

Weaver, Mathew

From: Luke, Tim
Sent: Wednesday, June 2, 2021 10:26 AM
To: gary bowling; Golart, Aaron; Weaver, Mathew
Cc: Costello, Sean
Subject: RE: Proposed changes to mining rules

Gary,

Rule 61.05 and 61.06 address when a permit is required for non-powered operations. They are two of the “following standards” referenced in Rule 61.01. Keeping the non-powered reference in 61.01 makes sense from my perspective since 61.05 and 61.06 are two of the following standards pertaining to non-powered equipment operations. So, I don’t think the draft rule as currently proposed requires a permit for any non-powered operation.

Another alternative might be to add the word “operations” after “non-powered equipment” in the draft rule 61.01. Adding the word may provide a more meaningful link between Rule 61.01 and the other standards or sub-rules within Rule 61.

Tim

Tim Luke
Idaho Department of Water Resources
Water Compliance Bureau Chief
tim.luke@idwr.idaho.gov | 208-287-4959

From: gary bowling [mailto:gb16737@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 2, 2021 8:57 AM
To: Golart, Aaron <Aaron.Golart@idwr.idaho.gov>; Luke, Tim <Tim.Luke@idwr.idaho.gov>; Weaver, Mathew <Mathew.Weaver@idwr.idaho.gov>
Subject: Proposed changes to mining rules

Gentlemen, apologies for this last minute input.

1. Just had time to look at the new proposal and are you saying in 61.01 (by removing the 1/4 yard limit) that a permit is required for any operation?? As written this new wording requires a permit for basically any powered AND non powered operation.....which would include even panning and small non powered sluice boxes that use current.

2. I think, if you drop the word “non” and it reads ...5” ... 15hp..... and other POWERED equipment you meet the objective. Permits are then required for any powered equipment used in other ways and “hand working” is still allowed without permits.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPad](#)