BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN 7	ГНЕ	TTAM	ER C)F AI	PPLI	CA'	TION)
FOR	PER	TIM	NO.	63-3	3257	3	IN)
THE	NAM	IE OF	' M3	EAGI	E L	LC)
ASS]	IGNE	D TC	THE	CI	ГҮ О	F :	EAGLE)
)

DEPOSITION OF DON READING, PH.D. SEPTEMBER 23, 2011

REPORTED BY:

JEFF LaMAR, C.S.R. No. 640

Notary Public

25

25

Water Right Application

		-	11.1	
		Page 6		Page 8
	1	INDEX (Continued)	1	discussions about the Appendix A. But my
	2	, ,	2	responsibility was Appendix C.
	3	EXHIBITS PAGE	3	
	4	42 - Reasonably Anticipated Future Needs Water 12	4	
	5	Right Analysis	5	
	6	43 - Draft RAFN Evaluation for the City of Eagle 12	6	
	7	in Connection with Application for Permit	7	
	8	63-32573	8	Q. Would it be Shelley Keen?
	9		9	A. Shelley Keen, yeah.
	10		10	Q. Okay.
	11		11	A. Those are the two individuals I worked with
	12		12	most closely.
	13		13	Q. Okay. Work with anybody else or discuss it
	14		14	with anyone else?
	15		15	A. Rich Rigby. There was a meeting that
	16		16	Director Spackman dropped in. I'm trying to think.
	17		17	Who's on loan from BOR?
	18		18	Q. Would that be Mr. Rigby?
	19		19	A. No, Rigby. The economist. Maybe BLM.
	20		20	I'll think in a minute. But he was in an earlier
	21		21	meeting also.
	22		22	Q. What were the substance of the
	23		23	conversations with Mr. Rigby concerning the
	24		24	Department's report?
	25		25	A. He was the one who first contacted me about
- 1				
		Page 7	1	Page 9
	1	_	1	
	1 2	DON READING, PH.D.,	1 2	potentially working on this, and he indicated the
	2	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said	1 2 3	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an
	2	DON READING, PH.D.,	1 2 3 4	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so
	2 3 4	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said		potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an
	2	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows:		potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best.
	2 3 4	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY:	5	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best.
•	2 3 4 5 6	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name.	5	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or
	2 3 4 5 6 7	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name.	5 6 7	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or direction that he expected you to follow or that the
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name. A. Don Reading, R-e-a-d-i-n-g.	5 6 7 8	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or direction that he expected you to follow or that the Department was following in this matter?
- 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name. A. Don Reading, R-e-a-d-i-n-g. Q. And your profession, sir?	5 6 7 8 9	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or direction that he expected you to follow or that the Department was following in this matter? A. I can't remember anything specifically he
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name. A. Don Reading, R-e-a-d-i-n-g. Q. And your profession, sir? A. Economist.	5 6 7 8 9	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or direction that he expected you to follow or that the Department was following in this matter? A. I can't remember anything specifically he said. It became obvious that the requirements we were
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name. A. Don Reading, R-e-a-d-i-n-g. Q. And your profession, sir? A. Economist. Q. Okay. And you're appearing today at the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or direction that he expected you to follow or that the Department was following in this matter? A. I can't remember anything specifically he said. It became obvious that the requirements we were following was what was in the law on RAFN. So follow
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name. A. Don Reading, R-e-a-d-i-n-g. Q. And your profession, sir? A. Economist. Q. Okay. And you're appearing today at the request of the Department of Water Resources; isn't	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or direction that he expected you to follow or that the Department was following in this matter? A. I can't remember anything specifically he said. It became obvious that the requirements we were following was what was in the law on RAFN. So follow that process. I can't remember explicitly. But
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name. A. Don Reading, R-e-a-d-i-n-g. Q. And your profession, sir? A. Economist. Q. Okay. And you're appearing today at the request of the Department of Water Resources; isn't that correct?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or direction that he expected you to follow or that the Department was following in this matter? A. I can't remember anything specifically he said. It became obvious that the requirements we were following was what was in the law on RAFN. So follow that process. I can't remember explicitly. But implicitly, that is what it was.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name. A. Don Reading, R-e-a-d-i-n-g. Q. And your profession, sir? A. Economist. Q. Okay. And you're appearing today at the request of the Department of Water Resources; isn't that correct? A. That is correct.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or direction that he expected you to follow or that the Department was following in this matter? A. I can't remember anything specifically he said. It became obvious that the requirements we were following was what was in the law on RAFN. So follow that process. I can't remember explicitly. But implicitly, that is what it was. And in the earlier meetings with Shelley
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name. A. Don Reading, R-e-a-d-i-n-g. Q. And your profession, sir? A. Economist. Q. Okay. And you're appearing today at the request of the Department of Water Resources; isn't that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. You are the person who prepared the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or direction that he expected you to follow or that the Department was following in this matter? A. I can't remember anything specifically he said. It became obvious that the requirements we were following was what was in the law on RAFN. So follow that process. I can't remember explicitly. But implicitly, that is what it was. And in the earlier meetings with Shelley and Mat, that's one of the first things we looked at was what the RAFN law said, what it required. And
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name. A. Don Reading, R-e-a-d-i-n-g. Q. And your profession, sir? A. Economist. Q. Okay. And you're appearing today at the request of the Department of Water Resources; isn't that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. You are the person who prepared the document known in this deposition as Exhibit 1, the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or direction that he expected you to follow or that the Department was following in this matter? A. I can't remember anything specifically he said. It became obvious that the requirements we were following was what was in the law on RAFN. So follow that process. I can't remember explicitly. But implicitly, that is what it was. And in the earlier meetings with Shelley and Mat, that's one of the first things we looked at was what the RAFN law said, what it required. And
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name. A. Don Reading, R-e-a-d-i-n-g. Q. And your profession, sir? A. Economist. Q. Okay. And you're appearing today at the request of the Department of Water Resources; isn't that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. You are the person who prepared the document known in this deposition as Exhibit 1, the RAFN Evaluation for the City of Eagle in Connection	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or direction that he expected you to follow or that the Department was following in this matter? A. I can't remember anything specifically he said. It became obvious that the requirements we were following was what was in the law on RAFN. So follow that process. I can't remember explicitly. But implicitly, that is what it was. And in the earlier meetings with Shelley and Mat, that's one of the first things we looked at was what the RAFN law said, what it required. And those were our marching orders. Q. Were you familiar with the RAFN law,
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name. A. Don Reading, R-e-a-d-i-n-g. Q. And your profession, sir? A. Economist. Q. Okay. And you're appearing today at the request of the Department of Water Resources; isn't that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. You are the person who prepared the document known in this deposition as Exhibit 1, the RAFN Evaluation for the City of Eagle in Connection with Application for Permit 63-32573; correct?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or direction that he expected you to follow or that the Department was following in this matter? A. I can't remember anything specifically he said. It became obvious that the requirements we were following was what was in the law on RAFN. So follow that process. I can't remember explicitly. But implicitly, that is what it was. And in the earlier meetings with Shelley and Mat, that's one of the first things we looked at was what the RAFN law said, what it required. And those were our marching orders. Q. Were you familiar with the RAFN law,
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name. A. Don Reading, R-e-a-d-i-n-g. Q. And your profession, sir? A. Economist. Q. Okay. And you're appearing today at the request of the Department of Water Resources; isn't that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. You are the person who prepared the document known in this deposition as Exhibit 1, the RAFN Evaluation for the City of Eagle in Connection with Application for Permit 63-32573; correct? A. Only that I was responsible for Appendix C. So I won't claim I prepared the whole document. Q. Okay. Which portions did you work on other	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or direction that he expected you to follow or that the Department was following in this matter? A. I can't remember anything specifically he said. It became obvious that the requirements we were following was what was in the law on RAFN. So follow that process. I can't remember explicitly. But implicitly, that is what it was. And in the earlier meetings with Shelley and Mat, that's one of the first things we looked at was what the RAFN law said, what it required. And those were our marching orders. Q. Were you familiar with the RAFN law, sometimes known as the 1996 Municipal Water Rights Act?
All plants and the second seco	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name. A. Don Reading, R-e-a-d-i-n-g. Q. And your profession, sir? A. Economist. Q. Okay. And you're appearing today at the request of the Department of Water Resources; isn't that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. You are the person who prepared the document known in this deposition as Exhibit 1, the RAFN Evaluation for the City of Eagle in Connection with Application for Permit 63-32573; correct? A. Only that I was responsible for Appendix C. So I won't claim I prepared the whole document. Q. Okay. Which portions did you work on other than Appendix C?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or direction that he expected you to follow or that the Department was following in this matter? A. I can't remember anything specifically he said. It became obvious that the requirements we were following was what was in the law on RAFN. So follow that process. I can't remember explicitly. But implicitly, that is what it was. And in the earlier meetings with Shelley and Mat, that's one of the first things we looked at was what the RAFN law said, what it required. And those were our marching orders. Q. Were you familiar with the RAFN law, sometimes known as the 1996 Municipal Water Rights Act? A. Very little before I became involved.
With the second	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name. A. Don Reading, R-e-a-d-i-n-g. Q. And your profession, sir? A. Economist. Q. Okay. And you're appearing today at the request of the Department of Water Resources; isn't that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. You are the person who prepared the document known in this deposition as Exhibit 1, the RAFN Evaluation for the City of Eagle in Connection with Application for Permit 63-32573; correct? A. Only that I was responsible for Appendix C. So I won't claim I prepared the whole document. Q. Okay. Which portions did you work on other than Appendix C? A. Appendix C was the one I worked on.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or direction that he expected you to follow or that the Department was following in this matter? A. I can't remember anything specifically he said. It became obvious that the requirements we were following was what was in the law on RAFN. So follow that process. I can't remember explicitly. But implicitly, that is what it was. And in the earlier meetings with Shelley and Mat, that's one of the first things we looked at was what the RAFN law said, what it required. And those were our marching orders. Q. Were you familiar with the RAFN law, sometimes known as the 1996 Municipal Water Rights Act? A. Very little before I became involved. Q. Okay. Do you feel like you're familiar
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name. A. Don Reading, R-e-a-d-i-n-g. Q. And your profession, sir? A. Economist. Q. Okay. And you're appearing today at the request of the Department of Water Resources; isn't that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. You are the person who prepared the document known in this deposition as Exhibit 1, the RAFN Evaluation for the City of Eagle in Connection with Application for Permit 63-32573; correct? A. Only that I was responsible for Appendix C. So I won't claim I prepared the whole document. Q. Okay. Which portions did you work on other than Appendix C? A. Appendix C was the one I worked on. Obviously, it is in conjunction with some of the other	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or direction that he expected you to follow or that the Department was following in this matter? A. I can't remember anything specifically he said. It became obvious that the requirements we were following was what was in the law on RAFN. So follow that process. I can't remember explicitly. But implicitly, that is what it was. And in the earlier meetings with Shelley and Mat, that's one of the first things we looked at was what the RAFN law said, what it required. And those were our marching orders. Q. Were you familiar with the RAFN law, sometimes known as the 1996 Municipal Water Rights Act? A. Very little before I became involved. Q. Okay. Do you feel like you're familiar with it now?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DON READING, PH.D., first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEREDAY: Q. Please state your name. A. Don Reading, R-e-a-d-i-n-g. Q. And your profession, sir? A. Economist. Q. Okay. And you're appearing today at the request of the Department of Water Resources; isn't that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. You are the person who prepared the document known in this deposition as Exhibit 1, the RAFN Evaluation for the City of Eagle in Connection with Application for Permit 63-32573; correct? A. Only that I was responsible for Appendix C. So I won't claim I prepared the whole document. Q. Okay. Which portions did you work on other than Appendix C? A. Appendix C was the one I worked on.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	potentially working on this, and he indicated the Director wanted had been recommended, wanted an economist to examine the population forecasts. And so we had a general discussion, and he told me that he had no preconceived notions and do my best. Q. Did he describe any matters of policy or direction that he expected you to follow or that the Department was following in this matter? A. I can't remember anything specifically he said. It became obvious that the requirements we were following was what was in the law on RAFN. So follow that process. I can't remember explicitly. But implicitly, that is what it was. And in the earlier meetings with Shelley and Mat, that's one of the first things we looked at was what the RAFN law said, what it required. And those were our marching orders. Q. Were you familiar with the RAFN law, sometimes known as the 1996 Municipal Water Rights Act? A. Very little before I became involved. Q. Okay. Do you feel like you're familiar with it now? A. Oh, yes. Q. Do you feel like you're familiar with

Page 13

Page 10

1 pertaining to the RAFN law?

- A. I will fully admit that in discussions and 2
- 3 also some of the -- Bruce Smith was -- I had
- 4 discussions with him also. A lot of the history that
- 5 the -- the Department's history with RAFN, I'm more
- 6 familiar with it now, but I will fully admit I'm
- 7 confused. I will look into it. And so I can't really
- 8 opine on what policy the Department really has. And as
- I said, my focus was on the population forecasts.
- O. Okay. With regard to the meeting attended 10 11 by the Director, Mr. Spackman, was there any
- 12 conversation with Mr. Spackman at that time about this
- 13 matter, about the production of the Department's report
- 14 that you recall?
- A. That the result of the collective effort 15
- would be a response to the City of Eagle on the RAFN 16
- that they filed. Beyond that, that was pretty well it. 17
- 18 Q. The RAFN that the City of Eagle filed, was
- that filed in late April 2011? Isn't that correct? 19
- A. Subject to check. Is that the way to speed 20 21 things along?
- Q. Could you look at Exhibit 2, please. 22
- 23 Do you recognize that document?
- 24 A. Let me thumb through it.
- 25 (Reviews.)

1 in my file is in there, but, you know, we do lots of

- 2 things on the Internet now. So a lot of the stuff you
- 3 just look at. So there's some documents in there about
- 4 Eagle and the growth rate, et cetera. They're not
- 5 official documents from Eagle, but they pertain to the
- economics of Eagle and what's been going on.
- Q. Your prior work for Eagle, though, was with 7
- regard to really another subject matter, correct, not
- the subject matter of producing this Department report?
 - A. Yes, correct.
- Q. Okay. But in terms of producing the 11
- 12 Department report, the input you obtained from the City
- of Eagle was, as you've described here, the Exhibits 2 13
- and 3? 14

10

15

- A. Yes. And I looked also at their
- comprehensive plan. 16
- Q. Okay. Did you bring some documents with 17
- you today or provide them for this deposition? 18
- 19 A. Yes.
- MR. FEREDAY: Let's go off the record. 20
- 21 (Discussion.)
- (Exhibits 21 through 43 marked.) 22
- MR. FEREDAY: Let's go back on the record, 23
- 24 please.

25

4

7

9

Q. Dr. Reading, you have brought some

Page 11

That looks like theirs. In the material

- 2 I'm providing, there will be an earlier draft that
- 3 was -- that the Department had. So I looked at both
- 4 the earlier draft and this report.
- Q. Could you look at Exhibit 3, please. I 5
- 6 believe that is the earlier draft. And I'd just like
- you to confirm that so that we're clear that we're
- operating on the same base of documents that we used
- yesterday in Mr. Weaver's deposition.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- A. I'm sure that the two that I have in my 12
- 13 folder are these two.
- Q. In doing this work in producing the 14
- Department's report, did you look at any other
- materials from the City of Eagle or obtain any other
- 17 input from the City of Eagle or its representatives?
- 18 A. The short answer is yes. I'm trying to
- 19 think how -- I can't remember how long ago. It was
- 20 like three years ago or four years ago the City of
- Eagle hired me to review John Church's forecasts for
- 22 M3. And I did that and produced also -- it's in the
- material I'm providing, produced a memo or some kind of 23
- 24 document where I opined about it.
 - And then in general, and as much as I had

1 documents with you today that we have marked as

- deposition exhibits, and I'd like to go through those
- 3 very quickly here.
 - A. Sure.
- 5 Q. So the first one is, continuing the
- numbering from yesterday, Deposition Exhibit 21. 6
 - Could you tell us what that is.
- 8 A. Yes. That is a subpoena I received about
 - this deposition. The dates changed.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. 10
- MR. BAXTER: Excuse me, Mr. Fereday. 11
- 12 MR. FEREDAY: Yes.
- 13 MR. BAXTER: Could we clarify, was that a motion
- 14 for a subpoena or was it an actual subpoena?
- 15 MR. FEREDAY: I think it was a motion for a
- subpoena, yeah.
- O. The next one? 17 A. Is a memo that I wrote for the City of 18
- 19 Eagle in 2007 regarding the M3 development.
 - Q. And that's Deposition Exhibit 22?
- 21 A. 22.
 - Q. Okay. How does Exhibit 22 relate to your
- 23 work in the Department report?
- A. When the Department contacted me about
- 25 potentially working for them, I remembered that I had

25

20

Page 17

3

4

12

17

Page 14

- 1 worked for the City of Eagle before. So my interest
- 2 was trying to remember what I said. So the first
- 3 document I did, I looked at what I said in there to
- 4 help bring me up to speed.

5

- Q. Did you find anything in your work in
- producing the Department report that conflicted with
- your findings in Exhibit 22?
- 8 A. I would have to nitpick at it, but no.
- 9 Q. Okay. The next exhibit, 23?
- 10 A. A Statesman article, January 3rd, 2011,
- 11 about foothills development.
- 12 Q. Thank you.
- 13 The next one, Exhibit 24?
- 14 A. A sheet, I believe off the M3 website,
- called "Fact Sheet" for M3 Eagle. 15
- 16 Q. Did you rely on Exhibit 24 in doing your
- 17 work in producing the Department report?
- 18 A. Only in general terms. The specific
- documents were the two produced by John Church for M3 19
- 20 with population projections, more detailed information.
- 21 Q. Okay. And we'll get to those in a bit.
- 22 A. Yeah.
- 23 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- And the next exhibit, No. 24? 24

O. 25. Excuse me.

A. 25. 25

1

12

17

1 try to get your head around it before you sit down with

- 2 a pencil and start cranking stuff out.
 - Q. Okay. Exhibit 28, please.
 - A. It is one sheet from the Eagle Water
- Company's annual report submitted to the PUC.
- Q. And what was the purpose of obtaining this 6 7 document and how was it used?
- 8 A. I would say I did not use it specifically
- at all. Again, familiarized myself. As I remember, I
- either gave it to Mat Weaver or told him about it.
- 11 Q. Okay. No. 29?
 - A. 29 is three maps that Mat Weaver supplied
- me, again, just in general, so I can understand his 13
- work and how he used GIS to determine the population of
- various entities within the city of Eagle, such as the 15
- 16 overlap of Star and the Eagle Water Company's area.
 - Q. And what significance did this have in the Department's report?
- 18 19 A. That Mat Weaver used this and handed off to
- 20 me the population for the city of Eagle, less those
- 21 other water-supplying entities, as I understand the
- 22 RAFN says you're supposed to do.
- 23 Q. That is, you're supposed to exclude those
- 24 areas ---

1

4

8

9

25 A. Yes.

Page 15

- A. A page from the 2010 census outlining the 2
- growth of cities and counties in Idaho.
- 4 Q. Exhibit 26?
- A. Is a map indicating the M3 development and 5
- the Eagle area and different wells. 6
- 7 Q. Did you rely on this Exhibit 26 in any way
- 8 in producing your work for the Department report?
- A. No, nothing specific. This was supplied by
- me by Mat Weaver, and I have not worried about cfs or 10
- wells or anything like that. 11
 - Q. Thank you.
- 13 The next exhibit, No. 26 (sic)?
- 14 A. A document pulled off the web, City data, a
- 15 review of Eagle City with a multiplicity of demographic

Q. And I apologize. That was Exhibit 27;

- and economic data about the City of Eagle.
- correct? 18
- A. Correct. 19
- 20 Q. Did you rely on this document in producing
- the Department report? 21
- A. I would put this in a category of yes, 22
- generally. You know, the first thing you do is try to 23
- tool up and familiarize yourself with what's going on
 - out there, so you look at a lot of different sources to

- Q. -- served by other water --
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. -- providers; is that your view?
 - A. Yes. And certainly in my mind, that's
- 5 logical.
- MR. FEREDAY: Okay. Could we go off the record 6
- for just a second.
 - (Discussion.)
 - MR. FEREDAY: Let's go back on the record.
- 10 Q. Exhibit 30, please. Could you describe
- 11 this.
- 12 A. That is a 30-year projection of housing
- population, et cetera, for M3 Eagle from the John
- 14 Church report.
- 15 Q. Dr. Reading, I'd like you to refer to
- 16 Exhibit 6 that was included in yesterday's exhibits in
- our deposition of Mr. Weaver. I'll represent to you
- that that's the same document as this Exhibit 30. And
- 19 I'd like you to confirm that.
 - A. It looks exactly the same to me.
- Q. Okay. Well, here's hoping I don't refer to 21
- 22 Exhibit 30 again today, but I will be referring to
- 23 Exhibit 6. And you can leave it as Exhibit 30, the one
- 24 that's in front of you, but we will talk about this
- 25 document in terms of it being Exhibit 6.

2

10

12

20

25

3

4

5

12

15

16

17

22

Page 18

1 And, Dr. Reading, I'll also represent to

- 2 you that as noted here this document was also
- 3 Exhibit 60 in the hearing record previously in this
- 4 case.
- 5 Okay. Let's go to 31, please.
- A. It is a one-page printout that I obviously 6 took data from the census to show Eagle growth over the 7 last ten years. 8
- 9 Q. Did you prepare this?
- 10 A. Yes, from census data.
- O. Would that census data have included 11
- 12 exhibit I think it was 27 that we just went through?
- A. Not 27. 27? 13
- 14 Q. No, I'm sorry. It wasn't 27. We just went
- through a list of census data. Exhibit 25. 15
- Is that where you got the numbers for this 16 17 Exhibit 31?
- A. I will look and check. It may or may not 18
- have been. The census has several different pages on
- their website with different datas.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- A. No, it is not, because this -- 25 is 2010 22
- 23 only, whereas Exhibit 31 contains data from 2000
- 24 through 2010.

1

2

7

25 Q. Okay. Thank you. A. I cannot answer that. I can see they have

Q. Okay. Is this the most recent publication

of the Idaho Community Profile for the Eagle area?

3

- data only up to 2004. I do not know whether they've updated it or not.
- Q. Looks to me like it goes up through 2005, 6 7 at least with regard to the county.
- A. That could be. That could be. 8
- 9 Q. Is that your understanding?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. 11
 - Exhibit 33, please.
- A. That is from Idaho Economics, a report 13 produced by John Church for M3. And since it goes
- through 20 years, I'm assuming it's the earlier
- version. 16
- Q. Earlier version, meaning the first of two 17 evaluations done by Dr. Church that was focused on a 20-year build-out as opposed to a 30-year build-out? 19
 - A. That is correct.
- 21 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- No. 34, please. 22
- 23 A. Two pages from Eagle's Comprehensive Plan 2011. 24
 - Q. Do you know whether this is the most recent

Page 19

Exhibit 32, please. What is this?

- A. It is a page from Idaho Community Profiles
- that outlines demographic data for the City of Eagle. 3
- Q. Idaho Community Profiles apparently is 4
- published by the Idaho Department of Commerce and
- Labor; is that right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Is this something upon which you routinely 8
- rely in your profession?
- 10 A. I would put it in a category with the
- earlier one we talked about, the general
- familiarization that I went through about the city of
- Eagle and what was going on there. 13
- Q. So how would you characterize the data in 14
- the Idaho Community Profiles, as good background data
- but not necessarily completely accurate, or would there
- be some other way of you describing it? 17
- 18 A. I would be surprised if it wasn't
- completely accurate. It tends to sum up populations 19
- for different time periods that I assume would be
- consistent with the census. 21
- 22 Q. But you don't know that for sure, you
- haven't ever compared it to the census data? 23
- 24 A. I can't remember I did. That isn't to say
- 25 I didn't.

1 version of this portion of the Eagle Comprehensive

- Plan?
 - A. My guess is that it is.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.
 - Exhibit 35, please.
- A. Is an Idaho Economics report, this one 6
- going through 2030. In context of what we talked about
- a few minutes ago, it would be the second one.
 - Q. That is the 30-year projection --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- for the build-out for M3?
 - A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And this was prepared by Dr. Church as
- well, in your view? 14
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you know where this came from?
 - A. One of his reports. I don't -- I'm not
- 18 sure. I had some -- I probably pulled it from an
- earlier report or pulled it off the -- I'm not sure
- 20 where it came from.
- 21 Q. Okay. Thank you.
 - Exhibit 36 I think you've described as your
- contract. And I don't think we need to inquire further
- into that. 24
- 25 Exhibit 37?

Page 22

4

5

8

9

10

12

13

21

23

7

14

20

- A. News article from the Idaho Statesman about 1
- 2 the economy of the Treasure Valley.
- 3 Q. And this is dated January of 2011.
- 4 And that's Exhibit 3- what?
- MR. LAWRENCE: 37. 5
- 6 THE WITNESS: 38, I have.
- MR. JASON SMITH: That's what you guys have for 7
- 8 37, isn't it (indicating)?
- MR. LAWRENCE: Dr. Reading, I believe you might
- 10 have slipped 38 in before 37, if you could look at
- 11 your...
- 12 THE WITNESS: Oh. This is 37 (indicating). And
- 13 I could have written them down incorrectly. He's the
- guy that counts. 14
- MR. LAWRENCE: Think you're correct. 37 is an 15
- 16 Internet article entitled "Home Prices- Continued
- 17 Decline"?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- MR. BAXTER: Could we pause to make sure the 19
- 20 ones that have been marked are --
- 21 MR. FEREDAY: Yeah, let's go off the record,
- 22 please.
- 23 (Discussion.)
- MR. FEREDAY: Let's go back on the record. 24
- 25 Q. Dr. Reading, let's go back to Exhibit 37,

- 1 they collect is hard to collect. So you always are
- skeptical to a certain extent about it.
- 3 Q. Okay. Thank you.
 - Exhibit 38, please.
 - A. This is an Idaho Statesman article called
- 6 "Good year for Treasure Valley home sales, bad year for prices." 7
 - Q. Thank you.
 - Exhibit 39, please.
 - A. Another Statesman article. "Idaho's April
- home sales show biggest price drop in U.S." 11
 - Q. And this is dated June of 2011; correct?
 - A. Yeah. June 1st.
- 14 Q. And did you rely on this in putting
- 15 together the Department report?
- 16 A. At the end of Appendix C, I have a little
- 17 section that talks about what is going on in the
- economy. So this is the kind of material that I used.
- I would have to go back and look specifically to see if
- I specifically pulled anything out of this article.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.
- Exhibit 40? 22
 - A. 40 is a Thornton, Oliver, Keller May 2011
- 24 report dealing with the commercial and industrial
- 25 market for the Treasure Valley.

Page 23

Page 25

- please.
- 2 Could you describe what that is.
- A. 37 is an article saying continued decline 3
- in U.S. housing prices. 4
- Q. And where did this article come from? 5
- A. By looking up at the top, I pulled it off 6
- the Internet. Star Global Tribune.
- Q. Do you know who Star Global Tribune is? 8
- 9 A. At this point, no.
- Q. What about CoreLogic, which is in the first 10
- line of this article? 11
- A. Okay. CoreLogic is a firm that tracks 12
- housing prices, sales, et cetera. They're quoted often
- in the news. You get some information off their
- 15 website. As I remember, if you want the real stuff,
- you have to pay for it.
- Q. Did you go get the real stuff, or just get 17 this off the Internet?
- A. Just off the Internet. 19
- Q. Do you find that CoreLogic's figures are 20 reliable and do you rely on them? 21
- 22 A. I rely on them. I rely on them some. I
- 23 would have to say, in my opinion, they're probably the
- most -- one of the most reliable that I know about out 24
- there. Lots of folks tend to use them. But data like

- Q. And this is showing a very slight decrease
- 2 in commercial vacancy rates in rental properties;
- correct?
- A. Are you looking at "Office Review"? Which 4
- one are you --5
- Q. Yeah, "Office Review." 6
 - A. Yeah, they are declining, but, as you said,
- not significantly.
- Q. Did you rely on this document in producing
- the Department report? 10
- A. Again, I will repeat what I said before. 11
- 12 It was part of what went into my writing up the end of
- the Appendix C. 13
 - Q. Okay. Exhibit 41, please.
- A. That is the reasonably anticipated future 15
- 16 needs report from the City of Eagle, and it says
- "Draft." So it was an earlier version and was supplied
- 18 to me by the Department of Idaho -- Idaho Department of
- 19 Water Resources.
 - Q. Okay. And the handwritten notations on
- 21 Exhibit 41 are yours; is that correct?
- 22 A. Given the fact I can't read some of them, I
- 23 would say yes, they're mine.
- 24 Q. Okay. As to page 1, could you decipher for
- 25 us what your handwritten note is up there opposite

2

4

7

16

23

25

11

Page 26

1 paragraph 2?

- 2 A. Maybe. Let's see. "A reasonable window
- 3 for long-range utility planning is 30 years." And I
- 4 say "Not -- not tell 30 years"? I guess at this point
- 5 I'm not sure. I was -- I was struggling, as I
- 6 remember, at this point about what a reasonable
- 7 forecast window was.
- Q. Do you think 30 years is a reasonable 8
- 9 forecast window for planning water for a city?
- 10 A. I'll answer directly. In my mind, that is
- 11 a difficult question for cities to deal with, because,
- 12 as I said in Appendix C, once you get out, especially
- past 20 years, it gets more and more difficult to
- 14 forecast what is really going to happen.
- 15 On the other hand, cities need to plan for
- 16 future growth. So I guess to lapse back into the RAFN,
- 17 I would say that's not unreasonable to have a 30-year
- 18 forecast.
- 19 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- On page 2 you've circled "274" gallons per 20
- day and then below that "280" gallons per day. 21
- 22 Can you tell us what you were thinking
- 23 there, if you can recall?
- 24 MR. BRUCE SMITH: Excuse me. What page are you
- 25 looking at?

- MR. BRUCE SMITH: 41? Wait a minute. 1
 - MR. JASON SMITH: You have those two switched.
- 3 MR. BRUCE SMITH: Hang on a minute.
 - MR. FEREDAY: Could we go off the record?
- 5 MR. BRUCE SMITH: Off the record for a second.
- 6 (Discussion.)
 - MR. FEREDAY: Back on the record, please.
- 8 Q. Dr. Reading, on the bottom of page 2 on
- Exhibit 41, you have marked in colored pen
- "Comprehensive Plan Area is 106,175." 10

Could you tell us what, if any, the 11

12 significance of your marking that was?

- 13 A. That was the end result of what the City of Eagle projected their population would be. So I just 14
- marked it so I could go back and look at the number. 15
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 17 Then continuing through, after page 4 is a
- 18 document that states it's prepared by Nichoel Baird
- Spencer that lists several percentages along the 19
- 20 right-hand side.
- 21 Do you see that?
- 22 A. Correct.
 - Q. And you have made some notations there.
- 24 Can you tell us what you did there?
 - A. As I remember, I received the old report,

Page 27

- MR. FEREDAY: Page 2 of Exhibit 41. 1
- 2 THE WITNESS: My part of the work for the Idaho
- 3 Department of Resources did not focus at all on what
- 4 the water use per household was. The Department
- 5 focused on that. So I would say this is just trying to
- 6 come to grips -- trying to understand what was going on
- 7 around me.
- 8 Q. (BY MR. FEREDAY): Okay.
- MR. BRUCE SMITH: Excuse me, I apologize for
- 10 interrupting you. But my page 2 does not have that
- reference on it. 11
- 12 MR. FEREDAY: Page marked page 2, not the second
- page, the third page, which is marked page 2.
- 14 O. Correct, of Exhibit 41?
- 15 A. Yeah.
- MR. LAWRENCE: And, Bruce, Exhibit 41 is the old 16
- or original draft version --17
- 18 MR. BRUCE SMITH: Yeah.
- MR. LAWRENCE: -- of the City's RAFN analysis. 19
- MR. BRUCE SMITH: Yeah, but I don't have 20
- 21 anything that's marked.
- 22 MR. LAWRENCE: I believe you're looking at the
- 23 more recent version of the City's RAFN analysis.
- MR. ALAN SMITH: You got to go back to 24
- 25 Exhibit 41.

- 1 the Exhibit 41, after 42. And I'd have to
- 2 double-check, but I don't think 42 had this list in.
- It just had the percentage. And I was curious about
- 4 how that percentage was derived. So the notes along
- the side there are my initial reaction to those
- numbers, sort of. I wanted to do my best to try to 7 replicate what she had done.
- Q. Down at the bottom, opposite the bold 8
- number "4.39 percent," you have written "3.6 percent." 9
- 10 What is the significance of the 3.6?
 - A. I would have to remember. I think that
- came from recalculating it and removing some of the
- forecasts. I would have to go back and double-check.
- But as I remember, as I state in Appendix C, at the
- 15 time this was done, the 2010 census was not available,
- so she used what her best estimate was of the growth
- for the City of Eagle 1990 through 2000 on an estimate
- of what 2000 would be. That estimate came in lower,
- therefore that would lower the percent. 19
- Q. Okay. On the final page of Exhibit 41, you 20 have something scribbled below or next to table 4. 21
 - What does that mean?
- A. Looks like "2.7." 23
 - Q. Okay. And what's the significance of that?
 - A. That would be the persons per household, I

22

24

1

5

Page 30

- 1 assume. I'd have to double-check my arithmetic, but 2 that looks like what I would have done.
- 3 Q. Okay. How about Exhibit 42?
- A. 42 is the second RAFN that was submitted by the City of Eagle.
- 6 Q. Page 1 of 42 has a couple of notations in 7 the right margin and one underlined.

8 Your first one, what are you saying there?
9 "Not" --

- A. "Not force," it looks like.
- 11 Q. Can you recall what you meant by --
- 12 A. I would put that in the same struggling
- 13 with what is the correct planning criteria. Is it 30
- 14 years? Is it more? Is it less.
- 15 Q. I see.

10

- A. And I honestly can't -- can't say.
- 17 Q. And I notice you've underlined down further 18 the number "76,205."

19 Any significance there?

- A. Again, just going through it and looking at what the various populations were at particular times.
- Q. Footnote I contains the statement, "By
- 23 virtue of meeting objective (2), both M3 and IDWR
- 24 acknowledge and approve of the analysis contained in
- 25 this document." And you've written "No," exclamation

- Q. Okay. Thanks.
- Let's go over to page 2 of Exhibit 42,
- 3 please.
- 4 A. Okay.
 - Q. Again, your handwritten note on that page.
- 6 A. It looks like the sentence, two sentences
- 7 describe 2004 report, and I just wrote "Pre-recession"
- 8 and I don't know whether "update 2009" is what they did
- 9 or what I thought should have been done, but the fact
- 10 it was older.
- 11 Q. On the next page, page 3, you have
- 12 handwritten material at the top.
- What does that say and what did you mean by
- 14 it?

15

20

- A. "RAFN. Five-year infrastructure. How
- 16 defined beneficial use." Otherwise I was -- as I
- 17 remember, that was a note to ask folks at IDWR about
- 18 beneficial use of water. And I was trying to come to
- 19 grips with what the RAFN was about and how it was used.
 - Q. Okay. Down near the bottom, further
- 21 notations, can you illuminate any of those for us,
- 22 please.
- 23 A. Yeah. For instance, it says the planning
- 24 staff has estimated population at 21,000. You know,
- 25 when you get round numbers like that, it's an estimate.

Page 31

Page 33

- What's your --
- A. I'm trying to find where does footnote 1 4 come from? Oh, way up at the top.
- 5 O. Uh-huh.
- 6 A. Oh, as I remember the -- it says a
- 7 settlement agreement entered into by M3 and the City of
- 8 Eagle. And at that time, as I remember, I was told by
- 9 the Department that that agreement hadn't been entered
- 10 into.

15

1 point.

- 11 Q. As of the date that you read this document?
- 12 A. Yeah, right.
- 13 Q. The date of this document was late April of
- 14 2011; correct?
 - A. I -- subject to check.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. So as I remember, I was told that the
- 18 settlement agreement hadn't yet been signed. And
- 19 again, I will admit confusion about those things.
- Q. Okay. Could it be possible that at that
- 21 point the settlement agreement had not yet been adopted 22 by the Court?
- A. That -- that would be a possibility.
- Q. Could that be a possibility?
- 25 A. That would be a possibility.

- 1 And I wrote "19,985," which I would have to check, but
- 2 I assume that's what the 2010 consensus came down with.
- Q. Okay. And the same with "76,205," there's a notation there or an underlining, what is meant
- 5 there?
- 6 A. Yeah. So that would affect -- let's see.
- 7 30-year plan projections 76,000. I'm just assuming I
- 8 underlined that because that would come from the Church
- 9 report about what they said their population would be
- 10 when the development was -- was fully built.
- 11 Q. And your notation of "M3 equals 17,445," is
- 12 it your understanding that comes from M3's materials
- 13 prepared by Mr. Church?
- A. Right. And what I was struggling with
- 15 there was trying to -- to parse out the 56,892, what
- 16 portion would be the M3 portion and what portion would
- 17 be the Eagle City portion.
- 18 Q. The next page, page 4, "The term of the
- 19 Development Agreement is 30 years" in the middle of
 - that page, it says, et cetera.
- 21 A. Yeah.
- Q. And you've underlined that and put a
- 23 notation.
- A. "40 years," question mark.
- Q. "40 years," question mark was your

Page 37

Page 34

1 notation.

4

- What's the meaning of that? 2
- 3 A. Well, 30 years plus 10 is 40 years.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. So again, grappling with what's the 5
- planning horizon that's reasonable use. 6
- Q. Okay. Would you find 30 years plus 10 to
- be an unreasonable horizon if it was subject to a
- 10-year extension term on 30? Would that be
- unreasonable to you for a city to do that? 10
- A. That's starting to be unreasonable, I 11
- 12 think, because of the inability to forecast accurately
- that far out. 13
- O. What about if the extension occurred 14
- sometime during the 30 years and it was only for 10 15
- years, would that be an unreasonable thing to do? 16
- A. If one were to true-up the data and move 17
- 18 forward the next 10 years, then I would say that would
- be a reasonable approach for a City, to have sort of a
- rolling planning horizon, because things change. And
- 21 as things change, you would adjust as you move through
- time. 22

2

13

- 23 Q. Is it your experience working with other
- kinds of utilities, such as electrical utilities, that
- planning horizons or planning programs of 20 or 30 or

- 1 do." Reading the sentences there, it is -- it's
- 2 dealing with -- with whether the project in M3 would be
- 3 administered by the City or administered by Eagle, the
- 4 City of Eagle. Otherwise, would the City of Eagle take
- over the water supply system or not.
- Q. And what's your understanding about that? 6
 - A. My understanding at this point -- and
- again -- is that there is some agreement or court case
- or something in that the City of Eagle will run M3's
- water system. And I would stand corrected on that. 10
- Q. Do you understand that the City of Eagle 11 12 now has been assigned the permit and permit application
- from M3 Eagle? 13
- 14 A. I have been told that.
- Q. Next page, page 6, please, Exhibit 42. On 15
- the right you've written, "Look at water right, Eagle 16
- 17 Water: United Water."
 - A. That would be in anticipation of what Mat
- Weaver did, and that is that out of -- out of this, 19
- 20 what was United Water's service territory and what did
- 21 they serve in the city of Eagle and what did Eagle
- Water serve. 22

18

- 23 Q. Okay. How about page 7? You note as to
- 24 the first two paragraphs at the top, "A problem," it
- 25 looks to me.

Page 35

1

2

4

7

12

15

18

even more years are used sometimes?

- A. Yes. And -- and in my experience, they are
- 3 updated periodically. Here in Idaho the Commission
- 4 requires the utilities to redo their integrated
- 5 resource plans every two years. Otherwise, they're
- updated every two years.
- Q. And those plans have a horizon of what, 7 typically? 8
- A. It varies. Generally, there's a 10-year 9
- horizon and a 20-year horizon. Two separate horizons. 10
- Q. Okay. On page 4 you also have language you 11 12 wrote in. "Additional development except?"
 - What do you mean by this?
- A. As I remember, I was thinking that part, 14 what if another M3 came in, would they accept it or wouldn't they accept it.
- Q. And what's your understanding, if any, 17 about that? 18
- A. That it would have to go through the review 19 process and be consistent with the comprehensive plan
- and all those things, city planners, all the hoops 21
- cities make developers jump through. 22 Q. Next page, page 5 of Exhibit 42, what's 23
- your handwritten notation there mean, please. 24 25
 - A. Well, I can't -- "City, nothing I need to

Is that correct on the right?

- A. That's what it says.
- Q. And what is the problem there? 3
 - A. You will have to give me a minute.
- 5 Q. Certainly.
- A. (Reviews.) 6

That -- that there -- there is a different

- irrigation need for the M3 piece than there is in the
- city of Eagle piece. So if you're trying to look at
- 10 what the water demands are, you have to take that into
- 11 account.
 - Q. Is it your understanding that the City's
- 13 RAFN information included residential irrigation as
- part of its future need?
 - A. As I remember, that's correct.
- Q. It did? You say it did? 16
- A. Yeah. 17
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And that would not be applicable. I'm 19
- trying to think. There was a different view of the 20 21 irrigation needs in the M3 than in the city of Eagle.
- Q. Well, isn't it true that in a good portion 22
- 23 of the city of Eagle residential irrigation is supplied
- by existing surface irrigation entities, canal
- 25 companies, and the like?

- A. Yeah, dual water system kind of stuff. 1
- 2 O. Whereas that dual water supply would not be available in the foothills, would it? 3
- A. Right. Because there are not surface 4 irrigation rights in the foothills. 5
- Q. Okay. 6
- A. So that would be the issue there. 7
- 8 Q. Here in this second paragraph it says at the end, "the City has not typically included an irrigation component in determining water demands."

11 Do you still think that the City did include an irrigation component in its future water 12 demand for a municipal use, as in its RAFN? 13

A. Well, let me read the footnote.

14 15 (Reviews.)

I guess I'd have to cop on that. I'm not 16 17 sure.

- Q. Okay. 18
- A. And again, that was not the focus of what I 19 20 was dealing with.
- 21 Q. Understood. Anything more you can tell us about your notations on this page, page 7 of 22 Exhibit 42? 23
- A. I wrote, "declining use per customer," 24
- which is true of all water systems I know about. I

Page 39

- 1 don't know whether it indicated it or it was a note to
- myself that that's what I knew was happening. 2
- Q. What do you mean by "declining use per 3 customer" and that's something that happens commonly? 4
- A. Any water system that I'm familiar with 5
- over the past ten years or whatever, the use per 6
- household is going down.
- O. For indoor uses? 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And what's the reason for that? Do you 10
- 11 know?
- A. Put my professor hat on here. I think 12
- there's greater conservation ethic. Because of
- manufacturing restrictions, for instance, in
- 15 California, you can't buy other than a low-flush toilet
- 16 now because it's required in California. And
- manufacturers don't want to make different kinds, so
- they meet all of the standards. 18
- Q. So that applies, then, in Idaho; is that 19 what you're saying? 20
- A. Exactly right. You go down to Home Depot. 21
- 22 In general, the price of water is going up,
- so you're getting some elasticity impact. 23
- Q. And over on the left-hand side, you have 24 25 "Irrigation," it looks like you've written there.

- Page 40
- A. With a question mark. And that would go 2 back to our earlier discussion. I'm trying to come to
- grips with what the irrigation was or wasn't between
- 4 the city of Eagle and M3 and how that would affect
- water demand. 6 Q. But you really weren't looking at water demand yourself, were you? You were looking at 7

population projections; isn't that correct?

- 9 A. Exactly. That is correct. And I guess my only excuse is I can't stay out of those sandboxes on 10 11 my own curiosity. But that was not my responsibility.
- O. Okay. So you weren't offering any kind of 12 an opinion about what amount of water use might be 13 reasonable? 14
 - A. No.

15

20

- Q. Okay. On page 8 -- we're almost through. 16 This is the last page that has any of your handwritten 17 notations in Exhibit 42. 18
- A. Good. 19
 - Q. Let's quickly go through those, please. What's it say at the top?
- 21 22 A. "Settlement agreement says M3 water only M3
- place of use." I assume that was some question that I 23
- was asking people at IDWR -- again, curiosity -- about
- 25 how all this worked. And was there or was there not an

Page 41

- 1 issue if Eagle took over and operated M3's system,
- 2 whether they could or could not use the M3 water to serve customers in their existing city limits not
- within the boundaries of M3.
- 5 O. And what was your understanding about the answer to that question?
- 7 A. To be candid, confusion. As I understand
- it, that is -- that is not a resolved issue. But I
- would stand corrected on that.
- Q. Okay. And I take it you have not reviewed 10 the settlement agreement between M3 Eagle and the Department of Water Resources in this matter?
- A. I have not looked at it, just been told one 14 got done.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.

What about the notation on the left? Can you tell us what that says on page 8? 17

- 18 A. "M3, all fire by storage. Eagle not want
- to pay for storage." I was told -- and it might not
- have been IDWR. It might have been somewhere else --
- 21 that there was some water issue about Eagle and
- storage -- in the negotiations storage was a -- was an
- issue. And I think that might have been with Eagle 23
- 24 Water. But again, just trying to understand stuff.
 - Q. So there's not much we can gather or glean

25

13

15

Page 44

1 from that comment, huh?

- A. No. It was just curiosity and throwing it 2 3 out. I certainly can't glean much from it right now.
- Q. What about your comments on footnote 14 at 4 the bottom of page 8? 5
- A. "Water people?" It looks like I did some checking of the footnote there and came up with not 7 35 percent but 29.7 percent.
- 9 Q. Do you think the 29.7 percent is an accurate number? 10
- A. I would have to go back and relook. It 11 12 was -- as I said, I was just struggling with trying to replicate numbers so I could understand where they came 14 from.
- Q. Again, I see that 17,455 number. 15 I assume that's the population of the M3 16 17 part of the City as shown in the Church report; 18 correct?
- 19 A. Yes.

1

- 20 Q. Do you have any reason to question that 21 number here today or previously?
- A. Define "question." That's certainly the 22 23 number that was in the report, if I wrote it down 24 correctly.
- 25 Q. Well, do you think it's off base?

1 developments change.

2 And therefore the build-out that John Church based his estimates on may or may not occur.

And depending on what that happens, you say "Is the

5 number accurate?" I question that it's accurate,

because I think the development will develop

differently to a certain extent than they have planned

right now, because that's the history of developments.

Q. But would you agree, though, that as the 9 10 holder of the water permit and the one obligated to

serve this area, the City would need to plan for and

acquire a water right permit that is tagged to a number

that is at least reasonable? Would you agree with that? 14

15 A. Yes, and as I said as much in Appendix C.

Q. And would you agree that that number that 16

17 Dr. Church has provided, 17,455, is a reasonable number 18 for that purpose?

19 A. For that purpose, given that development.

Your earlier question asked me did I think it would 20

21 happen that way. And my answer is no. But the City

needs to plan. 22

23

Q. Let's turn to Exhibit 43, please. I see

that Exhibit 43 is a draft of the Department report,

25 which is Deposition Exhibit 1 in these depositions.

Page 43

Page 45

A. I'm trying to remember. If that's the 2 number of the full build-out, I did adjust in Appendix C and assumed the start date would be 2016 and 4 then backed up and did some truncation.

I know that John Church at -- listening to 5 6 the transcripts of an earlier water hearing or maybe --

7 anyway, stated firmly that he believes that the whole

8 M3 can be built out within 20 years, and that if it can

9 be built out in 20 years, it certainly can be a full

10 build-out in 30 years. And I am not as certain as John

11 Church that that will occur.

12 Q. But if it were built fully out, it would be 13 17,455, at least based on that number in the report; 14 would you agree?

15 A. At this point I guess I can't really say. 16 If you look at the memo that's Exhibit whatever that I submitted when I worked for the City of Eagle, I was questioning in there that -- let me stop there and back 18 19 up.

If M3 is developed with the development 20 plan that was given to John Church, then I would not dispute that number. I took -- my opinion is one step further. And if you read the M3 documentation, it 23 says, "We will build," for want of a better phrase, "to 25 market." Otherwise, because markets change,

Can you tell us what differences there are between this Exhibit 43 and Exhibit 1?

A. No. And the reason that this exhibit is 3 4 there is when Mr. Baxter and I met shortly before, he looked at it, we looked at some pages; because I had turned in all my papers to be copied two days ago, three days ago, whenever I turned them in, I didn't

have a paper copy of what the final report was. 9 So I grabbed a CD and printed it and didn't pay attention to the fact it said "Draft." I wanted to 10 read through -- refresh my memory before this deposition about what I said. 12

Q. So this is something that you printed out 13 and you had in your possession, so you felt you needed 14 to reveal it here --15

A. Exactly.

Q. -- in this deposition?

A. Mr. Baxter said, "Uh-oh, we haven't given 18 them everything that you looked at in preparation for 19 the deposition." And this is like -- I either printed 20 it late last night or early this morning. 21

Q. Do you know when this draft was prepared 22 and provided to you? 23

24 A. Since it was on a CD, it was very close to 25 the final. I remember that.

16

Page 46

Q. Just prior to June 1st --

2 A. Yeah.

1

13

20

3 Q. -- of 2011?

4 A. Yeah. And it was to go through and -- you

5 know, final checking and making sure everything lined

6 up. And if, for instance, you would look on page 1 of

7 Appendix C, it said still "Table XX," and I -- reading

8 through it I crossed out and said "1." So I did find

9 one typo in there.

10 Q. And I notice that this does not include

11 Appendices D and E.

12 A. Yes.

Q. Do you know the reason for that?

14 A. That was not what I worked on, and I was

15 only trying to refresh my memory reading Appendix C.

16 Q. Okay.

17 A. So it wasn't worth the paper and

18 cartridges.

19 Q. Thank you.

I think we've gotten through the exhibits.

You don't have any further?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Thank you.

24 A few minutes ago, Dr. Reading, you

25 mentioned that what you did in your work for the

1 And that that clock would run out in 2040; is that

2 right?

3 A. The development still could be developing

4 beyond 2040. So I took that ending number and said the

5 30-year planning horizon would still occur, but it

6 would not start for five years. So I think what you

7 said is not what I did, if I understood what you said

8 correctly.

9 Q. Okay. I'm just trying to make sure we're 10 on the same page here.

The way we understand the report is the

report does not question, first of all, that the M3

13 part of the City can be fully built out at some date;

14 correct?

12

15

18

A. Correct.

Q. But that date may not be by 2040; correct?

17 A. Correct

Q. However, the water right planning horizon

19 expires in 2040, correct --

20 A. I--

Q. -- based on your assumptions?

22 A. Okay. Maybe -- maybe I don't -- and again,

23 you're giving me over -- you're getting me off where

24 I'm less comfortable in the water rights world. The --

25 I assume that once you got a water right, you had a

Page 47

Page 49

1 Department report was you truncated the amount of water

2 that the City would have for the M3 development by some

3 amount based on your view as to how much they could

4 build prior to the end of the planning horizon.

5 Do you recall that? Or is that an

6 inaccurate --

A. I will -- let me make a statement so I

8 understand your question. I'm not sure what you mean

9 by "truncate." If you mean I said I didn't think the

10 M3 development would start developing until 2016, so if

11 you move out 30 years, then you lop off the end six

12 years, is that what you asked me?

13 Q. Yes, I guess that's one way of putting it.

14 A. Okay.

15 Q. Is that an accurate --

16 A. Yeah. What I stated is what I did, yes.

17 Q. Let's see if we can get that maybe in other

18 words.

In other words, the effort that the

20 Department used in putting together the Department's

21 report assumed that for the first five years, from 2010

22 to 2015 ---

23 A. Yes.

Q. -- there would be no development, but that

25 the planning horizon clock would be running; correct?

1 water right. I didn't know the water rights expired in2 30 years.

Q. Well, that's, I guess, one of the questions that we need to explore in this matter. However, let's

5 refer to page 7 of your Appendix C of Exhibit 1.

6 Exhibit 1 is over here.

Exhibit 1 is the Department's report;

8 correct?

7

11

14

15

20

21

24

25

9 A. Yes.

O. Let's go to page 7 of Exhibit C?

A. I am looking at it.

Q. Up at the top, Table 5, the year 2030 and

13 the year 2040 are both listed there.

Do you agree?

A. Yes.

16 Q. What is the significance of the year 2040,

17 in your view?

18 A. The end of the 30-year planning horizon.

19 Q. For the water right; correct?

A. I did this based on population.

Q. Do you understand --

22 A. I was trying to project population. I

23 wasn't concerned about the water right clock.

Q. Okay.

A. That was not in my head when I did this.

2

4

Page 50

Q. Okay. Thank you.

At the end of the 30-year planning

- 3 horizon -- which starts in 2010 and ends in 2040;
- correct? 4

1

2

- A. Okay. 5
- Q. Is that correct? 6
- A. Correct. 7
- Q. Under your view, the population will be 8
- 16,254; correct? 9
 - A. Well, that's what's written there. There
- may be a transposition of the number. Mr. Baxter and I 11
- talked about that before the deposition.
- Q. Okay. That's a question that I do have 13
- 14 here.

10

- But before we get to that question, the 15
- 16 16,254 would be your assumption, at least in this
- 17 Table 5, of the population of the M3 portion of the
- City at the end of this 30-year planning horizon in
- 2040? 19
- A. No. in 2040. 20
- Q. In 2040, which is the end of that 30-year 21
- planning horizon; correct? 22
 - A. If you're starting in 2010, yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. And you did start in 2010, did you
- 25 not?

23

1

Page 51

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, am I correct in assuming that 2
- 3 Deposition Exhibit 6, if you'd pull that out, please,
- is the source for the 16,254 M3 population figure?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. You mentioned a minute ago that you 6
- discovered a transposition of a number.
- A. Yes. 8
- 9 Q. And what is that transposition?
- A. That is if you look under year 25, it says 10
- "16,524," not "16,254." 11
- O. "245"? 12
- 13 A. Okay. I'm slightly dyslexic.
- Q. "254." I'm sorry. 14
- 15 A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. 16

17

- A. So if I were to redo Appendix 6, I would
- untranspose those two numbers. I would have a number
- in there that would say and be consistent with
- 20 Exhibit 36 and 60, 16,524.
- Q. Okay. Now, yesterday in Exhibit 4, if 21
- you'd pull that out, we discussed with Mr. Weaver --
- keep Exhibit 6 out, too, please. 23
- A. Okay. I'll do that. I won't mess the 24
- 25 order up.

Exhibit 4, you're asking about?

- O. Yes. In Exhibit 4 --
- 3 A. Okay.
 - Q. -- we learned how the Department's report
- concluded that at build-out some .99 cfs less water was
- needed -- not at build-out, but at the end of the 6
- planning horizon, .99 cfs less water would be needed
- for peaking than the 23.18 cfs applied for by the City;
- correct? 9
- 10 A. I will accept that. I don't know. I was
- not involved in those calculations. I don't know what 11
- Q. Have you seen this exhibit before, 13
- 14 Exhibit 4, especially page 2, or the second page?
- They're not numbered.
- A. Yes. 16
 - Q. Have you seen that before?
- A. I may have. I certainly didn't focus on 18
- 19 it.

17

9

19

- 20 Q. Okay. Dr. Reading, do you agree that the
- calculations that went into coming up with the .99 cfs
- reduction depend on accurately portraying the 16,524
- number? 23
- 24 A. I see a footnote there. "Estimated by Don
- 25 Reading, Appendix 6 (sic)." To the extent that

"16,254" should be "16,524," I would accept that.

- Q. Okay. And I'll represent to you that the 2
- description in Exhibit 4 sets forth a population ratio
- that was based on the ultimate population, that number
- 17,455, as compared to the 30-year planning horizon
- population of 16,254 here in Exhibit 4; correct?
- That's how the ratio is derived?
- 8 A. I will accept that.
 - Q. The smaller number into the larger number?
- 10 A. I will accept that.
- Q. Okay. So you would agree that that ratio 11
 - has to change based on that transposition; correct?
 - A. Assuming that Mr. Weaver did it correctly,
- 13
- he should have used the 16,524 and -- rather than what
- he lifted from my report. 15
- Q. Okay. By the way, I note that Exhibit 4 16 applies this ratio reduction to indoor residential and 17 outdoor residential use. 18

Do you see that on page 2 there of

- 20 Exhibit 4?
- 21 A. That's what it says.
- Q. And do you recall any discussions about 22
- that at all? 23
- 24 A. None.
 - Q. You had no input to that?

Page 53

8

12

13

20

Don Reading, Ph.D.

Page 54

1 A. No.

- Q. Okay. Did you review the material from M3 2
- 3 that was submitted as an exhibit in the main hearing
- detailing water uses for various functions throughout
- the development?
- A. No, I did not. 6
- MR. FEREDAY: Okay. Can we take a short break 7
- and go off the record. 8
- 9 (Recess.)
- MR. FEREDAY: Back on the record. 10
- Q. Dr. Reading, we have used the number 17,455 11
- 12 as a full build-out population number. And I think
- that number was referred to in Exhibit 4 as the, quote,
- "ultimate population." 14
- 15 Do you recall that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. I'd like you to refer to Deposition 17
- 18 Exhibit 6 once again, please. In the last farthest
- right column of that exhibit under year 30, I'd like
- you to note the number of residences projected at
- 7,153. 21
- 22 Do you see that?
- A. Yes. 23
- Q. And further a few lines down is the number 24
- 25 2.49, which is the average household size in terms of

- 1 you need to know how many residences, how many
- 2 stub-ins, how many actual buildings and lawns are
- there: correct?
- A. That would be a relevant number.
- 5 O. Uh-huh. And even though a residence may not be currently occupied, it still may require water,
- such as for its lawn and so forth; correct?
 - A. There's that potential.
- Q. Okay. With regard to your Appendix C in 9 the report, page 4, I see Table 3 there. I'd like to 10
- ask you some questions about that. 11
 - A. What page?
 - Q. 4, Table 3.
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Would you agree that the average growth 15
- rate for the state of Idaho or the projected growth
- rate from 2011 to 2014 is going to be or is likely to 17
- be a lower growth rate than that to be experienced in 18
- 19 the city of Eagle?
 - A. Not necessarily.
- Q. So you think that the state of Idaho as a 21
- whole, taking all 44 counties as a whole, is going to
- experience a growth rate greater than the city of Eagle
- will experience at any time in the next, say, 10 to 30 24
- 25 years?

Page 55

Page 57

1 people.

7

- 2 Do you see that?
- A. Yes. 3
- Q. And I'll represent to you that multiplying 4
- those together results in a population of 17,811. 5
- A. Okay. 6
 - Q. And I'll also point out to you that right
- below the number 7,153 is the number 143 as unoccupied
- 9 residential housing units.
- 10 Do you see that?
- A. 143 unoccupied. Is that what --11
- Q. Yes. 12
- 13 A. Okay. Yes.
- Q. So I'll represent to you that the 14
- difference between 17,811 and 17,455 is that unoccupied 15
- 16 increment.

17

- A. Okay.
- 18 Q. Does that seem reasonable to you, that in
- projecting for some purposes, such as taxation purposes
- and so forth, that the number of people occupying a
- part of the city at any one time is relevant? Would
- 22 you agree?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. But would you also agree that for purposes 25 of deciding how much water you need to serve an area,

- A. I would say in the next 10 to 30 years that the Treasure Valley area, the Boise -- Ada/Canyon
- County area will most likely grow faster than the whole
- state of Idaho with their rural populations in many
- 5 counties.
- Q. Okay. On page 3 of Appendix C, right at 6
- the bottom of that page, you have a comment regarding
- the forecasts for the cities of Boise, Kuna, and Eagle,
- and you make the statement that, quote, "Since
- 10 forecasts for these cities are also contained in other
- forecasts included in the City's" -- that is the city
- of Eagle's -- "average, the result is double counting,"
- end quote. 13

Do you stand by that being an experience of 14 double counting?

- 15 A. I think maybe double counting was not the 16
- best choice of words. What I was -- the point I was 17 making in this whole paragraph was the approach that
- the City of Eagle took was for overlapping forecasts 19 that were embedded in one another and for forecasts of 20
- varying different lengths. 21
- And when you have all of those different 22
- kinds of forecasts and then you take a simple average 23
- of them, that is not an, in my mind, acceptable and 24
- robust statistical method of finding a growth rate.

Page 58

6

7

8

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 And so it was part of that.

2

10

11

14

18

19

21

22

9

22

25

- Q. So are you saying, then, to put it in other words, that by using an inappropriate suite of 3 percentages, to develop the target percentage, the target percentage will either be understated or overstated, depending on the weight of those other compared percentages in comparison with the target?
- A. I would agree. That would have been a nice 8 9 sentence to have in my report.
- O. Do you agree that including percentages that are lower, and including perhaps more of them than should have been included, will tend to dilute or lower 12 13 the target percentage?
 - A. Mathematical certainty.
- Q. Yes. I'd like to ask you some questions 15 16 now about why you chose the 2040 date for the end of the planning horizon. 17

And maybe another way of putting that is, why is the water planning horizon, in your view, extending from 2010 to 2040, instead of, say, 2011, this year, to 2041, 2012 to 2042, or some other similar period? Why was that period chosen?

23 A. Mostly because the best data for population available was the 2010 census. That would be the one 25 that would be -- the one you would have more certainty 1 contained in the exhibits that we went over, the

2 articles and Thornton Wilder report, just general

economic malaise that is occurring throughout the

nation, but to somewhat greater extent in southwest Idaho. 5

- Q. So is it fair to say that the 2016 date was your estimate as to when the M3 project might start?
 - A. That was judgment, yes.
- Q. Judgment based just on your feel for these data that you've described? 10
- A. Correct. And as I remember, I stated in Appendix C one would assume that when it starts, it 12 probably in the early years would not be as rapid as 13 they originally projected back when. 14
 - Q. Do you have any information about current conditions in the Eagle area with regard to building starts or new housing activity of any kind?
 - A. As was in some of the exhibits that we marked, it was just the general housing conditions that are going on. If you're asking did I do a survey of the Eagle area specifically, the answer is no.
- 22 Q. Do you know whether there might be any sources for that kind of information as to what's 23 happening in the Eagle area now? 24
- A. One could go to the City and look at 25

Page 59

Page 61

- 1 is closest to correct.
- Q. So the choice of 2010 as a start date had 2 3 nothing to do with anything you were told to do by the Department; is that correct?
- A. Oh, no. No, not --5 Q. And it had nothing to do with your understanding of how the RAFN statute works; is that correct? 8
 - A. That would be correct.
- 10 Q. It was just a number that you picked 11 because it was convenient based on the census numbers?
- 12 A. Right. And as I understood my task, it was to do the most accurate forecast that I could on 13 population, so that's what I did.
- 15 Q. Could you explain why you assumed that the 16 M3 planned community will not start construction until 17 2016?
- A. As I say in the last page or pages of 18 Appendix C, the existing economic conditions are such that there isn't a lot going on anywhere. So M3 would start to develop when there's a market that they can
- 23 Q. In making that determination as to 2016, what data did you review? 24

sell their properties into.

A. Some of the economic conditions that are

- 1 building permits. One could look at maybe CoreLogic
- data. One could go to a variety of sources in an
- attempt to estimate that. For a city the size of
- Eagle, you could drive around and look at empty houses,
- I assume, talk to real estate agents, talk to 5
- management firms, that kind of thing.
- 7 Q. Have you done any of those things with regard to your work in this case? 8
 - A. No.

9

16

17

18

19

24

- 10 Q. Would you agree that it would make sense for the planning horizon for a water right to start 11 when the water right permit is issued, or should it 12 start at some earlier time? 13
- 14 MR. BAXTER: I'm going to object. It calls for 15 a legal conclusion.
 - Q. (BY MR. FEREDAY): Okay. If you have a thought about that, you could still answer.
 - A. Yes, I understand that.

Would you rephrase the question, please.

20 Q. Okay. Would it be reasonable to you as an economist to assume that a planning horizon for a water right permit during which it must be developed would 22 23 start once the permit actually exists and is issued?

A. I will go back to a question you asked me 25 earlier on the termination of the water right. I think

Page 62

1 that would be a critical factor. But I guess I

- 2 can't -- I can't really opine -- it could be -- a water
- 3 right could be issued and there would be no development
- 4 for the next 15 years, and all of a sudden -- I guess I
- 5 can't answer -- I would have to think about that more.
- 6 Q. So I guess your answer is you don't know?
 - A. I don't know.
- 8 Q. Okay. Do you think it would be reasonable
- 9 to project that the M3 project portion of the city of
- 10 Eagle could start construction in 2014 or even earlier?
- 11 Would that be an unreasonable expectation?
- A. We can quibble about "unreasonable." But I would have to say potentially that could occur, equally
- 14 it could occur later.
- O. If the planning horizon assumption in the
- 16 Department's report, which now goes from 2010 to 2040
- 17 as a planning horizon, were shifted forward let's say
- 18 two years to 2012, from 2012 to 2042, that would change
- 19 the calculus such as that described in Exhibit 4; would
- 20 it not?

7

- A. That would -- that potentially would
- 22 change, to use your word, the calculus or the
- 23 projections or whatever. Yeah, that certainly, in my
- 24 mind -- I guess that's a policy decision that the
- 25 Department has that I obviously am not privy to or

- 1 for doing that, would you comment on that?
 - A. I'm --
- 3 MR. BAXTER: I'm going to object because I think
- 4 the witness here has identified that he doesn't feel
- 5 it's appropriate for himself to speak on the issues of
- 6 policy. This is really a question left to the
- 7 Director.

8

13

- That said...
- 9 Q. (BY MR. FEREDAY): So can you answer that 10 question when I put it that way to you?
- 11 A. I would prefer not to opine about policy,
- 12 based on my lack of knowledge on how it comes down.
 - Q. Do you have any evidence to support a
- 14 conclusion that it's more likely than not that the M3
- 15 planned community will not build out to its full 7,153
- 16 units at some point in time?
- 17 A. As I mentioned earlier and is contained in
- 18 the memo to the City earlier, I expressed for a variety
- 19 of reasons that I wasn't sure the M3 development would
- 20 occur as outlined in their planning report submitted to
- 21 the City. I guess I have questions that it will fully
- 22 develop.

25

- Q. And those questions are based on what
- 24 evidence? That's the question I asked.
 - A. I think it's back on general evidence as an

Page 63

Page 65

- 1 won't make.
- 2 Q. Okay. Could you identify a policy reason
- 3 for reducing the peak diversion rate for the City of
- 4 Eagle for the M3 area of its city by .99, or some other
- 5 number, based on the kinds of projections that you've
- 6 given us today?
- A. You've got me off where I'm uncomfortable.
- 8 I have no opinion on basing a -- the water right based
- 9 on peak consumption.
- 10 Q. How about from an economic planning point
- 11 of view, could you identify any policy or reason for
- making such a reduction in a case like this where we're
- projecting 30 years out for full development of a water right?
- 15 A. I guess I can only answer that in generic
- 16 terms in my experience dealing with utilities, and that
- 17 is if you're trying to determine water consumption,
- looking at peak use relative to average use issomething you certainly investigate and look at and
- 20 estimate.
- Q. And my question, though, had to do with whatever the number we're talking about, whether it's
- 23 peak or average, with reducing it at the front end,
- saying you can't even have that much in your permit atthis point, the policy from an economic point of view

- 1 economist and looking around -- boy, I'd have to go
- 2 back and reread that report. But I was skeptical in
- 3 that report, as I remember, about the projected traffic
- 4 flows, the price of the housing, number of houses,
- 5 those kinds of things.
- 6 That's a very big development, and there
- 7 have been planned unit communities around the Treasure
- 8 Valley that have not developed according to what they
- 9 planned. So I guess just a general
- 10 feeling/expectation/opinion.
- 11 Q. Would you call that just a guess on your 12 part?
- A. Well, I guess I'll back up. I guess I'll
- 14 have to be -- let's see. A little more than a guess,
- 15 given the world that I live in in economics following
- 16 this data, doing work for population projections,
- 17 et cetera. It just -- it -- as I said in Appendix C,
- 18 those numbers -- I couldn't say in a 30-year time frame
- 19 they're unreasonable. But I -- once you get out after
- 20 ten years, it gets real uncertain.
- MR. FEREDAY: Let's go off the record for a minute.
- 23 (Discussion.)
- MR. FEREDAY: That's all the questions we have
- 25 at this time.

Page 66

Bruce, would you like to come down here? 1

MR. BRUCE SMITH: Jason? Alan?

3 MR. ALAN SMITH: We have no questions.

(Recess.)

4 5 6

11

2

EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. BRUCE SMITH:

Q. Dr. Reading, I'm Bruce Smith. I represent

the City of Eagle in this proceeding. Good afternoon.

It's three o'clock. 10

You got a schedule this afternoon?

- A. Until 5:00. After 5:00, not available. 12
- 13 Q. Okay.

A. Maybe I should have said after 3:15 I'm not 14

15 available.

MR. FEREDAY: You lost your chance. 16

Q. (BY MR. BRUCE SMITH): We'll do our best to 17

18 take care of that.

I'm going to try not to duplicate some of 19

20 the questions that Jeff asked, unless I need

21 clarification.

22 As I understood your testimony, you've

23 never worked on any kind of RAFN analysis before you

24 were hired by the Department to work on this one?

25 A. That is correct. Q. So you're not getting paid for your

testimony today, sir?

3 A. I will bill them. We'll see whether I get

4 paid.

15

20

23

5

7

5 Q. Okay. You mentioned that you had a number

of meetings with Mr. Rigby and Mr. Peterson, and you

said Director Spackman came in to some of the meetings?

MR. BAXTER: I'm going to object to the 8

characterization. I don't believe the testimony said

there were a number of meetings. But I may stand

11 corrected on that.

12 THE WITNESS: Yeah, the number of meetings

occurred with Shelley Keen, me, and Mat Weaver. 13

Q. (BY MR. BRUCE SMITH): Okay. 14

A. The original contact was Ray Rigby and

Director Spackman. There was an early meeting that

Jack Peterson and some other IDWR folks were about, and

maybe one more later in the process. But the majority

of the work was the three of us. 19

Q. Okay. And the meeting with

Director Spackman was when? 21

22 A. Yes.

Q. No, when? When did that occur?

A. That occurred at the inception before I 24

25 even signed the contract. And then as I remember,

Page 67

Q. And with regard to your contract, I think

2 you indicated that you were contacted by Mr. Rigby; is

3 that correct?

A. Yes. And Peterson, Jack Peterson. 4

5 Q. Okay.

6 A. That's who I couldn't remember before.

Q. Okay. Do you have any idea why those two

people contacted you? 8

A. Both of them, at least this is what they

10 said, the Department is looking for an economist. Both

11 of them knew me. Jack Peterson, I go way back to when

12 both of us were at Utah State. I've known him for a

13 long time. They know me as an economist, and my

understanding is they recommended me to the Department. 14

Q. Okay. And specifically your job was to

look at population forecasting? 16

17 A. Correct.

15

18

Q. Okay. Was it anything else?

A. When I was originally contacted, we talked 19

20 about the Department was interested in developing a --

21 I guess for want of a better word, a template that they

could produce for cities who were submitting

applications for RAFN water permits. They have not

24 asked me to do that. I understand the reason is is I

25 ran them out of the money doing the work here.

Page 69

1 there was a later meeting in the process toward the end of it before the report was produced, finalized.

Q. Okay. And the first meeting that 3

4 Director Spackman was in, who else was in it?

A. What?

Q. Who else was in it? 6

A. Just Ray Rigby.

8 Q. And what was Director Spackman's role in

9 that meeting?

A. I think he was attempting to decide whether 10

or not he was going to accept Ray Rigby's and Jack

Peterson's recommendation to hire me. It had the definite feeling of an interview. 13

Q. Okay. And in the second meeting who was in 14 15 that?

A. Toward the end of the process, I can't 16

remember exactly, but there was -- there was numerous

people in that meeting. And it was a general

discussion of the report that was issued and what was

in it and things like the logic behind why this or that 20

21 was decided.

22 Q. And did Director Spackman have any input or 23 comment during that meeting?

A. I'm sure that he had comment. As I 24

25 remember, they were mostly questions.

Page 73

Page 70

O. Do you recall any of the questions?

2 A. It was -- the ones that I remember were 3 more about process. I can't -- I'm trying to remember 4 the ones that I would have responsibility for. And I

5 think, as I remember, he just asked me to sort of

6 review how I came up with what's in the report.

MR. BAXTER: Bruce, I might make one 7

8 clarification for the record. I think Dr. Reading here said "Ray Rigby" a couple times, and I think he

10 originally identified him as "Rich Rigby." I think

11 Rich Rigby is the person.

1

25

THE WITNESS: Rich Rigby, correct. Rich Rigby 12 all the way through. I'm sorry. 13

14 MR. BRUCE SMITH: Thank you for the clarification. I assumed that's who it was anyways. I 15 didn't even pick up on it. 16

THE WITNESS: Don't tell him. He'll be mad at 17 18 me. "What did I recommend you for, you fool?"

MR. BRUCE SMITH: Did you get that down, Jeff? 19

Q. There was some questions from Mr. Fereday 20 about policy that Mr. Baxter objected to. 21

22 Did anybody talk to you at any point during this process from the time you were hired until the 23 24 time you produced your report about policy questions?

A. As I remember, the policy questions that

1 discussions -- let me use just a quick example of the kinds of things.

"What does the RAFN say?" it would say. 3

"You should" -- it should be the planning area, or

5 maybe another term, general discussions about what

planning area meant or comprehensive plan, area of

impact, you know, what did it really mean. You know,

trying to operationalize what was in the statute. It

says overlaps. It says other water companies.

So there would be a general discussion about how -- what would be the best procedure going forward so that the data would reflect what was in the -- our best interpretation of what was in the RAFN requirements.

Q. The statute?

A. Right. 16

10

11

12

13

14

15

Q. So with regard to these things like 17 planning horizon, service area, they're telling you 18 what that is or you're telling them what that is? 19

A. I would say there's a general discussion 20 about that. As far as something like the planning 21 22 horizon, Mat Weaver went out and surveyed various 23 areas.

I think it's in his -- I don't know if it's 24 25 in mine or his -- about what the various planning

Page 71

1 criterias of certain places was. And then there would

2 be a sit-down meeting and we would discuss what --

given the information we had, what would be a rational

or reasonable planning horizon. 4

O. So the determination of a reasonable 6 planning horizon was not just your determination; is that correct?

A. I would say on a planning horizon and the population, I had the final call on that. 9

Q. Okay. So you determined the planning 10 horizon and the Department accepted it?

A. Yes.

12

13

Q. Okay.

A. And, you know, to be candid, I'm sure you 14 understand how these things work. If I would have said 15 something like 75 years, I think there would have been some push-back. The -- in general, these things were 17 sort of agreed to in tandem, I guess would be a better 18 19 way.

20 Q. Okay. So how did you decide what was a reasonable planning horizon versus, well, I'll say 22 unreasonable planning horizon? 23

A. Looking at the data that Mat collected, 24 understanding, as Mr. Fereday mentioned earlier when he 25 was asking me questions, about what other entities like

1 were discussed were more -- and that's -- I know when

2 Mr. Fereday was asking me, I was just curious trying to

3 understand what it was about. As far as anything that

4 I had, it was "Give us your best estimate of a population forecast." 5

6 Q. Okay. And did anyone at IDWR exercise oversight of your work?

A. Tell me more of what you mean by 8 "oversight." 9

Q. Did you do drafts that they reviewed and 10 then made edits to? 11

A. Shelley, Mat, and I exchanged, I guess, 12 drafts. We would have meetings where I would bring in

like charts or graphs, et cetera, of what I was doing, and then there would be a general discussion among us

about "Does this make sense? Does that make sense?

What does this mean? What does that mean?" 17

18 Q. You focused on exclusively Appendix C; 19 correct?

A. Yes.

20

Q. Did they talk to you about the rest of the 21 22 document?

were so -- he handed off stuff to me. So the

23 A. Most of the rest of the document was -- I 24 think it's Appendix B, because Mat's work and my work

Page 77

Page 74

7

9

10

1 utilities do for planning horizons, my general

- knowledge and experience as a forecaster.
- 3 Q. So what you're talking about is just general plans; correct? 4
- A. Excuse me? 5
- Q. General planning. 6
- A. General planning was part of that 7
- background, certainly, yes. 8
- Q. Okay. But I mean we're talking about 9 10 planning horizons for a water right?
- A. Yes. 11
- 12 Q. Okay. And what you're describing is just general planning-type endeavors; correct? 13
- A. Yes. 14
- Q. Okay. So until you were hired to work on 15 RAFN issues, you'd never heard the word "planning horizon" in the context of a water right, had you? 17
- 18 A. Until the RAFN, no.
- 19 Q. Okay. So you gave the Department your estimate of what a reasonable planning horizon was? 20
- A. I opined that, yes. 21
- O. Okav. 22
- A. And as I remember in the report, I said 23
- that when you're forecasting out there, you can believe
- 25 20 years easier than you can believe 30 years.

- 1 planning, in general?
 - A. Planning, in general?
 - Q. Yeah. You said you got a long history with
 - a lot of experience, a little bit of gray hair and this
 - kind of stuff, so I've been at this for a long time.
 - 6 A. Right.
 - Q. So it's a judgment call, but it's an
 - informed judgment?
 - A. I like that better, "informed judgment."
 - Q. What are you talking about, judgment as to
 - 11 what?
 - 12 A. A judgment as to -- as to what is
 - reasonable that you can have a certain degree of
 - confidence in that your number 30 years from now won't
 - be -- it will be wrong, but it won't be terribly wrong.
 - Q. To follow up, when you're talking about 16 17 planning, what are you planning for? Doesn't the type
 - of planning you're doing for what you're planning for,
- doesn't that affect your degree of certainty or 19
- uncertainty? 20
- 21 A. Oh, certainly.
- Q. Okay. So if you're planning for an 22
- electric utility and production of and distribution of
- electricity, that's a certain type of planning;
- correct?

Page 75

A. Yes.

4

9

12

- Q. And it's not necessarily the same as 2
- planning for a demand for water; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Or if you're planning for highway
- construction, it's not the same as for water or for 6
- 7 electricity; correct?
- A. Okay. 8
 - Q. You agree?
- 10 A. Yes.
- "Okay" means you agree? 11 Q.
 - A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. So the planning period depends on
- what you're planning for; would you agree? 14
- A. Yes. 15
- Q. Okay. And you agreed with me at the very 16
- beginning that you've never done any planning, you've
- never looked at any planning horizons for a water 18
- right; correct? 19
- A. Right. And I would have to say what I was 20 asked to do in this case is not opine or assign factors
- for the water right. It was to come up with a
- population forecast. 23
- 24 Q. Okay.
 - A. And the population forecast could be used

- Q. As a matter of fact, there's a statement in 1 2 your report, Appendix C, that generally says obviously 3 the further you go out the more uncertain you become,
- or something to that effect?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. How do you put some objective sideboards on 6
- that, or is that just a judgment call?
- A. I guess as -- as somebody who has been 8
- doing this as long as I have been doing it and is as
- old as I am and have been forecasting for as long as I
- 11 have and got it right sometimes and got it not right
- other times, I would have to say -- and this was sort
- of when Mr. Fereday was saying, "Well, then it's just a 13 guess." 14
- 15 I think it's more than a guess. I think given my experience, education, et cetera, it's an educated guess, I guess would be a better way to put
- it. But there's certainly judgment. If that's what
- you're asking, there's certainly judgment. There's no definitive answer. And I think that's displayed in the
- table of the different planning horizons of different 21
- entities and how different they really are. 22
- Q. Let me delve into that just a minute. When 23 24 you're talking about your age and your experience, your
- 25 history in dealing in this area, I assume you mean just

Don Reading, Ph.D.

Page 78

1 in a variety of ways for different planning purposes.

- Q. Okay. That's a good point. So the 2
- planning that you're talking about is planning for 3
- population increases; correct?
- A. Estimating, I guess would be a better word.
- Estimating population increases. 6
- Q. Okay. It's not planning for the water 7 needs for that population; correct? 8
- A. I -- that -- I did not get into that. 9
- 10 O. Okay.
- A. My section was to -- to look at what the 11
- 12 population would be over a reasonable, I guess,
- population planning period. And in the report those
- planning horizons, of course, would include population
- as well as other things.
- Q. So your conclusion, as I read Appendix C, 16
- 17 is that 30 years is a reasonable planning horizon for
- the City? 18
- A. Not unreasonable. 19
- 20 Q. In terms of population?
- A. Of what -- yeah, of what I think the 21
- 22 population could reasonably be in 30 years.
- Q. Okay. So if we extend that to 40 years, 23
- what would your opinion be? 24
- 25 A. Much less certain.

- O. Uh-huh. 1
- 2 A. And you have very small -- very small
- population base, and things occur for that small
- population base and you start getting a rapid growth
- increase. The worst -- in my mind, the worst thing you
- can do statistically is just put an exponential growth
- rate on that, and you just get up in the absurdity.
- 8 So you have to look at what the environment
- is and try to project where those inflection -- where that inflection point would occur when the growth rate
- would, by necessity, start to slow down and start to 11
- flatten out. 12
- 13 Q. So in that 10-year period from 30 to 40, 14 you think that there would be a significant degree of uncertainty projected into it?
 - A. I did not say that.
- Q. Okay. 17
- A. I said there would be that potential, and 18
- that would be something that would make sense to look 19

A. No. As I used the numbers and as discussed

Q. Okay. I assume from your earlier comments

Q. And could you give me some examples of what

A. Oh, wow. Back -- I'd have to pull out my

SEICOG, Southeast Idaho Council of Governments. I did

one for the City of Pocatello. I'm ticking through my

Let's see. And then I know I've done a

Q. Okay. Does some of the work, the products

that you did, the estimates that you did come to mind

22 where you were particularly right on, you got it, you

10 resumé. When I was at Idaho State from '70 to '80, I

did forecasts for the hospital, I did forecasts for

16 couple more recently, but I can't remember, more

18 looking, reviewing utilities' planning periods and

recently, maybe in the last 15 years. But certainly

that you've rendered opinions on population growth

- at to see if it would -- if you thought it may occur in 20
- that extra 10-year time period. 21

earlier. I started it in 2016.

- 22 Q. Okay. Did you calculate a growth rate for
- 23 M3?

1

3

5

6

7

13

14

15

19

20

24

23 nailed it?

before?

career here.

reviewing their forecasts.

A. Yes.

16

- 24 A. For who?
- 25 Q. For M3, for the M3 project.

you've done. For whom and when?

Page 79

Page 81

- Q. How much?
- 2 A. I cannot answer that.
- Q. Okay. We'll get to this later on, but I 3
- think you came up with a 3 percent growth rate; 4
- correct? 5

1

17

19

- A. A what? 6
- 7 Q. 3 percent growth rate.
- 8 A. Yes.
- Q. That's what you applied? 9
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. If the City went from 30 to 40 years, would
- the 3 percent still be applicable? 12
- 13 A. I think if it went to 40 years, I would
- 14 have to look at some other factors that may or may not
- be limiting for that growth. I would have less faith
- in whatever number extrapolating 30 years out would be.
 - Q. Extrapolating out 40?
- A. I mean 40 would be. 18
 - Q. What information would you look at?
- A. It would be the city limits, the pushing up 20
- against other land, the annexation of surrounding 21
- cities. Cities get, want of a better term, landlocked. 22
- 23 Also, when you study growth in general,
- what almost always happens is S-curve growth, if I'm
- making sense.

208-345-9611

25 Q. Where you did a good job. You came out and

M & M COURT REPORTING SERVICE, INC.

Page 82

- 1 you went back and revisited what you predicted and it came out.
- 3 A. Yes.

5

18

1

- Q. You always remember those; right? 4
 - A. Yeah, right.
- Q. So were there some you went back and looked 6 that didn't turn out correct? 7
- 8 A. Oh, absolutely.
- 9 Q. And what's the difference between those two? The ones that you didn't turn out correct on, 10
- 11 what was the factors that led to that?
- A. I think the one that turned out most 12
- 13 incorrectly was one I did for the City of Pocatello
- 14 when I was teaching at Idaho State on a manufacturer
- 15 who bought the naval gun plant, Bucyrus-Erie, and it
- 16 was I don't know how many energy crises ago, but it was
- the one in the middle '70s. 17
 - And they were building these big machines
- to go over to Wyoming and rip it up and do oil shale. 19
- They invested millions and millions of dollars, started
- 21 to hire people, started to build. And then there was a
- 22 structural change. They decided it was -- and I'm not
- 23 sure all of the reasons, but they decided, well, that
- 24 isn't going to be profitable, and they pulled up stakes
- 25 and went away.

- starting from zero relative to a city that has been
- 2 there for a number of years and has experienced growth
- patterns, they're really two -- two separate entities,
- I would think.
- Q. So there would be a significant difference in the planning processes for the two?
- A. I would think so, because the planning
- process for a city is as you're partway down the road,
- you've got infrastructure there, you've got, you know,
- the water, sewer, all those kinds of things you need
- for planning. You've got some experience. You've got
- 12 some roads. You got all those kinds of things.
- Whereas in general, as I understand M3, it's starting
- from whole cloth. 14
- 15 Q. But you understand that M3 would be kind of 16 a discrete project; correct?
 - A. A what?
 - Q. A discrete project.
- A. As it originally planned, as -- yes. 19
- 20 Q. And that a city would do more generalized
- 21 planning; correct? I mean let me give you an example.
 - M3 is going to go build houses; correct?
 - A. My understanding is M3 will sell lots to
- builders who will build houses. 24
- 25 Q. Okay. The M3 project results in houses

Page 83

17

18

22

23

- 1 being built; correct? A. That's their expectations. 2
- O. The City of Eagle will not be building 3
- houses?
- A. That is correct. The --5
- O. So the City's approach --6
 - A. Excuse me.
- 8 O. Excuse me.
- A. The City will not be selling the property 9
- to be developed. It does not own the property in which 10
- the development will take place. 11
- 12 Q. Right. So a city's planning focuses on the
- general growth of the city, correct, as opposed to the
- discrete growth and development of a specific 14
- 15 subdivision or project?
- A. For M3, build it and they will come. That 16 is their expectation. 17
- Q. Okay. But a City's planning is generalized 18 and is focused on the growth of a city, not a specific 19
- project; correct? 20
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you recall the discussions with 22
- Mr. Fereday about the 2016 versus some other start 23
- date: correct? 24

M & M COURT REPORTING SERVICE, INC.

A. Yes.

Page 85

- So that the population forecast that I 2 made, based on the same kind of thing that Mr. Church
- 3 has done on what the company said was going to occur,
- 4 the hiring patterns that they said they were going to
- 5 use, never occurred, and therefore the population
- growth never happened --6
- Q. Okay. 7
- A. -- in that time frame. It eventually
- happened. But it happened 10, 15 years later than my 9 original forecast said. 10
- Q. Do you recall how far out that forecast had 11 12 been, how many years?
- A. Wow. I'd have to go back, but I'm -- it 13 would have to be like a 15 year, 20 year kind of thing. 14
- Q. Okay. A lot of the questions Mr. Fereday 15 talked to you about, you were answering, dealt with the development of M3.
- 17 Do you understand that there might be a 18 19 difference between planning as done by M3 with population forecasting for a specific project versus 20
- 22 A. Oh, absolutely.
- O. And what kind of differences are there 23

the planning that a city might do?

- 24 between those two?
- A. For an M3 kind of development that is 25

Page 89

2

8

13

23

Don Reading, Ph.D. 9/23/2011

Page 86

1 Q. And because the City is not starting

2 construction of anything, that analysis and that type

- of approach doesn't apply to the City; correct? 3
- A. Yes. And as I remember in my report, that 4
- isn't what I used to project the population for the
- city of Eagle. I did them independently and added them 6 7 together.
- Q. Okay. I want to make sure we understand 8 and we have a clear understanding of this. 9

The start date for the City's planning has 10 11 nothing to do with the start date for construction?

- A. The way I projected the population for the 12 city was not dependent on when M3 would start 13 construction. 14
- 15 Q. Okay. I want to go through your report a 16 little bit. I just want to make sure I understand it.
- And you've got the report in front of you. Okay. 17
 - A. Which page?

18

Q. Well, we're going to go through it page by 19 20 page. But let me ask you this, in general.

21 When I was looking at the section where you 22 were talking about the City's calculation of a growth

- rate, the paper that Nichoel did --23
- A. That the City used for their 4.39 percent? 24
- 25 Q. Right. You recall looking at that?

- O. Okay.
 - A. Looking at the methodology.
- Q. Okay. Now, if you'll take your Appendix C 3
- 4 report, I've got my copy, and I'm going to go through
- and ask you some questions. And we'll just go page by
- page. If you look at the first couple sentences, it
- says "Geographical Scope."
- Do you see that?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Now, you make a couple statements about the statutory provisions that you were talking about that 11
- you dealt with; correct? 12
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you base your conclusions in this 14
- 15 report on your understanding of the statute as you
- wrote it out in Appendix C? 16
- A. Yes. And as I said, with the adjustments 17
- Mat Weaver made for populations of the different pieces 18
- 19 within the city of Eagle.
- 20 Q. Okay. But what I'm saying, you've written
- out your understanding of the statutes --21
- 22
 - Q. -- and you based your opinions on that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. So if your understanding of the

Page 87

- A. Recall what?
- Q. You recall looking at the paper that 2
- 3 Nichoel Baird Spencer produced?
- A. Yes. Yes. Yes. 4
- Q. Okay. As I read your report and your 5
- comments on it, your opinion was that the City's 6
- approach -- that your opinion about the City's approach
- was based solely on the fact that it was not, in your
- opinion, a valid statistical method? 9
- A. Yes. 10
- Q. Okay. Now, I did not see in your report 11
- where you made any kind of statistical analysis of the 12
- City's approach. 13

14

25

Did you do that?

- 15 A. I'm not sure what you mean by "statistical
- analysis of the City's approach." 16
- 17 Q. Okay. Let me be clear. You said that the City's approach was not statistically valid; correct? 18
- 19 A. In my opinion, yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. Did you independently try to perform
- a statistical analysis of that approach to determine
- that it was not statistically valid?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. It is just your opinion?
 - A. Yes.

- statute was incorrect, would that affect your opinions?
- A. It would depend on where and what parts of 2
- 3 my understanding were incorrect. Some may change my
- opinions, some may not.
- Q. Well, let me ask you this: With regard to 5
- the parts of the statute that are written in part
- one -- okay? -- not other parts of the statute, but
- just what you wrote out in part one, if that
- understanding is incorrect, would it affect your
- opinion? 10
- 11 A. I'm not tracking your question here. I'm
- 12 sorry. Q. Okay. You've written out part one of your 13
- 14 paper. I'm reading Appendix C. I'm looking at part
- 15 one. And you make certain statements about the statutory provisions.
- 17 A. My interpretation of what the statute says, 18 yes.
- Q. Okay. And so you take that and then 19 proceed to do your analysis; correct? 20
 - A. Okay. Yes.
- 22 Q. And your analysis is based on that
 - understanding that you set out at the beginning, it
- 24 sets the framework for your analysis?
- 25 I would say that is true.

Page 90

- Q. Okay. Look at Table 1 for a minute. 1
 - A. Okay.

2

- Q. It says, "Survey of Planning Horizon 3
- Periods." Okay. 4

Now, "planning horizon" is a term of art 5 within the statute? Okay? 6

- A. I would say definitely.
- Q. Now, is that what you meant by "planning 8
- 9 horizon" at the top of that table, that's the planning
- horizon you're talking about? 10
- A. That was a planning horizon these different 11 12 entities, municipalities, had -- they planned for.
- O. Okay. And as a matter of fact, where did 13 you get all these --14
- A. From Mat Weaver. 15
- Q. Did you read these plans? 16
- A. No. I got this data from Mat Weaver. 17
- Q. Okay. So when this information in this 18
- table is listed, like Ada and Canyon County's IDWR 19
- water demand study, you didn't go look at the water 20
- 21 demand study to see what are they were planning for?
- A. For that particular one, I did read some. 22
- 23 Q. Okay. And what were they planning for?
- A. They were trying to project water demand. 24
- Q. Was it for a future-needs water right, a 25

- 1 population would be for United Water for the next 50
- 2 years. I reviewed the report. I reviewed what John
- Church said, and then I guess to be -- my meter got
- turned off and that was the end of that.
- 5 Q. Okay. When you're saying "map," does IMAP come to mind? 6
- 7 A. IMAP. I'm sorry. Correct. IMAP. That should say "IMAP" in there.
- 9 Q. Okay. So you said you looked at that one. You looked at that one when you were doing 10
- that when --11
- A. Back then. I did not relook at it for this 12 particular study. 13
- 14 Q. Okay. So which other one did you say you 15 read?
- A. The -- well, when I was doing the work for 16 Eagle earlier that we have talked about, I did some 17 review of their comprehensive plan.
- Q. Okay. We'll get to that in just a minute. So none of these other documents did you 21 look at?
- 22 That is correct.
 - Q. So how did you come up with these planning
- 24 horizon years that you have listed in the second
- column?

19

20

23

Page 91

Page 93

- 1 RAFN water right?
- 2 A. No.
- Q. Okay. So for all of these, were any of 3
- 4 them, for any of these planning horizon periods that
- you listed -- and you go from 25 there at the top to 30
- at the bottom -- were any of them planning horizons in
- the context of a RAFN water right analysis?
- A. My understanding, no. 8
- Q. And other than the first one, did you read
- any of these documents? 10
- A. I -- I read the United Water map. 11
- Q. Okay. Hang on just a minute. Let me see. 12
- A. And I looked at the Eagle Comprehensive --13
- Q. Hang on a second. 14
- 15 A. -- Plan.
- Q. Excuse me. I apologize to you. I talked 16 17 over you.
- 18 So you looked at the United Water map?
- 19 A. Yes.

20

- Q. And what map is that?
- 21 A. Oh, that is the -- I'd have to ask
- somebody. The -- quite a few years ago United Water --
- I can't remember the acronym, map, and what it stands
- 24 for. They filed a map. I was hired by an attorney to
- 25 review John Church's estimates in the map of what the

- A. As I said before, Mat Weaver developed the
- 2 data for this table. And in some cases my
- understanding is he looked at some and some cases he
- called folks. Otherwise, he would call the City of
- Rexburg and ask them how many years were in their
- comprehensive plan or their master water plan or 6
- 7 whatever it was.
- Q. So this is Mat's work that's in here? 8
- 9 A. The data in that, yes, that's Mat's work.
- Q. Okay. Did you happen to ask him what 10
- these -- let me give you an example. City of Lewiston,
- 20 years, 2031, Master Water Plan, do you know if that
- was a planning for a planning horizon for a water
- 14 right?

- A. I have no idea.
- Q. Okay. Just look at that list. Are there
- any of them that you would see that constitute planning
- horizons as you describe them for a RAFN water right 18
- analysis? 19
- 20 A. I would say -- I don't think the RAFN
- statute had passed when United Water did their IMAP,
- but it was for water planning on that one. That's the only one that I know about. 23
- Q. Okay. The one that's listed in the Eagle 24 25 Comprehensive Plan -- and it's third from the bottom.

Page 97

Page 94

1 And it says 15 years is the planning horizon, end of the planning horizon is 2025. 2

Where is that from? Where is that 3

determined? 4

A. When -- I think it's one of the exhibits I 5 have. I looked at it, and it had population up to 6 7 2025.

Don't I have a page with that? I thought I 8 had a one-page --9

- Q. Look at Exhibit 34. 10
- A. 34. Thank you. 11
- 12 O. Okay.

13

- A. That is where I got it from.
- Q. Okay. Where did you get 15 years out of 14 15 that table?
- A. From -- I'm assuming from 2010 through 16 17 2025. And the confusing part, which we never sorted
- out, was the top of the table it says household and populations at 2030, but there is no data for 2030. So
- it was my interpretation of what that table said. 20
- 21 Q. Okay. You were looking at that table
- alone; correct? I mean that's where you based your --22
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. 24
- A. For that particular line, yes. 25

population forecasting. I mean --

O. Let me --

9/23/2011

- A. -- what other entities do. 3
 - Q. Okay. Dr. Reading, let me just clarify

5 that.

4

13

18

These are planning horizons for something, 6

but we don't know exactly what; correct?

- A. Beyond how they're described there, 8
- correct. 9
- 10 Q. Okay. But then you took this plus your experience in planning for other things to decide that 11
- 30 years was reasonable? 12
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And when I say "30 years was 14 reasonable," it was 30 years for a planning horizon for 15 16
- a water right? A. For -- yes. 17
 - Q. Okay.
- A. For my part of the water right, what I 19
- would have reasonable faith in a population forecast. 20
- Q. Okay. So looking at page 2 of your report, 21 at the top it says, "The key term in the RAFN is
- "'reasonable" 23
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. So as I read this, you're applying

Page 95

- Q. Okay. So if you look at that table, it's 1
- talking about projected households and population at
- 2030; correct? 3
- A. Again, there was confusion. The title said
- "2030," but the table says "2025." 5
- Q. Okay. Did you look at the comprehensive 6
- plan to see for how many years it planned for?
- A. Beyond that one page, no. 8
- 9 Q. Okay. So you looked at that one page at
- that one table, and you decided that it was a planning
- 11 horizon of 15 years?
 - A. For that particular line item there, yes.
- 13 O. Okay.

12

- A. I might add that the compilation -- you 14
- know, none of the individual items was relied on 15
- solely. It was to look at what the ranges were. 16
- Q. Okay. But you took this information -- and 17
- I assume you reviewed these lists of planning horizon years, and then concluded that 30 years was a
- reasonable period? 20
- A. Yeah, one of the factors that went into 21 22 that.
- Q. What other factors went into it? 23
- A. As we talked about before, just the general 24 knowledge of what I considered reasonable for

- 1 the interpretation again of your understanding of the
- statute ---

4

10

11

- A. Yes. 3
 - Q. -- to these tables or these planning
- periods that are in Table 1?
- A. And general knowledge about forecasting and 6 7 planning.
- Q. And all the other things that you have been 8 working on planning? 9
 - A. Yes. Yes.

(Mr. Holt leaves the proceedings.)

- Q. (BY MR. BRUCE SMITH): Okay. The first 12 full paragraph, and it's that last sentence. It says, "Both a 20-year and a 30-year population forecast are
- presented below and either could be considered
- 'reasonable,' with greater certainty for the 20-year forecast." 17

Can you put some sideboards on "certainty" 18 19 for me?

- A. Where did I say "certainty"? Oh, certainly 20 within -- that is what I heard Mr. Church say in an
- audio of a hearing where he opined that he believed
- that it was reasonable -- that he believed M3 would be developed within a 20-year time period and that -- that
- if that were true, it would certainly be developed

4

5

11

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

1

4

9

10

14

Page 98

within a 30-year time period.

- 2 Q. So you're not talking about a water right planning horizon here, you're talking about a certainty 3 as to the development of M3? 4
- A. The -- John Church's estimate of the 5 build-out of M3, yes. 6
- Q. Okay. Drop down to part 3. It's talking 7 about "Population Forecast Methodology." It says, 8 "...we first perform a survey of existing contemporary population studies applicable to the local area." 10

Do you see that?

A. Yes. 12

11

13 14

- Q. What local area are you talking about there?
- A. In general, the Treasure Valley. 15
- O. The Treasure Valley. Okay. "The existing 16 forecast applicable for Eagle that we examined are 17 depicted in Graph 1." 18

So how did you decide which ones that you 19 20 were going to examine? Am I clear?

A. As I remember, this is a fairly 21 comprehensive list. I also used the data that was 22 provided in the first draft RAFN study from the City of 24 Eagle. It listed various forecasts. So I looked at 25 those.

Page 100

- Q. So did you look at all existing 2 contemporary population studies related to the Treasure
- Valley?
 - O. Okav.

A. I tried to.

- A. I will yield if there's one out there I 6 7 missed.
- 8 Q. No. Okay. Then you looked at, the last sentence, it says, "The existing forecasts applicable for Eagle that we examined are depicted in Graph 1."
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. How did you decide, out of the big 12 package that you looked at, the big group of population studies, how did you then narrow that down to those that are applicable for Eagle? 15
 - A. Well, when there was an Eagle specific forecast as part of that, perhaps bigger, perhaps not bigger forecast. For instance, the COMPASS forecasts include counties and cities -- I can't remember. Are they over in Canyon -- I think they're over in Canyon County too -- for Ada and Canyon County. So I looked at that forecast and said what did they say about Eagle population. That's how I decided it.
- Q. Okay. So you looked at all this group, and 25 if they had information for Eagle, you pulled them out?

Page 99

Page 101

- And then I examined other forecasts that 2 were out there. For instance -- I'd have to
- double-check. But as I remember, the CAMP forecast was
- 4 not one that was used. The CAMP forecast had
- projections for I think more than just southwest Idaho.

But in it they have population for Eagle

specifically, so I just pulled that out of that report.

- I did a survey of any of the reports that I could find. 8
- Q. Okay. What I'm struggling with here is it 10 says "...we surveyed existing contemporary population studies applicable to the local area." 11
 - A. Yeah.

12

22

Q. So I'm trying to understand what that is. 13 14

You're now telling me it's the entire

- 15 Treasure Valley; correct?
- A. Well, it depends -- okay. No. It was 16
- 17 taken from studies that included the Treasure Valley.
- As an example, the CAMP study was for a bigger area,
- but contained within that study they had a population
- forecast for Eagle specifically. And that's what the
- 21 green triangles are that's the lowest line there.
 - O. Okay.
- 23 A. So it was forecast for the area, and I
- 24 attempted to pull out of those forecasts Eagle
- 25 specific, to the best of my ability.

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So when we look at Graph 1, did you prepare that? 3
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, as I'm looking at this between 5 the X and Y-axis, this list of -- it's the legend for the lines. Okay? It starts off with "Census Eagle" and it goes to "IEA: Boise MSA." 8

Do you see that?

- A. (No audible response.)
- Q. It lists all these forecasts, these 11 population forecasts, and it's got -- because I don't
- have a color copy --13
 - A. Oh.
- Q. -- it looks like you got more forecasts 15 than you have lines on your graph. 16
- 17 A. Oh, well, for instance, if you look at the ---18
- 19 Q. I don't want to pursue this line of questioning if I'm wrong. But I looked at it and I 20 tried to decide, well, I got I think eight of these, and then I start to look at the graph to see what I 22 23 thought about it, and I could not find that you included all the legend on the graph. 24
 - Did I make a mistake?

Page 102

1 A. I -- I will look at it and yield. But I

- 2 certainly attempted to include them all. And for
- 3 instance, if you look at the top one, it says "Census
- 4 Eagle." There are three dots starting back in 1990.
- 5 So that's one of them. That is the actual census data
- 6 for the City of Eagle for those -- for '90, 2000, 2010.
- 7 So that's one of them.

And then the Eagle comprehensive plan are those squares.

Q. And I'm going to ask you about that while you're on there.

So is that the ones that came out of the page out of the comp plan that you looked at?

- A. I would guess that would be true.
- Q. Okay. All right. CAMP Eagle?
- 16 A. That would be the CAMP report. And that's
- 17 the very lowest there where they projected water
- demands for lots of places through 2060, and I pulled out Eagle.
- Q. But let me clarify. They looked at the water demand.
- Do you mean the population?
- A. I mean population. Sorry. I misstated that.
- 25 Q. Okay.

14

15

1 at my data.

- Then the last one is the IEA, and that was
- 3 one that was used by the City of Eagle. And that's the
- 4 purple one that's sort of between the squares that come
- 5 from COMPASS Eagle, and those -- they only give -- they
- 6 don't give a continuing forecast. They just give
- 7 ten-year increments. And so that's what I -- five-year
- 8 increments. And so that's what I put in. So that
- 9 includes all of them, then, I think.
- 10 Q. Okay. I'll take a look at a color copy and 11 see if all of them are there.
- 12 A. Okay.
- Q. Like I said, the copies I have don't show all of them being there. So that's what I wanted to
- 15 double-check.

16

25

7

- A. Okay.
- 17 Q. Now, as you proceed down through that, it
- 18 says, "Once these forecasts are analyzed for
- 19 reasonableness." And if you recall at the very
- 20 beginning of your paper, you said "reasonableness" is a
- 21 key term in the RAFN statute.
- 22 A. Right.
- Q. Did you analyze these forecasts, each of
- 24 them, for being reasonable or unreasonable?
 - A. I don't know what you mean by that.

Page 103

Page 105

- A. The next was COMPASS, which is kind of the
- 2 black dots. And that one's the next one up, and it's
- 3 sort of superimposed on that other one. So there's
- 4 three squares going on there, if you see what I mean.
 - Q. Uh-huh.
- A. Okay. Then the next one is Eagle plus M3
- 7 RAFN, and I adjusted that for two things: One, the
- 8 mathematical error that I found in adding them all up
- 9 and substituting in the now known 2010 population. And
- 10 that would be -- that would be the one that was --
- 11 what? -- the third one down there. On mine it's teal
- 12 color.

13

- Q. Okay.
- 14 A. And then the line just above that would be
- 15 not adjusting it for the 2010 population or the
- 16 mathematical error, but what was produced in the first
- 17 RAFN plan.
- 18 The next one is -- really it's a
- 19 comprehensive plan build-out. And if you go way up at
- 20 the top, there is a triangle just under "Population
- 21 Forecasts." And that's just a single number that was
- 22 out there. There was an estimate and a comprehensive
- 23 plan somewhere that said "When we build out everywhere,
- 24 we're going to have a population of 105,000" or
- 25 whatever that number is. I'd have to go back and look

- Q. Well, it says, "Once these forecasts are analyzed for reasonableness, I looked at a variety of forecasting approaches."
- 4 So did you look at each one of these
- 5 various population forecast methodologies to decide if
- 6 they were reasonable or unreasonable?
 - A. Yeah, all of them, as I remember, except
- 8 the "IEA: Boise MSA," and I couldn't find that. I
- 9 looked at all of the others, and -- and, in general,
- 10 know how they were done and looked at the numbers and
- 11 said, "Boy, that looks high, that looks low," based on
- 12 the history of growth in Eagle.
- Q. So what I'm trying to figure out is,
- 4 there's some of them you analyzed them for
- 15 reasonableness?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. Which one of these did you find reasonable
- 18 and unreasonable?
- 19 A. As I said, in the report later on where I
- 20 sort of pared these down for reasonableness, I would
- 21 look at this, and I would say "Boy, that triangle way
- 22 up at the top there of 105,000, that sure looks really
- 23 high."
- 24 I looked at the CAMP forecast and said
- 25 "Boy, that's a lot lower than everybody else," so in

Page 106

general I would -- would say that the Eagle

- Comprehensive Plan build-out number is too high, the
- CAMP forecast for Eagle is too low.
- Q. Why would you say that the comp plan 4 build-out is too high? 5
- A. I would have to go back and look at my 6 7 numbers. I think in there I looked at what the
- percentage change would need to be for the population
- going forward. And as I said earlier, the most --
- 10 that's not a reasonable -- the most dangerous thing I
- 11 think you can do with population forecasts is look at
- 12 an earlier growth rate, put them on an exponential, and
- 13 just keep cranking them out there, because that's not
- 14 the way that growth, except in some very unusual
- situations, would ever occur. 15
- Q. And do you think that's what the City of 16 Eagle did to get that number? 17
- A. I don't know. If you look at the data, 18
- that looks like what they might have done. I assume 19
- they assumed that if they had a certain population and
- whatever and it all built out, then they would have
- that population would be that, whatever number that is 22
- 23 out there.
- Q. So would it be fair to say you were 24 25 speculating as to how the City came up with that?

Page 107

- A. I would say that I would have to go back 1
- and look at my notes. But I may well have speculated
- and said that's so much higher than anybody else that I
- think it's unreasonable. 4
- Q. But to be clear, you assumed that the City 5
- was basing that number on a growth rate? 6
 - A. I do not know that.
- Q. Okay. But nevertheless, because it was 8
- high, you threw it out? 9
- A. Yeah. Looking at the relative forecasts 10
- from a variety of methodologies. 11
- Q. Okay. Looking on page 3, Table 2, "2010 12
- Census Based Populations," did you prepare that? 13
- A. Mat Weaver did. 14
 - Q. Okay. Did you rely upon that?
- 16 A. Yes.

7

15

- 17 Q. So if you relied upon that and it's not
- correct, how would that affect your opinions? 18
- A. Well, it would change it, I assume. 19
- Q. Okay. 20
- A. Again, depending on how it was incorrect. 21
- Q. Okay. So as we go down that page and we 22
- come to that last paragraph, that's where you, in 23
- essence, criticize the City of Eagle's approach; 24
- correct? 25

A. Yes.

- 2 O. And that was based on that attachment that
- was on the first RAFN, the draft analysis that was
- submitted?

1

- 5 A. Yeah. And -- yeah, I think you're
- asking -- say that again so I make sure I'm answering
- correctly.
- 8 Q. Well, when you're making this criticism,
- you're making it based on reviewing Nichoel's paper in
- which she took the various growth rates and then took
- 11 an average of them --
 - A. And took a simple average.
 - Q. -- and attached to that RAFN report?
- 14 A. Took a simple average, yes, that is
- 15 correct.

12

13

18

23

7

10

11

12

- Q. Okay. The first thing you say is "It's not 16
- a valid statistical approach," for several reasons. 17
 - A. Right.
- Q. Now, do you really mean "It's not a valid 19
- 20 statistical approach"? because I thought you said you
- did not do any statistical analysis of it. 21
- 22 A. I'm not sure I understand your question.
 - Q. Well, your statement is "It's not a valid
- statistical approach." 24
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 109

- Q. Okay. But you said you did not do any 1
- statistical analysis to determine that; correct?
- A. Correct. I'm basing it on the methodology 3 that was used.
- Q. Okay. But it's not based on any 5
- statistical analysis? 6
 - A. As I said before, no.
- 8 Q. Okay. There's a mix of time periods
- 9 included in the projections.
 - Do you see that --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- ranging from one to 30 years?
- So you think it's statistically invalid to 13
- mix various growth rates because they have different 14
- 15 periods of time?
- A. Depending on how you use them, the answer 16 17 would be yes. She simply took the simple average of
- them all, and that's what I objected to. 18
- 19
- Q. Dr. Reading, going back to page 1 with that Table 1 with the various planning horizons. Okay? And
- you see 25 down to 30 years? 21
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Isn't that what you did when you came up
- 24 with a planning horizon determination? Didn't you take
- 25 that range of various planning horizon years for

22

Page 113

Page 110

- 1 planning document types that you did not look at and
- 2 you don't know what they were even planning for, and
- 3 didn't you use that same approach there? Yes or no,
- 4 Dr. Reading?
- 5 A. What?
- 6 Q. Yes or no, isn't that what you did?
- 7 MR. BAXTER: I'm going to object to the form of
- 8 the question. And it mischaracterizes the witness'
- 9 earlier testimony. He did indicate that he did read
- 10 some of the documents.
- 11 MR. BRUCE SMITH: My question, Mr. Baxter, was
- 12 "Didn't you use the same approach when you came up with
- 13 your opinion as to Table 1 as you are now criticizing
- 14 the City of Eagle as to Table 2?"
- MR. BAXTER: That wasn't your question that you
- 16 posed to him. But if that is your question now, I'll
- 17 stand aside.
- 18 MR. BRUCE SMITH: Okay. Thank you.
- 19 THE WITNESS: No.
- 20 Q. (BY MR. BRUCE SMITH): Okay. So you did
- 21 not take some average, you didn't average a group of
- 22 planning documents with multiple time periods to come
- 23 up with your conclusion?
- A. As I said in the report, if you average
- 25 them, you get 31.8. I did not rely solely on the

- 1 says, "Forecasts that project growth cannot be
- 2 statistically compared to those projecting for growth
- 3 up to 30 years, since there is a wide range of
- 4 reliability depending on the time period used."
- Did you look at these to determine reliability?
- A. Show me the sentence. Oh, "reliably
- 8 depend" -- yeah, what I am saying there is that if you
- 9 had a short-term forecast -- a forecast over a shorter
- 10 time period has greater reliability than a forecast
- 11 over a longer time period.
- Q. That's not what that sentence says, though; correct?
- 14 A. I thought that's what it said.
- 15 "Forecasts" ---
- 16 Q. It says, "There is a wide range of 17 reliability."
- And my question that I asked was, did you examine these to determine whether they were reliable or not?
- 21 A. The way I used the term there is I assume
- 22 that, in general, shorter term forecasts are more
- 23 reliable than longer term forecasts. I would have to
- 24 look at the specific forecast methodology, and at least
- 25 for a portion of these I reviewed how they were doing

Page 111

5

6

10

18

22

- average of them all as the -- as the Eagle RAFN did
- 2 where they just simply added them all up and came up
- 3 with 4.39 percent. Judgment went into it. The average
- 4 was to get a check or a feel.
- 5 Q. So you came up with 30 and then did the
- 6 averaging to see how close you were? Is that what you
- 7 did?
- 8 A. Oh, doing the averaging was one thing that
- 9 went into it. I assume I did that before I made my
- 10 decision.
- Q. So the 31.8 and the 30 being so close
- 12 together is just coincidence?
- 13 A. No. No. It's a check. Part of the
- 14 decision process.
- 15 Q. Okay. But you recognize that the City of
- 16 Eagle in looking at theirs could have used other
- 17 factors as well; correct?
- 18 A. As I understand reading the report, they
- 19 used 4.39, which was a simple average of all those, and
- 20 that's what they used.
- Q. Okay. But that was based solely on looking
- 22 at that table; correct?
- A. And I think if you'll look at the forecasts in there, they multiply things by 4.39, as I remember.
 - O. Okay. Following in the next sentence, it

- them. Most of them did them on a statisticalregression analysis.
- Q. Third, "Using the City's census data of a population of 19,980."
 - Do you see that sentence?
 - A. Did you ask a question?
- Q. Yes. Do you see the sentence that starts off with their -- I'm going through these points that you're criticizing the City for.
 - A. Yes, I understand that.
- 11 Q. I'm trying to understand why you're 12 criticizing.
- 13 A. Oh, the third, "Using the City's" -- oh, 14 and what was your question about that sentence?
- Q. Okay. It concludes, "Its annual growth rate from 2000-2010 was 6.03, not the 7.5 used in the RAFN."
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall where 7.5 was in the RAFN? Do you know what you're referring to there?
- A. I'd have to go back and look.
 - Q. Okay. Are you saying it came out of the
- table?A. I think maybe I recalculated that, if they
- 24 A. I think maybe I recalculated that, if they 25 even have one there.

Page 114

Yes, the second -- if you look at the earlier report, the second line says "Eagle Actual

3 2000-2010, 7.5."

- Q. Uh-huh.
- A. That's where the 7.5 came from.
- 6 Q. But you realize that the 2010 census data
- 7 was not out at the time this table was prepared?
- A. Oh, absolutely, and I stated that. And that's why I recalculated it at the 6.03 rather than
- 10 the 7.5.

4 5

- 11 Q. Okay. Then it states, "Eagle used the
- 12 COMPASS forecast for 10-year growth rates rather than
- 13 the 25-year forecast available."

14 The 25-year forecast which were available,

15 are you talking about from COMPASS?

16 A. Yes.

20

- 17 Q. Do you think it was unreasonable to use the
- 18 shorter term forecast, which I think you've testified
- 19 already to are more certain than the 25 years?
 - A. Yes, they're more certain. But if you're
- 21 trying to calculate a growth rate for a 30-year time
- 22 period, it seemed to be to me more valid to pick a time
- 23 period to be used that more closely replicated the time
- 24 period you were creating the growth rate for.
- Q. So you think it would have been more valid

- 1 this second paragraph because I can't figure out how
- 2 you did this. Okay? So I want you to go through this
- 3 with me. It said, "We considered the high and low
- 4 reasonable forecast and took the midpoint."
 - Okay?
- 6 A. Yes.

5

10

15

20

1

6

7

9

12

Page 115

- Q. It says, "As depicted in 2, a 3 percent
- 8 growth rate is the midpoint between a high of 3.4 and a
- 9 low of 2.6."
 - A. Yes.
- Q. "The 3.4 was obtained by averaging four of the longer range forecasts from the sources selected by
- 13 Eagle and as shown in Table 4 below."
- 14 Okay?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. The first one -- I went through this and
- 17 this is the Eagle Comp Plan build-out at 4 percent;
- 18 correct? I'm looking at Table 4.
- 19 A. Yeah. Okay. Yes.
 - Q. And it says the 2025 population?
- 21 A. Yes.
- O. The build-out, when we went back to that
- 23 graph you had before, was the very high, the --
- 24 A. Right.
- Q. -- the triangle.

Page 117

- 1 to use the 2.3 percent?
 - A. For this particular exercise.
- 3 Q. Okay. Now, I think Mr. Fereday went
- 4 through this thing about the forecast, the result is
- 5 double counting.
 - A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And in fact, it's not double counting, is
- 8 it?

2

6

- 9 A. That's probably not the best choice of
- 10 words.
- 11 Q. Look at page 4, if you would, please. I
- 12 think you testify in that first paragraph that 24,035
- 13 within Appendix B, that you were given that number by
- 14 Mat Weaver; correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. So you just used it?
- 17 A. Yeah, Appendix B.
- Q. And how did you decide to delete the United
- 19 Water, the Eagle Water Company, and the Star overlap
- 20 numbers from your calculations?
- A. That was my understanding of what RAFN
- 22 said, and that's what Mat Weaver and Shelley Keen
- 23 determined their understanding of the statute said you
- 24 should do

25

Q. Okay. All right. I need some help all in

- Do you recall that?
- 2 A. I didn't use that in the analysis. I
- 3 eliminated it.
- 4 Q. Okay. So explain to me, did you just pick
- 5 four of these out of --
 - A. Yes.
 - O. -- out of Nichoel's?
- 8 A. Yes.
 - Q. And you picked the longer range forecast.
- 10 So you used the range of periods to pick
- 11 the numbers?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. As a matter of fact, explain to me how you
- 14 did this, because I read it and I could not understand
- 15 it.

16

17

20

- A. Okay.
- Q. As a matter of fact, would it help you if I
- 18 gave you a copy of Nichoel's report or --
- 19 A. The what?
 - Q. We could pull that out.
- A. What are you handing me?
 - Q. Well, I'm assuming what you're saying here
- is that you took the growth rates from Nichoel's paper
- 24 and you used that to prepare this comment in this table
- 25 (indicating).

Page 118

2

3

1 A. Let me check.

No. For instance on the COMPASS there, I pulled the 2.34 growth rate from the 25-year forecast from COMPASS, whereas Nichoel used the shorter term.

- Q. Okay. So that's the 2.34 that we talked
- 6 about before --
- 7 A. Right.
- 8 Q. -- that you pulled that one out for 25
- 9 years?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. And I did also the longer one for the Kuna.
- 13 And I used the IEA at the 2.6, and I must have
- 14 calculated the Eagle Comp Plan using 2025 population to
- 15 get the 4 percent.
- Q. If you look at the COMPASS information that
- 17 Nichoel used from Kuna, it was 6.1 percent.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Where is the 4.4 from -- 4.54?
- 20 A. Because I used a longer -- I used COMPASS
- 21 on a longer planning period.
- Q. So you just used the same reports, but you
- 23 selected different rates?
- A. Yes, I used longer -- the rates from the
- 25 longer planning period.

- 1 A. Yes.
 - Q. Why is that critical?
 - A. Because they took the M3 data and delayed
- 4 it to 2016. In other words, I didn't assume that the
- 5 development would occur. One of the things that is
- 6 difficult in using the M3 forecasts is they have
- 7 their -- as I say in the report, they have their time
- 8 frames for 20 years and 30 years.
- 9 And it was apparent from looking at it
- 10 what -- what John Church did was he took the 20-year
- 11 forecast and just stretched it out over 30. He didn't
- 12 change the development plan at all, is that there was
- 13 no starting date on either one of them. So that if
- 14 you're going to say I'm going to project for the next X
- 15 years, you need a starting date to move out from.

And since M3 never, to my knowledge, has given a starting date, that is critical to whatever

- 18 percentage growth rate you're going to pick, what date
- 19 you're going to start the development.
- 20 Q. So since M3 didn't pick a start date, how
- 21 did that affect your determination of the 3 percent
- 22 growth rate for the city of Eagle?
 - A. Oh, it doesn't.
- 24 Q. Okay.

23

5

12

25 A. Because I added them. I mean I used

Page 119

Page 121

- Q. So you relied on COMPASS, but you just took
- 2 the longer periods as opposed to the shorter periods?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 O. Okay. That clarifies that for me because I
- 5 tried, quite frankly, to go back and take her numbers
- 6 from her report and tried to figure out how you got
- 7 Table 4.
- 8 A. Right. And I went to the COMPASS and
- 9 pulled the longer number.
- 10 Q. You used the same source, but different
- 11 periods?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 O. Okay.
- 14 A. I would hedge my bets only to assume that
- 15 the one she used and the one I used were the same one
- 16 and there wasn't an update in the interim. And I think
- 17 that's a fair assumption to make.
- 18 Q. Okay. And the 3.37 that you've got in
- 19 Table 4, did you round that up to 3.4?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. That clarifies a lot of questions. On
- 22 page 5 it says at the bottom, "A critical issue with
- 23 this analysis is estimating when and how fast M3
- 24 development will develop."
 - Do you see that sentence?

- 1 3 percent for the city of Eagle and then moved M3 out
- 2 to 2016, and I added the two together.
- 3 Q. Okay. But that was for a population, but
- 4 not for the growth rate?
 - A. Correct.
- 6 Q. You didn't calculate anything for M3. The
- 7 3 percent was solely for the city of Eagle --
- 8 A. Yes.
- Q. -- it would be inclusive of M3?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. Graph 3 is on page 6.
 - Did you prepare that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. And that's where you used the
- 15 3 percent that you determined?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- MR. BAXTER: How are you doing, Don? Do you
- 19 need a break?
- 20 THE WITNESS: No, I'd just as soon keep hacking
- 21 here.
- MR. BRUCE SMITH: We'll be done by 5:00.
 - THE WITNESS: Do I need a break? Yes. Do I
- 24 want a break? No.
- 25 Q. (BY MR. BRUCE SMITH): On page 7 you make

25

13

16

8

9

10

_ ...T

Page 122

the statement at the second paragraph in No. 6, it
 says, "3 percent compound growth assumption used in
 this forecast is optimistic given current economic

conditions."

6

13

14

15

Are there specific conditions that you're referring to there?

A. The growth rate of recent years, the housing overhang, the vacancy rate in commercial property, the unemployment rate, the obvious economic conditions that we are not coming out of this recession at a pace that has been consistent with past recessions.

- Q. Okay. But those economic conditions are at what scale? National? State? Regional? What scale are you talking about?
- 16 A. Yes, all of that.
- 17 Q. Okay.

A. More specifically, obviously since we're looking at growth in the region of which Eagle and M3 are a part of, they're part of that economic malaise.

- Q. Okay. So you're assuming that the same malaise applies to Eagle equally as it does to the rest of the country?
- A. I wouldn't necessarily say equally. In some senses more, in some senses, yes.

1 Eagle. They have options to buy in Kuna. They have 2 options to buy in the north end.

And so the general economic conditions
affect everyone. I'm not in the bag that, you know, a
rising tide rises all boats evenly. But I think it is
completely valid to look at the economic conditions of
the Treasure Valley and the region and the nation and
make opinions on what you think's going to happen to
the city of Eagle.

- Q. Do you make opinions as to the city of Eagle that would affect a 3 percent growth rate? Can you do any specificity?
 - A. Oh, a growth rate, absolutely. Sure.
- Q. No, a 3 percent growth rate. Can you look at that and say it's 3.1 versus 2.9?
 - A. No. It's judgment.
- Q. Okay. Would you agree that if you have specific data for the city of Eagle that that would be more relevant than say information from Kuna or from the Treasure Valley or from the state of Idaho or from the nation?
- A. Yes, I do. And if you look at the graph at the bottom of page 7 and the top of page 8, I pulled the population growth rates and population changes from the city of Eagle and note that they are down

Page 123

Page 125

- Q. I'm trying to figure out, Dr. Reading, how
 you can take these generalized concepts of the economic
 conditions in the nation, transpose that to determining
 specifically how that will affect the city of Eagle.
 I'm struggling with understanding how you can take the
 general and apply it to the specific.
- A. Sure. We're an integrated economic nation
 and increasingly becoming an integrated world economy.
 If the demand for French fries goes up in Cleveland,
 that has an impact on potato growers in Idaho. The --
- 11 Q. How does that affect the city of Eagle? 12 How do you put some objective impact on the city of 13 Eagle from the price of French fries in Cleveland?
- A. Okay. I used that as an example. And you want some definitive examples. And if you examine and -- when did I do -- City of Eagle had me look at a development at Linder and Chinden, I think, about four years ago, five years ago, and I looked at commercial vacancy space and you look at the housing overhang.

And so you say how do you definitively say
that what's going on in Meridian affects what the
growth rate within the city limits of Eagle. And I'd
say there's a lot of interdependence there, because
somebody wants to come in and build a house or buy a
house, they have options. They have options to buy in

- significantly over the last few years. And that's an
 indication of the fact that -- that I -- I don't think
 that -- that the city of Eagle -- it would be certainly
 a surprise to me if the city of Eagle all of a sudden
 would jump back up to the early 2000 growth rates. I
 don't think that's reasonable to assume, given the
 economic conditions.
 - Q. But I think I would say that the city of Eagle has not stated that.
 - A. Has not what?
- Q. Has not stated that. That's not been the position, that's not what they submitted in their RAFN, is it, that the growth rate was going to jump up to what it was in 2005?
- 15 A. I guess I'm not tracking your comment or 16 question. I'm not sure.
- Q. Let's pass on that. Can we agree that if you have the site-specific information on growth rate for the city of Eagle that that is more relevant than the information or the -- or say regional analysis?
 - A. It would depend on what the site-specific information was. And I will back up and repeat, we are very interdependent. And you can cross city, state, county lines for economic decision-making easily.
 - Q. Okay. But as a general proposition, the

21

22

23

24

	Page 126		Page 12	8
1	more site-specific information is more relevant;	1	CERTIFICATE OF DON READING, PH.D.	l
2	correct?	2		
3	A. It can be, yes.	3	I, DON READING, PH.D., being first duly sworn,	
4	MR. BRUCE SMITH: Okay. I don't have any	4	depose and say:	
5	further questions. Thank you, Dr. Reading.	5	That I am the witness named in the foregoing	
6	THE WITNESS: Okay.	6	deposition; that I have read said deposition and know	
7	THE WITHESS. Okay.	7	the contents thereof; that the questions contained	
8	FURTHER EXAMINATION	8	therein were propounded to me; and that the answers	Í
9	BY MR. FEREDAY:	9	contained therein are true and correct, except for any	-
10	Q. I have just a couple of follow-up points,	10	changes that I may have listed on the Errata Sheet	Ì
11	if you would, Dr. Reading	11	attached hereto.	
12	A. Yes.	12	DATED this day of 20	ľ
13	Q clarify.	14	CHANGES ON ERRATA SHEET YES NO	
14	On page 4 of Appendix C, in footnote 1	15	CHANGES ON ERRATA SHEET TESNO	.
15	appears the word "molded."	16		
16	That word should be "modeled"?	1.0	DON READING, PH.D.	
17	A. Should be "modeled," yes.	17		
18	Q. Could we assume that that word is	18	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this	
19	"modeled"?	19	day of 20	
20	A. Yes, that should be changed. And I might	20		
	note that in the older one that we had that I just used	21		İ
	recently, I changed that. And when I asked Mat about	22		
	that, he said, "Oh, I thought you were using some fancy	23		ļ
	economic term." No, it should be "modeled."		NAME OF NOTARY PUBLIC	
25	Q. And on page 6 in Graph 3, the last legend	24	RESIDING AT	
	Q. Thid on page o in Graph 3, the last regend	25	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES	- 1
				_
	Page 127		Page 12	9
1		1 2	FRRATA SHEET FOR DON READING PHID	9
1 2	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera,		ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change	9
2	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct?	3	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads	9
2	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct.	3 4	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read	9
2 3 4	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions.	3 4 5	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change	9
2 3 4 5	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.)	3 4 5 6	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change Reads	9
2 3 4 5 6	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.) (Signature requested.)	3 4 5 6	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change	9
2 3 4 5	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.)	3 4 5 6 7 8	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change	9
2 3 4 5 6 7	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.) (Signature requested.)	3 4 5 6 7 8	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read	9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.) (Signature requested.)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change	9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.) (Signature requested.)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change Reads	9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.) (Signature requested.)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read Should Read	9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.) (Signature requested.)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change	9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.) (Signature requested.)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read Should Read	9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.) (Signature requested.)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change	9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.) (Signature requested.)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change Reads	9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.) (Signature requested.) -oOo-	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read	9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.) (Signature requested.) -oOo-	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change	9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.) (Signature requested.) -oOo-	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change	9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.) (Signature requested.) -oOo-	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read	9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.) (Signature requested.) -oOo-	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change	9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.) (Signature requested.) -oOo-	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read	9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.) (Signature requested.) -oOo-	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read	9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on that, "Eagle Area of Impact less EWC," et cetera, "plus MC," you mean to say "M3" there; correct? A. That would be also correct. MR. FEREDAY: Okay. No further questions. (Deposition concluded at 4:31 p.m.) (Signature requested.) -oOo-	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ERRATA SHEET FOR DON READING, PH.D. PageLineReason for Change Reads Should Read PageLineReason for Change Reads	9

	İ	Page 130
	1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
-	2	I, JEFF LaMAR, CSR No. 640, Certified Shorthand
	3	Reporter, certify:
	4	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
	5	me at the time and place therein set forth, at which
	6	time the witness was put under oath by me.
	7	That the testimony and all objections made were
	8	recorded stenographically by me and transcribed by me
	9	or under my direction.
	10	That the foregoing is a true and correct record
	11	of all testimony given, to the best of my ability.
	12	I further certify that I am not a relative or
	13	employee of any attorney or party, nor am I financially
I	14	interested in the action.
l	15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I set my hand and seal this
	16	30th day of September, 2011.
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	JEFF LaMAR, CSR NO. 640
	22	Notary Public
	23	Eagle, Idaho 83616
	24	My commission expires December 30, 2011
	25	
1		

	124.4 124.11		108:17, 108:20	47:21, 59:15
A	124:4, 124:11	answering 83:16	· '	70:15, 106:20
200.00	afternoon 66:9	108:6	108:24, 110:3	107:5
ability 99:25	66:11	answers 128:8	110:12	[
130:11	age 75:24	anticipated 5:24	approaches 105:3	assuming 20:15
above-entitled 2:7	agents 61:5	6:4, 25:15	appropriate 64:5	33:7, 51:2, 53:13
absolutely 82:8	ago 11:19, 11:20	anticipation 36:18	approve 30:24	94:16, 117:22
83:22, 114:8	11:20, 21:8, 45:6	anybody 8:13	April 5:21, 10:19	122:21
124:13	45:7, 46:24, 51:6	70:22, 107:3	24:10, 31:13	assumption 50:16
absurdity 80:7	82:16, 91:22	anyway 43:7 anyways 70:15	area 4:24, 15:6	62:15, 119:17
, ~	accept 35:15 123:18, 123:18		16:16, 20:2, 28:10	122:2
1	35:16, 52:10, 53:1 agree 43:14, 44:9		44:11, 55:25, 57:2	assumptions
53:8, 53:10, 69:11	44:13, 44:16	27:9, 91:16	57:3, 60:16, 60:21	48:21
acceptable 57:24	49:14, 52:20	apparent 120:9	60:24, 63:4, 72:4	attached 108:13
accepted 73:11	53:11, 55:22	apparently 19:4	72:6, 72:6, 72:18	128:11
account 37:11	55:24, 56:15, 58:8	APPEARANCES	75:25, 98:10	attachment 108:2
accurate 19:16	58:10, 61:10, 77:9	2:9, 3:1	98:13, 99:11	attempt 61:3
19:19, 42:10, 44:5	77:11, 77:14	appearing 7:11	99:18, 99:23	attempted 99:24
44:5, 47:15, 59:13	124:17, 125:17	appears 126:15	127:1	102:2
accurately 34:12	agreed 73:18	Appendices 46:11	areas 16:24, 72:23	attempting 69:10
52:22	77:16	Appendix 7:19	arithmetic 30:1	attended 10:10
acknowledge	agreement 31:7	7:22, 7:23, 7:25	art 90:5	attention 45:10
30:24	31:9, 31:18, 31:21	8:1, 8:2, 24:16	article 4:14, 5:18	attorney 3:4
acquire 44:12	33:19, 36:8, 40:22	25:13, 26:12	5:20, 5:22, 14:10	91:24, 130:13
acronym 91:23	41:11	29:14, 43:3, 44:15	22:1, 22:16, 23:3	audible 101:10
Act 9:19	Alan 2:23, 27:24	46:7, 46:15, 49:5	23:5, 23:11, 24:5	audio 97:22
action 130:14	66:2, 66:3	51:17, 52:25, 56:9	24:10, 24:20	available 29:15
Active 4:24	amount 40:13	57:6, 59:19, 60:12	articles 60:2	38:3, 58:24, 66:12
activity 60:17	47:1, 47:3	65:17, 71:18	aside 110:17	66:15, 114:13
actual 13:14, 56:2	analysis 6:5, 27:19	71:24, 75:2, 78:16	asked 44:20, 47:12	1
102:5, 114:2	27:23, 30:24	88:3, 88:16, 89:14	61:24, 64:24	average 54:25
Ada 90:19, 100:21	66:23, 86:2, 87:12	115:13, 115:17	66:20, 67:24, 70:5	56:15, 57:12
Ada/Canyon 57:2	87:16, 87:21	126:14	77:21, 112:18	57:23, 63:18
add 95:14	89:20, 89:22	applicable 37:19	126:22	63:23, 108:11
added 86:6, 111:2	89:24, 91:7, 93:19	79:12, 98:10	asking 40:24, 52:1	108:12, 108:14
120:25, 121:2	108:3, 108:21	98:17, 99:11	60:20, 71:2, 73:25	109:17, 110:21
adding 103:8	109:2, 109:6	100:9, 100:15	75:19, 108:6	110:21, 110:24
Additional 35:12	113:2, 117:2	application 1:4	assign 77:21	111:1, 111:3
adjust 34:21, 43:2	119:23, 125:20	5:25, 6:7, 7:18	assigned 1:7	111:19
adjusted 103:7	analyze 104:23	36:12	36:12	averaging 111:6
adjusting 103:15	analyzed 104:18	applications 67:23	assisted 8:3	111:8, 116:11
adjustments 88:17	105:2, 105:14	applied 52:8, 79:9	assume 19:20	
administered 36:3	annexation 79:21	applies 39:19	30:1, 33:2, 40:23	В
36:3	annual 16:5	53:17, 122:22	42:16, 48:25	
admit 10:2, 10:6	113:15	apply 86:3, 123:6	60:12, 61:5, 61:21	back 12:23, 17:9
31:19	answer 11:18	applying 96:25	75:25, 81:3, 95:18	22:24, 22:25
adopted 31:21	20:3, 26:10, 41:6	approach 34:19	106:19, 107:19	24:19, 26:16
affect 33:6, 40:4	44:21, 60:21	57:18, 85:6, 86:3	111:9, 112:21	27:24, 28:7, 28:15
76:19, 89:1, 89:9	61:17, 62:5, 62:6	87:7, 87:7, 87:13	119:14, 120:4	29:13, 40:2, 42:11
107:18, 120:21	63:15, 64:9, 75:20	87:16, 87:18	125:6, 126:18	43:18, 54:10
123:4, 123:11	79:2, 109:16	87:21, 107:24	assumed 43:3	60:14, 61:24
,	,			

		ading, Ph.D. 9/2	-	
64:25, 65:2, 65:13	best 9:5, 29:6	66:17, 68:14, 70:7	24:5, 93:4	Certified 2:5
67:11, 81:9, 82:1			calls 61:14	130:2
1 ' '	82:6, 83:13, 92:12 58:23, 66:17, 71:4		CAMP 99:3, 99:4	certify 130:3
102:4, 103:25 72:11, 72:13		110:18, 110:20	99:18, 102:15	130:12
106:6, 107:1 99:25, 115:9		121:22, 121:25	102:16, 105:24	cetera 12:4, 17:13
109:19, 113:21	130:11	126:4	106:3	23:13, 33:20
116:22, 119:5	bets 119:14	Bucyrus-Erie	canal 37:24	65:17, 71:14
125:5, 125:22	better 43:24	82:15	candid 41:7, 73:14	75:16, 127:1
backed 43:4	67:21, 73:18	build 43:24, 47:4	Canyon 90:19	cfs 15:10, 52:5
background 19:15	75:17, 76:9, 78:5	64:15, 82:21	100:20, 100:20	52:7, 52:8, 52:21
74:8	79:22	84:22, 84:24	100:21	chance 66:16
bad 5:20, 24:6	beyond 10:17	85:16, 103:23	care 66:18	change 5:8, 34:20
bag 124:4	48:4, 95:8, 96:8	123:24	career 81:14	34:21, 43:25, 44:1
Baird 4:11, 28:18	big 65:6, 82:18	builders 84:24	cartridges 46:18	53:12, 62:18
87:3	100:12, 100:13	building 60:16	case 18:4, 36:8	62:22, 82:22, 89:3
Bannock 2:14	bigger 99:18	61:1, 82:18, 85:3	61:8, 63:12, 77:21	106:8, 107:19
2:20	100:17, 100:18	buildings 56:2	cases 93:2, 93:3	120:12, 129:2
base 11:8, 42:25	biggest 5:21	Buildout 5:5	category 15:22	129:5, 129:8
80:3, 80:4, 88:14	24:11	build-out 20:19	19:10	129:11, 129:14
based 43:13, 44:3	bill 68:3	20:19, 21:11, 43:2	cause 7:3	129:17, 129:20
47:3, 48:21, 49:20	bit 14:21, 76:4	43:10, 44:2, 52:5	CD 45:9, 45:24	changed 13:9
53:4, 53:12, 59:11	86:16	52:6, 54:12, 98:6	census 15:2, 18:7	126:20, 126:22
60:9, 63:5, 63:8	black 103:2	103:19, 106:2	18:10, 18:11	changes 124:24
64:12, 64:23, 83:2	BLM 8:19	106:5, 116:17	18:15, 18:19	128:10, 128:14
87:8, 88:23, 89:22	boats 124:5	116:22	19:21, 19:23	Chapter 5:11
94:22, 105:11	Boise 2:4, 2:16	built 33:10, 43:8	29:15, 58:24	characterization
107:13, 108:2	2:21, 3:8, 57:2	43:9, 43:12, 48:13	59:11, 101:7	68:9
108:9, 109:5	57:8, 101:8, 105:8	85:1, 106:21	102:3, 102:5	characterize
111:21	bold 29:8	Buxton 2:18, 4:10	107:13, 113:3	19:14
basing 63:8, 107:6	BOR 8:17	buy 39:15, 123:24	114:6	CHARTERED
109:3	bottom 28:8, 29:8	123:25, 124:1	certain 24:2	2:18
Baxter 3:5, 13:11	32:20, 42:5, 57:7	124:2	43:10, 44:7, 73:1	charts 4:20, 71:14
13:13, 22:19, 45:4	91:6, 93:25		76:13, 76:24	check 10:20, 18:18
45:18, 50:11	119:22, 124:23	C	78:25, 89:15	31:15, 33:1, 111:4
61:14, 64:3, 68:8	bought 82:15		106:20, 114:19	111:13, 118:1
70:7, 70:21, 110:7	boundaries 41:4	C.S.R 1:21	114:20	checking 42:7
110:11, 110:15	Box 2:15, 3:7	calculate 80:22	certainly 17:4	46:5
121:18	boy 65:1, 105:11	114:21, 121:6	37:5, 42:3, 42:22	Chinden 123:17
becoming 123:8	105:21, 105:25	calculated 118:14	43:9, 52:18, 62:23	choice 57:17, 59:2
beginning 77:17	break 54:7	calculation 86:22	63:19, 74:8, 75:18	115:9
89:23, 104:20	121:19, 121:23	calculations 52:11	75:19, 76:21	chose 58:16
behalf 2:2	121:24	52:21, 115:20	81:17, 97:20	chosen 58:22
believe 11:6, 14:14	bring 12:17, 14:4	calculus 62:19	97:25, 102:2	Church 3:11
22:9, 27:22, 68:9	71:13	62:22	125:3	14:19, 17:14
74:24, 74:25	brought 12:25	California 39:15	certainty 58:14	20:14, 20:18
believed 97:22	Bruce 2:19, 10:3	39:16	58:25, 76:19	21:13, 33:8, 33:13
97:23	26:24, 27:9, 27:16	call 8:4, 65:11	97:16, 97:18	42:17, 43:5, 43:11
believes 43:7	27:18, 27:20, 28:1	73:9, 75:7, 76:7	97:20, 98:3	43:21, 44:3, 44:17
beneficial 32:16	28:3, 28:5, 66:1	93:4	CERTIFICATE	83:2, 92:3, 97:21
32:18	66:2, 66:7, 66:8	called 4:13, 14:15	128:1, 130:1	120:10

	10410 10410	0 11 40 24	02 (02 25 05 ((7.10
Church's 11:21	124:10, 124:18	comfortable 48:24	93:6, 93:25, 95:6	67:19
91:25, 98:5	124:25, 125:3	coming 52:21	98:22, 102:8	contained 30:24
circled 26:20	125:4, 125:8	122:10	103:19, 103:22	57:10, 60:1, 64:17
cities 15:3, 26:11	125:19, 125:23	commencing 2:4	106:2	99:19, 128:7
26:15, 35:22, 57:8	city's 27:19, 27:23	comment 42:1	CON00887 5:16	128:9
57:10, 67:22	37:12, 57:11, 85:6	57:7, 64:1, 69:23	concepts 123:2	contains 18:23
79:22, 79:22	85:12, 85:18	69:24, 117:24	concerned 49:23	30:22
100:19	86:10, 86:22, 87:6	125:15	concerning 8:23	contemporary
city 1:7, 2:17, 5:7	87:7, 87:13, 87:16	comments 42:4	concluded 52:5	98:9, 99:10, 100:2
6:6, 7:17, 10:16	87:18, 113:3	81:3, 87:6	95:19, 127:5	contents 128:7
10:18, 11:16	113:13	Commerce 19:5	concludes 113:15	context 21:7
11:17, 11:20	claim 7:20	commercial 24:24	conclusion 61:15	74:17, 91:7
12:12, 13:18, 14:1	clarification 66:21	25:2, 122:8	64:14, 78:16	continued 3:1, 5:1
15:14, 15:15	70:8, 70:15	123:18	110:23	5:17, 6:1, 22:16
15:16, 16:15	clarifies 119:4	commission 35:3	conclusions 88:14	23:3
16:20, 19:3, 19:12	119:21	128:25, 130:24	conditions 59:19	continuing 13:5
25:16, 26:9, 28:13	clarify 13:13, 96:4	commonly 39:4	59:25, 60:16	28:17, 104:6
29:17, 30:5, 31:7	102:20, 126:13	communities 65:7	60:19, 122:4	contract 5:14
33:17, 34:10	clear 11:7, 86:9	community 5:9	122:5, 122:10	5:15, 21:23, 67:1
34:19, 35:21	87:17, 98:20	19:2, 19:4, 19:15	122:13, 123:3	68:25
35:25, 36:3, 36:4	107:5	20:2, 59:16, 64:15	124:3, 124:6	convenient 59:11
36:4, 36:9, 36:11	Cleveland 123:9	comp 102:13	125:7	conversation
36:21, 37:9, 37:21	123:13	106:4, 116:17	confidence 76:14	10:12
37:23, 38:9, 38:11	clock 47:25, 48:1	118:14	confirm 11:7	conversations
40:4, 41:3, 42:17	49:23	companies 37:25	17:19	8:23
43:17, 44:11	close 45:24, 111:6	72:9	conflicted 14:6	cop 38:16
44:21, 47:2, 48:13	111:11	company 4:22	confused 10:7	copied 45:6
50:18, 52:8, 55:21	closely 8:12	83:3, 115:19	confusing 94:17	copies 104:13
56:19, 56:23	114:23	Company's 16:5	confusion 31:19	copy 45:8, 88:4
57:11, 57:19	closest 59:1	16:16	41:7, 95:4	101:13, 104:10
60:25, 61:3, 62:9	cloth 84:14	compared 19:23	conjunction 7:24	117:18
63:3, 63:4, 64:18	coincidence	53:5, 58:7, 112:2	Connection 6:7	CoreLogic 23:10
64:21, 66:9, 78:18	111:12	comparison 58:7	7:17	23:12, 61:1
79:11, 79:20	collect 24:1, 24:1	COMPASS	consensus 33:2	CoreLogic's 23:20
81:13, 82:13	collected 73:23	100:18, 103:1	conservation	correct 7:13, 7:14
83:21, 84:1, 84:8	collective 10:15	104:5, 114:12	39:13	7:18, 10:19, 12:8
84:20, 85:3, 85:9	color 101:13	114:15, 118:2	considered 95:25	12:10, 15:18
85:13, 85:19, 86:1	103:12, 104:10	118:4, 118:16	97:15, 116:3	15:19, 19:7, 20:20
86:3, 86:6, 86:13	colored 28:9	118:20, 119:1	consistent 19:21	22:15, 24:12, 25:3
86:24, 88:19, 93:4	column 54:19	119:8	35:20, 51:19	25:21, 27:14
93:11, 98:23	92:25	compilation 95:14	122:11	28:22, 30:13
102:6, 104:3	come 23:5, 27:6	completely 19:16	constitute 93:17	31:14, 37:1, 37:15
106:16, 106:25	31:4, 32:18, 33:8	19:19, 124:6	construction	40:8, 40:9, 42:18
107:5, 107:24	40:2, 66:1, 77:22	component 38:10	59:16, 62:10, 77:6	47:25, 48:14
110:14, 111:15	81:21, 85:16, 92:6	38:12	86:2, 86:11, 86:14	48:15, 48:16
113:9, 120:22	92:23, 104:4	compound 122:2	consumption 63:9	48:17, 48:19, 49:8
121:1, 121:7	107:23, 110:22	comprehensive	63:17	49:19, 50:4, 50:6
123:4, 123:11	123:24	5:11, 12:16, 20:23	contact 68:15	50:7, 50:9, 50:22
123:12, 123:16	comes 33:12	21:1, 28:10, 35:20	contacted 8:25	51:2, 52:9, 53:6
123:22, 124:9	64:12	72:6, 91:13, 92:18	13:24, 67:2, 67:8	53:12, 56:3, 56:7
ī			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	DON Re-	ading, Ph.D. 9/2	13/2011	
59:1, 59:4, 59:8	criterias 73:1	dates 13:9	demographic	62:19, 96:8
59:9, 60:11, 66:25	critical 62:1	day 26:21, 26:21	15:15, 19:3	describing 19:17
67:3, 67:17, 70:12	119:22, 120:2	128:12, 128:19	Department 1:1	74:12
71:19, 73:7, 74:4	120:17	130:16	2:3, 3:3, 5:15, 7:12	
74:13, 76:25, 77:3	criticism 108:8	days 45:6, 45:7	9:8, 9:25, 10:8	detailed 14:20
77:4, 77:7, 77:19	criticize 107:24	deal 26:11	11:3, 12:9, 12:12	detailing 54:4
78:4, 78:8, 79:5	criticizing 110:13	dealing 24:24	13:23, 13:24, 14:6	determination
82:7, 82:10, 84:16	113:9, 113:12	36:2, 38:20, 63:16	14:17, 15:8, 15:21	59:23, 73:5, 73:6
84:21, 84:22, 85:1	cross 125:23	75:25	19:5, 24:15, 25:10	109:24, 120:21
85:5, 85:13, 85:20	crossed 46:8	dealt 83:16, 88:12	25:18, 25:18, 27:3	determine 16:14
85:24, 86:3, 87:18	CSR 130:2	December 130:24	27:4, 31:9, 41:12	63:17, 87:21
88:12, 89:20, 92:7	130:21	decide 69:10	44:24, 47:1, 47:20	109:2, 112:5
92:22, 94:22, 95:3	curiosity 40:11	73:20, 96:11	59:4, 62:25, 66:24	112:19
96:7, 96:9, 99:15	40:24, 42:2	98:19, 100:12	67:10, 67:14	determined 73:10
107:18, 107:25	curious 29:3, 71:2	101:21, 105:5	67:20, 73:11	94:4, 115:23
108:15, 109:2	current 60:15	115:18	74:19	121:15
109:3, 111:17	122:3	decided 69:21	Department's 8:4	determining 38:10
111:22, 112:13	currently 56:6	82:22, 82:23	8:24, 10:5, 10:13	123:3
115:14, 116:18	customer 38:24	95:10, 100:23	11:15, 16:18	develop 44:6, 58:4
119:12, 121:5	39:4	deciding 55:25	47:20, 49:7, 52:4	59:21, 64:22
126:2, 127:2	customers 41:3	decipher 25:24	62:16	119:24
127:3, 128:9		decision 62:24	depend 52:22	developed 43:20
130:10	D	111:10, 111:14	89:2, 112:8	61:22, 65:8, 85:10
corrected 36:10		decision-making	125:21	93:1, 97:24, 97:25
41:9, 68:11	dangerous 106:10	125:24	dependent 86:13	developers 35:22
correctly 42:24	data 4:22, 7:25	decline 5:17	depending 44:4	developing 47:10
48:8, 53:13, 108:7	15:14, 15:16, 18:7	22:17, 23:3	58:6, 107:21	48:3, 67:20
Council 81:12	18:10, 18:11	declining 25:7	109:16, 112:4	development 4:13
counties 4:16	18:15, 18:23, 19:3	38:24, 39:3	depends 77:13	13:19, 14:11, 15:5
15:3, 56:22, 57:5	19:14, 19:15	decrease 25:1	99:16	33:10, 33:19
100:19	19:23, 20:4, 23:25	Define 42:22	depicted 98:18	35:12, 43:20, 44:6
counting 57:12	34:17, 58:23	defined 32:16	100:10, 116:7	44:19, 47:2, 47:10
57:15, 57:16	59:24, 60:10, 61:2	definite 69:13	depose 128:4	47:24, 48:3, 54:5
115:5, 115:7	65:16, 72:12	definitely 90:7	deposition 1:14	62:3, 63:13, 64:19
country 122:23	73:23, 90:17, 93:2	definitive 75:20	2:1, 7:16, 11:9	65:6, 83:17, 83:25
counts 22:14	93:9, 94:19, 98:22	123:15	12:18, 13:2, 13:6	85:11, 85:14, 98:4
county 20:7, 57:3	102:5, 104:1	definitively	13:9, 13:20, 17:17	119:24, 120:5
100:21, 100:21	106:18, 113:3	123:20	44:25, 45:12	120:12, 120:19
125:24	114:6, 120:3	degree 76:13	45:17, 45:20	123:17
County's 90:19	124:18	76:19, 80:14	50:12, 51:3, 54:17	developments
couple 30:6, 70:9	datas 18:20	delayed 120:3	127:5, 128:6	44:1, 44:8
81:16, 88:6, 88:10	date 31:11, 31:13	delete 115:18	128:6	difference 55:15
126:10	43:3, 48:13, 48:16	delve 75:23	depositions 44:25	82:9, 83:19, 84:5
course 78:14	58:16, 59:2, 60:6	demand 38:13	Depot 39:21	differences 45:1
court 31:22, 36:8	85:24, 86:10	40:5, 40:7, 77:3	derived 29:4, 53:7	83:23
cranking 16:2	86:11, 120:13	90:20, 90:21	describe 9:6	different 15:6
106:13	120:15, 120:17	90:24, 102:21	17:10, 23:2, 32:7	15:25, 18:19
creating 114:24	120:18, 120:20	123:9	93:18	18:20, 19:20, 37:7
crises 82:16	dated 4:11, 22:3	demands 37:10	described 12:13	37:20, 39:17
criteria 30:13	24:12, 128:12	38:10, 102:18	21:22, 60:10	57:21, 57:22
t .	l	1	1	1

	Don Reading, Ph.D. 9/23/2011			
75:21, 75:21	64:1, 65:16, 67:25	4:22, 5:7, 5:9, 5:11	125:3, 125:4	electrical 34:24
75:22, 78:1, 88:18	71:14, 75:9, 75:9	6:6, 7:17, 10:16	125:9, 125:19	electricity 76:24
90:11, 109:14	76:18, 92:10	10:18, 11:16	127:1, 130:23	77:7
118:23, 119:10	92:16, 111:8	11:17, 11:21, 12:4	Eagle's 20:23	eliminated 117:3
differently 44:7	112:25, 121:18	12:5, 12:6, 12:7	57:12, 107:24	embedded 57:20
difficult 26:11	dollars 82:20	12:13, 13:19, 14:1	earlier 8:20, 9:14	employee 130:13
26:13, 120:6	Don 1:14, 2:1, 4:3	14:15, 15:6, 15:15	11:2, 11:4, 11:6	empty 61:4
dilute 58:12	4:9, 7:1, 7:8, 52:24	15:16, 16:4, 16:15	19:11, 20:15	endeavors 74:13
direction 9:7	121:18, 128:1	16:16, 16:20	20:17, 21:19	ended 4:23
130:9	128:3, 128:16	17:13, 18:7, 19:3	25:17, 40:2, 43:6	ends 50:3
directly 26:10	129:1	19:13, 20:2, 21:1	44:20, 61:13	energy 82:16
Director 8:16, 9:2	dots 102:4, 103:2	25:16, 28:14	61:25, 62:10	Engineering 4:22
10:11, 64:7, 68:7	double 57:12	29:17, 30:5, 31:8	64:17, 64:18	entered 31:7, 31:9
68:16, 68:21, 69:4	57:15, 57:16	33:17, 36:3, 36:4	73:24, 81:2, 81:3	entire 99:14
69:8, 69:22	115:5, 115:7	36:4, 36:9, 36:11	92:17, 106:9	entities 16:15
discovered 51:7	double-check 29:2	36:13, 36:16	106:12, 110:9	16:21, 37:24
discrete 84:16	29:13, 30:1, 99:3	36:21, 36:21, 37:9	114:2	73:25, 75:22, 84:3
84:18, 85:14	104:15	37:21, 37:23, 40:4	early 45:21, 60:13	90:12, 96:3
discuss 8:13, 73:2	Dr 12:25, 17:15	41:1, 41:11, 41:18	68:16, 125:5	entitled 22:16
discussed 51:22	18:1, 20:18, 21:13	41:21, 41:23	easier 74:25	environment 80:8
71:1, 81:1	22:9, 22:25, 28:8	43:17, 56:19	easily 125:24	equally 62:13
discussion 9:4	44:17, 46:24	56:23, 57:8, 57:19	East 2:3, 3:6	122:22, 122:24
12:21, 17:8, 22:23	52:20, 54:11, 66:8	60:16, 60:21	economic 15:16	equals 33:11
28:6, 40:2, 65:23	70:8, 96:4, 109:19	60:24, 61:4, 62:10	59:19, 59:25, 60:3	Errata 128:10
69:19, 71:15	110:4, 123:1	63:4, 66:9, 85:3	63:10, 63:25	128:14, 129:1
72:10, 72:20	126:5, 126:11	86:6, 88:19, 91:13	122:3, 122:9	error 103:8
discussions 8:1	draft 5:24, 6:6	92:17, 93:24	122:13, 122:20	103:16
10:2, 10:4, 53:22	11:2, 11:4, 11:6	98:17, 98:24, 99:6	123:2, 123:7	especially 26:12
72:1, 72:5, 85:22	25:17, 27:17	99:20, 99:24	124:3, 124:6	52:14
displayed 75:20	44:24, 45:10	100:10, 100:15	125:7, 125:24	essence 107:24
dispute 43:22	45:22, 98:23	100:16, 100:22	126:24	estate 61:5
distribution 76:23	108:3	100:25, 101:7	economics 12:6	estimate 29:16
diversion 63:3	drafts 71:10	102:4, 102:6	20:13, 21:6, 65:15	
document 5:10	71:13	102:8, 102:15	economist 7:10	32:25, 60:7, 61:3
7:16, 7:20, 10:23	drive 61:4	102:19, 103:6	8:19, 9:3, 61:21	63:20, 71:4, 74:20
11:24, 14:3, 15:14	drop 5:22, 24:11	104:3, 104:5	65:1, 67:10, 67:13	98:5, 103:22
15:20, 16:7, 17:18	98:7	105:12, 106:1	economy 22:2	estimated 32:24
17:25, 18:2, 25:9	dropped 8:16	106:3, 106:17	24:18, 123:8	52:24
28:18, 30:25	dual 38:1, 38:2	110:14, 111:1	edits 71:11	estimates 5:10
31:11, 31:13	Duces 4:8	111:16, 114:2	educated 75:17	44:3, 81:21, 91:25
71:22, 71:23	duly 7:2, 128:3	114:11, 115:19	education 75:16 effect 75:4	estimating 78:5 78:6, 119:23
110:1	duplicate 66:19	116:13, 116:17	i -	et 12:4, 17:13
documentation	DWR 5:16	118:14, 120:22 121:1, 121:7	effort 8:5, 10:15 47:19	23:13, 33:20
43:23	dyslexic 51:13	•	eight 101:21	65:17, 71:14
documents 11:8		122:19, 122:22 123:4, 123:11	eight 101.21 either 16:10	75:16, 127:1
12:3, 12:5, 12:17	E	123:13, 123:16	45:20, 58:5, 97:15	ethic 39:13
13:1, 14:19, 91:10	Eagle 1:6, 1:7, 2:2	123:13, 123:10	120:13	Evaluation 6:6
92:20, 110:10	2:10, 2:17, 2:25	123.22, 124.1	elasticity 39:23	7:17
110:22 doing 11:14, 14:16	4:12, 4:15, 4:21	124:18, 124:25	electric 76:23	evaluations 20:18
uomg 11.14, 14.10	1.12, 1.13, 7.21	12 1.10, 12 1.20		

			23/2011	
evenly 124:5	32:2, 35:23, 36:15	extra 80:21	126:9, 127:4	follows 7:3
eventually 83:8	38:23, 40:18	extrapolating	figure 51:4	follow-up 126:10
everybody 105:25	43:16, 44:23	79:16, 79:17	105:13, 116:1	fool 70:18
evidence 64:13	44:24, 44:25, 45:2		119:6, 123:1	foothills 4:12
64:24, 64:25	45:2, 45:3, 49:5	F	figures 23:20	14:11, 38:3, 38:5
EWC 4:24, 127:1	49:6, 49:7, 49:10		file 12:1	footnote 30:22
exactly 17:20	51:3, 51:20, 51:21	fact 4:15, 14:15	filed 10:17, 10:18	31:3, 38:14, 42:4
39:21, 40:9, 45:16	51:23, 52:1, 52:2	25:22, 32:9, 45:10	10:19, 91:24	42:7, 52:24
69:17, 96:7	52:13, 52:14, 53:3	75:1, 87:8, 90:13	final 29:20, 45:8	126:14
Examination 4:4	53:6, 53:16, 53:20	115:7, 117:13	45:25, 46:5, 73:9	force 30:10
4:5, 7:5, 66:6	54:3, 54:13, 54:18	117:17, 125:2	finalized 69:2	forecast 5:13, 26:7
126:8	54:19, 62:19	factor 62:1	financially 130:13	26:9, 26:14, 26:18
examine 9:3	94:10	factors 77:21	find 14:5, 23:20	34:12, 59:13, 71:5
98:20, 112:19	exhibits 4:7, 5:3	79:14, 82:11	31:3, 34:7, 46:8	77:23, 77:25, 83:1
123:15	6:3, 12:13, 12:22	95:21, 95:23	99:8, 101:23	83:10, 83:11
examined 98:17	13:2, 17:16, 46:20	111:17	105:8, 105:17	96:20, 97:14
99:1, 100:10	60:1, 60:18, 94:5	fair 60:6, 106:24	finding 57:25	97:17, 98:8, 98:17
example 72:1	existing 37:24	119:17	findings 14:7	99:3, 99:4, 99:20
84:21, 93:11	41:3, 59:19, 98:9	fairly 98:21	fire 41:18	99:23, 100:17
99:18, 123:14	98:16, 99:10	faith 79:15, 96:20	firm 23:12	100:18, 100:22
examples 81:7	100:1, 100:9	familiar 9:18, 9:21	firmly 43:7	104:6, 105:5
123:15	exists 61:23	9:24, 10:6, 39:5	firms 61:6	105:24, 106:3
exchanged 71:12	expectation 62:11	familiarization	first 7:2, 8:25	112:9, 112:9
exclamation 30:25	85:17	19:12	9:15, 13:5, 14:2	112:10, 112:24
exclude 16:23	expectations 85:2	familiarize 15:24	15:23, 20:17	114:12, 114:13
exclusively 71:18	expected 9:7	familiarized 16:9	23:10, 30:8, 36:24	114:14, 114:18
excuse 13:11, 15:1	experience 34:23	fancy 126:23	47:21, 48:12, 69:3	115:4, 116:4
26:24, 27:9, 40:10	35:2, 56:23, 56:24	far 34:13, 71:3	88:6, 91:9, 97:12	117:9, 118:3
74:5, 85:7, 85:8	57:14, 63:16, 74:2	72:21, 83:11	98:9, 98:23	120:11, 122:3
91:16	75:16, 75:24, 76:4	farthest 54:18	103:16, 108:3	forecaster 74:2
exercise 71:6	84:11, 96:11	fast 119:23	108:16, 115:12	forecasting 67:16
115:2	experienced 56:18	faster 57:3	116:16, 128:3	74:24, 75:10
exhibit 7:16, 8:4	84:2	feel 9:21, 9:24	five 47:21, 48:6	83:20, 96:1, 97:6
10:22, 11:5, 13:6	expired 49:1	60:9, 64:4, 111:4	123:18	105:3
13:20, 13:22, 14:7	expires 48:19	feeling 69:13	five-year 32:15	forecasts 9:3, 10:9
14:9, 14:13, 14:16	128:25, 130:24	feeling/expectatio	104:7	11:21, 29:13, 57:8
14:24, 15:4, 15:7	explain 59:15	65:10	flatten 80:12	57:10, 57:11
15:13, 15:17, 16:3	117:4, 117:13	felt 45:14	flows 65:4	57:19, 57:20
17:10, 17:16	explicitly 9:12	Fereday 2:12, 4:4	focus 10:9, 27:3	57:23, 81:11
17:18, 17:22	explore 49:4	7:6, 12:20, 12:23	38:19, 52:18	81:11, 81:19
17:23, 17:23	exponential 80:6	13:11, 13:12	focused 20:18	98:24, 99:1, 99:24
17:25, 18:3, 18:12	106:12	13:15, 17:6, 17:9	27:5, 71:18, 85:19	100:9, 100:18
18:15, 18:17	expressed 9:25	22:21, 22:24, 27:1	focuses 85:12	101:11, 101:12
18:23, 19:1, 20:12	64:18	27:8, 27:12, 28:4	folder 11:13	101:15, 103:21
21:5, 21:22, 21:25	extend 78:23	28:7, 54:7, 54:10	folks 23:25, 32:17	104:18, 104:23
22:4, 22:25, 24:4	extending 58:20	61:16, 64:9, 65:21	68:17, 93:4	105:1, 106:11
24:9, 24:22, 25:14	extension 34:9	65:24, 66:16	follow 9:7, 9:11	107:10, 111:23
25:21, 27:1, 27:14	34:14	70:20, 71:2, 73:24	76:16	112:1, 112:15
27:16, 27:25, 28:9	extent 24:2, 44:7	75:13, 83:15	following 9:8, 9:11	112:22, 112:23
29:1, 29:20, 30:3	52:25, 60:4	85:23, 115:3	65:15, 111:25	116:12, 120:6
			l	<u> </u>

	2011 1101	ading, Ph.D. 9/2	23/2011	
foregoing 128:5	64:25, 65:9, 69:18	103:19, 103:25	growers 123:10	71:25
130:4, 130:10	71:15, 72:5, 72:10	106:6, 107:1	growth 5:7, 12:4	handing 117:21
form 110:7	72:20, 73:17, 74:1	107:22, 113:21	15:3, 18:7, 26:16	handwritten
forth 53:3, 55:20	74:4, 74:6, 74:7	116:2, 119:5	29:16, 56:15	25:20, 25:25, 32:5
56:7, 130:5	74:13, 76:1, 76:2	goes 20:6, 20:14	56:16, 56:18	32:12, 35:24
forward 34:18	79:23, 84:13	62:16, 101:8	56:23, 57:25, 79:4	40:17
62:17, 72:12	85:13, 86:20	123:9	79:7, 79:15, 79:23	Hang 28:3, 91:12
106:9	95:24, 97:6, 98:15	going 12:6, 15:24	79:24, 80:4, 80:6	91:14
found 103:8	105:9, 106:1	19:13, 21:7, 24:17	80:10, 80:22, 81:4	happen 26:14
four 11:20, 116:11	112:22, 123:6	26:14, 27:6, 30:20	83:6, 84:2, 85:13	44:21, 93:10
117:5, 123:17	124:3, 125:25	39:7, 39:22, 56:17	85:14, 85:19	124:8
frame 65:18, 83:8	generalized 84:20	56:22, 59:20	86:22, 105:12	happened 83:6
frames 120:8	85:18, 123:2	60:20, 61:14, 64:3	106:12, 106:14	83:9, 83:9
framework 89:24	generally 15:23	66:19, 68:8, 69:11	107:6, 108:10	happening 39:2
frankly 119:5	35:9, 75:2	72:11, 82:24, 83:3	109:14, 112:1	60:24
French 123:9	generic 63:15	83:4, 84:22, 86:19	112:2, 113:15	happens 39:4
123:13	Geographical	88:4, 98:20	114:12, 114:21	44:4, 79:24
fries 123:9, 123:13	88:7	102:10, 103:4	114:24, 116:8	hard 24:1
front 2:3, 3:6	getting 39:23	103:24, 106:9	117:23, 118:3	hat 39:12
17:24, 63:23	48:23, 68:1, 80:4	109:19, 110:7	120:18, 120:22	head 16:1, 49:25
86:17	GIS 16:14	113:8, 120:14	121:4, 122:2	heard 74:16
full 43:2, 43:9	give 37:4, 71:4	120:14, 120:18	122:7, 122:19	97:21
54:12, 63:13	81:7, 84:21, 93:11	120:19, 123:21	123:22, 124:11	hearing 18:3, 43:6
64:15, 97:13	104:5, 104:6	124:8, 125:13	124:13, 124:14	54:3, 97:22
fully 10:2, 10:6	104:6	good 5:19, 19:15	124:24, 125:5	hedge 119:14 He'll 70:17
33:10, 43:12	given 25:22, 43:21	24:6, 37:22, 40:19	125:13, 125:18	help 14:4, 115:25
48:13, 64:21	44:19, 45:18, 63:6	66:9, 78:2, 81:25	guess 21:3, 26:4 26:16, 38:16, 40:9	117:17
functions 54:4	65:15, 73:3, 75:16	gotten 46:20	43:15, 47:13, 49:3	hereto 128:11
further 21:23	115:13, 120:17	Governments 81:12	62:1, 62:4, 62:6	high 105:11
30:17, 32:20	122:3, 125:6		62:24, 63:15	105:23, 106:2
43:23, 46:21	130:11	grabbed 45:9 graph 98:18	64:21, 65:9, 65:11	106:5, 107:9
54:24, 75:3, 126:5	GIVENS 2:11	100:10, 101:2	65:13, 65:13	116:3, 116:8
126:8, 127:4	giving 48:23	101:16, 101:22	65:14, 67:21	116:23
130:12	glean 41:25, 42:3 Global 23:7, 23:8	101:16, 101:22	71:12, 73:18, 75:8	higher 107:3
future 5:24, 6:4 25:15, 26:16	go 12:20, 12:23	121:11, 124:22	75:14, 75:15	highway 77:5
37:14, 38:12	13:2, 17:6, 17:9	126:25	75:17, 75:17, 78:5	hire 69:12, 82:21
future-needs	18:5, 22:21, 22:24	graphs 4:20, 5:8	78:12, 92:3	hired 11:21, 66:24
90:25	22:25, 23:17	71:14	102:14, 125:15	70:23, 74:15
70.23	24:19, 27:24, 28:4	grappling 34:5	gun 82:15	91:24
	28:15, 29:13, 32:2	gray 76:4	guy 22:14	hiring 83:4
G	35:19, 39:21, 40:1	greater 39:13	guys 22:7	Hispanic 4:17
gallons 26:20	40:20, 42:11, 46:4	56:23, 60:4, 97:16	O V	history 10:4, 10:5
26:21	49:10, 54:8, 60:25	112:10	Н	44:8, 75:25, 76:3
GARRICK 3:5	61:2, 61:24, 65:1	green 99:21		105:12
gather 41:25	65:21, 67:11, 75:3	grips 27:6, 32:19	hacking 121:20	holder 44:10
general 3:4, 9:4	82:19, 83:13	40:3	hair 76:4	Holt 3:10, 97:11
11:25, 14:18	84:22, 86:15	group 100:13	hand 26:15	home 5:17, 5:17
16:13, 19:11	86:19, 88:4, 88:5	100:24, 110:21	130:15	5:19, 5:21, 22:16
39:22, 60:2, 60:19	90:20, 91:5	grow 57:3	handed 16:19	24:6, 24:11, 39:21
				<u> </u>

2016	50 514 152	00.2 00.0 107.01	125.22	02.2 09.5 120.10
honestly 30:16	5:9, 5:14, 15:3	89:3, 89:9, 107:21	125:23	92:2, 98:5, 120:10
hoops 35:21	19:2, 19:4, 19:5	incorrectly 22:13	interest 14:1	judgment 60:8
hoping 17:21	19:15, 20:2, 20:13	82:13	interested 67:20	60:9, 75:7, 75:18
horizon 34:6, 34:8	21:6, 22:1, 24:5	increase 80:5	130:14	75:19, 76:7, 76:8
34:20, 35:7, 35:10	25:18, 25:18, 27:2	increases 78:4	interim 119:16	76:9, 76:10, 76:12
35:10, 47:4, 47:25	35:3, 39:19, 56:16	78:6	Internet 12:2	111:3, 124:16
48:5, 48:18, 49:18	56:21, 57:4, 60:5	increasingly 123:8	22:16, 23:7, 23:18	jump 35:22, 125:5
50:3, 50:18, 50:22	81:10, 81:12	increment 55:16	23:19	125:13
52:7, 53:5, 58:17	82:14, 99:5	increments 104:7	interpretation	June 24:12, 24:13
58:19, 61:11	123:10, 124:20	104:8	72:13, 89:17	46:1
61:21, 62:15	130:23	independently	94:20, 97:1	
62:17, 72:18	Idaho's 5:21	86:6, 87:20	interrupting	K
72:22, 73:4, 73:6	24:10	indicate 110:9	27:10	
73:8, 73:11, 73:21	idea 67:7, 93:15	indicated 9:1, 39:1	interview 69:13	Keen 8:6, 8:7, 8:8
73:22, 74:17	identified 64:4	67:2	invalid 109:13	8:9, 68:13, 115:22
74:20, 78:17, 90:3	70:10	indicating 15:5	invested 82:20	keep 51:23
90:5, 90:9, 90:10	identify 63:2	22:8, 22:12	investigate 63:19	106:13, 121:20
90:11, 91:4, 92:24	63:11	117:25	involved 9:20	Keller 24:23
93:13, 94:1, 94:2	IDWR 30:23	indication 125:2	52:11	Kelly 8:6
95:11, 95:18	32:17, 40:24	individual 95:15	irrigation 37:8	key 96:22, 104:21
96:15, 98:3	41:20, 68:17, 71:6	individuals 8:11	37:13, 37:21	kind 11:23, 24:18
109:24, 109:25	90:19	indoor 39:8, 53:17	37:23, 37:24, 38:5	38:1, 40:12, 60:17
horizons 34:25	IEA 101:8, 104:2	industrial 24:24	38:10, 38:12	60:23, 61:6, 66:23
35:10, 74:1, 74:10	105:8, 118:13	inflection 80:9	39:25, 40:3	76:5, 83:2, 83:14
75:21, 77:18	illuminate 32:21	80:10	issue 38:7, 41:1	83:23, 83:25
78:14, 91:6, 93:18	IMAP 92:5, 92:7	information 14:20	41:8, 41:21, 41:23	84:15, 87:12
96:6, 109:20	92:7, 92:8, 93:21	23:14, 37:13	119:22	103:1
hospital 81:11	impact 39:23, 72:7	60:15, 60:23, 73:3	issued 61:12	kinds 34:24, 39:17
house 123:24	123:10, 123:12	79:19, 90:18	61:23, 62:3, 69:19	57:23, 63:5, 65:5
123:25	127:1	95:17, 100:25	issues 64:5, 74:16	72:2, 84:10, 84:12
household 27:4	implicitly 9:13	118:16, 124:19	item 95:12	knew 39:2, 67:11
29:25, 39:7, 54:25	inability 34:12	125:18, 125:20	items 95:15	know 12:1, 15:23
94:18	inaccurate 47:6	125:22, 126:1		19:22, 20:4, 20:25
households 5:6	inappropriate	informed 76:8	J	21:16, 23:8, 23:24
95:2	58:3	76:9		32:8, 32:24, 38:25
houses 61:4, 65:4	inception 68:24	infrastructure	Jack 67:4, 67:11	39:1, 39:11, 43:5
84:22, 84:24	include 38:12	32:15, 84:9	68:17, 69:11	45:22, 46:5, 46:13
84:25, 85:4	46:10, 78:14	initial 29:5	January 14:10	49:1, 52:10, 52:11
housing 5:5, 5:10	100:19, 102:2	input 11:17, 12:12	22:3	56:1, 60:22, 62:6
17:12, 23:4, 23:13	included 17:16	53:25, 69:22	Jason 3:12, 22:7	62:7, 67:13, 71:1
55:9, 60:17, 60:19	18:11, 37:13, 38:9	inquire 21:23	28:2, 66:2	72:7, 72:7, 72:24
65:4, 122:8	57:11, 58:12	instance 32:23	Jeff 1:21, 2:5	73:14, 81:15
123:19	99:17, 101:24	39:14, 46:6, 99:2	66:20, 70:19	82:16, 84:9, 93:12
huh 42:1	109:9	100:18, 101:17	130:2, 130:21	93:23, 95:15, 96:7
	includes 104:9	102:3, 118:2	JEFFREY 2:12	104:25, 105:10
I	including 58:10	integrated 35:4	job 67:15, 81:25	106:18, 107:7
	58:11	123:7, 123:8	John 3:11, 11:21	110:2, 113:20
Idaho 1:2, 2:2, 2:4	inclusive 121:9	interdependence	14:19, 17:13	124:4, 128:6
2:7, 2:16, 2:21	Incorporated 4:17	123:23	20:14, 43:5, 43:10	knowledge 64:12
2:25, 3:3, 3:8, 4:18	_	interdependent	43:21, 44:2, 91:25	74:2, 95:25, 97:6
1 =:==, =:=, =:=, ::=0			·	· · · · ·

		ading, Fil.D. 3/2		1000 1000
120:16	lines 54:24, 101:7	106:11, 106:18	116:3, 116:9	108:8, 108:9
known 7:16, 9:19	101:16, 125:24	107:2, 110:1	lower 29:18, 29:19	malaise 60:3
67:12, 103:9	list 18:15, 29:2	111:23, 112:5	56:18, 58:11	122:20, 122:22
Kuna 57:8, 118:12	93:16, 98:22	112:24, 113:21	58:12, 105:25	management 61:6
118:17, 124:1	101:6	114:1, 115:11	lowest 99:21	manufacturer
124:19	listed 49:13, 90:19	118:16, 123:16	102:17	82:14
	91:5, 92:24, 93:24	123:19, 124:6	low-flush 39:15	manufacturers
L	98:24, 128:10	124:14, 124:22		39:17
	listening 43:5	looked 9:15, 11:3	M	manufacturing
Labor 19:6	lists 28:19, 95:18	12:15, 14:3, 41:13		39:14
lack 64:12	101:11	45:5, 45:5, 45:19	M3 1:6, 2:2, 2:10	map 4:19, 15:5
LaMar 1:21, 2:5	little 9:20, 24:16	77:18, 82:6, 91:13	4:15, 11:22, 13:19	91:11, 91:18
130:2, 130:21	65:14, 76:4, 86:16	91:18, 92:9, 92:10	14:14, 14:15	91:20, 91:23
land 79:21	live 65:15	93:3, 94:6, 95:9	14:19, 15:5, 17:13	91:24, 91:25, 92:5
landlocked 79:22	LLC 1:6, 2:2, 2:10	98:24, 100:8	20:14, 21:11	maps 4:20, 4:24
language 35:11	LLP 2:11	100:13, 100:21	30:23, 31:7, 33:11	16:12
lapse 26:16	loan 8:17	100:24, 101:20	33:16, 35:15, 36:2	marching 9:17
larger 53:9	local 98:10, 98:13	102:13, 102:20	36:13, 37:8, 37:21	margin 30:7
late 10:19, 31:13	99:11	105:2, 105:9	40:4, 40:22, 40:22	mark 33:24, 33:25
45:21	logic 69:20	105:10, 105:24	41:2, 41:4, 41:11	40:1
Latino 4:18	logical 17:5	106:7, 123:18	41:18, 42:16, 43:8	marked 12:22
law 9:11, 9:16	long 11:19, 67:13	looking 23:6, 25:4	43:20, 43:23, 47:2	13:1, 22:20, 27:12
9:18, 10:1	75:9, 75:10, 76:3	26:25, 27:22	47:10, 48:12	27:13, 27:21, 28:9
lawn 56:7	76:5	30:20, 40:6, 40:7	50:17, 51:4, 54:2	28:15, 60:19
lawns 56:2	longer 112:11	49:11, 63:18, 65:1	59:16, 59:20, 60:7	market 5:23
LAWRENCE	112:23, 116:12	67:10, 73:23	62:9, 63:4, 64:14	24:25, 43:25
2:13, 22:5, 22:9	117:9, 118:12	81:18, 86:21	64:19, 80:23	59:21
22:15, 27:16	118:20, 118:21	86:25, 87:2, 88:2	80:25, 80:25	markets 43:25
27:19, 27:22	118:24, 118:25	89:14, 94:21	83:17, 83:19	marking 28:12
learned 52:4	119:2, 119:9	96:21, 101:5	83:25, 84:13	master 93:6, 93:12
leave 17:23	long-range 26:3	107:10, 107:12	84:15, 84:22	Mat 4:8, 7:25, 8:6
leaves 97:11	look 10:7, 10:22	111:16, 111:21	84:23, 84:25	9:15, 15:10, 16:10
led 82:11	11:5, 11:15, 12:3	116:18, 120:9	85:16, 86:13	16:12, 16:19
left 41:16, 64:6	15:25, 18:18	122:19	97:23, 98:4, 98:6	36:18, 68:13
left-hand 39:24	22:10, 24:19	looks 11:1, 17:20	103:6, 119:23	71:12, 72:22
legal 61:15	28:15, 36:16, 37:9	20:6, 29:23, 30:2	120:3, 120:6	73:23, 88:18
legend 101:6	43:16, 46:6, 51:10	30:10, 32:6, 36:25	120:16, 120:20	90:15, 90:17, 93:1
101:24, 126:25	60:25, 61:1, 61:4	39:25, 42:6	121:1, 121:6	107:14, 115:14
lengths 57:21	63:19, 67:16	101:15, 105:11	121:9, 122:19	115:22, 126:22
Lewiston 93:11	78:11, 79:14	105:11, 105:22	127:2	material 11:1
lifted 53:15	79:19, 80:8, 80:19	106:19	M3-Eagle 5:4	11:23, 24:18
limiting 79:15	88:6, 90:1, 90:20	lop 47:11	M3's 4:12, 33:12	32:12, 54:2
limits 41:3, 79:20	92:21, 93:16	lost 66:16	36:9, 41:1	materials 11:16
123:22	94:10, 95:1, 95:6	lot 10:4, 12:2	machines 82:18	33:12
Linder 123:17	95:16, 100:1	15:25, 59:20, 76:4	mad 70:17	mathematical
line 23:11, 94:25	101:2, 101:17	83:15, 105:25	main 54:3	58:14, 103:8
95:12, 99:21	101:22, 102:1	119:21, 123:23	majority 68:18	103:16
101:19, 103:14	102:3, 103:25	lots 12:1, 23:25	making 46:5	Mat's 71:24, 93:8 93:9
114:2	104:10, 105:4	84:23, 102:18	57:18, 59:23	
lined 46:5	105:21, 106:6	low 105:11, 106:3	63:12, 79:25	matter 1:4, 2:7

		auring, Fir.D. 3/2		111 / 1 // 10
9:8, 10:13, 12:8	MICHAEL 2:13	128:23	38:22, 40:18	obligated 44:10
12:9, 41:12, 49:4	middle 33:19	named 128:5	note 25:25, 32:5	obtain 11:16
75:1, 90:13	82:17	narrow 100:14	32:17, 36:23, 39:1	obtained 12:12
117:13, 117:17	midpoint 116:4	nation 60:4, 123:3	53:16, 54:20	116:11
matters 9:6, 9:25	116:8	123:7, 124:7	124:25, 126:21	obtaining 16:6
MC 127:2	millions 82:20	124:21	noted 18:2	obvious 9:10
mean 29:22, 32:13	82:20	National 122:14	notes 29:4, 107:2	122:9
35:13, 35:24, 39:3	mind 17:4, 26:10	naval 82:15	notice 30:17	obviously 7:24
47:8, 47:9, 71:8	57:24, 62:24, 80:5	near 32:20	46:10	18:6, 62:25, 75:2
71:17, 71:17, 72:7	81:21, 92:6	necessarily 19:16	notions 9:5	122:18
74:9, 75:25, 79:18	mine 25:23, 72:25	56:20, 77:2	number 28:15	occupied 56:6
84:21, 87:15	103:11	122:24	29:9, 30:18, 42:10	occupying 55:20
94:22, 96:1	minute 8:20, 28:1	necessity 80:11	42:15, 42:21	occur 43:11, 44:3
102:22, 102:23	28:3, 37:4, 51:6	need 21:23, 26:15	42:23, 43:2, 43:13	48:5, 62:13, 62:14
103:4, 104:25	65:22, 75:23, 90:1	35:25, 37:8, 37:14	43:22, 44:5, 44:12	64:20, 68:23, 80:3
108:19, 120:25	91:12, 92:19	44:11, 49:4, 55:25	44:16, 44:17, 48:4	80:10, 80:20, 83:3
127:2	minutes 21:8	56:1, 66:20, 84:10	50:11, 51:7, 51:18	106:15, 120:5
meaning 20:17	46:24	106:8, 115:25	52:23, 53:4, 53:9	occurred 34:14
34:2	mischaracterizes	120:15, 121:19	53:9, 54:11, 54:12	68:13, 68:24, 83:5
means 77:11	110:8	121:23	54:13, 54:20	occurring 60:3
meant 30:11, 33:4	missed 100:7	needed 45:14	54:24, 55:8, 55:8	o'clock 66:10
72:6, 90:8	misstated 102:23	52:6, 52:7	55:20, 56:4, 59:10	offering 40:12
meet 39:18	mistake 101:25	needs 5:24, 6:4	63:5, 63:22, 65:4	Office 3:4, 25:4
meeting 8:15, 8:21	mix 109:8, 109:14	25:16, 37:21	68:5, 68:10, 68:12	25:6
10:10, 30:23	modeled 126:16	44:22, 78:8	76:14, 79:16, 84:2	offices 2:2
68:16, 68:20, 69:1	126:17, 126:19	negotiations 41:22	103:21, 103:25	official 4:12, 12:5
69:3, 69:9, 69:14	126:24	never 66:23, 74:16	106:2, 106:17	oh 9:23, 22:12
69:18, 69:23, 73:2	molded 126:15	77:17, 77:18, 83:5	106:22, 107:6	31:4, 31:6, 59:5
meetings 9:14	money 67:25	83:6, 94:17	115:13, 119:9	76:21, 81:9, 82:8
68:6, 68:7, 68:10	MOORE 2:18	120:16	numbered 52:15	83:22, 91:21
68:12, 71:13	morning 45:21	nevertheless 107:8	numbering 13:6	97:20, 101:14
memo 4:10, 11:23	motion 4:8, 13:13	new 60:17	numbers 18:16	101:17, 111:8
13:18, 43:16	13:15	news 22:1, 23:14	29:6, 32:25, 42:13	112:7, 113:13
64:18	move 34:17, 34:21	nice 58:8	51:18, 59:11	113:13, 114:8
memory 45:11	47:11, 120:15	Nichoel 28:18	65:18, 81:1	120:23, 124:13
46:15	moved 121:1	86:23, 87:3, 118:4	105:10, 106:7	126:23
mentioned 46:25	MSA 101:8, 105:8	118:17	115:20, 117:11	oil 82:19
51:6, 64:17, 68:5	multiple 110:22	Nichoel's 108:9	119:5	okay 7:11, 7:15
73:24	multiplicity 15:15	117:7, 117:18	numerous 69:17	7:21, 8:10, 8:13
Meridian 123:21	multiply 111:24	117:23		9:21, 10:10, 11:11
mess 51:24	multiplying 55:4	night 45:21	0	12:11, 12:17
met 45:4	municipal 9:19	nitpick 14:8		13:10, 13:22, 14:9
meter 92:3	38:13	north 2:24, 124:2	oath 130:6	14:21, 14:23, 16:3
method 57:25	municipalities	Notary 1:22, 2:6	object 61:14, 64:3	16:11, 17:6, 17:21
87:9	90:12	128:23, 130:22	68:8, 110:7	18:5, 18:21, 18:25
methodologies		notation 33:4	objected 70:21	20:1, 20:11, 20:21
105:5, 107:11	N	33:11, 33:23, 34:1	109:18	21:4, 21:21, 23:12
methodology 88:2		35:24, 41:16	objections 130:7	24:3, 24:21, 25:14
98:8, 109:3	nailed 81:23	notations 25:20	objective 30:23	25:20, 25:24
112:24	name 1:6, 7:7	28:23, 30:6, 32:21	75:6, 123:12	26:19, 27:8, 28:16
				1

		ading, Fil.D. 3/2		2 2624
29:20, 29:24, 30:3	98:16, 99:9, 99:16	operationalize	P.O 2:15, 3:7	paragraphs 36:24
31:16, 31:20, 32:1	99:22, 100:5	72:8	pace 122:11	pared 105:20
32:4, 32:20, 33:3	100:8, 100:12	opine 10:8, 62:2	package 100:13	parse 33:15
34:4, 34:7, 35:11	100:24, 101:2	64:11, 77:21	page 4:3, 5:3, 6:3	part 25:12, 27:2
36:23, 37:18, 38:6	101:5, 101:7	opined 11:24	15:2, 19:2, 25:24	35:14, 37:14
38:18, 40:12	102:15, 102:25	74:21, 97:22	26:20, 26:24, 27:1	42:17, 48:13
40:16, 41:10	103:6, 103:13	opinion 23:23	27:10, 27:12	55:21, 58:1, 65:12
41:15, 46:16	104:10, 104:12	40:13, 43:22, 63:8	27:12, 27:13	74:7, 89:6, 89:8
47:14, 48:9, 48:22	104:16, 107:8	78:24, 87:6, 87:7	27:13, 27:13, 28:8	89:13, 89:14
49:24, 50:1, 50:5	107:12, 107:15	87:9, 87:19, 87:24	28:17, 29:20, 30:6	94:17, 96:19, 98:7
50:13, 50:24, 51:2	107:20, 107:22	89:10, 110:13	32:2, 32:5, 32:11	100:17, 111:13
51:13, 51:16	108:16, 109:1	opinions 81:4	32:11, 33:18	122:20, 122:20
51:21, 51:24, 52:3	109:5, 109:8	88:23, 89:1, 89:4	33:18, 33:20	participated 8:5
52:20, 53:2, 53:11	109:20, 110:18	107:18, 124:8	35:11, 35:23	particular 30:21
53:16, 54:2, 54:7	110:20, 111:15	124:10	35:23, 36:15	90:22, 92:13
55:6, 55:13, 55:17	111:21, 111:25	opposed 20:19	36:15, 36:23	94:25, 95:12
56:9, 57:6, 61:16	113:15, 113:19	85:13, 119:2	38:22, 38:22	115:2
61:20, 62:8, 63:2	113:22, 114:11	opposite 25:25	40:16, 40:17	particularly 81:22
66:13, 67:5, 67:7	115:3, 115:25	29:8	41:17, 42:5, 46:6	parts 7:25, 89:2
67:15, 67:18, 68:5	116:2, 116:5	optimistic 122:3	48:10, 49:5, 49:10	89:6, 89:7
68:14, 68:20, 69:3	116:14, 116:19	options 123:25	52:14, 52:14	partway 84:8
69:14, 71:6, 73:10	117:4, 117:16	123:25, 124:1	53:19, 56:10	party 130:13
73:13, 73:20, 74:9	118:5, 118:11	124:2	56:12, 57:6, 57:7	pass 125:17
74:12, 74:15	119:4, 119:13	order 51:25	59:18, 86:18	passed 93:21
74:19, 74:22	119:18, 120:24	orders 9:17	86:19, 86:20, 88:5	patterns 83:4
76:22, 77:8, 77:11	121:3, 121:11	Origin 4:18	88:6, 94:8, 95:8	84:3
77:13, 77:16	121:14, 121:17	original 27:17	95:9, 96:21	pause 22:19
77:24, 78:2, 78:7	122:13, 122:17	68:15, 83:10	102:13, 107:12	pay 23:16, 41:19
78:10, 78:23, 79:3	122:21, 123:14	originally 60:14	107:22, 109:19	45:10
80:17, 80:22, 81:3	124:17, 125:25	67:19, 70:10	115:11, 119:22	peak 63:3, 63:9
81:20, 83:7, 83:15	126:4, 126:6	84:19	121:11, 121:25	63:18, 63:23
84:25, 85:18	127:4	Osprey 2:24	124:23, 124:23	peaking 52:8
85:22, 86:8, 86:15	old 27:16, 28:25	outdoor 53:18	126:14, 126:25	pen 28:9
86:17, 87:5, 87:11	75:10	outlined 64:20	PageLine	pencil 16:2
87:17, 87:20, 88:1	older 32:10	outlines 19:3	129:2, 129:5	people 40:24, 42:6
88:3, 88:20, 88:25	126:21	outlining 15:2	129:8, 129:11	55:1, 55:20, 67:8
89:7, 89:13, 89:19	Oliver 24:23	overhang 122:8	129:14, 129:17	69:18, 82:21
89:21, 90:1, 90:2	once 26:12, 48:25	123:19	129:20	percent 5:7, 29:9
90:4, 90:6, 90:13	54:18, 61:23	overlap 16:16	pages 18:19, 20:23	29:9, 29:19, 42:8
90:18, 90:23, 91:3	65:19, 104:18	115:19	45:5, 59:18	42:8, 42:9, 79:4
91:12, 92:5, 92:9	105:1	overlapping 57:19	paid 68:1, 68:4	79:7, 79:12, 86:24
92:14, 92:19	one-page 18:6	overlaps 72:9	paper 45:8, 46:17	111:3, 115:1
93:10, 93:16	94:9	oversight 71:7	86:23, 87:2, 89:14	116:7, 116:17
93:24, 94:12	ones 22:20, 70:2	71:9	104:20, 108:9	118:15, 118:17
94:14, 94:21	70:4, 82:10, 98:19	overstated 58:6	117:23	120:21, 121:1
94:24, 95:1, 95:6	102:12	Overview 5:23	papers 45:6	121:7, 121:15
95:9, 95:13, 95:17	one's 103:2	,-v ₁ ,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-	paragraph 26:1	122:2, 124:11
96:4, 96:10, 96:14	oOo 127:7	P	38:8, 57:18, 97:13	124:14
96:18, 96:21	operated 41:1		107:23, 115:12	percentage 29:3
96:25, 97:12, 98:7	operating 11:8	p.m 2:4, 127:5	116:1, 122:1	29:4, 58:4, 58:5
		<u> </u>	L	<u> </u>

		auring, Fil.D. 3/2		
58:13, 106:8	85:11, 130:5	90:21, 90:23, 91:4	28:14, 32:24, 33:9	13:25, 62:13
120:18	places 73:1	91:6, 92:23, 93:13	40:8, 42:16, 49:20	62:21
percentages 28:19	102:18	93:13, 93:17	49:22, 50:8, 50:17	preconceived 9:5
58:4, 58:7, 58:10	plan 5:11, 12:16	93:22, 94:1, 94:2	51:4, 53:3, 53:4	predicted 82:1
perform 87:20	20:23, 21:2, 26:15	95:10, 95:18, 96:6	53:6, 54:12, 54:14	prefer 64:11
98:9	28:10, 33:7, 35:20	96:11, 96:15, 97:4	55:5, 58:23, 59:14	preparation 45:19
period 58:22	43:21, 44:11	97:7, 97:9, 98:3	65:16, 67:16, 71:5	prepare 18:9
58:22, 77:13	44:22, 72:6, 91:15	109:20, 109:24	73:9, 77:23, 77:25	101:3, 107:13
78:13, 80:13	92:18, 93:6, 93:6	109:25, 110:1	78:4, 78:6, 78:8	117:24, 121:12
80:21, 95:20	93:12, 93:25, 95:7	110:2, 110:22	78:12, 78:13	prepared 7:15
97:24, 98:1, 112:4	102:8, 102:13	118:21, 118:25	78:14, 78:20	7:20, 21:13, 28:18
112:10, 112:11	103:17, 103:19	planning-type	78:22, 80:3, 80:4	33:13, 45:22
114:22, 114:23	103:23, 106:2	74:13	81:4, 83:1, 83:5	114:7
114:24, 118:21	106:4, 116:17	plans 35:5, 35:7	83:20, 86:5, 86:12	Pre-recession 32:7
118:25	118:14, 120:12	74:4, 90:16	92:1, 94:6, 95:2	Present 3:9
periodically 35:3	planned 44:7	plant 82:15	96:1, 96:20, 97:14	presented 97:15
periods 19:20	59:16, 64:15, 65:7	please 7:7, 10:22	98:8, 98:10, 99:6	pretty 10:17
81:18, 90:4, 91:4	65:9, 84:19, 90:12	11:5, 12:24, 16:3	99:10, 99:19	previously 18:3
97:5, 109:8	95:7	17:10, 18:5, 19:1	100:2, 100:13	42:21
109:15, 110:22	planners 35:21	20:12, 20:22, 21:5	100:23, 101:12	price 5:21, 24:11
117:10, 119:2	planning 26:3	22:22, 23:1, 24:4	102:22, 102:23	39:22, 65:4
119:2, 119:11	26:9, 30:13, 32:23	24:9, 25:14, 28:7	103:9, 103:15	123:13
permit 1:5, 6:7	34:6, 34:20, 34:25	32:3, 32:22, 35:24	103:20, 103:24	prices 5:17, 5:18
7:18, 36:12, 36:12	34:25, 47:4, 47:25	36:15, 40:20	105:5, 106:8	5:20, 22:16, 23:4
44:10, 44:12	48:5, 48:18, 49:18	44:23, 51:3, 51:23	106:11, 106:20	23:13, 24:7
61:12, 61:22	50:2, 50:18, 50:22	54:18, 61:19	106:22, 113:4	printed 45:9
61:23, 63:24	52:7, 53:5, 58:17	115:11	116:20, 118:14	45:13, 45:20
permits 61:1	58:19, 61:11	plus 34:3, 34:7	121:3, 124:24	printout 18:6
67:23	61:21, 62:15	96:10, 103:6	124:24	prior 12:7, 46:1
person 7:15, 70:11	62:17, 63:10	127:2	populations 19:19	47:4
persons 29:25	64:20, 72:4, 72:6	Pocatello 81:13	30:21, 57:4, 88:18	privy 62:25
pertain 12:5	72:18, 72:21	82:13	94:19, 107:13	probably 21:18
pertaining 10:1	72:25, 73:4, 73:6	point 23:9, 26:4	Populous 4:16	23:23, 60:13
Peterson 67:4	73:8, 73:10, 73:21	26:6, 31:1, 31:21	portion 21:1	115:9
67:4, 67:11, 68:6	73:22, 74:1, 74:6	36:7, 43:15, 55:7	33:16, 33:16	problem 36:24
68:17	74:7, 74:10, 74:16	57:17, 63:10	33:16, 33:17	37:3
Peterson's 69:12	74:20, 75:21, 76:1	63:25, 63:25	37:22, 50:17, 62:9	procedure 72:11
PH.D 1:14, 2:1	76:2, 76:17, 76:17	64:16, 70:22, 78:2	112:25	proceed 89:20
4:3, 7:1, 128:1	76:18, 76:18	80:10	portions 7:21	104:17
128:3, 128:16	76:22, 76:24, 77:3	points 113:8	portraying 52:22	proceeding 66:9
129:1	77:5, 77:13, 77:14	126:10	posed 110:16	proceedings 97:11
phrase 43:24	77:17, 77:18, 78:1	policy 9:6, 9:25	position 125:12	130:4
pick 70:16, 114:22	78:3, 78:3, 78:7	10:8, 62:24, 63:2	possession 45:14	process 9:12
117:4, 117:10	78:13, 78:14	63:11, 63:25, 64:6	possibility 31:23	35:20, 68:18, 69:1
120:18, 120:20	78:17, 81:18	64:11, 70:21	31:24, 31:25	69:16, 70:3, 70:23
picked 59:10	83:19, 83:21, 84:6	70:24, 70:25	possible 31:20	84:8, 111:14
117:9	84:7, 84:11, 84:21	population 5:6	potato 123:10	processes 84:6
piece 37:8, 37:9	85:12, 85:18	5:7, 5:12, 5:13, 9:3	potential 56:8	produce 67:22
pieces 88:18	86:10, 90:3, 90:5	10:9, 14:20, 16:14	80:18	produced 11:22
place 4:17, 40:23	90:8, 90:9, 90:11	16:20, 17:13	potentially 9:1	11:23, 14:19
l				

	Don Rea	ading, Ph.D. 9/2	23/2011	
20:14, 69:2, 70:24	providers 17:3	110:8, 110:11	ranging 109:12	126:5, 126:11
	providing 11:2	110:15, 110:16	rapid 60:13, 80:4	128:1, 128:3
producing 8:3	11:23	112:18, 113:6	rate 12:4, 56:16	128:16, 129:1
	provisions 88:11	113:14, 125:16	56:17, 56:18	R-e-a-d-i-n-g 7:8
14:6, 14:17, 15:8	89:16	questioning 43:18	56:23, 57:25, 63:3	Reads 129:3
15:20, 25:9	Public 1:22, 2:6	101:20	79:4, 79:7, 80:7	129:6, 129:9
production 10:13	128:23, 130:22	questions 49:3	80:10, 80:22	129:12, 129:15
	publication 20:1	56:11, 58:15	86:23, 106:12	129:18, 129:21
products 81:20	published 19:5	64:21, 64:23	107:6, 113:16	real 23:15, 23:17
	PUC 16:5	65:24, 66:3, 66:20	114:21, 114:24	61:5, 65:20
19:9	pull 51:3, 51:22	69:25, 70:1, 70:20	116:8, 118:3	realize 114:6
Professional 5:14	81:9, 99:24	70:24, 70:25	120:18, 120:22	really 10:7, 10:8
professor 39:12	117:20	73:25, 83:15, 88:5	121:4, 122:7	12:8, 26:14, 40:6
Profile 20:2	pulled 15:14	119:21, 126:5	122:8, 122:9	43:15, 62:2, 64:6
Profiles 5:9, 19:2	21:18, 21:19, 23:6	127:4, 128:7	123:22, 124:11	72:7, 75:22, 84:3
19:4, 19:15	24:20, 82:24, 99:7	quibble 62:12	124:13, 124:14	103:18, 105:22
profitable 82:24	100:25, 102:18	quick 72:1	125:13, 125:18	108:19
programs 34:25	118:3, 118:8	quickly 13:3	rates 25:2, 108:10	reason 39:10
project 36:2	119:9, 124:23	40:20	109:14, 114:12	42:20, 45:3, 46:13
	purple 104:4	quite 91:22, 119:5	117:23, 118:23	63:2, 63:11, 67:24
62:9, 80:9, 80:25	purpose 16:6	quote 54:13, 57:9	118:24, 124:24	reasonable 26:2
83:20, 84:16	44:18, 44:19	57:13	125:5	26:6, 26:8, 34:6
84:18, 84:25	purposes 55:19	quoted 23:13	ratio 53:3, 53:7	34:19, 40:14
85:15, 85:20, 86:5	55:19, 55:24, 78:1		53:11, 53:17	44:13, 44:17
90:24, 112:1	PURSLEY 2:11	R	rational 73:3	55:18, 61:20, 62:8
120:14	pursue 101:19		Ray 68:15, 69:7	73:4, 73:5, 73:21
	push-back 73:17	Race 4:17	69:11, 70:9	74:20, 76:13
	pushing 79:20	RAFN 6:6, 7:17	reaction 29:5	78:12, 78:17
1 ' ' 1'	put 15:22, 19:10	9:11, 9:16, 9:18	read 25:22, 31:11	95:20, 95:25
80:15, 86:12, 95:2	30:12, 33:22	10:1, 10:5, 10:16	38:14, 43:23	96:12, 96:15
102:17	39:12, 58:2, 64:10	10:18, 16:22	45:11, 78:16, 87:5	96:20, 96:23
projecting 55:19	75:6, 75:17, 80:6	26:16, 27:19	90:16, 90:22, 91:9	97:16, 97:23
63:13, 112:2	97:18, 104:8	27:23, 30:4, 32:15		104:24, 105:6
projection 17:12	106:12, 123:12	32:19, 37:13	96:25, 110:9	105:17, 106:10
21:9	130:6	38:13, 59:7, 66:23	117:14, 128:6	116:4, 125:6
	putting 24:14	67:23, 72:3, 72:13	129:4, 129:7	reasonableness
33:7, 40:8, 62:23	47:13, 47:20	74:16, 74:18, 91:1	129:10, 129:13	104:19, 104:20
63:5, 65:16, 99:5	58:18	91:7, 93:18, 93:20	129:16, 129:19	105:2, 105:15
109:9		96:22, 98:23	129:22	105:20
properties 25:2	Q	103:7, 103:17	reading 1:14, 2:1	reasonably 5:24
59:22		104:21, 108:3	4:3, 4:9, 4:10, 7:1	6:4, 25:15, 78:22
11 1 "	question 26:11	108:13, 111:1	7:8, 12:25, 17:15	reasons 64:19
85:10, 122:9	33:24, 33:25, 40:1	113:17, 113:20	18:1, 22:9, 22:25	82:23, 108:17
proposition	40:23, 41:6, 42:20	115:21, 125:12	28:8, 36:1, 46:7	recalculated 113:24, 114:9
125:25	42:22, 44:5, 44:20	ran 67:25	46:15, 46:24	· ·
propounded 128:8	47:8, 48:12, 50:13	range 109:25 112:3, 112:16	52:20, 52:25 54:11, 66:8, 70:8	recalculating 29:12
Protestants 2:22		117:5 117:10	J4.11.00.0./U.0	L 2,14
	50:15, 61:19	-		recall 10.14 26.22
provide 12:18	61:24, 63:21, 64:6	116:12, 117:9	89:14, 96:4	recall 10:14, 26:23
	'	-		recall 10:14, 26:23 30:11, 47:5, 53:22 54:15, 70:1, 83:11

	DOII NO.	ading, Fil.D. 3/2	.5/2011	
85:22, 86:25, 87:1	relating 7:2	10:13, 11:4, 11:15	residential 5:5	44:12, 48:2, 48:18
87:2, 104:19	relative 63:18	12:9, 12:12, 13:23	37:13, 37:23	48:25, 49:1, 49:19
113:19, 117:1	84:1, 107:10	14:6, 14:17, 15:8	53:17, 53:18, 55:9	49:23, 54:19, 55:7
received 13:8	130:12	15:21, 16:5, 16:18	RESIDING	57:6, 59:12, 61:11
28:25	relevant 55:21	17:14, 20:13, 21:6	128:24	61:12, 61:22
Recess 54:9, 66:4	56:4, 124:19	21:19, 24:15	resolved 41:8	61:25, 62:3, 63:8
recession 122:10	125:19, 126:1	24:24, 25:10	resource 35:5	63:14, 72:16
recessions 122:12	reliability 112:4	25:16, 28:25, 32:7	Resources 1:1, 2:3	74:10, 74:17
recognize 10:23	112:6, 112:10	33:9, 42:17, 42:23	3:3, 5:15, 7:12	75:11, 75:11, 76:6
111:15	112:17	43:13, 44:24, 45:8	25:19, 27:3, 41:12	77:19, 77:20
recommend 70:18	reliable 23:21	47:1, 47:21, 48:11	response 10:16	77:22, 81:22, 82:4
recommendation	23:24, 112:19	48:12, 49:7, 52:4	101:10	82:5, 85:12, 86:25
69:12	112:23	53:15, 56:10, 58:9	responsibility 8:2	90:25, 91:1, 91:7
recommended 9:2	reliably 112:7	60:2, 62:16, 64:20	40:11, 70:4	93:14, 93:18
67:14	relied 95:15	65:2, 65:3, 69:2	responsible 7:19	96:16, 96:19, 98:2
record 12:20	107:17, 119:1	69:19, 70:6, 70:24	rest 71:21, 71:23	102:15, 104:22
12:23, 17:6, 17:9	relook 42:11	74:23, 75:2, 78:13	122:22	105:16, 108:18
18:3, 22:21, 22:24	92:12	86:4, 86:15, 86:17	restrictions 39:14	115:25, 116:24
28:4, 28:5, 28:7	rely 14:16, 15:7	87:5, 87:11, 88:4	result 10:15, 28:13	118:7, 118:10
54:8, 54:10, 65:21	15:20, 19:9, 23:21	88:15, 92:2, 96:21	57:12, 115:4	119:8
70:8, 130:10	23:22, 23:22	99:7, 102:16	results 55:5, 84:25	right-hand 28:20
recorded 130:8	24:14, 25:9	105:19, 108:13	resumé 81:10	rights 9:19, 38:5
redo 35:4, 51:17	107:15, 110:25	110:24, 111:18	reveal 45:15	48:24, 49:1
reducing 63:3	remember 9:9	114:2, 117:18	review 11:21	rip 82:19
63:23	9:12, 11:19, 14:2	119:6, 120:7	15:15, 25:4, 25:6	rises 124:5
reduction 52:22	16:9, 19:24, 23:15	Reported 1:20	35:19, 54:2, 59:24	rising 124:5
53:17, 63:12	26:6, 28:25, 29:11	5:18	70:6, 91:25, 92:18	road 2:24, 84:8
refer 17:15, 17:21	29:14, 31:6, 31:8	Reporter 2:6	reviewed 41:10	roads 84:12
49:5, 54:17	31:17, 32:17	130:3	71:10, 92:2, 92:2	robust 57:25
reference 27:11	35:14, 37:15, 43:1	REPORTER'S	95:18, 112:25	role 69:8
referred 54:13	45:25, 60:11, 65:3	130:1	reviewing 81:18	rolling 34:20
referring 17:22	67:6, 68:25, 69:17	reports 21:17	81:19, 108:9	round 32:25
113:20, 122:6	69:25, 70:2, 70:3	99:8, 118:22	Reviews 10:25	119:19
reflect 72:12	70:5, 70:25, 74:23	represent 17:17	37:6, 38:15	routinely 19:8
refresh 45:11	81:16, 82:4, 86:4	18:1, 53:2, 55:4	revisited 82:1	run 36:9, 48:1 running 47:25
46:15	91:23, 98:21, 99:3	55:14, 66:8	Rexburg 93:5	running 47.23
regard 10:10, 12:8	100:19, 105:7	representatives	Rich 8:15, 70:10 70:11, 70:12	Turar 57.4
20:7, 56:9, 60:16	111:24	11:17	70:11, 70:12	<u> </u>
61:8, 67:1, 72:17	remembered	request 7:12	Rigby 8:15, 8:18	S
89:5	13:25	requested 127:6 require 56:6	8:19, 8:23, 67:2	sales 5:19, 5:21
regarding 13:19 57:7	removing 29:12 rendered 81:4	required 9:16	68:6, 68:15, 69:7	23:13, 24:6, 24:11
	rental 25:2	39:16	70:9, 70:10, 70:11	sandboxes 40:10
region 122:19	repeat 25:11	requirements 9:10	70:12, 70:12	saying 23:3, 30:8
124:7 regional 122:14	125:22	72:14	Rigby's 69:11	39:20, 58:2, 63:24
125:20	rephrase 61:19	requires 35:4	right 5:25, 6:5	75:13, 88:20, 92:5
regression 113:2	replicate 29:7	reread 65:2	19:6, 30:7, 31:12	112:8, 113:22
regression 113.2 relate 13:22	42:13	residence 56:5	33:14, 36:16	117:22
	12.10	1		
related 4.21	replicated 114.23	residences 54.20	l 36:16, 37:1, 38:4 ·	I savs 16:22, 25:16
related 4:21 100:2	replicated 114:23 report 8:4, 8:24	residences 54:20 56:1	36:16, 37:1, 38:4 39:21, 42:3, 44:8	says 16:22, 25:16 31:6, 32:23, 33:20

Don Reading, Ph.D. 9/23/2011						
37:2, 38:8, 40:22	sense 61:10, 71:16	showing 25:1	28:3, 28:5, 66:2	speculated 107:2		
41:17, 43:24	71:16, 79:25	shown 42:17	66:3, 66:7, 66:8	speculating		
51:10, 53:21, 72:9	80:19	116:13	66:17, 68:14	106:25		
72:9, 75:2, 88:7	senses 122:25	sic 15:13, 52:25	70:14, 70:19	speed 10:20, 14:4		
89:17, 90:3, 94:1	122:25	side 28:20, 29:5	97:12, 110:11	Spencer 4:11		
94:18, 95:5, 96:22	sentence 32:6	39:24	110:18, 110:20	28:19, 87:3		
97:13, 98:8, 99:10	58:9, 97:13, 100:9	sideboards 75:6	121:22, 121:25	spreadsheet 4:18		
100:9, 102:3	111:25, 112:7	97:18	126:4	5:6		
104:18, 105:1	112:12, 113:5	Signature 127:6	solely 87:8, 95:16	Spring 4:13		
112:1, 112:12	113:7, 113:14	129:25	110:25, 111:21	squares 102:9		
112:16, 114:2	119:25	signed 31:18	121:7	103:4, 104:4		
116:7, 116:20	sentences 32:6	68:25	somebody 75:8	staff 32:24		
119:22, 122:2	36:1, 88:6	significance 16:17	91:22, 123:24	stakes 82:24		
scale 122:14	separate 35:10	28:12, 29:10	somewhat 60:4	stand 36:10, 41:9		
122:14	84:3	29:24, 30:19	soon 121:20	57:14, 68:10		
Scenario 5:5	September 1:15	49:16	sorry 18:14, 51:14	110:17		
schedule 66:11	2:5, 130:16	significant 80:14	70:13, 89:12, 92:7	standards 39:18		
Scope 88:7	serve 36:21, 36:22	84:5	102:23	stands 91:23		
scribbled 29:21	41:3, 44:11, 55:25	significantly 25:8	sort 29:6, 34:19	Star 16:16, 23:7		
S-curve 79:24	served 17:1	125:1	70:5, 73:18, 75:12	23:8, 115:19		
seal 130:15	service 4:24, 36:20	similar 58:21	103:3, 104:4	start 16:2, 43:3		
second 17:7, 21:8	72:18	simple 57:23	105:20	47:10, 48:6, 50:24		
27:12, 28:5, 30:4	Services 5:14	108:12, 108:14	sorted 94:17	59:2, 59:16, 59:21		
38:8, 52:14, 69:14	set 89:23, 130:5	109:17, 111:19	source 51:4	60:7, 61:11, 61:13		
91:14, 92:24	130:15	simply 109:17	119:10	61:23, 62:10, 80:4		
114:1, 114:2	sets 53:3, 89:24	111:2	sources 15:25	80:11, 80:11		
116:1, 122:1	settlement 31:7	single 103:21	60:23, 61:2	85:23, 86:10		
section 24:17	31:18, 31:21	sir 7:9, 68:2	116:12	86:11, 86:13		
78:11, 86:21	40:22, 41:11	sit 16:1	Southeast 81:12	101:22, 120:19		
see 20:3, 24:19	sewer 84:10	sit-down 73:2	southwest 60:4	120:20		
26:2, 28:21, 30:15	shale 82:19	site-specific	99:5	started 81:2		
33:6, 42:15, 44:23	sheet 4:15, 14:14	125:18, 125:21	space 123:19	82:20, 82:21		
47:17, 52:24	14:15, 16:4	126:1	Spackman 8:16	starting 34:11		
53:19, 54:22, 55:2	128:10, 128:14	situations 106:15	10:11, 10:12, 68:7	50:23, 84:1, 84:13		
55:10, 56:10	129:1	six 47:11	68:16, 68:21, 69:4	86:1, 102:4		
65:14, 68:3, 80:20	Shelley 8:8, 8:9	size 54:25, 61:3	69:22	120:13, 120:15		
81:15, 87:11, 88:8	9:14, 68:13, 71:12	skeptical 24:2	Spackman's 69:8	120:17		
90:21, 91:12	115:22	65:2	speak 64:5	starts 50:3, 60:12		
93:17, 95:7, 98:11	shifted 62:17	slight 25:1	specific 14:18	60:17, 101:7		
101:9, 101:22	short 11:18, 54:7	slightly 51:13	15:9, 83:20, 85:14	1		
103:4, 104:11	shorter 112:9	slipped 22:10	85:19, 99:25	state 1:2, 2:7, 7:7		
109:10, 109:21	112:22, 114:18	slow 80:11	100:16, 112:24	29:14, 56:16		
111:6, 113:5	118:4, 119:2	small 80:2, 80:2	122:5, 123:6	56:21, 57:4, 67:12		
113:7, 119:25	Shorthand 2:6	80:3	124:18 81:10, 82:14			
seen 52:13, 52:17	130:2	smaller 53:9	specifically 9:9	122:14, 124:20		
SEICOG 81:12	shortly 45:4	Smith 2:18, 2:19	16:8, 24:19, 24:20	125:23		
selected 116:12	short-term 112:9	2:23, 3:12, 4:5	60:21, 67:15, 99:7	stated 43:7, 47:16		
118:23	show 5:21, 18:7	10:3, 22:7, 26:24	99:20, 122:18	60:11, 114:8		
sell 59:22, 84:23	24:11, 104:13	27:9, 27:18, 27:20	123:4	125:9, 125:11		
selling 85:9	112:7	27:24, 28:1, 28:2	specificity 124:12	statement 30:22		
				<u> </u>		

Don Reading, Ph.D. 9/23/2011						
47:7, 57:9, 75:1	23:15, 23:17, 38:1	survey 60:20, 90:3	90:10, 95:2, 98:2	28:16, 41:15		
108:23, 122:1	41:24, 71:25, 76:5	98:9, 99:8	98:3, 98:7, 98:13	46:19, 46:23, 50:1		
statements 88:10	subdivision 85:15	surveyed 72:22	114:15, 122:15	70:14, 94:11		
89:15	subject 10:20	99:10	talks 24:17	110:18, 126:5		
states 28:18	12:8, 12:9, 31:15	switched 28:2	tandem 73:18	Thanks 32:1		
114:11	34:8	sworn 7:2, 128:3	target 58:4, 58:5	theirs 11:1, 111:16		
Statesman 14:10	submitted 16:5	128:18	58:7, 58:13	thereof 128:7		
22:1, 24:5, 24:10	30:4, 43:17, 54:3	system 4:22, 36:5	task 59:12	thing 15:23, 34:16		
statistical 57:25	64:20, 108:4	36:10, 38:1, 39:5	taxation 55:19	61:6, 80:5, 83:2		
87:9, 87:12, 87:15	125:12	41:1	teaching 82:14	83:14, 106:10		
87:21, 108:17	submitting 67:22	systems 38:25	teal 103:11	108:16, 111:8		
108:20, 108:21	subpoena 13:8		Tecum 4:8	115:4		
108:24, 109:2	13:14, 13:14	T	tell 7:2, 13:7, 26:4	things 9:15, 10:21		
109:6, 113:1	13:16		26:22, 28:11	12:2, 31:19, 34:20		
statistically 80:6	Subpoenas 4:8	table 4:16, 29:21	28:24, 38:21	34:21, 35:21, 61:7		
87:18, 87:22	SUBSCRIBED	46:7, 49:12, 50:17	41:17, 45:1, 70:17	65:5, 69:20, 72:2		
109:13, 112:2	128:18	56:10, 56:13	71:8	72:17, 73:15		
statute 59:7, 72:8	substance 8:22	75:21, 90:1, 90:9	telling 72:18	73:17, 78:15, 80:3		
72:15, 88:15, 89:1	substituting 103:9	90:19, 93:2, 94:15	72:19, 99:14	84:10, 84:12		
89:6, 89:7, 89:17	sudden 62:4	94:18, 94:20	template 67:21	96:11, 97:8, 103:7		
90:6, 93:21, 97:2	125:4	94:21, 95:1, 95:5	ten 18:8, 39:6	111:24, 120:5		
104:21, 115:23	suite 2:4, 2:20	95:10, 97:5	65:20	think 8:16, 8:20		
statutes 88:21	58:3	107:12, 109:20	tend 23:25, 58:12	11:19, 13:15		
statutory 88:11	sum 19:19	110:13, 110:14 tends 19:19		18:12, 21:22		
89:16	Summary 5:4	111:22, 113:23 ten-year 104:		21:23, 22:15, 26:8		
stay 40:10	superimposed	114:7, 116:13	term 33:18, 34:9	29:2, 29:11, 34:12		
stenographically	103:3	116:18, 117:24	72:5, 79:22, 90:5	37:20, 38:11		
130:8	supplied 15:9	119:7, 119:19	96:22, 104:21	39:12, 41:23, 42:9		
step 43:22	16:12, 25:17	tables 97:4	112:21, 112:22	42:25, 44:6, 44:20		
Steve 3:10	37:23	tagged 44:12	112:23, 114:18	46:20, 47:9, 48:6		
stop 43:18	supply 36:5, 38:2	take 36:4, 37:10	118:4, 126:24	54:12, 56:21		
storage 41:18	support 64:13	41:10, 54:7, 57:23	termination 61:25 terms 12:11, 14:18	57:16, 61:25, 62:5 62:8, 64:3, 64:25		
41:19, 41:22	supposed 16:22	66:18, 85:11, 88:3	•	67:1, 69:10, 70:5		
41:22	16:23	89:19, 104:10	17:25, 54:25 63:16, 78:20	70:8, 70:9, 70:10		
Street 2:3, 2:14	sure 8:6, 11:12	109:24, 110:21	! '	70.8, 70.9, 70.10		
2:20, 3:6	13:4, 19:22, 21:18	119:5, 123:2	terribly 76:15 territory 36:20	73:16, 75:15		
stretched 120:11	21:19, 22:19, 26:5	123:5	testified 7:3	75:15, 75:20		
structural 82:22	38:17, 46:5, 47:8	taken 2:2, 99:17	114:18	78:21, 79:4, 79:13		
struggling 26:5	48:9, 64:19, 69:24	130:4 talk 17:24, 61:5	testify 115:12	80:14, 82:12, 84:4		
30:12, 33:14	73:14, 82:23, 86:8	61:5, 70:22, 71:21	testimony 4:3	84:7, 93:20, 94:5		
42:12, 99:9, 123:5	86:16, 87:15	talked 19:11, 21:7	66:22, 68:2, 68:9	99:5, 100:20		
stub-ins 56:2	105:22, 108:6 108:22, 123:7	50:12, 67:19	110:9, 130:7	101:21, 104:9		
studies 98:10	•	83:16, 91:16	130:11	106:7, 106:11		
99:11, 99:17	124:13, 125:16 surface 37:24	92:17, 95:24	Thank 13:10	106:16, 107:4		
100:2, 100:14	38:4	118:5	14:12, 14:23	108:5, 109:13		
study 79:23, 90:20	surprise 125:4	talking 63:22	15:12, 18:25	111:23, 113:24		
90:21, 92:13 98:23, 99:18	surprise 123.4 surprised 19:18	74:3, 74:9, 75:24	20:11, 20:21, 21:4	114:17, 114:18		
1	surprised 19.16	76:10, 76:16, 78:3	21:21, 24:3, 24:8	114:25, 115:3		
99:19 stuff 12:2, 16:2	79:21	86:22, 88:11	24:21, 26:19	115:12, 119:16		

123:17, 124:5	32:12, 36:24	114:21, 123:1	20:9, 33:12, 35:17	34:24, 35:4, 63:16	
125:2, 125:6	40:21, 49:12, 90:9	TURCKE 2:18	36:6, 36:7, 37:12	74:1, 81:18	
125:8	91:5, 94:18, 96:22	turn 44:23, 82:7	41:5, 59:7, 67:14	utility 26:3, 76:23	
thinking 26:22	102:3, 103:20	82:10	73:24, 84:23, 86:9		
35:14	105:22, 124:23	turned 45:6, 45:7	88:15, 88:21	\mathbf{V}	
think's 124:8	tracking 89:11	82:12, 92:4	88:25, 89:3, 89:9		
third 27:13, 93:25	125:15	two 8:11, 11:12	89:23, 91:8, 93:3	vacancy 25:2	
103:11, 113:3	tracks 23:12	11:13, 14:19	97:1, 115:21	122:8, 123:19	
113:13	traffic 65:3	20:17, 20:23, 28:2	115:23, 123:5	valid 87:9, 87:18	
Thornton 24:23	transcribed 130:8	32:6, 35:5, 35:6	understated 58:5	87:22, 108:17	
60:2	transcripts 43:6	35:10, 36:24, 45:6	understood 38:21	108:19, 108:23	
thought 32:9	transpose 123:3	51:18, 62:18, 67:7	48:7, 59:12, 66:22	114:22, 114:25	
61:17, 80:20, 94:8	transposition	82:10, 83:24, 84:3	unemployment	124:6	
101:23, 108:20	50:11, 51:7, 51:9	84:3, 84:6, 103:7	122:9	Valley 4:13, 5:19	
112:14, 126:23	53:12	121:2	unit 65:7	22:2, 24:6, 24:25	
three 11:20, 16:12	Treasure 5:19	type 76:17, 76:24	United 36:17	57:2, 65:8, 98:15	
45:7, 66:10, 68:19	22:2, 24:6, 24:25	86:2	36:20, 91:11	98:16, 99:15	
102:4, 103:4	57:2, 65:7, 98:15	types 110:1	91:18, 91:22, 92:1	99:17, 100:3	
threw 107:9	98:16, 99:15	typically 35:8	93:21, 115:18	124:7, 124:20	
throwing 42:2	99:17, 100:2	38:9	units 5:6, 55:9	varies 35:9	
thumb 10:24	124:7, 124:20	typo 46:9	64:16	variety 61:2	
ticking 81:13	triangle 103:20		unoccupied 55:8	64:18, 78:1, 105:2	
tide 124:5	105:21, 116:25	U	55:11, 55:15	107:11	
time 10:12, 19:20	triangles 99:21		unreasonable	various 4:20	
29:15, 31:8, 34:22	Tribune 23:7	Uh-huh 31:5, 56:5	26:17, 34:8, 34:10	16:15, 30:21, 54:4	
55:21, 56:24	23:8	80:1, 103:5, 114:4	34:11, 34:16	72:22, 72:25	
61:13, 64:16	tried 100:4	Uh-oh 45:18	62:11, 62:12	98:24, 105:5	
65:18, 65:25	101:21, 119:5	ultimate 53:4	65:19, 73:22	108:10, 109:14	
67:13, 70:23	119:6	54:14	78:19, 104:24	109:20, 109:25	
70:24, 76:5, 80:21	true 37:22, 38:25	uncertain 65:20	105:6, 105:18	varying 57:21	
83:8, 97:24, 98:1	89:25, 97:25	75:3	107:4, 114:17	version 20:16	
109:8, 109:15	102:14, 128:9	uncertainty 76:20	untranspose 51:18	20:17, 21:1, 25:17	
110:22, 112:4	130:10	80:15	unusual 106:14	27:17, 27:23	
112:10, 112:11	true-up 34:17	uncomfortable	update 32:8	versus 73:21	
114:7, 114:21	truncate 47:9	63:7	119:16	83:20, 85:23	
114:22, 114:23	truncated 47:1	underlined 30:7	updated 4:15	124:15	
120:7, 130:5	truncation 43:4	30:17, 33:8, 33:22	20:5, 35:3, 35:6	view 17:3, 21:14	
130:6	truth 7:2	underlining 33:4	use 16:8, 23:25	37:20, 47:3, 49:17	
times 30:21, 70:9	try 15:23, 16:1	understand 16:13	27:4, 32:16, 32:18	50:8, 58:19, 63:11	
75:12	29:6, 66:19, 80:9	16:21, 27:6, 36:11	34:6, 38:13, 38:24	63:25	
title 95:4	87:20	41:7, 41:24, 42:13	39:3, 39:6, 40:13	virtue 30:23	
today 7:11, 12:18	trying 8:16, 11:18	47:8, 48:11, 49:21	40:23, 41:2, 53:18		
13:1, 17:22, 42:21	14:2, 27:5, 27:6	61:18, 67:24, 71:3	62:22, 63:18	\mathbf{W}	
63:6, 68:2	31:3, 32:18, 33:15	73:15, 83:18	63:18, 72:1, 83:5	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
toilet 39:15	37:9, 37:20, 40:2	84:13, 84:15, 86:8	109:16, 110:3	Wait 28:1	
told 9:4, 16:10	41:24, 42:12, 43:1	86:16, 99:13	110:12, 114:17	want 23:15, 39:17	
31:8, 31:17, 36:14	46:15, 48:9, 49:22	108:22, 111:18	115:1, 117:2	41:18, 43:24	
41:13, 41:19, 59:3	63:17, 70:3, 71:2	113:10, 113:11	uses 39:8, 54:4	67:21, 79:22, 86:8	
tool 15:24	72:8, 90:24, 99:13	117:14	Utah 67:12	86:15, 86:16	
top 23:6, 31:4	105:13, 113:11	understanding	utilities 34:24	101:19, 116:2	
1 *			l		

	T		.5/2011		
121:24, 123:15	70:13, 73:19	126:15, 126:16	27:20, 31:12	yesterday's 17:16	
wanted 9:2, 9:2	75:17, 86:12	126:18	32:23, 33:6, 33:21	yield 100:6, 102:1	
29:6, 45:10	103:19, 105:21	words 47:18	37:17, 38:1, 46:2		
104:14	106:14, 112:21	47:19, 57:17, 58:3	46:4, 47:16, 51:15	Z	
wants 123:24	ways 78:1	115:10, 120:4	62:23, 68:12, 76:3		
water 1:1, 2:3, 3:3	Weaver 4:9, 8:6	work 7:21, 8:13	78:21, 82:5, 95:21	zero 84:1	
4:22, 5:15, 5:25	15:10, 16:10	11:14, 12:7, 13:23	99:12, 105:7		
6:4, 7:12, 9:19	16:12, 16:19	14:5, 14:17, 15:8	107:10, 108:5	0	
16:4, 16:16, 17:1	17:17, 36:19	16:14, 27:2, 46:25	108:5, 112:8	0-10-1000 115	
25:19, 26:9, 27:4	51:22, 53:13	61:8, 65:16, 66:24	115:17, 116:19	07/05/2008 4:15	
32:18, 36:5, 36:10	68:13, 72:22	67:25, 68:19, 71:7	year 4:23, 5:19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
36:16, 36:17	88:18, 90:15	71:24, 71:24	5:20, 24:6, 24:6	1	
36:17, 36:22	90:17, 93:1	73:15, 74:15	49:12, 49:13	1 5 16 0 1 05 01	
37:10, 38:1, 38:2	107:14, 115:14	81:20, 92:16, 93:8	49:16, 51:10	1 7:16, 8:4, 25:24	
38:10, 38:12	115:22	93:9	54:19, 58:21	30:6, 30:22, 31:3	
38:25, 39:5, 39:22	Weaver's 11:9	worked 7:23, 8:11	83:14, 83:14	44:25, 45:2, 46:6	
40:5, 40:6, 40:13	web 15:14	14:1, 40:25, 43:17	years 11:20, 11:20	46:8, 49:5, 49:6	
40:22, 41:2, 41:12	website 14:14	46:14, 66:23	18:8, 20:15, 26:3	49:7, 90:1, 97:5	
41:21, 41:24, 42:6	18:20, 23:15	working 9:1	26:4, 26:8, 26:13	98:18, 100:10	
43:6, 44:10, 44:12	weight 58:6	13:25, 34:23, 97:9	30:14, 33:19	101:2, 109:19	
47:1, 48:18, 48:24	wells 4:19, 15:6	works 59:7	33:24, 33:25, 34:3	109:20, 110:13	
48:25, 49:1, 49:1	15:11	world 48:24	34:3, 34:7, 34:15	126:14	
49:19, 49:23, 52:5	went 18:12, 18:14	65:15, 123:8	34:16, 34:18, 35:1	1:00 2:4	
52:7, 54:4, 55:25	19:12, 25:12	worried 15:10	35:5, 35:6, 39:6	10 34:3, 34:7	
56:6, 58:19, 61:11	52:21, 60:1, 72:22	worst 80:5, 80:5	43:8, 43:9, 43:10	34:15, 34:18	
61:12, 61:21	79:11, 79:13, 82:1	worth 46:17	47:11, 47:12	56:24, 57:1, 83:9	
61:25, 62:2, 63:8	82:6, 82:25, 95:21	wow 81:9, 83:13	47:21, 48:6, 49:2	10/28/2007 4:11	
63:13, 63:17	95:23, 111:3	writing 25:12	56:25, 57:1, 60:13	105,000 103:24	
67:23, 72:9, 74:10	111:9, 115:3	written 22:13	62:4, 62:18, 63:13	105:22	
74:17, 77:3, 77:6	116:16, 116:22	29:9, 30:25, 36:16	65:20, 73:16	106,175 28:10	
77:18, 77:22, 78:7	119:8	39:25, 50:10	74:25, 74:25	10-year 34:9, 35:9	
84:10, 90:20	West 2:14, 2:20	88:20, 89:6, 89:13	76:14, 78:17	80:13, 80:21	
90:20, 90:24	we've 46:20	wrong 76:15	78:22, 78:23	114:12	
90:25, 91:1, 91:7	WHEREOF	76:15, 101:20	79:11, 79:13	12 4:8, 4:10, 4:12	
91:11, 91:18	130:15	wrote 13:18, 32:7	79:16, 81:17, 83:9	4:15, 4:16, 4:19	
91:22, 92:1, 93:6	wide 112:3	33:1, 35:12, 38:24	83:12, 84:2, 91:22	4:20, 4:22, 4:24	
93:12, 93:13	112:16	42:23, 88:16, 89:8	92:2, 92:24, 93:5	5:4, 5:7, 5:9, 5:10	
93:18, 93:21	Wilder 60:2	Wyoming 82:19	93:12, 94:1, 94:14	5:11, 5:13, 5:14 5:17, 5:19, 5:21	
93:22, 96:16	window 26:2, 26:7		95:7, 95:11, 95:19	l ' '	
96:19, 98:2	26:9	X	95:19, 96:12	5:23, 5:24, 6:4, 6:6	
102:17, 102:21	witness 22:6	VV 16.7	96:14, 96:15	12/31/2010 4:23 14 42:4	
115:19, 115:19	22:12, 22:18, 27:2	XX 46:7	109:12, 109:21 109:25, 112:3	14 42:4 143 55:8, 55:11	
Water's 36:20	64:4, 68:12, 70:12	* 7	114:19, 118:9	15 62:4, 81:17	
water-supplying	70:17, 110:8	Y	120:8, 120:8	83:9, 83:14, 94:1	
16:21	110:19, 121:20	V ovic 101.6	120:8, 120:8	94:14, 95:11	
way 10:20, 15:7	121:23, 126:6	Y-axis 101:6	123:18, 123:18	16,254 50:9, 50:16	
19:17, 31:4, 44:21	128:5, 130:6	yeah 8:9, 13:16 14:22, 20:10	125.18, 125.18	51:4, 51:11, 53:1	
47:13, 48:11	130:15	22:21, 24:13, 25:6	yesterday 11:9	53:6	
53:16, 58:18 64:10, 67:11	word 62:22, 67:21 74:16, 78:5	25:7, 27:15, 27:18	13:6, 51:21	16,524 51:11	

Don Reading, Ph.D. 9/23/2011

Don Reading, Ph.D. 9/23/2011							
51:20, 52:22, 53:1	114:6	109:21, 114:19	109:12, 109:21	4.54 118:19			
53:14	2011 1:15, 2:5	118:8	111:5, 111:11	4:31 127:5			
17,445 33:11	5:11, 5:23, 10:19	254 51:14	112:3, 120:8	40 5:23, 24:22			
17,455 42:15	14:10, 20:24, 22:3	25-year 114:13	120:11, 130:24	24:23, 33:24			
43:13, 44:17, 53:5	24:12, 24:23	114:14, 118:3	30th 130:16	33:25, 34:3, 78:23			
54:11, 55:15	31:14, 46:3, 56:17	26 4:19, 15:4, 15:7	30-year 5:4, 17:12	79:11, 79:13			
17,811 55:5, 55:15	58:20, 130:16	15:13	20:19, 21:9, 26:17	79:17, 79:18			
19,980 113:4	130:24	27 4:20, 15:17	33:7, 48:5, 49:18	80:13			
19,985 33:1	2012 58:21, 62:18	18:12, 18:13	50:2, 50:18, 50:21	41 5:24, 25:14			
1990 29:17, 102:4	62:18	18:13, 18:14	53:5, 65:18, 97:14	25:21, 27:1, 27:14			
1996 9:19	2014 56:17, 62:10	2720 2:15	98:1, 114:21	27:16, 27:25, 28:1			
1st 24:13, 46:1	2015 47:22	274 26:20	31 5:7, 18:5, 18:17	28:9, 29:1, 29:20			
150 2	2016 43:3, 47:10	28 4:22, 16:3	18:23	42 6:4, 29:1, 29:2			
2	59:17, 59:23, 60:6	280 26:21	31.8 110:25	30:3, 30:4, 30:6			
<u> </u>	81:2, 85:23, 120:4	29 4:24, 16:11	111:11	32:2, 35:23, 36:15			
2 10:22, 12:13	121:2	16:12	3135 2:24	38:23, 40:18			
26:1, 26:20, 27:1	2025 94:2, 94:7	29.7 42:8, 42:9	32 5:9, 19:1	43 6:6, 12:22			
27:10, 27:12	94:17, 95:5		322 2:3, 3:6	44:23, 44:24, 45:2			
27:13, 28:8, 30:23	116:20, 118:14	3	33 5:10, 20:12	44 56:22			
32:2, 52:14, 53:19	2030 21:7, 49:12	<u> </u>	34 5:11, 20:22				
96:21, 107:12	94:19, 94:19, 95:3	3 5:11, 11:5, 12:14	94:10, 94:11	5			
110:14, 116:7	95:5	22:4, 32:11, 56:10	35 5:13, 21:5, 42:8	3			
2.3 115:1	2031 93:12	56:13, 57:6, 79:4	36 5:14, 21:22	5 4:16, 35:23			
2.34 118:3, 118:5	2040 48:1, 48:4	79:7, 79:12, 98:7	51:20	49:12, 50:17			
2.49 54:25	48:16, 48:19	107:12, 116:7	37 5:17, 21:25	119:22			
2.6 116:9, 118:13	49:13, 49:16, 50:3	120:21, 121:1	22:5, 22:8, 22:10	5:00 66:12, 66:12			
2.7 29:23	50:19, 50:20	121:7, 121:11	22:12, 22:15	121:22			
2.7 23.23 2.9 124:15	50:21, 58:16	121:15, 122:2	22:25, 23:3	50 92:1			
20 20:15, 26:13	58:20, 62:16	124:11, 124:14	38 5:19, 22:6	520 2:20			
34:25, 43:8, 43:9	2041 58:21	126:25	22:10, 24:4	56,892 33:15			
74:25, 83:14	2042 58:21, 62:18	3.1 124:15	39 5:21, 24:9				
93:12, 120:8	2060 102:18	3.37 119:18	3rd 14:10	6			
128:12, 128:19	20-year 20:19	3.4 116:8, 116:11					
2000 18:23, 29:17	35:10, 97:14	119:19	4	6 17:16, 17:23			
29:18, 102:6	97:16, 97:24	3.6 29:9, 29:10	7	17:25, 36:15, 51:3			
125:5	120:10	3:15 66:14	4 28:17, 29:21	51:17, 51:23			
2000-2010 113:16	21 4:8, 12:22, 13:6	30 5:4, 17:10	33:18, 35:11	52:25, 54:18			
114:3	21,000 32:24	17:18, 17:22	51:21, 52:1, 52:2	121:11, 122:1			
2004 20:4, 32:7	22 4:10, 13:20	17:23, 26:3, 26:4	52:14, 53:3, 53:6	126:25			
2005 20:6, 125:14	13:21, 13:22, 14:7		53:16, 53:20	6.03 113:16, 114:9			
2007 13:19	23 1:15, 2:5, 4:12	34:3, 34:7, 34:9	54:13, 56:10	6.1 118:17			
2007 13.17 2009 32:8	14:9	34:15, 34:25	56:13, 62:19	60 18:3, 51:20			
2010 4:18, 15:2	23.18 52:8	43:10, 47:11, 49:2	115:11, 116:13	600 2:4			
18:22, 18:24	24 4:15, 14:13	54:19, 56:24, 57:1	116:17, 116:18	601 2:14			
29:15, 33:2, 47:21	14:16, 14:24	63:13, 74:25	118:15, 119:7	63-32573 1:5, 6:8			
50:3, 50:23, 50:24	24,035 115:12	76:14, 78:17	119:19, 126:14	7:18			
58:20, 58:24, 59:2	245 51:12	78:22, 79:11	4.39 29:9, 86:24	640 1:21, 130:2			
62:16, 94:16	25 4:16, 14:25	79:16, 80:13, 91:5	111:3, 111:19	130:21			
102:6, 103:9	15:1, 18:15, 18:22	95:19, 96:12	111:24	66 4:5			
103:15, 107:12	51:10, 91:5	96:14, 96:15	4.4 118:19	1			
105.15, 107.12	51.10, 51.5	70.1., 70.15					

	DON	nedding,	Pn.D. 9/2	.0,	
7					
7 36:23, 38:22 49:5, 49:10					
121:25, 124:23		'			
7,126 4:4 7,153 54:21, 55:8 64:15					
7.5 113:16, 113:19					
114:3, 114:5					
114:10 70 81:10					
70s 82:17					
75 73:16 76,000 33:7					
76,205 30:18, 33:3					
8					
8 40:16, 41:17 42:5, 124:23					
80 81:10					
83616 2:25		l.			
130:23 83701-2720 2:16					
83701-2720 2:10 83702 2:21					
83720 3:7					
83720-0098 3:8					
9					
90 102:6					
950 2:20					
99 52:5, 52:7 52:21, 63:4					
/					
/// 4:25					
,,,					