11
resource 11:5,8.9 15:13
  17:22 35:9 46:18 55:13
resources 1:1 14:25 51:6
respond 47:22
response 4:14
responsibility 46:2
responsible 10:7 11:4.21
  15:22 22:17 46:4
rest 22:22 23:6
restrictions 8:14
result 9:1 11:12,24 16:3
resulting 39:9
results 15:25 23:7
retain 7:13
retained 16:18
review 44:12
right 3:16,16 6:23 7:2,7
  7:10,13,14 10:21 14:11
  18:14 20:23 22:4,7 26:9
  26:12 27:4 31:5,22,25
  32:8 33:13,14 34:11
  36:1,8,9 37:11 38:2,16
  38:21 40:19.25 42:4.16
  48:3 49:5,11,14,19
  50:10 51:1,9 53:18,22
rights 3:20 4:4,6,12 5:2
  6:8,13,16 13:1 14:22
  16:6 18:18 19:3,4 22:12
  26:2 32:10,14 33:24
  34:1,2,17 35:10,11,13
  35:15.17 38:7,13 44:18
  44:23 46:17,22 47:2
  49:9,21 50:2,18 55:7
right-hand 2:17
rigorous 26:20
risk 16:13 52:3,4
river 5:4,7 12:16,22
  16:14 18:13 22:10,10
```

```
22:11 26:25 27:17 29:2
  32:12,20,22 34:24 39:4
  39:6,18 40:6 41:5,7
  54:15
road 3:10 9:22 54:14
rocks 9:23
role 8:6
room 55:20
round 16:8
route 54:24
RPR 1:19
rules 16:13
run 16:13 25:25 33:22
  38:19 50:20
running 2:9,11 50:14
  52:22
runs 26:8 39:15
            S
s 2:25 56:1
sacred 24:16
sagebrush 50:21
sale 28:24
salt 19:23,25
sat 4:21
satisfaction 54:23
saw 10:17
saying 20:12 22:9 26:9,13
  35:21 36:25 50:14
says 22:7 23:25 24:7
  35:23 37:24 39:8 44:3
  52:4
scarce 11:5
scheme 6:24 26:8
se 40:21 47:20
seal 56:16
season 11:1 41:21
seat 4:5
second 5:22 19:15 20:25
  30:9,20 31:22 39:16,22
  45:20,21
secondly 11:2 44:16
  45:12
sectors 12:2
see 2:3 11:7 45:14,17 52:2
seeking 3:25
seeks 23:8
seen 30:10
sell 17:10 50:10,19 51:1
  51:17,18
selling 51:16
Selway 18:19
Senate 4:13 5:22
Senator 3:18
senators 29:6
send 28:25 48:17
sense 8:12 12:11 42:8
serious 38:8
served 11:15
service 13:20
```

session 4:9,13 13:11

Page 62

55:19 set 16:12 20:11 23:25 24:8,20,21 26:20 34:14 settled 55:2 settlement 4:11,16 settling 8:4 seven 24:20 shape 33:16 shelf 45:8 Sherman 18:24 19:1,18 shield 22:13 shift 24:4 short 8:14 27:9 shorthand 56:10 Shoshone 25:24 shut 21:8 34:22 35:5 36:17 side 2:18 16:17 43:11 51:19 sides 6:21 signed 6:19 signing 5:17 Silver 2:11,11 simply 27:15 28:1,5 53:24 sincere 30:5.6 sir 19:18 25:22 28:6 38:23 sitting 29:16 situation 16:25 36:4 38:17 50:16 52:1 six 5:13 39:16 size 31:21 sky 50:14 slower 36:19 small 37:19 Snake 5:4 12:16,22 18:11 20:3 22:10 26:14 27:17 29:2 32:12,20,22 34:24 39:18 54:15 sold 28:23 50:8 solution 9:3 10:6 solve 7:25 31:18 42:14 somebody 36:7 42:18 47:20 50:17 someplace 51:11 somewhat 16:10 20:21 sound 8:5 9:8 sounds 43:16 speak 17:19 37:9,17 speaker 2:20 25:5 29:15 36:20 44:4 45:10 48:24 49:3,16,18,22 50:3 51:13 52:9 53:5,12 54:11.17 speaks 9:19 specific 24:9 specificity 23:23 specified 36:21 spelled 25:10 spend 12:8,10,11 13:25 spending 12:13

split 41:6 Springs 34:20 stake 55:7.8 stand 2:2 25:5 53:10,11 standards 17:3 standpoint 16:19,21,25 standstill 3:22 start 5:25 13:16.17 32:5 started 2:2 8:9 starting 21:13 state 2:25 4:4.5 5:1 7:11 7:12 8:2 11:21 13:5,9 14:16,22 17:20 18:8 19:13 22:6,8,16,25 23:1 23:4 24:25 25:11,15,20 25:22,25 26:1,8,10,17 27:19 28:20 29:4,5,20 30:12 32:17.24 33:5,6,8 34:22 35:3 36:14 37:4 42:24,24 43:11 44:7,21 45:25 46:1,3 47:3 51:4 51:20 56:4 stated 37:7 statement 27:9 states 21:12 41:22 44:20 state's 14:24 26:21 station 18:23 status 6:8 7:2,7 statute 7:22 35:23 38:14 50:22 52:4 statutes 50:25 stavs 46:9 stem 18:23 39:21,21 step 8:18 Stewart 30:1,1 31:8,15,20 32:9,14 37:7 stipulation 27:3 stockholders 50:24 stop 55:21 stopped 36:19 storage 27:10,11 35:17 40:17 41:23 43:24 story 50:13 stream 18:21 streamflow 7:16,18 20:12 30:10,11,12,16 31:19 31:21 34:18.24 35:12 36:3 39:14,15 streamflows 40:10 41:6 strike 9:6 39:12 striking 9:8 string 33:22 strong 21:23 struck 9:4 structured 50:25 study 37:1 45:18 subject 12:14 28:18 54:3

subordinate 3:20 6:1

subordinated 6:13,25 7:9

7:18 28:8 32:7,11,11,13

38:13,16 53:20

32:15,16,17,20 40:14 subordination 3:25 5:5 8:10,13 32:19 49:20 50:17 subsequent 35:15 substantial 16:22 48:13 49:24 sudden 38:6 suggest 42:17 51:11 52:13 suggested 42:1 suggestion 15:23 53:25 summer 6:15 19:21 20:24 25:3 27:7 43:23 superior 10:21 supplies 25:13 supply 11:22 suppose 36:3 Supreme 3:15 45:23 sure 2:3 11:2 17:18 18:2 33:8,21 surrounding 4:17 Swan 1:7 3:16 4:17 39:21 51:22 swift 46:7 sworn 56:7 system 7:22,25 10:7,10 11:10 14:21 15:1 18:11 18:15,18,21,22 22:6,17 28:15 31:23 45:4 systems 8:15

T 56:1,1,1 tab 45:11 table 54:21 take 11:17,21 15:10 21:7 26:22 29:2 31:15 32:21 33:12 34:12 35:11,14 37:13 38:17 40:15 46:15 47:6 50:18,20 taken 5:2 9:7 14:5 16:21 31:21 33:4 takes 15:13 19:13 20:11 36:11 talk 40:12 43:19 44:2,3 46:11 talked 13:5 52:18 talking 41:1,20 45:4 talks 28:22 tape 2:9 6:17 17:20 25:1 26:2 27:4 29:14,18 35:2 35;4,25 36:19 37:1,7,10 37:14,23 39:3,7,17 40:7 40:8 44:4 45:10,12,13 46:16,23 47:4 48:24 49:16,18,22 50:4,5,8,11 51:14,18,22 52:9,10,11 53:5,7,9,12,15 54:12,23 55:11 target 27:5

tell 10:19 12:21 13:21 42:13 telling 28:16,17 ten 5:13 tend 12:6 terms 8:1 41:19 49:12 Terry 1:19 56:3,20 testify 56:7 thank 2:21 9:10,12,15 13:14.19 17:16,17 18:4 22:19 55:21 theorized 34:20 theory 38:15 thing 54:6 things 4:23 12:15 17:11 24:15 38:5 52:14 think 3:14 5:19 6:5 9:18 11:18,23 13:10,12 15:22,24 16:16,18,25 18:11 21:19 22:1,23 23:3 24:18 28:1,14 29:11 31:2,6,17 34:3 35:4 36:12,16 37:2,4 38:2 44:11,16 45:3,24 51:24,25 53:1 54:13,14 55:5,10 third 11:13 21:10 thought 10:5 11:20 17:9 19:23 43:21 54:4,10 thousand 30:19 34:20 39:16.22 three 3:6 5:9,14.15,17 6:18 18:6,9 23:22,24 40:3 54:20 tie 31:6 tiers 23:23 time 2:5 4:21 7:17 9:6 10;5 [1:17,20 13:15,16 13:23 19:11 20:20 25:11 27:7 28:9,23 35:22 36:4 42:17,25 43:3,5 47:3 55:14 times 9:2 tink 23:18 tinkering 23:13,17 title 7:10 today 6:9 47:13 48:21 Tom 2:16,23 6:2 13:15 17:17 21:19 32:4 tonight 3:19 5:20 9:17 35:4 50:5,8 total 4:16 8:10,12,13,20 touch 38:20 touched 38:10 touching 27:14 town 55;21

train 53:3

transcribed 1:19 56:9

transcript 1:9 56:11

transfer 28:10 32:25

team 2:14

transition 7:3.8 treated 34:6 tried 9:20 25:14 tries 32:24 trouble 38:8 true 56:12 trust 4:4 7:11 21:14,14,15 21:17 22:1,16,22,24 23:7 29:22 trustee 29:20 truth 56:7.8.8 try 10:5 13:20 29:21 30:23 43:4 47:22 52:20 trying 12:12 27:15 28:25 33:14 41:4 47:4 turf 51:24 turning 13:17 Twin 1:7 37:11 two 3:13.21 5:18 8:11 13:2 14:10 16:9 21:18 23:24 29:6 31:6 43:22 types 26:23 typewriting 56:10

ultimately 7:15 8:10.24 unappropriated 22:8 26:10,12 unconditional 36:8 understand 20:14 44:5 47:9 50:7 understanding 30:25 understood 18:2.14 united 29:3 44:20 UNKNOWN 25:5 29:15 36:20 44:4 45:10 48:24 49:3,16,18,22 50:3 51:13 52:9 53:5,12 54:11.17 unprotected 31:5 unquote 22:8 34:5 unsubordinated 3:16 6:16,24 7:9,19 31:25 32:14,23 updating 11:16 **Upper** 20:3 upstream 21:8 35:18 39:7 40:14 44:14

22:12 27:16,19 29:8,14 29:16 31:14 33:4 34:5 34:10 37:20,25 39:7 44:15 47:1 48:10,12,21 49:23,25 51:8,9 user 13:6 14:21 51:8 users 5:24 10:18,20 21:3 21:5 22:2 29:5 50:9

use 3:10 5:24 7:13 11:22

uses 12:1 20:22 21:8 25:14,14,17,20 27:23 27:23,23 32:11,13,20

| 32:21 40:14 54:5 | 36:2 37:15 44:3 46:25 | Young 46:20,22 | 4208 19:24 |
|--|---|--|--|
| USGS 38:25 | 48:10 50:25 51:20 52:7 | | 45 40:1,2 |
| Utilities 51:3 | 52:25 53:14 54:7 | | 4500 6:11 19:16 33:23 |
| utility 21:1 | ways 55:10 | zero 27:6 34:1 41:8 | 40:4 |
| utilization 11:5 27:14,17 | weeks 6:19 | zone 27:4 | 4750 31:1 |
| 27:21 28:1 | Weiser 30:14,17,19 31:1 | Edite 2711 | 48 29:7 |
| utilize 27:10 | 31:4 | 1 | |
| | went 5:12 | 1 24:1 | S NAME OF THE PARTY OF THE PART |
| V | weren't 2:7 3:22 4:7 | 1st 21:6 53:14 55:19 | 50 10:12 |
| value 41:13,14 47:4 | 42:14 | 1,058 30:8 | 5600 6:15 19:21 40:21 |
| various 11:25 | western 21:12 44:20,21 | 1.4 37:8,13 | 41:2,14 |
| verbatim 56:12 | we'll 19:9 23:21 34:13 | 10 44:23 | |
| vested 10:21 22:4 33:12 | 42:16 47:21,25 48:17 | 1180 4:14 5:22 6:13 14:4 | 6 |
| victory 8:12,20 16:2 | we're 2:13 7:5 11:17 | 33:24 49:6 53:19 | 6 41:20 |
| view 8:24 16:23 | 18:20 20:4,13,17 26:13 | 15 19:25 | 60 38:4 |
| vigorously 10:4 | 27:13,25 28:16,25 | 15th 23:14 54:19 | 653 1:20 |
| violated 31:1 | 29:21 33:7,14,16 38:8 | 19 56:17 | |
| virtually 44:20 | 41:18,20 43:3,4,16,16 | 19th 34:3 53:13 | |
| vis-a-vis 34:11 | 44:24 45:4 47:5 54:5 | 1926 10:2 | 7A 38:11 |
| voters 55:5 | 55:2 | 1928 7:24 | 7B 39:8 |
| | we've 6:10,18 9:7,23 | 1945 10:2 | 7D 34:9 |
| <u>W</u> | 23:22 28:15 45:15 | 1949 38:25 39:2 | 7E 34:7 |
| want 14:19 22:14 24:3 | whatsoever 32:3 | 195,000 37:3 | 7,702 39:2 |
| 30:7 41:22 54:11,16 | whichever 53:13 | 1952 10:2 | 7-8,000 40:3 |
| 55:18 | wife 44:2 | 1973 10:2 | 7:30 55:20 |
| wanted 4:4 10:9 14:20 | willing 6:1 win 16:2 | 1976 10:3 | |
| 31:13 32:5 33:21 35:6 | winter 6:15 19:22 40:17 | 1977 33:23 | |
| 40:20 | 41:2,9,21,23 43:22 | 1981 40:2 | 80 32:2 34:19 36:18 |
| wanting 30:6 37:18 47:13 | witness 56:6,16 | 1982 10:3 34:3 48:9,11 | 82 48:13,21 49:24 53:13 |
| wants 13:6 | won 16:1 | 1983 4:13 | 84 53:14 |
| war 14:8 warn 23:15 | wondered 29:7 | 1984 1:11 1985 34:13 | |
| warn 25:15 wars 10:3 | wondering 23:11 48:24 | 1905 34:13 1995 36:18 | 9 |
| Washington 29:15 | word 3:8 5:5 22:1 42:7 | 1993 30,18 | 9 38:25 |
| wasn't 4:25 43:17 | words 14:25 20:4,23 31:8 | 2 | 900 18:14 |
| water 1:1 3:16,16 4:12 | 39:12 40:19 48:5 | 20 28:9 44:24 | 98 29:7 |
| 5:7,8 6:20,23 7:7,10 | work 5:10 15:2,12,15 | 2007 56:17 | |
| 8:22 10:1,3,13,18,20,21 | 40:15 52:5 | 2020 7:1 | 1 |
| 10:25 11:7,14,25 13:5 | worked 37:4 40:19 53:17 | 21st 10:8 11:15 | |
| 14:14,17,18,21,24 15:1 | working 2:15 54:15 | 2 | i |
| 15:13 16:6 17:22 20:19 | | 1 23 1011 209 | |
| | world 14:14 28:16,17 | 25 1:11 2:9 25-30 6:25 | |
| 22:2,4,12,24 25:11,13 | worst 35:11 | 25-30 6:25 | |
| | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 | 25-30 6:25 | |
| 22;2,4,12,24 25;11,13 25;15,20 26;2,10,14 27;1,4,5,16,22 28;3,8 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 | 25-30 6:25 | |
| 22;2,4,12,24 25:11,13 25:15,20 26:2,10,14 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 wrestle 41:5 | 25-30 6:25 3 | |
| 22:2,4,12,24 25:11,13 25:15,20 26:2,10,14 27:1,4,5,16,22 28:3,8 28:11,17,22 29:2,5,16 30:3,13 31:13 32:6,17 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 wrestle 41:5 write 14:25 | 25-30 6:25 | |
| 22:2,4,12,24 25:11,13 25:15,20 26:2,10,14 27:1,4,5,16,22 28:3,8 28:11,17,22 29:2,5,16 30:3,13 31:13 32:6,17 32:22 33:1,24 34:11,23 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 wrestle 41:5 write 14:25 written 48:17 | 25-30 6:25 3 3 24:20 | |
| 22:2,4,12,24 25:11,13 25:15,20 26:2,10,14 27:1,4,5,16,22 28:3,8 28:11,17,22 29:2,5,16 30:3,13 31:13 32:6,17 32:22 33:1,24 34:11,23 35:4,9,17,18 36:8 38:18 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 wrestle 41:5 write 14:25 | 25-30 6:25 | |
| 22:2,4,12,24 25:11,13 25:15,20 26:2,10,14 27:1,4,5,16,22 28:3,8 28:11,17,22 29:2,5,16 30:3,13 31:13 32:6,17 32:22 33:1,24 34:11,23 35:4,9,17,18 36:8 38:18 39:12,13 40:9 42:24 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 wrestle 41:5 write 14:25 written 48:17 wrong 10:24 53:16 | 3 24:20 3,000 47:14 3,040 6:2 3,900 39:1,6,25 | |
| 22;2,4,12,24 25:11,13 25:15,20 26:2,10,14 27:1,4,5,16,22 28:3,8 28:11,17,22 29:2,5,16 30:3,13 31:13 32:6,17 32:22 33:1,24 34:11,23 35:4,9,17,18 36:8 38:18 39:12,13 40:9 42:24 43:9,23 44:14,18,23 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 wrestle 41:5 write 14:25 written 48:17 wrong 10:24 53:16 | 3 24:20 3,000 47:14 3,040 6:2 3,900 39:1,6,25 30s 40:7 30th 34:13 32 25:15 | |
| 22:2,4,12,24 25:11,13 25:15,20 26:2,10,14 27:1,4,5,16,22 28:3,8 28:11,17,22 29:2,5,16 30:3,13 31:13 32:6,17 32:22 33:1,24 34:11,23 35:4,9,17,18 36:8 38:18 39:12,13 40:9 42:24 43:9,23 44:14,18,23 45:25 46:13,16,17,22 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 wrestle 41:5 write 14:25 written 48:17 wrong 10:24 53:16 | 3 24:20 3,000 47:14 3,040 6:2 3,900 39:1,6,25 30s 40:7 30th 34:13 32 25:15 3200 34:24 | |
| 22:2,4,12,24 25:11,13 25:15,20 26:2,10,14 27:1,4,5,16,22 28:3,8 28:11,17,22 29:2,5,16 30:3,13 31:13 32:6,17 32:22 33:1,24 34:11,23 35:4,9,17,18 36:8 38:18 39:12,13 40:9 42:24 43:9,23 44:14,18,23 45:25 46:13,16,17,22 47:1,5 48:3 49:9,11,14 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 wrestle 41:5 write 14:25 written 48:17 wrong 10:24 53:16 X X 20:11,12 | 3 24:20 3,000 47:14 3,040 6:2 3,900 39:1,6,25 30s 40:7 30th 34:13 32 25:15 3200 34:24 3300 16:7,14 30:13 | |
| 22:2,4,12,24 25:11,13 25:15,20 26:2,10,14 27:1,4,5,16,22 28:3,8 28:11,17,22 29:2,5,16 30:3,13 31:13 32:6,17 32:22 33:1,24 34:11,23 35:4,9,17,18 36:8 38:18 39:12,13 40:9 42:24 43:9,23 44:14,18,23 45:25 46:13,16,17,22 47:1,5 48:3 49:9,11,14 50:1,10,18 51:1,6,9,11 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 wrestle 41:5 write 14:25 written 48:17 wrong 10:24 53:16 X X 20:11,12 Y | 25-30 6:25 3 24:20 3,000 47:14 3,040 6:2 3,900 39:1,6,25 30s 40:7 30th 34:13 32 25:15 3200 34:24 3300 16:7,14 30:13 35 38:4 | |
| 22:2,4,12,24 25:11,13 25:15,20 26:2,10,14 27:1,4,5,16,22 28:3,8 28:11,17,22 29:2,5,16 30:3,13 31:13 32:6,17 32:22 33:1,24 34:11,23 35:4,9,17,18 36:8 38:18 39:12,13 40:9 42:24 43:9,23 44:14,18,23 45:25 46:13,16,17,22 47:1,5 48:3 49:9,11,14 50:1,10,18 51:1,6,9,11 52:21,22,23 53:1 55:4 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 wrestle 41:5 write 14:25 written 48:17 wrong 10:24 53:16 X X 20:11,12 Y yeah 25:10 29:9 34:9 36:1 | 25-30 6:25 3 24:20 3,000 47:14 3,040 6:2 3,900 39:1,6,25 30s 40:7 30th 34:13 32 25:15 3200 34:24 3300 16:7,14 30:13 35 38:4 3600 33:23 | |
| 22:2,4,12,24 25:11,13 25:15,20 26:2,10,14 27:1,4,5,16,22 28:3,8 28:11,17,22 29:2,5,16 30:3,13 31:13 32:6,17 32:22 33:1,24 34:11,23 35:4,9,17,18 36:8 38:18 39:12,13 40:9 42:24 43:9,23 44:14,18,23 45:25 46:13,16,17,22 47:1,5 48:3 49:9,11,14 50:1,10,18 51:1,6,9,11 52:21,22,23 53:1 55:4 55:13 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 wrestle 41:5 write 14:25 written 48:17 wrong 10:24 53:16 X X 20:11,12 Y yeah 25:10 29:9 34:9 36:1 53:6 | 25-30 6:25 3 24:20 3,000 47:14 3,040 6:2 3,900 39:1,6,25 30s 40:7 30th 34:13 32 25:15 3200 34:24 3300 16:7,14 30:13 35 38:4 3600 33:23 3700 20:25 | |
| 22;2,4,12,24 25:11,13 25:15,20 26:2,10,14 27:1,4,5,16,22 28:3,8 28:11,17,22 29:2,5,16 30:3,13 31:13 32:6,17 32:22 33:1,24 34:11,23 35:4,9,17,18 36:8 38:18 39:12,13 40:9 42:24 43:9,23 44:14,18,23 45:25 46:13,16,17,22 47:1,5 48:3 49:9,11,14 50:1,10,18 51:1,6,9,11 52:21,22,23 53:1 55:4 55:13 waters 22:8 26:12,13 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 wrestle 41:5 write 14:25 written 48:17 wrong 10:24 53:16 X X 20:11,12 Y yeah 25:10 29:9 34:9 36:1 53:6 year 7:1 16:7 20:24 21:6 | 25-30 6:25 3 24:20 3,000 47:14 3,040 6:2 3,900 39:1,6,25 30s 40:7 30th 34:13 32 25:15 3200 34:24 3300 16:7,14 30:13 35 38:4 3600 33:23 3700 20:25 39/56 20:6 | |
| 22;2,4,12,24 25:11,13 25:15,20 26:2,10,14 27:1,4,5,16,22 28:3,8 28:11,17,22 29:2,5,16 30:3,13 31:13 32:6,17 32:22 33:1,24 34:11,23 35:4,9,17,18 36:8 38:18 39:12,13 40:9 42:24 43:9,23 44:14,18,23 45:25 46:13,16,17,22 47:1,5 48:3 49:9,11,14 50:1,10,18 51:1,6,9,11 52:21,22,23 53:1 55:4 55:13 waters 22:8 26:12,13 watershed 32:12,21,22 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 wrestle 41:5 write 14:25 written 48:17 wrong 10:24 53:16 X X 20:11,12 Y yeah 25:10 29:9 34:9 36:1 53:6 year 7:1 16:7 20:24 21:6 43:24 | 25-30 6:25 3 24:20 3,000 47:14 3,040 6:2 3,900 39:1,6,25 30s 40:7 30th 34:13 32 25:15 3200 34:24 3300 16:7,14 30:13 35 38:4 3600 33:23 3700 20:25 39/56 20:6 3900 6:14 19:21 25:3,9 | |
| 22:2,4,12,24 25:11,13 25:15,20 26:2,10,14 27:1,4,5,16,22 28:3,8 28:11,17,22 29:2,5,16 30:3,13 31:13 32:6,17 32:22 33:1,24 34:11,23 35:4,9,17,18 36:8 38:18 39:12,13 40:9 42:24 43:9,23 44:14,18,23 45:25 46:13,16,17,22 47:1,5 48:3 49:9,11,14 50:1,10,18 51:1,6,9,11 52:21,22,23 53:1 55:4 55:13 waters 22:8 26:12,13 watershed 32:12,21,22 water's 29:9 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 wrestle 41:5 write 14:25 written 48:17 wrong 10:24 53:16 | 25-30 6:25 3 24:20 3,000 47:14 3,040 6:2 3,900 39:1,6,25 30s 40:7 30th 34:13 32 25:15 3200 34:24 3300 16:7,14 30:13 35 38:4 3600 33:23 3700 20:25 39/56 20:6 | |
| 22:2,4,12,24 25:11,13 25:15,20 26:2,10,14 27:1,4,5,16,22 28:3,8 28:11,17,22 29:2,5,16 30:3,13 31:13 32:6,17 32:22 33:1,24 34:11,23 35:4,9,17,18 36:8 38:18 39:12,13 40:9 42:24 43:9,23 44:14,18,23 45:25 46:13,16,17,22 47:1,5 48:3 49:9,11,14 50:1,10,18 51:1,6,9,11 52:21,22,23 53:1 55:4 55:13 waters 22:8 26:12,13 watershed 32:12,21,22 water's 29:9 way 5:12 7:3 12:7 15:23 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 wrestle 41:5 write 14:25 written 48:17 wrong 10:24 53:16 | 25-30 6:25 3 24:20 3,000 47:14 3,040 6:2 3,900 39:1,6,25 30s 40:7 30th 34:13 32 25:15 3200 34:24 3300 16:7,14 30:13 35 38:4 3600 33:23 3700 20:25 39/56 20:6 3900 6:14 19:21 25:3,9 34:19 | |
| 22;2,4,12,24 25:11,13 25:15,20 26:2,10,14 27:1,4,5,16,22 28:3,8 28:11,17,22 29:2,5,16 30:3,13 31:13 32:6,17 32:22 33:1,24 34:11,23 35:4,9,17,18 36:8 38:18 39:12,13 40:9 42:24 43:9,23 44:14,18,23 45:25 46:13,16,17,22 47:1,5 48:3 49:9,11,14 50:1,10,18 51:1,6,9,11 52:21,22,23 53:1 55:4 55:13 waters 22:8 26:12,13 watershed 32:12,21,22 water's 29:9 way 5:12 7:3 12:7 15:23 20:25 24:18,22 27:16 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 wrestle 41:5 write 14:25 written 48:17 wrong 10:24 53:16 | 25-30 6:25 3 24:20 3,000 47:14 3,040 6:2 3,900 39:1,6,25 30s 40:7 30th 34:13 32 25:15 3200 34:24 3300 16:7,14 30:13 35 38:4 3600 33:23 3700 20:25 39/56 20:6 3900 6:14 19:21 25:3,9 34:19 | |
| 22:2,4,12,24 25:11,13 25:15,20 26:2,10,14 27:1,4,5,16,22 28:3,8 28:11,17,22 29:2,5,16 30:3,13 31:13 32:6,17 32:22 33:1,24 34:11,23 35:4,9,17,18 36:8 38:18 39:12,13 40:9 42:24 43:9,23 44:14,18,23 45:25 46:13,16,17,22 47:1,5 48:3 49:9,11,14 50:1,10,18 51:1,6,9,11 52:21,22,23 53:1 55:4 55:13 waters 22:8 26:12,13 watershed 32:12,21,22 water's 29:9 way 5:12 7:3 12:7 15:23 | worst 35:11 worth 52:7 wouldn't 18:21 20:9 35:2 wrestle 41:5 write 14:25 written 48:17 wrong 10:24 53:16 | 25-30 6:25 3 24:20 3,000 47:14 3,040 6:2 3,900 39:1,6,25 30s 40:7 30th 34:13 32 25:15 3200 34:24 3300 16:7,14 30:13 35 38:4 3600 33:23 3700 20:25 39/56 20:6 3900 6:14 19:21 25:3,9 34:19 | |

| | | 1 |
|--|--|--------------|
| | | • |
| | | |
| | | I |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | = |
| | | |
| | | - |
| | | _ |
| | | |
| | | . |
| | | |
| | | - |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | • |
| | | |
| | | - |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | - |
| | | |
| | | 1 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | • |
| | | _ |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | 1 |
| | | • |
| | | |
| | | • |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | - |
| | | |
| | | 2 |
| | | a a |
| | | |
| | | - |
| | | |
| | | • |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | - |
| | | = |
| | | |
| | | • |
| | | |

| 1 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | I, Patricia J. Terry, Court Reporter Pro |
| 5 | Tempore, County of Ada, State of Idaho, hereby |
| 6 | certify: |
| 7 | That I am the reporter who took the |
| 8 | proceedings had in the above-entitled action in |
| 9 | machine shorthand and thereafter the same was |
| 10 | reduced into typewriting under my direct |
| 11 | supervision; and |
| 12 | That the foregoing transcript contains a |
| 13 | full, true, and accurate record of the proceedings |
| 14 | had in the above and foregoing cause, which was |
| 15 | heard at , Idaho. |
| 16 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set |
| 17 | my hand 19th of Offiel , 2007. |
| 18 | U |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Patricia Hers |
| 22 | Patricia J. Terry, Court Reporter Pro Tempore CSR No. 653 |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |

