Michael C. Creamer, ISB # 4030 Michael P. Lawrence, ISB # 7288 GIVENS PURSLEY LLP 601 W. Bannock St. P.O. Box 2720 Boise, Idaho 83701-2720 Telephone: 208-388-1200 Fax: 208-388-1300

Attorneys for City of Hailey

Scott L. Campbell, ISB # 2251
Matthew J. McGee, ISB # 7979
MOFFATT, THOMAS, BARRETT, ROCK &
FIELDS, CHARTERED
101 S. Capitol Blvd., 10th Floor
Post Office Box 829
Boise, Idaho 83701
Telephone: (208) 345-2000
Fax: (208) 385-5384

Attorneys for Sun Valley Company

Susan E. Buxton, ISB # 4041 Cherese D. McLain, ISB # 7911 MOORE SMITH BUXTON & TURCKE, CHTD. 950 W. Bannock St., Ste. 520 Boise, Idaho 83702

Telephone: (208) 331-1800 Fax: (208) 331-1202

Attorneys for the Cities of Ketchum and Fairfield

Candice M. McHugh, ISB # 5908 Chris M. Bromley, ISB # 6530 MCHUGH BROMLEY, PLLC 380 S. 4th St., Ste. 103 District Court - SRBA Boise, Idaho 83702 In Re: Administrative Appeals Telephone: 208-287 0990 ounty of Twin Falls - State of Idaho Fifth Judicial District Fax: 208-287-0864 SEP 1 7 2015 Attorneys for City of Bellevue By. JOSEPH F. JAMES, ISB # 577 BROWN & JAMES 130 Fourth Avenue West Gooding, Idaho 83330 Telephone: 208-934-8185 Fax: 208-934-4101

Attorneys for Big & Little Wood Water Users Association

LAWRENCE G. WASDEN ATTORNEY GENERAL

CLIVE J. STRONG
Deputy Attorney General
Chief, Natural Resources Division

GARRICK L. BAXTER, ISB #6301 EMMI L. BLADES, ISB #8682

Deputy Attorneys General Idaho Department of Water Resources P.O. Box 83720 Boise, Idaho 83720-0098 Telephone: (208) 287-4800 Facsimile: (208) 287-6700

Facsimile: (208) 287-6700 garrick.baxter@idwr.idaho.gov emmi.blades@idwr.idaho.gov

Attorneys for Gary Spackman and the Idaho Department of Water Resources

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE

STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ADA

CITY OF HAILEY, an Idaho municipal corporation, and CITY OF BELLEVUE, an Idaho municipal corporation,

Case No. CV-WA-2015-14419

Petitioners,

STIPULATION

VS.

GARY SPACKMAN in his official capacity as the Director of the IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES; and the IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES,

Respondents.

SUN VALLEY COMPANY, a Wyoming corporation,

Petitioners,

VS.

GARY SPACKMAN in his official capacity as the Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources, and the IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES,

Respondents.

IN THE MATTER OF DISTRIBUTION OF WATER TO WATER RIGHTS HELD BY MEMBERS OF THE BIG WOOD & LITTLE WOOD WATER USERS ASSOCIATION DIVERTING FROM THE BIG WOOD AND LITTLE WOOD RIVERS

Case No. CV-WA-2015-14500

STIPULATION

The City of Hailey ("Hailey"); the City of Believue ("Believue"); Sun Valley Company ("SVC"); the Big & Little Wood Water Users Association ("WUA"); the City of Ketchum ("Ketchum"); the City of Fairfield ("Fairfield"), and the Idaho Department of Water Resources ("Department") and Gary Spackman, Director of the Department, by and through their respective attorneys of record, hereby enter into this Stipulation in the above-captioned matters.

BACKGROUND

1. The WUA, through their counsel, submitted written requests to the Department that the Watermaster of Water Districts 37 and 37B administer junior ground water rights that the WUA alleges are causing material injury to the surface water rights of the WUA's members.

->

- 2. The Department deemed the WUA's requests to be delivery calls as defined in the Department's Conjunctive Management Rules, IDAPA 37.03.11 ("CM Rules"), and initiated contested case proceedings for the Big Wood Delivery Call (Docket No. CM-DC-2015-001) and the Little Wood Delivery Call (Docket No. CM-DC-2015-002) ("Delivery Calls") under CM Rule 40.
- 3. In a letter dated March 20, 2015, the Department notified holders of certain ground water rights within Water Districts 37 and 37B of the filing of the WUA's requests for administration. The Department also published notice of the Delivery Calls in the Idaho Mountain Express and Camas Courier on March 25th, 2015 & April 1st, 2015; and the Times News on March 26th, 2015 & April 2nd, 2015.
- Hailey, Bellevue, Ketchum, Fairfield and SVC, among others, filed notices of intent to participate in the Delivery Calls.
- 5. On June 25, 2015, SVC filed its Motion to Dismiss Contested Case Proceedings ("Sun Valley's Motion") arguing, among other things, the WUA failed to file petitions for delivery calls compliant with the requirements of Idaho Code § 42-237b, the Department's Rules of Procedure, and the CM Rules.
- 6. On June 26, 2015, Hailey and Bellevue filed their Joint Motion to Designate

 ACGWS by Rulemaking and to Dismiss Delivery Calls ("Cities" Motion") arguing that the

 Department may not administer ground water rights in Water Districts 37 and 37B in response to
 a delivery call under CM Rule 40 unless and until the Department, through rulemaking,

establishes an area of common ground water supply ("ACGWS") that encompasses the WUA's members' water rights and potentially implicated junior ground water rights.

- 7. On July 22, 2015 the Department issued its Order Denying Sun Valley Company's Motion to Dismiss ("Sun Valley Order") denying Sun Valley's Motion, and its Order Denying Joint Motion to Designate ACGWS by Rulemaking and to Dismiss Delivery Calls ("ACGWS Order") denying the Cities' Motion. Neither the Sun Valley Order nor the ACGWS Order contained language or was accompanied by a document containing language indicating they were recommended orders, preliminary orders or final orders. Thus, under the Department's Rule of Procedure 710, the Sun Valley Order and ACGWS Order are interlocutory orders.
- 8. On August 6 and 8, 2015, respectively, SVC timely filed its Motion for Review of Interlocutory Order and Hailey and Bellevue timely filed their Joint Motion for Review of Interlocutory Order in the Delivery Calls (together the "Rule 711 Motions") seeking review of the Sun Valley Order and the ACGWS Order under the Department's Rule of Procedure 711, IDAPA 37.01.01.711. As of this date, the Director has taken no action to rescind, alter or amend the Sun Valley Order or the ACGWS Order in response to the Rule 711 Motions.
- 9. On August 18, 2015, Hailey and Bellevue filed their Petition for Judicial Review of Agency Action ("Cities' Petition") in Ada County District Court, Case No. CV-OC-1514419, seeking judicial review of the ACGWS Order pursuant to Idaho Code §§ 67-5270 and 67-5271(2) and Rule 84 of the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure, seeking judicial review of an intermediate agency action on the grounds that review of final agency action will not provide them with an adequate remedy.
- 10. On August 19, 2015, SVC filed its Petition for Judicial Review ("SVC Petition") in Ada County District Court, Case No. CV-OC-1514500, seeking judicial review of the Sun Valley Order pursuant to Idaho Code §§ 67-5270 and 67-5271(2) and Rule 84 of the Idaho Rules

of Civil Procedure, seeking judicial review of an intermediate agency action on the grounds that review of final agency action will not provide them with an adequate remedy.

- 11. The Cities' Petition and the SVC Petition (together the "Petitions") have been reassigned from the Fourth District to the Snake River Basin Adjudication District Court of the Fifth Judicial District. The Cities' Petition was renumbered by the Court from CV-OC-1514419 to CV-WA-2015-14419. The SVC Petition was renumbered by the Court from CV-OC-1514500 to CV-WA-2015-14500.
- 12. The Department disputes that the ACGWS Order and the Sun Valley Order may be reviewed by the District Court at this time.
- 13. All of the parties to this Stipulation agree that expedited judicial review of the issues raised by the Cities' Motion and Sun Valley's Motion on the terms set forth below is in the interests of administrative and judicial economy.

STIPULATION

- 14. Designation of the ACGWS Order and the Sun Valley Order as Final Orders.

 Amendment of Petitions, and Augmentation of Records on Appeal. The parties to this

 Stipulation agree to take the following actions with respect to the ACGWS Order and the Sun

 Valley Order, the Petitions, and the records on appeal:
 - a. Hailey, Bellevue, Ketchum, Fairfield and SVC will file a motion requesting the Director designate the ACGWS Order and the Sun Valley Order as final orders pursuant to the Department's Rules of Procedure 710 and 750 with respect to issues presented by the Cities' Motion and Sun Valley's Motion ("Motion to Designate"). If no objection is filed to the Motion to Designate, the Director will issue separate orders designating the ACGWS Order and the Sun Valley Order as final orders subject to judicial review consistent with the Department's Rule of Procedure 740 ("Designation Orders"). If an objection is timely filed to the

- Motion to Designate, the Director will consider the objection and rule on the merits of the motion and objection accordingly.
- Hailey, Bellevue, Ketchum, Fairfield, SVC, and the WUA agree not to seek reconsideration of, or file exceptions to, the Designation Orders.
- c. Promptly following expiration of the time allowed for other parties to the Delivery Calls who are not parties to this *Stipulation* to seek reconsideration, file exceptions or request a hearing on the Designation Orders, assuming no parties have done so, Hailey and Bellevue and SVC will take appropriate steps to amend their respective *Petitions* in the District Court to reflect that they are seeking judicial review of final agency actions. Within twenty-one (21) days of the filing of the settled records, the parties will take appropriate steps to augment the records before the District Court with the Motion to Designate and Designation Orders in accordance with Rule 84(1), Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure.
- d. The parties agree not to oppose the above-described amendments to the *Petitions*, or to assert that Hailey and Bellevue or SVC have failed to exhaust administrative remedies, or to take any other action to prevent review by the District Court, on the merits, of the issues presented.
- c. In the event the Director takes any action in response to the Rule 711 Motions within twenty-one (21) days of the filing of the settled records, the parties agree that Hailey and Bellevue and SVC will take appropriate step to amend their Petitions, if necessary, and the parties will take appropriate steps to augment the records before the District Court with any record or evidence of such action in accordance with Rule 84(1), Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure.
- None of the parties shall be deemed to have waived any claim or defense, including without limitation claims or defenses concerning adequate remedies,

exhaustion of administrative remedies and those claims, defenses, arguments, findings or conclusions contained in the Cities' Motion. Sun Valley's Motion, the ACGWS Order, the Sun Valley Order, or the Petitions in the event the District Court does not recognize the Designation Orders and/or Hailey and Bellevue's or SVC's amendments of the Petitions as conferring jurisdiction on the Court to review the ACGWS Order or the Sun Valley Order as final agency actions.

- 15. <u>Stipulation to Expedite Judicial Review</u>. The parties agree to take all reasonable steps to expedite the District's Court judicial review of the *Petitions*.
 - Joint Motion to Stay Contested Case Proceedings.
 - a. Hailey, Bellevue, Ketchum, Fairfield, SVC, and the WUA will file with the Department a Joint Motion for Stay of Delivery Calls substantially in the form attached hereto as <u>Attachment A</u> ("Motion for Stay"), which is hereby incorporated by reference.
 - b. Consistent with the Department's Rule of Procedure 270, any party to the Delivery Calls may file a responsive pleading to the Motion for Stay within fourteen (14) days of the filing of the motion.
 - c. The Department will expedite its decision on the Motion for Stay.
 - d. Following issuance of Rule 54 final and appealable judgments on both Petitions by the District Court, the Department will promptly notice scheduling of a status conference at which the parties to the Delivery Calls and the Department will consult concerning the procedural steps required to give effect to the District Court's decisions, including without limitation, dismissal of the contested cases, or establishing a hearing schedule.
- 17. Stipulation as to Hearing Schedule as to Further Proceedings in the Delivery

 Calls.

- a. If proceedings resume on the Delivery Calls following the stay ordered pursuant to the Motion for Stay, the parties to the Delivery Calls shall be entitled to a schedule providing the following time frames at a minimum:
 - i. Discovery. The parties to the Delivery Calls shall be entitled to resume discovery as authorized by the Director's May 13, 2015, Order Authorizing Discovery: Notice of Pre-Heuring Conference, for a minimum period of one hundred eighty (180) days, ending no earlier than thirty (30) days prior the Hearing.
 - ii. Expert Witness Disclosures. The parties to the Delivery Calls shall disclose export witnesses expected to testify at the Hearing, including information required by I.R.C.P. 16(a)(1) and 24(b)(4)(A), no later than sixty (60) days prior to the Hearing.
 - iii. Hearing. Any evidentiary hearings on the Delivery Calls shall be held no cartier than two hundred forty (240) days following the conclusion of the stay ordered pursuant to Motion for Stay.

DATED this

GIVENS PURSLEY LLP

MCHUGH BROMLEY, PLLC

Michael C. Creamer Attorneys for City of Halley Candice M. McHugh

Attorneys for City of Belleville

MOFFATT, THOMAS, BARRETT, ROCK & FIELDS, CHARTERED

BROWN & JAMES

Matthew J. McGee

Attorneys for Sim Valley Company

Joseph F. James

Attorneys for Big & Little Wood Water Users Association

amylugh

STIPULATION- 8

MOORE SMITH BUXTON & TURCKE, CHTD.

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Susan E. Buxton

Attorneys for Cities of Ketchum and Fairfield

Carrick D. Duxter

Atturney for the Idaho Department of Water Resources and Gary Spackman, Director of the Idaho Department of

Water Resources

By:

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 17 day of September 2015, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing document to be filed with the Court and served on the followin parties by the indicated methods:	
CANDICE MCHUGH CHRIS M BROMLEY MCHUGH BROMLEY PLLC 380 S 4 TH STREET STE 103 BOISE ID 83702 cmchugh@mchughbromley.com cbromley@mchughbromley.com	(x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid () Hand Delivery () Facsimile (x) E-mail
MICHAEL C CREAMER MICHAEL P LAWRENCE GIVENS PURSLEY LLP PO BOX 2720 BOISE ID 83701-2720 mcc@givenspursley.com mpl@givenspursley.com	(x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid () Hand Delivery () Facsimile (x) E-mail
SCOTT L CAMPBELL NORMAN M SEMANKO MATTHEW J MCGEE MOFFATT THOMAS PO BOX 829 BOISE IDAHO 83701 slc@moffatt.com nms@moffatt.com mim@moffatt.com	(x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid () Hand Delivery () Facsimile (x) E-mail
GARRICK L BAXTER EMMI L BLADES ID DEPT OF WATER RESOURCES PO BOX 83720 BOISE ID 83720-0098 gatrick.baxter@idwr.idabo.gov	(x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid () Hand Delivery () Facsimile (x) E-mail

emmi.blades@idwr.idaho.gov

SUSAN E BUXTON CHERESE D MCLAIN MOORE SMITH BUXTON & TURKE 950 W BANNOCK STREET SUITE 520 **BOISE ID 83702** seb@msbtlaw.com

(x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid

() Hand Delivery

() Facsimile (x) E-mail

cdm@msbtlaw.com

JOSEPH F JAMES **BROWN & JAMES** 130 FOURTH AVENUE WEST GOODING ID 83330 ioe@browniameslaw.com

(x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid

() Hand Delivery

() Facsimile

(x) E-mail

DYLAN LAWRENCE VARIN WARDWELL THOMAS + KUNKEL LLC 242 N. 8TH ST., SUITE 220 BOISE, ID 83702 dylanlawrence@varinwardweil.com

(x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid

() Hand Delivery () Facsimile

(x) E-mail

ALBERT P. BARKER BARKER ROSHOLT & SIMPSON LLP 1010 W. JEFFERSON ST., SUITE 102 P.O. BOX 2139

BOISE, ID 83701-2139 apb@idahowaters.com

JAMES P. SPECK SPECK & AANESTAD 120 E. AVE. P.O. BOX 987 KETCHUM, ID 83340 jim@speckandaanestad.com (x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid

() Hand Delivery

() Facsimile

(x) E-mail

(x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid

() Hand Delivery () Pacsimile

(x) E-mail

Michael C. Creamer Attorneys for City of Hailey