RECEIVED

APR 28 2017

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Christopher H. Meyer [ISB No. 4461] Michael P. Lawrence [ISB No. 7288] GIVENS PURSLEY LLP 601 W Bannock St Boise, Idaho 83702 PO Box 2720 Boise, Idaho 83701-2720

Office: (208) 388-1200 Fax: (208) 388-1300 www.givenspursley.com

Attorneys for Applicant Suez Water Idaho Inc.

BEFORE THE IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

IN THE MATTER OF INTEGRATED MUNICIPAL APPLICATION PACKAGE ("IMAP") OF UNITED WATER IDAHO INC., BEING A COLLECTION OF INDIVIDUAL APPLICATIONS FOR TRANSFERS OF WATER RIGHTS AND APPLICATIONS FOR AMENDMENT OF PERMITS.

SUEZ'S 2017 UPDATE REPORT ON IMAP AND 2065 MASTER WATER PLAN

Page 1 of 43

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	I.	Applicant				
	II.	Purpose of the IMAP				
	III.	Parties5				
	IV.	Prior proceedings				
		A. 2001 through 2003				
		B.	2012 F	Relaunch7		
		C.	Inform	nal stay (2013 to 2017)9		
	V.	The ev	olution	of the IMAP9		
		A.	Today	's IMAP9		
		B.		ter rights and permits that are no longer part of Suez's		
			(1)	No. 63-10553		
			(2)	No. 63-7077		
			(3)	Nos. 63-12424, 63-12463, 63-12506, and 63-12552 12		
		C.		y-two water rights withdrawn or excluded from the IMAP main in the portfolio		
			(1)	Five rights and permits were not included in the 2003 IMAP		
			(2)	Two rights withdrawn in 2010 for transfer 12		
			(3)	Three Ranney collector rights		
			(4)	Twelve surface water rights and entitlements excluded from the IMAP		
		D.	IMAP	changes since 2013		
	VI.	2065 N	Master 1	Water Plan and the revised 50-year demand		
	VII.	Corroboration of the Church Forecast				
		A.	Don R	eading Review		
		B.	Christi	ian Petrich Review		
		C.	Conclu	usion		
	VIII.	Modes	st growt	h rate		
	IX.	Gap analysis				
	X.	Reope	ner	24		
	XI.	Conclu	usion	27		
CERTIF	ICATE (OF SERV	ICE	28		

EVUIDIT A	· IMAD WA	TED RIGHTS AND PEDMIT	`S	5
	TIVIOL VV	LER KULLIA AND LEKWU	17	

I. APPLICANT

These proceedings were initiated by Applicant United Water Idaho Inc. ("United Water"). In 2015, United Water changed its name to Suez Water Idaho Inc. ("Suez"). The name change reflected a desire for consistent corporate branding and was not the result of any change in ownership or management. See Suez's Motion to Change Caption to Reflect Name Change (Mar. 6, 2017). This submission of Suez's 2017 Update Report on IMAP and 2065 Master Water Plan ("2017 Update") to the Idaho Department of Water Resources ("Department" or "IDWR") employs the name United Water or Suez depending on the time frame of the reference.

II. PURPOSE OF THE IMAP

Suez's Integrated Municipal Application Package ("IMAP") is a collection of water right transfer applications and permit amendment applications. The IMAP includes most, but not all, of Suez's water rights and permits.

The IMAP does <u>not</u> seek any additional appropriation of water. Instead, the IMAP serves three purposes:

- It seeks alternative points of diversion ("APODs")¹ for 101 of its ground water rights and permits² and one additional point of diversion ("POD") for surface permit No. 63-12055.
- 2. It secures protection for forfeiture under the Municipal Water Rights Act of 1996 during the 50-year planning horizon for all rights included in the IMAP.

2017 UPDATE (APRIL 28, 2017)

¹ APODs are explained and discussed extensively in *United Water's Statement Updating and Explaining the IMAP Relaunch* ("2012 Update") (Aug. 14, 2012), pp. 14-33.

² As discussed below in section V.C(3) at page 14, the PODs for the Marden treatment plant's Ranney collector rights are <u>not</u> included in the "global" APOD list of ground water diversion points.

3. It tidies up various other aspects of the rights and permits: For example, it provides for a uniform description of the service area, nature of use, and season of use, and it eliminates volume limits (by reducing the instantaneous flow rate).

III. PARTIES

In addition to the Applicant, the entities in the chart below are parties to this proceeding. Note that those labeled "Interested Parties" are not true parties. They are included on the service list and have only limited rights to participate (e.g., in status conferences) with no right of appeal.³ Party status is discussed further in *Suez's Motions Regarding Party Status and Service Protocol* (Mar. 6, 2017) and *Suez's Amendment to Motions Regarding Party Status and Service Protocol* (Mar. 9, 2017).

		Table 1
	Party Status and	d Representation as of April 28, 2017
		Intervenors in support
	Intervenor	Representative
1.	City of Boise	Stephan L. Burgos Director, Public Works Department Abigale R. Germaine ⁴
		City Attorney's Office
2.	City of Caldwell	Brent Orton Public Works Director and City Engineer
3.	City of Meridian	Warren Stewart City Engineer Kyle Radek Assistant City Engineer
		Charles L. Honsinger Honsinger Law, PLLC

³ The Hearing Officer, Gary Spackman, described the "interested parties" as follows: "The following entities have filed notice reaffirming their intention to participate in this matter, but will need to be granted intervention to participate as a party." *Order Affirming Party Status and Notice of Status Conference* (Aug. 8, 2012), p. 2.

Page 5 of 43

⁴ Suez's Amendment to Motions Regarding Party Status and Service Protocol (Mar. 9, 2017) listed Stephan L. Burgos and Douglas K. Strickling as representing the City of Boise. Suez subsequently learned that Mr. Strickling will be retiring soon. The City has asked that Ms. Germaine be identified as counsel for the City of Boise in lieu of Mr. Strickling.

		Protestants
_	Protestant	Representative
1.	Boise Project Board of Control	Albert P. Barker
2.	Big Bend Irrigation District	Shelley M. Davis
3.	Boise-Kuna Irrigation District	Barker, Rosholt & Simpson, LLP
4.	Wilder Irrigation District	
5	Nampa & Meridian Irrigation District	S. Bryce Farris
6.	Settlers Irrigation District	Andrew J. Waldera
_	0" 514:111.	Sawtooth Law Offices, PLLC
7.	City of Middleton	Christopher E. Yorgason
		Middleton City Attorney
8.	Star Water & Sewer District	Yorgason Law Offices, PLLC Cherese D. McLain
ο.	Star Water & Sewer District	Moore, Smith, Buxton & Turke, Chartered
	1	Moore, Smith, Buxton & Turke, Chartered
		ested Parties
	Interested Party	Representative
1.	City of Eagle	Cherese D. McLain
_	0	Moore, Smith, Buxton & Turke, Chartered
2.	City of Kuna	Richard T. Roats
		Kuna City Attorney
		Gordon N. Law
2	014 5 D 4 - 11 -	City Engineer and Public Works Director
3.	City of Pocatello	Josephine P. Beeman ⁵ Law Office
		A. Dean Tranmer
		Pocatello City Attorney
		1 deatene dity Attorney
		s (Protests Withdrawn)
	Former Parties Former Party	s (Protests Withdrawn) Representative
1.		
235522	Former Party The Terteling Company and T-7, LLC	Representative Formerly: Charles L. Honsinger
2.	Former Party The Terteling Company and T-7, LLC Estate of Eleanor Chase	Representative Formerly: Charles L. Honsinger Ringert Law
2.	Former Party The Terteling Company and T-7, LLC	Representative Formerly: Charles L. Honsinger Ringert Law Christopher E. Yorgason
2.	Former Party The Terteling Company and T-7, LLC Estate of Eleanor Chase	Representative Formerly: Charles L. Honsinger Ringert Law Christopher E. Yorgason Star City Attorney
2.	Former Party The Terteling Company and T-7, LLC Estate of Eleanor Chase	Representative Formerly: Charles L. Honsinger Ringert Law Christopher E. Yorgason Star City Attorney Yorgason Law Offices, PLLC
2.	Former Party The Terteling Company and T-7, LLC Estate of Eleanor Chase	Representative Formerly: Charles L. Honsinger Ringert Law Christopher E. Yorgason Star City Attorney Yorgason Law Offices, PLLC Formerly:
2.	Former Party The Terteling Company and T-7, LLC Estate of Eleanor Chase	Representative Formerly: Charles L. Honsinger Ringert Law Christopher E. Yorgason Star City Attorney Yorgason Law Offices, PLLC Formerly: Bruce M. Smith
3.	Former Party The Terteling Company and T-7, LLC Estate of Eleanor Chase City of Star	Representative Formerly: Charles L. Honsinger Ringert Law Christopher E. Yorgason Star City Attorney Yorgason Law Offices, PLLC Formerly: Bruce M. Smith Moore, Smith, Buxton & Turke, Chartered
3.	Former Party The Terteling Company and T-7, LLC Estate of Eleanor Chase	Representative Formerly: Charles L. Honsinger Ringert Law Christopher E. Yorgason Star City Attorney Yorgason Law Offices, PLLC Formerly: Bruce M. Smith Moore, Smith, Buxton & Turke, Chartered S. Bryce Farris
3.	Former Party The Terteling Company and T-7, LLC Estate of Eleanor Chase City of Star	Representative Formerly: Charles L. Honsinger Ringert Law Christopher E. Yorgason Star City Attorney Yorgason Law Offices, PLLC Formerly: Bruce M. Smith Moore, Smith, Buxton & Turke, Chartered S. Bryce Farris Andrew J. Waldera
3.	Former Party The Terteling Company and T-7, LLC Estate of Eleanor Chase City of Star	Representative Formerly: Charles L. Honsinger Ringert Law Christopher E. Yorgason Star City Attorney Yorgason Law Offices, PLLC Formerly: Bruce M. Smith Moore, Smith, Buxton & Turke, Chartered S. Bryce Farris Andrew J. Waldera Sawtooth Law Offices, PLLC
3.	Former Party The Terteling Company and T-7, LLC Estate of Eleanor Chase City of Star	Representative Formerly: Charles L. Honsinger Ringert Law Christopher E. Yorgason Star City Attorney Yorgason Law Offices, PLLC Formerly: Bruce M. Smith Moore, Smith, Buxton & Turke, Chartered S. Bryce Farris Andrew J. Waldera Sawtooth Law Offices, PLLC Formerly:
3.	Former Party The Terteling Company and T-7, LLC Estate of Eleanor Chase City of Star	Representative Formerly: Charles L. Honsinger Ringert Law Christopher E. Yorgason Star City Attorney Yorgason Law Offices, PLLC Formerly: Bruce M. Smith Moore, Smith, Buxton & Turke, Chartered S. Bryce Farris Andrew J. Waldera Sawtooth Law Offices, PLLC Formerly: Scott L. Campbell
3.4.	Former Party The Terteling Company and T-7, LLC Estate of Eleanor Chase City of Star Pioneer Irrigation District	Representative Formerly: Charles L. Honsinger Ringert Law Christopher E. Yorgason Star City Attorney Yorgason Law Offices, PLLC Formerly: Bruce M. Smith Moore, Smith, Buxton & Turke, Chartered S. Bryce Farris Andrew J. Waldera Sawtooth Law Offices, PLLC Formerly: Scott L. Campbell Moffatt, Thomas, Barrett, Rock & Fields, Chtd.
3.4.	Former Party The Terteling Company and T-7, LLC Estate of Eleanor Chase City of Star	Representative Formerly: Charles L. Honsinger Ringert Law Christopher E. Yorgason Star City Attorney Yorgason Law Offices, PLLC Formerly: Bruce M. Smith Moore, Smith, Buxton & Turke, Chartered S. Bryce Farris Andrew J. Waldera Sawtooth Law Offices, PLLC Formerly: Scott L. Campbell Moffatt, Thomas, Barrett, Rock & Fields, Chtd. E. Gail McGarry
3.	Former Party The Terteling Company and T-7, LLC Estate of Eleanor Chase City of Star Pioneer Irrigation District	Representative Formerly: Charles L. Honsinger Ringert Law Christopher E. Yorgason Star City Attorney Yorgason Law Offices, PLLC Formerly: Bruce M. Smith Moore, Smith, Buxton & Turke, Chartered S. Bryce Farris Andrew J. Waldera Sawtooth Law Offices, PLLC Formerly: Scott L. Campbell Moffatt, Thomas, Barrett, Rock & Fields, Chtd. E. Gail McGarry Program Manager, Water Rights & Acquisitions
1. 2. 3.	Former Party The Terteling Company and T-7, LLC Estate of Eleanor Chase City of Star Pioneer Irrigation District	Representative Formerly: Charles L. Honsinger Ringert Law Christopher E. Yorgason Star City Attorney Yorgason Law Offices, PLLC Formerly: Bruce M. Smith Moore, Smith, Buxton & Turke, Chartered S. Bryce Farris Andrew J. Waldera Sawtooth Law Offices, PLLC Formerly: Scott L. Campbell Moffatt, Thomas, Barrett, Rock & Fields, Chtd. E. Gail McGarry

13581068_53 / 30-147 Page 6 of 43

⁵ Suez is unable to confirm the status and representation of the City of Pocatello. Attempts to reach Ms. Beeman and Mr. Tranmer have been unsuccessful. Ms. Beeman previously appeared on behalf of the City. Mr. Tranmer has not appeared on behalf of the City; he is listed here because he serves as City Attorney.

If the table above or the Service List below contain incorrect or obsolete information, Suez urges the affected parties to contact Suez and to file any appropriate notice with the Department.

IV. PRIOR PROCEEDINGS

A. 2001 through 2003

This proceeding was initiated by United Water's filing of the IMAP on May 4, 2001. The 2001 IMAP included a 50-year forecast of reasonably anticipated future needs ("RAFN") within its planning area for the years 2000-2050.⁶ An amended version of the IMAP was submitted on March 20, 2002 (with an Errata submitted on July 15, 2002). That version was amended again (at the direction of the Hearing Officer) by marginal notes approved by IDWR staff on April 9, 2003. We refer to this amended document as the 2003 IMAP.

On December 18, 2003, the Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources

("IDWR" or the "Department") formally stayed the IMAP proceeding pending determination of

United Water's SRBA claims by the District Court.

B. 2012 Relaunch

Following the issuance of partial decrees in the SRBA, United Water filed *United*Water's Motion to Lift Stay and Request for Status Conference on October 6, 2011. The stay
was lifted on June 6, 2012.

At a status conference on July 24, 2012, the Hearing Officer (Director Spackman) requested United Water to file "an updated report describing the changes it intends to pursue in the 2012 update." This is described in *Order Denying Motion to Dismiss Integrated Municipal Application Package (IMAP)* ("Denial Order") at page 1 (Jan. 11, 2013).

2017 UPDATE (APRIL 28, 2017)

Page 7 of 43

⁶ "Planning area" refers to Suez's anticipated future service area (less any excluded areas) at the end of the 50-year planning horizon.

In response, United Water filed a 124-page explanation entitled *United Water's Statement Updating and Explaining the IMAP Relaunch* ("2012 Update") (Aug. 14, 2012). The 2012 Update was followed by a two-page Errata statement on August 28, 2012, which corrected certain references to existing wells.

On November 14, 2012, Boise Project Board of Control et al. filed a motion to dismiss the IMAP. The Hearing Officer (Director Spackman) denied that motion on January 11, 2013. *Denial Order*.

The *Denial Order* instructed United Water to "schedule a meeting with Department staff to identify and explain the 2012 changes" after which "United Water will deliver copies of the amended IMAP on all parties." *Denial Order*, p. 2. United Water complied with the directive. *United Water's Further Submission in Compliance with the Director's January 11, 2013 Order* ("2013 Further Submission") (Feb. 13, 2013).

The 2013 Further Submission set out three updated documents, each of which was prepared in consultation with IDWR staff in compliance with the Denial Order:

- Attachment A to the 2013 Further Submission is a list of all Suez water rights that are included in the IMAP transfer proceeding as of 2013.
- Attachment B to the 2013 Further Submission is a list of all 81 alternative points of diversion (APODs) sought for all Suez ground water rights included in the IMAP (except the ground water rights associated with the Ranney collectors at the Marden Treatment Plant).
- Attachment C to the 2013 Further Submission is the "Pink Line Map" showing Suez's planning area over the next 50 years. It is identical to the map included as Exhibit 1 to the 2065 Master Water Plan (discussed in section VI at page 18).

There have been no changes to the APOD list or the Pink Line Map since 2013.

Revisions to the list of water rights included in the IMAP since 2013 are described in section V.D beginning at page 14 below.

Page 8 of 43

C. Informal stay (2013 to 2017)

The RAFN forecast that formed the basis of the original IMAP was 11 years old by the time of the 2012 Update. At that point, it had become evident that the Department would require United Water to update its 50-year forecast. Accordingly, United Water undertook a comprehensive revision of its 50-year forecast.⁷

United Water thereafter informally sought and obtained from the Department a suspension of activity in the proceeding while that was underway. For reasons discussed below, this revised forecast took over three years to complete.

V. THE EVOLUTION OF THE IMAP

A. Today's IMAP

Today's IMAP seeks transfers or amendments of 102 ground water rights and permits, and one surface water permit. Suez's total portfolio includes 112 ground water rights and permits, and 13 surface water rights, permits, and other entitlements.

During the 16 years since the IMAP was filed, Suez's portfolio and the IMAP have evolved somewhat. The modifications are discussed in the following pages of this section. The evolving numbers of ground and surface rights, permits, and other entitlements in the IMAP and in Suez's entire portfolio are summarized in the following table:

2017 UPDATE (APRIL 28, 2017) 13581068 53 / 30-147

Page 9 of 43

⁷ "United Water is now undertaking a re-calculation of its future need projections based on current circumstances. This is not yet completed. As noted in *United Water's Statement of Issues for July 24 Status Conference* dated July 20, 2012, however, preliminary work indicates that the population and water demands projected for United Water's service area at the end of the fifty-year planning horizon have moved downward. At this point we anticipate that United Water's RAFN over a 50-year planning horizon beginning in the year 2012 will be fairly close to its current portfolio of water rights." *2012 Update*, p. 54.

	Table 2			
Number of Water Rights Included in IMAP By Source of Right				
Source of right	2003 IMAP	2012 IMAP	2017 IMAP	
Ground water:	107	105	102	
Surface water:	1	1	1	
TOTAL	108	106	103	
Ву	t Included in IM Source of Right		0017.111.5	
Source of right	2003 IMAP ⁸	2012 IMAP	2017 IMAP	
Ground water:	5	7	10	
Surface water (incl. exchanges):	5	12	12	
TOTAL	10	19	22	
Grand Total – Entire Portfolio				
Source of right	2003 IMAP	2012 IMAP	2017 IMAP	
Ground water:	112	112	112	
Surface water (& exchanges):	6	13	13	
GRAND TOTAL	118	125	125	

An updated spreadsheet listing <u>all</u> rights, permits, and other entitlements in Suez's portfolio (not just those included in the IMAP) is set out as Exhibit 2 to the 2065 Master Water Plan. An updated spreadsheet listing only those rights and permits included in today's IMAP is set out as Exhibit A to this 2017 Update. (In other words, Exhibit A to this 2017 Update is the same as Exhibit 2 to the 2065 Master Water Plan, except that the non-IMAP rights, permits, and other entitlements are removed from Exhibit A.) Thus, Exhibit A to this 2017 Update serves as an updated version of the list of IMAP water rights and permits set out as Attachment A to the 2013 Further Submission (which was prepared at the direction of the Hearing Officer).⁹

2017 UPDATE (APRIL 28, 2017)

13581068_53 / 30-147 Page 10 of 43

⁸ This tally does not include permit No. 63-31243, which was omitted inadvertently from the 2003 IMAP, but, in any event, is no longer owned by Suez. 2012 Update, pp. 42-43. Nor does it include 4 permits (63-12424, 63-12463, 63-12506, and 63-12552) that were included in the 2001 IMAP but withdrawn prior to the 2003 IMAP, and are no longer owned by Suez. 2012 Update, p. 42; 2017 Update, footnote 10 at page 11.

⁹ In order to save space, the columns labeled "Place of Use (after transfer)" and "Period of Use (after transfer)" in Attachment A to the 2013 Further Submission are omitted from Exhibit 2 to the 2065 Master Water

B. Six water rights and permits that are no longer part of Suez's portfolio

For the reasons discussed below, the following six rights and permits (which were included in the 2001 IMAP) are no longer part of Suez's current portfolio. Accordingly, they are not included in either Exhibit 2 of the 2065 Master Water Plan (portfolio list) or Exhibit A to this 2017 Update (list of IMAP rights).

(1) No. 63-10533

On July 17, 2012, United Water submitted *United Water's Withdrawal of Water Right*No. 63-10533 from IMAP, explaining that United Water no longer owned this ground water right. This was discussed in the 2012 Update, p. 8. Accordingly, Exhibit C to the 2012 Update identified No 63-10533 as not included in either the IMAP or the portfolio, and the right was not listed at all in Attachment A (IMAP water rights) of the 2013 Further Submission.

(2) No. 63-7077

Another ground water right, No. 63-7077, was identified in the 2003 IMAP, but was called out in a footnote as redundant with No. 63-4015. 2003 IMAP, Spreadsheet 1, Tab J. Consequently, its quantity was <u>not</u> included in the portfolio total (see footnote 17 at page 16). Accordingly, it was included in the list of 93 pre-change ground water licenses in (2003 IMAP, Spreadsheet 2, Tab K), but was <u>not</u> included in the list of 92 post-change licensed ground water rights (2003 IMAP, Spreadsheet 3, Tab L). It was not claimed in the SRBA and has thereby been relinquished. It was identified in Exhibit C (portfolio list) of the *2012 Update* as being included in neither the IMAP list nor the portfolio. It was not listed at all in Attachment A (IMAP water rights) of the *2013 Further Submission*.

Plan and Exhibit A to this 2017 Update. The post-transfer place of use for each IMAP right is the same ("Suez Service Area") and the post-transfer season of use for each IMAP right is also the same ("1/1 to 12/31").

Page 11 of 43

(3) Nos. 63-12424, 63-12463, 63-12506, and 63-12552

On January 22, 2003, United withdrew four applications for amendment of permits (63-12424, 63-12463, 63-12506, and 63-12552) associated with non-contiguous system components that lie outside of the planning area. These were included among the rights in the 2001 IMAP, but were shown as deleted on the 2003 IMAP. They have subsequently lapsed or been sold. Accordingly, they were identified as "removed" in the portfolio list in Exhibit C to the 2012 Update, and were not included in the IMAP list in Attachment A to the 2013 Further Submission.

C. Twenty-two water rights withdrawn or excluded from the IMAP that remain in the portfolio

(1) Five rights and permits were not included in the 2003 IMAP

Five ground water rights and permits (Nos. 63-31406, 63-2915, 63-3239, 63-31856, and 63-31857) were not included in the 2003 IMAP, and remain excluded. See footnote 15 at page 16; 2012 Update, pp. 10-11.

(2) Two rights withdrawn in 2010 for transfer

Water right Nos. 63-7066 and 63-12363 were removed from the IMAP in 2010 to facilitate a separate transfer action. *UWID's Notice of Withdrawal of Rights from IMAP* (Mar. 17, 2010). This withdrawal pre-dated the 2012 Update. Consequently, it was discussed in the 2012 Update, p. 8, and the withdrawal was reflected in the spreadsheets attached to the 2012 Update and the 2013 Further Submission.

(3) Three Ranney collector rights

The 2003 IMAP included one licensed right (No. 63-2892) associated with the Marden treatment plant's Ranney collectors. During the SRBA process, three ground water rights (Nos.

Page 12 of 43

63-31797, 63-31798, and 63-31879) were "split" off of the licensed ground water right (No. 63-2892) and assigned earlier priority dates based on accomplished transfers of beneficial use rights. See discussion in 2012 Update, pp. 22-23. All four Ranney collector rights were included among the IMAP rights listed in the 2012 Update and the 2013 Further Submission.

A question arose as to whether the early priority dates associated with the "split" rights would require re-advertisement of the IMAP. In order to moot that issue, United Water elected to remove the three early-priority rights from the IMAP. Accordingly, on March 26, 2013 (subsequent to the 2013 Further Submission), United Water submitted United Water's Notice of Withdrawal of Water Right Nos. 63-31797, 63-31798, and 63-31879. This had the effect of withdrawing these three water rights from the IMAP transfer applications, but had no effect on the water rights themselves. Accordingly, only No. 63-2892 is included in the current IMAP list (Exhibit A to the 2017 Update), while all four (Nos. 63-2892, 63-31797, 63-31798, and 63-31879) are included in the current portfolio list (Exhibit 2 to the 2065 Master Water Plan).

(4) Twelve surface water rights and entitlements excluded from the IMAP

As noted, the IMAP includes only one surface water entitlement (permit No. 63-12055). Suez owns (or is entitled to use¹²) 12 other surface water rights and entitlements (in addition to water bank rentals and short-term leases): Nos. 2-2339, 2-2341, 2-2358, 2-2420, 63-20041

2017 UPDATE (APRIL 28, 2017)

Page 13 of 43

¹⁰ UWID's Notice of Withdrawal of Four Applications for Amendment of Permit from the IMAP and Motion to Amend the IMAP (dated Jan. 20, 2003, filed Jan. 22, 2003).

¹¹ The Hearing Officer noted in his *Denial Order* at page 2 that "United Water included several water rights with earlier priority dates in the 2012 Update Statement that were not described in the 2002 legal notice may need to be advertised." United Water disagreed, 2013 Further Submission at 7-10, but decided it was simpler to resolve the issue by withdrawing the early priority rights from the IMAP.

Surface water No. 63-20041 (formerly 63-165L) (for 36.37 cfs) is held by the Boise Water Canal Company. Suez is entitled to use the portion of this right allocated to municipal use (0.68 cfs).

(formerly 63-165L), 63-169F, 63-243E, 63-243H, 63-31409, 63-31871, and contract entitlements for Anderson Ranch and Lucky Peak reservoirs.

Though not included in the IMAP for purposes of transfer or amendment, each of these 22 rights, permits, and other entitlements has been fully disclosed and is included in the quantification of Suez's portfolio for gap analysis purposes. 2012 Update, pp. 44-47; 2065

Master Water Plan, pp. 35-42.

D. IMAP changes since 2013

The three attachments to Suez's 2013 Further Submission discussed in section IV.B at page 7 (the list of IMAP rights, the list of ground water APODs, and the Pink Line Map) continue to accurately reflect current circumstances except for the following:

- As noted above, Suez has excluded three of the four Ranney collector water rights from the IMAP. Accordingly, Nos. 63-31797, 63-31798, and 63-31879 have been deleted from the list of IMAP water rights set out in Exhibit A to this 2017 Update. This will have no effect on the list of 81 APODs, because the Ranney collector PODs were not included on the "global" APOD list for the IMAP Relaunch. The one Ranney collector right that remains in the IMAP (No. 63-2892) is correctly identified in the portfolio list in Exhibit 2 of the 2065 Master Water Plan and Exhibit A to this 2017 Update as having three PODs within the same quarter-quarter (after the IMAP transfers are approved). This is a clarification of the description in Attachment A to the 2013 Further Submission, which simply described the PODs as "Marden Collectors."
- One POD was omitted from the 2012 and 2013 spreadsheets describing surface water permit No. 63-12055.¹⁴ This does <u>not</u> affect the list of 81 ground water APODs. The two PODs are correctly displayed in the current portfolio lists (2065)

2017 UPDATE (APRIL 28, 2017)

13581068_53 / 30-147 Page 14 of 43

¹³ The PODs for the Ranney collector license (No. 63-2892) were included on the APOD list for the 2003 IMAP (Spreadsheet 5, Tab N), but they were dropped from the APOD list at the time of the 2012 Relaunch (*2012 Update*, Exhibit D, p. 87).

¹⁴ This is the <u>surface</u> water intake for the Marden Treatment Plant, permit No. 63-12055. It is the only one of Suez's surface rights that is included in the IMAP. Suez explained in the 2012 Update at page 24 that it is seeking a second POD for this right at the existing Columbia Treatment Plant. However, the spreadsheet of portfolio rights attached to the 2012 Update (Exhibit C) and the spreadsheet of IMAP rights attached to the 2013 Further Submission (Attachment A) inadvertently failed to reflect that second POD. Note that Suez is not seeking global APOD authority for this or any surface water right. Nor are either of these two Boise River PODs included on the list of 81 APODs for ground water rights.

- Master Water Plan, Exhibit 2 and 2017 Update, Exhibit A) and in the current IMAP list (2017 Update, Exhibit A).
- Exhibit 2 of the 2065 Master Water Plan and corresponding Exhibit A to this 2017 Update display some minor changes to post-transfer water right quantities, as discussed in the text following Table 4 below.

The 2012 Update and the 2013 Further Submission tallied 106 water rights included in the IMAP (including 93 originally licensed rights, five "beneficial use" rights, and eight permits). 2012 Update, Table 1, p. 10. The SRBA Court issued partial decrees for each of the five beneficial use rights, and for 72 of the rights originally based on a license. The other 21 originally licensed rights and the eight permits were not required to be claimed in the SRBA and, therefore, have no partial decrees. Thus, the group of 106 water rights in the 2012 IMAP can be described as including rights based on 77 partial decrees, 21 licenses, and eight permits.

Since 2013, the only change in the list of water rights included in the IMAP is the March 26, 2013 withdrawal of the three junior-priority Ranney collector rights, as described above in section V.C(3) at page 12. None of Suez's eight permits included in the 2013 Further Submission has gone to license or lapsed, and no new permit applications have been filed nor permits granted. Accordingly, the number of water rights in the 2003, 2012, and 2017 versions of the IMAP may be summarized as follows:

Table 3				
Number of Water Rights Included in IMAP By Basis of Right				
Basis of right	2003 IMAP	2012 IMAP	2017 IMAP	
Partial decrees:	0	77	74	
Licenses:	91	21	21	
Permits:	15	8	8	
Ben. use claim	2	0	0	
TOTAL	108	106	103	

Page 15 of 43

As shown on Table 2 in section V.A above, the 2003 IMAP included all but five of United Water's then-existing ground water rights and permits.¹⁵ Aside from one surface water right (No. 63-12055, discussed in the next paragraph), the 2003 IMAP included none of United Water's other surface water entitlements. Today's IMAP includes all but 10 of Suez's currently held ground water rights,¹⁶ and continues to exclude all but one of its surface water entitlements.

From the outset, the IMAP has included only one (No. 63-12055) of Suez's 13 surface water rights and other entitlements. No. 63-12055 could have been excluded from the IMAP. Its PODs, obviously, are not included among the 81 ground water APODs. It was included simply because Suez is seeking an additional POD for this right—essentially its own mini-APOD list (the Marden plant POD and the Columbia plant POD).

The post-IMAP-transfer diversion quantity of Suez's total portfolio has increased modestly from the amount stated in the 2003 IMAP until today: from 302.84 cfs¹⁷ to 330.58

Page 16 of 43

¹⁵Of the five ground water rights and permits not included in the 2003 IMAP: One was only a permit application at the time (No. 63-31406). The other four were licenses and beneficial use claims discovered, claimed, and decreed during the SRBA process (Nos. 63-2915, 63-3239, 63-31856, and 63-31857). 2012 Update, pp. 10-11.

¹⁶ Of the 10 ground water rights and permits not included in today's IMAP: Five are the same as those not included in the 2003 IMAP. See footnote 15. Two were withdrawn for transfer (Nos. 63-7066 and 63-12363). See section V.C(2) at page 13. Three of them are the Ranney collector rights (Nos. 63-31797, 63-31798, and 63-31879) discussed in section V.C(3) at page 14.

¹⁷ The quantity for the total portfolio in 2003, 302.84 cfs, appears in Table 11 at page 50 of the 2003 IMAP. This quantification, though not explained in the text of the 2003 IMAP, was based on the sum of three numbers:

^{• 222.46} cfs (total of 92 ground water licensed and statutory rights) (as shown on page 2 of Spreadsheet 3, Tab L, of the 2003 IMAP) (one fewer than the 93 listed under Tab J, because redundant right No. 63-7077 was eliminated post-transfer),

^{• 63.66} cfs (total of 15 permits—1 surface, 14 ground water) (as shown on page 1 of Spreadsheet 4, Tab M, of the 2003 IMAP, and

^{• 16.21} cfs (total of five surface water contract entitlements) (as shown on Table 10, page 38, of the 2003 IMAP).

cfs¹⁸ (a difference of 27.74 cfs). The water rights and permits involved in this difference were described in the *2012 Update*, and are summarized in Table 4 below:

Table 4					
Diversion rate differences in Suez's total portfolio (2003 IMAP compared to present)					
Right No.	Added to Portfolio/ Removed from Portfolio/ Modified	Change in cfs (+/-)	Reason for addition, removal, or modification of right	Where right is discussed or referenced in 2012 Update	
63-10533	Removed	-0.52	Sold	2012 Update, pp. 8-9, 10, 12, 13	
63-12432	Removed	-4.50	Lapsed permit	2012 Update, pp. 9, 10, 13	
63-31406	Added	1.11	Permit issued	2012 Update, pp. 10, 43-44	
63-2915	Added/Modified	1.42	Late discovered	2012 Update, pp. 11, 44	
63-3239	Added/Modified	0.42	Late discovered	2012 Update, pp. 11, 44	
63-31856	Added	2.12	Late discovered	2012 Update, pp. 11, 44	
63-31857	Added	2.48	Late discovered	2012 Update, pp. 11, 44	
63-31409	Added	0.00	Post-2003 permit	2012 Update, pp. 46-47	
2-2341	Added	35.21	Post-2003 exchange	2012 Update, p. 46	
2-2358	Added		Post-2003 exchange	2012 Update, p. 46	
2-2420	Added		Post-2003 exchange	2012 Update, p. 46	
63-31871	Added		Post-2003 exchange	2012 Update, p. 46	
63-3457	Modified	-1.44	Volume limit	2012 Update, pp. 19-20, 40, 42, 53	
63-10945	Modified	-1.46	Rate and volume limit	2012 Update, pp. 20, 35-42, 51, 53	
63-12363	Modified	-1.67	Volume limit	2012 Update, pp. 8, 10, 12, 13, 43, 53	
63-3448	Modified	0.01	Rounding	2012 Update, pp. 16, 40	
63-12139	Modified	-1.70	Licensed quantity	2012 Update, p. 51	
63-169F	Modified	-0.42	Volume limit	2012 Update, pp. 45, 46	
63-243E	Modified	-1.97	Volume limit	2012 Update, pp. 45, 46	
63-243H	Modified	-0.60	Volume limit	2012 Update, pp. 45, 46	
63-4395	Modified	-0.42	Rate and volume limit	2012 Update, pp. 10, 19-20, 51-53	
63-8385	Modified	-0.25	Rate and volume limit	2012 Update, pp. 19-20, 42, 52-53	
63-10150	Modified	-0.08	Rate and volume limit	2012 Update, pp. 19-20, 42, 52-53	
NET CHAN	GE	27.74		, * * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

The quantities listed in the column labeled "Change in cfs (+/-)" use the 302.84 cfs portfolio described in the 2003 IMAP as the baseline for calculations. The details for adjustments (i.e., modifications) to a water right's quantity are set out in Section VI and Exhibit 2 to the 2065 Master Water Plan.

¹⁸ The quantity 330.58 appears on Table 7 at page 36 of the 2065 Master Water Plan. This, in turn, corresponds to the sum of all water right quantities listed under the column "EFFECTIVE CFS" in Exhibit 2 to the 2065 Master Water Plan, less the 20 cfs for No. 63-31409 discussed at pages 38-40 of the 2065 Master Water Plan.

VI. 2065 MASTER WATER PLAN AND THE REVISED 50-YEAR DEMAND

As noted in section IV.C at page 9, Suez has undertaken a comprehensive revision of its 50-year demand forecast—pushing out the projection to the year 2065. This is incorporated into Suez's water supply planning document entitled *Master Water Plan for the Years 2015 to 2065* ("2065 Master Water Plan"), which will be submitted separately for filing in this proceeding. The 2065 Master Water Plan serves as Suez's official long-range planning document for water rights. Separate documents (with shorter planning horizons) address Suez's infrastructure planning. The 2065 Master Water Plan was approved by Suez's Vice President and General Manager on September 23, 2016 (as shown on its cover). Subsequent "errata" corrections (which have no effect on the 50-year forecast) are noted on the inside cover (dated April 28, 2017).

The updated 50-year demand forecast for the years 2015 to 2065 prepared by Suez's consultant, John S. Church ("Church Forecast") is set out in Section V of the 2065 Master Water Plan, pp. 8-35. This new forecast replaces the forecast prepared by Mr. Church that formed the basis of the 2003 version (and prior versions) of IMAP.

The 2065 Master Water Plan maintains the same 50-year duration for the planning horizon as the initial RAFN forecast in the 2003 IMAP. However, the starting and ending dates were pushed out 15 years, so that it now covers the years 2015 through 2065.

The 2015-2065 forecast projects lower demand than did the 2000-2050 forecast. For example, compared to the 2003 IMAP, the new peak demand projection for the year 2050 drops

2017 UPDATE (APRIL 28, 2017)

13581068_53 / 30-147 Page 18 of 43

¹⁹ The supporting data spreadsheets for the Church Forecast are set out in Appendix A to the 2065 Master Water Plan. Mr. Church's affidavit and resume are set out as Exhibits 3 and 4, respectively, of the 2065 Master Water Plan. As noted in his affidavit, Mr. Church is the supervising author responsible for Section V of the 2065 Master Water Plan. He is also responsible for preparation of the supporting data set out in Appendix A of the 2065 Master Water Plan.

from 415.7 cfs to 284 cfs. The new peak demand projection (370.87 cfs²⁰) for the year 2065 is lower by 44.83 cfs than the original projection for year 2050 (415.7 cfs).

This reduction in forecasted demand is a function primarily of three things: (1) the impact of the "Great Recession" following the national economic crisis beginning in 2007, (2) improved prediction methodologies (consistent with IDWR's new guidance on RAFN forecasting²¹), and (3) new and more accurate data (including 2010 Census data, extensive new data collected by the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho ("COMPASS"), and another decade of Suez's production data).

VII. CORROBORATION OF THE CHURCH FORECAST

A. Don Reading Review

Following the completion of Mr. Church's revised RAFN forecast, Suez retained Dr. Don C. Reading of Ben Johnson Associates, Inc. in December of 2013 to review and critique the Church Forecast. Dr. Reading was selected for this task because he was the economist hired by IDWR to assist the Department in preparing its *RAFN Guidance*.²² In undertaking his review, Dr. Reading was given full access to Mr. Church and his supporting data. Extensive review and consultation between Mr. Church and Dr. Reading followed. As a result, some revisions and clarifications were made by Mr. Church to his forecast, although his general methodology has remained unchanged.

2017 UPDATE (APRIL 28, 2017)

²⁰ The peak demand (aka Maximum Day Demand or MMD) of 370.87 is explained in section V(D)(12) of the 2065 Master Water Plan, pp. 30-32, and is displayed on Table 4 of the 2065 Master Water Plan, p. 32.

²¹ Mat Weaver, Memorandum – Application Processing No. 74, Permit Processing No. 20, License Processing No. 13, Transfer Processing No. 29 (Mar. 16, 2015) (replacing Nov. 15, 2014 and Nov. 13, 2013 versions) ("RAFN Guidance").

²² "The 'Population Projection within the Planning Horizon' section of the RAFN handbook was prepared in conjunction with and under the review of Don Reading, Ph.D., a consulting economist with Ben Johnson Associates, Inc." *RAFN Guidance*, p. 9, footnote 2.

Ultimately, on July 8, 2016, Dr. Reading provided a report entitled A Review of the Population and Water Demand Forecasts in Suez Idaho Inc.'s Master Water Plan (2015 – 2065) ("Reading Report") (Exhibit 5 to the 2065 Master Water Plan). The Reading Report concludes that (1) the Church Forecast comports with the Department's guidance and (2) its demand projections are at the low end of other predictions and the predictive tool approved by the Department:

This Report generally follows the reasonably anticipated future needs (RAFN) Guidance issued by the Idaho Department of Water Resources, comparing Suez's forecasts with methodologies, standards, and benchmarks in the RAFN Guidance. . . .

Suez's population and water demand forecasts are reasonable when compared to other contemporary forecasts. The contemporary forecasts used for comparison in this Report were developed for TV CAMP, COMPASS, and the Department's DCMI Report. An additional check of Suez's population projections was made using the Department's population forecasting tool referenced in the RAFN Guidance. With the exception of one model form—which, as explained in the Report, is not a good predictor of future population—all of Suez's projections are lower or equal to the other forecasts derived from the Department's forecasting tool.

Reading Report at 3 (footnote omitted).

B. Christian Petrich Review

Meanwhile, in October of 2015, Suez retained Dr. Christian R. Petrich of SPF Water Engineering, LLC to undertake yet another independent review of the Church Forecast. Dr. Petrich was retained particularly to compare the Church Forecast with the 50-year forecast of DCMI (domestic, commercial, municipal, and industrial) water demand in the Treasure Valley commissioned by the Idaho Water Resource Board ("DCMI Report"). Dr. Petrich was the primary author of the DCMI Report. The DCMI Report quantified future municipal water demand throughout the Treasure Valley. Both forecasts cover the years 2015 to 2065.

Page 20 of 43

Suez asked Dr. Petrich to determine whether the Church Forecast for Suez's planning area is consistent with conclusions reached for the larger geographic area studied in the *DCMI Report*. Specifically, Dr. Petrich was charged with extracting that portion of the *DCMI Report* forecast that corresponds to Suez's planning area in order to make an apples-to-apples comparison of the two. In undertaking his review, Dr. Petrich was given full access to Mr. Church and his supporting data.

Dr. Petrich issued his report on August 1, 2016 (Exhibit 7 to the 2065 Master Water Plan). He confirmed that the Church Forecast is consistent with forecasts in the DCMI Report:

Despite differences in methodology between Suez and SPF forecasts, the water-demand projections are consistent. The Suez 2065 water-demand projection for the Suez service area (103,000 AF – see Table 3) is similar to SPF's Scenario 2 water-demand projection (106,000 AF). . . .

In my view, Scenario 2 (106,000 AF/year) is the most probable of the SPF scenarios given current water-use and urban-development trends.

Petrich Report at 3-4.

C. Conclusion

In sum, the Church Forecast set out in the 2065 Master Water Plan is more conservative than his prior forecast, relies on the most recent and comprehensive data available, conforms to IDWR's RAFN Guidance, and is consistent with the 50-year Treasure Valley demand forecast for the same time frame (2015 to 2065) developed for the Idaho Water Resource Board.

VIII. MODEST GROWTH RATE

The Church Forecast predicts annual water demand growth of less than 1.85% percent over the next 50 years. This equates to a total increase of a multiple of 2.5 over that 50-year period. This increase in water demand, in turn, is based on a projected population growth rate of just 1.20% within the planning area (less than the annual growth rate of 1.69% for all of Ada

County). The growth rates associated with the Church Forecast are discussed in detail in Section V(E) of the 2065 Master Water Plan at pages 32-35.²³

IDWR's *RAFN Guidance* sets a benchmark 2.50% rate of annual population growth: "Growth rates in excess of 2.50% were realized by less than 14% of Idaho counties. As such, applicants should provide extra justification for requested growth rates in excess of 2.50% annually." *RAFN Guidance*, p. 9.

Although the Church Forecast's projected growth rate is substantially lower than IDWR's benchmark, the forecast nonetheless includes extensive justification for the requested growth rate.

IX. GAP ANALYSIS

IDWR's *RAFN Guidance* calls for a "gap analysis" that quantifies the shortfall (if any) between a municipal provider's total portfolio of water rights and its projected RAFN at the end of the planning horizon. The idea is that an applicant for transfer of water rights may not obtain RAFN forfeiture protection for the transferred rights absent a showing that its existing water right portfolio does not exceed its projected future demand.²⁴

2017 UPDATE (APRIL 28, 2017)

13581068_53 / 30-147 Page 22 of 43

²³ In that section, Mr. Church explains that water demand will outpace population growth—even with significant, increasing conservation factored in—because household size is shrinking (which drives up the number of residential customers for the same population) and commercial demand is increasing at a faster pace than population (reflecting increases in both the density of commercial customers and the water demanded by each customer). Mr. Church also notes what while <u>year-round</u> (average) water demand per customer is on the decline, that decline is concentrated in the non-irrigation season. In contrast, <u>peak</u> demand per residential customer (driven by lawn irrigation) is expected to remain relatively stable. 2065 Master Water Plan, p. 34. See also Mr. Church's discussion of potable versus non-potable irrigation ("NPI") demand. Although the ratio of potable versus NPI for the planning area (in contrast to the rest of Ada County) is expected to increase somewhat in the years ahead (resulting in more lawn irrigation water being supplied by Suez), Mr. Church conservatively assumed that the ratio will not change. 2065 Master Water Plan, p. 25.

The gap analysis also plays a role in an application for appropriation of new water rights for RAFN purposes. The Department will not approve a RAFN appropriation absent a showing that the applicant's existing portfolio plus the new appropriation does not exceed its <u>long-term needs</u>. Moreover, a gap analysis is required even for a non-RAFN appropriation. In that case, however, the gap analysis compares the existing portfolio with <u>short-term</u> needs. This is not applicable here, because Suez is not seeking a new appropriation.

The 2065 Master Water Plan sets out such a "gap analysis" comparing Suez's 50-year demand forecast with its current portfolio of water rights.²⁵ It bears emphasis that the gap analysis looks at Suez's entire portfolio of rights, not just those rights included in the IMAP.

The forecasted peak day production in 2065 (370.87 cfs²⁶) exceeds Suez's current portfolio of water rights and entitlements, which authorize, at most, instantaneous diversions of 330.58 cfs (see footnote 18 at page 17). The bottom line is that there is a shortfall or "gap" of 40.29 cfs in the year 2065.

Table 5						
Gap Analysis Summary (in 2003 and 2016)						
2003 IMAP 2065 Master Water Plan						
(cfs) (cfs)						
700 (%)	For year 2050 For year 2065					
Total portfolio	302.84	330.58				
RAFN	416.81	370.87				
Gap	113.97	40.29				

In sum, the "gap" has shrunk considerably since the 2013 IMAP. Suez's current portfolio is sufficient to cover its current demands and RAFN through the year 2058. 2065 Master Water Plan, p. 41. In other words, it will be more than 40 years before Suez will be "short" of water to meet its peak demand. Approval of a 50-year planning horizon will enable Suez to negotiate willing-seller, willing-buyer transactions with other water right holders in the Treasure Valley (notably surface water users) to re-balance its portfolio over time to meet changing delivery system requirements.

2017 UPDATE (APRIL 28, 2017)

13581068 53 / 30-147

²⁵ United Water's 2003 IMAP also contained a gap analysis, though it did not use that terminology. Table 11 at page 50 of the 2003 IMAP showed a shortfall (or "gap") of 113.97 cfs at the end of the 50-year planning horizon ending in 2050. The 2012 Update and 2013 Further Submission did not include any revised gap analysis, because, at that time, United Water had not yet undertaken a revised 50-year forecast.

²⁶ See footnote 20 at page 20 for the basis of the 370.87 cfs number.

X. REOPENER

Suez is seeking RAFN protection based on a 50-year planning horizon. In doing so, Suez has made clear from the outset that it is willing to accept an appropriate reopener condition to address changes in circumstances. See *United Water's Statement of Issues for July 24 Status Conference* (July 20, 2012) ("2012 Statement of Issues"), Section VI, pp 10-11. The reopener concept is intended to address concerns that Suez's forecast will someday be found to overstate its actual future needs. Suez's willingness to agree to such a condition underscores the fact that Suez is seeking no more than the quantity of water that will actually be necessary to meet future needs.

Under such a condition, the Department would be authorized to require Suez to reevaluate its future needs from time to time before the end of the planning horizon—presumably
no more frequently than every 10 to 20 years. On these occasions, Suez would undertake a
revised 50-year forecast from that point forward. In the unlikely, but possible, event that Suez
could no longer justify retention of its entire portfolio—in other words, if the new gap analysis
showed more water rights than future demand—Suez might be required to relinquish the
corresponding "excess" portion of its water rights portfolio.

In 2012, Suez took the position that the Department has authority to impose such a reopener condition under two provisions of the Idaho Water Code. *2012 Statement of Issues*, Section VII, pp. 11-12. Idaho Code § 42-222(1) authorizes the Director to approve changes in water rights "upon conditions." Idaho Code § 42-211 similarly authorizes the Director to approve permit amendments "upon conditions."

2017 UPDATE (APRIL 28, 2017)

13581068_53 / 30-147 Page 24 of 43

²⁷ A third statutory provision, Idaho Code § 42-219(1), applies to the <u>licensing</u> of RAFN water rights. It authorizes the Director to impose "such other conditions as the director may deem appropriate." This provision is not applicable to the IMAP, because the IMAP does not entail the licensing of water rights. It only addresses transfers or amendments of existing licenses and permits.

In 2014, Suez engaged retired IDWR chief counsel Phillip J. Rassier to evaluate the legal basis for a RAFN reopener condition.²⁸ The end result of that legal evaluation was a memorandum from Mr. Rassier to counsel for Suez dated June 5, 2015 ("Rassier Memo") (Exhibit 9 to 2065 Master Water Plan).

Mr. Rassier disagreed with the position taken by Suez. He concluded that Idaho Code Sections 42-222(1) and 42-211 do <u>not</u> authorize the Director to impose a reopener condition that could result in the reduction in the quantity element of a water right prior to the end of the planning horizon. Mr. Rassier concluded that the specific language of Idaho Code § 42-223(2) protecting the full quantity of RAFN rights during the planning horizon overrides the more general language in the statute authorizing the imposition of conditions.²⁹ This conclusion, however, was limited to re-opener conditions affecting the <u>quantity</u> element of the water right.

In the second part of his memorandum, Mr. Rassier concluded that the Director does have the authority to impose a condition on a RAFN right allowing the Director to adjust the length of the planning horizon in the future. He explained:

The prohibitions against loss or forfeiture of a RAFN water right contained in Idaho Code § 42-223(2) do not prevent the Director from exercising his authority under Idaho Code § 42-219(1) to conditionally approve the length of the planning horizon for a RAFN right. The condition could provide the Director with the flexibility to periodically review and adjust the planning horizon in accordance with the changing needs of the municipal provider. For example, the condition could set a 50-year planning horizon with authorization for the Director to review the planning horizon at established intervals thereafter. The condition could allow the Director to either extend or reduce the planning horizon as determined appropriate in accordance with the changing

2017 UPDATE (APRIL 28, 2017) 13581068 53 / 30-147

²⁸ Mr. Rassier's resume is set out in Exhibit 10 of the 2065 Master Water Plan.

²⁹ Idaho Code § 42-223(2) provides: "A water right held by a municipal provider to meet reasonably anticipated future needs shall be deemed to constitute beneficial use, and such rights shall not be lost or forfeited for nonuse unless the planning horizon specified in the license has expired and the quantity of water authorized for use under the license is no longer needed to meet reasonably anticipated future needs."

needs of the municipal provider. ...

If during review of a planning horizon, the Director determines that not all water available under the RAFN water right is necessary to meet reasonably anticipated future needs, the Director could either extend the term of the planning horizon, or reduce the length of the planning horizon with the intent of allowing it to expire. . . .

With respect to Question No. 2, it is my conclusion that adding a reopener condition to a municipal RAFN water right for the purpose of reviewing and potentially extending or reducing the length of the planning horizon associated with the right, based on change in the reasonably anticipated future needs of the municipal provider, would fall within the discretionary authority of the Director of IDWR. The proposed reopener condition would serve as an appropriate vehicle to provide the Director with the flexibility to periodically review and adjust the planning horizon in accordance with the changing needs of the municipal provider in a manner consistent with the legislative directive contained in Idaho Code § 42-202B(7) and without violating the prohibitions contained in Idaho Code § 42-223(2) because any downward adjustment of the quantity element would occur after the planning horizon has expired.

Rassier Memo, pp. 5-6 (2065 Master Water Plan, pp. 131-32).30

Mr. Rassier's view that the Director lacks authority to condition the quantity element of a RAFN right relies on a very strict (Suez believes overly strict) reading of Idaho Code § 42-223(2). But the question is academic. The Director has the authority to accomplish the goal of avoiding an inflexible over-quantification of RAFN rights by adding a condition allowing the duration of the planning horizon to be shortened or extended (i.e., "pushing out" the 50-year period) if needed to meet changing circumstances.

2017 UPDATE (APRIL 28, 2017)

³⁰ In this quotation, Mr. Rassier referred only to the conditioning authority in Idaho Code § 42-219(1). In an email dated April 11, 2017, Mr. Rassier clarified that his conclusion (regarding a re-opener condition for future adjustment of the planning horizon) applies equally to all three statutory provisions authorizing the Director to impose conditions (Idaho Code § 42-219(1), 42-221, and 42-222(1). This email is included in Exhibit 9 to the 2065 Master Water Plan at pages 135-36.

XI. CONCLUSION

Suez has prepared this detailed update and explanation in order to assist the Department and the parties in understanding how the IMAP is affected by the revised 50-year forecast in the 2065 Master Water Plan and other recent developments. Suez welcomes the opportunity to provide further explanation or clarification to any party, and looks forward to working with the Department and all parties to advance these proceedings based on this new information.

Respectfully submitted this 28th day of April, 2017.

GIVENS PURSLEY LLP

By

Christopher H. Meyer

By

Michael P. Lawrence

Attorneys for Suez Water Idaho Inc.

Page 27 of 43

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 28^{th} day of April, 2017, the foregoing was filed, served, and copied as shown below.

DOCUMENT FILED:

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES P.O. Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0098 Hand delivery or overnight mail: 322 East Front Street Boise, ID 83702	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail
SERVICE COPIES TO IDWR, PROTESTA INTERESTED PAR	 ERVENORS, AND
Kimi White Paralegal IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES PO Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0098 kimi.white@idwr.idaho.gov Hand delivery or overnight mail: 322 E Front St Boise, ID 83702	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail
Garrick L. Baxter, Esq. Deputy Attorney General IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES PO Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0098 garrick.baxter@idwr.idaho.gov Hand delivery or overnight mail: 322 E Front St Boise, ID 83702	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail

Stephan L. Burgos Director Public Works Department CITY OF BOISE PO Box 500 Boise, ID 83701-0500 Facsimile: (208) 433-5650 sburgos@cityofboise.org Hand delivery or overnight mail: 150 N Capitol Blvd, City Hall #1 Boise, ID 83702 (For the City of Boise, intervenor in support)	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail
Abigale R. Germaine, Esq. Assistant City Attorney City Attorney's Office CITY OF BOISE PO Box 500 Boise, ID 83701-0500 Facsimile: (208) 384-4454 agermaine@cityofboise.org Hand delivery or overnight mail: 150 N Capitol Blvd Boise, ID 83702 (For the City of Boise, intervenor in support)	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail
Warren Stewart, P.E. City Engineer Public Works Department CITY OF MERIDIAN 33 E Broadway Ave, Ste 200 Meridian, ID 83642 Facsimile: (208) 898-9551 wstewart@meridiancity.org (For the City of Meridian, intervenor in support)	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail
Kyle Radek, P.E. Assistant City Engineer, Engineering Division Public Works Department CITY OF MERIDIAN 33 E Broadway Ave, Ste 200 Meridian, ID 83642 Facsimile: (208) 898-9551 kradek@meridiancity.org (For the City of Meridian, intervenor in support)	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail

Page 29 of 43

Charles L. Honsinger, Esq. HONSINGER LAW, PLLC PO Box 517 Boise, ID 83701 Facsimile: (208) 908-8065 honsingerlaw@gmail.com (For the City of Meridian, intervenor in support)	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail
Brent Orton, P.E., MSC Public Works Director, City Engineer CITY OF CALDWELL 621 East Cleveland Blvd. Caldwell, ID 83605 Facsimile: (208) 455-3012 borton@cityofcaldwell.org (For the City of Caldwell, intervenor in support)	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail
Christopher E. Yorgason, Esq. Middletown City Attorney YORGASON LAW OFFICES, PLLC 6200 N Meeker Pl Boise, ID 83713 Facsimile: (208) 375-3271 chris@yorgasonlaw.com (For the City of Middleton, protestant)	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail
Cherese D. McLain, Esq. MOORE, SMITH, BUXTON & TURCKE, CHARTERED 950 W Bannock St, Ste 520 Boise, ID 83702 Facsimile: (208) 331-1202 cdm@msbtlaw.com (For the Star Water & Sewer District, protestant and for the City of Eagle, interested party)	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail

S. Bryce Farris, Esq. Andrew J. Waldera, Esq. SAWTOOTH LAW OFFICES, PLLC PO Box 7985 Boise ID 83707 Facsimile: (208) 629-7559 bryce@sawtoothlaw.com andy@sawtoothlaw.com Hand delivery or overnight mail: 1101 W River St, Ste 110 Boise ID 83702 (For Nampa Meridian Irrigation District and Settlers Irrigation District, protestants)	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail
Albert P. Barker, Esq. Shelley M. Davis, Esq. BARKER, ROSHOLT & SIMPSON, LLP PO Box 2139 Boise, ID 83701-2139 Facsimile: (208) 344-6034 apb@idahowaters.com smd@idahowaters.com Hand delivery or overnight mail: 1010 W Jefferson, Ste 102 Boise, ID 83702 (For Boise Project Board of Control, Big Bend Irrigation District, Boise-Kuna Irrigation District, and Wilder Irrigation District, protestants)	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail
Josephine P. Beeman, Esq. 1019 N 17 th St Boise, ID 83702-3304 Facsimile: (208) 331-0954 jo.beeman@beemanlaw.com (For the City of Pocatello, interested party)	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail

A. Dean Tranmer, Esq. City Attorney CITY OF POCATELLO PO Box 4169 Pocatello, ID 83205-4169 Facsimile: (208) 239-6986 dtranmer@pocatello.us Hand delivery or overnight mail: City Hall, 911 N 7th Ave Pocatello, ID 83201 (For the City of Pocatello, interested party)		U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail
Richard T. Roats, Esq.	\boxtimes	U. S. Mail
City Attorney CITY OF KUNA	H	Hand Delivered
PO Box 13	H	Overnight Mail Facsimile
Kuna, ID 83634	H	E-mail
Facsimile: None		L mun
rroats@kunaID.gov		
kunaattorney@icloud.com		
(For the City of Kuna, interested party)		
Gordon N. Law and Public Works Director	\boxtimes	U. S. Mail
City Engineer		Hand Delivered
CITY OF KUNA		Overnight Mail
PO Box 13		Facsimile
Kuna, ID 83634		E-mail
Facsimile: None		
gordon@cityofkuna.com		
Hand delivery or overnight mail:		
6950 S Ten Mile Rd		
Meridian, ID 83634 (For the City of Kuna, interested party)		
(For the City of Kuna, interested party)		
COURTESY COPIES TO	O:	
Gary L. Spackman		U. S. Mail
Director	\boxtimes	Hand Delivered
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES		Overnight Mail
PO Box 83720		Facsimile
Boise, ID 83720-0098	\square	E-mail
gary.spackman@idwr.idaho.gov		
Hand delivery or overnight mail: 322 E Front St		
Boise, ID 83702		
10100, 11 00 102		

13581068_53 / 30-147 Page 32 of 43

Mathew Weaver, P.E. Deputy Director IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES PO Box 83720 Boise ID 83720-0098 mathew.weaver@idwr.idaho.gov Hand delivery or overnight mail: 322 E Front St Boise, ID 83702	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail
Nick Miller, P.E. Manager Western Regional Office IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES 2735 Airport Way Boise, ID 83705-5082 nick.miller@idwr.idaho.gov	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail
Jeff Peppersack, P.E. Water Allocation Bureau Chief IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES PO Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0098 jeff.peppersack@idwr.idaho.gov Hand delivery or overnight mail: 322 E Front St Boise, ID 83702	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail
Shelley W. Keen Section Manager, Water Rights Section IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES PO Box 83720 Boise ID 83720-0098 shelley.keen@idwr.idaho.gov Hand delivery or overnight mail: 322 E Front St Boise, ID 83702	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail

Page 33 of 43

Clive J. Strong, Esq. Natural Resources Division OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PO Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0010 clive.strong@ag.Idaho.gov Hand delivery or overnight mail: 700 W State St Boise, ID 83702	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail
Kathleen Marion Carr, Esq. Office of the Field Solicitor U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR 960 Broadway Ave, Ste 400 Boise, ID 83706 kathleenmarion.carr@sol.doi.gov	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail
E. Gail McGarry Program Manager, Water Rights & Acquisitions U.S. BUREAU OF RECLAMATION Pacific Northwest Regional Office 1150 N Curtis Rd Boise, ID 83706-1234 emcgarry@ usbr.gov	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail
Matt J. Howard Water Rights Analyst U.S. BUREAU OF RECLAMATION Pacific Northwest Regional Office 1150 N Curtis Rd Boise, ID 83706-1234 mhoward@ usbr.gov	U. S. Mail Hand Delivered Overnight Mail Facsimile E-mail

Christopher H. Meyer

EXHIBIT A: IMAP WATER RIGHTS AND PERMITS

(This is the similar to Exhibit 2 to the 2065 Master Water Plan, except that the non-IMAP rights have been excluded. This is an updated version of Attachment A to the 2013 Further Submission)

Right Num- ber	Source	Priority as of 2016 (original priority in brackets if different than 2016)	Purpose of Use as of 2016	CFS as of 2016 (on face of right)	Rights sharing combined diversion rate limits in 2016	CFS as of 2016 (reduced to account for combined rate limits)	AFA as of 2016	Rights sharing combined annual volume limits in 2016	cFS as of 2016 (reduced to account for elimination of individual and combined annual volume limits)	EFFECTIVE CFS as of 2016 (accounting for the lower of reduction due to combined rate limits and elimination of volume limits)	Original well or diversion name	POD(s) as of 2016	Post-IMAP transfer PODs	Place of Use as of 2016	Period of Use as of 2016	Current basis (or basis prior to decree, if any)	SRBA status
103	<count< th=""><th></th><th>total></th><th>272.35</th><th></th><th>270.45</th><th></th><th>SAIS N</th><th>William St.</th><th>256.64</th><th>A STATE OF</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>N-MARCH</th><th>TO SEE</th><th></th></count<>		total>	272.35		270.45		SAIS N	William St.	256.64	A STATE OF				N-MARCH	TO SEE	
63- 02500	GW	8/30/1934	Municipal	0.80		0.80			0.80	0.80	Thirteenth	43 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 02506	GW	6/5/1935	Municipal	1.66		1.66			1.66	1.66	Mountain View	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 02576	GW	4/26/1938	Municipal	1.90		1.90			1.90	1.90	Orchid	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 02595	GW	8/31/1966	Municipal	1.34		1.34			1.34	1.34	Roosevelt #1	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 02605	GW	7/2/1943	Municipal	0.90		0.90			0.90	0.90	27th & Lemp	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 02668	GW	7/15/1947	Municipal	2.14		2.14			2.14	2.14	Arctic #1	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 02703	GW	6/23/1948	Municipal	5.00		5.00			5.00	5.00	Cliffside	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 02808	gw	4/3/1950	Municipal	3.10		3.10			3.10	3.10	Longmeadow	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 02874	GW	8/18/1951	Municipai	4.00		4.00			4 00	4,00	Sixteenth St.	43 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 02892	GW	2/7/1952	Municipal	6.18		6.18			6,18	6.18	Marden Collectors Veterans Collectors	Marden Collectors (3 PODs)	Marden Collectors (3 PODs)	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 02954	GW	8/27/1953	Municipal	0.90		0.90			0.90	0.90	Empire	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 02956	GW	8/27/1953	Municipal	0.56		0.56			0.56	0.56	Biggs	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 02989	GW	6/2/1954	Municipal	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00	Westland Acres	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 03064	GW	10/31/1955	Municipal	1.22		1.22			1.22	1.22	Westmore- land	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 03073	GW	1/4/1956	Municipal	2.00		2.00			2.00	2.00	Country Club	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree

Right Num- ber	Source	Priority as of 2016 (original priority in brackets if different than 2016)	Purpose of Use as of 2016	CFS as of 2015 (on face of right)	Rights sharing combined diversion rate ilmits in 2016	CFS as of 2016 (reduced to account for combined rate limits)	AFA as of 2016	Rights sharing combined annual volume limits in 2016	CFS as of 2016 (reduced to account for elimination of individual and combined annual volume limits)	EFFECTIVE CFS as of 2016 (accounting for the lower of reduction due to combined rate limits and elimination of volume limits)	Original well or diversion name	POD(s) as of 2016	Post-iMAP transfer PODs	Place of Use as of 2016	Period of Use as of 2016	Current basis (or basis prior to decree, if any)	SRBA status
63- 03105	GW	12/19/1956	Municipal	2.00		2.00			2.00	2.00	Franklin Park	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 03112	GW	9/11/1957	Municipal	1.44		1,44			1.44	1.44	Kirkwood	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 03128	GW	4/24/1958	Municipal	4.44		4.44			4.44	4,44	Taggart #1	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 03164	GW	8/5/1959	Municipal	1.73		1.73			1.73	1.73	Sunrise	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 03172	GW	10/14/1959	Municipal	2.22		2.22			2.22	2.22	Hummel	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 03202	GW	6/8/1960	Municipal	2.89		2.89			2.89	2.89	Chamberlin #1	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 03291	GW	5/21/1962	Municipal	2,40		2.40			2.40	2.40	Willow Lane #1	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 03292	GW	5/21/1962	Municipal	2.26		2.26			2.26	2.26	Chamberlin #2	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 03293	GW	5/21/1962	Municipal	3.56		3.56			3,56	3.56	Roosevelt #3	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 03295	GW	5/24/1962	Municipal	3.24		3.24			3.24	3.24	Vista	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 03411	GW	6/17/1964	Municipal	1.50		1.50	178.00		0.25	0,25	Willow Lane #2	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 03448	GW	4/27/1965	Municipal	4.90		4.90			4.90	4.90	Beacon	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 03457	GW	7/14/1965	Municipal	1.67	63-3457 63-10945	1.67	168.00		0.23	0.23	Warm Springs Mesa #1 and #2	T3N, R2E, S,24, SW,NE (2 PODs in Q-Q)	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 03494	GW	3/8/1966	Municipal	6.44	-	6.44			6.44	6.44	Hilton	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 03562	GW	11/7/1966	Municipal	1.47		1.47			1.47	1.47	Overland #6	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 04015	GW	10/17/1960	Municipal	2.00		2.00			2.00	2.00	B.I.F.	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree

Right Num- ber	Source	Priority as of 2016 (original priority in brackets if different than 2016)	Purpose of Use as of 2016	CFS as of 2016 (on face of right)	Rights sharing combined diversion rate ilmits in 2016	CFS as of 2016 (reduced to account for combined rate limits)	AFA as of 2016	Rights sharing combined annual volume limits in 2016	cFS as of 2016 (reduced to account for elimination of Individual and combined annual volume limits)	reduction due to combined rate limits and	Original well or diversion name	POD(s) as of 2016	Post-IMAP transfer PODs	Place of Use as of 2016	Period of Use as of 2016	Current basis (or basis prior to decree, if any)	SRBA status
63- 04395	GW	6/1/1950	Municipal	0.56	63-08385 63-10150 63-04395	0.56		63-08385 63-10150 63-04395	0.33	0.33	Barber #1	T3N, R3E, S.28, SW,NW T3N, R3E, S.29, SE,NE	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	Beneficial use	Partial Decree
63- 04414	GW	7/1/1943	Municipal	1.11	00000 000000000000000000000000000000000	1.11			1.11	1,11	Junker	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 04424	GW	7/1/1943	Municipal	1.33	S. S. M.	1.33			1.33	1.33	Byrd	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 04752	GW	1/1/1 947	Municipal	1.11		1.11			1.11	1.11	Central Park	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 07067	GW	2/28/1968	Municipal	2.84		2.84			2.84	2.84	Idaho	43 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 07204	GW	3/13/1969	Municipal	1.82		1.82			1.82	1.82	Arctic #2	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 07282	GW	12/2/1969	Municipal	4.12		4.12			4.12	4.12	Hillcrest	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 07348	GW	7/14/1970	Municipal	6.60		6.60			6.60	6.60	Bethel	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 07479	GW	8/20/1971	Municipal	7.00		7.00			7.00	7.00	Broadway	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 07577	GW	4/6/1972	Municipal	2.01		2.01			2.01	2.01	Terteling	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 07589	GW	4/20/1972	Municipal	4.40		4.40			4.40	4.40	Ball Hai #1	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 07641	GW	8/17/1972	Municipal	2.00	63-07641 63-08405	2.00			2.00	2.00	Hidden ∀alley Estates #1	12 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 07658	GW	1/8/1973	Municipal	1,06		1.06			1.06	1.06	Ball Hal #1	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 07896	GW	11/13/1973	Municipal	0.25		0.25			0.25	0.25	Five Mile Estates W. #1	12 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 07979	GW	5/13/1974	Municipal	2.00		2.00	1268.00		1.75	1.75	Hidden Valley Estates #2	12 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 07998	GW	6/25/1974	Municipal	1.27		1.27	658.00		0.91	0.91	Five Mile Estates W. #2	12 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree

Right Num- ber	Source	Priority as of 2016 (original priority in brackets if different than 2016)	Purpose of Use as of 2016	CFS as of 2016 (on face of right)	Rights sharing combined diversion rate limits in 2016	CFS as of 2016 (reduced to account for combined rate limits)	AFA as of 2016	Rights sharing combined annual volume limits in 2016	cFS as of 2016 (reduced to account for elimination of individual and combined annual volume limits)	CFS as of 2016 (accounting for the lower of	Original well or diversion name	POD(s) as of 2016	Post-IMAP transfer PODs	Place of Use as of 2016	Period of Use as of 2016	Current basis (or basis prior to decree, if any)	SRBA
63- 08011	GW	7/18/1974	Municipal	3.00		3.00	276.00		0.38	0.38	Countryman Estates	12 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 08059	GW	11/12/1974	Municipal	0.57		0.57			0.57	0.57	Willow Lane #3	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 08236	GW	11/28/1975	Municipal	3.63		3.63			3.63	3.63	Centennial	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 08248	GW	1/2/1976	Municipal	1.57		1.57	843.30		1.16	1,16	Victory	12 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 08265	GW	2/23/1976	Municipal	2.37		2.37			2.37	2,37	Brookhollow No. 1	12 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 08385	GW	11/6/1977	Municipal	0.49	63-08385 63-10150 63-04395	0.24	182.40	63-08385 63-10150 63-04395	0.00	0.00	Barber #1	T3N, R3E, S.28, SW,NW T3N, R3E, S.29, SE,NE	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 08405	GW	1/12/1977	Municipal	2.00	63-07641 63-08405	1.12	1320.00		1.82	1,12	Hidden Valley Estates #1	12 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 08432	GW	2/10/1977	Municipal	1.78		1.78			1.78	1.78	Sunset West #1	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 08635	GW	8/17/1983	Municipal	0.89		0.89	106.20		0.15	0.15	Sherman Oaks	12 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 08990	GW	7/19/1977	Municipal	4.00		4.00		-	4.00	4.00	Cole	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 09087	GW	11/25/1977	Municipal	3,40		3,40			3.40	3.40	Country Squire	12 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 09106	GW	1/23/1978	Municipal	1.12		1,12			1,12	1.12	Paradise North	12 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 09147	GW	6/6/1978	Municipal	4.00		4.00			4.00	4.00	Swift #1	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 09198	GW	1/2/1979	Municipal	2.45		2.45			2.45	2.45	Five Mile West #12	12 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 09199	GW	1/3/1979	Municipal	3.12		3.12			3.12	3.12	La Grange	12 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 09204	GW	1/9/1979	Municipal	4.00		4.00			4.00	4.00	Joplin	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree

Right Num- ber	Source	Priority as of 2016 (original priority in brackets if different than 2016)	Purpose of Use as of 2016	CFS as of 2016 (on face of right)	Rights sharing combined diversion rate limits in 2016	cFS as of 2016 (reduced to account for combined rate limits)	AFA as of 2016	Rights sharing combined annual volume limits in 2016	cFS as of 2016 (reduced to account for elimination of individual and combined annual volume limits)	CFS as of 2016 (accounting for the lower of reduction due to combined rate limits and	Original well or diversion name	POD(s) as of 2016	Post-IMAP transfer PODs	Place of Use as of 2016	Period of Use as of 2016	Current basis (or basis prior to decree, if any)	SRBA
63- 09205	GW	1/9/1 97 9	Municipal	4.00		4.00			4.00	4.00	Settlers	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 09219	GW	3/20/1979	Municipal	2.23		2.23			2.23	2.23	Logger	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 09223	GW	4/3/1979	Municipal	4.23		4.23	211 221 21		4.23	4.23	Amity	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 09384	GW	5/27/1980	Municipal	1.00		1,00	420,00		0.58	0.58	Lizaso Well	12 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 09671	GW	2/25/1981	Municipal	2.12		2.12			2.12	2.12	Maple Hills #1	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 09855	GW	12/23/1981	Municipal	3.34		3.34			3,34	3.34	Frontier	42 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 10150	GW	7/1/1983	Municipal	0.48	63-08385 63-10150 63-04395	0.00	56.10	63-08385 63-10150 63-04395	0.00	0.00	Barber #1 Barber #2	T3N, R3E, S.29, SE,NE T3N, R3E, S.28, SW,NW	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 10386	GW	9/19/1986	Municipal	1,11		1.11			1.11	1.11	Marden (well)	T3N, R2E, S.14, SE,NE (lot 7)	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 10391	GW	11/14/1986	Municipal	0.30		0.30	62.40		0.09	0.09	Overland Estates	12 APODs	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 10405	GW	3/17/1987	Municipal	1.56		1.56			1,56	1.56	River Run	T3N, R2E, S.24, SW,SE	81 APODs	Suez Service Area	1/1-12/31	License	Partial Decree
63- 10569	GW	2/5/1988	Municipal	1.78		1.78			1.78	1,78	J.R. Flat	T2N, R2E, S.2, NW,NW	81 APODs	Within city limits of Boise	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA license
63- 10688	GW	8/15/1988	Municipal	2.00	100 4 200 1	2.00			2.00	2.00	Swift #2	T4N, R2E, S.30, SW,SE	81 APODs	Within the city limits of Boise and the surrounding service area	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA license
63- 10862	GW	7/18/1989	Municipal	1.44		1.44			1,44	1.44	Bergeson	T3N, R2E, S.26, NW.NE,SE	81 APODs	Within the city limits of Boise and the surrounding service area	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA license

Right Num- ber	Source	Priority as of 2016 (original priority in brackets if different than 2016)	Purpose of Use as of 2016	CFS as of 2016 (on face of right)	Rights sharing combined diversion rate limits in 2016	cFS as of 2016 (reduced to account for combined rate limits)	AFA as of 2016	Rights sharing combined annual volume limits in 2016	CFS as of 2016 (reduced to account for elimination of individual and combined annual volume limits)	EFFECTIVE CFS as of 2016 (accounting for the lower of reduction due to combined rate limits and elimination of volume limits)	Original well or diversion name	POD(s) as of 2016	Post-IMAP transfer PODs	Place of Use as of 2016	Period of Use as of 2016	Current basis (or basis prior to decree, if any)	SRBA status
63- 10945	GW	10/29/1989	Irrgation, Domestic, Fire Protection	1.72	63-3457 63-10945	1,43	393.00		0.54	0.54	Warm Springs Mesa #1, #2, and #3	T3N, R2E, S.24, NE,SW.NE (lot 2) 3PODs	81 APODs	T3N, R3E, S19 NWNE, NENW, SWNW, SENW, NESW, NWSW; S24 SENE (lot 2): 256 homes and 53 acres within 71 acre PPU	1/1-12/31, 3/15-11/15	License	Post-SRBA license
63- 11034	GW	10/22/1989	Municipal	2.74		2.74			2.74	2.74	Mac	T3N, R2E, S.32, NW,NW	81 APODs	Within the Boise Water Corp. service area	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA license
63- 11068	GW	11/17/1989	Municipal	2.79		2.79			2.79	2.79	Clinton	T3N, R2E, S.B, NE,SW	81 APODs	Within the Bolse Water Corp. service area	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA license
63- 11090A	GW	1/21/1990	Municipal	1.73		1.73	376.40		0,52	0.52	Floating Feather	T4N, R1E, S.5, SE,SW	81 APODs	Wiithin Suez's municipal service area	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA license
63- 11118	GW	2/1/1990	Municipal	2.78		2.78			2.78	2.78	Cassia	T3N, R2E, S.16, NE,SE	81 APODs	Within the city limits of Boise and the surrounding area served by Boise Water Corp.	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA license
63- 11232	GW	2/12/1990	Municipal	2.83	2	2.83			2.83	2.83	Норе	T3N, R1E, S.9, SE,NE	81 APODs	Within city limits of Boise	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA license
63- 11384	GW	8/7/1990	Municipal	3.12		3.12			3.12	3.12	H.P.	T4N, R1E, S.27, SE,NE	81 APODs	Within the city limits of Boise and the surrounding	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA license
63- 11385	GW	8/7/1990	Municipal	2.58		2.58			2.58	2.58	Market	T3N, R2E, S.35, NE,NW	81 APODs	Within the Boise Water Corp. service area	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA license
63- 11467	GW	2/21/1991	Municipal	2.27		2.27	520.00		0.72	0.72	#1	T4N, R1E, S.16, NW,SW (lot 5) T4N, R1E, S.16, SW,SW	81 APODs	Within the service area of Suez	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA license
63- 11558	GW	6/24/1991	Municipal	2.67	63-11558 63-12363	2.67			2.67	2.67	Fisk	T3N, R2E, S.6, SE,SE	81 APODs	Within the city limits of Boise and the surrounding service area	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA license
63- 11878	GW	6/15/1992	Municipal	0.99		0.99	190.50		0.26	0.26	Redwood Creek	T4N, R1E, S.7, SW,NW (lot 2) T4N, R1E, S.8, SE,NW	81 APODs	Within the service area of Suez	1/1-12/31	Permit	Post-SRBA permit

Right Num- ber	Source	Priority as of 2016 (original priority in brackets if different than 2016)	Purpose of Use as of 2016	CFS as of 2016 (on face of right)	Rights sharing combined diversion rate limits in 2016	CFS as of 2016 (reduced to account for combined rate limits)	AFA as of 2016	Rights sharing combined annual volume limits in 2016	CFS as of 2016 (reduced to account for elimination of Individual and combined annual volume limits)	reduction due to combined rate limits and	Original well or diversion name	POD(s) as of 2016	Post-IMAP transfer PODs	Place of Use as of 2016	Period of Use as of 2016	Current basis (or basis prior to decree, if any)	SRBA status
63- 11950	GW	10/14/1992	Municipal	2.30		2.30			2.30	2.30	Taggart #2	T3N, R2E, S.21, NE,NE	81 APODs	Within the city limits of Boise and the surrounding service area of Suez	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA license
63- 11951	GW	10/14/1992	Municipal	0.85		0.85			0.85	0.85	Overland	T3N, R2E, S.19, NE,NW	81 APODs	Within the city limits of Boise and the surrounding service area of Suez	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA license
63- 11990	GW	1/27/1993	Domestic, Fire Protec- tion	1.80	V	1.80	624.00		0.86	0.86	Edgeview	T3N, R1E, S.16, SE,NE	81 APODs	T3N, R1E, S15 NW1/4; S16 NE1/4	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA license
63- 12043	GW	7/23/1993	Municipal	4.46		4.46			4.46	4.46	Tenmile Raptor Pleasant Valley Pioneer	T2N, R2E, S.17, SE,NE T2N, R2E, S.17, NW,NW T2N, R2E, S.21, NE,NW T2N, R2E, S.22, NW,NE	81 APODs	Within the service area of Suez; generally descibed as the city limits of Boise and surrounding service area	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA license
63- 12055	Boise River	9/8/1993	Municipal	24.80		24.80			24.80	24.80	Marden surface water intake	T3N, R2E, S.14, SE,NE (lot 7)	T3N. R2E, S.14, SE,NE (lot 7) T2N, R2E, S.4, NW,NE	City limits of Boise and the area of certification	1/1-12/31	Permit	Post-SRBA permit
63- 12138	GW	8/19/1994	Municipal	3.90		3.90			3.90	3.90	Tenmile Raptor Pleasant Valley Ploneer	T2N, R2E, S.17, SE,NE T2N, R2E, S.17, NW,NW T2N, R2E, S.21, NE,NW T2N, R2E, S.22, NW,NE	81 APODs	Within the city limits of Boise and the surrounding area served by Suez	1/1-12/31	Licensa	Post-SRBA license
63- 12139	GW	8/19/1994	Municipal	3.20		3.20			3.20	3.20	Tenmile Raptor Pleasant Valley Ploneer	T2N, R2E, S.17, SE,NE T2N, R2E, S.17, NW,NW T2N, R2E, S.21, NE,NW T2N, R2E, S.22, NW,NE	81 APODs	Within the city limits of Boise and the surrounding area served by Suez	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA Rense
63- 12140	GW	10/19/1994	Municipal	3.50		3.50			3.50	3.50	McMillan	T4N, R1E, S.28, SW,SW	81 APODs	Within the city limits of Boise and the surrounding service area	1/1-12/31	Permit	Post-SRBA permit
63- 12192	GW	8/6/2002 (3/31/1995)	Municipal	5.00		5.00			5.00	5.00	Floating Feather Redwood	T4N, R1E, S.5, SE,SW T4N, R1E, S.7, SW,NW (lot 2)	81 APODs	Certificated Area	1/1-12/31	Permit	Post-SRBA permit

Right Num- ber	Source	Priority as of 2016 (original priority in brackets if different than 2016)	Purpose of Use as of 2016	CFS as of 2016 (on face of right)	Rights sharing combined diversion rate limits in 2016	CFS as of 2016 (reduced to account for combined rate limits)	AFA as of 2016	Rights sharing combined annual volume limits in 2016	CFS as of 2016 (reduced to account for elimination of individual and combined annual volume limits)	CFS as of 2016 (accounting for the lower of reduction due to combined rate limits and	Original well or diversion name	POD(s) as of 2016	Post-IMAP transfer PODs	Place of Use as of 2016	Period of Use as of 2016	Current basis (or basis prior to decree, if any)	SRBA status
63- 12310	GW	8/29/2001 (1/19/1996)	Municipal	3.00		3.00			3.00	3.00	Veterans	T4N, R2E, S.32, SE,SE (lot 1)	81 APODs	Certificated Area	1/1-12/31	Permit	Post-SRBA permit
63- 12334	GW	3/8/1995	Municipal	0.38		0.38	42.00		0.06	0.06	Spurwing Foxtall	T4N, R1W, S.23, NE,SW T4N, R1W, S.24, SW,SE	81 APODs	Within the service area of Suez	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA Ilcense
63- 12362	GW	9/30/1996	Fire Protec- tion	2.22		2.22			2.22	2.22	Foxtall	T4N, R1W, S.24, SW,SE	81 APODs	T4N, R1W, S24 SWSE	1/1-12/31	License	Post-SRBA Ilcense
63- 12452	GW	4/15/1998	Municipal	4.50		4.50			4.50	4,50	Floating Feather Redwood	T4N, R1E, S.5, SE,SW T4N, R1E, S.7, SW,NW (lot 2)	81 APODs	Within the service area of Suez	1/1-12/31	Permit	Post-SRBA permit
63- 12464	GW	7/13/1998	Municipal	0.30		0.30			0.30	0.30	Barber #1 Barber #2	T3N, R3E, S.29, SE,NE T3N, R3E, S.28, SW,NW	81 APODs	Within the Service Area of the Barber Water Corp.	1/1-12/31	Permit	Post-SRBA permit
63- 12516	GW	4/13/1999	Municipal	4.00		4.00			4.00	4.00	Spurwing Foxtall	T4N, R1W, S.23, NE,SW T4N, R1W, S.24, SW,SE	81 APODs	Within the service area of Suez	1/1-12/31	Permit	Post-SRBA permit
63- 19456	GW	3/31/1953	Municipal	1.60		1.60			1.60	1.60	Twenty- seventh	43 APODs	81 APODs	Within the service area of Suez; generally descibed as the city limits of Boise and surrounding service area	1/1-12/31	Beneficial use	Partial Decree