### **RECEIVED**

Apr 08, 2024

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Thomas J. Budge (ISB# 7465) Elisheva M. Patterson (ISB#11746) RACINE OLSON, PLLP 201 E. Center St. / P.O. Box 1391 Pocatello, Idaho 83204 (208) 232-6101 – phone (208) 232-6109 – fax tj@racineolson.com elisheva@racineolson.com

Attorneys for Idaho Ground Water Appropriators, Inc. (IGWA)

#### STATE OF IDAHO

#### DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

IN THE MATTER OF DISTRIBUTION OF WATER TO VARIOUS WATER RIGHTS HELD BY OR FOR THE BENEFIT OF A&B IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AMERICAN FALLS RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2, BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY, AND TWIN FALLS CANAL COMPANY

IN THE MATTER OF IGWA'S SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT MITIGATION PLAN

Docket No. CM-MP-2016-001

DECLARATION OF ELISHEVA M.
PATTERSON IN SUPPORT OF MOTION
TO VACATE ORDER

- 1. I am one of the attorneys of record representing Idaho Ground Water Appropriators, Inc. ("IGWA") in the above-captioned matter.
- 2. The above-captioned matter is a contested case of the Idaho Department of Water Resources ("Department" or "IDWR").
- 3. IDWR Docket No. CM-MP-2016-001 concerns a Settlement Agreement between IGWA and the Surface Water Coalition ("SWC") which was approved as stipulated mitigation plan by the Director of the Department ("2016 Plan").

- 4. After the Director issued the *Final Order Regarding Compliance with Approved Mitigation Plan* on September 8, 2022, IGWA petitioned for reconsideration and a hearing on the matter. The petition for reconsideration was denied, but the hearing request granted and prehearing conference scheduled for November 10, 2022. Later, the hearing was scheduled for February 8, 2023.
- 5. On February 8, 2023, a hearing was held before the Director, regarding the interpretation and application of the 2016 Plan. Excerpts from the transcript from this hearing are attached hereto as Exhibit A. The full transcript from this hearing is attached hereto as Exhibit G, to provide a full record of the proceeding.
- 6. On March 14-15, 2024, a hearing was held before hearing officer Burdick regarding the alleged breach of the 2016 Plan by IGWA for the 2022 irrigation season. Excerpts from the transcript from this hearing are attached hereto as Exhibit B. The full transcript from this hearing is attached hereto as Exhibit H, to provide a full record of the proceeding.
- 7. On April 1, 2023, IGWA submitted its 2022 Performance Report and supporting workbook. A true and accurate copy of the 2022 Performance Report and a screenshot of the first tab from the workbook is attached hereto as Exhibit C. Both were submitted as exhibits in the March 14-15, 2024 hearing, as Exhibits 535 and 536, respectively.
- 8. After the February 2023 hearing the Director issued the *Amended Final Order Regarding Compliance with Approved Mitigation Plan* on April 24, 2023. IGWA appealed the Director's Amended Final Order to the district court. The district court issued its memorandum decision and order, affirming the Amended Final Order, on November 16, 2023 in Ada County Case No. CV01-23-7893. A true and correct copy of the November *Memorandum Decision and Order* is attached hereto as Exhibit D.
- 9. The November *Memorandum Decision and Order* did not address IGWA's use of the 5-year baseline in the compliance method IGWA had reported to the Director. IGWA petitioned for rehearing on this issue, which the district court denied on March 5, 2024. A true and correct copy of the March *Order Denying Petition for Rehearing* is attached hereto as Exhibit E.
- 10. On February 22, 2024, IGWA submitted the First Addendum to 2022 Performance Report to the Steering Committee and the Department. A true and correct copy of the First Addendum is attached hereto as Exhibit F.

I, Elisheva M. Patterson, declare under the penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the State of Idaho that the information in this Declaration is true and correct.

DATED this 8th day of April, 2024.

Elisheva M. Patterson Attorneys for IGWA

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 8th day of April, 2024, I served the foregoing document on the persons below via email at the address shown:

Elisheva M. **P**atterson

Hon. Roger S. Burdick, Hearing Officer Sarah Tschohl, Paralegal Idaho Department of Water Resources 322 E. Front St. Boise, ID 83720-0098	roburd47@gmail.com sarah.tschohl@idwr.idaho.gov file@idwr.idaho.gov
Garrick Baxter Idaho Department of Water Resources 322 E Front St. Boise, ID 83720-0098	garrick.baxter@idwr.idaho.gov
Dylan Anderson DYLAN ANDERSON LAW PO Box 35 Rexburg, Idaho 83440	dylan@dylanandersonlaw.com
Skyler C. Johns Nathan M. Olsen Steven L. Taggart OLSEN TAGGART PLLC 1449 E 17th St, Ste A PO Box 3005 Idaho Falls, ID 83403	sjohns@olsentaggart.com nolsen@olsentaggart.com staggart@olsentaggart.com

John K. Simpson Travis L. Thompson Abigail R. Bitzenburg MARTEN LAW P. O. Box 63 Twin Falls, ID 83303-0063	tthompson@martenlaw.com jsimpson@martenlaw.com abitzenburg@martenlaw.com jnielsen@martenlaw.com
W. Kent Fletcher FLETCHER LAW OFFICE P.O. Box 248 Burley, ID 83318	wkf@pmt.org
Sarah A Klahn Maximilian C. Bricker SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN 2033 11th Street, Ste 5 Boulder, Co 80302	sklahn@somachlaw.com mbricker@somachlaw.com vfrancisco@somachlaw.com

## Courtesy Copies to:

Candice McHugh Chris Bromley MCHUGH BROMLEY, PLLC 380 South 4th Street, Suite 103 Boise, ID 83 702	cbromley@mchughbromley.com cmchugh@mchughbromley.com
Robert E. Williams WILLIAMS, MESERVY, & LOTHSPEICH, LLP P.O. Box 168 Jerome, ID 83338	rewilliams@wmlattys.com
Robert L. Harris HOLDEN, KIDWELL, HAHN & CRAPO, PLLC P.O. Box 50130 Idaho Falls, ID 83405	rharris@holdenlegal.com
Michael A. Kirkham City Attorney, City of Idaho Falls P.O. Box 50220 Idaho Falls, ID 83402	mkirkham@idahofallsidaho.gov
Rich Diehl City of Pocatello P.O. Box 4169 Pocatello, ID 83205	rdiehl@pocatello.us

David W. Gehlert Natural Resources Section Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice 999 18th St., South Terrace, Suite 370 Denver, CO 80202	david.gehlert@usdoj.gov
Matt Howard US Bureau of Reclamation 1150 N Curtis Road Boise, ID 83706-1234	mhoward@usbr.gov
Tony Olenichak IDWR-Eastern Region 900 N. Skyline Drive, Ste. A Idaho Falls, ID 83402	Tony.Olenichak@idwr.idaho.gov
Corey Skinner IDWR-Southern Region 1341 Fillmore St., Ste. 200 Twin Falls, ID 83301-3033	corey.skinner@idwr.idaho.gov
William A. Parsons PARSONS, LOVELAND, SHIRLEY & LINDSTROM, LLP P.O. Box 910 Burley, ID 83318	wparsons@magicvalley.law

# EXHIBIT A

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ADA

IDAHO GROUND WATER APPROPRIATORS, INC.,)

Petitioner, )

v. ) Case No.

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES, ) CV01-23-07893

and GARY SPACKMAN in his capacity as )

the Director of the Idaho Department of)

Water Resources, )

Respondents. )

TRANSCRIBER'S TRANSCRIPT ON JUDICIAL REVIEW

Appealed from the Idaho Department of Water Resources

GARY SPACKMAN, DIRECTOR

For Petitioner: THOMAS J. BUDGE

ELISHEVA M. PATTERSON RACINE OLSON, PLLP 201 East Center Street Pocatello, Idaho 83204

For Respondents: GARRICK L. BAXTER

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Post Office Box 83720 Boise, Idaho 83720-0098

TRANSCRIBED BY:

JEFF LaMAR, C.S.R. No. 640

Notary Public

1	BEFORE THE IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
2	
3	IN THE MATTER OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF )
4	WATER TO VARIOUS WATER RIGHTS HELD BY)
5	AND FOR THE BENEFIT OF A&B IRRIGATION)
6	DISTRICT, AMERICAN FALLS RESERVOIR ) IDWR DOCKET NO.
7	DISTRICT #2, BURLEY IRRIGATION ) CM-DC-2010-001
8	DISTRICT, MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,)
9	MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NORTH )
10	SIDE CANAL COMPANY, AND TWIN FALLS )
11	CANAL COMPANY )
12	)
13	IN THE MATTER OF IGWA'S SETTLEMENT ) IDWR DOCKET NO.
14	AGREEMENT MITIGATION PLAN ) CM-MP-2016-001
15	)
16	
17	
18	
19	TRANSCRIBER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
20	
21	
22	This hearing came on before the Idaho Department
23	of Water Resources on the 8th day of February, 2023,
24	before Director Gary Spackman.
25	

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	For Idaho Department of Water Resources:
7	OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL
8	IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
9	BY MR. GARRICK BAXTER
10	322 East Front Street
11	Boise, Idaho 83720
12	garrick.baxter@idwr.idaho.gov
13	For Surface Water Coalition:
14	MARTEN LAW LLP
15	BY MR. JOHN K. SIMPSON
16	1010 West Jefferson, Suite 102
17	Post Office Box 2139
18	Boise, Idaho 83701-2139
19	jsimpson@martenlaw.com
20	-and-
21	MARTEN LAW LLP
22	BY MR. TRAVIS L. THOMPSON
23	Post Office Box 63
24	Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-0063
25	tthompson@martenlaw.com

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	For Idaho Ground Water Appropriators:
7	RACINE OLSON, PLLP
8	BY MR. THOMAS J. BUDGE
9	MS. ELISHEVA M. PATTERSON
10	201 East Center Street
11	Pocatello, Idaho 83204
12	tj@racineolson.com
13	elisheva@racineolson.com
14	For City of Idaho Falls:
15	HOLDEN, KIDWELL, HAHN & CRAPO, P.L.L.C.
16	BY MR. ROBERT L. HARRIS
17	Post Office Box 50130
18	Idaho Falls, Idaho 83405
19	rharris@holdenlegal.com
20	For Bonneville-Jefferson Groundwater District
21	OLSEN TAGGART PLLC
22	BY MR. SKYLER C. JOHNS
23	Post Office Box 3005
24	Idaho Falls, Idaho 83403
25	sjohns@olsentaggart.com

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2	
3	For Minidoka Irrigation District and American Falls
4	Reservoir District No. 2:
5	FLETCHER LAW OFFICE
6	BY MR. W. KENT FLETCHER
7	Post Office Box 248
8	Burley, Idaho 83318
9	wkf@pmt.org
10	For Coalition of Cities and McCain Foods:
11	McHUGH BROMLEY, PLLC
12	BY MS. CANDICE M. McHUGH
13	380 South Fourth Street, Suite 103
14	Boise, Idaho 83702
15	cmchugh@mchughbromley.com
16	Also Present:
17	Sarah Tschohl
18	William Stoddard
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESSES	
4	TESTIMONY OF JAXON BRIAN HIGGS	PAGE
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Budge	47
6	Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Fletcher	63
7	Examination by The Hearing Officer	66
8	Continued Direct Examination by Mr. Budge	69
9	Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Fletcher	83
10	Continued Direct Examination by Mr. Budge	86
11	Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Fletcher	99
12	Continued Direct Examination by Mr. Budge	100
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Johns	132
14	Cross-Examination by Mr. Fletcher	135
15	Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Budge	144
16	Continued Cross-Examination by Mr. Fletcher	144
17	Cross-Examination by Mr. Thompson	157
18	Redirect Examination by Mr. Budge	164
19	Redirect Examination by Mr. Johns	175
20	Recross-Examination by Mr. Fletcher	176
21	Recross-Examination by Mr. Thompson	179
22	Further Examination by The Hearing Officer	183
23	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Budge	189
24	Further Recross-Examination by Mr. Fletcher	190
25	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Budge	192

	-	
1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	WITNESSES	
3	TESTIMONY OF TIMOTHY P. DEEG	PAGE
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Budge	194
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Johns	209
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Fletcher	214
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Thompson	217
8	Redirect Examination by Mr. Budge	222
9	Recross-Examination by Mr. Thompson	224
10		
11	EXHIBITS	
12	JOINT	RECEIVED
13	1-39	52
14	IGWA'S	
15	101 (page 14 only)	110
16	102	208
17	107 (excluding pages 2-4)	85
18	109	95
19	114	99
20	118	124
21	119	105
22	120	124
23	SURFACE WATER COALITION'S	
24	200	141
25	201	143

1	the objection. If you want to make an offer of proof,
2	that's fine.
3	MR. BUDGE: Fair enough. Thank you.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Other matters we
5	need to talk about before we start?
6	Okay. Are we ready to begin, Mr. Budge?
7	MR. BUDGE: I am. Thank you.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: You may call your first
9	witness.
10	MR. BUDGE: IGWA will call as its first witness
11	Jaxon Higgs.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Higgs, come forward,
13	please. You get to be the ice breaker.
14	JAXON HIGGS: Here we go.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Raise your right hand,
16	please.
17	
18	JAXON BRIAN HIGGS,
19	having been called as a witness by IGWA, was duly sworn
20	and testified as follows:
21	
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you solemnly affirm
23	that the testimony you give today will be the truth,
24	the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
25	THE WITNESS: Yes.

1	requirement.
2	Q. Thanks. That's helpful.
3	You mentioned that they assign volumes, you
4	know, based on priority.
5	So are the districts giving their patrons
6	individual, you know, diversion limits, so to speak?
7	A. Yeah, in general. And I'll probably just
8	speak to the ones that I work for, because that's what
9	I'm familiar with. But they each individual, we
10	listed out their water rights that they held, what tier
11	they fell in, and then each allocation for individual
12	water rights.
13	And then that allocation for each
14	individual water right was summed up for that user.
15	And we didn't particularly care, as long as they were
16	meeting their legal requirements under their water
17	rights, we didn't care which wells that water came out
18	of, just as long as they stayed under their total
19	allocated volume.
20	Q. You mentioned that you were summing up
21	their water rights.
22	Are you saying if they had multiple water
23	rights?
24	A. Yeah.
25	Q. Explain that.

1 So if you have had two water rights, say, Α. in one -- and they ever they were each for 50 acres, we 2 would -- we would place them in a tier, based on their 3 4 priority date. And if they were both allocated 50 acre-feet, then that user would be able to pump 100 5 So essentially a sum of the allocations for 6 acre-feet. the individual water rights. 7 Gotcha. And the tiers reflect the Q. diversion limit assigned to water rights in that 9 10 category within that tier? 11 Α. Yeah, exactly. 12 And so more senior rights get higher 0. allocations than more junior rights? 13 Yes, in general. 14 Α. 15 And then if I understand the averaging, 0. you're essentially letting each patron pool their water 16 17 rights to get a collective diversion volume that they've got to stay under? 18 19 Α. Yes. Do any of the districts allow their patrons 20 0. 21 to utilize averaging for purpose of compliance? 22 Α. Yes. 23 And why is that? Q. 24 Particularly at the beginning of the Α. 25 agreement, they were talking about crop rotations, and

1 users were worried -- users that had specific crop rotations were worried about being over in certain 2 3 years and way under in others. 4 And if the district as a whole got -- got 5 to where all their high consumptive use crops were on -- on a rotation together, then they would be over. 6 And so the districts -- most of the districts allowed 7 8 at least some sort of averaging, and in particular the ones that started out with an averaging had at least a 9 10 three-year rolling average. They didn't want the users to essentially get themselves in trouble and carry 11 12 water over forever, but they needed some sort of way to 13 account for the crop rotations. And, you know, especially if there was a 14 15 user who wanted to, say, fallow ground in one year so that he could pump more water the next year, averaging 16 17 is really the easiest way for the district to implement those types of practices. 18 19 If you'll turn to the small, white binder, Q. 20 IGWA's Exhibits. I want to draw your attention to Exhibit 118 and Exhibit 120. You may kind of flip back 21 and forth between them. 22 23 Α. Okay. 24 0. Do you recognize these charts? 25 Yes. Α.

1 0. Did you prepare them? 2 Α. Yes. 3 For what purpose? 0. 4 We created these to describe the effect of Α. 5 averaging versus annual caps on -- on the pumping or the limit -- or the -- excuse me, on the IGWA 6 7 implementation of the agreement. So if I'm looking at Exhibit 118, and Q. 9 there's dates along the bottom, 2010 through 2014, 10 which you testified earlier was the baseline period. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. This predates the Settlement Agreement. 13 So why were these years selected for this illustration? 14 15 Α. These were the years that IGWA used as 16 their baseline years, the average from those years. 17 And as presented in the chart that we saw earlier, their -- IGWA's final allocation was based on 2010 to 18 19 2014. 20 And what do the blue, yellow, and green 0. bars represent on this chart? 21 The blue bars show the diversions that we 22 23 had tabulated for each one of those years. And this --24 this was given, I'll say as an example, to show what the effect of conservation during this period would 25

1	the motion to intervene or in my opposition. But if
2	that's not clear, just that Bonneville-Jefferson is
3	joining in support of the arguments raised by IGWA.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure.
5	Okay. Anything else?
6	MR. BUDGE: We had some discussion during the
7	testimony earlier about, you know, the object of this
8	proceeding and what's being asked of the Director.
9	Is that clear in your mind, or would you
10	like me to, you know, clarify exactly what it is the
11	petitioners are requesting?
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, I'm not asking for
13	any additional clarification.
14	Okay. Well, we will close the record,
15	then.
16	I'm sorry, folks. You won't get your
17	complimentary bottle of Convue [phonetic] vodka.
18	(End of audio file.)
19	-000-
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

# **Audio Transcription**

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, JEFF LaMAR, CSR No. 640, Certified Shorthand
4	Reporter, certify:
5	That the audio recording of the proceedings was
6	transcribed by me or under my direction.
7	That the foregoing is a true and correct
8	transcription of all testimony given, to the best of my
9	ability.
10	I further certify that I am not a relative or
11	employee of any attorney or party, nor am I financially
12	interested in the action.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I set my hand and seal this
14	1st day of June, 2023.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	JEFF LaMAR, CSR NO. 640
22	Notary Public
23	Post Office Box 2636
24	Boise, Idaho 83701-2636
25	My commission expires December 30, 2023

# EXHIBIT B

1 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES OF THE STATE OF IDAHO IN THE MATTER OF DISTRIBUTION ) OF WATER TO VARIOUS WATER ) IDWR DOCKET NO. RIGHTS HELD BY OR FOR THE ) CM-MP-2016-001 BENEFIT OF A&B IRRIGATION ) DISTRICT, AMERICAN FALLS ) RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2, BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT, ) MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, )TRANSCRIBER'S TRANSCRIPT MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, ) OF PROCEEDINGS NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY, AND ) TWIN FALLS CANAL COMPANY CAPTION CONTINUED NEXT PAGE BEFORE HEARING OFFICER: ROGER BURDICK March 15, 2024 DATE: LOCATION: Idaho Department of Water Resources Boise, Idaho TRANSCRIBED BY: ANDREA L. CHECK, CSR No. 748, RPR, CRR Notary Public

```
2
 1
      IN THE MATTER OF IGWA'S
 2
     SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
 3
     MITIGATION PLAN
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	APPEARANCES	
2		
3	for the Department of Water Resources:	
4	Office of the Attorney General	
5	Idaho Department of Water Resources	
6	BY MR. KAYLEEN R. RICHTER, ESQ.	
7	322 East Front Street, Suite 648	
8	Boise, Idaho 83720-0098	
9	kayleen.richter@idwr.idaho.gov	
10	For the Minidoka Irrigation District, AFRD#2, SWC:	
11	Fletcher Law Office	
12	BY MR. W. KENT FLETCHER, ESQ.	
13	1200 Overland Avenue	
14	Burley, Idaho 83318-0248	
15	wkf@pmt.org	
16	For A&B Irrigation District, Burley Irrigation District,	
17	Milner Irrigation District, North Side Canal Company,	
18	Surface Water Coalition, and Twin Falls Canal Company:	
19	Marten Law, LLP	
20	BY MR. TRAVIS L. THOMPSON, ESQ.	
21	163 2nd Avenue West	
22	Twin Falls, Idaho 83301	
23	tthompson@martenlaw.com	
24		
25		

		4
1	APPEARANCE (Continued)	
2		
3	For Idaho Ground Water Appropriators, Inc.:	
4	Racine Olson, PLLP	
5	BY MR. THOMAS J. BUDGE, ESQ.	
6	& MS. ELISHEVA M. PATTERSON, ESQ.	
7	201 East Center Street	
8	Pocatello, Idaho 83201	
9	tj@racineolson.com	
10	elisheva@racineolson.com	
11	For Bonneville-Jefferson Ground Water District:	
12	Olsen Taggart, PLLC	
13	BY MR. SKYLER C. JOHNS, ESQ.	
14	P.O. Box 3005	
15	Idaho Falls, Idaho 83403	
16	sjohns@olsentaggart.com	
17	For Bingham Ground Water District:	
18	Dylan Anderson Law	
19	BY MR. DYLAN K. ANDERSON, ESQ.	
20	P.O. Box 35	
21	Rexburg, Idaho 83440	
22	dylan@dylanandersonlaw.com	
23		
24		
25		

		5
1	APPEARANCES (Continued)	
2		
3		
4	For American Falls-Aberdeen Ground Water District:	
5	Somach Simmons & Dunn, P.C.	
6	BY MR. MAXIMILIAN C. BRICKER, ESQ.	
7	1155 Canyon Boulevard, Suite 110	
8	Boulder, Colorado 80302	
9	mbricker@somachlaw.com	
10		
11	Also Present:	
12	Sarah Tschohl	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		6
1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES	
3	TESTIMONY OF JAXON HIGGS	PAGE
4	Direct Examination By Ms. Patterson	10
5	Voir Dire Examination By Mr. Fletcher	14
6	Continued Direct Examination By Ms. Patterson	34
7		
8	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF BRYCE CONTOR	
9	Direct Examination By Mr. Johns	50
10	Cross-Examination By Mr. Fletcher	79
11	Cross-Examination By Mr. Anderson	97
12	Redirect Examination By Mr. Johns	102
13		
14	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DAVID COLVIN	
15	Direct Examination By Mr. Thompson	106
16	Cross-Examination By Mr. Budge	114
17	Cross-Examination By Mr. Johns	125
18	Redirect Examination By Mr. Thompson	130
19		
20	TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM STODDART	
21	Direct Examination By Mr. Budge	133
22	Cross-Examination By Mr. Thompson	169
23	Examination By the Hearing Officer	177
24	Cross-Examination By Mr. Anderson	179
25	Redirect Examination By Mr. Budge	181

					7
1			I N D E X		
2			EXHIBITS		
3					
4	NO.		MARKED	ADMITTED	
5	2	_	***	185	
6	300	_	***	79	
7	500	-	***	189	
8	501	-	***	189	
9	502	-	***	189	
10	503	-	***	189	
11	510	-	***	189	
12	512	-	***	189	
13	517	_	***	189	
14	519	-	***	189	
15	520	-	***	189	
16	522	_	***	189	
17	523	_	***	189	
18	525	_	***	189	
19	526	_	***	189	
20	528	_	***	189	
21	529	_	***	189	
22	531	_	***	189	
23	532	_	***	189	
24	534	_	***	189	
25	535	_	***	189	

						<u>'</u>			
									8
1			E X H	I B I	T S (	Contin	ued)		
2									
3	NO.						MARKED	) ADMITTED	
4	537	-					* * *	189	
5									
6	Offer	of Proof	: -					PAGE	
7	131	-					* * *	48	
8									
9	***	Exhibits	which	were p	previo	usly m	arked		
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

MR. BUDGE: I think after lunch would be 1 2 ideal, then we don't have to break in the middle. HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Fletcher, you either 3 4 have gas or you don't agree with that? 5 MR. FLETCHER: Oh, probably. I was hoping we'd just power through. I don't know how long it's 6 7 going to take, though, if it's going to take over an 8 hour or whatever. 9 MR. BUDGE: So based on the hearing officer's rulings this morning that limited some of the evidence, 10 11 I think it would be helpful if I reworked some of 12 Mr. Stoddart's testimony. 13 HEARING OFFICER: We'll go after lunch. 14 Thank you, sir. 15 MR. BUDGE: Yeah. 16 HEARING OFFICER: We'll be -- the court -- the 17 hearing is in recess. Please excuse me. (Lunch break taken.) 18 HEARING OFFICER: Back on the record. 19 20 And as I remember, Mr. Budge, you had a further witness, sir. 21 MR. BUDGE: IGWA will call Bill Stoddart. 2.2 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Stoddart, please come 23 24 forward. 25

133 1 WILLIAM STODDART, 2 called by IGWA, having been first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: 3 4 5 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir. Please be seated. 6 7 MR. BUDGE: Good afternoon, Bill. Thanks for 8 being here. 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. BUDGE: For the record, this is TJ Budge 10 11 on behalf of IGWA. 12 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 QUESTIONS BY MR. BUDGE: Bill, to begin would you please state your 15 name and address. 16 17 My name is William Edward Stoddart, Stoddart is S-t-o-d-d-a-r-t. And my address is 1849 North 800 18 East, Monteview, Idaho. 19 20 For those who may not be familiar with Monteview, where is that in Idaho? 21 2.2 It's east. It's about 45 miles west of Rexburg, Idaho. 23 24 HEARING OFFICER: Is there anything else out 25 there?

information the district had, they would slightly change some of the diversions during those times.

- Q. Thank you for that. Has Jefferson Clark's obligation remained at that 54,373 acre-feet figure since 2017?
  - A. It has.

2.2

Q. You testified that you're involved in implementing the program that Jefferson Clark developed to conserve 54,373 acre-feet annually.

Would you please describe the program that was developed?

A. So in our district we do it a little different than others. We take what is the 2010 to 2014 diversion or average -- average of those diversion numbers across all our wells for every individual, and we use that as a starting point.

And from that we reduce based on the weighted average priority dates of their water rights for those individuals or combined individuals. Those reductions range from 3 percent to 17 percent, based on the weighted priority date. And so that's how we determine --

HEARING OFFICER: The net percentage is the percentage of 100 percent within the district; is that correct.

THE WITNESS: No. So we take -- so your diversion baseline -- we take a baseline number, which is the average of your points of diversion, what they pump during those years, and then we reduce that by anywhere from 3 to 17 percent based on the priority dates, the weighted priority dates of those water rights that are made up in those diversions.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

2.2

- Q. (BY MR. BUDGE) So maybe just to give an example: Hypothetically, if you had a patron whose average diversions from 2010 to 2014 were 1,000 acre-feet, then Jefferson Clark would require them to reduce by somewhere between 3 percent and 17 percent based on the priority date of that patron's water rights?
- A. Correct. And that 3 percent goes from 1913, our very earliest right, up to 2001 is the distribution of that -- those percentages.
- Q. Okay. So more junior rights have to conserve more than more senior rights?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Does Jefferson Clark then give each patron an annual pumping limit?
  - A. We do.

144 1 Ο. And Jefferson Clark keeps track of the amount 2 of groundwater diverted by each patron? 3 Correct. Α. What if a patron pumps less than their annual 4 Q. 5 limit? Then before we used to give them credit for б Α. that. We would mark it on their things, and I would, 7 8 every year, post it on their new water usage report as a 9 carry-forward credit. Okay. So you sent an annual report out to the 10 patrons that shows where they stand in water usage? 11 12 Α. Correct. 13 Ο. What if a patron pumps more than their annual 14 limit? If they pump more than their annual limit in a 15 Α. year, they're required to make that up. And they can do 16 that in several ways. They can -- the district would 17 buy recharge in many of those years, and they could buy 18 -- whatever our cost was, was we would sell them some of 19 20 those recharge credits to offset theirs, or they can buy them from other individuals that have credits. 21 22 Ο. If they had surplus in a prior year, were they able to use that towards their deficit? 23 24 Α. They were. Okay. You mentioned that patrons can acquire 25 O.

recharge from the district to help them meet their individual conservation obligations.

Can you explain Jefferson Clark's recharge program?

- A. So our main goal of our recharge problem -program was to make sure we did plenty of recharge in
  the very wet years when it was available and it was
  beneficial, and that we would use it in the years that
  were hot and dry where it was harder to meet the
  obligation. We could use some of that in those years to
  offset our pumping diversions.
- Q. Okay. We discussed earlier the performance report that IGWA submits annually to the Surface Water Coalition and to the Department.

You're familiar with those; correct?

A. I am.

2.2

- Q. And I think it's been mentioned earlier that these reports are prepared during the winter following the irrigation season; is that right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Do you know why the reports aren't prepared until the winter following the irrigation season?
- A. Most of the time they're just waiting for the sheer amount of data to come in. And our district, they read flow meters at the end of the year as late as they

can to make sure they get read before the snow falls. So it can be October even November, at the latest.

But then we have a fair amount of self-reporting and PCC numbers that they, a lot of times, don't get the information from the power company until December, late December to January. And so by the time I compile all that information and make sure it's correct with the members, it's February, March.

- O. You mentioned "PCC." What is that?
- A. A power of coefficient. It compares when IDWR, at least for our district, goes out and measures nonflow meter diversions, they measure the power usage to a volume over a set period of time creating a ratio. That way at the end of the year they can get a power reading, and that will be used to know how much volume they pumped during the year.
- Q. So some of the wells in your district have meters on them that you're reading after the irrigation season to see how much was pumped that year?
  - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. And other wells the measurement is done through the power consumption coefficient?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And just to summarize, it takes the district several months to collect all of that data so that you

authorized the use of a three-year average baseline so that there was precedent for it.

And they felt if averaging was no longer allowed, that that was a more fair and equitable way to achieve the objectives of the Settlement Agreement.

That Exhibit 131 then includes a table that shows the mitigation balance for each of the districts using that new method. And in that table Jefferson Clark has a positive mitigation balance instead of a negative mitigation balance.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

MR. BUDGE: You bet.

2.2

HEARING OFFICER: You may proceed.

Q. (BY MR. BUDGE) Mr. Stoddart, this proceeding is about ferreting out what a proper remedy is for the alleged noncompliance of the Settlement Agreement in 2022. And I want to ask your perspective as a manager of a groundwater district who's intimately familiar with how the various remedies that are considered may work.

One of the proposals made by the Coalition is that the Department just curtail every water right within the district that has a negative mitigation balance.

Did the districts have the ability to curtail their patrons water rights?

166 1 Α. We do not. 2 Did the districts own the water rights in their district? 3 No, they do not. 4 Α. 5 Ο. The patrons own those? 6 Α. Yes. 7 The district's job is not to curtail or Ο. 8 enforce diversion reductions by their patrons? 9 Α. No. The district's job is to develop a mitigation 10 Ο. 11 plan that the patrons can utilize to protect themselves 12 from curtailment; correct? 13 That is correct. Α. 14 And if patrons don't comply, then it's up to the Department if they want to curtail people? 15 16 That is correct. Α. 17 If the Department attempted to curtail all the members of your district for noncompliance in 2022, do 18 you think this would be an effective remedy? 19 20 Α. I don't believe so. I think it would, effectively, end the groundwater districts. It's -- we 21 did provide -- we provided the obligation and told them 2.2 that if they performed these duties and functions, they 23 would be protected under their mitigation call. 24

25

And they did that, they performed. And due to

167 that, they're going to have further obligations. So the 1 2 effectiveness of a groundwater district will cease to And as such, I assume most of the district 3 exist. members will sue the groundwater district and that will 4 5 be the end of the Jefferson Clark. 6 Q. Let's say the Department took the 2022 performance report and looked strictly at the -- you 7 8 know, well by well which wells had a deficit that year and proceeded to curtail those patrons only. 9 Do you think that would be an effective 10 11 remedy? 12 No. Because that has really no relation to how well someone performed according to our distribution 13 14 of those mitigation obligations. So if the Department looked at those 15 Q. individual wells in the 2018 performance report, that 16 17 would not reflect whether a patron had a surplus from a prior year that they could draw on in 2022? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Q. So if the Department did that, they would be out curtailing patrons who were in compliance with the 21 22 district's conservation program? 23 A. Absolutely. As an alternative, the Coalition has proposed 24 Ο.

that the Department add conservation deficits from 2022

25

to the district's conservation obligation in 2024. And I think you were here for some earlier discussion of that.

2.2

Do you think this would be an effective remedy in your district?

- A. I don't think so. I mean, you're massively increasing our obligation for 2024. And after what they've done in the past to try to buffer themselves against these sort of obligations, these continuous obligations, they'll have no, you know, appetite for continuing to do that.
- Q. So what would happen is patrons who had conserved water in reliance on the district's program that said, yes, you can conserve surplus and carry it forward, if you take that away and say that was all for not, you see that being problematic?
- A. Absolutely. You know, it's one of the methods to ensure compliance with a -- you know, this type of program is to allow carryovers, to do more in wet years when it's available and, you know -- and -- but it also encourages, even in the wettest of years, for those people to be as conservative as they can be in hopes that that will buffer them against the next year.

Farming is all about managing risks, and this is one of many risks that farmers manage. And if you

	169
1	have no ability to do that, you don't leave them a whole
2	lot of options.
3	Q. What do you think would be an effective remedy
4	for mitigating the over pumping in 2022 or the
5	conservation deficit in 2022?
6	A. You know, my opinion is that it has been
7	remedied, but, you know, I that is kind of how I view
8	it is doing in the past is more effective than doing it
9	after the fact. You know, I guess that's where I am and
LO	the view of the board of the Jefferson.
L1	MR. BUDGE: Okay. Thank you, Bill. I have no
L2	further questions.
L3	HEARING OFFICER: Cross-Exam?
L4	
L5	CROSS-EXAMINATION
L6	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMPSON:
L7	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Stoddart. I'm Travis
L8	Thompson for A&B Irrigation District, et al.
L9	You went through a number of those performance
20	reports that the groundwater district submitted to the
21	Coalition and to the Department from, I think, 2016
22	through 2022; is that correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And were you aware of the Coalition's dispute
25	that IGWA was including A&B and Southwest Irrigation

	170
1	District in those annual performance reports?
2	A. Was I aware?
3	Q. Yes.
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. So did counsel bring that to your attention in
6	the spring of 2017 when that dispute was raised?
7	A. I don't believe so.
8	Q. If you'd turn to Exhibit 512. That's the
9	Director's order from last summer, the August 2nd, 2003
10	[sic], order.
11	Can you turn to page 8. And do you see the
12	column in Table 2 identified as "IDWR Target
13	Conservation"?
14	A. I do.
15	Q. And do you recognize that the Director has
16	found that the annual conservation number for Jefferson
17	Clark is [unintelligible] acre feet?
18	A. Yes, then he changed it to 60 what did you
19	say? Could you repeat that?
20	Q. 63,530
21	HEARING OFFICER: Wait a second.
22	THE WITNESS: I just needed him to repeat the
23	number he said.
24	HEARING OFFICER: Oh, okay. Sorry. My fault.
25	Continue.

```
193
     is it shakes the hands of hardworking lawyers of a
 1
                I'd like to do that at this time.
 2
     hearing.
                (All simultaneously speaking.)
 3
                HEARING OFFICER: The hearing is concluded.
 4
 5
                (End of audio file.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

194 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE. 2 I, ANDREA L. CHECK, CSR No. 748, Certified 3 Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the audio recording of the proceedings 4 5 were transcribed by me or under my direction; That the foregoing is a true and correct 6 7 transcription of all testimony given, to the best of my ability; 8 9 I further certify that I am not a relative or 10 employee of any attorney or party, nor am I financially 11 interested in the action. 12 13 14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I set my hand and seal this 25th day of March, 2024. 15 16 17 18 19 Andrea Chek 2.0 21 ANDREA L. CHECK, CSR No. 748, RPR, CRR 22 Notary Public 2.3 P.O. Box 4525

Boise, Idaho 83205

My Commission expires July 20, 2028.

24

25

# EXHIBIT C

#### SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PERFORMANCE REPORT

TO: IGWA-SWC Settlement Agreement Steering Committee

FROM: Ground Water Districts

DATE: April 1, 2023

RE: 2022 Performance Report

#### Introduction

This document reports the ground water districts' year 2022 performance under paragraph 3.a of the IGWA-SWC Settlement Agreement<sup>1</sup> ("Agreement") which requires a 240,000 acre-feet reduction in ESPA ground-water withdrawals or equivalent private recharge. Paragraph 2.a. of the Second Addendum to Settlement Agreement requires the districts to report to the Steering Committing by April 1 annually "their groundwater diversion and recharge data for the prior irrigation season and their proposed actions to be taken for the upcoming irrigation season, together with supporting information compiled by the Districts' consultants."

#### 2022 Performance

The enclosed spreadsheet contains diversion and recharge data for each district. The "summary" tab shows the total volume of groundwater diverted within each district and the total volume of recharge performed by each district. The recharge column includes water delivered directly to the SWC in lieu of recharge.

Unlike IGWA's performance reports in years past, the "summary" tab shows only groundwater diversion and recharge data. It does not contain a table showing a baseline, target conservation, or mitigation balance because the Final Order Regarding Compliance with Approved Mitigation Plan ("Compliance Order") issued on September 8, 2022, necessitates that IGWA and the SWC revisit how compliance will be measured under the Agreement for 2022 and future years, as explained below.

The Agreement requires each district to conserve a proportionate share of 240,000 acre-feet, but it does not prescribe how each district's share will be calculated or how compliance will be measured. Previously, IGWA used the average volume of groundwater diverted within each district over the 5-year period 2010-2014 as the baseline against which conservation will be measured, with the expectation that compliance would likewise be based on an average. However, the Compliance Order has negated the use of averaging to measure compliance.

On July 26, 2022, the SWC filed Surface Water Coalition's Notice of Steering Committee Impasse / Request for Status Conference with the Director, challenging (a) IGWA's use of a 5-year average for measuring compliance with the Agreement, and (b) IGWA's historic practice of accounting for diversions by A&B Irrigation District and Southwest Irrigation District in calculating each district's proportionate share of the 240,000 acre-feet. The Compliance Order ruled that averaging cannot be used to measure compliance, and that diversions by A&B and Southwest cannot be considered in calculating each district's proportionate

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PERFORMANCE REPORT (2022)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Settlement Agreement consists of the Settlement Agreement Entered Into June 30, 2015, Between Participating Members of the Surface Water Coalition and Participating Members of Idaho Ground Water Appropriators, Inc. ("IGWA"), the Addendum Agreement between entered into October 15, 2015, the Agreement between A&B Irrigation District and participating members of IGWA dated October 2, 2016, and the Second Addendum to Settlement Agreement dated December 14, 2016.

share of the 240,000 acre-feet.

Since the Compliance Order was made without the benefit of a full evidentiary record, a hearing was held by the Director on February 8, 2022, to consider additional information relating to the manner of calculating each district's compliance with the Agreement. As of the date of this performance report, no final decision has been issued based on the evidence presented at the hearing.

The Director's final decision will in any case require a new method of measuring compliance. If the decision requires the IGWA districts alone to conserve 240,000 acre-feet, then each district's proportionate share of 240,000 acre-feet will need to be redetermined. The districts will not simply scale up their current obligations. Reallocation will require consideration of modeled impacts and other factors. When the Agreement was first entered into it took the districts more than a year to agree upon an equitable apportionment of the 240,000 acre-feet obligation. Reapportionment will likewise be a considerable undertaking.

If the Director's final decision allows averaging, then both the baseline and compliance should be measured by a corresponding average, such as a 5-year or 3-year average. If the decision does not allow averaging, then an alternative method will need to be developed to compare single-year diversions against a single-year baseline. Comparing single-year diversions against a 5-year baseline is discordant and not appropriate. Potential alternatives include a comparison of single-year diversions against pre-Agreement peak diversions, comparing single-year diversions against diversions in a prior year of similar climatic conditions, a tally system based on cumulative groundwater conservation over time, or other method.

Since the method of measuring compliance will change depending on the outcome of the Director's reconsideration of the Compliance Order, the enclosed spreadsheet does not purport to demonstrate compliance with the Agreement in 2022. However, the "usage analysis" tab on the spreadsheet does contain the same table provided in prior years that shows a baseline, target conservation, and mitigation balance. This table is provided for informational purposes and is not conclusive of each district's compliance with the Agreement because it compares single-year diversions against a 5-year average baseline. IGWA will determine a more appropriate method of measuring compliance once the Director's decision becomes final.

As with IGWA's performance report spreadsheets in prior years, the enclosed spreadsheet has individual tabs for each district that lists the diversion volume of each well by WMIS number. Where challenges or errors were encountered in the data for a particular well, the spreadsheet notes how the district addressed it. For example, diversions that could not be reliably calculated due to broken meters or other factors have been assigned an imputed value based on the power consumption coefficient or historic diversion data for the well. District consultants continue to work with district patrons and IDWR staff to address questions and correct errors as needed.

The "recharge report" tab shows the recharge data for each district, including the volume, source of water, recharge location, and date the recharge was performed. Documentation supporting the recharge data is enclosed.

Questions concerning the collection and reporting of data and compilation of this report may be directed to Jaxon Higgs as the lead consultant who will coordinate with other consultants used by the districts.

#### **2023 Conservation Programs**

Until the Director issues a final decision based on the evidence presented at the February 8, 2022, hearing,

the ground water districts' respective conservation obligations under the Agreement, and how compliance will be measured, is uncertain. Depending on the outcome of the Director's final decision, the districts may revisit their proportionate mitigation obligations, the baseline, how compliance will be measured, and whether to continue providing mitigation under the Agreement, provide mitigation under other approved mitigation plans, or accept curtailment risk under the Methodology Order.

In any case, all of the districts intend to continue their efforts to develop a ground water management plan to stabilize the ESPA, and to take additional actions to mitigate injury to the SWC. However, it has become apparent that a one-size-fits-all approach to mitigation is no longer workable. The IGWA board has voted to allow individual districts and groups of districts to work directly with the SWC to develop new mitigation agreements that are tailored to the unique characteristics of each district and its effects on Blackfoot-Minidoka reach gains. We trust the SWC will appreciate the challenges created by a one-size-fits-all approach and hope they will work with districts directly.

#### **IDWR Review**

The Second Addendum provides that the parties "will request the Department to verify each District's annual diversion volume, and other diversion reduction data (recharge, CREP, conversions, end-gun removals, etc.) to confirm the accuracy of the data." A copy of this report will be submitted to the Department with a request that it commence verification. The Department's analysis is normally provided to the Steering Committee by July 1.

#### **Sentinel Well Report**

Pursuant to section 3.e. of the Settlement Agreement and sections 1.b.i. and ii. of the Second Addendum, the parties' consultants continue to work with the Department to collect, process, archive, and submit sentinel well data to the Steering Committee within 30 days of collection using the Calculation Technique. This process is ongoing by the Department and the Technical Working Group formed under the Agreement.

## 2022 Performance Summary Table

		Accomplished Recharge/
_	<b>2022 Usage</b>	<b>Direct Delivery</b>
American Falls-Aberdeen	269,322	23,550
Bingham	269,088	516
Bonneville-Jefferson	151,245	9,249
Carey	1,889	5
Jefferson-Clark	408,112	7,647
Henry's Fork <sup>1</sup>	62,381	3,000
Madison <sup>2</sup>	76,919	
Magic Valley	218,759	3,378
North Snake <sup>3</sup>	174,838	3,395
Total:	1,632,553	50,739

<sup>\*</sup>all values in acre-ft

# EXHIBIT D

NO	
NO.	FILED 4:18
	FILED 4:18
A.M	1 .171.

NOV 16 2023

TRENT TRIPPLE, Clerk
By ERIC ROWELL
DEPUTY

## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ADA

IDAHO GROUND WATER APPROPRIATORS, INC,	Case No. CV01-23-7893  MEMORANDUM DECISION
Petitioner,	AND ORDER
vs.	
THE IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES and GARY SPACKMAN in his official capacity as Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources,	th
Respondents,	
and	
CITY OF POCATELLO, CITY OF BLISS, CITY OF BURLEY, CITY OF CAREY, CITY OF DECLO, CITY OF DIETRICH, CITY OF GOODING, CITY OF HAZELTON, CITY OF HEYBURN, CITY OF JEROME, CITY OF PAUL, CITY OF RICHFIELD, CITY OF RUPERT, CITY OF SHOSHONE, CITY OF WENDELL, A&B IRRIGATION DISTRICT, BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY, TWIN FALLS CANAL COMPANY, AMERICAN FALLS RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2, MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, BONNEVILLE- JEFFERSON GROUND WATER DISTRICT, and BINGHAM GROUNDWATER DISTRICT	
Intervenors.	)

)

IN THE MATTER OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF WATER TO VARIOUS WATER RIGHTS HELD BY AND FOR THE BENEFIT OF A&B IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AMERICAN FALLS RESERVOIRS DISTRICT NO. 2, BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY, AND TWIN FALLS CANAL COMPANY. IN THE MATTER OF IGWA'S SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT MITIGATION PLAN

I

#### BACKGROUND

#### Delivery call and approved mitigation plan. A.

In 2005, members of the Surface Water Coalition initiated a delivery call seeking curtailment of junior priority ground water rights that divert from the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer ("ESPA"). The call asserts surface and ground waters in the Snake River Basin are hydraulically connected. Further, that the ESPA discharges to the Snake River via tributary springs and that junior ground water pumping on the ESPA has decreased natural flows in the Snake River and its tributaries to the injury of senior water rights held by Coalition members. The delivery call is ongoing in nature. It has required yearly evaluation by the Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources as to whether junior ground water pumping is causing material injury to the Coalition's senior rights.

Beginning in 2010, the Director began using procedures set forth in his Methodology Order to conduct his yearly evaluation.<sup>2</sup> The Methodology Order contains a series of steps to be undertaken annually through which the Director determines whether the Coalition's water rights are suffering material injury. If so, the Director will order the curtailment of junior rights unless

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The term "Surface Water Coalition" refers collectively to the A&B Irrigation District, American Falls Reservoir District #2, Burley Irrigation District, Milner Irrigation District, Minidoka Irrigation District, North Side Canal Company, and Twin Falls Canal Company.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Methodology Order has since been amended on several occasions since 2010.

he finds junior right holders can mitigate the material injury through an approved mitigation plan.

On June 30, 2015, a Settlement Agreement in response to the call was entered into between members of the Coalition and certain members of the Idaho Ground Water Appropriators, Inc. ("IGWA"). R., 436. All members of the Coalition except for A&B Irrigation District signed the Settlement Agreement.<sup>3</sup> Additionally, Southwest Irrigation District, which is an IGWA member, did not sign the Settlement Agreement. R., 460. The parties entered into an Addendum to the Settlement Agreement in October 2015. R., 461. The objectives of the Settlement Agreement are as follows:

- a. Mitigate for material injury to senior surface water rights that rely upon natural flow in the Near Blackfoot to Milner reaches to provide part of the water supply for the senior surface water rights.
- b. Provide "safe harbor" from curtailment to members of ground water districts and irrigation districts that divert ground water from the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer (ESPA) for the term of the Settlement Agreement and other ground water users that agree to the terms of this Settlement Agreement.
- c. Minimize economic impact on individual water users and the state economy arising from water supply shortages.
- d. Increase reliability and enforcement of water use, measurement, and reporting across the Eastern Snake Plain.
- e. Increase compliance with all elements and conditions of all water rights and increase enforcement when there is not compliance.
- f. Develop an adaptive groundwater management plan to stabilize and enhance ESPA levels to meet existing water right needs.

#### R., 436.

In furtherance of these objectives, the Settlement Agreement prescribes near term and long term practices to be undertaken by the parties. One long term practice contemplates a reduction of ground water use by junior ground water pumpers:

- a. Consumptive Use Volume Reduction.
- i. Total ground water diversion shall be reduced by 240,000 ac-ft annually.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A&B Irrigation District subsequently entered into a separate agreement with IGWA in October 2015. R., 498. That separate agreement states in part that "A&B agrees to participate in the Settlement Agreement as a surface water right holder only." R., 498. Further, that the "obligations of the Ground Water Districts set forth in Paragraphs 2 – 4 of the Settlement Agreement do not apply to A&B and its ground water rights." R., 498.

ii. Each Ground Water and Irrigation District with members pumping from the ESPA shall be responsible for reducing their proportionate share of the total annual ground water reduction or in conducting an equivalent private recharge activity. Private recharge activities cannot rely on the Water District 01 common Rental Pool or credits acquired from third parties, unless otherwise agreed to by the parties.

R., 437. The Settlement Agreement calls for the establishment of a steering committee to assist with the implementation of its terms. R., 439. The steering committee is comprised of a representative of each signatory party and the State. *Id*.

The parties jointly submitted the Settlement Agreement to the Director on March 9, 2016, as a proposed mitigation plan in response to the delivery call. R., 509. Under the parties' stipulation, the Coalition agrees the mitigation provided by participating IGWA members under the Settlement Agreement is "sufficient to mitigate for any material injury caused by the groundwater users who belong to, and are in good standing with, a participating IGWA member." R., 511. The parties further agree that participating IGWA members are not subject to curtailment under the ongoing call "provided actions are implemented and performed as set forth in the [Settlement Agreement]." *Id.* The Director entered a Final Order Approving Stipulated Mitigation Plan on May 2, 2016. R., 893. That Order adopts the proposed stipulated mitigation plan with some additional conditions as an approved mitigation plan under CM Rule 43.5 *Id.* One condition of approval is that "[a]ll ongoing activities required pursuant to the Mitigation Plan are the responsibility of the parties to the Mitigation Plan." R., 896.

The parties entered into a Second Addendum to the Settlement Agreement on December 14, 2016. R., 477. The Second Addendum details the parties' agreement regarding the implementation of the terms of the Settlement Agreement. *Id.* With respect to the reduction of ground water use, the Second Addendum provides as follows:

Prior to April 1 annually the Districts will submit to the Steering Committee their groundwater diversion and recharge data for the prior irrigation season and their proposed actions to be taken for the upcoming irrigation season, together with supporting information compiled by the Districts' consultants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The documents submitted to the Director included (1) the Settlement Agreement dated June 30, 2015; (2) the Addendum to the Settlement Agreement; and (3) the Agreement dated October 7, 2015 entered into between A&B Irrigation District and IGWA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The term "CM Rule" refers to Idaho's Rules for Conjunctive Management of Surface and Ground Water Resources.

R., 478. The Second Addendum clarifies the steering committee is charged with initially reviewing compliance issues under the approved mitigation plan:

If, based on the information reported and available, the Steering Committee finds any breach of the Long Term Practices as set forth in paragraph 3 of the Agreement, the Steering Committee shall give ninety (90) days written notice of the breach to the breaching party specifying the actions that must be taken to cure such breach. If the breaching party refuses or fails to take such actions to cure the breach, the Steering Committee shall report the breach to the Director with all supporting information, with a copy provided to the breaching party. If the Director determines based on all available information that a breach exists which has not been cured, the Steering Committee will request that the Director issue an order specifying actions that must be taken by the breaching party to cure the breach or be subject to immediate curtailment pursuant to CM 40.05.

If the Surface Water Coalition and IGWA do not agree that a breach has occurred or cannot agree upon actions that must be taken by the breaching party to cure the breach, the Steering Committee will report the same to the Director and request that the Director evaluate all available information, determine if a breach has occurred, and issue an order specifying actions that must be taken by the breaching party to cure the breach or be subject to curtailment.

R., 479.

The parties jointly submitted the Second Addendum to the Director on February 7, 2017, as a proposed amendment to the approved mitigation plan. R., 586. On May 9, 2017, the Director entered a Final Order Approving Amendment to Stipulated Amended Mitigation Plan. R., 901. The Order adopted the Second Addendum with some additional conditions as an amendment to the approved mitigation plan. *Id*.

## B. 2021 compliance issue.

On April 29, 2022, the Surface Water Coalition requested a status conference before the Director. R., 1. It asserted IGWA failed to comply with the approved mitigation plan in 2021. R., 2-3. Specifically, it argued IGWA failed to meet the requirement that total ground water diversion be reduced by 240,000 ac-ft annually:

On Friday April 1, 2022, counsel for IGWA submitted the districts' 2021 performance report. As detailed in that report, the signatory ground water districts only performed 56,953 acre-feet in diversion reductions and 65,831 acre-feet in recharge for a total of 122,784 acre-feet.

The nine signatory ground water districts' 2021 actions were approximately 117,216 acre-feet short of what is required by the stipulated mitigation plan and the Director's order approving the same. Consequently, IGWA and its junior priority ground water right members are not operating in accordance with the approved plan and are failing to mitigate the material injury to the Coalition members.

*Id.* The Director declined the Coalition's request for a status conference. R., 14. He directed the parties must first take the compliance issue before the steering committee as provided in the approved mitigation plan. *Id.* 

The steering committee held meetings on the compliance issue in May and June of 2022. R., 21. At the meetings, IGWA denied the Coalition's allegations of non-compliance. The dispute between the parties hinged on (1) the amount of ground water reduction for which IGWA is responsible under the approved mitigation plan, and (2) whether averaging may be used to measure compliance with IGWA's reduction obligation. R., 67-68. The steering committee was unable to resolve the compliance issue, ultimately reaching an impasse. R., 22. As a result, the Surface Water Coalition brought the issue back to the Director. *Id.* It again requested a status conference be held to address the following issues regarding the approved mitigation plan:

- 1. IGWA's annual diversion reduction requirement (annual or average?)
- 2. What that requirement is (240,000 af or something less)
- 3. Whether IGWA complied in 2021 based upon its technical information and IDWR's review of the same (as identified in April1 and June 30 reports)
- 4. Disparity in those reports (what was the actual number for both diversion reduction and recharge that occurred in 2021)
- 5. Director's planned action in response to IGWA's non-compliance with mitigation plan.

Id. The Director granted the request. R., 25. A status conference was held on August 5, 2022, wherein the parties argued their positions. Id.

After the status conference, the parties entered into a Settlement Agreement dated September 7, 2022 ("Remedy Settlement Agreement"). R., 67. In the Remedy Settlement Agreement, IGWA withheld admission of non-compliance with the approved mitigation plan. R., 68. However, to avoid potential curtailment in 2022, it agreed to the following remedy to resolve the dispute for purposes of 2021:

1. 2021 Remedy. As a compromise to resolve the parties' dispute over IGWA's compliance with the Settlement Agreement and Mitigation Plan in 2021, and not as an admission of liability, IGWA will collectively provide to the SWC an additional 30,000 acre-feet of storage water in 2023 and an additional 15,000 acre-feet of storage water in 2024 within 10 days after the Date of Allocation of such year. Such amounts will be in addition to the long-term obligations set forth in section 3 of the Settlement Agreement and approved Mitigation Plan. IGWA agrees to take all reasonable steps to lease the quantities of storage water set forth above from non-SWC spaceholders. If IGWA is unable to secure the quantities set forth above from non-SWC spaceholders by April 1 of such year, IGWA will make up the difference by either (a) leasing storage water from the SWC as described in section 2, or (b) undertaking diversion reductions in Power, Bingham, and/or Bonneville Counties at locations that have the most direct benefit to the Blackfoot to Minidoka reach of the Snake River. For example, if by April 1, 2023, IGWA has secured contracts for only 25,000 acre-feet of storage water, IGWA will either (a) lease 5,000 acre-feet of storage from the SWC, or (b) undertake 5,000 acre-feet of diversion reductions. The remedy described in this section shall satisfy IGWA's obligation under the Settlement Agreement for 2021 only.

R., 68. The parties filed the Remedy Settlement Agreement with the Director. R., 67. They agreed the Director "shall incorporate the terms of section 1 above as the remedy selected for the alleged shortfall [in 2021] in lieu of curtailment." R., 68. Furthermore, notwithstanding resolution of the compliance issue for 2021, the parties agreed that the Director "shall issue a final order regarding the interpretive issues" pertaining to the approved mitigation plan that were raised by the Coalition in its request for a status conference. *Id*.

The Director issued a Final Order Regarding Compliance with Approved Mitigation Plan on September 8, 2022. R., 71. He concluded that certain IGWA members failed to comply with the requirements of the approved mitigation plan in 2021. R., 83. He approved the remedy stipulated to by the parties as an appropriate remedy for the non-compliance. R., 91. IGWA subsequently petitioned for reconsideration of the Final Order and requested a hearing. R., 96. The Director granted the request for a hearing. R., 105. An evidentiary hearing was held on February 8, 2023. Tr., 1.

On April 24, 2023, the Director issued his Amended Final Order Regarding Compliance with Approved Mitigation Plan ("Final Order"). He found the mitigation plan unambiguously requires reduction of ground water diversion in the amount of 240,000 acre feet of water each year. R., 415. Correlated with that finding, he determined that averaging that reduction requirement over a period of years is not permitted under the plan. R., 415. He further found the

mitigation plan unambiguously prohibits IGWA from apportioning a percentage of the annual reduction requirement under the mitigation plan to A&B Irrigation District and/or Southwest Irrigation District. R., 416. IGWA subsequently filed a *Petition* seeking judicial review of the Final Order. It asserts the Director's Final Order is contrary to law and requests the Court set it aside and remand for further proceedings. The Court entered an *Order* permitting the Intervenors to participate in this proceeding. The parties submitted briefing on the issues raised on judicial review and a hearing on the *Petition* was held before the Court on October 30, 2023.

#### II.

#### STANDARD OF REVIEW

Judicial review of a final decision of the director of IDWR is governed by the Idaho Administrative Procedure Act ("IDAPA"). Under IDAPA, the court reviews an appeal from an agency decision based upon the record created before the agency. I.C. § 67-5277. The court shall not substitute its judgment for that of the agency as to the weight of the evidence on questions of fact. I.C. § 67-5279(1). The court shall affirm the agency decision unless it finds that the agency's findings, inferences, conclusions, or decisions are: (a) in violation of constitutional or statutory provisions; (b) in excess of the statutory authority of the agency; (c) made upon unlawful procedure; (d) not supported by substantial evidence on the record as a whole; or (e) arbitrary, capricious, or an abuse of discretion. I.C. § 67-5279(3). Further, the petitioner must show that one of its substantial rights has been prejudiced. I.C. § 67-5279(4). Even if the evidence in the record is conflicting, the Court shall not overturn an agency's decision that is based on substantial competent evidence in the record. Barron v. IDWR, 135 Idaho 414, 417, 18 P.3d 219, 222 (2001). The Petitioner bears the burden of documenting and proving that there was not substantial evidence in the record to support the agency's decision. Payette River Property Owners Assn. v. Board of Comm'rs., 132 Idaho 552, 976 P.2d 477 (1999).

#### III.

#### ANALYSIS

#### A. The Director's Final Order is affirmed.

The approved mitigation plan requires that "[t]otal ground water diversion shall be reduced by 240,000 ac-ft annually." R., 437. The compliance dispute centers on two points of contention related to this requirement. The first is whether the 240,000 acre-feet reduction obligation is an annual requirement under the plan, or whether it is based on a five-year rolling average. The second centers on which ground water diverters are responsible for the 240,000 acre-feet reduction obligation. Each will be addressed in turn.

i. The Director's determination that the approved mitigation plan unambiguously requires a reduction in ground water diversions in the amount of 240,000 acre-feet each year is affirmed.

The Director found the approved mitigation plan unambiguously requires a reduction in ground water diversions in the amount of 240,000 acre-feet each year. R., 415-416. The approved mitigation plan is based on a settlement agreement that was jointly presented to the Director as a proposed mitigation plan under CM Rule 43.6 The interpretation of a settlement agreement is "governed by the same rules and principles as are applicable to contracts generally." Budget Truck Sales, LLC v. Tilley, 163 Idaho 841, 846, 419 P.3d 1139, 1144 (2018). The interpretation of a contract begins with the language of the contract itself. Cristo Viene Pentecostal Church v. Paz, 144 Idaho 304, 308, 160 P.3d 743, 747 (2007). If the language of the contract is unambiguous, then its meaning and legal effect must be determined from its words. Id. A contract is ambiguous if it is reasonably subject to conflicting interpretations. Id. Determining whether a contract is ambiguous is a question of law over which this Court exercises free review. Id.

Courts must read a contract as the average person would and must not give a strained construction. *Cf.*, *Swanson v. Beco Const. Co.*, *Inc.*, 145 Idaho 59, 175 P.3d 748 (2007). Moreover, a contract is not rendered ambiguous on its face because one of the parties thought that a word used has some meaning that differed from the ordinary meaning of that word:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> CM Rule 43 governs the submissions of mitigation plans in the context of a delivery call. IDAPA 37.03.11.043.

If the language used by the parties is plain, complete, and unambiguous, the intention of the parties must be gathered from that language, and from that language alone, no matter what the actual or secret intentions of the parties may have been. Presumptively, the intent of the parties to a contract is expressed by the natural and ordinary meaning of their language referable to it, and such meaning cannot be perverted or destroyed by the courts through construction, for the parties are presumed to have intended what the terms clearly state. Only when the language of the contract is ambiguous may a court turn to extrinsic evidence of the contracting parties' intent.

Id. at 63-64; 175 P.3d at 752-753 (citing, 17A Am.Jur.2d, Contracts § 348 (2004)).

Section 3.a. of the Settlement Agreement provides that "[t]otal ground water diversion shall be reduced by 240,000 ac-ft annually." R.,437. The Director found the language of this provision to be unambiguous. R., 415. The Court agrees. As the Director set forth in the Final Order, "the adverb 'annually' derives from the adjective 'annual,' which means 'of or measured by a year' or 'happening or appearing once a year, yearly." R., 415 (citing, Webster's New World Dictionary (3d coll. Ed. 1994). The term annually does not mean a five-year average and the average person would not read it as such. Therefore, the Director did not err in determining that Section 3.a of the Settlement Agreement unambiguously requires a reduction in ground water diversions in the amount of 240,000 acre-feet each year.

Notwithstanding the plain language, IGWA asserts Section 3.a. of the Settlement Agreement is latently ambiguous. "A latent ambiguity is not evident on the face of the instrument alone, but becomes apparent when applying the instrument to the facts as they exist." Sky Cannon Properties, LLC, 155 Idaho at 606, 315 P.3d at 794. The Court finds the plain language of Section 3.a. does not lose clarity when applied to the facts as they exist. This is not a case where the definition of the term annually is unclear and two or more possible definitions might exist. See, Williams v. Idaho Potato Starch Co., 73 Idaho 13, 20, 245 P.2d 1045, 1048-1049 (1952) (holding that a latent ambiguity arose when a writing referred to a pump and it was shown that there were two or more pumps to which it might properly apply). The term "annually" is easily defined as, and commonly understood to mean, happening yearly.

Additionally, if the Court were to hold that the term "annually" means a five-year average for purposes of Section 3.a., the Settlement Agreement would lose clarity, not gain it. Such an interpretation would cast doubt and confusion on the meaning of the terms "annually" and "annual" as used throughout the Settlement Agreement. For example, Section 2.a.i of the

Second Addendum requires IGWA to submit certain data to the Steering Committee "prior to April 1 annually." R., 478. Section 3.b. of the Settlement Agreement requires the "annual" delivery of storage water from IGWA to the Upper Snake Reservoir system "delivered to SWC 21 days after the date of allocation." R., 438. Likewise, Section 3.m. of the Settlement Agreement requires the Steering Committee "will meet at least once annually." R., 439.

This is also not a case where the common definition of the term annually would lead to an illogical or absurd result. See e.g., Mountainview Landowners Cooperative Assoc., Inc. v. Dr. James Cool, D.D.S., 139 Idaho 770, 86 P.3d 484 (2004) (Supreme Court found a latent ambiguity where the strict definition of a word would lead to illogical or absurd results). The delivery call is ongoing in nature and, prior to the Settlement Agreement, has required annual evaluation by the Director. In the context of an ongoing call, it is neither illogical nor absurd that Section 3.a. of the Settlement Agreement would require a reduction in ground water diversions in the amount of 240,000 acre-feet each year.

Last, IGWA relies upon certain non-contemporaneous extrinsic evidence to support its position that ambiguity exists. This includes (1) a proposed order that was submitted to the Director when the parties proffered the Settlement Agreement as a proposed mitigation plan in March 2016 (R., 516)<sup>7</sup>, and (2) post-Settlement Agreement evidence showing how IGWA determined to calculate the pre-2015 baseline diversion number against which the 240,000 acrefeet reduction obligation was to be measured. IGWA determined to utilize a five-year average of years 2010-2014 to determine the baseline.<sup>8</sup> Averaging those five years establishes the pre-2015 baseline from which the post-2015 240,000 acre-feet reduction is compared. IGWA argues in relevant part as follows:

[i]f it is reasonable to use a 5-year average to define the baseline against which compliance is measured, it is reasonable to average post-2015 diversions to measure compliance with the annual reduction obligation.

It is incompatible for the Director to order that conservation be measured based on single-year diversions while using a 5-year average as the baseline.

If averaging is used for the baseline, averaging should be used to measure compliance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The Director did not use, sign, or adopt the subject proposed order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> How IGWA calculates the pre-2015 baseline year was not raised as a disputed issue before the Director below and is not at issue on judicial review. See R., 22.

IGWA's Opening Br., p.20.

The Court finds that neither evidence of the proposed order nor evidence showing how IGWA determined to calculate the baseline can be used to create an ambiguity. As set forth above, Section 3.a.i. of the Settlement is unambiguous. Therefore, extrinsic evidence cannot be used to modify or contradict that plain language. Additionally, the Settlement Agreement contains a merger clause which provides as follows:

### 9. Entire Agreement.

This Agreement sets forth all understandings between the parties with respect to the SWC delivery call. There are no understandings, covenants, promises, agreements, conditions, either oral or written between the parties other than those contained herein. The parties expressly reserve all rights not settled by this Agreement.

R., 440. A written agreement containing a merger clause "is complete on its face." City of Meridian v. Petra Inc., 154 Idaho 425, 435, 299 P.3d 232, 242 (2013). Since the Settlement Agreement is complete on its face the Court need not look to extrinsic evidence. For these reasons, the Court finds IGWA's argument's that Section 3.a.i of the Settlement Agreement is patently ambiguous to be unavailing.

## ii. The Director's determination that the 240,000 acre-feet reduction obligation is the responsibility of the signatory IGWA members is affirmed.

The next point of contention centers on which ground water diverters are responsible for the mitigation plan's 240,000 acre-feet reduction obligation. The Director found that the ground water diverters that are the signatory parties to the Settlement Agreement are responsible for the whole of the obligation. R., 416-417. IGWA disagrees, asserting the Director's determination forces the signatory parties to conserve more groundwater than they agreed to. IGWA's argument relies upon relies upon Section 3.a.ii of the Settlement Agreement, which provides as follows:

- a. Consumptive Use Volume Reduction.
- i. Total ground water diversion shall be reduced by 240,000 ac-ft annually.
- ii. Each Ground Water and Irrigation District with members pumping from the ESPA shall be responsible for reducing their proportionate share of the total annual ground water reduction or in conducting an equivalent private recharge activity. Private recharge activities cannot rely on the Water District 01 common Rental Pool or credits acquired from third parties, unless otherwise agreed to by the parties.

#### R., 437 (emphasis added).

When the parties drafted the Settlement Agreement, IGWA contends it was contemplated that all ground water and irrigation districts having members that divert from the ESPA would be signatory to the Settlement Agreement. This includes A&B Irrigation District, Southwest Irrigation District, and Falls Irrigation District, as well as the various IGWA members that actually signed the Agreement. IGWA further contends it was contemplated that the 240,000 acre-feet reduction obligation would be shared proportionately by all ground water and irrigation districts having members that divert from the ESPA.

In actuality, A&B Irrigation District, Southwest Irrigation District, and Falls Irrigation District are not signatory parties to the Settlement Agreement. Notwithstanding, it is IGWA's position the Director must still attribute a portion of the Agreement's 240,000 acre-feet reduction requirement to A&B Irrigation District and Southwest Irrigation District consistent with the intent of the Agreement. It argues the ground water diverters that are signatory parties to the Settlement Agreement are only responsible for 205,397 acre-feet of the 240,000 acre-feet obligation. It proceeds to assert that A&B Irrigation District and the Southwest Irrigation District are responsible for the remainder, relying on Section 3.a.ii of the Settlement Agreement quoted above. 10

The Court finds this issue has already been decided. On May 2, 2016, the Director issued his Final Order Approving Stipulated Mitigation Plan. In that Order, the Director approved the parties' stipulated proposed mitigation plan on the condition that "[a]ll ongoing activities required pursuant to the Mitigation Plan are the responsibility of the parties to the Mitigation Plan." R., 896. The annual reduction obligation set forth in Section 3.a. of the Settlement Agreement is an ongoing activity required under the mitigation plan. Therefore, it cannot be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> For reasons that are not clear from the record, IGWA does not contend that a portion of the 240,000 acre feet reduction requirement should be attributed to Falls Irrigation District. That said, at oral argument counsel for IGWA represented that the parties have agreed that Falls Irrigation District should be exempted from this analysis by agreement of the parties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> As part of an ambiguity analysis, IGWA appears to argue the Settlement Agreement lacks terms that would allow the Director to (1) determine compliance with the mitigation plan's 240,000 acre-feet reduction requirement and/or (2) determine how the requirement should be allocated among the signatory ground water users. At oral argument, counsel for IGWA stated that at the time the Settlement Agreement was signed, there was no agreement between the parties as to how to calculate and/or proportion the 240,000 acre-feet reduction requirement amongst the signatory ground water users. That said, none of the parties have argued on judicial review (or before the Director) that the Settlement Agreement lacks any material terms. Therefore, the Court does not reach that issue.

attributed to Southwest Irrigation District which is neither a signatory party to the Settlement Agreement nor a party to the mitigation plan. While A&B Irrigation District is a party to the mitigation plan, the Agreement between it and IGWA dated October 7, 2015, makes clear that its participation in the Settlement Agreement and subsequent mitigation plan is as "a surface water right holder only." R., 498. IGWA explicitly agreed in the Agreement that "Paragraphs 2 – 4 of the Settlement Agreement do not apply to A&B and its ground water rights." R., 498. This includes the 240,000 acre-feet reduction requirement set forth in Section 3.a.

The Court notes the parties knew that neither A&B Irrigation District nor Southwest Irrigation District were signatory parties to the Settlement Agreement when they submitted it to the Director as a proposed mitigation plan. The Settlement Agreement was entered into on June 30, 2015. R., 436. The signatories had all signed the Settlement Agreement on or before July 29, 2015. R., 446-460. The signatory parties did not submit the Settlement Agreement to the Director as a proposed mitigation plan until March 9, 2016. R., 509. By that time, the signatory parties had known that A&B Irrigation District and Southwest Irrigation District had not signed the Settlement Agreement for a considerable amount of time. Notwithstanding, the 240,000 acre-feet reduction obligation was not modified downward by the signatory parties to account for that fact. As a result, when the signatory parties submitted the Settlement Agreement to the Director as a proposed mitigation plan, it still contained the 240,000 acre-feet annual reduction requirement in Section 3.a.

When the Director approved the Settlement Agreement as a proposed mitigation plan, he did so on the explicit condition the ongoing activities required pursuant to the Mitigation Plan, including the 240,000 acre-feet reduction requirement, "are the responsibility of the parties to the Mitigation Plan." R., 896. The Director's Final Order dated May 2, 2016, was a final and appealable order. <sup>13</sup> If IGWA disagreed with the Director's conditional approval of the stipulated proposed mitigation plan, it was required to timely exhaust administrative remedies and seek

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Some members of A&B Irrigation District are holders of surface water rights while other members are holders of ground water rights.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The Settlement Agreement had a signature deadline of August 1, 2015. R., 445.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> If IGWA had a different intent regarding the application of Section 3.a. of the Settlement Agreement, at this point the Director's conditional approval of the proposed mitigation plan plainly set forth the requirement regarding which parties were responsible for the annual 240,000 acre-feet annual reduction obligation. If IGWA had concerns with the Director's addition of the condition for approving the Mitigation Plan, it did not raise them with the Director. Accordingly, the parties have been subject to the terms of the Mitigation Plan since its approval.

judicial review at that time. I.C. §§ 67-5271, et. seq. It did not, and the time for taking such actions has expired. The issue is therefore final and not proper for review in this proceeding and IGWA's attempt to raise the issue for the first time in this proceeding is an improper collateral attack on the Director's May 2, 2016, Final Order. It follows the Director's Final Order must be affirmed.

#### B. Substantial rights.

IGWA argues its substantial rights were prejudiced by the Final Order by "forcing them to conserve more groundwater than they agreed to when they signed the [Settlement Agreement]." IGWA Opening Br., p.23. As set forth above, IGWA has failed to establish the Final Order was made in violation of Idaho Code § 67-5279(3). Additionally, the only issues before the Court pertain to the dispute over compliance with the approved mitigation plan in 2021. The parties entered into a separate agreement (i.e., the Remedy Settlement Agreement) to resolve that dispute. That Agreement was entered into prior to the Director's issuance of Final Order that is the subject of this proceeding, which Final Order simply implemented the stipulated resolution. Therefore, the Final Order did not implement any remedy in relation to the 2021 compliance dispute that was not agreed to by IGWA in resolution of the dispute. It follows the Final Order did not prejudiced IGWA's substantial rights. At oral argument, the parties indicated that compliance issues with the approved mitigation plan have been raised with respect to 2022 and that additional issues may potentially be raised with respect to 2023. It is the Court's understanding that no determination or final order pertaining to 2022 and 2023 has been made by the Director at this time. As a result, compliance issues related to 2022 and 2023 are not before the Court in this proceeding and cannot be used to establish prejudice to a substantial right for purposes of this case. Therefore, IGWA has not shown its substantial rights were prejudiced. It follows the Final Order must be affirmed.

### C. Attorney fees.

IGWA seek an award of attorney fees under Idaho Code § 12-117(1). That code section provides for fees to the prevailing party where the Court finds "that the nonprevailing party acted without a reasonable basis in fact or law." IGWA is not the prevailing party in this proceeding. As a result, its request for attorney fees must be denied.

### IV.

## **ORDER**

Therefore, based on the foregoing, IT IS ORDERED that the Final Order is hereby

affirmed.

Dated November 16,2023

RÍC J. WILDMAN

District Judge

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this day I served a copy of the attached to:

Thomas Budge

ti@racineolson.com

via Email

Gary Spackman Garrick Baxter

gary.spackman@idwr.idaho.gov

garrick.baxter@idwr.idaho.gov

via Email

John Simpson

Travis Thompson Michael Short

jks@idahowaters.com

tlt@idahowaters.com mas@idahowaters.com

via Email

W. Kent Fletcher

wkf@pmt.org

via Email

Sarah Klahn

sklahn@somachlaw.com

via Email

Rich Diehl

rdiehl@pocatello.us

via Email

Candice McHugh

**Chris Bromley** 

cmchugh@mchughbromley.com

cbromley@mchughbromley.com

via Email

Skyler Johns

Steven Taggart

sjohns@olsentagart.com

via Email

staggart@olsentagart.com

Dylan Anderson

dylan@dylananderson.com

via Email

Date: 11/16/2023

Treat Tripple
Clerk of the Court

By Eris Rould

Deputy Clerk

# EXHIBIT E

NO		
	FILED 7.13	
A.M	P.M. 21/3	

MAR 05 2024

TRENT TRIPPLE, Clerk
By ERIC ROWELL
DEPUTY

## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ADA

IDAHO GROUND WATER APPROPRIATORS, INC,  Petitioner,  vs.  THE IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES and MATHEW WEAVER in	) Case No. CV01-23-7893 ) ORDER DENYING PETITION ) FOR REHEARING ) )
his official capacity as Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources,	) )
Respondents,	) ) )
and	) )
CITY OF POCATELLO, CITY OF BLISS, CITY OF BURLEY, CITY OF CAREY, CITY OF DECLO, CITY OF DIETRICH, CITY OF GOODING, CITY OF HAZELTON, CITY OF HEYBURN, CITY OF JEROME, CITY OF PAUL, CITY OF RICHFIELD, CITY OF RUPERT, CITY OF SHOSHONE, CITY OF WENDELL, A&B IRRIGATION DISTRICT, BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY, TWIN FALLS CANAL COMPANY, AMERICAN FALLS RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2, MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, BONNEVILLE- JEFFERSON GROUND WATER DISTRICT, and BINGHAM GROUNDWATER DISTRICT	
Intervenors.	)

I

#### BACKGROUND

This matter concerns a Petition seeking judicial review of the Director's Amended Final Order Regarding Compliance with Approved Mitigation Plan dated April 24, 2023 ("Final Order"). On November 16, 2023, the Court entered a Memorandum Decision and Order, along with a Judgment, affirming the Final Order. The background set forth in the Memorandum Decision is incorporated herein by reference. On November 29, 2023, the Idaho Gound Water Appropriators, Inc. ("IGWA") filed a Petition for Rehearing. The parties briefed the issues raised and a hearing on the Petition for Rehearing was held on February 15, 2024.

#### II.

#### **ANALYSIS**

In the Final Order, the Director held the mitigation plan unambiguously requires participating IGWA members to reduce ground water diversions in the amount of 240,000 acrefeet of water each year. R., 415. He further found the mitigation plan unambiguously prohibits participating IGWA members from apportioning a percentage of the annual reduction requirement to A&B Irrigation District and/or Southwest Irrigation District. R., 416. The Court affirmed the Director's holdings in these respects in the Memorandum Decision. In its Petition for Rehearing, IGWA reasserts challenges to the Director's enforcement of the approved

mitigation plan. In particular, it challenges the Director's proportioning of the 240,000 acre-feet reduction obligation among the participating IGWA members.

## A. The Director's proportioning of the 240,000 acre-feet reduction obligation is affirmed.

With respect to the 240,000 acre-feet reduction obligation, Section 3.a.ii of the Settlement Agreement provides that "Each Ground Water and Irrigation District with members pumping from the ESPA shall be responsible for reducing their proportionate share of the total annual ground water reduction . . . ." R., 437. Prior to April 1 of each year, the Settlement Agreement requires participating IGWA members to submit their ground water diversions for the prior irrigation season to the steering committee. R., 478. On April 1, 2022, participating IGWA members submitted their performance report for the 2021 irrigation season. R., 709. They also prepared and submitted a document entitled "2021 Performance Summary Table," which included information on their ground water diversions. R., 845. It set forth the proportionate shares of the reduction obligation as follows:

American Falls-Aberdeen	33,715 acre-feet
Bingham	35,015 acre-feet
Bonneville-Jefferson	18,264 acre-feet
Carey	703 acre-feet
Jefferson-Clark	54,373 acre-feet
Henry's Fork	5,391 acre-feet
Magic Valley	32,462 acre-feet
North Snake	25,474 acre-feet
A&B	21,660 acre-feet
Southwest ID	12,943 acre feet

TOTAL:

240,000 acre-feet

R., 845.

IGWA's numbers attributed 34,603 acre-feet of the 240,000 acre-feet reduction obligation to A&B Irrigation District and Southwest Irrigation District. This attribution was contrary to the plain language of the mitigation plan. The Director expressly approved the mitigation plan on the condition that "[a]ll ongoing activities required pursuant to the Mitigation Plan are the responsibility of the parties to the Mitigation Plan." R., 896. The 240,000 acre-feet reduction obligation set forth in Section 3.a. of the Settlement Agreement is an ongoing activity

required under the mitigation plan. Therefore, it cannot be attributed to Southwest Irrigation District which is neither a signatory party to the Settlement Agreement nor a party to the mitigation plan. It also cannot be attributed to A&B Irrigation District, as IGWA expressly agreed that "Paragraphs 2 – 4 of the Settlement Agreement do not apply to A&B and its ground water rights." R., 498. This includes the 240,000 acre-feet reduction requirement set forth in Section 3.

Recognizing that IGWA's inclusion of A&B Irrigation District and Southwest Irrigation District in the proportionate share numbers was contrary to the mitigation plan, the Director reapportioned IGWA's numbers to comply with the mitigation plan. The Director did so in a purely mathematical fashion utilizing the information submitted by IGWA. The Director removed A&B Irrigation District and Southwest Irrigation District from the proportionate share numbers. R., 412. The Director then took the 34,603 acre-feet improperly attributed to those two entities and redistributed it to the participating IGWA members. R.412. In doing so, the Director utilizing the same percentages that IGWA utilized in determining each members' share. R., 412. The Director found each participating IGWA members' proportionate share of the reduction obligation in 2021 to be as follows:

American Falls-Aberdeen	39,395 acre-feet
Bingham	40,914 acre-feet
Bonneville-Jefferson	21,341 acre-feet
Carey	821 acre-feet
Jefferson-Clark	63,533 acre-feet
Henry's Fork	6,299 acre-feet
Magic Valley	37,931 acre-feet
North Snake	29,765 acre-feet
A&B	0 acre-feet
Southwest ID	0 acre feet

TOTAL: 240,000 acre-feet

R., 412. Based on the diversion numbers supplied by IGWA for 2021, the Director found that the following six participating IGWA members failed to satisfy their proportionate share of the 240,000 acre-feet reduction obligation in 2021: American Falls-Aberdeen, Bingham, Bonneville-Jefferson, Jefferson-Clark, Magic Valley, and North Snake. R., 412; 419. In total, participating

IGWA members were 117,216 acre-feet short of the 240,000 acre-feet reduction obligation in 2021.<sup>1</sup>

The Director did not act contrary to law in reapportioning IGWA's numbers to comply with the mitigation plan. The Director is statutorily vested with a clear legal duty to distribute water. I.C. § 42-602. The details of how the Director chooses to distribute water are largely left to his discretion. Musser v. Higginson, 125 Idaho 392, 395, 871 P.2d 809, 812 (1994). The Legislature has authorized the Director "to adopt rules and regulations for the distribution of water from the streams, rivers, lakes, ground water, and other natural water resources as shall be necessary to carry out the laws in accordance with the priorities of the rights of the users thereof." I.C. § 42-603. The Director has done so in the CM Rules, which were approved by the Legislature and became effective on October 7, 1994.<sup>2</sup> Under the CM Rules, the Director has broad discretionary authority to administer water. See e.g., In Matter of Distribution of Water to Various Water Rights Held by or For Ben. of A&B Irr. Dist., 155 Idaho 640, 652, 315 P.3d 828, 840 (2013) (recognizing the Director has discretionary authority under the CM Rules to develop and implement a pre-season management plan for allocation of water resources that employs a baseline methodology). The administration of water under the CM Rules includes the discretion to approve, implement, and enforce mitigation plans in lieu of curtailment. IDAPA 37.03.11.043; IDAPA 37.03.11.042.02; In Matter of Distribution of Water to Various Water Rights Held by or For Ben. of A&B Irr. Dist., 155 at 654, 315 P.3d at 842 (when material injury is found to exist in a delivery call, the Director can "either regulate and curtail the diversions causing injury or approve a mitigation plan that permits out-of-priority diversion").

The Director's reapportionment of IGWA's numbers was consistent with both his discretionary authority to approve, implement, and enforce a mitigation plan under the CM Rules and with the plain language of the Settlement Agreement. The proportionate share numbers submitted by IGWA were contrary to the plain language of the approved mitigation plan for the reasons set forth herein. The Director's reapportionment simply accounted for this and, in a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It should be noted that even when IGWA improperly attributed 34,603 acre-feet of the reduction obligation to A&B Irrigation District and Southwest Irrigation District, IGWA was still 82,613 acre-feet short of the 240,000 acre-feet reduction obligation in 2021. R., 845; 412. To arrive at the 117,216 acre-feet deficiency, the Director utilized a baseline of 1,787,604 acre-feet as the starting point. This is the baseline IGWA provided in its 2021 Performance Summary Table. R., 845

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The term "CM Rule" refers to Idaho's Rules for Conjunctive Management of Surface and Ground Water Resources.

mathematical fashion using IGWA's own percentages, redistributed the improperly attributed 34,603 acre-feet to the participating IGWA members. In fact, the terms of the Settlement Agreement contemplate that the Director has the authority to determine whether a disputed breach has occurred:

If the Surface Water Coalition and IGWA do not agree that a breach has occurred or cannot agree upon actions that must be taken by the breaching party to cure the breach, the Steering Committee will report the same to the Director and request that the Director evaluate all available information, determine if a breach has occurred, and issue an order specifying actions that must be taken by the breaching party to cure the breach or be subject to curtailment.

R., 479. The Director evaluated the information in this case, determined that IGWA's numbers were inconsistent with the mitigation plan, and redistributed the improperly attributed 34,603 acre-feet according to the percentage information submitted by IGWA. The Director did not alter the terms of the Settlement Agreement nor abuse his discretion in this respect.<sup>3</sup>

As the Director acted consistent with his authority under the CM Rules and with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Final Order must be affirmed. It follows that IGWA's petition for reconsideration on this issue is denied.

### B. Substantial rights.

In the Memorandum Decision, the Court utilized Idaho Code § 67-5279(4) as one basis on which to affirm the Director's Final Order, finding that IGWA failed to establish prejudice to its substantial rights. The Court held in part as follows:

[T]he only issues before the Court pertain to the dispute over compliance with the approved mitigation plan in 2021. The parties entered into a separate agreement (i.e., the Remedy Settlement Agreement) to resolve that dispute. That Agreement was entered into prior to the Director's issuance of Final Order that is the subject of this proceeding, which Final Order simply implemented the stipulated resolution. Therefore, the Final Order did not implement any remedy in relation to the 2021 compliance dispute that was not agreed to by IGWA in resolution of the dispute. It follows the Final Order did not prejudiced IGWA's substantial rights.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> IGWA asserts the Settlement Agreement fails to specify a formula to determine each participating IGWA members' proportionate share of the reduction obligation. It also asserts the Settlement Agreement fails to define a baseline against which the 240,000 acre-feet reduction obligation will be measured. Counsel for IGWA represented at the hearing that parties did not reach any agreement on either of these terms at the time of contracting. IGWA couches its argument in this respect in terms of ambiguity, but it appears to the Court the argument is one of contract formation. That said, none of the parties have argued on judicial review that no enforceable contract came into being in this matter, or that the approved mitigation plan is legally unenforceable. Nor were such argument presented to the Director below. Therefore, the Court does not reach that issue.

Memorandum Decision and Order, p.15.

IGWA requests the Court reconsider its ruling on rehearing. It asserts "the Remedy Settlement Agreement was entered into under duress after the Director communicated to IGWA through back channels that he was planning to declare a breach and shut off the ground water districts' members water rights . . . ." IGWA Brief in Support of Petition for Rehearing, p.7. IGWA's assertion is conclusory and lacks any supporting citation to the evidentiary record. As a result, IGWA's petition on this issue must be denied. See e.g., Woods v. Sanders, 150 Idaho 53, 59, 244 P.3d 197, 203 (2010) ("Conclusory allegations and assertions of fact contained in the brief without citation to the record below are not sufficient to support an argument on appeal").

# III.

## **ORDER**

Therefore, based on the foregoing, IT IS ORDERED the Petition for Rehearing is hereby denied.

Dated 3/5/2024

ERIC J. WILDM District Judge

-7-

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this day I served a copy of the attached to:

Thomas Budge

ti@racineolson.com

via Email

Gary Spackman

Garrick Baxter

gary.spackman@idwr.idaho.gov garrick.baxter@idwr.idaho.gov

via Email

John Simpson

Travis Thompson

Michael Short

jsimpson@martenlaw.com tthompson@martenlaw.com via Email

W. Kent Fletcher

wkf@pmt.org

via Email

Sarah Klahn

sklahn@somachlaw.com

via Email

Rich Diehl

rdiehl@pocatello.us

via Email

Candice McHugh

Chris Bromley

cmchugh@mchughbromley.com

cbromley@mchughbromley.com

via Email

Skyler Johns

Steven Taggart

sjohns@olsentagart.com

via Email

staggart@olsentagart.com

Dylan Anderson

dylan@dylananderson.com

via Email

Date: 3/5/2024

Trent Tripple Clerk of the Court

Deputy Clerk

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION S:\ORDERS\Administrative Appeals\Ada County 01-23-7893\Order Denying Reconsideration.docx

# EXHIBIT F

## FIRST ADDENDUM TO 2022 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PERFORMANCE REPORT

TO: IGWA-SWC Settlement Agreement Steering Committee

FROM: Ground Water Districts DATE: February 22, 2024

RE: First Addendum to 2022 Performance Report

#### Introduction

On April 1, 2023, the ground water districts submitted a report ("Initial 2022 Report") of its year 2022 performance under section 3.a. of the IGWA-SWC Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") which requires each district to conserve a proportionate share of 240,000 acre-feet of groundwater. Unlike the performance reports submitted in prior years, the Initial 2022 Report did not purport to demonstrate compliance with section 3.a in 2022 due to uncertainty over the districts' obligations under section 3.a, which was then and continues to be mired in litigation.

As noted in the Initial Report, the Agreement does not prescribe how each district's proportionate share of 240,000 acre-feet will be calculated under section 3.a, nor does it prescribe the baseline from which ground-water conservation will be measured. In 2016, the districts agreed upon (i) a method for calculating their respective shares of 240,000, and (ii) a method for measuring compliance with their respective obligations. From 2016-2022, the districts measured compliance by comparing post-Agreement diversions against average pre-Agreement diversions over the five-year period 2010-2014. The districts adopted a five-year average as the baseline in part based on their expectation that averaging would likewise be allowed to measure post-Agreement compliance. From 2016-2022, the ground water districts conserved a total of 2,189,531 acre-feet of groundwater—312,790 acre-feet per year on average—using this method.

In the Spring of 2022, the Surface Water Coalition ("SWC") asserted that the method the ground water districts had used since 2016 to calculate their proportionate conservation obligations was improper, and that averaging should not be allowed to measure compliance. After a brief effort to resolve the matter cooperatively, the SWC commenced litigation and asked the Director to adopt its interpretation of section 3.a and find certain districts in breach of the Agreement. On September 8, 2022, former IDWR Director Spackman issued the *Final Order Regarding Compliance with Approved Mitigation Plan*, ruling that the methods used by ground water districts from 2016-2022 to calculate each district's proportionate share of 240,000 acre-feet and to measure compliance with section 3.a. are not permitted. The districts challenged that ruling, and a hearing was held February 8, 2023.

At the time the districts submitted their Initial Report on April 1, 2023, a final decision had not been entered from the February 8 hearing. Therefore, the Initial Report states: "Since the method of measuring compliance will change depending on the outcome of the Director's reconsideration of the Compliance Order, the enclosed spreadsheet does not purport to demonstrate compliance with the Agreement in 2022," and "IGWA will determine a more appropriate method of measuring compliance once the Director's decision becomes final." The Initial Report further states that if the Director's final decision does not allow averaging, "then an alternative method will need to be developed."

On April 24, 2023, the Director issued the *Amended Final Order Regarding Compliance with Approved Mitigation Plan* which affirmed the Director's prior ruling. This has forced the districts to reevaluate the method used to calculate each district's proportionate share of 240,000 acre-feet, and the method used to measure compliance with section 3.a.

The districts have selected a new baseline from which groundwater conservation will be measured. Instead of comparing post-Agreement diversions against average diversions over the five-year period immediately preceding the Agreement (2010-2014), post-Agreement diversions will be compared against average diversions over the three-year period immediately preceding the Agreement (2012-2014). A three-year average has been selected in part based on the Surface Water Coalition's agreement that compliance would be based on a 3-year average, as stated in the Surface Water Coalition's and IGWA's Stipulated Mitigation Plan and Request for Order filed March 9, 2016. As litigation concerning the Agreement is ongoing, and as additional data an analyses become available, the ground water districts reserve the right to further refine or amend the method used to measure groundwater conservation under section 3.a of the Agreement.

With regard to the method by which each district's proportionate conservation obligation is calculated, the Initial Report states: "If the decision requires the IGWA Districts alone to conserve 240,000 acre-feet, then each District's proportionate share of 240,000 acre-feet will need to be redetermined." The districts have not at this time determined a new method of calculating each district's proportionate share of 240,000 acre-feet. As litigation concerning the Agreement is ongoing, and as additional data and analyses become available, the ground water districts reserve the right to make that determination and amend its performance report accordingly.

## 2022 Performance

A spreadsheet detailing the amount of groundwater diverted in each district in the year 2022, along with the amount of managed aquifer recharge performed by each district, was submitted with the Initial Report. Groundwater diversion and aquifer recharge volumes have not changed; therefore, a new spreadsheet is not included with this addendum. The table below shows each district's performance in 2022 based on the three-year average baseline and the target conservation figures assumed in the *Amended Final Order Regarding Compliance with Approved Mitigation Plan* issued April 24, 2023. Based on this method, the ground water districts collectively conserved 288,031 acre-feet in 2022. On an individual level, using this method only one district did not achieve the conservation targets assigned in the *Amended Final Order Regarding Compliance with Approved Mitigation Plan*. This may change if districts select an alternate method for calculating each district's proportionate share of 240,000 acre-feet, districts reimburse and reapportion recharge, or if the judiciary reverses the *Amended Final Order Regarding Compliance with Approved Mitigation Plan*.

2022 Usage Analysis: 3-Yea	r Average Baseli	ine					
all values in acre-ft							
	IDWR Target Conservation	3 yr Baseline	2022 Usage	Diversion Reduction	Accomplished Recharge/ Direct Delivery	Total Conservation	2022 Mitigation Balance
American Falls-Aberdeen	39,395	294,807	269,322	25,485	26,254	51,739	12,343
Bingham	40,914	296,003	269,088	26,915	516	27,431	-13,484
Bonneville-Jefferson	21,341	166,100	151,245	14,855	9,249	24,104	2,763
Carey	821	5,671	1,889	3,782	5	3,787	2,966
Jefferson-Clark	63,533	478,162	408,112	70,050	7,647	77,697	14,164
Henry's Fork	6,299	70,813	65,177	5,636	3,000	11,459	5,160
Madison		79,742	76,919	2,823			
Magic Valley	37,931	269,152	218,759	50,392	3,378	53,770	15,840
North Snake	29,765	209,487	174,838	34,649	3,395	38,044	8,278
Total:	240,000	1,869,936	1,635,349	234,588	53,443	288,031	48,031
Notes:							
(1) Includes mitigation for Freemon	- Madison Irrigation	District, Madison (	Ground Water Distri	ct and WD100. Mi	tigating by alternati	ve means.	
(2) Madison baseline is preliminary estimate, see note on district breakdown.							
(3) North Snake GWD baseline inclu	des annual average o	of 21,305 acre-feet	of conversions.				

# EXHIBIT G

# OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ADA

IDAHO GROUND WATER APPROPRIATORS, INC..

Case No. CV01-23-07893

Petitioner,

vs.

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES, and GARY SPACKMAN in his capacity as the Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources.

Respondents.

IN THE MATTER OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF WATER TO VARIOUS WATER RIGHTS HELD BY AND FOR THE BENEFIT OF A&B IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AMERICAN FALLS RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2, BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY, AND TWIN FALLS CANAL COMPANY

IN THE MATTER OF IGWA'S SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT MITIGATION PLAN

## AGENCY HEARING TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

Judicial Review from the Idaho Department of Water Resources Gary Spackman, Director, Presiding

# RAÚL R. LABRADOR ATTORNEY GENERAL

SCOTT L. CAMPBELL Chief of Energy and Natural Resources Division

GARRICK L. BAXTER, ISB No. 6301 Deputy Attorney General Idaho Department of Water Resources P.O. Box 83720 Boise, Idaho 83720-0098

Telephone: (208) 287-4800 Facsimile: (208) 287-6700 garrick.baxter@idwr.idaho.gov

Attorneys for Respondents

Sarah A. Klahn Maximilian C. Bricker SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN, P.C. 1155 Canyon Blvd., Suite 110 Boulder, CO 80302 Telephone: (303) 449-2834 sklahn@somachlaw.com

Attorneys for City of Pocatello

mbricker@somachlaw.com

John K. Simpson
Travis L. Thompson
MARTEN LAW LLP
163 Second Ave. West
P.O. Box 63
Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-0063
Telephone: (208) 733-0700
jsimpson@martenlaw.com
tthompson@martenlaw.com

Attorneys for A&B Irrigation District, Burley Irrigation District, Milner Irrigation District, North Side Canal Company and Twin Falls Canal Company Thomas J. Budge Elisheva M. Patterson RACINE OLSON, PLLP 201 E. Center St. / P.O. Box 1391 Pocatello, Idaho 83204

Telephone: (208) 232-6101 Facsimile: (208) 232-6109

tj@racineolson.com

elisheva@racineolson.com

Attorneys for Petitioner

W. Kent Fletcher FLETCHER LAW OFFICE P.O. BOX 248 Burley, Idaho 83318 Telephone: (208) 678-3250 wkf@pmt.org

Attorneys for American Falls Reservoir District #2 and Minidoka Irrigation DistrictJohn K. Simpson

Dylan Anderson Dylan Anderson Law PLLC P.O. Box 35 Rexburg, Idaho 83440 Telephone: (208) 684-7701 dylan@dylanandersonlaw.com

Attorney for Bingham Groundwater District (BGWD) Skyler C. Johns
Nathan M. Olsen
Steven L. Taggart
OLSEN TAGGART PLLC
P.O. Box 3005
Idaho Falls, ID 83403-3005
Telephone: (208) 552-6442
Facsimile: (208) 524-6095
sjohns@olsentaggart.com
nolsen@olsentaggart.com
staggart@olsentaggart.com

Attorney for Bonneville-Jefferson Ground Water District IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ADA

IDAHO GROUND WATER APPROPRIATORS, INC.,)

Petitioner, )

v. ) Case No.

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES, ) CV01-23-07893

and GARY SPACKMAN in his capacity as )

the Director of the Idaho Department of)

Water Resources, )

Respondents. )

TRANSCRIBER'S TRANSCRIPT ON JUDICIAL REVIEW

Appealed from the Idaho Department of Water Resources

GARY SPACKMAN, DIRECTOR

For Petitioner: THOMAS J. BUDGE

ELISHEVA M. PATTERSON RACINE OLSON, PLLP 201 East Center Street Pocatello, Idaho 83204

For Respondents: GARRICK L. BAXTER

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Post Office Box 83720 Boise, Idaho 83720-0098

TRANSCRIBED BY:

JEFF LaMAR, C.S.R. No. 640

Notary Public

1	BEFORE THE IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
2	
3	IN THE MATTER OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF )
4	WATER TO VARIOUS WATER RIGHTS HELD BY)
5	AND FOR THE BENEFIT OF A&B IRRIGATION)
6	DISTRICT, AMERICAN FALLS RESERVOIR ) IDWR DOCKET NO.
7	DISTRICT #2, BURLEY IRRIGATION ) CM-DC-2010-001
8	DISTRICT, MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,)
9	MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NORTH )
10	SIDE CANAL COMPANY, AND TWIN FALLS )
11	CANAL COMPANY )
12	)
13	IN THE MATTER OF IGWA'S SETTLEMENT ) IDWR DOCKET NO.
14	AGREEMENT MITIGATION PLAN ) CM-MP-2016-001
15	)
16	
17	
18	
19	TRANSCRIBER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
20	
21	
22	This hearing came on before the Idaho Department
23	of Water Resources on the 8th day of February, 2023,
24	before Director Gary Spackman.
25	

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	For Idaho Department of Water Resources:
7	OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL
8	IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
9	BY MR. GARRICK BAXTER
10	322 East Front Street
11	Boise, Idaho 83720
12	garrick.baxter@idwr.idaho.gov
13	For Surface Water Coalition:
14	MARTEN LAW LLP
15	BY MR. JOHN K. SIMPSON
16	1010 West Jefferson, Suite 102
17	Post Office Box 2139
18	Boise, Idaho 83701-2139
19	jsimpson@martenlaw.com
20	-and-
21	MARTEN LAW LLP
22	BY MR. TRAVIS L. THOMPSON
23	Post Office Box 63
24	Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-0063
25	tthompson@martenlaw.com

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	For Idaho Ground Water Appropriators:
7	RACINE OLSON, PLLP
8	BY MR. THOMAS J. BUDGE
9	MS. ELISHEVA M. PATTERSON
10	201 East Center Street
11	Pocatello, Idaho 83204
12	tj@racineolson.com
13	elisheva@racineolson.com
14	For City of Idaho Falls:
15	HOLDEN, KIDWELL, HAHN & CRAPO, P.L.L.C.
16	BY MR. ROBERT L. HARRIS
17	Post Office Box 50130
18	Idaho Falls, Idaho 83405
19	rharris@holdenlegal.com
20	For Bonneville-Jefferson Groundwater District
21	OLSEN TAGGART PLLC
22	BY MR. SKYLER C. JOHNS
23	Post Office Box 3005
24	Idaho Falls, Idaho 83403
25	sjohns@olsentaggart.com

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2	
3	For Minidoka Irrigation District and American Falls
4	Reservoir District No. 2:
5	FLETCHER LAW OFFICE
6	BY MR. W. KENT FLETCHER
7	Post Office Box 248
8	Burley, Idaho 83318
9	wkf@pmt.org
10	For Coalition of Cities and McCain Foods:
11	McHUGH BROMLEY, PLLC
12	BY MS. CANDICE M. McHUGH
13	380 South Fourth Street, Suite 103
14	Boise, Idaho 83702
15	cmchugh@mchughbromley.com
16	Also Present:
17	Sarah Tschohl
18	William Stoddard
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESSES	
4	TESTIMONY OF JAXON BRIAN HIGGS	PAGE
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Budge	47
6	Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Fletcher	63
7	Examination by The Hearing Officer	66
8	Continued Direct Examination by Mr. Budge	69
9	Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Fletcher	83
10	Continued Direct Examination by Mr. Budge	86
11	Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Fletcher	99
12	Continued Direct Examination by Mr. Budge	100
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Johns	132
14	Cross-Examination by Mr. Fletcher	135
15	Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Budge	144
16	Continued Cross-Examination by Mr. Fletcher	144
17	Cross-Examination by Mr. Thompson	157
18	Redirect Examination by Mr. Budge	164
19	Redirect Examination by Mr. Johns	175
20	Recross-Examination by Mr. Fletcher	176
21	Recross-Examination by Mr. Thompson	179
22	Further Examination by The Hearing Officer	183
23	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Budge	189
24	Further Recross-Examination by Mr. Fletcher	190
25	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Budge	192

	-	
1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	WITNESSES	
3	TESTIMONY OF TIMOTHY P. DEEG	PAGE
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Budge	194
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Johns	209
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Fletcher	214
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Thompson	217
8	Redirect Examination by Mr. Budge	222
9	Recross-Examination by Mr. Thompson	224
10		
11	EXHIBITS	
12	JOINT	RECEIVED
13	1-39	52
14	IGWA'S	
15	101 (page 14 only)	110
16	102	208
17	107 (excluding pages 2-4)	85
18	109	95
19	114	99
20	118	124
21	119	105
22	120	124
23	SURFACE WATER COALITION'S	
24	200	141
25	201	143

1	(Beginning of audio file.)
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: The appointed hour has
3	arrived.
4	Shall we start the recording, Sarah?
5	SARAH TSCHOHL: We've started.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. We are recording.
7	Thank you, everyone, for your patience and the late
8	start.
9	My name is
10	MR. BAXTER: The microphone.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, boy.
12	Okay. My name I'm sorry for the late
13	start. And I'll remind everybody, since I'm already
14	delinquent, you have microphones in front of you. When
15	you're speaking, please turn them on. And I'll try to
16	remind you.
17	And, Sarah, will you watch as well?
18	SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Because I think we have
20	people participating remotely, and they'll depend on
21	the microphones and the amplification. And it will
22	help perhaps even here in the audible. I think there's
23	some projection that comes, amplification.
24	Okay. My name is Gary Spackman. I'm the
25	Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources.

1 And I want to welcome everybody here today. the time and place appointed for a hearing regarding a 2 3 determination of a breach regarding a 2006 Mitigation 4 Plan and an underlying agreement that was struck by the 5 parties in 2015. And I know that the parties are interested 6 7 in expediting this hearing, as am I. And I don't want to --Director, I'm sorry. It looks like 9 MR. BAXTER: 10 we just went mute again. 11 Sarah. 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Yeah, mine went 13 red. There, it's green again. MR. BAXTER: And if everybody could just click 14 15 yours off to -- whenever we go off mute, it unmutes them all, and then we got to -- maybe it would be good 16 17 to confirm that those that are listening in on Zoom can 18 hear us. 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Good. Well, I thought the 20 first exercise that we'd engage in is calling roll. And maybe what we ought to do is call roll for those 21 who are online. We usually go the other direction, but 22 23 let's see who's online. We have several people. 24 Let me just call people out as I see them. And if you'd respond, please, in the affirmative that 25

```
1
     you can hear us, and we'll -- if we can't hear you,
2
     we'll let you know.
                 So I have John Simpson. Are you there,
3
4
     John?
                          Morning, Director. Yeah, I'm
5
            MR. SIMPSON:
     on -- I couldn't get on the computer audio, so I'm on
6
7
     my cell -- or my office phone, as well as the WebEx.
8
     So you may have two locations for me.
9
            THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. But you're able to
10
     see as well as hear?
11
            MR. SIMPSON:
                           I am, sir. Thank you.
12
            THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, John.
13
                 Candice?
14
            MS. McHUGH:
                         Yes, I'm here.
15
                 And just as a troubleshoot, John, the WebEx
16
     was set automatically to have no audio output or no
17
     audio input. So if you go to the audio settings, I
     think if you turn them up, you'll be able to do it
18
19
     through the computer, because it was automatically
20
     muted entirely, if you care. But I just wanted to let
21
     you know that that's what I had to do.
22
                 I can hear and see. Thank you.
23
            MR. SIMPSON:
                          Okay. Thank you.
            THE HEARING OFFICER: John, your
24
25
     technology-challenged age is showing, probably, so...
```

1	MR. SIMPSON: Well, either that or it's obvious
2	that most people would like me muted all the time.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Next.
4	And I'm sorry, Elisheva; is that correct?
5	MS. PATTERSON: Yes, I'm right here.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, okay.
7	MS. PATTERSON: I'm logged in so I can that I
8	can project images on the screen in case we need them.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Great. And did I
10	pronounce your first name?
11	MS. PATTERSON: It's Elisheva.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Elisheva. Okay. Thank
13	you. So Elisheva is here.
14	Rob Harris.
15	MR. HARRIS: I'm here. And I can see, Director.
16	Thank you.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Rob.
18	And let's see. Is the telephone number I
19	have ending in zero zero, is that you, John?
20	MR. SIMPSON: I believe so, yes.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
22	MR. SIMPSON: Thanks.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: And William Stoddard.
24	SARAH TSCHOHL: Bill Stoddard.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Pardon me.

1	SARAH TSCHOHL: It's Bill Stoddard from
2	Jefferson Clark.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, I show him at least
4	on.
5	Bill, are you there?
6	SARAH TSCHOHL: They're trying to do their
7	annual meeting at the same time, so maybe he'll be in
8	and out.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, okay. Well, we know
10	that Bill at least has the ability hopefully to listen.
11	And then I was hoping that Sarah Klahn
12	would be on.
13	Sarah, are you there?
14	MS. McHUGH: Mr. Director, this is Candice.
15	Sarah had a conflict first thing this
16	morning. She's planning to join within the next half
17	hour to an hour.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. All right. Great.
19	Now, have I missed anybody online?
20	Okay. Let's call roll for everybody else
21	here. So and I'll do this by entity. So I want to
22	start with the petitioners.
23	So the Idaho Ground Water Appropriators,
24	Inc.
25	MP RUDGE: Good morning Director This is TI

1 Budge on behalf of IGWA. 2 MS. PATTERSON: Elisheva Patterson on behalf of IGWA. 3 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: Great. And then I have 5 the Surface Water Coalition. Travis or Kent, introduce yourself, or 6 7 both, please. 8 MR. THOMPSON: Yes. Travis Thompson for our 9 clients, A & B Irrigation District, et al. 10 MR. FLETCHER: Kent Fletcher for MID and AFRD2. 11 THE HEARING OFFICER: And I'm assuming, John 12 Simpson, that you're also participating representing 13 the Surface Water Coalition? Are you there, John? I think he is. 14 15 MR. THOMPSON: He's just listening, yeah. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. And then I 17 have -- I have the City of Pocatello. And that's Sarah She'll be listening or observing, but not 18 Klahn. 19 participating directly. Coalition of Cities? Candice? 20 21 people are still there. 22 MS. McHUGH: I'm here. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MS. McHUGH: I am still here. It's just hard to 24 25 unmute.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.
2	MS. McHUGH: Sorry about that. Thank you.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you,
4	Candice.
5	And I also have Rob Williams representing
6	the Coalition of Cities.
7	Candice, I assume he's not planning to
8	participate?
9	MS. McHUGH: He is not. Rob Williams is
10	retired. He
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
12	MS. McHUGH: That's on the pleading. It's just
13	a remnant.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: City of Idaho Falls? Rob?
15	MR. HARRIS: Rob Harris on behalf of the City of
16	Idaho Falls observing today, Director. Thank you.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks, Rob.
18	And then Bonneville-Jefferson Groundwater
19	District.
20	MR. JOHNS: Skyler Johns for the
21	Bonneville-Jefferson Groundwater District.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks,
23	Skyler.
24	And then we sent notices to a few other
25	attorneys, but they have not been participating. There

1 are a couple of attorneys from the federal government, Kathleen Carr and David Gehlert, but I wouldn't expect 2 them to be participating today. 3 4 And let's see if I have anybody else. 5 Is there anybody I missed today? And I could go around and have the gallery introduce 6 themselves, but you'd probably just as soon remain 7 8 anonymous; right? So thanks for being here today, and 9 thank you for your interest. 10 Okay. Let's just talk a little bit about procedure, at least as I understand it. 11 So I've reviewed the files. I understand that there have been 12 underlying discussions by the parties and that there 13 are stipulations both to exhibit numbering. 14 15 correct --16 MR. BUDGE: Yes, Director. 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: -- TJ and others? Unless somebody doesn't agree, I'll just go 18 19 And we'll just follow that numbering stipulation. on. 20 And then I understand, too, that there may be a stipulation related to admission of common 21 exhibits. And perhaps somebody can give me a little 22 23 more information about this. I found at least one list 24 of some common exhibits in one of the documents. But I don't know that I have a final list that's been 25

1 submitted to me. Am I missing something? 2 MR. BUDGE: Yes, your Honor. So on your -- on 3 4 your desk there is a binder of common exhibits. These 5 are pleadings that have been filed in this matter, as well as the performance reports that IGWA has submitted 6 to the Department since the subject settlement 7 8 agreement was signed. And those are numbered in the zero to 99, but I think it goes through 22 or something 9 10 like that. 11 MS. PATTERSON: 39. 12 MR. BUDGE: 31? 13 MS. PATTERSON: 39. 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: This is the larger binder? 15 MR. BUDGE: That's correct. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: And I show numbering on 17 the pages through 39. I believe that's correct. 18 MR. BUDGE: 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: And that's consistent, at 20 least generally, with the list that I saw, although there may be -- I thought maybe it was through 37 or 21 something, but there may have been a couple added. 22 23 There were two pleadings that the MR. BUDGE: 24 Coalition requested we add that were added yesterday or the day before. But they were pleadings that have been 25

1 filed in this case. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And may I just ask 2 of the parties that are participating, Surface Water 3 4 Coalition, at least the Coalition of Cities, and you, 5 Mr. Johns, representing Bonneville-Jefferson, has counsel reviewed these common exhibits and is there 6 agreement that these -- these will come into evidence 7 8 as stipulated without objection? 9 Travis? 10 MR. THOMPSON: Yes, Director. 11 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Skyler? 12 MR. JOHNS: Yes, Director. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. All right. 13 That will speed things up, and I really appreciate the 14 15 assistance of counsel in identifying those exhibits that were common, and that they can be admitted without 16 17 going through the process of admission. I need to talk about the order of 18 19 presenting testimony. And I haven't seen anything 20 related to the order of testimony. 21 I assume, Mr. Budge, that because the Idaho Ground Water Appropriators filed the petition that --22 23 and requested the hearing that IGWA would want to go forward presenting evidence and would at least carry 24 that initial burden of presenting evidence, Mr. Budge. 25

1 MR. BUDGE: That's correct. And counsel have 2 discussed and agreed upon that.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And then has there been some discussion about the presentation of evidence from, for instance, Bonneville-Jefferson and the order of that presentation, whether it can be presented along with IGWA's or whether it's brought in separately? And I don't know how the parties want to do this.

And I want to make sure both Mr. Johns and the Surface Water Coalition have a full opportunity to present their evidence and cross-examine if necessary. I don't want to mix it up if folks feel strongly.

But on the other hand, if we're able to present like testimony to start with, and then somebody -- from one witness, we don't have to recall them, it may expedite the hearing. So I don't know what the parties think about the order of presentation and examination.

MR. JOHNS: Your Honor, I can -- just to clarify the -- I think this might help speed things along.

The purpose in Bonneville-Jefferson intervening in this case was stated in its opposition and -- to summary judgment and also its memorandum in support of its motion to intervene. It was based primarily on as contingently if the Director was to

1 construe this or any issues arising from a breach of 2 contract. I believe the Director settled that issue, 3 4 at least in part, for us. So I don't anticipate that we will be able to raise some of those arguments at 5 this hearing as pursuant to that order. 6 I do believe that in consulting with 7 8 Mr. Budge and his witnesses that -- that he plans to call that we will be fine if IGWA leads on this and 9 10 then just reserve the right for any rebuttal witnesses. I do -- would like to acknowledge I have 11 two witnesses here: Kirt Schwieder from 12 13 Bonneville-Jefferson on the board, he was disclosed as a potential witness, and Representative Stephanie 14 15 Mickelsen, who's the chair of the Bonneville-Jefferson 16 Ground Water District. 17 She has a few duties that she needs to take care of today, and so I'd just like to ask that if 18 19 we -- that we excuse her briefly, but if we need to 20 call her back, she would probably be available this afternoon. But I don't -- again, it would probably be 21 on a rebuttal basis. 22 23 So if the parties have any issue with that, we can discuss. 24 25 MR. BUDGE: No objection from IGWA.

1 Kent and Travis, what are THE HEARING OFFICER: your thoughts about the order of examination? 2 3 MR. THOMPSON: Yes. I anticipated IGWA's 4 witnesses first, Bonneville-Jefferson second. And then we have one witness, Brian Olmstead, we would call, I 5 guess, after those presentations. And then any 6 rebuttal, certainly, after that. 7 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Do you have 9 thoughts, Kent, as far as the way --10 MR. FLETCHER: No. I just want to make it clear, then, it's my understanding Bonneville is not 11 12 presenting any witnesses in their case-in-chief and only intends to call witnesses for rebuttal. That's 13 14 what I understand is being said. 15 MR. JOHNS: To clarify, I think we'll join in support of IGWA. As a member of IGWA we still -- I 16 17 think will rely on their case-in-chief. And at this stage I think the witnesses that would need to be 18 19 called would need to be called by way of rebuttal. So 20 yes, I think that's correct. 21 Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Skyler, will you be 22 wanting to examine the IGWA witnesses that are called? 23 24 MR. JOHNS: I'd like to reserve the right to I guess I'll allow Mr. Budge to 25 examine any witnesses.

1 proceed with examination, and then just depending on how that goes, if I could reserve the right to examine 2 those witnesses or cross-examine witnesses. 3 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, thank you. So I 5 just want to come back to the question. Does it mix the testimony up significantly 6 if Mr. Budge examines to begin with and then Mr. Johns 7 8 follows up with questions, then at least all of that 9 direct testimony comes in at the same time? And then 10 that witness would be subject to cross-examination 11 by --12 MR. THOMPSON: I think that's the way it should 13 be, your Honor. 14 MR. FLETCHER: Or Mr. Director. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: I didn't want to -- yeah. 16 Yeah, your Honor is not a title I aspire to. 17 MR. FLETCHER: Utmost respect. THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. Well, thanks. 18 19 Anyway, well, let's follow that course. I 20 just didn't want to mix testimony up in some way. 21 I think it will expedite the hearing as we move along. And then -- and then once we finish, 22 23 then of course the Surface Water Coalition will call 24 witnesses to the extent they want to, and then there will be an opportunity for calling -- or on redirect. 25

1 So anyway, and then I guess what is called surrebuttal. I don't know. 2 So any more -- any more discussion about 3 4 how the witness' will be examined? Mr. Budge? Yeah, Mr. Director, just a few 5 MR. BUDGE: housekeeping items. 6 7 And one that you mentioned that the counsel 8 for the parties did stipulate to premarked exhibits. And we have four sets of numbers that we agreed to. 9 10 the common exhibits, which were discussed previously, are zero to 99, the 100 exhibits are IGWA's premarked 11 12 exhibits, the 200s are the Surface Water Coalition's 13 premarked exhibits. And are yours 300, Skyler? 14 15 Okay. Skyler doesn't have any. So those have been premarked for ease of 16 17 reference during the hearing. The common exhibits have been admitted to the record by stipulation. 18 IGWA and 19 the Coalition will seek to admit exhibits as they go 20 along and may not admit all of their exhibits into the 21 record. There are hard copies of the premarked 22 exhibits that have been provided to the Director and to 23 the witness. And I anticipate using those primarily. 24 We do have the ability to project onto the screen 25

1 digital copies of any exhibit. And if anybody would like that to be done, Elisheva Patterson has the 2 3 ability to do that, so just let us know. 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you. MR. JOHNS: Director, I have just one matter I 5 think I need to get into the record before we proceed 6 7 with presenting evidence or anything. It had come to my understanding about January 30th that there was some settlement talks that 9 10 occurred that involved members of the Department of Water and a couple of members, I understand, from IGWA. 11 12 Counsel for Bonneville-Jefferson, however, 13 was not permitted into that meeting. And I just wanted 14 to note for the record that any settlement talks or 15 anything that will be going on between the parties we request from here on forth that Bonneville-Jefferson 16 be -- counsel for Bonneville-Jefferson be invited to 17 18 those meetings. 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: So noted, Mr. Johns. And 20 I'll pass that on to Department staff. I want to make it clear, however, that I 21 have not been participating in those discussions, 22 23 because it was my responsibility to hold this hearing, and I may be responsible for issuing -- well, I will be 24 responsible for issuing an order after this hearing. 25

1 And maybe also I may be issuing additional orders, and consequently I'm a nonparticipant in those discussions. 2 3 Okay. Thank you for that MR. JOHNS: 4 clarification. Again, it occurred, and I just -- I felt 5 like I needed to -- to state that my clients were a 6 little uncomfortable with how that had come forward. 7 8 And so I thank you, Director. If you could please instruct your staff, I'd appreciate that. 9 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. And just on a personal note, it's sometimes disconcerting to have all 11 those discussions going on outside of what I know and 12 where I'm the Director. But nonetheless where I have a 13 14 responsibility as Hearing Officer and as a person 15 that's issuing the decision, it's imperative that I try to maintain my distance and do it as best I can. 16 17 There's one other matter I just wanted to discuss briefly. 18 19 And that is, Ms. McHugh, you're participating remotely. And so based on the 20 pre-hearing -- I'm sorry, the notice of hearing, your 21 participation will be one of observation, not intending 22 23 to call witnesses; is that correct? Are you there, 24 Candice? 25 MS. McHUGH: Yes. Sorry. Unmuting just takes a

1 minute. That is correct, just observing and not 2 calling witnesses. Thank you. 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I wanted to ensure 4 that you didn't have an expectation of calling 5 witnesses. And -- okay. Other preliminary matters? 6 Well, there's one other one I need to talk 7 8 about. So let's talk about scope of the hearing 9 briefly. 10 So my understanding is that this particular hearing is to address a broad issue of whether the 2015 11 12 settlement agreement and subsequent addendums approved as a Mitigation Plan under the Conjunctive Management 13 Rules was breached in 2021. And there's two subissues 14 15 that I've identified. 16 And those subissues are the averaging of 17 annual obligation of -- I'm sorry. The issue of whether 240,000 acre-feet annually is a fixed 18 19 obligation or whether there's some averaging that was 20 intended by the agreement. And the second issue is what the quantity 21 of obligation is for IGWA. And the numbers I've 22 23 written down are either 240,000 acre-feet or approximately 205,000 acre-feet. And those are the two 24 issues that I've identified that are the subject of a 25

1 fact-finding hearing today. I also want to mention that because this is 2 a hearing regarding the Mitigation Plan and not a 3 4 hearing regarding a full interpretation of a contract, that we are not taking evidence on subjects of unjust 5 enrichment, legal impracticality, unclean hands, or 6 7 lack of damages. And there may be others. So I want to ensure that the scope of this 9 hearing is clear to the participants today. 10 Now, I'll just ask IGWA and you, Mr. Budge, as well as you, Mr. Johns, are there other issues that 11 12 you intend to explore in presenting facts today? I might frame the issues a little 13 MR. BUDGE: 14 differently, but they encompass the issues that we --15 that IGWA intends to address today. There are no other issues that we plan on exploring at this hearing. 16 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Johns. The issues we intend to explore are 18 MR. JOHNS: 19 those that are consistent with your summary judgment 20 order. And I think similar to what Mr. Budge had said, I'd characterize them a little bit differently, but I 21 22 think they're encompassed in what the Director has 23 stated. 24 THE HEARING OFFICER: Any further input from Surface Water Coalition? Mr. Thompson? 25 Mr. Fletcher?

MR. FLETCHER: Yeah, preliminarily, when we talk about the scope, I -- I've been uncertain as to what the scope of the evidence can be in this type of hearing when the Director's interpreting his own order.

And unfortunately, there's very little guidance given in the summary judgment order that was issued concerning the legal standards or factual standards that should be applied in this situation.

And the reason I say that, and I'm trying to short-circuit the -- loading this record with objections, but I'm afraid that's what we're going to end up with, is that the initial interpretation of whether -- whether this -- these decrees, these orders, were ambiguous is a legal issue, not a factual issue.

And so the Director's already ruled that it's not ambiguous as a matter of law. And so here we are today apparently talking about admitting a bunch of evidence into the record about either settlement negotiations or individual's interpretation of the agreement.

And I can understand as far as the breach issue goes that evidence should be allowed concerning implementation of the order, but I'm struggling with allowing any evidence into this record concerning settlement discussions, which under this Department's

own rules of procedure you are authorized to preclude from this hearing.

And with the idea being that we want to encourage settlement discussions, we have to remember that Mr. Johns mentioned this settlement meeting. It had nothing to do with this hearing, so hopefully Mr. Johns understands that, but I don't understand why Mr. Johns would be excluded from any settlement discussions if he's representing Bonneville. So the other -- the settlement discussions that are going on deal with a different matter, and obviously he should be included in that.

But the bottom line is we want to encourage settlement discussions. And if we come into a hearing, you know, seven years after we've negotiated an agreement and allow a bunch of testimony about what people thought that they signed on to and thought the order meant, that's going to have a chilling effect on these settlement discussions that are occurring right now and future settlement discussions.

The rules are pretty clear. Settlement discussions should not be allowed in a hearing.

And so for that purpose I'd make a motion that the Director exclude any settlement discussions from this hearing and enter a protective order stating

that none of the witnesses can testify as to settlement
discussions. That's one aspect of it.

The second aspect of it is parol evidence. And since you've already ruled as a matter of law that this agreement is unambiguous, I would move that all evidence concerning people's interpretation of the agreements or what was said or what people think about it should be excluded as well.

How it's implemented can be discussed. But for people to be testifying that this is how we interpreted it and this is how we agreed to it, knowing that they were doing that internally without any input from the Surface Water Coalition, without any agreement from the Surface Water Coalition, is just improper.

And there's case law on this issue stating you cannot be admitting these kinds of things into the record because that creates error.

And finally, when folks are trying to -
I'm not sure what the remedy is here. Are we trying to

reform a final order that there was no appeal taken

from, there was no judicial review, there was no motion

to reconsider the two final orders that we're dealing

with here.

And so in the end, are we trying to put new terms into an agreement that aren't stated in the

1 agreement? Is that the goal? And if that's the goal, that's completely 2 3 This -- this administrative hearing, nor can 4 a court turn around and attempt to reform an agreement 5 if the agreement's unambiguous by putting terms into the agreement that aren't there. 6 7 And so I'm raising these issues now because 8 you're going to hear these same discussions all through today if this evidence is allowed to come in. 9 10 And I'm very concerned that the Director's already ruled that this is an unambiguous agreement and 11 now we're going to hear a bunch of factual evidence 12 about an agreement that's unambiguous, and I believe 13 that creates error. And I also believe it creates 14 15 error to allow settlement discussions into this 16 proceeding. 17 Thank you. 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 19 Mr. Thompson. Yeah, I just agree with what Kent 20 MR. THOMPSON: was saying. And as a threshold matter, I think that's 21 what we tried to address in our motion, the two issues 22 23 you identified, the obligation of IGWA each year and 24 then this averaging issue. And we think those are both answered by the 25

1 plain terms of the settlement agreement, which was then 2 a stipulated Mitigation Plan that was approved. 3 Thank you. 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: Response? Thank you, Mr. Director. 5 MR. BUDGE: Yes. This is TJ Budge on behalf of IGWA. 6 7 I appreciate the comments that Mr. Fletcher 8 and Mr. Thompson have raised, and I agree that if a contract is unambiguous, then the interpretation of 9 10 that contract is confined to the words within the 11 contract. 12 Unfortunately, despite the best efforts of 13 attorneys, and sometimes unique circumstances, not 14 every contract is unambiguous. And the case law is 15 very clear that if a contract is un- -- or is ambiguous, then the fact finder has to look outside the 16 17 terms of contract to find out what the parties intended 18 when they contracted. 19 Now, as Mr. Fletcher pointed out, the 20 Director did issue an order last September finding the settlement agreement to be patently unambiguous, 21 meaning on the face of it there was no ambiguity to be 22 23 found. But Idaho law recognizes two types of 24 There's a patent ambiguity. There's also 25 ambiguity.

1 what's known as a latent ambiguity. And this was discussed in IGWA's summary judgment response brief. 2 And I'll just, you know, briefly review for the 3 4 Director and those in attendance some key laws related 5 to latent ambiguities. First, the Idaho Supreme Court explained in 6 Swanson v. Beco Construction -- the citation for that 7 is 145 Idaho 59. It's a 2007 decision. They defined a 8 9 latent ambiguity as such. Quote, "A latent ambiguity 10 is not evident on the face of the instrument alone, but 11 becomes apparent when applying the instrument to the 12 facts as they exist." 13 They also state in -- in another more recent decision Sommer v. Misty Valley, LLC, 170 Idaho 14 15 413. That's a 2021 decision. The Court explained its analysis in approaching latent ambiguity claims. 16 17 Quote, "First we examine the language of the instrument, including other writings incorporated into 18 19 the instrument; and second, we examine the reasonable 20 alternative meanings suggested by the parties as to language within the instrument," end quote. 21 22 The Court further explained that the fact 23 finder, quote, "may consider extrinsic evidence of the structure of the instrument, the parties' relative 24 positions and bargaining power, the parties' bargaining 25

1 history, the party drafting the instrument, and any conduct of the parties which reflects their 2 3 understanding of the contract's meaning to determine 4 whether the language of any instrument is reasonably 5 susceptible to more than one meaning, " end quote. IGWA's asserted that there is a latent 6 7 ambiguity in the settlement agreement because it does 8 not explain how to calculate each district's proportionate share of the 240,000 acre-feet, and it 9 10 does not explain how to implement diversion reductions. And we'll put on evidence to demonstrate 11 12 that there are multiple ways that could have been done. It's not clear from the face of the instrument. 13 And 14 that was left for IGWA to figure out. 15 That evidence will demonstrate the latent ambiguity that exists, which then allows the Director 16 17 to consider the parties' conduct and intentions, which -- which includes evidence outside the four 18 19 corners of the settlement agreement. 20 And so for that reason, we'd ask the 21 Director to deny the motion. 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Johns. 23 Thank you, Mr. Director. MR. JOHNS: I would join in support of what -- the 24 arguments that IGWA raised. And I would just point out 25

1 as well that my understanding is that prior to issuing that final order, which is the question, which is the 2 3 subject today, there was not an opportunity for IGWA to 4 present the type of evidence it intends to put on 5 today. And so this is requesting, in a way, for 6 the Department to reconsider its decision. 7 And for that reason IGWA should -- is not necessarily bound by 9 the findings of that order, where we are in fact saying 10 we were supposed to be granted a hearing, we want to 11 present arguments in support. 12 And so for that reason I believe that 13 evidence should be permitted to be able to show the latent ambiguities, but also to show custom and 14 15 practices that show that there was a reading of this 16 agreement that supports that averaging was considered 17 and that the proportionate share of it was 205, not 18 240. 19 Thank you. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Brief rebuttal, 21 Mr. Fletcher? You know, it's interesting Yes. 22 MR. FLETCHER: 23 because the Director's already ruled in the motion for 24 summary judgment order that this matter deals with a

decree and order, not a contract. And yet all of the

25

authority that IGWA relies upon is contractual authority.

If we look at a case dealing with a decree, and there are some in Idaho. One is McKoon versus Hathaway, 146 Idaho 106, a 2008 case dealing with interpretation of a divorce decree. The court citing multiple cases. In fact, this case has been cited over 30 times in various forms. "If the language of the decree is unambiguous, the determination of its meaning and legal effect is a question of law, and matters outside the record should not be used to construe it." That's what the Supreme -- or the Court of Appeals held.

"If the language is reasonably susceptible to differing meanings, however, it is deemed ambiguous and determination of its meaning is a question of fact. The determination of whether a provision is ambiguous is itself a matter of law."

So it's only if you find this decree ambiguous, then you can refer to the circumstances surrounding the making of the judgment in interpreting it, and they refer to the pleadings and other parts of the record in the earlier case.

So we have a situation where IGWA's basically arguing this agreement's ambiguous because of

our actions that occurred after the signing of the agreement, that we made decisions, and those decisions created an ambiguity. That's really what they're telling you today.

They're not telling you the SWC agreed to any of these things that IGWA is claiming are ambiguous. All of the acts taken after as far as determining the averaging and the baseline were made solely by IGWA. SWC had nothing to do with it.

And I might also mention that in the original settlement agreement, the first amendment to the settlement agreement, and in the second amendment to the settlement agreement, all of those agreements have integration clauses saying there are no other agreements or other matters to be considered that are outside of this agreement.

and say, "Well, oh, yeah, but we want you to consider all this other stuff that occurred that was outside the agreement but we did by ourselves that SWC was not asked to participate in, that creates an ambiguity, and therefore we want the Director to" -- and again, I don't know what the remedy is.

What remedy is IGWA asking for? That the Director change the number 240 -- 240,000 that's in the

1 agreement to some other number? Are they asking the Director to state -- you know, the agreement itself 2 3 says "IGWA will take these actions to make these 4 determinations." And so now IGWA is saying, "Well, that 5 creates an ambiguity because we went about it this way, 6 and we could have done it lots of different ways." 7 That doesn't create an ambiguity. 9 were enforced with doing those things. And they did 10 And as far as creating a baseline, there was never any objection to how they did it by anybody. 11 And so I -- I just am sitting here 12 wondering what is this all about today? What can the 13 Director do? Are we trying to set aside a final order 14 15 that was issued seven, eight years ago? I don't know. I don't know what -- I would like -- I would like to 16 17 hear what IGWA thinks the remedy would be. To insert terms into the agreement and the order that aren't 18 19 Is that the remedy? there? 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, Mr. Fletcher, if you're asking me -- and I'll opine here after all of 21 this is finished, but I appreciate the question, at 22 23 least right now. Perhaps it's tendered to IGWA and not 24 to me, at least initially. So do you have anything further, 25

1 Mr. Thompson? 2 MR. THOMPSON: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: Anything further, 3 4 Mr. Budge? And maybe this will help. 5 MR. BUDGE: Yeah. IGWA's not asking to amend an order. 6 7 IGWA's asking the Director to interpret a settlement 8 agreement that the parties entered into. And in terms 9 of ambiguity, IGWA has argued that there's actually a 10 patent ambiguity in the agreement. Section 3(a)(1), which refers to the 240,000 acre-feet figure, it says, 11 12 "Total groundwater diversions shall be reduced by 13 240,000 acre-feet annually." So in terms of patent ambiguity, that term 14 15 is ambiguous because it's susceptible to two 16 reasonable, possible interpretations. One of those is 17 that IGWA's members must conserve 240,000 acre-feet annually. Of course that's not what it says. 18 19 There's lots of terms in this agreement that say IGWA must do this, IGWA must do that, 20 groundwater districts must do this, groundwater 21 districts must do that. This provision is unique in 22 that it does not assign responsibility to IGWA or 23 groundwater districts. It simply says "total 24 groundwater diversions." 25

1 And so a patent ambiguity exists because that could be read as total groundwater diversions 2 among all pumpers, or it could be read as IGWA's 3 4 members alone must reduce by 240. 5 And so we contend there's a patent ambiguity. And as Mr. Fletcher pointed out, when 6 there's a patent ambiguity, the Director must look 7 8 outside to understand what did that mean. And so 9 that's my first point. 10 My second point to help Mr. Fletcher is 3(a)(2) explains that each district is responsible for 11 12 reducing their proportionate share of the total. 13 does not explain how that's done. So this isn't a circumstance where IGWA is 14 15 asking to rewrite the agreement. IGWA is asking the 16 Department to interpret it the way that IGWA understood 17 it to be interpreted. Now, the Coalition may have understood it 18 19 differently. And we'll let them put that evidence on 20 if they would like. But if there's ambiguity here as we 21 contend, the responsibility for that lies equally with 22 23 the contracting parties. And the attorneys can share in that responsibility. This is not a nefarious act by 24 IGWA to try to change the agreement, to remake it into 25

1 something different than IGWA thought it was. It's an attempt by IGWA to enforce the deal 2 that it thought it had made. And the law allows us to 3 4 put on evidence in light of the patent and latent 5 ambiguity arguments that we've made. THE HEARING OFFICER: And, Mr. Budge, before we 6 leave you, I want to -- if I may, ask a question or 7 8 re-ask the question Mr. Fletcher asked. What does IGWA intend or want to accomplish 9 10 in conducting this hearing today? What is the remedy 11 that IGWA is seeking? 12 MR. BUDGE: IGWA seeks a ruling from the 13 Director that the provision 3(a)(2), "total groundwater diversion shall be reduced by 240,000 acre-feet," that 14 15 that figure was based on an aquifer-wide effort to conserve groundwater, and that the proportionate share 16 17 of IGWA's members of that 240 is in proportion to pumping among all groundwater districts and irrigation 18 19 districts. And it's not theirs solely alone. And 20 that's what we seek. 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: And the change in the order that you're seeking will accomplish what? 22 23 MR. BUDGE: It will change the magnitude of the conservation volume that IGWA's members are each 24 responsible for. And it will allow them to utilize 25

1 averaging for purposes of compliance. The Director's current order does not allow 2 averaging for purposes of compliance, and it holds 3 4 IGWA's members alone for the full 240 instead of their proportionate share as we've understood it. 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: So the remedy that you're 6 7 looking for, if I can restate, is an amendment to the 8 order that may reduce the obligation of IGWA itself in the future? 9 10 MR. BUDGE: In the future and in the past, 11 correct, yes. 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Anything 13 further, Mr. Johns? Thank you, Mr. Director. 14 MR. JOHNS: 15 I would join in support with IGWA's 16 statement. 17 But I would also just state that I think that the ultimate outcome would be that it would show 18 19 there wasn't a breach by IGWA in 2021, if the Director is to reconsider its decision in how it interpreted the 20 21 matter that IGWA's explained. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Well, and I'll just 22 briefly talk about the arguments and what I've heard. 23 And I appreciate the arguments, and I think they create 24 a -- really focus on what we need to present evidence 25

1 about.

so I am persuaded by Mr. Fletcher's argument that evidence regarding settlement negotiations should not be admissible. And I think that's a very bright line that we need to establish. And I think Mr. Fletcher correctly stated that the purpose of that rule is to encourage settlement negotiations.

And so as a preliminary matter, I don't want evidence coming into the record regarding discussions that occurred during settlement negotiations. And so at least with respect to his first argument, again, I don't want evidence presented regarding settlement.

The second issue about parol evidence is a more difficult one for me. And I interpret the Department's responsibility in holding this hearing granted under 42-1701(a) of the Idaho Code as being an obligation of the Director to hold a hearing or the Department when anyone is grieved by an action of the Director or the Department. And so it's a very broad grant of the opportunity to have a hearing and to put evidence on.

And this hearing, in my opinion, although there is an underlying settlement agreement that is in

1 the form of a contract, which was adopted as a Mitigation Plan, really my obligation is to determine 2 whether the Mitigation Plan was violated and whether, 3 4 as a result of that violation, that the alternative to 5 the Mitigation Plan should be implemented or not. And as I understand Judge Wildman's 6 decisions that have been handed down, that alternative 7 is curtailment. And if the Mitigation Plan has not 9 been satisfied, and there has to be, as best as the 10 Department can work through it, a timely and expedited determination of whether it's been violated or not, and 11 12 then -- and then the subsequent activity, which is 13 curtailment. And so this is an interpretation of a 14 15 Mitigation Plan. And all of the strict rules of 16 contract, including those that were raised by 17 Mr. Johns, I'm -- I'm not going to apply. So I want the evidence to come in. 18 19 want to know whether there's any additional evidence that I didn't have in front of me when that rapid and 20 expedited determination of a breach was issued. 21 And so I'll listen to that evidence, 22 Mr. Fletcher, and I appreciate your arguments. 23 I'm ready to go forward with the evidence 24 25 at this point.

1	MR. JOHNS: Mr. Director, can I just seek a
2	clarification.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Johns.
4	MR. JOHNS: Yeah, Mr. Johns for
5	Bonneville-Jefferson Ground Water District.
6	So with regard to the settlement
7	negotiations, is that just any and all settlement
8	negotiations between the parties, or are we just
9	talking about a particular time frame? And is it any
10	and all conversations, not limited in any way? Just
11	know if there was something talked about in a
12	settlement, regardless of its content, there's no
13	admission of that? Is that the order you issued there?
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: I've always I've always
15	read the rule to be a very broad rule that applied to
16	all settlement negotiations, that they're not to be
17	presented, and evidence of those negotiations are not
18	to be presented in a hearing regarding a dispute.
19	MR. JOHNS: Okay. Thank you.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.
21	MR. BUDGE: Mr. Director.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.
23	MR. BUDGE: Further on the point of
24	clarification, I would note that the Supreme Court
25	precedent is that evidence of the parties' bargaining

1 history can be submitted to demonstrate a latent ambiguity. But respecting your decision and, you know, 2 3 may make an offer of proof if needed. 4 Just for clarification purpose, there were meetings held not between the parties but among IGWA 5 members concerning the settlement agreement prior to it 6 7 being executed. Would that type of evidence be permissible? THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, Mr. Budge, I quess 9 10 I'm not sure I even know how relevant discussions 11 between IGWA members are to this particular hearing. 12 It seems to me that those discussions internally by 13 IGWA really have no bearing or no obligation effect on the ultimate settlement that was struck. 14 15 Why would it even be relevant? Because if an ambiguity exists, the 16 MR. BUDGE: 17 fact finder has to evaluate the intent of the parties, and the parties have to testify as to their intent. 18 19 And in offering that testimony, they can explain why 20 that intent and how that intent was created. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, Mr. Budge, I will 21 strictly interpret the rule. If you want to present 22 23 something, you attempt to present it. It will be subject to objection, I'm sure. And if you want to 24

make an offer of proof, I likely will rule in favor of

25

1	the objection. If you want to make an offer of proof,
2	that's fine.
3	MR. BUDGE: Fair enough. Thank you.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Other matters we
5	need to talk about before we start?
6	Okay. Are we ready to begin, Mr. Budge?
7	MR. BUDGE: I am. Thank you.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: You may call your first
9	witness.
10	MR. BUDGE: IGWA will call as its first witness
11	Jaxon Higgs.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Higgs, come forward,
13	please. You get to be the ice breaker.
14	JAXON HIGGS: Here we go.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Raise your right hand,
16	please.
17	
18	JAXON BRIAN HIGGS,
19	having been called as a witness by IGWA, was duly sworn
20	and testified as follows:
21	
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you solemnly affirm
23	that the testimony you give today will be the truth,
24	the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
25	THE WITNESS: Yes.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Please be
2	seated.
3	And, Mr. Budge, you may examine. Have
4	Mr. Higgs identify himself for the record, if you
5	would.
6	MR. BUDGE: Yes.
7	
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. BUDGE:
10	Q. Jaxon, thank you for being here. I know
11	there's no place you'd rather be today.
12	A. Of course not.
13	Q. To begin, Jaxon, please state your name and
14	address for the record, and just briefly explain your
15	educational background.
16	A. My name is Jaxon Brian Higgs. I live at
17	355 West 500 South in Burley, Idaho. I own and operate
18	Water Well Consultants, Incorporated. I have a
19	bachelor's degree in geology from Brigham Young
20	University Idaho and a master's in hydrology from the
21	University of Idaho.
22	Q. Thank you.
23	What's your position with Water Well
24	Consultants?
25	A. I'm owner and the lead hydrogeologist.

1	Q. What type of work does Water Well
2	Consultants do?
3	A. We do all kinds of groundwater consulting,
4	geologic consulting dealing with wells. Aquifer
5	management is a big component of what we do. We spend
6	a lot of time measuring, reporting groundwater
7	diversions, and just analyzing impacts on aquifer and
8	general management of aquifer.
9	Q. How long has Water Well Consultants been in
10	operation?
11	A. Since 1996.
12	Q. And how long have you been employed by the
13	company?
14	A. Full time since 2012. And I collected
15	groundwater data prior to that for quite a while.
16	Q. Thank you.
17	Does the work of Water Well Consultants
18	primarily involve the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. I understand you're a consultant for IGWA.
21	Is that right?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. How long have you consulted for IGWA?
24	A. Since 2016, beginning of 2016 officially.
25	Q. And what type of work do you do for IGWA?

1	A. General consulting, with a focus on the
2	implementation of the settlement agreement. Mainly
3	compiling groundwater usage data, recharge data, laying
4	it out in a manner that's interpretable. So generally
5	with the Surface Water Coalition agreement.
6	Q. Thank you.
7	I understand you also provide services for
8	some groundwater districts?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Which of the groundwater districts do you
11	work for?
12	A. In varying degrees, I work for North Snake
13	Groundwater District, Magic Valley Groundwater
14	District, American Falls-Aberdeen Ground Water
15	District, Bingham Groundwater District,
16	Bonneville-Jefferson Ground Water District, and
17	Southwest Irrigation District, who's not a member of
18	this or not participating in this agreement, but I
19	do work for them as well.
20	Q. Okay. What type of work do you do for the
21	districts?
22	A. Again, general consulting, anything that
23	has to do with aquifer management, monitoring of the
24	aquifer, and monitoring and reporting their usage.
25	Q. Very good. If you'll look in front of you,

1 there's a large black binder. It's labeled "Common Exhibits." I would like you to just take a moment to 2 look at Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 and make sure you 3 4 recognize those documents. 5 Yes, I do recognize them. For the record, Exhibit 1 is titled 6 Q. "Settlement agreement entered into June 30, 2015, 7 8 between participating members of the Surface Water 9 Coalition and participating members of the Idaho Ground 10 Water Appropriators, Inc." 11 This is what we commonly refer to as the 12 IGWA-SWC settlement agreement or the 2015 settlement 13 agreement; is that correct, Mr. Higgs? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Also for the record, Exhibit 2 is titled 0. 16 "Addendum to Settlement Agreement." 17 Is it your understanding that this is the 18 first addendum to the IGWA-SWC settlement agreement? 19 Yes. Α. And also for the record, Exhibit 3 is 20 titled "Second Addendum to Settlement Agreement." 21 22 And do you understand this to be a second 23 addendum to the 2015 settlement agreement just 24 discussed? 25 Α. Yes.

1	Q. During our discussions today I'll refer to
2	these documents collectively as the "Settlement
3	Agreement" unless I'm referring to a specific provision
4	specifically.
5	Does that sound okay?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Jaxon, why don't you explain what your
8	involvement with the Settlement Agreement has been on
9	behalf of IGWA?
10	A. Okay.
11	MR. FLETCHER: Before we go any further, I just
12	want to make sure, did did we get these formally
13	admitted into the record? Did the Director admit them?
14	I know we stipulated to the admission, but
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. Thank you,
16	Mr. Fletcher. And maybe we should start there, and I
17	neglected to
18	MR. FLETCHER: I think it will just save us time
19	as we go through this if we just admit all the common
20	exhibits.
21	MR. BUDGE: Yeah, my understanding is the common
22	exhibits have been admitted by stipulation. And if
23	not, I'll make that stipulation now on behalf of IGWA.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection from the
25	parties?

1	MR. JOHNS: No objection.
2	MR. FLETCHER: No. Thank you.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Fletcher?
4	MR. FLETCHER: No objection.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Thompson?
6	MR. THOMPSON: No.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. The documents
8	marked as Exhibits 1 through 39 are received into
9	evidence.
10	(Exhibits 1-39 received.)
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Fletcher,
12	for the clarification.
13	Mr. Budge.
14	Q. (BY MR. BUDGE): Thank you, Mr. Higgs.
15	Before the break I had asked you to explain
16	what work you've done for IGWA related to the
17	Settlement Agreement.
18	A. Yeah. In 2015 many of the groundwater
19	districts were discussing a settlement agreement and
20	ways to implement it. I discussed with them options
21	and was invited to participate in some IGWA board
22	meetings discussing this implementation.
23	And so I having familiarity with the
24	data that was available for the ESPA associated with
25	groundwater pumping and other data, I began presenting

1 to them the data and the options to implement the 2 Settlement Agreement. Very good. And since then have you 3 0. 4 continued to be involved? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. In what ways? 7 I participate in all of the IGWA board Α. 8 meetings and discussions on -- on continued 9 implementation of the agreement. 10 And I also annually gather the data that is 11 collected by various entities regarding the groundwater 12 usage and recharge to prepare an annual report of that year's conservation efforts in regards to the 13 14 Settlement Agreement. 15 If you'll look in that common exhibits binder at Exhibits 29 -- excuse me. Correction -- 16 16 17 through 20. Those are a series of what are called 18 Settlement Agreement Performance Reports. 19 You mentioned a moment ago that you're 20 involved in implementation -- or are you involved in 21 preparing these performance reports? 22 I review the letter, if that's what Α. you call it, but I create the charts. You'll see, for 23 example, on Exhibit 16, page -- or page 6, excuse me, I 24

create that chart and the background data associated

25

1	with it that shows the baseline usage and that year's
2	pumping and recharge usage.
3	Q. Okay. Thank you. We'll come back to those
4	a little later.
5	You also participate in the IGWA-Surface
6	Water Coalition technical working group involving
7	implementation of the Settlement Agreement?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Do you have any involvement with Department
10	staff related to the Settlement Agreement?
11	A. Yes. And so if I can expound.
12	Q. Sure.
13	A. The while we are collecting the usage
14	data and recharge data, there's constant interaction
15	with the Department staff to ensure that we're on the
16	same page, that the quality of the data is good.
17	We also there is part of the Settlement
18	Agreement that talks about flow meter compliance, and I
19	regularly communicate with them regarding flow meter
20	compliance. So I do have quite a bit of communication
21	with the Department on things related to this
22	Settlement Agreement.
23	Q. Very good. Were you involved in
24	negotiating the agreement?
25	A. No.

- Q. At what point did you become involved with the agreement?
  - A. In 2015 in the spring when -- when the Department of Water Resources was presenting information to water users, I attended those meetings, I attended the groundwater district meetings where they talked about those, and then I started attending IGWA meetings sometime in the middle to -- well, the middle of the summer to the beginning of the fall I started attending IGWA meetings.
  - Q. Your involvement, then, has primarily been with implementation?
    - A. Yeah.

- Q. Let me have you turn to Exhibit 1 in that black binder. This is the original 2015 Settlement Agreement that we reviewed just a moment ago. And when you get there, turn to page 2 of that exhibit.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. On page 2, section 3(a)(2) reads, "Each groundwater and irrigation district with members pumping from the ESPA shall be responsible for reducing their proportionate share of the total annual groundwater reduction or in conducting an equivalent private recharge activity."

Were you involved in the calculation of

- each of IGWA's District's proportionate shares of the 240,000 acre-feet?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Does this agreement explain how that calculation should be done?
  - A. No.

- Q. Is there more than one way that it could have been calculated?
- 9 A. Yes.
  - Q. Please explain some of the different ways it could have been done.
    - A. Since we were given a volume to reduce by, there's different ways that you can -- you can look at proportionate -- or splitting up that volume. And you can do it by water right acres. You could do it by irrigated acres. You could do it by water right cfs, you could do it by historically -- historic pumping flow rates in the form of cfs. You could do it by the volume pumped, by the water right volume. You can -- you can incorporate location into that and impact. You can look at consumptive use. You can look at evapotranspiration data. So there's many different ways that you could go about splitting up that -- that obligation.
      - Q. And the agreement does not specify which of

1	those methods should be used?
2	A. No.
3	Q. You testified that your involvement was
4	primarily in implementation after the Settlement
5	Agreement was signed.
6	Had IGWA figured out how to calculate each
7	district's proportionate share at the time you became
8	involved?
9	A. No.
10	Q. What's your understanding as to why this
11	was not figured out before the agreement was signed?
12	MR. THOMPSON: I'll lodge I guess I'll lodge
13	an objection to any sort of discussions regarding
14	settlement negotiations prior to the execution and
15	effective date of the Settlement Agreement.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Budge.
17	MR. BUDGE: Yes, I maybe can clarify.
18	Q. Mr. Higgs, I'm not asking you to testify as
19	to settlement negotiations between the parties, just
20	your understanding as to why IGWA had not figured out
21	how to calculate the proportionate shares before you
22	became involved.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Is the objection
24	still standing, Mr. Thompson?
25	MR. THOMPSON: I think the way he phrased that

1 corrected what I heard the first time. 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: So I understand the objection is withdrawn. 3 4 Is that correct, Mr. Thompson? 5 MR. THOMPSON: Yes. 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So, Mr. Higgs, you 7 may answer the question. Thank you. THE WITNESS: 9 When I originally became involved with the 10 implementation, there was a pretty large lack of understanding of what data was available and what that 11 12 data actually showed. 13 And so my understanding was that in an effort to move things along and start to 14 15 implementation, the agreement needed to be signed to 16 avoid curtailment, and IGWA had to assume that they 17 would be able to come up with a way to proportion that 18 before the following irrigation season began. 19 (BY MR. BUDGE): Okay. Explain what --Q. 20 what process transpired after you became involved to 21 figure that out. 22 There were some meetings in the springtime Α. of 2015 where various data was -- or various options 23 were provided, but nothing in detail. And so in the 24 summer of 2015, one of the groundwater districts 25

1 suggested that I present to the IGWA board the data that I knew was available and potential options to 2 3 proportioning the obligation. 4 So I -- being most familiar with the usage 5 data, pumping data that I'd been participating in collecting since the mid-'90s, I immediately put as 6 much data together as I could, brought it to IGWA to 7 8 show them, at least so they could know what volume they 9 were pumping. All that -- those numbers were 10 preliminary. 11 But I presented that to IGWA so that they 12 could see -- just have a general idea of what we were 13 talking about and potentially what their individual obligations could be. 14 15 Thank you. 0. If you'll turn in the white binder, which 16 17 is the binder of IGWA's exhibits. 18 Oh, this one? Α. 19 To tab 7. That will bring up Exhibit 107. Q. 20 Take a moment to review that exhibit. 21 Α. Okay. 22 Do you recognize that document? Q. 23 Α. Yes. What is it? 24 0. 25 It's a PowerPoint presentation that I put Α.

1 together for the IGWA board of directors. 2 Q. Do you recall about when you put this 3 together? 4 Α. The middle of 2015. So I guess I'll Somewhere in July, August of 2015. 5 clarify that. The front page is titled "Surface Water 6 Q. Coalition Agreement, District Reduction Apportionment." 7 8 Please explain why you prepared this for the IGWA board. 9 10 I was -- I was asked to come present 11 options for how to apportion the reduction obligation 12 from the Settlement Agreement. So how would we measure 13 and apportion the 240,000 acre-feet that was stipulated 14 in the agreement. 15 When you say "apportion" it, you're 0. 16 referring to how you calculate each district's 17 proportionate share of that 240,000? Yeah, how you split up the volume. 18 Α. 19 Assigning a volume of reduction requirement to each district. 20 21 Q. Okay. Please turn to page 2 of that 22 exhibit. 23 Α. Okay. 24 0. Did you prepare the table shown on page 2? This chart was taken from -- and 25 Α. No.

1 obviously improperly, cited here. Taken from a 2 presentation that was given by, I believe, the 3 Department of Water Resources in the spring of 2015. And what does it show? 4 Q. 5 Α. It shows --MR. FLETCHER: Your Honor -- Director, there's 6 no source to this table and he doesn't know the source. 7 8 I'm going to object to this testimony. Lack of 9 foundation. 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Budge. MR. BUDGE: I'll ask some foundational 11 12 questions. 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure. 14 0. (BY MR. BUDGE): Mr. Higgs, did you attend 15 any meetings during that 2015 time period where the Department presented data involving the allocation of 16 17 the 240,000 acre-feet among the districts? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Just briefly, which meetings did you Q. 20 attend, as you recall? 21 I recall two meetings -- one in the Lower Valley in Burley, one in the upper valley in Idaho 22 23 Falls -- to present to the groundwater users, not just those who participated in negotiations, but all of the 24 groundwater users, information about the Settlement 25

1 Agreement and some general possibilities for 2 apportioning the share, the obligation. 3 Did anyone attend those meetings besides 0. 4 members of the district? I remember there being -- well, there was 5 There was consultants. And I 6 Department staff. 7 believe in the one in Burley there were some surface 8 water water right holders and potentially some of their 9 consultants, but... 10 Who do you recall participating on behalf 11 of the Department? 12 Α. I recall the presentation was given by Mat 13 Weaver. And this chart, is it your understanding 14 0. 15 this came from one of those presentations? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. What's your understanding of the contents of this chart? 18 19 Again, I'm going to object. MR. FLETCHER: this is part of the chart, if -- are you saying this 20 was pulled out of a document produced by Mat Weaver? 21 22 THE WITNESS: It was a chart taken from a presentation, yeah, given --23 24 MR. FLETCHER: And wasn't that entire presentation marked settlement discussions? 25

1	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
2	MR. FLETCHER: What's the exhibit number on it?
3	MR. BUDGE: This is 107, page 2.
4	MR. FLETCHER: You still don't know the source
5	of who created this chart?
6	THE WITNESS: I believe it was Mat Weaver.
7	MR. FLETCHER: I guess I'm not sure the time's
8	right for this objection, but well, may I ask a few
9	questions in aid of objection.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure.
11	
12	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. FLETCHER:
14	Q. Did the Surface Water Coalition have
15	anything to do with preparing this document?
16	A. Not that I'm aware of.
17	Q. 107, the whole exhibit, 107.
18	A. 107?
19	Q. Yeah, didn't you
20	A. No. 7?
21	Q. Yeah, the one that says "Surface Water
22	Coalition Agreement."
23	A. Yes, I created this PowerPoint.
24	Q. You created that?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. And you created it for the purposes of
2	presenting it to the groundwater districts; correct?
3	A. To the IGWA board.
4	Q. Right. And the Surface Water Coalition
5	never signed off on this document; isn't that correct?
6	A. It was never presented to them.
7	Q. Okay. So they never agreed to what this
8	document says; correct?
9	MR. BUDGE: Objection. This line of questioning
10	goes well beyond the scope of the objection.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, at least overruled
12	right now.
13	Go ahead, Mr. Fletcher.
14	MR. FLETCHER: Yeah. Well, the Director earlier
15	had stated that internal discussions of IGWA were not
16	relevant to this inquiry. And this exhibit goes
17	exactly to that. This is an internal discussion
18	occurring with IGWA, not the Surface Water Coalition.
19	And I have to also put this in the context
20	of these Settlement Agreements, because all three of
21	them state that there are no agreements between the
22	parties, other than what's set forth in the Settlement
23	Agreements.
24	And so now apparently IGWA's trying to
25	present evidence of third-party sources we don't

1	even really know what the sources are in an attempt
2	to argue that the Settlement Agreement doesn't mean
3	what it says.
4	So I'm going to object on the grounds it's
5	not relevant, it's self-serving, and it doesn't lead
6	it doesn't help with the inquiry on whether this
7	document is unambiguous.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
9	MR. THOMPSON: Well, Director, I might offer
10	another basis.
11	Idaho Rule of Evidence 408, any materials,
12	information prepared by the Department or presented at
13	meetings prior to the execution of the Settlement
14	Agreement, and ultimately its effective date, should be
15	excluded on that ground as well.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Do you have any
17	more foundational questions, Mr. Budge?
18	MR. BUDGE: The objections go well beyond
19	foundation. They go to the Director's prior ruling.
20	And I would respond by making a motion that
21	the Director make a finding that a latent ambiguity
22	exists as to how you calculate each district's
23	proportionate share of the 240,000 acre-feet.
24	As I mentioned before, the law in Idaho is
25	that a latent ambiguity is not evident on the face of

1 the instrument alone, but becomes apparent when applying the instrument to the facts as they exist. 2 Mr. Higgs has testified that the agreement 3 4 does not explain how to calculate each district's proportionate share of the 240. We can't answer that 5 question from the face of the instrument. The only way 6 7 to answer that question is to go outside of the face of the instrument. 9 And this -- this exhibit is presented so 10 that Mr. Higgs can explain how they calculated it and 11 what was considered. 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Well, Mr. Budge, I 13 will not issue a ruling right now related to whether the agreement has latent ambiguity or not. 14 15 perhaps what's relevant in this whole discussion is --16 so I'll ask a question or two of Mr. Higgs. 17 18 **EXAMINATION** 19 BY THE HEARING OFFICER: 20 Mr. Higgs, many of these documents, as I look at them, are strangely familiar to a format that 21 22 would at least indicate that the Department of Water 23 Resources prepared the page or the slide. 24 Hmm. Α. And so I'm looking at page 2, page 3, 25 Q.

1 page 4, perhaps page 5 and 6, discussion of WMIS, 2 page 7, and I come to page 8. So at least with respect 3 to those pages, it appears that those were extracted 4 directly from some source of information prepared by 5 the Department. 6 Α. Can I comment on that? 7 0. Sure. 8 Yeah, so the -- the presentations that were Α. 9 given by the Department staff to the groundwater users 10 included page 2, page 3 with, I believe, some of my 11 edits, page 4, but then page 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 12 those were all created by me. 13 So what I was asked to do was to come to the IGWA board to review what had been said at the 14 15 meetings and explain in detail and answer questions 16 from my perspective regarding some of what was 17 provided. Okay. Well, we need to determine what's 18 0. 19 admissible and what's not here. 20 So I will extract out of this pages 2 through -- 2, 3, 21 and 4. 22 Mr. Higgs, you testified that you prepared pages 5 through 12 yourself? 23 24 Α. Yes. Including mapping of points of diversion? 25 Q.

1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. On page 11 -- or just a minute. I'm sorry. 3 Page 12 --4 Yeah, if you look --A. -- or it's 11? 5 0. If you look down on the very bottom left of 6 Α. 7 page 11, you can see "Created by Jaxon Higgs WWC, Inc." 8 on the map. 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Well, at least in 10 response to the objection. Of course there's not yet been a motion to 11 12 receive this into evidence. But at least in response 13 to the objection, I'll exclude pages 2 through 4. And you may continue, Mr. Budge. 14 15 MR. BUDGE: Director, is the basis of that ruling that Mr. Higgs does not have foundation to 16 17 testify of the contents of those pages, or that anything that the Department prepared is irrelevant? 18 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: The second isn't the 20 ruling that I would make, that everything prepared by the Department is irrelevant. It's just that Mr. Higgs 21 22 doesn't have the necessary background to testify about 23 the numbers that are included there. So it's 24 foundation. Let me ask the Director to 25 MR. BUDGE:

1 reconsider that, that ruling. THE HEARING OFFICER: And I'll ask you to not 2 ask me to reconsider, and let's move on. 3 4 MR. BUDGE: Okay. I must offer -- make an offer 5 of proof because the law requires it. As I mentioned, this Idaho Supreme Court's 6 7 recent decision just last year in Sommer v. Misty 8 Valley, LLC, said that the fact finder may consider, 9 among other things, any conduct of the parties which 10 reflects their understanding of the contract's meaning. And these documents, regardless of who 11 12 prepared them, inform the understanding of IGWA. 13 the reason I'm putting them on is to demonstrate IGWA's 14 understanding of the agreement. And that is well 15 within our prerogative, and it's our obligation to 16 prove our case. 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Make your offer of proof. 18 MR. BUDGE: Okay. 19 20 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. BUDGE: Mr. Higgs, please turn again to 22 23 Exhibit 107. 24 Α. Okay. What's your understanding of the content in 25 Q.

1 that table on page 2 of 107? This lists the groundwater districts on the 2 Α. ESPA and one -- well, two irrigation districts, what at 3 4 the time was the current consumptive irrigation requirement within those districts over the acres 5 listed in the next column, and calculates out the total 6 acre-feet based on the consumptive irrigation 7 8 requirement for each one of those districts. 9 And then the next column proportions the 10 share of 240,000 acre-feet based on the percentage of -- or the amount of total consumptive irrigation 11 12 requirement. 13 It then has another option for apportionment based on a flat percentage reduction of 14 15 that total acre-foot pumping number. So it was -- it was an attempt to -- as I 16 17 understand it, an attempt to describe to the districts 18 that could potentially participate in this agreement 19 how they could apportion that 240,000 acre-feet. 20 0. So just to make that -- make sure I understand, this just shows one potential method of 21 calculating each district's proportionate share of the 22 23 240,000 acre-feet? 24 Α. Yes. And this method is based on crop irrigation 25 Q.

1	requirement?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. What is that? What's crop irrigation
4	requirement?
5	A. It's the water required to grow a healthy
6	crop.
7	Q. It's not based on measured diversions?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Okay. If you'll flip to pages 3 and 4, the
10	title of these pages refer to crop irrigation
11	requirement.
12	Am I understanding correctly that these
13	pages simply describe how crop irrigation requirement's
14	calculated?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. If you turn to page 5, it's titled "Issues
17	with Crop Irrigation Requirement" or with CIR.
18	A. Uh-huh.
19	Q. Is this a page you prepared?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. For what purpose?
22	A. To show the groundwater districts the
23	potential positives and negatives of using crop
24	irrigation requirement as a as a measurement or
25	[unintelligible].

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: So, Mr. Budge, you have
2	completed your offer of proof, then, related to pages 2
3	through 4?
4	MR. BUDGE: Correct.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: And now you're pursuing
6	additional examination?
7	MR. BUDGE: Correct.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I just want to
9	distinguish and set the markers or the boundaries of
10	that offer of proof.
11	So the ruling stands still after the offer
12	of proof, pages 2 through 4 are excluded.
13	You may examine regarding any additional or
14	the remaining pages, Mr. Budge.
15	Q. (BY MR. BUDGE): Okay. Mr. Higgs, the
16	Director's ruling essentially has removed your ability
17	to consider pages 1 through 4 of Exhibit 7. So we're
18	going to act as if page 5 is the first page of
19	Exhibit 107.
20	A. Okay.
21	Q. Can you explain what page 5 of Exhibit 107
22	addresses.
23	A. One of the methods to potentially determine
24	apportionment of of the obligation of the Settlement
25	Agreement, and to also measure progress or efforts is

1 consumptive irrigation requirement. And it was being proposed as an option by the Department of Water 2 And I wanted to present to the IGWA board 3 Resources. 4 some of the issues with that and also some of the benefits of that. 5 And so I created this PowerPoint slide to 6 7 provide those -- that information to them. You testified earlier that there are Q. multiple ways in which the -- each district's 9 10 proportionate share of the 240 could be calculated; is 11 that right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. And this was just one method under consideration at that time? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 And if I understood your testimony, you 0. 17 were just pointing out there were some pros and cons of 18 this method? 19 Α. Yep. 20 If you'll turn to page 6 of that exhibit. It's titled "WMIS, Water Measurement Information 21 22 System." 23 Did you create this page of the exhibit? 24 Α. Yes. 25 For what purpose? Q.

1 To describe to the -- the IGWA board the Α. 2 water measurement information system, the database that's housed by the Department of Water Resources, and 3 4 the data that is -- that is in that database. 5 Is this database used to determine crop irrigation requirement? 6 7 Α. No. 8 Is this a different method for potentially Q. 9 calculating each district's proportionate share of the 10 240? The data in there could be used, yes, to 11 Α. 12 proportion the 240,000-acre foot obligation. 13 Q. Okay. Turn to page 7. 14 Α. Yes. 15 What does this map of Idaho with all the 0. 16 yellow dots show? 17 This is a map presenting the ESPA in red, Α. the area of common groundwater supply. And the dots on 18 19 there, the points, are points of diversion, or in this 20 case the yellow would be groundwater wells that are in 21 the WMIS database. 22 Why was this included in your presentation 23 to the IGWA board? 24 To show them that the extent of water Α.

measurement was large and covered the entire ESPA.

1	Q. Okay. Turn to the next page.
2	What does this show?
3	A. This is a snapshot from the the Water
4	Measurement the Water Management Information System
5	database, the WMIS database, used to show them the
6	the board of IGWA how water use is calculated and the
7	information the extent of the information that's
8	provided in that database.
9	Q. And this relates to the use of water
10	measurements as one potential method for implementation
11	of the Settlement Agreement?
12	A. Yes. My familiarity with this database is
13	great. And I wanted to present to them that we had
14	been collecting data for a long time and there was data
15	there and that it should be and could be used.
16	Q. Okay. Turn to page 9. It's titled "Issues
17	with Water Measurement."
18	A. Uh-huh.
19	Q. Why was this included in your presentation?
20	A. I wanted to show them the pros and cons of
21	using the water measurement data and that database as a
22	method to apportion and to measure progress and related
23	to the Settlement Agreement, and especially to compare
24	it against the currently proposed or at that time

the proposed method of using irrigation requirement.

1 So there were at least two methods under 0. consideration at that time, the crop irrigation 2 requirement and the water measurement method? 3 4 Α. Yes. At least. Had IGWA at that time determined how 5 0. Okay. to calculate each district's proportionate share of the 6 7 240? Α. No. 9 Turn to page 10. 0. 10 Did you prepare this? 11 Α. Yes. 12 What does this show? 0. 13 Α. This shows each groundwater district and also those non -- not participating in a groundwater 14 15 district. Essentially the usage housed in the WMIS database by district with a percentage of the total 16 17 pumping assigned for each district and an apportionment 18 option for the 240,000 acre-foot obligation, and the 19 subsequent percentage reduction that each district 20 would have if we used this method. That's under "Current." 21 22 Under "Applied" is where I took the -- I 23 mean it's essentially some -- it's essentially the same data with some -- some corrections in it. Just another 24

method to be able to apportion it and the difference

1 between them. And -- and this method, is this the water 2 Q. measurement method? 3 4 Yes. I -- I called it the reduction by Α. usage, which means the pumping out of each -- each well 5 essentially or how much water each district used, 6 7 pumped out of their wells. 8 Okay. So this was just one of the methods Q. under consideration at that time? 9 10 Uh-huh. Α. There's a row on the bottom labeled "Out of 11 0. District." 12 13 Α. Yes. What does that refer to? 14 0. 15 Α. Those are points of diversions that are outside the boundaries of each district. And I will 16 17 note, if I can, that next line up says "In District, 18 Not Reported by District." 19 These are points of diversions within 20 district boundaries that were not participants in the district, not assessed members. And we assumed that 21 22 many of those members would end up -- or many of those 23 individuals or points of diversions would end up 24 joining the districts.

25

And that is one of the differences between

1 the first chart, the current and applied, is that I took those in districts, not reported by the district, 2 and attached them to the district that they fell within 3 4 and reapportioned, but kept those outside of the 5 district separate. So hopefully that was clear. So if I understand, as part of 6 Yeah. evaluating this method, you were considering how to 7 8 treat wells that are either out of the district or inside, but not a member of the district? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 0. I see this chart also includes A & B, Raft 12 River, and Southwest. 13 I assume that refers to A & B Irrigation District, Raft River Groundwater District, and 14 15 Southwest Irrigation District? 16 Α. Yes. Why were they included in this chart? 17 Q. They were included in charts that I had 18 Α. 19 seen from the Department of Water Resources. And because we -- the 240,000 acre-foot obligation was 20 21 presented to the groundwater users as a number that would be required to potentially stabilize the aquifer. 22 23 And so we included in this chart every acre-foot of pumping that was included in the ESPA 24

because all of that has an impact.

1 If you'll turn to the next page. 0. Okay. This is obviously an aerial image of the ESPA with the 2 3 various districts and some yellow and red dots. 4 What does -- why was this included in your 5 presentation? This is a visual representation of what we 6 Α. talked about in the previous chart, in district but not 7 8 reported by the district, and the outside of district. The yellow dots are within district boundaries, but not 9 10 reported by or not assessed by the groundwater districts or irrigation districts. 11 12 And then the red dots are the points of 13 diversion where usage occurs that is not inside of any groundwater district where measurement and reporting is 14 15 carried out by those districts. So not -- so IGWA's members did not 16 17 represent all of the pumping from the ESPA; is that 18 correct? 19 Α. Correct. 20 And as part of your analysis, you were 21 evaluating how to create pumpers who are not members of 22 IGWA? 23 Yeah, it was -- it was generally to make an Α. accounting of the usage within the ESPA and all of 24 those that could potentially be subject to the water 25

call, but -- but those that had an impact on the aquifer by pumping since that 240,000 acre-foot was -- feet was presented as a budget deficit, we wanted to make sure we were accounting for all usage on the ESPA, not just those within the IGWA groundwater districts.

Q. Okay. If you'll flip to the last page of that exhibit, page 12.

Explain this part of your presentation.

A. This was very preliminary. This was the -I believe the first time I presented to the IGWA board,
and I wanted to try and give them an idea of what they
needed to do to continue determining how to proportion
that and to measure their success.

So it describes here that just because I have this data in this presentation did not mean that it was complete. We needed to go through every water right within every district and within the ESPA, we needed to make sure that all of those water rights were correlated properly with the wells, which the Department of Water Resources does a fairly good job of that, but there have never been so much scrutiny on these water rights and well combinations.

I suggested that we meet with the

Department staff to that we could all be on the same

page and make sure that we were going in the right

direction as far as accounting for this data properly.

And then I recommended them that for practicality and other reasons that we use the usage data where available to proportion the 240,000 acre-feet and to measure the efforts and potentially use the irrigation requirement or evapotranspiration where the data was not available or incomplete or incorrect.

- Q. Was the Department collecting groundwater diversion data previous to 2015?
- A. Yes, the Department of Water Resources has had reporting requirements since, I believe, the mid-'90s. The Department itself as under contract as watermasters for some of the water districts collects some of this data, and then in some districts there are consultants that are privately contracted to collect this data and report to the Department through the WMIS database.
- Q. That's helpful. Your testimony a moment ago about needing to confirm with Department staff and gather data, you know, gave the impression that the historic pumping records were incomplete or imperfect.
- A. Yeah, they were definitely imperfect. This data had been required to be collected. But as far as I know, it was not really used for anything. It -- it

1 seemed to be just a requirement to fill. So in general, I -- starting in 1995, '96, 2 3 we started collecting -- my company, Water Well 4 Consultants, started collecting data for a few of these groundwater districts through private contracts. 5 And we would always provide usage reports to the 6 groundwater users before we finalized the data 7 submitted to the Department. That gave the users a 9 chance to look at it and protest if they would like. 10 But there was no -- this data wasn't being 11 used for any sort of compliance. And so the -- the 12 limited -- there was limited participation on the 13 users' behalf to review that data. So there was occasionally data gaps where, 14 15 you know, power data or flow meter data wasn't 16 available, and there were incorrect values in there, just because from -- from the '96 on, things were 17 18 improving, but there was no -- there was really no 19 scrutiny of the data. And so it was there, but it 20 needed -- it needed review. So additional work was needed for IGWA to 21 figure out how to accurately calculate each district's 22 proportionate share of the 240? 23 24 Α. Yeah. I suggested to IGWA that we review all of this data and present it to the water users so 25

that they could compare it to their irrigation 1 practices and that we could true-up and make this data 2 as accurate as possible before we decided who -- before 3 4 we decided how it would affect those districts. these types of cases, the more accurate the data, the 5 less argument there is. 6 7 MR. BUDGE: I would move that pages 5 through 12 8 of Exhibit 107 be admitted to evidence. 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Fletcher. 10 MR. FLETCHER: Yeah. May I ask some questions 11 in aid of objection? 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. 13 14 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. FLETCHER: 16 I'm not sure you stated when you prepared 0. 17 Exhibit 107. Exhibit 107? The .pdf document that was 18 Α. 19 saved and delivered to IGWA was in July of 2015, I believe. 20 And would you acknowledge that the 21 Okay. first final order in this matter was entered in May of 22 23 2015? 24 Α. I don't know that. 25 Okay. And when you prepared this document, Q.

1 I don't see any reference to the order's requirements. 2 Did you review the order when preparing your information? 3 4 Α. I don't recall. I'd ask that you look at Exhibit -- what's 5 the final order? 6 7 MR. BUDGE: Mr. Director. MR. FLETCHER: Oh, excuse me. Let me back up. MR. BUDGE: Mr. Director. 9 10 MR. FLETCHER: Yeah. 11 MR. BUDGE: Mr. Director. 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. MR. BUDGE: I would ask that Mr. Fletcher state 13 the objection so that I can evaluate whether his 14 15 questions are truly in aid of objection and not 16 cross-examination. MR. FLETCHER: 17 Yeah. The objection is that based upon the Director's ruling these matters are 18 19 irrelevant and are occurring before entry of the final order in this action. 20 The Director already ruled that internal 21 discussions of IGWA are not relevant to this 22 proceeding. And the -- I was trying to put a time 23 24 frame on this because the -- you know, subsequent to these discussions the record shows, because the records 25

```
1
     are in evidence, that the A & B agreement was entered,
     the final order was entered, and the 2017 order was
2
               So this -- this -- what they did in 2015
3
     entered.
4
     really has nothing to do with the issue at hand, is the
5
     order ambiguous.
            THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, let me rule.
6
                  You've moved to have this exhibit --
7
             SARAH TSCHOHL: Director, can you turn your mic
8
9
     on, please.
10
             THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm sorry.
                                                Thank you.
11
                  You've moved, Mr. Budge, for admission of
12
     this document into the record.
                  There's an objection, I guess,
13
14
     Mr. Fletcher, or --
15
            MR. FLETCHER:
                            Yes.
                                   And so based on the motion
16
             THE HEARING OFFICER:
17
     for admission of this document and the objection, I'll
     receive it into evidence, excluding pages 2 through 4.
18
19
     But I will observe that I think the document has
20
     marginal relevance to the issue that I'm considering
21
     today.
                  (Exhibit 107 received.)
22
23
             THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Budge.
                                                           You
24
     may go on.
25
     111
```

1	CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. BUDGE:
3	Q. Jaxon, let me have you turn to page 109
4	or excuse me, Exhibit 109. That's under tab 9 in the
5	white binder.
6	A. Uh-huh.
7	Q. Do you recognize this document?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. What is this?
10	A. It's an agenda for an implementation
11	meeting sponsored by the Department of Water Resources
12	in Burley, Idaho, in 2015, end of or fall of 2015.
13	Q. Did you attend this meeting?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. The agenda item lists a number of names:
16	Mat Weaver, Randy Budge, and so on.
17	Do you recognize those people or do you
18	recall who they were?
19	A. Yes, I recognize all of them, I believe.
20	All of these people, from my understanding, are
21	Department of Water Resources staff members that came
22	to provide information to IGWA to help determine how to
23	implement the Settlement Agreement.
24	Q. At the time of this meeting, had IGWA
25	figured out how to galgulate each district!s

1 proportionate share of the 240? 2 Α. No. 3 If you look at agenda item 3, it's titled 4 "Review of Diversion Data," then there's some subparts, "Review of WMIS database and records," "Review of 2015 5 WMIS QA effort," and then "Review PCC methods and 6 7 data." What's your recollection of the discussion 9 of reviewing diversion data at that meeting? 10 Like I had presented to the IGWA board, I 11 believe this was an attempt by Department staff to 12 describe one of the options to apportion and measure 13 the -- the implementation of the Settlement Agreement. And the names listed there, Cindy Yenter 14 15 and Corbin Knowles, were probably the most familiar 16 with the usage data and the WMIS database, and they 17 were presenting similar type -- similar to what I did to IGWA, potential issues with the data and what they 18 19 were doing to -- to clean it up. And you can see there in item D that Corbin 20 Knowles presented an analysis of the difference between 21 22 the power-consumption data collected in the -power-consumption coefficient data that is used to 23 calculate usage volumes, compared to the METRIC, which 24

is an evapotranspiration related to irrigation

1 requirement. So at this time IGWA was still considering 2 ο. 3 using water measurements as the method for calculating 4 implementation? They hadn't, to my knowledge, 5 Α. Yeah. decided on any method at this point. And it was one of 6 7 the options. And if you look at agenda item 2, it's Q. labeled "Review of Department water right data, 9 10 consumptive use basics, METRIC, and NDVI." Does that relate to a different potential 11 12 method of measuring compliance? And also a different method for 13 Α. Yes. 14 apportioning the obligation. 15 Okay. Explain that -- the different method 16 that's referenced in agenda item 2. 17 Α. Essentially they get -- they get to the same purpose, which is measuring how much water is 18 19 used. The -- the METRIC and NDVI consumptive use data is a remote method of determining how much water was 20 used, and typically used on basin-wide studies. 21 Then the diversion data is another method 22 23 to calculate out how much water has been and potentially will be used, by -- by field measurements 24 and measurements through flow meters. More of a 25

1 physical, on-the -- on-the-ground measurement of how 2 much water is used. So the one method, the water measurement 3 0. 4 data, uses, you know, physical measurements of water diverted from the well. 5 METRIC is not based on a measurement of 6 water diverted from the well? 7 Correct. Α. 9 Explain again. I may have missed this. 0. 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. I apologize. What's METRIC? 12 Α. So METRIC is a method of using Landsat 13 imagery technology to -- and it's correlated with ground stations. They're essentially using a heat 14 comparison or measurement from satellite instruments to 15 16 record, calculate how much water is being evaporated 17 through -- or off the -- off the land and transpired 18 through the plants. 19 Okay. If you'll turn to page 2. Q. 20 Do you recognize that page of the document? 21 Α. Yes. Was that also part of the agenda for that 22 0. 23 meeting? 24 Α. Yes. And objective 1 says, "Discuss and 25 Q.

1 reconcile the inconsistent usage of diversion 2 reduction, consumptive reduction, and demand reduction 3 language by the term sheet." 4 Was this part of the IGWA figuring out how 5 to implement the Settlement Agreement? Yeah, there was different -- from 6 Yes. what I understand, there was different language that 7 8 was used in the agreement in different parts that mean 9 different things to a technical person but maybe not 10 for nontechnical people. So for example, when you talk about diversion reductions, that is just diverting less 11 12 water out of, in this case, a well. Consumptive reduction is -- is different in 13 14 that there are specific cases where you can pump water 15 out of a well, and some of that water actually returns 16 to the aguifer. And so there's a nonconsumptive 17 element to what you're pumping. Then the next item there that says "demand 18

reduction," the demand doesn't necessarily correlate exactly with what is pumped out of a well. It is what either a user or potentially a plant requires.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so there's, in this case, three different -- three completely different methods of -- of looking at usage within the ESPA, which potentially affects how you proportion and how you measure success.

1 Thanks. That's helpful. 0. As I kind of scroll through those 2 3 objectives, you see that several of them refer to 4 developing a clear understanding of different methods and challenges. And then -- and then objective 6 talks 5 about determining which method, you know, diversion 6 reduction, consumptive use will be the standard. 7 It seems clear from this that IGWA had not 9 at that time yet figured out how to -- which method to 10 use for implementation of the Settlement Agreement? 11 Α. Yeah, that's correct. 12 And agenda item 7 says, "Determine the data 0. and methods that will be used to proportionately split 13 14 the 240,000 acre-feet obligation up amongst all the 15 parties." IGWA had not yet figured out how to do that 16 17 as well? 18 Α. Correct. 19 That item refers to groundwater districts, Q. 20 A & B, Southwest, and others. 21 What's your understanding of why they were 22 included? 23 To my understanding, the 240,000 acre-feet Α. like I said before, was presented to us in an aquifer 24 deficit in more of what could potentially balance the 25

1	aquifer. And I see this as a recognition that that
2	there are more than just IGWA members impacting the
3	aquifer.
4	Q. Okay. Thank you.
5	Objective 8 says, "Determine the data and
6	method that will be used to establish the baseline
7	condition."
8	What does "baseline condition" refer to?
9	A. When you're asked to cut back a certain
10	amount, in this case water, you have to have a starting
11	point. So when it says "baseline," baseline is that
12	starting point.
13	Q. Does the agreement specify how the baseline
14	should be calculated?
15	A. No.
16	Q. So this also is something that had to be
17	done after the fact?
18	A. Yep.
19	Q. Are there multiple ways that the baseline
20	could be calculated?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Give me some examples.
23	A. Amongst some of the things that we
24	discussed at the time was using the consumptive
25	irrigation the METRIC data over the entire ESPA as a

1 We talked about how many -- we talked about baseline. 2 the usage data and whether or not you should use a 3 single year or multiple years and how many years you 4 should use. You could use the entire historical 5 record. You could use one year. You could use two years. You could use five. 6 7 And that timing element also is applicable 8 to the METRIC data. So you have to -- you have to 9 determine what data source you're going to use, and 10 then you have to determine what span of years you're 11 going to use as a baseline. 12 MR. BUDGE: Mr. Director, I'd move to admit Exhibit 109 into evidence. 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Fletcher. 14 15 MR. FLETCHER: Well, the only objection I would have, your Honor, is that this is before the orders 16 17 were entered. And again, the Director's already ruled that their internal discussions aren't really relevant 18 19 to the issues at hand. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Thompson. 21 MR. THOMPSON: Nothing further to add. Mr. Director, for clarification, 22 MR. BUDGE: Mr. Fletcher has characterized your ruling differently 23 24 than I understood it. He said that you ruled that nothing prior to the entry of the order approving the 25

1 Mitigation Plan can be considered. 2 What I understood is that you said the 3 negotiations between the parties in negotiating the 4 terms would not be considered. That's a mischaracterization of 5 MR. FLETCHER: what I said and what I believe the Director said. 6 The Director said the settlement negotiations were not 7 8 admissible. But in addition to that, the Director stated internal discussions of IGWA are not relevant to 9 10 this proceeding. Yeah, I don't remember that ruling, 11 MR. BUDGE: 12 so that clarification, I think, is very important. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, first of all -- and 13 we could go back and read what I said. But I think 14 15 related to the relevancy issue, I think I said that 16 they would be at least marginally relevant and perhaps 17 irrelevant. And I don't -- I don't know whether 18 19 these -- this document would represent a meeting that 20 would be characterized as settlement negotiations. certainly postdates the dating of the original 21 Settlement Agreement, which was, as I look at it, July 22 23 of 2015. And this meeting was in September. 24 And I don't know whether any of these

subjects were addressed by any of the subsequent

1 I don't think they were. addendums. 2 So I guess as I consider your motion, Mr. Budge, and the objections, I'll receive this 3 4 document into evidence as just an agenda of items that 5 were being discussed in a meeting probably sponsored, at least in part, by the Department of Water Resources. 6 I'll receive what's been marked as 7 8 Exhibit 109 into evidence. (Exhibit 109 received.) 9 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Budge. 11 MR. BUDGE: Thank you. 12 And just one comment, you know, the statement about marginal relevance is a bit shocking to 13 To me this is perhaps the most relevant, or one of 14 me. 15 the most relevant documents and discussions. 16 In determining whether there's a latent 17 ambiguity, you have to evaluate whether there's more than one reasonable interpretation of the agreement. 18 19 And understanding that there are multiple methods for 20 implementing the agreement goes to the very heart of 21 that issue. And so I think this discussion and these 22 documents are supremely relevant. And, you know, I 23 won't make any more argument, but I think that's 24 important to explain for the record. 25

1 THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm sorry to have shocked 2 you, Mr. Budge. 3 Uh-huh. MR. BUDGE: 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: But your document has been received into evidence. 5 6 MR. BUDGE: Thank you. 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Next. 8 (BY MR. BUDGE): Mr. Higgs, which of these Q. 9 methods did IGWA ultimately elect to utilize? 10 Ultimately we -- or IGWA, excuse me, chose 11 to use the pumping data from the WMIS database with 12 corrections to split up the 240,000 acre-foot 13 obligation. 14 0. And why did they use that method, to your 15 understanding? There was various reasons. 16 But my 17 understanding was the most compelling reason was that the data was available immediately, it was applicable 18 19 by diversion and water user, and it was one of the 20 quickest ways that we could proportion that obligation so that implementation could continue in the short time 21 22 scale that was available. 23 Thank you. Q. If you'll turn to Exhibit 114. 24 25 Okay. Α.

1 Q. Do you recognize that document? 2 Α. Yes. What is that? 3 0. 4 It is the -- listed as the final settlement Α. allocation for IGWA. 5 Did you prepare this? 6 Q. 7 Α. Yes. And what does it show? Q. It shows the volume, the historic volume 9 Α. 10 pumped by each groundwater district, their percentage And then the "Acre-foot reduction" column 11 of total. shows how much of the 240,000 acre-feet each district 12 13 would get based on that percentage and the overall percentage reduction that was equal across all of the 14 15 districts. This is the allocation that IGWA finally 16 0. 17 settled on, then? 18 Α. Yes. 19 I see it's dated November of 2016. Q. 20 Is that your recollection as to when this was finalized? 21 22 We may have -- we may have finalized 23 this prior to the irrigation season in 2016, but this is the first official document that I have available 24 that shows this final allocation. 25

- Q. Okay. And what had to be done between that September workshop in Burley and the preparation of this spreadsheet to finalize that allocation?
- A. We spent a lot of time, groundwater district staff, Department of Water Resources, and myself, spent a lot of time reviewing all of the usage data. We were at this time looking at the data that was the most recent data. And in general, it was from 2010 to 2014, because the 2015 data wasn't available until the spring of 2016.

But we reviewed all of the anomalies. We reviewed all of the null values where data wasn't available. We talked about how to average over the span of years that we were talking, at that time the 2010 to 2014, and whether you would average each diversion and then sum those averages or whether you would average -- or whether you would sum each year's total and then average.

That turns out to make a big difference when you're talking about null values, which are blanks in the data. So there was -- there was all kinds of review. We looked at water rights. There were wells that weren't properly accounted for. We spent -- we spent a lot of time reviewing this data and trying to make it as accurate as possible so that the allocation

```
1
     would be as accurate as possible.
2
            MR. BUDGE:
                         Thank you.
                  I'd move to admit Exhibit 114 into the
3
4
     record.
             THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Fletcher.
5
            MR. FLETCHER: Again -- well, in aid of
6
7
     objection, may I ask a question?
8
             THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
9
10
                       VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
     BY MR. FLETCHER:
11
12
                  Did the Surface Water Coalition agree to
            0.
     the terms of this exhibit?
13
14
            Α.
                  No.
15
            MR. FLETCHER:
                            Again, I'd renew my objection
     that this matter is not relevant. It's an internal
16
17
     agreement among the IGWA users.
18
            THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Thompson.
19
            MR. THOMPSON:
                            I'd just join in that. Nothing
     further to add.
20
             THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
21
                                           I'll receive
22
     Exhibit 114 into evidence.
23
                  (Exhibit 114 received.)
             THE HEARING OFFICER:
24
                                   Next.
     111
25
```

1	CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. BUDGE:
3	Q. Mr. Higgs, you mentioned previously that
4	you had to figure out the baseline condition, or that
5	was one of the factors that had to be considered.
6	Is the baseline condition reflected in the
7	chart shown on Exhibit 114?
8	A. Can you say that one more time, please?
9	Q. Previously when we were discussing the
10	workshop held in Burley in September of 2015, one of
11	the objectives was to determine the baseline condition.
12	And you mentioned that was the starting point for
13	measuring how conservation would be calculated.
14	Does this chart show the baseline condition
15	that you mentioned?
16	A. Yeah. That "Acre-foot per year" column
17	would represent according to how we allocated the
18	baseline.
19	Q. And how did you determine the baseline
20	condition?
21	A. We took the the usage from each well
22	well, the average usage from each well from 2010 to
23	2014 and summed that average for each individual
24	district.
25	Q. Do the terms of the Settlement Agreement

1 instruct you to use the average from 2010 to 2014 as the baseline? 2 3 Α. No. 4 Are there multiple ways that the baseline Q. could have been calculated? 5 Yeah, we talked before about 6 Yes. potentially using any number of years from the 7 8 beginning of the historic record to -- to a single 9 year. 10 If you'll turn to Exhibit 119 in that Q. binder. 11 12 MR. THOMPSON: 119? 13 MR. BUDGE: 119, correct. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. 15 (BY MR. BUDGE): Do you recognize this 0. document? 16 17 Α. Yes. Is this something you prepared? 18 0. 19 Yes. Α. 20 What does this illustrate? 0. This illustrates the potential differences 21 Α. between using different years for a baseline condition. 22 23 So it shows the total pumping from 2010 to 2014 from 24 the IGWA districts, then it shows the average -- the five-year average. It shows a three-year average. 25 And

1 it shows the peak diversions, which occurred in 2012. The chart below it shows the difference in 2 each year of pumping. It shows a green line for what 3 4 the five-year average would be, which was selected by 5 IGWA, and the -- the three-year average, which is the yellow, dotted line, and the peak pumping, which was in 6 7 2012. So it was used to illustrate the difference 9 between different method -- or different methodologies 10 of choosing a baseline focused on the years used. And if I look at that table at the top, it 11 12 has columns for five-year average, three-year average, 13 and peak diversions. Do the figures in those columns show what 14 15 the baseline would be under those -- those three different alternatives? 16 17 Yes, with the included diversions. Α. What is do you mean "the included 18 0. 19 diversions"? So this -- this doesn't include diversions 20 Α. 21 that are outside of the ESPA, and there were some assumptions made in the individual years based on the 22 null values. We talked about the averaging individual 23 24 wells versus averaging -- a summing and then averaging. So there are -- these numbers will not line 25

1 up exactly with the average that was presented in the previous chart, but it was used as an example to show 2 3 the differences between using different time spans for 4 an average or a baseline. And so I see that the -- in those three 5 columns, five-year average, three-year average, and 6 peak diversions, the -- the largest number is in the 7 8 "Peak diversions" column, and the smallest number is in 9 the "Five-year average" column. 10 Am I understanding correctly that -- that a higher baseline would ultimately allow a greater volume 11 of pumping for IGWA than a lower baseline? 12 13 Α. Yes. So wouldn't it have been to IGWA's 14 15 advantage to choose the peak diversion year as the baseline? 16 17 Α. Yes. It would be easier for them to comply with 18 0. 19 the -- their shares of the 240; correct? 20 Α. Definitely. Why did they select the five-year average, 21 which would have made it more difficult to comply? 22 23 MR. THOMPSON: I guess I'll object to that Sorry. Object to that question on the 24 question. grounds that Mr. Higgs does not represent IGWA, and I 25

1 guess going to IGWA's state of mind of what they did or 2 didn't choose. I'd object to that testimony. 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Overruled. 4 Mr. Higgs, you may answer the question. 5 THE WITNESS: Can you state it again, TJ, 6 please? 7 (BY MR. BUDGE): If it would have been to 0. 8 IGWA's advantage to select the peak year, the peak 9 diversion year, what's your understanding as to why the 10 five-year average was selected? 11 Α. We had discussions about this. There was, 12 of course, members of IGWA that said that we should 13 pick the peak diversions because then it's easier to 14 meet the obligation. But in good faith we all agreed that 15 16 choosing a five-year average would be the most 17 representative of what historic pumping was and would provide us with a good accounting of what efforts were 18 19 accomplished over the span of years that the agreement 20 was to be implemented. I'd offer Exhibit 119 into the 21 MR. BUDGE: 22 record. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Fletcher. MR. FLETCHER: 24 No objection. 25 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Thompson.

1	MR. THOMPSON: None. Thank you.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: The document marked as
3	Exhibit 119 is received into evidence.
4	(Exhibit 119 received.)
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Budge.
6	MR. BUDGE: Mr. Director, I'd note that we're
7	after the noon hour. I think if we took a break, if
8	this is a good time, I could organize my remaining
9	questions during that period and be able to expedite
10	the remainder of Mr. Higgs' testimony after lunch.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Is that agreeable
12	to everybody? Lunchtime?
13	How long do we need? An hour?
14	MR. BUDGE: Yeah, that's plenty.
15	Does that work for you guys?
16	MR. FLETCHER: 1:15?
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: 1:15.
18	MR. BUDGE: 1:15 it is. Thank you.
19	(Lunch recess.)
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Are we recording?
21	Okay. We are starting again after the
22	lunch break.
23	Mr. Budge, further questions?
24	MR. BUDGE: Thank you, Director. I do have a
25	few more questions for Mr. Higgs.

1 Jaxon, if you'll turn to Exhibit 107. 0. I just want to follow up on some 2 to page 10. discussion we had before lunch. 3 4 Α. Okay. This is the chart of your preliminary 5 0. And you testified earlier that A & B, Raft 6 analysis. River, and Southwest were included because the 240,000 7 8 acre-feet, if I recall, you said was an aquifer-wide number; is that right? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. 12 It was presented in that manner, I'll say. Α. 13 Q. Yes. Okay. Will you turn to Exhibit 101 and find page 14. 14 15 Α. Okay. 16 Do you recognize this chart? Q. 17 Α. Yes. Does this chart relate to your prior 18 0. 19 testimony about the 240,000 acre-feet being an 20 aquifer-wide figure? Your Honor, I'm going to object 21 MR. FLETCHER: This document on its face is labeled 22 to this. 23 "Settlement document subject to Idaho Rule of Evidence 408." 24 THE HEARING OFFICER: 25 Mr. Budge.

1	MR. BUDGE: Yeah, two responses.
2	Just for the record, Idaho Rule of Evidence
3	408 does not exclude consideration of settlement
4	negotiations. But it's not necessary to address that
5	issue right now, because this document was utilized by
6	Mr. Higgs in implementing the Settlement Agreement.
7	And it's for that purpose that I would like to ask him
8	questions.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: What at a minimum I
10	think you should establish some foundation about what
11	this document is. So let's start there. I'm sorry.
12	Q. (BY MR. BUDGE): Mr. Higgs, have you seen
13	this document before?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. More than once?
16	A. I don't know.
17	Q. Not the whole document, just page 14.
18	A. Oh, yes.
19	Q. Page 14 only.
20	A. Page 14, yeah, multiple times.
21	Q. In what contexts have you seen page 14?
22	A. I've seen it presented in a few
23	presentations by the Department of Water Resources.
24	I've seen it used in IGWA board meetings. And then I'm
25	sure I have a copy of it somewhere on my computer,

because it's kind of made the rounds.

Q. Previously we discussed the different methods that were considered in calculating each district's proportionate share of the 240,000 acre-feet figure that's referenced in the Settlement Agreement.

Is this document something that you referenced in connection with those efforts?

A. Yes. I remember seeing this presentation. I don't recall what meeting it was at. And I made notes based on the numbers here. My understanding was that we were looking at the change in aquifer from the 1950s to the current time, and a trend line was drawn on there. And the average decline based on that trend was 216,000 acre-feet.

There was a little bit added to that, a 10 percent added to that to ensure success, I -- I guess, and then rounded up to 240,000 acre-foot -- feet, and was used as, I'll say, a justification for the 240,000 acre-foot reduction requirement.

Q. So what does this have to do with your inclusion of A & B and Southwest in the calculation -
MR. FLETCHER: Director, I'm going to ask for a ruling on my objection.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So -- and I'm still uncertain as I listen to the questions. You're only

1 referring to page 14, not the entire document? 2 MR. BUDGE: Correct. And I don't have any other 3 parts of this document that I intend to ask questions 4 about or to admit into evidence. It would be only this 5 page 14. 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And I guess one of 7 my other questions is, this document as a whole was 8 presented to who? 9 So my -- my purpose is not MR. BUDGE: 10 referenced to the entire PowerPoint presentation. 11 as Mr. Higgs explained, this diagram, this component of 12 it, was presented at multiple meetings, including during that period of time after the Settlement 13 14 Agreement was signed when they were trying to figure out how to implement it. And it's for that purpose 15 16 that I seek to ask questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, certainly the draft 17 is familiar to me. The numbers inside the text box are 18 19 The inserted numbers are not. not. 20 Whose numbers are they? Does Mr. Higgs 21 know? MR. BUDGE: 22 So -- so he's testifying as to his understanding as IGWA's consultant as to what numbers 23 24 those numbers represent. If the Coalition wants to 25 present, you know, alternate testimony as to what they

1 represent, that's fine. But for the purpose of interpreting the 2 3 contract, you have to understand IGWA's understanding 4 of the 240 and why that influenced their inclusion of Southwest and A & B in their allocation. 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Well, the document 6 is familiar enough to me that I'll allow it into 7 8 evidence, just page 14 of Exhibit 101. (Exhibit 101 received.) 9 10 MR. BUDGE: Thank you. Mr. Higgs, where we left off, how did this 11 influence your decision to include A & B and Southwest 12 in your allocation of the 240,000 acre-feet? 13 The numbers represented on this chart 14 Α. 15 include impacts from all pumping in the ESPA, and the declines can be in part attributed to all of that 16 17 pumping. And since A & B and Southwest Irrigation 18 District are -- pump water from the ESPA, it was 19 assumed that that 240,000 acre-foot obligation also 20 pertained to their pumping. 21 Okay. Q. I'm going to object on the 22 MR. FLETCHER: assumption. The assumption -- there's no foundation 23 24 for who's making that assumption. I'm going to object

for lack of foundation for that statement.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Overruled.
2	Q. (BY MR. BUDGE): Okay. Jaxon, if you'll
3	turn to Exhibit 1 of the combined exhibits. That's the
4	black binder. And Exhibit 1 is the Settlement
5	Agreement, the 2015 agreement.
6	A. Okay.
7	Q. On page 1 of that agreement, the very top,
8	in the title of the agreement or "First participating
9	members of the Surface Water Coalition and
10	participating members of IGWA."
11	Do you see that?
12	A. In the title?
13	Q. Correct.
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And there are footnotes after "Surface
16	Water Coalition" and a footnote after "Idaho Ground
17	Water Appropriators."
18	You can see that?
19	A. Uh-huh.
20	Q. Footnote 2 after "Idaho Ground Water
21	Appropriators" is also shown on page 1 of Exhibit 1.
22	And if you scan through that list, you'll see on line 5
23	a reference to Southwest Irrigation District.
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. I believe you testified earlier that you

1	provide consulting services for Southwest?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. How long have you done work for Southwest?
4	A. My company has been working for Southwest
5	since about 2002.
6	Q. If you turn to page 22 of this exhibit.
7	A. Okay.
8	Q. That's the wrong page. Page 25. Excuse
9	me.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	Q. (BY MR. BUDGE): There is a signature line
13	for Southwest Irrigation District, and the name of the
14	chairman is Randy Brown.
15	Do you know Randy?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Was he the chairman of Southwest at that
18	time?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. To your knowledge, why did Southwest not
21	sign the Settlement Agreement?
22	A. Southwest Irrigation District had
23	previously had an agreement with the Surface Water
24	Coalition to avoid the curtailment under the water
25	gall and they were they had I believe a

stipulated agreement -- or an interim agreement at the
time and were waiting to finalize a longer term
agreement once the Settlement Agreement was made with
IGWA.

- Q. When Southwest declined to sign the Settlement Agreement, why did the 240,000 acre-foot figure have to be adjusted downward to reflect their nonparticipation?
- A. The way we were thinking of it at the time as an entire aquifer deficit, Southwest was going to do aquifer enhancement activities anyway as part of their agreement. And so their efforts could be included in the -- in the obligation of 240,000 acre-feet, which was presented as an aquifer deficit number.

So any -- any efforts that outside users would do would be going towards balancing the aquifer, which was the 240,000 acre-foot number that was presented.

- Q. So was Southwest declining to sign the IGWA agreement, did that shift its share of the 240 onto the districts that did sign?
  - A. Not in the allocation that IGWA made.
- Q. Why not?

A. Because they -- they had an agreement that
was meant to -- to conserve water, and they did it via

1 recharge and soft conversions. And what they were 2 doing at the time was greater than what their 3 obligation would be under the apportionment that IGWA 4 had. And so it was left there and assumed that they 5 would meet that -- their portion of the 240,000 6 acre-feet. Has Southwest continued to provide 7 0. 8 mitigation to the Coalition under its settlement agreement with the Coalition? 9 10 Yes, they've been performing under their Α. 11 agreement. 12 Flip back to page 2 of Exhibit 1. 0. Okay. 13 Α. Page 2 of it. We've talked a fair about section 3(a)(2) 14 0. 15 and each district being responsible for reducing their proportionate share of the total annual reduction. 16 17 so far we've talked about, you know, how that's 18 allocated and calculated. I want to ask you -- you 19 testified earlier about consulting for various 20 groundwater districts. Have you assisted any of the groundwater 21 22 districts in developing programs to reduce their 23 groundwater pumping and conduct recharge so they could 24 comply with this section of the Settlement Agreement?

25

Α.

Yes.

1 Which districts have you provided 0. 2 assistance to? 3 I provided -- so I provided assistance for Α. 4 creation of their reduction plan, we call them 5 reduction plans, for the North Snake Groundwater District, Magic Valley Groundwater District, American 6 Falls-Aberdeen Ground Water District, and 7 8 Bonneville-Jefferson Groundwater Districts, and then I 9 had interactions with the other groundwater districts 10 about their plans. And we bounced ideas back and forth, but I didn't create their plans or help 11 12 implement them. 13 Q. Does the Settlement Agreement instruct how each district is supposed to develop their reduction 14 15 plan? 16 No. Α. 17 Q. Are there more than one ways -- more than one way that that can be done? 18 19 In fact, all of the groundwater Α. Yeah. 20 districts have -- I mean their plans have similarities, but they're all different. There's not one plan that 21 22 is alike. 23 Explain some similarities between the Q. 24 plans. In general, most of them are taking into 25 Α.

account priority and allocating water to water rights
and water users based on that priority. The amount
of -- the amount of -- the amount that they take
priority into account varies.

There are districts that have -- we call them tiers, which is a date range that determines a specific allocation. There are districts that have a tier for every priority date they have. There's some that have as little as three.

And they all allocate different amounts of water. Even if they have the same number of tiers, they're allocating different amounts of water. Some of them have minimum reduction requirements based -- so that would weigh heavily on those -- on the historic usage. Some of them have maximums so that people don't have to cut more than say 20 or 30 percent.

So they -- they vary greatly. Each district has different circumstances, different geographical circumstances, well soil types, and even -- even delivery types. You know, some are wells that pump straight into pivots or irrigation systems, some of them pump into canals and deliver from there.

So really it was a very mixed bag. And it took a long time for them to determine how to implement their individual proportion of the reduction

1	requirement.
2	Q. Thanks. That's helpful.
3	You mentioned that they assign volumes, you
4	know, based on priority.
5	So are the districts giving their patrons
6	individual, you know, diversion limits, so to speak?
7	A. Yeah, in general. And I'll probably just
8	speak to the ones that I work for, because that's what
9	I'm familiar with. But they each individual, we
10	listed out their water rights that they held, what tier
11	they fell in, and then each allocation for individual
12	water rights.
13	And then that allocation for each
14	individual water right was summed up for that user.
15	And we didn't particularly care, as long as they were
16	meeting their legal requirements under their water
17	rights, we didn't care which wells that water came out
18	of, just as long as they stayed under their total
19	allocated volume.
20	Q. You mentioned that you were summing up
21	their water rights.
22	Are you saying if they had multiple water
23	rights?
24	A. Yeah.
25	Q. Explain that.

1 So if you have had two water rights, say, Α. in one -- and they ever they were each for 50 acres, we 2 would -- we would place them in a tier, based on their 3 4 priority date. And if they were both allocated 50 acre-feet, then that user would be able to pump 100 5 So essentially a sum of the allocations for 6 acre-feet. the individual water rights. 7 Gotcha. And the tiers reflect the Q. diversion limit assigned to water rights in that 9 10 category within that tier? 11 Α. Yeah, exactly. 12 And so more senior rights get higher 0. allocations than more junior rights? 13 Yes, in general. 14 Α. 15 And then if I understand the averaging, 0. you're essentially letting each patron pool their water 16 17 rights to get a collective diversion volume that they've got to stay under? 18 19 Α. Yes. Do any of the districts allow their patrons 20 to utilize averaging for purpose of compliance? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 And why is that? Q. 24 Particularly at the beginning of the Α. agreement, they were talking about crop rotations, and 25

users were worried -- users that had specific crop rotations were worried about being over in certain years and way under in others.

And if the district as a whole got -- got to where all their high consumptive use crops were on -- on a rotation together, then they would be over. And so the districts -- most of the districts allowed at least some sort of averaging, and in particular the ones that started out with an averaging had at least a three-year rolling average. They didn't want the users to essentially get themselves in trouble and carry water over forever, but they needed some sort of way to account for the crop rotations.

And, you know, especially if there was a user who wanted to, say, fallow ground in one year so that he could pump more water the next year, averaging is really the easiest way for the district to implement those types of practices.

- Q. If you'll turn to the small, white binder, IGWA's Exhibits. I want to draw your attention to Exhibit 118 and Exhibit 120. You may kind of flip back and forth between them.
  - A. Okay.
    - Q. Do you recognize these charts?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 0. Did you prepare them? 2 Α. Yes. 3 For what purpose? 0. 4 We created these to describe the effect of Α. 5 averaging versus annual caps on -- on the pumping or the limit -- or the -- excuse me, on the IGWA 6 7 implementation of the agreement. So if I'm looking at Exhibit 118, and Q. 9 there's dates along the bottom, 2010 through 2014, 10 which you testified earlier was the baseline period. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. This predates the Settlement Agreement. 13 So why were these years selected for this illustration? 14 15 Α. These were the years that IGWA used as 16 their baseline years, the average from those years. 17 And as presented in the chart that we saw earlier, their -- IGWA's final allocation was based on 2010 to 18 19 2014. 20 And what do the blue, yellow, and green 0. bars represent on this chart? 21 The blue bars show the diversions that we 22 23 had tabulated for each one of those years. And this --24 this was given, I'll say as an example, to show what the effect of conservation during this period would 25

1	have been.
2	So the yellow is the annual diversion with
3	240,000 acre-feet less than what they pumped what
4	they actually pumped in that year. So you can see that
5	it mirrors the diversions without conservation, which
6	was the actual pumping. It mirrors it exactly with
7	240,000 acre-feet less.
8	And then the green bar there is to show
9	that 240,000 acre-feet is accomplished every year, but
10	it's accomplished from what what they actually
11	pumped, versus some sort of average.
12	Q. So let me make sure I understand this.
13	So the blue bars represent measured pumping
14	during the baseline period?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And the yellow bars represent how much
17	would have been pumped by saving 240,000 acre-feet
18	every year?
19	A. Yes. From that number, yeah. From the
20	pumped number.
21	Q. And and so the yellow bars don't all
22	show the same volume each year.
23	Explain why that is.
24	A. Well, in this case, if we were you're
25	essentially saying you're cutting back 240,000

acre-feet from what you would have pumped, and what you
would have pumped or what in this case they did pump
was based on the season, the -- the requirement for the
year, the precipitation.

So there's years where users pump more and where they pump less. And if we're -- if we say -- for example, picked a single year as our baseline, if it was 2012, like we talked about earlier, cutting 240,000 acre-feet from 2012 would still be pumping greater than some of the actual years, namely 2010 and 2011.

So the determination of a baseline year or set of years really can limit you in the dry years and not require as much in the wet years. So it's kind of an example of different ways that things could have played out if we had chosen different baselines.

- Q. So if IGWA was -- you know, had the ability to go redo 2010 through 2014 and conserve 240,000 acre-feet every year, their total diversions are what's reflected by the yellow bars?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Now, if you flip to Exhibit 120, it's similar in many ways, but it's -- but the yellow bars and the green bars are different.
  - Explain what this shows.
- 25 A. This shows -- the blue bars are the same as

1 the other chart, which would be the actual pumping 2 during those years. 3 The yellow bars show the total amount that 4 was allowed to be pumped based on the average of those 5 blue years. And then the green would be how much 6 conserve -- annual conservation there would be compared 7 8 to what normally would have been pumped. So in other 9 words, when you -- when you cut based on an average, 10 your reduction requirement is greater in the years where more water is required for the crops than it is 11 12 in the years where less water is required for the 13 crops. And the red, dotted line reflects the five 14 0. 15 year baseline minus 240,000 acre-feet? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Okay. Now, this is -- you know, in Q. hindsight, or we can, you know, imagine what may have 18 19 been, how -- how did the districts accommodate this or 20 respond to this challenge of what we're going to call 21 looking prospectively? 22 Well, one of the reasons -- I mean this is 23 also one of the reasons why they implemented averaging, 24 because if you're averaging on your baseline, then in

my mind it makes sense to average on your actual

1	pumping, because you will have these large fluctuations
2	in crop water requirement. And it doesn't necessarily
3	mean that you're not performing, but it makes it
4	makes sense to average if your baseline is an average.
5	And we we did we talked about this
6	with most of the IGWA districts and at the board
7	meetings, and it's kind of again one of those
8	good-faith things where we were trying to find out
9	something that would work.
10	And so we were looking at the baseline as
11	an average and expected there and assumed there
12	would be averaging in the implementation.
13	MR. BUDGE: I'd offer Exhibits 118 and 120.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Fletcher.
15	MR. FLETCHER: I have no no objection, so
16	long as they're offered to illustrate the witness'
17	testimony.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Thompson.
19	The documents labeled as Exhibits 118 and
20	120 are received into evidence.
21	(Exhibits 118 and 120 received.)
22	Q. (BY MR. BUDGE): Jaxon, please turn to the
23	common exhibits binder and just briefly review
24	Exhibits 15 through 20.
25	A. Okay.

Q. These are IGWA's performance reports for 2016 through 2021, I believe. And they've been admitted in the record by a stipulation.

You testified earlier that you prepared the spreadsheets that are attached to each of these reports; is that right?

- A. Yeah, just the last -- well, for example, on the -- on Exhibit 15, the last page. They're all -- I believe they're all called performance summary tables. I prepared those tables.
- Q. Will you just explain briefly how you compiled the data that's represented in those tables.
- A. Sure. At the outset of this agreement, especially when we decided to use the diversion volumes, measured diversion volumes from the WMIS database as the metric for this -- the performance, I was very aware that we wanted to present the data as transparently as possible.

And so the best way to do that, in my mind, was to list every diversion in -- within IGWA and list the baseline for each diversion and then list the -- that current year's pumping. You subtract the two and that's -- that's the performance. Some of them would be negative and some of them would be positive.

Negative meaning that they pumped more than their

five-year average. Positive meaning that they pumped less, or vice versa.

And so that was all laid out. And then the individual sheets that comprise the spreadsheet that accompanies this included the totals for reach district, and they were compiled in this chart at the end.

I will note that the data provided there had -- it doesn't specify which users are in compliance or out. We talked about how the district summed up individual allocations for water rights. It doesn't specify in there individuals who are in or out of compliance. That wasn't the purpose.

The purpose was to just lay out all of the date and present it by district so that we could see how much they pumped, how much their baseline was, and essentially what their conservation activities were.

Q. So you mentioned several tabs.

And I think, so the record's clear, these Exhibits 20 -- or excuse me, 15 through 20, the hard copies contain a printout of only the first page of the spreadsheet that Mr. Higgs submits annually.

The actual spreadsheets I think are what we want in the agency record. And -- is that the right numbers? I think that's right. 15 through 19 -- or

1 through 20. 21 through --2 MS. PATTERSON: 3 MR. BUDGE: One moment, Director. 4 Okay. So the record is clear, Exhibits 15 5 through 20 are copies of the annual performance reports that IGWA submits to the Coalition and to the 6 Department. And in each of those reports attached is a 7 8 summary table which Mr. Higgs has been discussing. We also have in the common exhibits 9 10 admitted by stipulation Exhibits 22 through 27, which are the actual Excel spreadsheets and contain the 11 12 various tabs and supporting data that go into the 13 summary table. And we've projected to the screen one of 14 15 those spreadsheets to use as an example. It's the 2016 16 performance summary table. 17 Q. And I don't want to spend a lot of time on it, Jaxon, but I do just want you to explain what data 18 19 is contained in that that's used to generate the 20 summary. If you want to click on the recharge 21 Okay. report there, this is where we house all of the 22 23 recharge that occurs by district. You know, at the 24 beginning of the Settlement Agreement, we -- we laid it

out as in depth as possible, but we have worked on

improving our reporting of recharge over time. But it's broken down by districts and how much recharge occurred there.

And if you want to just go to the

American -- AFA GWD tab there. All of the rest of the

tabs look the same. On the far left is the WMIS

diversion number. So that's the identification number

for each well that the Department places on that well

for their database, the baseline acre-feet for each

well, and the annual usage for that well, and then the

difference, which would be your increase or decrease in

pumping based on the average.

And I -- in an effort to make sure that we were all on the same page with the data, because my opinion is that the data should be the thing that we least argue about, anywhere that diversion record was different from what was in the WMIS database, I noted. And there's various reasons why that would happen. I don't think we need to go into it.

But the goal of these reports was to -- I really wasn't asked to provide any sort of analysis of what -- of compliance or anything like that. I really was just presenting the data to show what was recharged and what water was saved or potentially the lack thereof, so...

1	Q. So if you look at the summary table tab, I
2	note that there's not anything there that shows like a
3	three or five-year average.
4	Why does why does your table not include
5	that?
6	A. I I would like I said, I was just
7	asked to present the annual the annual pumping data
8	versus the baseline and the recharge that occurred. I
9	was not asked to analyze any sort of compliance with
10	the with the Settlement Agreement or anything like
11	that. I guess you could call me the data guy.
12	MR. BUDGE: Okay. I have no further questions.
13	I do have one housekeeping item just for
14	preparation of the record.
15	Sarah, the parties stipulated to the actual
16	Excel spreadsheets, but I don't know that we've
17	submitted those by e-mail or otherwise to the
18	Department.
19	Do you have those?
20	MR. BAXTER: I don't know if we received those
21	TJ, but maybe you can [unintelligible]
22	MR. BUDGE: Okay.
23	MR. BAXTER: [unintelligible] they've been
24	included as part of the record.
25	SARAH TSCHOHL: [Unintelligible.]

1	MR. BUDGE: Well, they were admitted by
2	stipulation. We just failed to submit them in advance
3	electronically. And we'll e-mail, you know, tonight or
4	tomorrow those to Sarah and copy all of the counsel so
5	that those Excel files can be included in the record.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: And may I ask what
7	spreadsheets you're talking about.
8	MR. BUDGE: The they're common Exhibit Nos.
9	22 through 27.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Right.
11	MR. BUDGE: They're the annual performance
12	reports that Jaxon was just discussing.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: But they're summary
14	spreadsheets; right?
15	MR. BUDGE: So in the hard copies, in the paper
16	copies, all you have is the summary page. But the
17	exhibits that the parties stipulated to are the actual
18	Excel files, which include the other data that
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah, so
20	MR. BUDGE: Jaxon was explaining.
21	THE WITNESS: I don't know if I'm supposed to
22	comment on this, but the what would be included in
23	those table is the data that goes into creating that
24	table and it's all laid out in these spreadsheets, so
25	for each individual diversion.

1 THE HEARING OFFICER: Let me just inquire 2 further, then. I have hard copies of only a portion of the 3 4 exhibits. And you're representing to me that there's 5 more to the exhibit than what I have a paper copy of, those were distributed to the parties, the Surface 6 Water Coalition, and those extended documents or 7 8 spreadsheets or books in Excel have been reviewed by 9 the parties and everyone has stipulated to the 10 admission of that additional data and information? 11 MR. BUDGE: Correct. 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Is that correct, Surface Water Coalition? 13 14 MR. FLETCHER: Yes. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 16 MR. THOMPSON: Yes. And, Director, I think, 17 maybe back to your order in August, I think all that stuff was -- you took official notice of that. 18 19 Department received all this over time. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I just wanted to clarify what was in the record and what had been 21 stipulated to. And I didn't want to be receiving more 22 23 than what I had to look at in the binder itself. 24 MR. BUDGE: And the other -- I mean it's not that significant, you know, I don't anticipate, but 25

1 that's what has been filed with the Department and 2 stipulated among the parties, so I wanted to make the 3 record clear that way. 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. No further 5 questions, Mr. Budge? No further questions. 6 MR. BUDGE: 7 MR. JOHNS: I have just a couple of questions, 8 Mr. Director, if that's okay. 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: You may ask. 10 MR. JOHNS: Okay. 11 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. JOHNS: And I apologize if some of this was asked 14 0. 15 and answered. You've been testifying for some while 16 So just some of this is just to be brief and just 17 for some points of clarification. So if you've answered it already, I by no means mean to be 18 19 redundant. 20 Could you just remind me how many years you've been with Water Well Consultants in performing 21 the type of work that you performed for IGWA. 22 23 Officially out of school since 2012. Α. Okay. And during your professional --24 0. sorry, we're getting some echo. Excuse me. 25 During

your professional career have you ever seen a
mitigation plan that encompassed as much or was really
the size and the scope of this? Could you comment on
that.

- A. Yeah, the -- I have seen mitigation plans. Nothing included as many water users and as many diversions as this one did. And it presented a particular difficulty because it was a short time span in order to implement the elements of the Mitigation Plan and it included a lot of users and a lot of groundwater districts and a lot of different types of irrigation systems. And I guess to be short, it was complicated to implement.
- Q. Okay. In your experience, would you have expected more language in the Mitigation Plan that directed you how, for example, to calculate the baseline, in other words, where you would be reducing from, how you would allocate that among so many different members, and then finally how that would be implemented over time?
- A. That's a good question. I don't have extensive experience with mitigation plans. So I -- I can't really say whether or not I would expect all of those things to be laid out. Certainly it would be less contentious to implement if it was spelled out

1 properly. All right. Do you feel like when you were 2 Q. 3 reviewing and you were preparing these mitigations 4 plans for IGWA that the Mitigation Plan that was approved to -- gave you much direction on those points 5 about calculation, implementation, and allocation? 6 One of the reasons I got involved is 7 Α. No. 8 because there were so many questions about how it 9 should be done. And so I was asked of potential ways 10 for it to be accomplished, and I provided a lot of opinions on what I thought might work. 11 12 Right. And just -- I believe this has been 0. 13 testified to, but just in summary, there were many different ways this could have been accomplished; 14 15 correct? 16 And we -- I presented quite a few 17 different ways. Certainly not all of the possible 18 ways. 19 MR. JOHNS: No further questions. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Johns. Cross-examination, Mr. Fletcher or 21 Do you have a preference as to order of 22 Mr. Thompson. 23 examination? Yeah, I'll start, if that's okay. 24 MR. FLETCHER: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Fletcher. 25

## 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. FLETCHER: Mr. Higgs, most of your testimony concerns 3 0. 4 matters that occurred prior to the entry of the order, the first order in this action; is that correct? 5 give you a date for that. 6 7 Yes, I was going to ask for a date. 8 The first order in this action was entered Q. 9 May 2nd, 2016. 10 The first order May 2nd, 2016. I don't know how to put numbers on that. There were certainly 11 12 some or quite a bit that was prior to that. A lot of the finalization of that occurred 13 during the beginning of that irrigation season. 14 So I'm 15 not sure how this put a number to that, but... After the final order was entered, did you 16 0. 17 review it? I'm sure I read it. 18 Α. 19 And did you make any adjustments to IGWA's Q. 20 obligations as a result of what the final order said? 21 Α. No. 22 Q. Okay. 23 I don't think so. Α. 24 0. I'm going to ask you to turn to Exhibit --I believe it's 38. 25

1 In the black book? Α. 2 Q. Yeah, in the big, thick book. 3 Okay. Α. 4 Excuse me, it's Exhibit 36. Q. 5 Α. 36. Okay. And this has been stipulated into evidence. 6 Q. 7 And I will represent that it was entered on May 2nd, 8 2016. 9 Now, by May 2nd, 2016, had you already 10 prepared your allocation tables for the IGWA members? I don't remember if the final one was done 11 Α. 12 by that point or not. Okay. I draw your attention to paragraph 5 13 Q. 14 on page 2. 15 Okay. Α. 16 And can you read the very first part of 0. 17 that sentence in paragraph 5 down to where it says 18 sub (b). 19 Sub (b). Okay. "Through the Mitigation Α. Plan, the SWC and IGWA members agreed to (a) a total 20 groundwater diversion reduction of 240,000 acre-feet 21 annually." 22 23 Okay. Now, that's the Director's findings Q. of facts that are set forth in the order. 24 Does that state anybody else is agreeing to 25

1	that reduction?
2	A. It says Surface Water Coalition and IGWA.
3	Q. Okay. When you reviewed the order, did
4	that give you pause about the allocations you'd been
5	making?
6	A. I don't recall reading the order. And I
7	really don't recall having it having an influence on
8	the allocation.
9	Q. Okay. I ask that you turn to page 4.
10	A. Oh, same document. Page 4.
11	Q. Same document.
12	A. Okay.
13	Q. And under the word "Order," can you read
14	paragraph A into the record.
15	A. "All ongoing activities required pursuant
16	to the Mitigation Plan are the responsibilities of the
17	parties to the Mitigation Plan."
18	Q. Okay. When you read that, did it give you
19	any pause concerning the allocations that IGWA was
20	making?
21	A. I don't remember.
22	Q. Okay. Was A & B Irrigation District a
23	party to the Mitigation Plan?
24	A. Are they a signator? Is that what you're
25	asking?

1	Q. Yes.
2	A. Let's see. Well, they are a signator for
3	the Surface Water Coalition.
4	Q. Well, I'll get to the A & B agreement in
5	just a second to clarify that.
6	You already testified Southwest Irrigation
7	District did not sign this Mitigation Plan; correct?
8	A. Yeah, according to the documents that were
9	shown.
10	Q. Okay. And so despite what your
11	interpretation of the Settlement Agreement was, your
12	review of the order did not give you any pause about
13	the allocations being made by IGWA?
14	A. I don't I don't recall reading it, so
15	Q. Okay. And in the Settlement Agreement
16	you first of all, did the Surface Water Coalition
17	ever agree to these allocations that IGWA was making?
18	A. Can you elaborate a little bit on what
19	"agree" means?
20	Q. Well, didn't the Surface Water Coalition in
21	fact object to the allocations that IGWA was making?
22	A. I I don't know. That's a good question.
23	Q. I'd like you to look at Exhibit 201. That
24	should be separate here. I'm sorry. The?
25	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Director, they're on the

1 right corner of the desk. 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. MR. BUDGE: Objection. 3 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: What's the objection? MR. BUDGE: Exhibit 201 relates to settlement 5 negotiations between the parties, and the Surface Water 6 Coalition has successfully obtained an order that those 7 8 are not admissible into evidence. It's a letter from 9 IGWA's legal counsel to the Coalition's legal counsel 10 concerning the terms of the agreement. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, I don't know the 11 12 answer to that question yet. 13 MR. FLETCHER: I might have had him look --THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's lay some foundation. 14 15 I don't -- I see a letter, but I don't know what it is. 16 MR. FLETCHER: Okay. Maybe it's marked 200. 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. There's a 200 and 18 a 201. 19 (BY MR. FLETCHER): Describe -- what is Q. Exhibit 200? 20 Via e-mail and U.S. mail from Randy Budge 21 regarding Ground Water District's 2016 implementation 22 23 report. 24 0. Is that from Travis Thompson? 25 Sorry. To Randy Budge, yes. Α. Yes.

1 Have you seen this letter before? Q. 2 Α. I -- yes, I believe I have. Okay. And can you summarize your 3 0. 4 understanding of that letter? 5 MR. BUDGE: Objection. 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Same objection and basis 7 for the objection? 8 MR. BUDGE: Yeah. So this letter reflects 9 negotiations between the parties. And as Mr. Fletcher 10 has pointed out, the Director has ruled that that's not 11 admissible. 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, I've just -- we've 13 just covered and received into evidence by stipulation 14 all of the implementation reports. And those reports 15 were -- at least my understanding, were presented to an 16 implementation committee or a group comprised of IGWA 17 and Surface Water Coalition members. And this may be a reaction to that report. I don't see that -- yet that 18 19 this is related to settlement negotiations. 20 So overruled, at least at this point, 21 Mr. Budge. 22 0. (BY MR. FLETCHER): Can you state the date 23 on that letter? 24 April 14th, 2017. Α. 25 Okay. And again, I would draw your Q.

1	attention that the final order was entered in 2016.
2	So you said you have seen this letter;
3	correct?
4	A. Yes, I believe so.
5	Q. And I believe you I'd asked you what was
6	your understanding of what that letter says.
7	A. And that's what you want me to answer now?
8	Q. Yeah.
9	A. Okay. Let me look at it real quick.
10	Okay. Yes.
11	Q. Just to save a little time, in a nutshell,
12	the Surface Water Coalition was objecting to IGWA's
13	allocation among its groundwater districts; correct?
14	A. Yes. In particular, A & B it says here.
15	Let's see. I'm seeing A & B. Yes. So yep.
16	MR. FLETCHER: Okay. So I'd move for the
17	admission of Exhibit 200.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Budge.
19	MR. BUDGE: No objection.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Johns.
21	MR. JOHNS: I don't have any objection.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. The document marked
23	as Exhibit 200 is received into evidence.
24	(Exhibit 200 received.)
25	Q. (BY MR. FLETCHER): After receiving this

1 letter, did IGWA make any adjustments to its allocations? 2 I will note, too, now that I have both 3 4 of these in front of me, I haven't seen Exhibit 200. I have seen Exhibit 201 --5 6 Okay. Q. 7 -- previously. And what is Exhibit 201? 8 Q. Exhibit 201, I believe, is the reply 9 Α. 10 from -- was it TJ or Randy -- Randy Budge, to this letter that I hadn't seen, Exhibit 2. 11 12 Sorry, if that's me. 13 Q. Okay. In fact, IGWA objected in effect to what the Surface Water Coalition was stating in its 14 15 letter, Exhibit 200; correct? 16 Yes, from my understanding. 17 MR. FLETCHER: And I'd move to the -- for the admission of Exhibit 201. 18 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Budge. MR. BUDGE: I believe that was admitted 20 21 previously. Are you referring to 202, Kent? 22 23 MR. FLETCHER: No, 201. 24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 201. There's just 200 and 201. 25 MR. FLETCHER:

```
1
            MR. BUDGE:
                         No objection.
            THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Johns.
2
            MR. JOHNS:
                         No objection.
3
4
            THE HEARING OFFICER:
                                   The document marked as
     Exhibit 201 is received into evidence.
5
                  (Exhibit 201 received.)
6
7
                  (BY MR. FLETCHER): So based upon
            0.
8
     Exhibit 200, it's fair to say the Surface Water
     Coalition never agreed to the allocation IGWA made
9
10
     among its groundwater districts?
11
            MR. BUDGE:
                         Objection. Foundation.
12
            MR. FLETCHER: Well, based --
            THE HEARING OFFICER: This is cross-examination.
13
     Overruled.
14
15
            THE WITNESS:
                           Can you repeat the question one
16
     more time?
                  Sorry.
17
                  (BY MR. FLETCHER): Based upon Exhibit 200,
            Q.
     it's fair to say the Surface Water Coalition never
18
19
     agreed to the allocation IGWA made; correct?
20
            MR. BUDGE:
                         Objection. Foundation.
                  May I inquire of the witness in further aid
21
22
     of objection?
23
            THE HEARING OFFICER:
                                   Yes.
     111
24
25
     111
```

1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. BUDGE:
3	Q. Mr. Higgs, do you consult for the Surface
4	Water Coalition?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Do you attend their board meetings?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Did you participate in negotiating the
9	Settlement Agreement on their behalf?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Do you have any idea what the Surface Water
12	Coalition may or may not have agreed to with respect to
13	IGWA's allocation of the 240?
14	A. No.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: And you still object?
16	MR. BUDGE: Yeah. Object to foundation.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Overruled. He can answer
18	whether he knows or not. I
19	MR. FLETCHER: Yeah, I'll I'll clean that up.
20	
21	CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. FLETCHER:
23	Q. To your knowledge, did the Surface Water
24	Coalition ever agree to the allocation that IGWA was
25	making among its groundwater districts?

1	A. Not to my knowledge.
2	Q. Now, as far as the baseline that you've
3	testified to, again, IGWA was basically charged with
4	figuring out how to allocate among the groundwater
5	districts pursuant to the agreement; correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And you created the baseline or IGWA
8	created the baseline that would be used; correct?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. IGWA agreed to use that baseline; correct?
11	A. Yes. It was internally we agreed to
12	measure our performance on against that baseline.
13	Q. Okay. And when you prepared the annual
14	reports that you've testified to, there was no
15	objection made to the fact that you had used a
16	five-year baseline, average baseline; is that correct?
17	A. In those first meetings I can't remember
18	how much we talked about it. And I don't recall any
19	objections.
20	Q. Okay. And you've testified that the
21	agreement specifically doesn't say how that's to be
22	done.
23	IGWA figured that out; correct?
24	A. Yes.
25	O. Okay. So are you familiar with the

1 agreement that IGWA signed with A & B Irrigation District? 2 3 I have probably read it before. I can't Α. 4 say I'm familiar with it, but... 5 0. Okay. Can you look at Exhibit 4. 6 Α. Yes. 7 I'm drawing your attention to paragraph 2. 0. Α. Okay. 9 And can you read the first two sentences of **Q.** 10 paragraph 2. "A & B agrees to participate in the 11 Α. 12 Settlement Agreement as a surface water right holder 13 only. The obligations of the groundwater districts set forth in paragraphs 2 through 4 of the Settlement 14 15 Agreement do not apply to A & B and its groundwater 16 rights. A & B agrees to not make a surface water" --17 am I reading too far? Did you say the first two 18 sentences? Sorry. 19 Yeah, that's fine. Let's end it right Q. 20 there for now, and I'll ask you about the rest in a 21 minute. 22 But what's your understanding of those two 23 sentences? 24 So I'm assuming the Settlement Agreement is Α. the IGWA-Surface Water Coalition Settlement Agreement. 25

1 Look up in the recitals, first Q. Yeah. 2 paragraph. Do you see the first "Whereas"? 3 4 Α. Yes. That says August 1st, 2015. I 5 thought it was May. So let's get back to my question. 6 Q. What's your understanding of paragraphs --7 8 paragraph -- those to first two sentences of 9 paragraph 2? 10 So in the agreement they would be a surface 11 water right holder only. Whatever obligations are 12 lined out in 2 through 4 doesn't apply to A & B and its 13 groundwater rights. Okay. So once this agreement was executed, 14 0. 15 did you go back and adjust the allocations made between the various groundwater districts? 16 17 Α. We looked at that every year. This was 18 signed in October 2015. Well, we hadn't made the final 19 allocation yet, so it can't be adjusted if it's not 20 finalized yet. Okay. But you included A & B on your 21 22 annual reports and have included them every year; 23 correct? 24 Α. Yes. And this specifically states, and IGWA 25 Q.

1 agreed, that A & B would not be subject to those 2 requirements? 3 Objection. MR. BUDGE: 4 MR. JOHNS: Objection. 5 MR. BUDGE: Objection. Mischaracterizes the testimony of the witness. 6 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Overruled. This is 8 cross-examination. 9 One more time. THE WITNESS: 10 (BY MR. FLETCHER): This agreement that Q. 11 IGWA entered into with A & B specifically says A & B is 12 not subject to paragraphs 2 through 4 of the Settlement 13 Agreement; correct. You said that IGWA agreed to it. I don't 14 15 know if they did. I mean --16 Okay. Look at the top paragraph. Q. 17 Α. Okay. And who are the parties to this agreement? 18 0. 19 A & B, Idaho Ground Water Appropriators. Α. 20 Okay. So they are on there. 21 Okay. And you can turn the page, all the Q. pages at the end of the exhibit, and see who signed it. 22 23 All the signatures. Okay. Α. 24 MR. BUDGE: Objection, director. The agreement speaks for itself. And to the extent Mr. Fletcher 25

```
wants Mr. Higgs to opine as to its legal effect, that
1
     calls for a legal conclusion.
2
3
             THE HEARING OFFICER: Overruled.
4
                  (BY MR. FLETCHER): You said you didn't
             Q.
5
     know who entered into the agreement.
                  Do you agree now that IGWA entered into the
6
7
     agreement?
8
                        By this text it appears that IGWA's
             Α.
                  Yes.
     on there.
9
10
                         So let's look back at the Settlement
             Q.
                  Okay.
11
     Agreement, Exhibit 1.
12
             Α.
                  Okay.
13
             Q.
                  So paragraph 2 on page 2 are the near-term
14
     practices.
                  Those were the short-term practices that
15
16
     took place immediately after the agreement was signed;
17
     correct?
18
             Α.
                  Okay.
19
                  Paragraph 3 were the long-term practices,
             Q.
     which included 3(1) and 3(2) talking about the 240,000
20
     acre-feet annually?
21
22
                  3(1) -- 3(a) -- (a)(1)?
             Α.
23
                  3(a)(1).
             Q.
                  3(a)(1) and (2)?
24
             Α.
25
                  Yes.
```

1 So IGWA agreed that A & B would not be 0. 2 subject to those provisions; correct? 3 Α. Let's see. And that's what was on -- it 4 was 3 and 4; right? Sorry, I haven't delved into these in detail, so you're putting me in new -- what was 5 the -- what was the exhibit number? 6 7 I'll represent to you that the A & B 0. 8 agreement says that A & B is not subject to provisions 9 2, 3, and 4 of the Settlement Agreement. 10 I'll take your word for it. Α. Okay. 11 0. Okay. And I just wanted to point out 12 3(a)(1) includes the 240,000 feet annually; correct? 13 Α. Yep, that's what 3(a)(1) says. And then paragraph 4 deals -- which is on 14 0. 15 page 4, deals with the adaptive water measures; 16 correct? 17 Yep, that's the title. Α. Okay. So I'm going to ask you the question 18 0. 19 again. 20 After A & B signed this agreement and IGWA agreed that they wouldn't be subject to those 21 provisions of the agreement, you never adjusted the 22 23 allocation and took A & B off the allocation; correct? 24 And we also didn't hold A & B to Α. Yes. their obligation. 25

1 But you included a number for A & B on your 0. 2 allocation; correct? 3 Yes, because of the 240,000 acre-foot Α. 4 aquifer deficit. I think it's fair to say, based upon your 5 testimony, that you really can't take into account the 6 wording of the final order when making your 7 8 adjustments; correct? 9 My adjustments? Α. 10 I mean your allocations. Q. I'm sorry. 11 Α. No, I was -- we were -- I was not tasked 12 with reading through the agreement and incorporating 13 I was given a number and allocated based on -that. And the number that you allocated in total 14 0. 15 to the groundwater districts that belonged to IGWA was a 205,000 acre-feet annual obligation; correct? 16 17 Α. Somewhere around there. Okay. And is there anything in the final 18 0. 19 order or the agreement that references 205,000 20 acre-feet? Not that I'm aware of. 21 Α. Is there anything in the final order or the 22 0. 23 agreement that references averaging the obligation? 24 The text of the agreement? Α. The annual obligation. 25 Q.

1	A. In the text of the agreement?
2	Q. Yes.
3	A. None of that is spelled out, no.
4	Q. Okay. Exhibit 3 or excuse me. Let me
5	make sure I get this right.
6	In Exhibit 2, which is an addendum to the
7	Settlement Agreement, there is no reference to 205,000
8	acre-feet or averaging the annual obligation, is there?
9	A. I haven't read through it.
10	Do you want me to read it?
11	Q. You haven't you didn't review this as
12	part of your duties?
13	A. As my duties?
14	Q. Yeah, in assigning the allocation. This is
15	part of the Settlement Agreement.
16	A. I was tasked with presenting data, not
17	assigning anything.
18	Q. Okay. So I'd like you to look at
19	Exhibit 27.
20	A. Okay.
21	Q. You prepared this exhibit; correct?
22	A. This chart, yes.
23	Q. And this was the chart presented to the
24	steering committee?
25	A. In yes.

1	Q. And this chart sets forth 2021 performance?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Have you done any math to take out the
4	obligations of A & B and Southwest that are set forth
5	on that chart?
6	A. What do you mean by "take out"?
7	Q. Okay. Well, let's look over to your "Total
8	conservation" column.
9	A. "Total conservation." Okay, uh-huh. On
10	the far on the right-hand side.
11	Q. Yeah.
12	A. Okay.
13	Q. And if you look down there, you have a row
14	assigned to A & B; correct?
15	A. Uh-huh.
16	Q. Of 21,660?
17	A. Uh-huh.
18	Q. And you have a row assigned to Southwest
19	Irrigation District of 12,943?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. If you take out those two obligations, do
22	you know what the total conservation was in 2021?
23	A. No, I can't do that quick enough in my head
24	to
25	Q. Okay. Well, I'll represent to you that it

1 was 122,784. Now, if that's in fact the case of what the 2 total conservation should have been in 2021, that would 3 4 also change your last column, which is the mitigation 5 balance; correct? 6 Α. Let's see. If -- you're asking me to 7 assume that if they were taken out --8 Right. Q. 9 -- that the mitigation balance would 10 change? 11 Q. Right. 12 Α. Let's see. Well, the mitigation balance sum at the bottom is 82,000, and it's a sum of all the 13 groundwater districts. And I see A & B and Southwest 14 15 are zero. So if I take them out, there's no change in 16 that number at the bottom. 17 Okay. And this shows that on an annual Q. basis anyway, this report reflects that American 18 19 Falls-Aberdeen, Bingham, Bonneville-Jefferson, and 20 Jefferson-Clark ran negative mitigation balances; 21 correct? 22 Α. Yes. 23 What does that mean? Q. 24 Α. That means that compared to what they were allocated, they -- they -- they performed less than 25

1 that allocation or they -- in this case, if you look at 2 all those, they pumped more than what their allocation 3 was. 4 Okay. And that's based upon your Q. allocation of 205,000 acre-feet; correct? 5 That's based on 240 to all of the 6 No. districts listed. 7 8 Well, that would include A & B and Q. 9 Southwest; correct? 10 On this chart, yes. Yes. Okay. In your meetings with IGWA dealing 11 12 with these performance -- in preparation of these 13 performance reports you did, did you express concerns to the groundwater districts concerning their 14 15 performance? 16 For this year? For --Α. 17 Q. Yeah, let's talk about 2021. 2021? 18 Α. 19 Yes. Q. 20 Α. Yes. Yeah, there was some concerns that some of the districts didn't meet their obligation. 21 What did you -- what did you say about the 22 0. 23 nonperformance? 24 Oh, I don't remember. Α. Okay. Were you concerned that districts 25 Q.

1	were relying too heavily on recharge to meet their
2	obligations?
3	A. In 2021, no.
4	Q. How about in prior years?
5	A. In prior years there was recharge
6	available. I encouraged them to do as much recharge as
7	possible.
8	Q. Did you also encourage them to reduce
9	groundwater pumping?
10	A. Yes. A combination of the two, yes.
11	Q. During these discussions was there any
12	discussion of the goal of the agreement, the Settlement
13	Agreement?
14	A. Yeah. IGWA would we constantly talked
15	about improving reach gains and benefit net benefits
16	to the aquifer.
17	Q. And as a consultant to the groundwater
18	districts that you consult with, did you express
19	concern to them that they were not going to be able to
20	achieve the goals of the Settlement Agreement?
21	A. Oh, in 2021 we were concerned about the
22	future and the lack of surface water supply. And yeah,
23	there were there's always a concern, I should say.
24	MR. FLETCHER: I think I'm done. Thank you.
25	THE WITNESS: Thank you.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Thompson, do you have
2	any further questions?
3	MR. THOMPSON: I just have a few.
4	
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. THOMPSON:
7	Q. Mr. Higgs, Travis Thompson for members of
8	the Surface Water Coalition. I just want to clear up a
9	couple dates and things.
10	I guess going back to Exhibit 4
11	A. Four. Okay.
12	Q which is the
13	A. In the black book.
14	Q A & B Settlement Agreement.
15	A. Okay.
16	Q. And what is the date of that agreement?
17	A. The top says 7th of October, 2015.
18	Q. And through that agreement A & B basically
19	agreed to participate in the Settlement Agreement as a
20	surface water right holder only; is that your
21	understanding?
22	A. Yeah. In No. 2, that's what it appears to
23	say.
24	Q. And did they make an additional promise not
25	to make a groundwater call against members of IGWA as

1 well? I recall that potentially being in here, 2 Α. 3 but I don't -- I'm not 100 percent sure of that. 4 It's the same paragraph. Q. 5 Α. Same paragraph? Yeah, I'll just direct your attention to 6 Q. 7 paragraph 3. 8 Okay. Okay. Yes, it says there that Α. 9 they'll agree not to make a groundwater delivery call. 10 So would you agree that's a different 11 provision, a different promise, separate from the 12 Surface Water Coalition members, what they agreed to 13 do? 14 Α. Can you restate that? Sorry. 15 Yeah. Their -- A & B's agreement not to 0. make a delivery call against junior-priority 16 groundwater rights, would you agree that's a different 17 18 promise than what the surface water members agreed to 19 do in their Settlement Agreement? 20 Α. A different promise? I don't know if I can 21 answer that question. 22 I guess to your knowledge, did any --23 MR. BUDGE: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. 24 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, I'll allow 25

```
1
     follow-up.
                  Overruled.
2
3
                  (BY MR. THOMPSON): To your knowledge, in
             0.
4
     the Settlement Agreement in Exhibit 1, did any surface
     water member make any agreement not to make a
5
     groundwater call against IGWA's members?
6
                  I don't know off the top of my head.
7
             Α.
8
     don't know.
                  If you could maybe point it to me.
                  Let's turn to Exhibit 114.
9
             0.
10
                  114, you say?
             Α.
11
             Q.
                  Yes.
12
                         In the right book? Oh, no, you're
             Α.
                  Okay.
13
     in the big book.
                        Excuse me.
14
             0.
                  Yeah, the big book.
15
             Α.
                  I'm in the wrong --
16
                  Oh, I'm sorry. It's --
             Q.
17
            MR. BUDGE:
                         14 or 114?
18
            MR. THOMPSON:
                            Yeah, 114.
19
                  I think that's IGWA's exhibit. It should
             Q.
     be the small --
20
                  It is this one.
21
             Α.
                                   Okay.
                                           Sorry.
                                                   Because the
22
     one hundreds are this book?
23
                  Okay. Yes.
24
             0.
                  And that's a table that you created; is
25
     that correct?
```

1 Α. Yes. And so what is the date of this final 2 Q. allocation table? 3 4 Α. The date on this printing was 11/3/2016. 5 0. So do you agree that was finalized after IGWA signed this agreement with A & B? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 And would you agree this table doesn't take Q. into account priority of groundwater rights in any way? 9 10 Does it not take into priority groundwater Α. 11 rights? Yeah, I think that's fair to say. 12 And so this -- this apportionment, this 0. 13 table you created, was irrespective of any past injury finding or curtailment date or --14 15 Α. Yes, it was --16 -- priority? Q. 17 -- volume pumped. Α. And I think you testified earlier that 18 0. 19 graph that the Department created that showed kind of 20 that total decline in the aquifer that came up with that 240 number. 21 22 Oh, uh-huh. 23 But that table didn't reflect all pumping Q. from the aquifer; is that correct? 24 25 The -- excuse me. Say that again. The Α.

1 table -- which table are we talking about again real 2 quick? 3 I'll go back to -- I'm sorry, the graph 0. 4 that the Department created, the one we're all familiar with. 5 6 Α. The storage change graph with the 216 --7 0. Yes. -- plus sum to 240? Yeah. That one? Α. 9 It just shows an annual volume; is that --0. 10 A storage change in the aquifer, yes. Α. 11 0. Okay. And then your table, you list a 12 number of parties. 13 But that doesn't take into account every pumper on the aquifer; is that --14 15 Α. That is true. And this table does list Falls Irrigation 16 0. 17 District. Are you familiar with that district? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 0. And are they a party to the Surface Water Coalition agreement? 21 22 Α. No. 23 And so this table, would you agree, Q. reflects some non-parties, but not all non-parties that 24 pump from the ESPA? 25

Yeah, if we're talking pumpers from the 1 Α. 2 ESPA, yes, it doesn't include all pumpers from the USA -- or ESPA, excuse me. 3 4 And after 2016, Falls was removed from your Q. 5 annual report that you compiled every year --6 Α. Yes. 7 -- true? 0. 8 But A & B and Southwest were left on; is 9 that correct? 10 Α. Correct. 11 Q. Let's go to 119. 12 Α. Okay. 13 Q. Just a quick question. So Mr. Budge asked 14 you about the differences in this exhibit, and you 15 identified there's a five-year average, there's a 16 three-year average, and then a peak diversion year --17 Α. Yes. -- is that true for the --18 0. 19 On this chart, yes. Α. And would you agree that IGWA did not use a 20 0. 21 three-year average or a peak diversion for purposes of its performance reports? 22 23 Yes, we represented the five-year average. Α. So as far as actual performance and what 24 0. was done every year that was submitted to the 25

1 Department, it was that five-year average, it wasn't one of these other numbers? 2 3 That's correct. Α. 4 If you could just one final question. Q. 5 Α. Sure. Can you turn to the performance reports. 6 Q. I think they're in the common exhibits. 7 8 Do you remember which numbers those are? Α. 9 20 --10 We can look at Exhibit 22. Q. 11 Α. 22, okay. 12 I think that's the first one. 0. 13 Α. Sure. 14 0. 2016. 15 Okay. Α. And the far right column of this report 16 0. shows a mitigation balance? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 And is that just the difference between 20 actions that were taken that year compared to their identified obligation, this table? 21 22 Yeah, that -- that would be their diversion 23 baseline, minus their pumping, plus recharge. 24 And would you agree that I guess going 0. forward from 2016 to I'll say at least 2022 -- or 2021 25

1	was the last report we had.
2	A. Yeah, uh-huh.
3	Q IGWA never attempted to use this
4	mitigation balance from a prior year as part of its
5	conservation obligation the following year?
6	A. I can't answer that. As I stated
7	previously, I was tasked with presenting what happened
8	in that year, and I was not asked to pontificate on the
9	compliance of the plan.
10	Q. Okay. So at least for your purposes for
11	creating all these charts, you were never instructed to
12	apply that balance to the total conservation the
13	following year?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Is that true?
16	A. I we never talked about that.
17	MR. THOMPSON: That's all the questions I have.
18	Thank you.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you.
20	Redirect, Mr. Budge?
21	MR. BUDGE: Thank you, Director.
22	
23	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. BUDGE:
25	O. I want to ask a few follow-up questions.

1 Jaxon, about some of the exhibits that you were asked 2 about by Mr. Fletcher and Mr. Thompson. 3 Α. Okay. 4 The first one is Exhibit 30 -- my Q. spelling's bad. I think it's 36. Yeah, it's 36. 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: What exhibit are we 6 7 referring to? MR. BUDGE: Exhibit 36, Director. 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 10 MR. BUDGE: It's the Final Order Approving 11 Stipulated Mitigation Plan. 12 Do you see that, Jaxon? 0. 13 Α. Yes. Mr. Fletcher had you read the first few 14 0. 15 lines, and subpart (a) states that "The Surface Water Coalition and IGWA members agreed to a total 16 17 groundwater diversion reduction of 240,000 acre-feet 18 annually." 19 Do you see that? 20 Α. I might be on the wrong... 21 Oh, I'm on page 2, paragraph 5. Q. Oh. 22 Α. 23 I think I failed to --Q. 24 Α. 5. There we go. Okay. Can you ask that one more time, TJ? 25

•	
1	Q. That first couple lines, it says that "SWC
2	and IGWA agree to a total groundwater diversion
3	reduction of 240,000 acre-feet annually."
4	Do you see that?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Your understanding is that that objective
7	was an aquifer-wide number?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And it doesn't say that IGWA's members will
10	reduce 240; correct?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. And it doesn't state how to calculate each
13	district's proportionate share; is that correct?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. It doesn't state how to calculate the
16	baseline; correct?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. Let me have you turn to Exhibit 1.
19	A. Okay.
20	Q. Please turn to page 5.
21	A. Okay.
22	Q. If you look at paragraph 6, it's titled
23	"Nonparticipants." And it states, "Any groundwater
24	user not participating in this Settlement Agreement or
25	otherwise having another approved Mitigation Plan will

1 be subject to administration." 2 What's your understanding of the purpose of that provision? 3 4 My understanding was that the goal was to have curtailment or force into some sort of 5 administration those who are not participating in 6 mitigating the -- under the Settlement Agreement. 7 8 Did IGWA agree to mitigate for pumping by Q. non-IGWA members? 9 10 We talked about that a lot. No. And they 11 were all fairly adamant that they didn't want to 12 mitigate for those who were not members and not part of 13 the agreement. And you testified earlier that Southwest 14 0. 15 does in fact mitigate on its own? Yeah, they mitigate through another -- a 16 17 separate Mitigation Plan. Yeah. Different terms? 18 0. 19 Α. Yes. 20 0. Does A & B also provide mitigation to the Coalition? 21 I believe they have a Mitigation Plan. 22 Α. Whether or not it's carried out, I -- I don't know. 23 24 0. Let me have you turn to Exhibit 202. 25 Α. 2 --

1	Q. I think the numbers actually got changed.
2	It's 201, I think, in your binder.
3	MR. THOMPSON: It should be that one there.
4	THE WITNESS: Oh, it's this one here? Okay.
5	Q. (BY MR. BUDGE): Yeah.
6	A. Yeah. Sorry.
7	Q. Let me know if you recognize that?
8	A. Yes, I have seen this one, 201.
9	Q. You were earlier
10	A. Are you looking at 201?
11	Q. Yeah.
12	A. Sorry.
13	Q. You were earlier asked questions about
14	Exhibit 200, which was a letter from Travis Thompson to
15	Randy Budge, dated April 14th, 2017.
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And you'll see Exhibit 201 is a letter from
18	Randy Budge back to Travis Thompson, dated April 20th
19	of that same year.
20	Do you see that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Do you understand that to be IGWA's
23	response to Mr. Thompson?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Did you remove A & B or Southwest from your

```
1
     allocation after April 20th, 2017?
2
             Α.
                  No.
             MR. BUDGE: Move to admit Exhibit 201.
3
4
             MR. FLETCHER: We already admitted it.
5
             SARAH TSCHOHL:
                              It's already...
             MR. BUDGE:
                         Both of them are?
6
7
             MR. FLETCHER:
                            Yeah.
             MR. BUDGE:
                         Okay.
                                 I apologize.
                                                I had a mistake
8
     on the numbering.
                         So Exhibit 201 was admitted
9
10
     previously.
                  Jaxon, let's turn next to Exhibit 4.
11
             Q.
12
             Α.
                  Okay.
                         In the big book?
13
             Q.
                  Correct.
14
             Α.
                  Yeah.
                         Okay. Okay.
                  This is the -- what we refer to as the
15
             0.
     A & B agreement. Mr. Fletcher and Mr. Thompson asked
16
17
     you questions about this.
                  Do you recall that?
18
19
             Α.
                  Yes.
20
             0.
                  It's dated October 7th, 2015?
21
             Α.
                  Uh-huh.
                  After that date did you remove A & B from
22
23
     the allocation of the 240?
24
             Α.
                  No.
                  Let me have you turn to Exhibit 27.
25
             Q.
```

1 Α. 27. Okay. This is the 2021 performance summary table 2 Q. you were asked questions about. 3 4 And if I understood your testimony, in the bottom right-hand corner there's a negative number of 5 negative 82,613 acre-feet? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 Is it -- am I understanding correctly that Q. 9 in that year the total conservation by IGWA's members 10 was 82,000 acre-feet less than their proportionate share of the 240,000 acre-feet? 11 12 Α. Yes. Please turn ahead to Exhibit 26. 13 Q. 26. 14 Α. Okay. 15 In the bottom right-hand cell of that 0. table, which is the 2020 performance summary table --16 17 Α. Uh-huh. -- it shows a positive balance of 102,803 18 19 acre-feet. 20 Does that mean that IGWA's members collectively conserved 102,000 acre-feet more than 21 their proportionate share of the 240? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 0. Turn to Exhibit 25. 25 Okay. Α.

1 This is a table of IGWA's performance in 0. 2 2019; correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And do I understand correctly that IGWA's Q. members conserved 221,000 acre-feet more than their 5 proportionate share of the 240,000 acre-feet? 6 7 Α. Yes. As listed here, yes. Please turn to Exhibit 24. Q. 9 Okay. Okay. Α. 10 This is the 2018 performance summary table. Q. If you look in that same bottom right-hand 11 12 cell, do I understand correctly that IGWA's members conserved 182,000 acre-feet more than their 13 proportionate share of the 240? 14 15 Α. Yes, as represented. 16 0. Turn to Exhibit 23. 17 Α. Okay. This shows that IGWA collectively conserved 18 0. 19 2,000 acre-feet more than its proportionate share -- or 20 excuse me. 21 Α. Yeah. 289,000 acre-feet more than its 22 23 proportionate share in that year; is that correct? 24 Yes, as represented. Α. And then if you turn to Exhibit 22. 25 Q.

1 Α. Okay. This shows that IGWA's members collectively 2 Q. 3 conserved 274,000 -- or excuse me, 34,000 acre-feet 4 more than their proportionate share that year? That would be over that number, yes. 5 Do you know what the average 6 Q. Yeah. 7 conservation, annual conservation has been among IGWA 8 members during this time period? I don't know the actual number. We have 9 Α. 10 looked at that in the past. 11 Q. Ballpark? 12 Average conservation total? Α. 13 Q. Average annual. 14 Α. Average annual? I should know this, but I 15 don't. Sorry. Suffice it to say that on 16 That's okay. 0. 17 average they've conserved far more than their share of 18 the 240,000 acre-feet? 19 From 2016 to 2021 that is the case. Α. Yes. 20 0. In those prior years when IGWA conserved, you know, at times more than 200,000 acre-feet more 21 than their share, would that have benefited the 22 23 Coalition in subsequent years? Yes, I believe it would. 24 Α. 25 Q. How so?

1 All of these actions are reductions in pumping or recharge into the ground. And so all net 2 gains -- well, net gains to the aguifer, in turn, 3 4 provide additional water that will be discharged in the springs either -- or the river reaches, the Surface 5 Water Coalition spring -- or the springs that 6 contribute to those reaches, or the springs in 7 8 Hagerman. 9 Thank you. 0. Okay. 10 Last question. If you'll turn to Exhibit 114. 11 12 Okay. Α. 13 Q. Mr. Thompson -- oh, excuse me. 14 Are you there. 15 Yep, I'm there. Α. This is the final SWC-IGWA settlement 16 0. 17 allocation 2016. Mr. Thompson asked you questions 18 about that. 19 And do you recall he noted that it does not 20 include all pumping from the ESPA? Is that right? 21 Α. Yes. Does it include all of the irrigation 22 23 districts and groundwater districts that pump from the 24 ESPA? 25 Let's see. Area of common groundwater Α.

1 There are -- there is actually a few wells in supply. the Big Lost River Valley, but in this chart they are 2 3 included in Magic Valley Groundwater District. 4 essence, yes, but not -- I mean there are a couple of diversions that are in other entities that are not 5 listed here but are included. 6 7 Okay. And if you turn last back to 0. 8 Exhibit 1. I know we've been here a lot. That's the 9 Settlement Agreement. 10 The one in the black book. Α. Okay. 11 Q. And when you get there, turn to page 2. 12 I'm getting this all turned around Α. Sorry. 13 here. 14 No. 1, Exhibit 1? 15 Yeah. Exhibit 1, page 2. 0. 16 Okay. Page 2. Okay. Α. 17 You'll see a section 3(a)(2) we talked a Q. It reads, "Each groundwater district and 18 lot about. 19 irrigation district with members pumping from the ESPA 20 shall be responsible for reducing their proportionate share of the total." 21 22 Is that why you didn't include the cities 23 or other non-irrigators in that table? 24 That table actually includes quite a few of Α. We didn't review this, but there are 25 the cities.

1	multiple cities that are members of groundwater
2	districts. And and so it includes some, but not all
3	cities.
4	Q. I'll ask it this way.
5	A. Okay.
6	Q. Is that why the table doesn't include
7	people who divert groundwater who are not within an
8	irrigation district or a groundwater district?
9	A. Yes, that's that's that could be one
10	of the reasons, yes.
11	MR. BUDGE: No further questions.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Mr. Johns.
13	MR. JOHNS: Yes, if I yes, if I may briefly,
14	Mr. Director. Let me pull this up here.
15	
16	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. JOHNS:
18	Q. Mr. Jaxon, you were asked a couple of
19	questions with regard to Exhibit marked No. 200.
20	And the date on that was, I believe,
21	April 14th, 2017; is that is that correct?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Are you aware of any litigation that arose
24	from this agreement between from April 2017?
25	A. I'm not aware of any.

1	Q. Were you ever asked to testify about the
2	240 obligation or ever in a contested hearing over that
3	either in front of a District Court or in front of the
4	Director?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Okay. Are you aware of any other written
7	objections that arose
8	A. I'm not, no.
9	Q from sorry. Let me finish my
10	question.
11	Are you aware of any other written
12	objections from SWC with regard to the 240 following
13	their April 14, 2017 order?
14	A. No.
15	MR. JOHNS: No more questions.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
17	Recross?
18	MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. I have a few things,
19	and I think Mr. Thompson has one or two.
20	
21	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. FLETCHER:
23	Q. But just following up on what Mr. Johns
24	said, do you remember receiving hearing oral
25	objections made at the steering committee meetings

1 about the allocation table --2 Α. I ---- from the Surface Water Coalition? 3 4 It was mentioned, and I think it was Α. 5 Mr. Thompson. I don't know when or what years, but it was mentioned. 6 7 It was mentioned almost every year, 0. 8 wasn't it? 9 I don't know about every, but --Α. 10 You don't remember that? Q. I do remember at least one. 11 Α. 12 Okay. And then I'd like you to go back to 0. Exhibit 27, because I think we need to be a little 13 14 clearer. 15 Okay. Α. All of these calculations that you've done 16 17 over all these years concerning both total conservation and the mitigation balance is -- you're only assigning 18 19 an obligation to the IGWA members of 205,000 acre-feet, 20 correct, annual obligation? Southwest is an IGWA member. 21 Α. I'm talking about the members that are 22 parties to the agreement. 23 24 Α. Oh, to the Settlement Agreement? Yeah, I believe it's somewhere around 205,000. 25

1 And so if you reassigned this so 0. Okay. that the actual obligation was 240,000 to the members 2 3 who signed the agreement, that would change all of 4 these tables; correct? It would change some of the numbers in the 5 6 tables. It would increase the annual 7 Yeah. 0. 8 obligation for each groundwater district; correct? Potentially. It depends on how we decided 9 Α. 10 to allocate it to [unintelligible] --Well, if you allocated it in the same 11 12 manner, but just include --13 Α. If it was decided that they would allocate 14 it in the same manner, then yes. And that would also increase -- or in this 15 0. 16 case increase the negative mitigation balance; correct? 17 Potentially, yes. Α. So basically these numbers and all 18 0. Yeah. 19 these tables are skewed by the fact that Southwest and A & B is included in all these tables; correct? 20 Saying that they're skewed sounds like an 21 Α. opinion to me, but --22 23 Okay. Well, let me rephrase it. Q. 24 The agreement says 240,000 annually. If you use that number, all of these 25

1	numbers on these tables would change?
2	A. Unless you allocate some to A & B and
3	Southwest.
4	Q. Right. Isn't that correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	MR. FLETCHER: Okay. Thank you.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any further recross,
8	Mr. Thompson?
9	MR. THOMPSON: Just a couple.
10	
11	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. THOMPSON:
13	Q. Jaxon
14	A. Yes, sir.
15	Q TJ asked you about nonparticipants. And
16	the agreement states, "Any groundwater user not
17	participating in the Settlement Agreement or otherwise
18	have an approved Mitigation Plan will be subject to
19	administration."
20	And it was your testimony that IGWA was
21	not did not intend to mitigate for non-IGWA members;
22	is that right?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Were there groundwater users that joined
25	groundwater districts after 2015?

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	And do you have a rough idea, like how many
3	acres?	
4	А.	I don't.
5	Q.	So would it be safe to say that between
6	2015 and 20	21 various nonmembers of Ground Water
7	District jo	ined at various times?
8	А.	Became members.
9	Q.	Is that true?
10	А.	Yes.
11	Q.	Did that happen every year?
12	А.	Probably not every year.
13	Q.	So that was additional pumping that was
14	factored in	to that Ground Water District's baseline or
15	its obligat	ion?
16	А.	Yes, into the baseline. Yes.
17	Q.	So those numbers changed every year?
18	А.	The baseline numbers, yes.
19	Q.	And that's reflected in your
20	А.	In the reports, yeah.
21	Q.	Okay.
22	А.	So you'll see that those numbers the
23	baseline nu	mbers do change in those reports.
24	Q.	Okay. So anybody that was added between
25	2015 and 20	21, whatever their proportionate share by

1	district would have gone up?
2	A. No. The proportionate shares were not
3	we did not reallocate since the 2016.
4	Q. Okay. So the obligation didn't change?
5	A. No.
6	Q. So in effect, IGWA would have been
7	mitigating for people that eventually signed up, but
8	that was never factored in later?
9	A. They would can you restate so that
10	Q. Yeah.
11	A. Restate that one more sorry, one more
12	time?
13	Q. I guess I got the sense that the obligation
14	didn't go up.
15	Is that true?
16	A. The obligation was not rearranged.
17	Q. But with each individual groundwater
18	district, that additional pumping did join that
19	district; is that true?
20	A. Didn't join didn't what? Didn't join
21	the district?
22	Q. They stayed the same way, yeah. So I'm a
23	groundwater user, I wasn't part of the Ground Water
24	District, but then I joined, how did my pumping get
25	factored into those numbers?

1	A. The baseline pumping would be factored in,
2	and then we would report the baseline versus what their
3	usage was. So if they saved water, it was reported as
4	savings. If they didn't, then it would be reported as
5	an increase.
6	Q. But as far as that obligation, that
7	apportionment, that did not go up?
8	A. For each individual district?
9	Q. Correct.
10	A. No, we no, we did not reallocate the
11	or rearrange or reallocate the obligation.
12	Q. And Mr. Budge asked you about the
13	performance reports and that certain years there was
14	overmitigation; is that correct?
15	A. Yeah, I guess you could if you were
16	looking at it annually, you could say there was an
17	overage of mitigation or
18	Q. And would that have been reductions or
19	additional recharge conducted throughout the aquifer?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And has IGWA or the Department, to your
22	knowledge, analyzed that overmitigation and the actual
23	benefit to the Near Blackfoot to Minidoka reach?
24	A. Yes, we've done we've had the modeling
25	consultant do some analysis of what that I quess it

was more the total amount, not necessarily the extra, 1 2 but... MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I 3 4 have. Thanks. Okay. Well, Mr. Higgs, 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: before you exhale a sigh of relief, I have a few 6 7 questions for you. THE WITNESS: Okay. 9 10 FURTHER EXAMINATION 11 BY THE HEARING OFFICER: 12 So, Mr. Higgs, you testified about various 0. 13 methods or possible methods of computing satisfaction of the 240,000 acre-feet of reductions --14 15 Α. Yes. 16 -- referred to in the agreement. Q. 17 Α. Yes. And there are two of those that I want you 18 0. 19 to explain in greater detail. 20 Α. Okay. That would be the consumptive irrigation 21 Q. requirement and diversions. 22 23 Α. Okay. And can you distinguish for me and for the 24 0. record the difference between those two methods. 25

Ī	
1	A. Between consumptive use and pumping?
2	Q. Yeah.
3	A. Okay. Consumptive use is the net decrease
4	in effect or the net effect on the aquifer. So for
5	example, there are a few areas in the ESPA where you
6	can pump water out of the ground. And say you put it
7	into a ditch, if you put it into a ditch and there's
8	losses in that ditch, then there's some of that
9	water goes down into the aquifer. And so you're
10	showing a larger pumping amount than is actually a
11	detriment to the aquifer, we'll say. So some the
12	amount that's actually consumptively used by the crop
13	and by evaporation is less than the amount pumped.
14	As far as the pumping diversions goes, it's
15	simple. Whatever comes out of the well you measure,
16	and that's how much you pumped. So they don't always
17	equate.
18	In theory, the the consumptive use will
19	always be less than the pumped data, or should be. But
20	when we were reviewing all of this data, there were
21	many cases where the consumptive use was higher than
22	what the pumping data was, which makes no sense.
23	Q. Well, but there is a difference between
24	consumptive use and consumptive irrigation requirement;

right?

25

1 So a consumptive irrigation requirement is Α. 2 what it takes to -- to grow the crop with evaporation 3 and transpiration, yeah. And then consumptive use is 4 related, but yeah, not exactly the same. And consumptive use would be a higher value 5 than the consumptive irrigation requirement; right? 6 Technically when we're -- in all the stuff 7 Α. 8 that we've been talking about, the consumptive use 9 is -- is equivalent to the evapotranspiration and 10 So it's a balance. The water can go evaporation. three places. In all the stuff that we've been talking 11 12 about, it can go three places. It can go up into the 13 sky or down into the ground. But consumptive use doesn't take into 14 0. 15 account rainfall -- or I'm sorry, consumptive 16 irrigation. 17 Oh, excuse me. Yes, you're right. Α. You have to -- you have to subtract the precipitation. 18 19 You're right. I failed to mention that. 20 So I want to turn to page 2 of Exhibit 1 under "Long-term practices." And that's 2016. 21 22 Page 2, Exhibit 1. Α. 23 And I want to you read subparagraph (a) for Q. 24 me.

What is the title?

25

1 Page 2(a) --Α. Well, it's --2 Q. 3 Or page 2, No. 2(a)? Α. 4 No. Page 2 of Exhibit 1. Q. 5 Α. Okay. Paragraph 3, titled "Long-term practices" 6 Q. 7 commencing 2016. Okay. Α. 9 And then I want you to read the title in 0. 10 italics --11 Α. Yes. 12 -- of subparagraph (a). It says? 0. "Consumptive use volume reduction." 13 Α. And then I want you to read the next 14 ο. 15 subparagraph, small ruminal -- I'm sorry, small Roman numeral i. 16 17 Α. "Total groundwater diversion shall be 18 reduced by 240,000 acre-feet annually." 19 Do you find some conflict in those two? Q. 20 I mean I guess you could construe it Α. Yes. to not be conflicting, but I -- as I read this with you 21 here, it seems to conflict slightly. 22 23 So if there were any ambiguity in this Q. agreement, at least in the language, wouldn't you at 24 25 least interpret this as an ambiguity?

1 I -- personally, yes, I would think Α. Yes. that those two were ambiguous because they conflict. 2 3 And so with this ambiguity apparent, which 0. 4 alternative, then, did IGWA choose? We -- we used the diversion reductions, 5 talked about consumptive use measurements, but I don't 6 recall ever analyzing it like you and I are right now 7 8 when we were trying to determine how to implement. And in -- and I'll just ask you this in one 9 0. 10 question. In your experience in the field that you 11 12 work, can people increase their efficiency? Can you explain "efficiency"? 13 Α. Well, on-field application of irrigation 14 0. 15 water, can they be more efficient in that application? 16 Α. Oh, yes. 17 And how do they become more efficient? Q. Various methods. But they essentially are 18 Α. applying more accurately the crop water requirement. 19 20 0. And so can they divert less water and consume the same amount of water? 21 22 It is -- okay. One more time. So can Α. they -- can they divert less water --23 24 0. Less water. -- and consume -- yes, that is possible. 25 Α.

1 So they, in theory, might be able to grow 0. 2 the same crops and consume the same amount of water but divert less water? 3 4 It is possible, yes. 5 Okay. And knowing that difference, IGWA, at least in its analysis, chose diversion over 6 7 consumption? And the reason was because their --Α. 9 in my analyzing of most of the areas where this pumping 10 occurs, that -- that difference is not great. are a few areas, I will admit, where consumptive use is 11 12 less than -- than diversion volumes, but in most cases -- take, for example, the Magic Valley 13 Groundwater District. That's all out on the desert. 14 15 There's something called a hardpan down below the 16 surface, which is the definition of water does not get 17 past that point. And so those users typically are consuming 18 19 everything that they divert. So -- and in general, I 20 would say the majority of the ESPA is -- of the groundwater pumping in the ESPA is in that -- in that 21 case, but certainly not 100 percent. 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. That's all the 23 24 questions I have. 25 Further questions --

1	MR. FLETCHER: Yeah, I have
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: related to my inquiry?
3	MR. FLETCHER: Unless TJ has some.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's start with
5	Mr. Budge. Follow the same pattern we have.
6	MR. BUDGE: Yeah, you bet.
7	
8	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. BUDGE:
10	Q. Just where we left off, so Jaxon, on
11	Exhibit 1, page 2, the Director had you read that
12	subpart (a), 3(a) says "consumptive use volume
13	reduction."
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And then and then subparagraph little
16	Roman numeral i says "total groundwater diversion shall
17	be reduced by 240,000 acre-feet annually."
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. If I understood you in your last testimony
20	about, you know, crop irrigation requirement versus
21	diversion, that across most of the ESPA those are
22	comparable?
23	A. In my opinion, yes.
24	Q. And so
25	A. For groundwater wells, I mean.

-	
1	Q. So if groundwater diversions were
2	collectively across the ESPA decreased by 240,000
3	acre-feet, do you expect there to be a comparable
4	reduction in consumptive use?
5	A. In my opinion, it would be pretty close.
6	MR. BUDGE: That's all I've got.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Johns?
8	MR. JOHNS: Nothing.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Fletcher,
10	cross-examine?
11	MR. FLETCHER: Yeah.
12	
13	FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. FLETCHER:
15	Q. Just a comment a question dealing with
16	this 3(a).
17	3(a) 3(a) itself, the words "consumptive
18	use volume reduction," that doesn't require anybody to
19	do anything, right, those words themselves?
20	A. I'm not sure what you mean.
21	Q. Well, there's no there's no obligation
22	on anybody based upon 3(a); correct? Just that wording
23	under (a) itself?
24	A. I don't know.
25	Q. Well, let's look at 3(a)(1).

```
1
                  There is a requirement set forth in
2
     3(a)(1); correct?
3
                  There is a statement that says that
4
     groundwater will be reduced.
                  Okay. And if you look at paragraph 10 of
5
     this agreement, when you're interpreting the agreement,
6
     what does it say?
7
8
                  Oh, paragraph 10? Excuse me. I must have
            Α.
9
     looked --
10
             Q.
                  On page 5.
                                "The effects of headings."
11
            Α.
                  Page 5.
                           10.
12
                  Yeah. Can you read this that, please.
            0.
13
            Α.
                  "Headings appear in this agreement --
     appearing in this agreement are inserted for
14
15
     convenience and reference and shall not be construed as
     interpretations of the text."
16
17
            MR. FLETCHER:
                            Thank you. That's all I have.
            THE HEARING OFFICER:
18
                                   Mr. Thompson?
19
            MR. THOMPSON:
                            None.
20
             THE HEARING OFFICER:
                                   Do you have more?
21
            MR. BUDGE: Just one follow-up question to
22
     Mr. Fletcher's question.
23
     111
24
     111
25
     111
```

## 1 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. BUDGE: 3 He said there's no -- no obligation, and 0. 4 you testified that, you know, the pumping reductions 5 are comparable or close to the savings in consumptive 6 use. 7 So if that's happened, what have the 8 pumpers actually done to accomplish decreased 9 diversions? Is it just more efficiencies, or is it 10 more meaningful than that? There is some of that. But where I see the 11 Α. 12 largest reductions in groundwater pumping, most of it 13 is because of end-guns being removed and -- which qualifies as an efficiency, but not necessarily the 14 15 same as, you know, application amounts. There has been 16 some land fallowing, crop rotation changes. 17 So it's -- it varies. I wouldn't say -- I couldn't -- I couldn't put a percentage on it. 18 19 know how to say how much has been done by guys just 20 paying more attention and applying less water and what 21 locations that happened in. I don't have numbers for 22 that. 23 But you're aware of actual land being taken Q. out of production --24 25 Yes. Α.

```
-- and crops being changed to comply with
1
             Q.
2
     the Settlement Agreement?
3
             Α.
                  Yes.
4
            MR. BUDGE:
                         That's it.
             THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Johns, anything
5
     further?
6
7
            MR. JOHNS:
                         No, not at this time.
             THE HEARING OFFICER:
                                    Thank you, Mr. Higgs.
8
                           Okay.
9
             THE WITNESS:
                                   Thank you.
10
             THE HEARING OFFICER: We're at three o'clock, or
11
     shortly after.
12
                  Anybody need a break, or should we forge
     ahead? Break for ten?
13
14
            MR. THOMPSON:
                            Yeah.
            THE HEARING OFFICER:
15
                                   All right. Let's come
     back at 3:15.
16
17
                  (Recess.)
18
             THE HEARING OFFICER: We're reconvened after a
19
     brief recess.
20
                  Next witness, Mr. Budge.
                        IGWA will call Tim Deeg.
21
            MR. BUDGE:
             THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Deeg, raise your right
22
     hand please.
23
     111
24
25
     111
```

1	TIMOTHY P. DEEG,
2	having been called as a witness by IGWA, was duly sworn
3	and testified as follows:
4	
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you solemnly affirm
6	that the testimony you give today will be the truth,
7	the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Please be
10	seated.
11	
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. BUDGE:
14	Q. Tim, thank you for being here today.
15	We might be able to expedite some of your
16	testimony since you've been here to listen to Jaxon
17	Higgs. So hopefully we can make this as quick and
18	painless as possible.
19	To begin, please state your name and
20	address for the record.
21	A. I'm Timothy P. Deeg. I reside at 2957 Deeg
22	Road, American Falls, Idaho.
23	Q. Tim, how long have you been involved with
24	IGWA?
25	A. I've been involved since about '94 on the

1 inception of IGWA, served on the board for 20-plus Served as chairman of the board for a long 2 3 time, so... 4 What's your current position? Q. I am the treasurer of IGWA. 5 Α. And how long did you serve as the chairman? 6 Q. I served as chairman, I believe, 22 years. 7 Α. From approximately when till when? Q. '96 till 2020. 9 Α. 10 You were the chairman of IGWA, then, during Q. the time that the IGWA-Surface Water Coalition 11 12 Settlement Agreement was negotiated and implemented? 13 Α. Yes. I understand you're also a member of 14 15 American Falls-Aberdeen Ground Water District? Yes, I've been there since the inception of 16 Α. 17 that district. Are you also a director of that district? 18 0. 19 I am a director as well as chairman of the Α. 20 board. 21 Q. Okay. Very good. Were you involved in negotiating the Settlement Agreement on behalf of IGWA? 22 23 Yes, I was. Α. 24 0. And in what capacity? As the chairman of IGWA. 25 Α.

- Q. We have a ruling from the Director that -that the substance of the settlement discussions are
  not going to be admitted in this proceeding, but I want
  to just ask you to explain generally when the -- the
  period of time when the negotiations occurred and how
  that process played out.
- A. There were ongoing negotiations early in that year, 2015. They were going very well, but it was a year that looked like it was going to be very dry. We did have an order coming out from the Department that showed curtailment was going to take place that year. And during that time frame we were trying to get an agreement put together so we could go ahead and operate.

The amount of water that would have been required for us to mitigate was quite large, and we probably couldn't fulfill that water, as we had done in the past years. We couldn't find that water to provide mitigation to the surface users.

So we needed an agreement. And I think all parties wanted some long-term agreement, rather than on a year-to-year basis. And so that's why the agreement came about that year. And Speaker Bedke was instrumental in getting us as parties together to do that.

1 That's helpful. 0. Very good. With that context I want you to open the 2 3 big, black binder, which are the common exhibits, and 4 turn to Exhibit 1. Do you recognize that as the Settlement 5 Agreement entered in 2015? 6 The first one? 7 Α. It's Exhibit 1. Q. 9 Α. Okay. 10 Yes. On page 1 you'll see that it's titled 11 Q. 12 "Settlement Agreement entered into June 30, 2015." And 13 then I want you to turn to page 2, section 2(d). says, "This Settlement Agreement is conditional upon 14 15 approval and submission by the respective boards of IGWA and the SWC to the Director by August 1." 16 17 Can you explain your understanding of the 18 effect of that provision. 19 We got the Settlement Agreement put Α. 20 together, and we needed the respective groundwater district boards to accept it and the membership to 21 accept it in general. So during that time frame a lot 22 23 of us travel to a lot of different groundwater 24 districts, put on our show, and told them the reason we 25 needed an agreement.

1	And we did get them to all collectively
2	sign on to that. And we had to do it by August 1st or
3	there would have been curtailment that would have been
4	issued.
5	Q. Okay. So the agreement was put together,
6	and then there was approximately a month time for
7	the for both parties to take it to their patrons and
8	sign the agreement; is that correct?
9	A. Yes, that's correct.
10	Q. If you look at that same page on section 3,
11	there's been a lot of discussion about the section
12	3(a), the total groundwater diversion and how that's
13	calculated.
14	Were you here for the testimony of Jaxon
15	Higgs where that was discussed?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. You'll recall that Jaxon talked about
18	calculating each participating district's proportionate
19	share relative to pumping from all groundwater
20	irrigation districts in the ESPA.
21	Do you remember that testimony?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And is that your is that consistent with
24	your understanding of this provision of the agreement?
25	A. Yes.

1 I'm going to object to him MR. FLETCHER: 2 testifying as to his understanding of the agreement. Either the agreement speaks for itself or it doesn't. 3 4 I'm not -- you've already ruled this agreement's 5 unambiquous. He's testifying as to his interpretation 6 of the agreement? I --7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Perhaps I misunderstood 8 the question. I thought it was somewhat preliminary in 9 nature, but --10 Yeah. It's really an effort to MR. BUDGE: 11 expedite the testimony and not have to walk through the 12 same testimony we had with Mr. Higgs where there's 13 different methodologies that were considered and 14 multiple meetings where they were evaluated, but we can 15 do that if needed. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: Re-ask the question, if 17 you would, Mr. Budge. 18 0. (BY MR. BUDGE): Mr. Deeg, you were present 19 during the testimony of Jaxon Higgs where he explained 20 that the agreement does not explain how the 240,000 acre-feet is to be allocated and the process that he 21 went through with the IGWA board to evaluate various 22 23 methods that could have been used and ultimately settle 24 on a method and an allocation. Is his testimony consistent with your 25

1	recollection of the events that took course took
2	place after the Settlement Agreement was signed?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. Mr. Higgs testified that he
5	understood the 240,000 acre-feet to be based on an
6	aquifer-wide water budget deficit attributable to all
7	pumping from the ESPA and not just IGWA members.
8	Was that your understanding as well?
9	A. Yes, that was.
10	I might add that was a real sore spot with
11	a number of the groundwater districts, that some might
12	be outside and not
13	MR. FLETCHER: Okay. Mr. Director, I'm going
14	to this is parol evidence. I'm going to object,
15	just even if you allow it in, just so it's in the
16	record, that there's there's no reason to be
17	receiving parol evidence concerning this agreement.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, thank you,
19	Mr. Fletcher.
20	And at least as I understand the line of
21	questioning, the question of what the Surface Water
22	Coalition agreed to or what its understanding is not
23	part of this question. The question is just what is
24	the understanding of the districts themselves. And so
25	whatever their understanding might be, again, I don't

1 know that it bears significantly on the ultimate 2 questions that are in front of me. So I'll allow it into evidence, Mr. Budge. 3 4 (BY MR. BUDGE): Just to confirm, so you Q. understood that the 240,000 acre-feet was an 5 aquifer-wide figure and you were testifying about this 6 being a point of concern among many of the districts. 7 Yes, because they didn't want to have to Α. 9 mitigate for members -- or not members, but really 10 other individuals that may be outside their district. So if you'll turn in that Exhibit 1 to 11 12 page 5. This is page 5 of the Settlement Agreement. 13 And you'll see section 6 there. It's titled "Nonparticipants." And it reads, "Any groundwater user 14 15 not participating in the Settlement Agreement or 16 otherwise have another approved Mitigation Plan will be

What was your understanding of this provision of the agreement?

subject to administration."

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, that helped bring in those folks that did not participate in the Ground Water District. And it did to a certain extent, but in 19 -- or 2021, when there was a delivery call or there was an order out there, we had a lot more come in that year. That was really a trigger year in terms of participation.

1 And you commented on this: Mr. Higgs 0. testified that it was important within IGWA that they 2 3 mitigate for their members' pumping, but not for 4 pumping of non-IGWA members. Is that your recollection as well? 5 And please elaborate, if you'd like. 6 7 That's -- that's my understanding, yes. Α. Do you remember discussions within IGWA or 8 Q. the districts about that topic? 9 10 Same objection, your Honor. MR. FLETCHER: This 11 is parol evidence, trying to --12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Overruled. 13 THE WITNESS: It was always a concern. And even today it's still a concern, because we are only using 14 15 water users that are inside the ESPA. There are a lot of other water users outside the ESPA, outside Rule 50 16 17 boundary. And we -- and I'm going to say mainly --18 19 most much us feel that they all ought to have to 20 contribute to solve the problem. 21 (BY MR. BUDGE): Very good. Let me have you turn to Exhibit 102, I believe. It's in the small, 22 23 white binder. It's going to be under tab 2. Do you recognize this document? 24 Α. 25 Yes, I do.

Q. It's titled "Question and Answers." It's addressed to IGWA members from Randy Budge and TJ Budge, dated July 2nd, 2015, regarding the SWC-IGWA Settlement Agreement, dated June 30, 2015.

What is this document?

- A. When we started into the agreement, it really had raised a lot of questions with a lot of our members. And we tried to answer those in a format here that people could go to and look at and read, because we were getting bombarded with a lot of questions about what this agreement was about. And so that's really the nutshell of it all.
- Q. So this was provided to IGWA members during that roughly month-long period where they were deciding, the districts, whether they would sign on to the agreement?
  - A. Correct.

Q. And if you look at item No. 4, it reads,
"How will the 240,000-acre foot reduction in
groundwater withdrawals be allocated between the
districts?"

And the answer is, "Each of the 12 groundwater irrigation districts that divert water from the ESPA will be allocated their proportionate share of the total annual groundwater reduction based on the

1 number of cfs and/or irrigated acres within each district." 2 That references 12 districts. 3 Do you 4 recall how many districts were members of IGWA at that time? 5 Well, I don't. But, you know, there were 6 Α. There's been some newly formed 7 quite a number of us. 8 districts. But for the most part, 12 represent -represented here, I think we're 14 now. 9 10 So let me -- let me just have you turn in Q. the big one back to Exhibit 1, the Settlement 11 12 Agreement. And I'm going to have you flip back to the 13 signature pages, which begin on page 6. MR. THOMPSON: Which exhibit are you looking at? 14 MR. BUDGE: 15 Exhibit 1. 16 MR. THOMPSON: Thanks. 17 MR. BUDGE: Yeah. 18 0. Up in the right-hand corner there's page 19 numbers. 20 Α. Yeah. 21 Page 6 has the signature page for Randall Q. C. Budge. 22 23 These pages are with like Minidoka Α. Irrigation District, North Side Canal Company. 24 Yeah, go back earlier. 25 Q.

1	Can you see in the right-hand corn corner
2	where there's page numbers? See if you can find
3	page 6.
4	A. Okay.
5	Q. You could see Randy's signature there
6	A. Yes.
7	Q on July 1st, and then the next page is
8	your signature on July 1st?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. I believe that's consistent with your
11	testimony that the agreement was put together, you
12	know, by end of June or first of July and then went out
13	to the districts; correct?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. And then if you flip forward a few pages to
16	page 10.
17	Do you see that page?
18	A. Yeah.
19	Q. Page 10 states, "The following signature
20	pages are for the August 1 deadline," and following
21	that page are the signatures for the individual members
22	of the Coalition and IGWA.
23	If you flip to page 11, do you see those
24	signatures on behalf of Minidoka Irrigation District
25	and American Falls Reservoir District No. 2?

1	Α.	Yes, I do.
2	Q.	Those are Coalition members; correct?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	The following page is Burley Irrigation
5	District.	
6		That's a Coalition member?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	The next is Milner.
9		Also a Coalition member?
10	A.	Correct.
11	Q.	Page 14 is North Side Canal Company.
12		A Coalition member?
13	A.	Okay.
14	Q.	And page 15 is Twin Falls Canal Company.
15		A Coalition member?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	Okay. Turning to page 16, we get to IGWA
18	members, be	ginning with American Falls
19	Aberdeen-Am	erican Falls Ground Water District?
20	A.	Yes. Nick Behrend.
21	Q.	Yep. And I want to count how many
22	districts p	articipate on behalf of IGWA. So we've got
23	Aberdeen-Am	erican Falls. That's one. The next page is
24	Bingham.	
25		That's an IGWA member; correct?

,	_	
1	A.	Correct.
2	Q.	That's two. Bonneville-Jefferson is a
3	third; corr	ect?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	Carey Valley is a fourth?
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	Jefferson-Clark is No. 5?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	Madison No. 6?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Magic Valley seven?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	North Snake eight?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	Fremont-Madison nine?
16	Α.	Correct.
17	Q.	And then Southwest was an IGWA member but
18	did not sig	n; correct?
19	Α.	That's correct.
20	Q.	So we had the nine signatory districts.
21		And then going back to that Q&A from Randy
22	and I to IG	WA, it refers to 12, because that would
23	include A &	B, Southwest, and Falls; is that correct?
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	And does that No. 4 reflect your

1	understanding that the 240, that allocation would be
2	shared by all of the groundwater irrigation districts,
3	including A & B, Southwest, and Falls?
4	A. Yes.
5	MR. BUDGE: I'd move to admit Exhibit 102.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Fletcher.
7	MR. FLETCHER: With the understanding it was a
8	document prepared by IGWA for IGWA members and not
9	agreed to by the Surface Water Coalition, I have no
10	objection.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: That's the way I view the
12	document. In fact, it predates the agreement itself
13	from the signing of it by at least in my reading of
14	anybody, it's dated June 30th. The agreement was
15	executed subsequent.
16	MR. FLETCHER: And it predates the order, pre
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. So the document
18	marked as Exhibit 102 is received into evidence with
19	the qualifications stated.
20	(Exhibit 102 received.)
21	MR. BUDGE: Thanks.
22	Q. Mr. Deeg, I just have a couple follow-up
23	a couple final questions.
24	There was some discussion earlier with
25	Mr. Higgs about groundwater districts utilizing

1	averaging for purposes of compliance within their
2	district.
3	Does American Falls-Aberdeen Groundwater
4	District utilize averaging?
5	A. Yes. Members can grow various row crop,
6	and that way it allows them to be out of compliance a
7	year and then come back in, provided they save water.
8	MR. BUDGE: Okay. I think that answered my
9	question. Very good.
10	I have no further questions.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
12	Mr. Johns, questions?
13	MR. JOHNS: Yes. Just sorry, let me get my
14	mic on here.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
16	MR. JOHNS: Yes, Mr. Director, I just have a
17	couple. Mr. Budge just asked one of them I was going
18	to ask, so that takes care of that.
19	
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. JOHNS:
22	Q. But, Mr. Deeg, you were present while
23	Mr. Higgs was up on the stand, and there were a couple
24	of questions that I had asked him. And I'm just going
25	to refer back to those.

1 I'd asked -- I'll represent that I asked him about the agreement's terms and whether or not he 2 3 felt that -- well, whether or not the agreement was 4 clear on how he was to calculate, allocate, and 5 implement the Settlement Agreement. Do you recall that brief --6 7 Yes, I do. Α. Okay. I want to just ask you the same 8 Q. 9 question. 10 What was your -- was it your understanding that the absence of the clear terms in the agreement 11 regarding calculation, how allocation and 12 13 implementation was to occur was a built-in flexibility 14 for the groundwater districts to be able to figure that 15 out on their own? 16 MR. FLETCHER: Your Honor -- or, Director, 17 that's a leading question. I'm not quite sure how to 18 treat Bonneville. But also he's asking for a witness' 19 impression of a document that's in writing. So I 20 object on those grounds. 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, sustained, If you'll rephrase. 22 Mr. Johns. 23 (BY MR. JOHNS): Was it your understanding Q. that the document -- or the Settlement Agreement set 24 forth how the -- to calculate the reductions, the 25

1 allocation, and the implementation was specifically to 2 occur amongst IGWA members? 3 That was for us to determine how to do No. 4 that. Yeah. It took a lot of work to get to where we 5 are today. And you had indicated that this -- in 6 Q. 7 your -- kind of your brief overview of the history that 8 2015 was going to look like a pretty rough year, and it 9 looks like folks had to get on this pretty guickly; is 10 that correct? 11 Α. That is correct. 12 Did you feel some pressure to try and get 0. 13 something together quickly? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Okay. 0. We had a curtailment order looming. 16 Α. 17 Let me be careful how I ask this. Q. Okay. Is it possible -- do you feel like because 18 19 everyone was trying to work together and get this 20 together there may have been some terms that were left out that should have been in the agreement regarding 21 calculation, allocation, and implementation? 22 23 Your Honor, I'm going to object. MR. FLETCHER: That's speculation. And he's -- the document's in 24 writing. And how it ended up there, I don't -- I don't 25

1 think that's relevant. The question before the Director, as I 2 3 understand it, is the agreement ambiguous or not, and 4 how -- I'm not sure what the purpose of this question 5 is. So I object on the grounds it's not 6 7 relevant and it's speculative. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, I think your 9 objection is well taken, Mr. Fletcher. However, I'll 10 let the witness answer the question. 11 THE WITNESS: Please restate. 12 (BY MR. JOHNS): I knew you were going to 0. 13 ask me to restate after I was being so careful to craft 14 it. 15 In light of how quickly things were moving 16 and the pressure that was there to reach some sort of 17 agreement, is -- is it your opinion that some 18 provisions may have been left out of the agreement with 19 specifying how calculation, allocation, or 20 implementation was to occur? Just -- and again, I apologize, but that's as close as I could do to the --21 MR. FLETCHER: 22 I'm not going to apologize. 23 going to object. 24 I'll sustain that THE HEARING OFFICER: That question is different from the first 25 objection.

```
1
     question.
2
            MR. JOHNS: Okay. Okay.
                 Well, that's -- I'm going to get there, I
3
            0.
4
     promise.
                  The question that I'm trying to ask is that
5
     because there was -- things were moving quickly, is it
6
     your opinion or is it fair to say that there were
7
8
     certain things that may have been left out of the
9
     agreement because we were trying -- because you were
10
     moving quickly and trying to get things done?
            MR. FLETCHER: Again, I'm going to object as
11
12
     speculative.
             THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll sustain the
13
14
     objection.
15
            MR. JOHNS:
                         Okay.
                                I think I...
16
             THE HEARING OFFICER: Let me just opine here
17
     just for a minute.
                 Your first question, Mr. Johns, was whether
18
19
     it would have been helpful to have a further
     explanation in the -- I think in the agreement.
20
21
            MR. JOHNS:
                         Okay.
                                I'll ask --
            THE HEARING OFFICER: Your second one had to do
22
     with terms being left out of the agreement.
23
24
            MR. JOHNS:
                         Okay.
            THE HEARING OFFICER: Which I think then goes to
25
```

1	whether the agreement is complete or not. And
2	that's I think that's one that I'll sustain the
3	objection for.
4	MR. JOHNS: Okay. And in that one, I'd like to
5	ask the way thank you, Mr. Director.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
7	Q. (BY MR. JOHNS): Would it have been helpful
8	to have terms speaking to calculation, implementation,
9	and allocation in the specifying how that was to
10	occur in the agreement?
11	A. Yes. However, districts are very unique.
12	One size shoe doesn't fit everyone. And so there has
13	to be some ability to adjust how you're going to do
14	that.
15	MR. JOHNS: And I think that covers everything.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Johns.
17	Cross-examination, Mr. Fletcher.
18	
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. FLETCHER:
21	Q. Concerning Exhibit 2 that was admitted into
22	evidence. I think it's 102, actually. I'm sorry. The
23	questions and answers.
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. The Surface Water Coalition did not

1	participate in the production of that exhibit, did it?
2	A. No, they did not.
3	Q. And to your knowledge, was the Surface
4	Water Coalition ever present when that exhibit was
5	presented?
6	A. I don't know if they were or not. Probably
7	not.
8	Q. And that document was prepared before the
9	A & B agreement was signed; is that correct?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And that document was prepared before the
12	order that was entered in 2016 was entered?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And that document was prepared before
15	Southwest Irrigation District did not sign the
16	Settlement Agreement; correct?
17	A. Yes, correct.
18	Q. I just want to follow up with one question
19	dealing with averaging. You mentioned that you
20	allow you, meaning your groundwater districts
21	A. Yes.
22	Q allows individual users to average
23	usage.
24	Over how many years do they allow
25	averaging?

1 Α. We allow four-year averaging. 2 Q. Okay. Four-year averaging? 3 And then on the fifth year we need to know Α. 4 what's going to happen. Either that, or I'm going to turn them over to the Department. 5 Fifth year you lower the boom? 6 Q. 7 Α. That's right. 8 But what does that have to do with the Q. district as a whole, the district's obligation as a 9 10 whole? You don't average that, do you? 11 Α. No. 12 So internally as a management practice your 0. groundwater districts allowed various water users to 13 average what -- how much water they use over I guess 14 15 you say a five-year period, is it? Well, it's a four-year period. 16 17 the -- end of the fourth year we turn them over to the 18 Department for collection. 19 But each year your district is supposed to Q. 20 meet its allocated diversion reduction; correct? 21 Α. Yes. And as far as the uncertainties of the 22 agreement that have been mentioned by counsel, IGWA 23 didn't want Surface Water Coalition in its business on 24 25 how this was managed; correct?

1	A. We were very open with the surface water
2	users on how we accounted for things.
3	Q. IGWA IGWA wanted to control how the
4	obligation was being allocated, correct, among the
5	districts?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And each district wanted to determine its
8	own management practices as to how to handle each
9	district's allocation; correct?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. And the Surface Water Coalition did not
12	participate in the broad allocation or the internal
13	allocation of any groundwater district; correct?
14	A. Correct.
15	MR. FLETCHER: I have no further questions.
16	Thank you.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Thompson.
18	
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. THOMPSON:
21	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Deeg. Travis Thompson
22	for other members of the Coalition. Just a couple
23	questions.
24	So you agree that A & B Irrigation District
25	cannot sign the original Settlement Agreement that's

1 been marked Exhibit 1? I agree. Yes, they did not. 2 Α. And A & B and IGWA executed a separate 3 0. 4 agreement that's been identified as Exhibit 4; is that 5 correct? Yes, I believe so. That's the number. 6 7 And pursuant to that agreement IGWA agreed 0. 8 that the long-term practices identified in the surface 9 water agreement did not apply to A & B's groundwater 10 rights; is that true? I don't know if we did or not. 11 Α. I can't 12 tell you that. 13 Q. Okay. We could just turn to that exhibit 14 real quick. 15 Α. Okay. It's Exhibit 4 in the big binder. 16 0. 17 Α. Little binder? The big, the big one. 18 0. 19 MR. FLETCHER: The big one. 20 0. (BY MR. THOMPSON): I guess what's your understanding with respect to paragraph 2 of that 21 22 agreement? 23 It just says that "A & B agrees to Α. participate in the Settlement Agreement as a surface 24 water right holder only. The obligations of the 25

1 groundwater districts set forth in paragraph 2 through 4 of the Settlement Agreement do not apply to A & B and 2 3 its groundwater rights. A & B agrees to not make a 4 surface water delivery call against junior-priority groundwater rights held by participating members of the 5 groundwater districts as set forth in paragraph 6 of 6 7 the Settlement Agreement." Is that what you're referring to? 9 0. Yes. 10 Α. Okay. 11 I guess based on that agreement, would you 12 agree that those long-term practices identified in the 13 surface water agreement did not apply to A & B and its 14 groundwater rights? 15 There are also other pumpers who Α. True. 16 pump that don't provide mitigation either outside the 17 ESPA. Fair to say that there are other 18 0. 19 groundwater users in the ESPA that are not parties to 20 the agreement? 21 Correct. Α. I apologize. Mr. Deeg, can you 22 SARAH TSCHOHL: 23 please speak up? 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry. 25 SARAH TSCHOHL: Thank you. No, you're fine.

1	THE WITNESS: Okay.
2	Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON): To your knowledge, did
3	the Surface Water Coalition ever sign off on any
4	conservation number other than 240,000 acre-feet?
5	A. Not that I'm aware of.
6	Q. Did the Settlement Agreement allow for
7	future participation of groundwater users who were not
8	members of a groundwater district?
9	A. To some extent, yes.
10	Q. And to your knowledge, did any groundwater
11	users eventually join groundwater districts?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Did that occur in Aberdeen-American Falls?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Do you have an idea of how many?
16	A. Probably close to 15 smaller users.
17	Q. Did that change Aberdeen's conservation
18	obligation?
19	A. Probably a little bit, but not much.
20	Q. Do you have any idea of acreage number?
21	A. Probably less than 200 acres at this point.
22	Q. How about other districts, any idea of
23	how
24	A. I don't have any idea.
25	Q. Okay. But those juniors that did join were

1 granted that safe harbor, too, under the agreement? 2 Α. Yes. Turn to Exhibit 1. That's the Settlement 3 0. 4 Agreement. If you can turn to page 5 of that. 5 Α. Okay. 6 Q. And paragraph 9 says, "This is an entire 7 agreement." 8 I guess what does that paragraph mean to 9 you? 10 MR. BUDGE: Objection. Calls for a legal 11 conclusion. 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Overruled. 13 THE WITNESS: I think it says that this agreement that we just entered into is in fact a 14 15 binding agreement that we're going to fulfill. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: Let me follow up with 17 Sarah's admonition, if I can. If both of you could speak up, it would 18 19 help all of us. You're involved, honestly, in almost a 20 private colloquy, and we're not as a group able to 21 hear. 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: No, both of you need to 24 speak up. Thank you. 25 Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON): I think I heard your

1	answer. I don't know if the Director did, but
2	A. I believe it was an agreement that both
3	parties entered into. And there were going to be other
4	agreements made, and this is how we were going to move
5	forward.
6	Q. And Exhibit 2 and 3 are addendums to that
7	Settlement Agreement.
8	Do you recognize those documents?
9	A. Yes, I do.
10	Q. And besides those two agreements, are there
11	any other written agreements, to your knowledge,
12	between IGWA and the Surface Water Coalition on this
13	subject?
14	A. No.
15	MR. THOMPSON: I think that's all I have.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Thompson.
17	Redirect.
18	MR. BUDGE: Thank you, Director. Just a few
19	items.
20	
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. BUDGE:
23	Q. Tim, Mr. Fletcher asked you some questions
24	about your district's conservation program, and there
25	was a statement he made about your district having to

1	meet its allocation annually. And I want to just make
2	sure the record is clear about that.
3	When your district designs its conservation
4	program, it's designed to meet your district's
5	proportionate share of the 240 each year; correct?
6	A. Yes, that is correct.
7	Q. But there's some years where your district
8	has performed a whole lot of excess conservation; is
9	that right?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. On what magnitude?
12	A. Over the six-year period we've done 170,000
13	acre-feet of additional recharge.
14	Q. And you expect in those years where you do
15	excess conservation that that would provide a benefit
16	in future years?
17	MR. FLETCHER: I'm going to object to that.
18	There's nothing in the agreement concerning that. So
19	his expectation is not material or relevant to this.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Overruled.
21	You may answer the question, Mr. Deeg.
22	THE WITNESS: Would you restate?
23	Q. (BY MR. BUDGE): Is it your expectation
24	that excess conservation will carry forward into future
25	years?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And is that part of the reason why
3	averaging is important to your district?
4	A. Yes, that is correct.
5	MR. BUDGE: No further questions.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Johns?
7	MR. JOHNS: No further questions.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Any recross,
9	Mr. Fletcher?
10	MR. FLETCHER: No.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Thompson?
12	MR. THOMPSON: Speak up here. Sorry. That's
13	better.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
15	
16	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. THOMPSON:
18	Q. Mr. Deeg, on that last question, could you
19	just briefly refer to I believe it's Exhibits 22
20	through 28 27. Sorry. I'll give you a minute to
21	look at those.
22	A. Both of them, 22 and 27?
23	Q. Yeah. I'll just go through each of them.
24	A. Okay. That's the performance table, that's
25	correct.

1	Q.	Yes. And you recognize these documents?
2	А.	Yes.
3	Q.	And I believe they were prepared by
4	Mr. Higgs.	
5		And I guess what's your understanding of
6	that "Mitig	ation balance" column?
7	А.	That's the annual conservation that took
8	place that	day that year, agreement.
9		Yes. We and the balance of that year.
10	Q.	So
11	Α.	Like Aberdeen-American Falls, that's our
12	balance car	rying forward that year.
13	Q.	Well, we'll look at that question real
14	quick.	
15		So Aberdeen under this table, target
16	conservatio	n 33,595, is that your understanding in
17	2016?	
18	А.	Yes.
19	Q.	And that actual reduction and recharge
20	accomplishe	d 37,959; is that what
21	А.	Yes.
22	Q.	So that balance would be that difference
23	between tho	se two numbers?
24	А.	Yes.
25	Q.	And I guess, to your knowledge, did

1 Aberdeen or any other groundwater district with a 2 balance in one year attempt to carry that over the next year as a part of their conservation in that following 3 4 year? 5 Α. Did anyone try to carry it over is what 6 you're asking me? 7 And use it as part of their 0. 8 obligation the following year? 9 No, they have not. Α. 10 MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all I have. Thank 11 you. 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Well, we've been 13 through twice, Mr. Budge. 14 MR. BUDGE: Yes. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: I -- I don't know that I 16 want to allow any more redirect, Mr. Budge. 17 MR. BUDGE: Okay. THE HEARING OFFICER: So with that, Mr. Deeg, 18 19 you're excused -- well at least you're off the stand. 20 I won't tell you you're excused. 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. 23 Let's talk for a minute about the remaining witnesses that we have. I know there's a goal, at 24 least by some, to finish tonight, but I don't know 25

1	whether that's a reasonable goal. I don't know how
2	many more witnesses we have. I'm willing to stay late.
3	I have some experience of staying late at hearings.
4	What do the parties want to do? Maybe
5	maybe the attorneys can tell me how much more time.
6	Let's go off the record just for a minute.
7	Off the record.
8	(Recess.)
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. We are recording
10	again after a brief recess.
11	And during the recess there was some
12	discussion about the time that may be necessary to
13	finish today. There were discussions by the parties.
14	And as Mr. Johns represented earlier, he
15	has no witnesses to call for case-in-chief.
16	Mr. Fletcher, Mr. Travis or I'm sorry,
17	Mr. Thompson, excuse me
18	MR. THOMPSON: It's okay.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: do you have witnesses
20	that you wish to call?
21	MR. FLETCHER: We don't intend on calling any
22	witnesses. Thank you.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And because the
24	Surface Water Coalition is not calling witnesses, then
25	from my perspective there's no need for rebuttal

1	witnesses. And at least from my perspective the
2	presentation of testimony is finished.
3	Now, let's talk about what we have for
4	exhibits.
5	Have you been recording those Sarah? And
6	maybe you want to give it to me to read the screen.
7	SARAH TSCHOHL: Yeah. So I didn't get the
8	descriptions. All the numbers are right here, because
9	I don't have a binder.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Let me see if I can
11	interpret this, and you may need to help me, Sarah.
12	So I have an electronic listing of
13	exhibits, an exhibit description, and whether they were
14	admitted or denied. So let me confirm with the
15	attorneys.
16	I have Exhibits 1 through 39, which are the
17	common exhibits, and they were received into evidence.
18	Exhibit 107 is described as the Higgs
19	presentation to the IGWA board, and the exhibit was
20	admitted with the exclusion of pages 2, 3, and 4.
21	SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: That's the way I read what
23	you have.
24	SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: And then I have

1 Exhibit 107. And --2 MR. FLETCHER: That was 107. THE HEARING OFFICER: 1-0 -- oh. Oh. I've got 3 4 two 107s. Okay. I'm sorry. SARAH TSCHOHL: It's split into 2, 3, 4; and 1 5 and 5 through 12. So 2 through 4 is denied. 6 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Right. 1, 5 through 12 is 8 admitted. 9 I understand your table. Okay. 10 Okay. And then Exhibit 109, which is titled "Term sheet implementation agenda," that was 11 received into evidence. 12 Exhibit 114 titled "Final -- Final 13 14 allocation 2016," received into evidence. 15 119, "Baseline option example," received into of evidence. 16 17 I think there's one more document that I 18 recall that I don't see listed, which was a single 19 page. 20 SARAH TSCHOHL: That's right here. So this is 21 101. 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh. 23 SARAH TSCHOHL: I just didn't have a binder, so the titles are going to correspond with the titles 24 25 right there.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So I have, I
2	understand again, Exhibit 101, and just page 14, is
3	received into evidence.
4	And then I have Exhibits 118, 120, 200,
5	201, and 102 admitted into evidence; correct?
6	SARAH TSCHOHL: Yep, that was all. Correct.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Is my recitation,
8	is that consistent with the notes of the parties?
9	MR. FLETCHER: After you said 118, 120, and
10	what was the next one after that?
11	MR. THOMPSON: 102.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: 200.
13	MR. FLETCHER: Okay.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: 201.
15	MR. FLETCHER: Okay.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: And then 102.
17	MR. BUDGE: That's consistent with IGWA's notes.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any additions?
19	MR. JOHNS: Director.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.
21	MR. JOHNS: Did you say 102?
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. 102 was the last
23	exhibit that we identified.
24	MR. FLETCHER: What was 102?
25	MR. THOMPSON: The memo.

1	MR. FLETCHER: Oh, the memo? Yeah.
2	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Unintelligible.]
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Well, so let's talk
4	about the anything that you may want to do. I am
5	not in favor of oral arguments.
6	Do the parties want to submit anything in
7	briefing? Nobody acts too anxious about that.
8	MR. FLETCHER: Well, we briefed the legal issues
9	already. I mean I think our brief would be very
10	similar to what we've already filed, but
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm happy to suspend
12	briefing and not receive it. I don't want to tell you
13	you can't.
14	MR. BUDGE: I'm comfortable with that.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So no briefing.
16	And I'll issue a decision, then, based on the record.
17	Is there additional information that needs
18	to come in?
19	MR. JOHNS: I just want to make a note.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.
21	MR. JOHNS: And I think I did this at the
22	beginning, Mr. Director.
23	Just that Bonneville-Jefferson would like
24	to join and be clear that we were joining in our
25	support of IGWA's position. And I think I did that in

1	the motion to intervene or in my opposition. But if
2	that's not clear, just that Bonneville-Jefferson is
3	joining in support of the arguments raised by IGWA.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure.
5	Okay. Anything else?
6	MR. BUDGE: We had some discussion during the
7	testimony earlier about, you know, the object of this
8	proceeding and what's being asked of the Director.
9	Is that clear in your mind, or would you
10	like me to, you know, clarify exactly what it is the
11	petitioners are requesting?
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, I'm not asking for
13	any additional clarification.
14	Okay. Well, we will close the record,
15	then.
16	I'm sorry, folks. You won't get your
17	complimentary bottle of Convue [phonetic] vodka.
18	(End of audio file.)
19	-000-
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Min-U-Script®

	92.2 5.09.25.00.1	190.24	93.4
	83:3,5;98:25;99:1	180:24	83:4
#	accurately (2)	Addendum (5)	affects (1)
	82:22;187:19	50:16,18,21,23;152:6	90:25
#2 (1)	achieve (1)	addendums (3)	<b>affirm (2)</b> 46:22;194:5
2:7	156:20	25:12;95:1;222:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	acknowledge (2)	addition (1)	affirmative (1)
/	19:11;83:21	94:8	9:25
	acreage (1)	additional (14)	afraid (1)
/// (9)	220:20	24:1;43:19;72:6,13;82:21;	27:11
85:25;99:25;143:24,25;	acre-feet (69)	131:10;157:24;173:4;	AFRD2 (1)
191:23,24,25;193:24,25	25:18,23,24;33:9;38:11,	180:13;181:18;182:19;	13:10
	13,17;40:14;56:2;60:13;	223:13;231:17;232:13	afternoon (2)
[	61:17;65:23;70:7,10,19,23;	additions (1)	19:21;217:21
	81:5;91:14,23;97:12;106:8,	230:18	again (26)
[phonetic] (1)	19;108:4,14;110:13;113:13;	address (6)	9:10,13;19:21;24:5;36:22;
232:17	114:6;118:5,6;121:3,7,9,17;	25:11;26:15;30:22;47:14;	42:13;49:22;62:19;69:22;
[unintelligible] (6)	122:1,9,18;123:15;128:9;	107:4;194:20	89:9;93:17;99:6,15;104:5;
71:25;129:21,23,25;	136:21;149:21;151:16,20;	addressed (2)	105:21;124:7;140:25;145:3;
178:10;231:2	152:8;155:5;165:17;166:3;	94:25;203:2	150:19;160:25;161:1;
	170:6,10,11,19,21;171:5,6,	addresses (1)	200:25;212:20;213:11;
${f A}$	13,19,22;172:3,18,21;	72:22	227:10;230:2
	177:19;183:14;186:18;	adjust (2)	against (6)
A&B (1)	189:17;190:3;199:21;200:5;	147:15;214:13	75:24;145:12;157:25;
2:5	201:5;220:4;223:13	adjusted (3)	158:16;159:6;219:4
a1 (1)	acre-foot (14)	113:7;147:19;150:22	age (1)
149:22	70:15;76:18;78:20,24;	adjustments (4)	10:25
Aberdeen (2)	80:2;96:12;97:11;100:16;	135:19;142:1;151:8,9	agency (1)
225:15;226:1	108:17,19;110:19;113:6,17;	administration (4)	126:24
Aberdeen-American (4)	151:3	167:1,6;179:19;201:17	agenda (9)
206:19,23;220:13;225:11	acres (7)	administrative (1)	86:10,15;87:3;88:8,16;
Aberdeen's (1)	56:15,16;70:5;118:2;	30:3	89:22;91:12;95:4;229:11
220:17	180:3;204:1;220:21	admissible (5)	ago (4)
ability (6)	across (3)	42:4;67:19;94:8;139:8;	37:15;53:19;55:16;81:20
12:10;22:25;23:3;72:16;	97:14;189:21;190:2	140:11	agree (21)
122:16;214:13	act (2)	admission (9)	15:18;30:20;31:8;99:12;
able (14)	39:24;72:18	15:21;17:17;44:13;51:14;	138:17,19;144:24;149:6;
10:9,18;18:13;19:5;34:13;	action (4)	85:11,17;131:10;141:17;	158:9,10,17;160:5,8;161:23;
58:17;76:25;105:9;118:5;	42:20;84:20;135:5,8	142:18	162:20;163:24;166:2;167:8;
156:19;188:1;194:15;	actions (4)	admit (10)	217:24;218:2;219:12
210:14;221:20	36:1;37:3;163:20;173:1	22:19,20;51:13,19;93:12;	agreeable (1)
absence (1)	activities (3)	99:3;109:4;169:3;188:11;	105:11
210:11	113:11;126:17;137:15	208:5	agreed (23)
accept (2)	activity (2)	admitted (17)	18:2;22:9;29:11;36:5;
197:21,22	43:12;55:24	17:16;22:18;51:13,22;	64:7;104:15;136:20;143:9,
accommodate (1)	acts (2)	83:8;125:3;127:10;130:1;	19;144:12;145:10,11;148:1,
123:19	36:7;231:7	142:20;169:4,9;196:3;	14;150:1,21;157:19;158:12,
accompanies (1)	actual (14)	214:21;228:14,20;229:8;	18;165:16;200:22;208:9;
126:5	121:6;122:10;123:1,25;	230:5	218:7
accomplish (3)	126:23;127:11;129:15;	admitting (2)	agreeing (1)
40:9,22;192:8	130:17;162:24;172:9;178:2;	27:17;29:16	136:25
accomplished (6)	182:22;192:23;225:19	admonition (1)	AGREEMENT (255)
104:19;121:9,10;134:10,	actually (12)	221:17	2:14;9:4;16:8;17:7;25:12,
14;225:20	38:9;58:12;90:15;121:4,	adopted (1)	20;27:20;28:16;29:5,13,25;
according (2)	10;168:1;174:1,24;184:10,	43:1	30:1,4,6,11,13;31:1,21;33:7,
100:17;138:8	12;192:8;214:22	advance (1)	19;34:16;36:2,11,12,13,16,
account (7)	adamant (1)	130:2	20;37:1,2,18;38:8,10,19;
116:1,4;119:13;151:6;	167:11	advantage (2)	39:15,25;42:25;45:6;49:2,5,
160:9;161:13;185:15	adaptive (1)	103:15;104:8	18;50:7,12,13,16,18,21,23;
accounted (2)	150:15	aerial (1)	51:3,8;52:17,19;53:2,9,14,
98:23;217:2	add (4)	79:2	18;54:7,10,18,22,24;55:2,16;
	16.04.02.01.00.00.000.10	AFA (1)	56:4,25;57:5,11,15;58:15;
	16:24;93:21;99:20;200:10		
accounting (4) 79:24;80:4;81:1;104:18	added (5) 16:22,24;108:15,16;	128:5 affect (1)	60:7,12,14;62:1;63:22;65:2, 14;66:3,14;69:14;70:18;

72.25.75.11.22.95.1.96.22.	10.144.12 24.147.10.	amana (15)	annear (1)
72:25;75:11,23;85:1;86:23; 87:13;90:5,8;91:10;92:13;	19;144:13,24;147:19; 150:23,23;151:2;152:14;	among (15) 39:3;40:18;45:5;61:17;	appear (1) 191:13
94:22;95:18,20;99:17;	155:1,2,5;160:3;169:1,23;	69:9;99:17;132:2;133:18;	
100:25;104:19;107:6;108:5;	173:17;177:1;199:24;208:1;	141:13;143:10;144:25;	<b>appearing (1)</b> 191:14
109:14;111:5,5,7,8;112:21,	210:12;211:1,22;212:19;	145:4;172:7;201:7;217:4	appears (3)
23;113:1,1,3,3,6,12,20,24;	214:9;217:9,12,13;223:1;	amongst (3)	67:3;149:8;157:22
	229:14	91:14;92:23;211:2	
114:9,11,24;115:13;118:25;			<b>applicable (2)</b> 93:7;96:18
120:7,12;125:13;127:24;	allocations (11)	amount (13)	
129:10;138:4,11,15;139:10;	118:6,13;126:11;137:4,19;	70:11;92:10;116:2,3,3;	application (3)
144:9;145:5,21;146:1,12,15,	138:13,17,21;142:2;147:15;	123:3;183:1;184:10,12,13;	187:14,15;192:15
24,25;147:10,14;148:10,13,	151:10	187:21;188:2;196:15	applied (4)
18,24;149:5,7,11,16;150:8,9,	allow (16)	amounts (3)	27:8;44:15;76:22;78:1
20,22;151:12,19,23,24;	20:25;28:16;30:15;40:25;	116:10,12;192:15	apply (7)
152:1,7,15;156:12,13,20;	41:2;103:11;110:7;118:20;	amplification (2)	43:17;146:15;147:12;
157:14,16,18,19;158:15,19;	158:25;200:15;201:3;	8:21,23	164:12;218:9;219:2,13
159:4,5;160:6;161:21;	215:20,24;216:1;220:6; 226:16	analysis (7)	applying (4)
166:24;167:7,13;169:16;		32:16;79:20;87:21;106:6;	32:11;66:2;187:19;192:20
174:9;175:24;177:23,24;	allowed (6)	128:21;182:25;188:6	appointed (2)
178:3,24;179:16,17;183:16;	27:22;28:22;30:9;119:7;	analyze (1)	8:2;9:2
186:24;191:6,6,13,14;193:2;	123:4;216:13	129:9	apportion (7)
195:12,22;196:13,20,21,22;	allowing (1)	analyzed (1)	60:11,13,15;70:19;75:22;
197:6,12,14,19,25;198:5,8,	27:24	182:22	76:25;87:12
24;199:2,3,6,20;200:2,17;	allows (4)	analyzing (3)	apportioning (2)
201:12,15,19;203:4,6,11,16;	33:16;40:3;209:6;215:22	48:7;187:7;188:9	62:2;88:14
204:12;205:11;208:12,14;	almost (2)	and/or (1)	Apportionment (7)
210:3,5,11,24;211:21;212:3,	177:7;221:19	204:1	60:7;70:14;72:24;76:17;
17,18;213:9,20,23;214:1,10;	alone (5)	annual (28)	114:3;160:12;182:7
215:9,16;216:23;217:25;	32:10;39:4;40:19;41:4;	12:7;25:17;53:12;55:22;	appreciate (6)
218:4,7,9,22,24;219:2,7,11,	66:1	114:16;120:5;121:2;123:7;	17:14;24:9;31:7;37:22;
13,20;220:6;221:1,4,7,14,15;	along (6)	127:5;128:10;129:7,7;	41:24;43:23
222:2,7;223:18;225:8	18:6,20;21:21;22:20;	130:11;145:13;147:22;	approaching (1)
agreements (9)	58:14;120:9	151:16,25;152:8;154:17;	32:16
29:7;36:13,15;64:20,21,	alternate (1)	161:9;162:5;172:7,13,14;	Appropriators (6)
23;222:4,10,11	109:25	177:20;178:7;203:25;225:7	12:23;17:22;50:10;111:17,
agreement's (4)	alternative (4)	annually (15)	21;148:19
30:5;35:25;199:4;210:2	32:20;43:4,7;187:4	25:18;38:13,18;53:10;	approval (1)
agrees (4)	alternatives (1)	126:22;136:22;149:21;	197:15
146:11,16;218:23;219:3	102:16	150:12;165:18;166:3;	approved (6)
ahead (4)	although (2)	178:24;182:16;186:18;	25:12;31:2;134:5;166:25;
64:13;170:13;193:13;	16:20;42:24	189:17;223:1	179:18;201:16
196:13	always (7)	anomalies (1)	approving (2)
aid (5)	44:14,14;82:6;156:23;	98:11	93:25;165:10
63:9;83:11;84:15;99:6;	184:16,19;202:13	anonymous (1) 15:8	approximately (3)
143:21	<b>ambiguities (2)</b> 32:5;34:14	answered (4)	25:24;195:8;198:6 <b>April (7</b> )
<b>al</b> (1) 13:9	ambiguity (30)	30:25;132:15,18;209:8	140:24;168:15,18;169:1;
	31:22,25,25;32:1,9,9,16;	anticipate (3)	175:21,24;176:13
alike (1) 115:22	33:7,16;36:3,21;37:6,8;38:9,	19:4;22:24;131:25	
allocate (7)	10,14;39:1,6,7,21;40:5;45:2,	anticipated (1)	<b>Aquifer (28)</b> 48:4,7,8,18;49:23,24;
116:10;133:18;145:4;	16;65:21,25;66:14;95:17;	20:3	78:22;80:2;90:16;91:24;
178:10,13;179:2;210:4	186:23,25;187:3	anxious (1)	92:1,3;108:11;113:10,11,14,
allocated (13)	ambiguous (12)	231:7	16;151:4;156:16;160:20,24;
100:17;114:18;117:19;	27:14,16;31:16;35:15,17,	apologize (6)	161:10,14;173:3;182:19;
118:4;151:13,14;154:25;	20,25;36:7;38:15;85:5;	89:11;132:14;169:8;	184:4,9,11
178:11;199:21;203:20,24;	187:2;212:3	212:21,22;219:22	aquifer-wide (6)
216:20;217:4	amend (1)	apparent (3)	40:15;106:8,20;166:7;
allocating (2)	38:6	32:11;66:1;187:3	200:6;201:6
116:1,12	amendment (3)	apparently (2)	area (2)
allocation (46)	36:11,12;41:7	27:17;64:24	74:18;173:25
61:16;97:5,16,25;98:3,25;	AMERICAN (10)	appeal (1)	areas (3)
110:5,13;113:22;116:7;	2:6;49:14;115:6;128:5;	29:20	184:5;188:9,11
117:11,13;120:18;134:6;	154:18;194:22;195:15;	Appeals (1)	argue (2)
136:10;137:8;141:13;143:9,	205:25;206:18;209:3	35:12	65:2;128:16
	,		,

argued (1)	attended (2)	92:9;94:14;114:12;115:10;	8:10;9:9,14;129:20,23
38:9	55:5,6	119:21;121:25;131:17;	bearing (1)
arguing (1)	attending (2)	147:6,15;149:10;157:10;	45:13
35:25	55:7,10	161:3;168:18;174:7;177:12;	bears (1)
argument (4)	attention (6)	193:16;204:11,12,25;	201:1
42:3,13;83:6;95:24	119:20;136:13;141:1;	207:21;209:7,25	became (5)
arguments (9)	146:7;158:6;192:20	background (3)	57:7,22;58:9,20;180:8
19:5;33:25;34:11;40:5;	attorneys (6)	47:15;53:25;68:22	Beco (1)
41:23,24;43:23;231:5;232:3	14:25;15:1;31:13;39:23;	bad (1)	32:7
arising (1)	227:5;228:15	165:5	become (2)
19:1	attributable (1)	bag (1)	55:1;187:17
arose (2)	200:6	116:23	becomes (2)
175:23;176:7	attributed (1)	balance (16)	32:11;66:1
around (5)	110:16	91:25;154:5,9,12;163:17;	Bedke (1)
15:6;30:4;151:17;174:12;	audible (1)	164:4,12;170:18;177:18;	196:23
177:25	8:22		
		178:16;185:10;225:6,9,12,	began (2)
arrived (1)	audio (6)	22;226:2	52:25;58:18
8:3	8:1;10:6,16,17,17;232:18	balances (1)	begin (5)
aside (1)	August (6)	154:20	21:7;46:6;47:13;194:19;
37:14	60:5;131:17;147:4;	balancing (1)	204:13
aspect (2)	197:16;198:2;205:20	113:16	Beginning (9)
29:2,3	authority (2)	Ballpark (1)	8:1;48:24;55:9;101:8;
aspire (1)	35:1,2	172:11	118:24;127:24;135:14;
21:16	authorized (1)	bar (1)	206:18;231:22
asserted (1)	28:1	121:8	behalf (12)
33:6	automatically (2)	bargaining (3)	13:1,2;14:15;31:6;51:9,23;
assessed (2)	10:16,19	32:25,25;44:25	62:10;82:13;144:9;195:22;
77:21;79:10	available (13)	bars (10)	205:24;206:22
assign (2)	19:20;52:24;58:11;59:2;	120:21,22;121:13,16,21;	Behrend (1)
38:23;117:3	81:4,7;82:16;96:18,22;	122:19,22,23,25;123:3	206:20
assigned (4)	97:24;98:9,13;156:6	based (36)	belonged (1)
76:17;118:9;153:14,18	average (49)	18:24;24:20;40:15;70:7,	151:15
Assigning (4)	98:13,15,17,18;100:22,23;	10,14,25;71:7;84:18;85:16;	below (2)
60:19;152:14,17;177:18	101:1,24,25,25;102:4,5,12,	89:6;97:13;102:22;108:10,	102:2;188:15
assistance (3)	12;103:1,4,6,6,9,21;104:10,	13;116:2,13;117:4;118:3;	BENEFIT (4)
17:15;115:2,3	16;108:13;119:10;120:16;	120:18;122:3;123:4,9;	2:5;156:15;182:23;223:15
assisted (1)	121:11;123:4,9,25;124:4,4,	128:12;143:7,12,17;151:5,	benefited (1)
114:21	11;126:1;128:12;129:3;	13;155:4,6;190:22;200:5;	172:22
associated (2)	145:16;162:15,16,21,23;	203:25;219:11;231:16	benefits (2)
52:24;53:25	163:1;172:6,12,13,14,17;	baseline (56)	73:5;156:15
assume (5)	215:22;216:10,14	36:8;37:10;54:1;92:6,8,11,	besides (2)
14:7;17:21;58:16;78:13;	averages (1)	11,13,19;93:1,11;100:4,6,11,	62:3;222:10
154:7	98:16	11,13,19,93.1,11,100.4,0,11,	
		14,18,19;101:2,4,22;102:10,	best (4)
assumed (4)	averaging (28)	15;103:4,11,12,16;120:10,	24:16;31:12;43:9;125:19
77:21;110:19;114:4;	25:16,19;30:24;34:16;	16;121:14;122:7,11;123:15,	bet (1)
124:11	36:8;41:1,3;102:23,24,24;	24;124:4,10;125:21;126:16;	189:6
assuming (2)	118:15,21;119:8,9,16;120:5;	128:9;129:8;133:17;145:2,7,	better (1)
13:11;146:24	123:23,24;124:12;151:23;	8,10,12,16,16;163:23;	224:13
assumption (3)	152:8;209:1,4;215:19,25;	166:16;180:14,16,18,23;	beyond (2)
110:23,23,24	216:1,2;224:3	182:1,2;229:15	64:10;65:18
assumptions (1)	avoid (2)	baselines (1)	big (13)
102:22	58:16;112:24	122:15	48:5;98:19;136:2;159:13,
attached (3)	aware (9)	basically (4)	14;169:12;174:2;197:3;
78:3;125:5;127:7	63:16;125:17;151:21;	35:25;145:3;157:18;	204:11;218:16,18,18,19
attempt (8)	175:23,25;176:6,11;192:23;	178:18	Bill (4)
30:4;40:2;45:23;65:1;	220:5	basics (1)	11:24;12:1,5,10
70:16,17;87:11;226:2	220.5	88:10	binder (20)
	В	basin-wide (1)	16:4,14;50:1;53:16;55:15;
attempted (1)	D		
164:3	hashalania (1)	88:21	59:16,17;86:5;101:11;111:4;
attend (5)	bachelor's (1)	basis (6)	119:19;124:23;131:23;
61:14,20;62:3;86:13;144:6	47:19	19:22;65:10;68:15;140:6;	168:2;197:3;202:23;218:16,
attendance (1)	back (26)	154:18;196:22	17;228:9;229:23
32:4	19:20;21:5;54:3;84:8;	BAXTER (5)	binding (1)

159:17;162:13;164:20,21, 221:15 breach (5) **came (6)** 9:3;19:1;27:21;41:19; Bingham (3) 24:165:8,10:168:5,15,18; 2:22;62:15;86:21;117:17; 49:15:154:19:206:24 169:3,6,8;175:11;182:12; 160:20:196:23 43:21 bit (8) breached (1) 189:5,6,9;190:6;191:21; can (93) 15:10;26:21;54:20;95:13; 25:14 192:2;193:4,20,21;194:13; 9:17:10:1.22:11:7.8.15: 108:15;135:12;138:18; break (5) 199:10,17,18;201:3,4; 15:22;17:16;18:6,19;19:24; 220:19 52:15;105:7,22;193:12,13 202:21;203:2,3;204:15,17, 24:16;27:3,21;29:1,9;30:3; black (7) breaker (1) 22;208:5,21;209:8,17; 35:20;37:13;39:23;41:7; 50:1;55:15;111:4;136:1; 46:13 221:10;222:18,22;223:23; 43:10;44:1;45:1,19;54:11; Brian (3) 224:5;226:13,14,16,17; 157:13;174:10;197:3 56:13,13,15,19,20,21,21; Blackfoot (1) 20:5;46:18;47:16 230:17;231:14;232:6 57:17;66:10;67:6;68:7; 182:23 brief (8) budget (2) 72:21;77:17;84:14;85:8; 32:2;34:20;132:16; 80:3;200:6 87:20;90:14;94:1;100:8; blanks (1) 98:20 193:19;210:6;211:7;227:10; built-in (1) 104:5;110:16;111:18; **blue (5)** 231:9 210:13 115:18;121:4;122:12; bunch (3) 120:20,22;121:13;122:25; briefed (1) 123:18;129:21;130:5; 27:17;28:16;30:12 123:5 231:8 136:16;137:13;138:18; 140:3,22;143:15;144:17; **board** (22) briefing (3) burden (1) 19:13;52:21;53:7;59:1; 231:7,12,15 17:25 146:5,9;148:21;158:14,20; BURLEY (8) 60:1,9;64:3;67:14;73:3;74:1, briefly (11) 163:6,10;165:25;181:9; 23;75:6;80:10;87:10;107:24; 19:19;24:18;25:9;32:3; 2:7;47:17;61:22;62:7; 183:24;184:6;185:10,12,12; 124:6;144:6;195:1,2,20; 41:23;47:14;61:19;124:23; 86:12;98:2;100:10;206:4 187:12,13,15,20,22,23; 199:22;228:19 125:11:175:13:224:19 business (1) 191:12;194:17;197:17; boards (2) Brigham (1) 216:24 199:14;205:1,2;209:5; 197:15,21 47:19 219:22;221:4,17;227:5;  $\mathbf{C}$ bombarded (1) bright (1) 228:10 CANAL (5) 203:10 42:5 Bonneville (3) bring (2) calculate (17) 2:10,11;204:24;206:11,14 59:19;201:20 20:11;28:9;210:18 33:8;57:6,21;60:16;65:22; canals (1) Bonneville-Jefferson (18) broad (4) 66:4;76:6;82:22;86:25; 116:22 14:18,21;17:5;18:5,21; 25:11;42:21;44:15;217:12 87:24;88:23;89:16;133:16; Candice (6) 19:13,15;20:4;23:12,16,17; broken (1) 166:12.15:210:4.25 10:13;12:14;13:20;14:4,7; 44:5:49:16:115:8:154:19: 128:2 calculated (11) 24:24 207:2;231:23;232:2 brought (2) 56:8:66:10:71:14:73:10: capacity (1) book (9) 18:7;59:7 75:6;92:14,20;100:13;101:5; 195:24 136:1,2;157:13;159:12,13, caps (1) Brown (1) 114:18;198:13 14,22;169:12;174:10 112:14 calculates (1) 120:5 B's (2) books (1) 70:6 care (5) 131:8 158:15;218:9 calculating (5) 10:20;19:18;117:15,17; **Budge (194)** 70:22;74:9;88:3;108:3; **boom** (1) 209:18 216:6 7:4,8;12:25;13:1;15:16; 198:18 career (1) both (13) 16:3,12,15,18,23;17:21,25; calculation (8) 133:1 13:7;15:14;18:9;30:25; 18:1;19:8,25;20:25;21:7; 55:25;56:5;108:21;134:6; careful (2) 118:4;142:3;169:6;177:17; 22:4,5;26:10,13,20;31:5,6; 210:12;211:22;212:19;214:8 211:17;212:13 38:4,5;40:6,12,23;41:10; 198:7;221:18,23;222:2; calculations (1) Carey (1) 224:22 177:16 44:21,23;45:9,16,21;46:3,6, 207:5 bottle (1) 7,10;47:3,6,9;51:21;52:13, Carr (1) call (27) 232:17 14;57:16,17;58:19;61:10,11, 9:21,24;12:20;19:9,20; 15:2 bottom (9) 14;63:3;64:9;65:17,18; 20:5,13;21:23;24:23;46:8, carried (2) 28:13;68:6;77:11;120:9; 66:12;68:14,15,25;69:4,18, 10;53:23;80:1;112:25;115:4; 79:15;167:23 154:13,16;170:5,15;171:11 21;72:1,4,7,14,15;83:7;84:7, 116:5;123:20;129:11; carry (5) bounced (1) 9,11,13;85:11,23;86:2,16; 157:25;158:9,16;159:6; 17:24;119:11;223:24; 93:12,22;94:11;95:3,10,11; 226:2,5 115:10 193:21;201:23;219:4; carrying (1) bound (1) 96:2,3,6,8;99:2;100:2; 227:15,20 101:13,15;104:7,21;105:5,6, 225:12 34:8 called (10) boundaries (4) 14,18,23,24;106:25;107:1, 20:19,19,23;22:1;46:19; case (21) 12;109:2,9,22;110:10;111:2; 11:8;17:1;18:22;29:15; 72:9;77:16,20;79:9 53:17;77:4;125:9;188:15; boundary (1) 112:12;124:13,22;127:3; 194:2 31:14;35:3,5,7,23;69:16; 202:17 129:12,22;130:1,8,11,15,20; calling (6) 74:20;90:12,22;92:10; box (1) 131:11,24;132:5,6;139:3,5, 9:20;21:25;25:2,4;227:21, 121:24;122:2;154:2;155:1; 109:18 21,25;140:5,8,21;141:18,19; 24 172:19;178:16;188:22 boy (1) 142:10.19.20:143:1.11.20: calls (3) case-in-chief (3) 144:2,16;148:3,5,24;158:23; 20:12,17;227:15 8:11 149:2;158:23;221:10

/ <b>-</b> >	~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
cases (5)	Cindy (1)	142:14;143:9,18;144:4,12,	130:8;163:7;173:25;197:3;
35:7;83:5;90:14;184:21;	87:14	24;146:25;157:8;158:12;	228:17
188:13	CIR (1)	161:21;165:16;167:21;	commonly (1)
category (1)	71:17	172:23;173:6;177:3;195:11;	50:11
118:10	circumstance (1)	200:22;205:22;206:2,6,9,12,	
			communicate (1)
cell (3)	39:14	15;208:9;214:25;215:4;	54:19
10:7;170:15;171:12	circumstances (4)	216:24;217:11,22;220:3;	communication (1)
certain (5)	31:13;35:20;116:18,19	222:12;227:24	54:20
92:9;119:2;182:13;	citation (1)	COALITION'S (3)	COMPANY (8)
201:22;213:8	32:7	7:23;22:12;139:9	2:10,11;48:13;82:3;112:4;
certainly (7)	cited (2)	Code (1)	204:24;206:11,14
20:7;94:21;109:17;	35:7;61:1	42:18	comparable (3)
133:24;134:17;135:11;	Cities (7)	coefficient (1)	189:22;190:3;192:5
188:22	13:20;14:6;17:4;174:22,	87:23	compare (2)
cfs (3)	25;175:1,3	collect (1)	75:23;83:1
56:16,18;204:1	citing (1)	81:16	
			compared (4)
chair (1)	35:6	collected (4)	87:24;123:7;154:24;
19:15	City (3)	48:14;53:11;81:24;87:22	163:20
chairman (8)	13:17;14:14,15	collecting (6)	comparison (1)
112:14,17;195:2,6,7,10,19,	claiming (1)	54:13;59:6;75:14;81:9;	89:15
25	36:6	82:3,4	compelling (1)
challenge (1)	claims (1)	collection (1)	96:17
123:20	32:16	216:18	compiled (3)
challenges (1)	clarification (9)	collective (1)	125:12;126:6;162:5
91:5	24:4;44:2,24;45:4;52:12;	118:17	compiling (1)
chance (1)	93:22;94:12;132:17;232:13	collectively (6)	49:3
82:9	clarify (7)	51:2;170:21;171:18;	complete (2)
change (16)	18:19;20:15;57:17;60:5;	172:2;190:2;198:1	80:16;214:1
36:25;39:25;40:21,23;	131:21;138:5;232:10	collects (1)	completed (1)
108:11;154:4,10,15;161:6,	Clark (1)	81:14	72:2
10;178:3,5;179:1;180:23;	12:2	colloquy (1)	completely (2)
181:4;220:17	clauses (1)	221:20	30:2;90:23
101.7,220.17			
abanged (2)	26.14	achumn (10)	compliance (14)
changed (3)	36:14	column (10)	compliance (14)
168:1;180:17;193:1	clean (2)	70:6,9;97:11;100:16;	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11;
168:1;180:17;193:1 <b>changes (1)</b>	clean (2) 87:19;144:19	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16;	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13;
168:1;180:17;193:1 <b>changes (1)</b> 192:16	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19)	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1)	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21;	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3)	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1)
168:1;180:17;193:1 <b>changes (1)</b> 192:16 <b>characterize (1)</b> 26:21	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8;	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 <b>columns (3)</b> 102:12,14;103:6	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13
168:1;180:17;193:1 <b>changes (1)</b> 192:16 <b>characterize (1)</b> 26:21 <b>characterized (2)</b>	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8;	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1)	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1)
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24;	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13
168:1;180:17;193:1 <b>changes (1)</b> 192:16 <b>characterize (1)</b> 26:21 <b>characterized (2)</b>	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8;	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1)	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1)
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1)	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1)	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4)
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1)	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31)	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1)	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2)
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20,	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2)	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7;	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1)	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1)
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5,	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2)	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1) 126:4
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7;	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1)	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1)
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21;	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2)	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1) 126:4
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23;	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5)	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1) 126:4 comprised (1) 140:16
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23; 153:1,5;155:10;162:19;	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5) 190:5;192:5;212:21;	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10 commencing (1)	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1) 126:4 comprised (1) 140:16 computer (3)
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23; 153:1,5;155:10;162:19; 174:2	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5) 190:5;192:5;212:21; 220:16;232:14	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10 commencing (1) 186:7	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1) 126:4 comprised (1) 140:16 computer (3) 10:6,19;107:25
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23; 153:1,5;155:10;162:19; 174:2 charts (4)	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5) 190:5;192:5;212:21; 220:16;232:14 CM-DC-2010-001 (1)	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10 commencing (1) 186:7 comment (5)	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1) 126:4 comprised (1) 140:16 computer (3) 10:6,19;107:25 computing (1)
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23; 153:1,5;155:10;162:19; 174:2 charts (4) 53:23;78:18;119:24;	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5) 190:5;192:5;212:21; 220:16;232:14 CM-DC-2010-001 (1) 2:7	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10 commencing (1) 186:7 comment (5) 67:6;95:12;130:22;133:3;	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1) 126:4 comprised (1) 140:16 computer (3) 10:6,19;107:25 computing (1) 183:13
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23; 153:1,5;155:10;162:19; 174:2 charts (4)	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5) 190:5;192:5;212:21; 220:16;232:14 CM-DC-2010-001 (1)	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10 commencing (1) 186:7 comment (5)	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1) 126:4 comprised (1) 140:16 computer (3) 10:6,19;107:25 computing (1)
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23; 153:1,5;155:10;162:19; 174:2 charts (4) 53:23;78:18;119:24; 164:11	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5) 190:5;192:5;212:21; 220:16;232:14 CM-DC-2010-001 (1) 2:7 CM-MP-2016-001 (1)	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10 commencing (1) 186:7 comment (5) 67:6;95:12;130:22;133:3; 190:15	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1) 126:4 comprised (1) 140:16 computer (3) 10:6,19;107:25 computing (1) 183:13 concern (5)
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23; 153:1,5;155:10;162:19; 174:2 charts (4) 53:23;78:18;119:24; 164:11 chilling (1)	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5) 190:5;192:5;212:21; 220:16;232:14 CM-DC-2010-001 (1) 2:7 CM-MP-2016-001 (1) 2:14	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10 commencing (1) 186:7 comment (5) 67:6;95:12;130:22;133:3; 190:15 commented (1)	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1) 126:4 comprised (1) 140:16 computer (3) 10:6,19;107:25 computing (1) 183:13 concern (5) 156:19,23;201:7;202:13,
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23; 153:1,5;155:10;162:19; 174:2 charts (4) 53:23;78:18;119:24; 164:11 chilling (1) 28:18	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5) 190:5;192:5;212:21; 220:16;232:14 CM-DC-2010-001 (1) 2:7 CM-MP-2016-001 (1) 2:14 Coalition (71)	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10 commencing (1) 186:7 comment (5) 67:6;95:12;130:22;133:3; 190:15 commented (1) 202:1	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1) 126:4 comprised (1) 140:16 computer (3) 10:6,19;107:25 computing (1) 183:13 concern (5) 156:19,23;201:7;202:13, 14
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23; 153:1,5;155:10;162:19; 174:2 charts (4) 53:23;78:18;119:24; 164:11 chilling (1) 28:18 choose (3)	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5) 190:5;192:5;212:21; 220:16;232:14 CM-DC-2010-001 (1) 2:7 CM-MP-2016-001 (1) 2:14 Coalition (71) 13:5,13,20;14:6;16:24;	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10 commencing (1) 186:7 comment (5) 67:6;95:12;130:22;133:3; 190:15 commented (1) 202:1 comments (1)	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1) 126:4 comprised (1) 140:16 computer (3) 10:6,19;107:25 computing (1) 183:13 concern (5) 156:19,23;201:7;202:13, 14 concerned (3)
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23; 153:1,5;155:10;162:19; 174:2 charts (4) 53:23;78:18;119:24; 164:11 chilling (1) 28:18 choose (3) 103:15;104:2;187:4	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5) 190:5;192:5;212:21; 220:16;232:14 CM-DC-2010-001 (1) 2:7 CM-MP-2016-001 (1) 2:14 Coalition (71) 13:5,13,20;14:6;16:24; 17:4,4;18:10;21:23;22:19;	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10 commencing (1) 186:7 comment (5) 67:6;95:12;130:22;133:3; 190:15 commented (1) 202:1 comments (1) 31:7	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1) 126:4 comprised (1) 140:16 computer (3) 10:6,19;107:25 computing (1) 183:13 concern (5) 156:19,23;201:7;202:13, 14 concerned (3) 30:10;155:25;156:21
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23; 153:1,5;155:10;162:19; 174:2 charts (4) 53:23;78:18;119:24; 164:11 chilling (1) 28:18 choose (3) 103:15;104:2;187:4 choosing (2)	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5) 190:5;192:5;212:21; 220:16;232:14 CM-DC-2010-001 (1) 2:7 CM-MP-2016-001 (1) 2:14 Coalition (71) 13:5,13,20;14:6;16:24; 17:4,4;18:10;21:23;22:19; 26:25;29:13,14;39:18;49:5;	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10 commencing (1) 186:7 comment (5) 67:6;95:12;130:22;133:3; 190:15 commented (1) 202:1 comments (1) 31:7 committee (3)	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1) 126:4 computer (3) 10:6,19;107:25 computing (1) 183:13 concern (5) 156:19,23;201:7;202:13, 14 concerned (3) 30:10;155:25;156:21 concerning (12)
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23; 153:1,5;155:10;162:19; 174:2 charts (4) 53:23;78:18;119:24; 164:11 chilling (1) 28:18 choose (3) 103:15;104:2;187:4	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5) 190:5;192:5;212:21; 220:16;232:14 CM-DC-2010-001 (1) 2:7 CM-MP-2016-001 (1) 2:14 Coalition (71) 13:5,13,20;14:6;16:24; 17:4,4;18:10;21:23;22:19;	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10 commencing (1) 186:7 comment (5) 67:6;95:12;130:22;133:3; 190:15 commented (1) 202:1 comments (1) 31:7	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1) 126:4 comprised (1) 140:16 computer (3) 10:6,19;107:25 computing (1) 183:13 concern (5) 156:19,23;201:7;202:13, 14 concerned (3) 30:10;155:25;156:21
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23; 153:1,5;155:10;162:19; 174:2 charts (4) 53:23;78:18;119:24; 164:11 chilling (1) 28:18 choose (3) 103:15;104:2;187:4 choosing (2) 102:10;104:16	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5) 190:5;192:5;212:21; 220:16;232:14 CM-DC-2010-001 (1) 2:7 CM-MP-2016-001 (1) 2:14 Coalition (71) 13:5,13,20;14:6;16:24; 17:4,4;18:10;21:23;22:19; 26:25;29:13,14;39:18;49:5; 50:9;54:6;60:7;63:14,22;	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10 commencing (1) 186:7 comment (5) 67:6;95:12;130:22;133:3; 190:15 commented (1) 202:1 comments (1) 31:7 committee (3) 140:16;152:24;176:25	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1) 126:4 computer (3) 10:6,19;107:25 computing (1) 183:13 concern (5) 156:19,23;201:7;202:13, 14 concerned (3) 30:10;155:25;156:21 concerning (12) 27:7,22,24;29:6;45:6;
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23; 153:1,5;155:10;162:19; 174:2 charts (4) 53:23;78:18;119:24; 164:11 chilling (1) 28:18 choose (3) 103:15;104:2;187:4 choosing (2) 102:10;104:16 chose (2)	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5) 190:5;192:5;212:21; 220:16;232:14 CM-DC-2010-001 (1) 2:7 CM-MP-2016-001 (1) 2:14 Coalition (71) 13:5,13,20;14:6;16:24; 17:4,4;18:10;21:23;22:19; 26:25;29:13,14;39:18;49:5; 50:9;54:6;60:7;63:14,22; 64:4,18;99:12;109:24;111:9,	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10 commencing (1) 186:7 comment (5) 67:6;95:12;130:22;133:3; 190:15 commented (1) 202:1 comments (1) 31:7 committee (3) 140:16;152:24;176:25 common (19)	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprised (1) 126:4 computer (3) 10:6,19;107:25 computing (1) 183:13 concern (5) 156:19,23;201:7;202:13, 14 concerned (3) 30:10;155:25;156:21 concerning (12) 27:7,22,24;29:6;45:6; 137:19;139:10;155:14;
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23; 153:1,5;155:10;162:19; 174:2 charts (4) 53:23;78:18;119:24; 164:11 chilling (1) 28:18 choose (3) 103:15;104:2;187:4 choosing (2) 102:10;104:16 chose (2) 96:10;188:6	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5) 190:5;192:5;212:21; 220:16;232:14 CM-DC-2010-001 (1) 2:7 CM-MP-2016-001 (1) 2:14 Coalition (71) 13:5,13,20;14:6;16:24; 17:4,4;18:10;21:23;22:19; 26:25;29:13,14;39:18;49:5; 50:9;54:6;60:7;63:14,22; 64:4,18;99:12;109:24;111:9, 16;112:24;114:8,9;127:6;	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10 commencing (1) 186:7 comment (5) 67:6;95:12;130:22;133:3; 190:15 commented (1) 202:1 comments (1) 31:7 committee (3) 140:16;152:24;176:25 common (19) 15:21,24;16:4;17:6,16;	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprised (1) 126:4 computer (3) 10:6,19;107:25 computing (1) 183:13 concern (5) 156:19,23;201:7;202:13, 14 concerned (3) 30:10;155:25;156:21 concerning (12) 27:7,22,24;29:6;45:6; 137:19;139:10;155:14; 177:17;200:17;214:21;
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23; 153:1,5;155:10;162:19; 174:2 charts (4) 53:23;78:18;119:24; 164:11 chilling (1) 28:18 choose (3) 103:15;104:2;187:4 choosing (2) 102:10;104:16 chose (2) 96:10;188:6 chosen (1)	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5) 190:5;192:5;212:21; 220:16;232:14 CM-DC-2010-001 (1) 2:7 CM-MP-2016-001 (1) 2:14 Coalition (71) 13:5,13,20;14:6;16:24; 17:4,4;18:10;21:23;22:19; 26:25;29:13,14;39:18;49:5; 50:9;54:6;60:7;63:14,22; 64:4,18;99:12;109:24;111:9, 16;112:24;114:8,9;127:6; 131:7,13;137:2;138:3,16,20;	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10 commencing (1) 186:7 comment (5) 67:6;95:12;130:22;133:3; 190:15 commented (1) 202:1 comments (1) 31:7 committee (3) 140:16;152:24;176:25 common (19) 15:21,24;16:4;17:6,16; 22:10,17;50:1;51:19,21;	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprise (1) 126:4 comprised (1) 140:16 computer (3) 10:6,19;107:25 computing (1) 183:13 concern (5) 156:19,23;201:7;202:13, 14 concerned (3) 30:10;155:25;156:21 concerning (12) 27:7,22,24;29:6;45:6; 137:19;139:10;155:14; 177:17;200:17;214:21; 223:18
168:1;180:17;193:1 changes (1) 192:16 characterize (1) 26:21 characterized (2) 93:23;94:20 charged (1) 145:3 chart (31) 53:25;60:25;62:14,18,20, 22;63:5;78:1,11,17,23;79:7; 100:7,14;102:2;103:2;106:5, 16,18;110:14;120:17,21; 123:1;126:6;152:22,23; 153:1,5;155:10;162:19; 174:2 charts (4) 53:23;78:18;119:24; 164:11 chilling (1) 28:18 choose (3) 103:15;104:2;187:4 choosing (2) 102:10;104:16 chose (2) 96:10;188:6	clean (2) 87:19;144:19 clear (19) 20:11;23:21;26:9;28:21; 31:15;33:13;78:5;91:4,8; 126:19;127:4;132:3;157:8; 210:4,11;223:2;231:24; 232:2,9 clearer (1) 177:14 click (2) 9:14;127:21 clients (2) 13:9;24:6 close (5) 190:5;192:5;212:21; 220:16;232:14 CM-DC-2010-001 (1) 2:7 CM-MP-2016-001 (1) 2:14 Coalition (71) 13:5,13,20;14:6;16:24; 17:4,4;18:10;21:23;22:19; 26:25;29:13,14;39:18;49:5; 50:9;54:6;60:7;63:14,22; 64:4,18;99:12;109:24;111:9, 16;112:24;114:8,9;127:6;	70:6,9;97:11;100:16; 103:8,9;153:8;154:4;163:16; 225:6 columns (3) 102:12,14;103:6 combination (1) 156:10 combinations (1) 80:22 combined (1) 111:3 comfortable (1) 231:14 coming (2) 42:10;196:10 commencing (1) 186:7 comment (5) 67:6;95:12;130:22;133:3; 190:15 commented (1) 202:1 comments (1) 31:7 committee (3) 140:16;152:24;176:25 common (19) 15:21,24;16:4;17:6,16;	41:1,3;54:18,20;82:11; 88:12;118:21;126:9,13; 128:22;129:9;164:9;209:1,6 complicated (1) 133:13 complimentary (1) 232:17 comply (4) 103:18,22;114:24;193:1 component (2) 48:5;109:11 comprised (1) 126:4 computer (3) 10:6,19;107:25 computing (1) 183:13 concern (5) 156:19,23;201:7;202:13, 14 concerned (3) 30:10;155:25;156:21 concerning (12) 27:7,22,24;29:6;45:6; 137:19;139:10;155:14; 177:17;200:17;214:21;

135:3;155:13,20	19:1;35:11;186:20	39:23	Court's (1)
conclusion (3)	construed (1)	contracts (1)	69:6
149:2;158:24;221:11	191:15	82:5	covered (2)
condition (8)	consult (2)	contract's (2)	74:25;140:13
92:7,8;100:4,6,11,14,20;	144:3;156:18	33:3;69:10	covers (1)
101:22	consultant (4)	contractual (1)	214:15
conditional (1)	48:20;109:23;156:17;	35:1	craft (1)
197:14	182:25	contribute (2)	212:13
conduct (4)	Consultants (10)	173:7;202:20	create (7)
33:2,17;69:9;114:23	47:18,24;48:2,9,17;62:6,9;	control (1)	37:8;41:24;53:23,25;
conducted (1)	81:16;82:4;132:21	217:3	73:23;79:21;115:11
182:19	consulted (1)	convenience (1)	created (16)
conducting (2)	48:23	191:15	36:3;45:20;63:5,23,24;
40:10;55:23	consulting (7)	conversations (1)	64:1;67:12;68:7;73:6;120:4;
confined (1)	19:7;48:3,4;49:1,22;112:1;	44:10	145:7,8;159:24;160:13,19;
31:10	114:19	conversions (1)	161:4
confirm (4)	consume (3)	114:1	creates (5)
9:17;81:20;201:4;228:14	187:21,25;188:2	Convue (1)	29:17;30:14,14;36:21;37:6
conflict (4)	consuming (1)	232:17	creating (3)
12:15;186:19,22;187:2	188:18	copies (7)	37:10;130:23;164:11
conflicting (1)	consumption (1)	22:22;23:1;126:21;127:5;	creation (1)
186:21	188:7	130:15,16;131:3	115:4
Conjunctive (1) 25:13	consumptive (33) 56:21;70:4,7,11;73:1;	<b>copy (3)</b> 107:25;130:4;131:5	<b>crop (19)</b> 70:25;71:3,6,10,13,17,23;
connection (1)	88:10,19;90:2,13;91:7;	Corbin (2)	74:5;76:2;118:25;119:1,13;
108:7	92:24;119:5;183:21;184:1,3,	87:15,20	124:2;184:12;185:2;187:19;
cons (2)		corn (1)	189:20;192:16;209:5
73:17;75:20	18,21,24,24;185:1,3,5,6,8,14, 15;186:13;187:6;188:11;	205:1	crops (5)
consequently (1)	189:12;190:4,17;192:5	corner (4)	119:5;123:11,13;188:2;
24:2	consumptively (1)	139:1;170:5;204:18;205:1	193:1
conservation (28)	184:12	corners (1)	Cross-Examination (13)
40:24;53:13;100:13;	contain (2)	33:19	7:6,7;21:10;84:16;134:21;
120:25;121:5;123:7;126:17;	126:21;127:11	corrected (1)	135:1;143:13;144:21;148:8;
153:8,9,22;154:3;164:5,12;	contained (1)	58:1	157:5;214:17,19;217:19
170:9;172:7,7,12;177:17;	127:19	Correction (1)	cross-examine (3)
220:4,17;222:24;223:3,8,15,	contend (2)	53:16	18:11;21:3;190:10
24;225:7,16;226:3	39:5,22	corrections (2)	current (7)
conserve (5)	content (2)	76:24;96:12	41:2;70:4;76:21;78:1;
38:17;40:16;113:25;	44:12;69:25	correctly (6)	108:12;125:22;195:4
122:17;123:7	contentious (1)	42:6;71:12;103:10;170:8;	currently (1)
conserved (7)	133:25	171:4,12	75:24
170:21;171:5,13,18;172:3,	contents (2)	correlate (1)	curtailment (9)
17,20	62:17;68:17	90:19	43:8,13;58:16;112:24;
consider (6)	contested (1)	correlated (2)	160:14;167:5;196:11;198:3;
32:23;33:17;36:18;69:8;	176:2	80:19;89:13	211:16
72:17;95:2	context (2)	correspond (1)	custom (1)
consideration (4)	64:19;197:2	229:24	34:14
73:14;76:2;77:9;107:3	contexts (1)	counsel (10)	<b>cut</b> (3)
considered (8)	107:21	17:6,15;18:1;22:7;23:12,	92:9;116:16;123:9
34:16;36:15;66:11;94:1,4;	contingently (1)	17;130:4;139:9,9;216:23	cutting (2)
100:5;108:3;199:13	18:25	count (1)	121:25;122:8
considering (3)	continue (3)	206:21	<b>D</b>
78:7;85:20;88:2	68:14;80:12;96:21	couple (14)	D
consistent (7)	Continued (8)	15:1;16:22;23:11;132:7;	- 40
16:19;26:19;198:23;	7:1;53:4,8;69:20;86:1;	157:9;166:1;174:4;175:18;	damages (1)
199:25;205:10;230:8,17	100:1;114:7;144:21	179:9;208:22,23;209:17,23;	26:7
constant (1)	contract (13)	217:22	data (89)
54:14	19:2;26:4;31:9,10,11,14,	course (7)	48:15;49:3,3;52:24,25;
constantly (1)	15,17;34:25;43:1,16;81:13; 110:3	21:19,23;38:18;47:12; 68:11;104:12;200:1	53:1,10,25;54:14,14,16; 56:22;58:11,12,23;59:1,5,5,
156:14 <b>Construction (1)</b>		08:11:104:17:700:1	- 10:77:18:11 17 73 <b>:39:1 3 3</b>
	contracted (2)	court (8)	7;61:16;74:4,11;75:14,14,
32:7 construe (3)			

Min-U-Script®

Min-U-Script®

15,15,19,25;83:2,5;87:4,7,9,	27:13	70:17;71:13;74:1;87:12;	212:25
15,15,19,25,65.2,5,67.4,7,9, 16,18,22,23;88:9,19,22;89:4;	DEEG (14)	120:4;139:19	differently (4)
91:12;92:5,25;93:2,8,9;	7:3;193:21,22;194:1,21,	described (1)	26:14,21;39:19;93:23
96:11,18;98:7,7,8,9,12,21,	21;199:18;208:22;209:22;	228:18	differing (1)
24;125:12,17;126:8;127:12,	217:21;219:22;223:21;	describes (1)	35:15
18;128:14,15,23;129:7,11;	224:18;226:18	80:14	difficult (2)
130:18,23;131:10;152:16;	deemed (1)	description (1)	42:16;103:22
184:19,20,22	35:15	228:13	difficulty (1)
database (17)	deficit (6)	descriptions (1)	133:8
74:2,4,5,21;75:5,5,8,12,21;	80:3;91:25;113:10,14;	228:8	digital (1)
76:16;81:18;87:5,16;96:11;	151:4;200:6	desert (1)	23:1
125:16;128:9,17	defined (1)	188:14	DIRE (4)
date (15)	32:8	designed (1)	63:12;83:14;99:10;144:1
57:15;65:14;116:6,8;	definitely (2)	223:4	Direct (11)
118:4;126:15;135:6,7;	81:23;103:20	designs (1)	7:4,5;21:9;47:8;69:20;
140:22;157:16;160:2,4,14;	definition (1)	223:3	86:1;100:1;132:12;158:6;
169:22;175:20	188:16	desk (2)	194:12;209:20
dated (7)	degree (1)	16:4;139:1	directed (1)
97:19;168:15,18;169:20;	47:19	despite (2)	133:16
203:3,4;208:14	degrees (1) 49:12	31:12;138:10	direction (3)
<b>dates (2)</b> 120:9;157:9	delinquent (1)	<b>detail (4)</b> 58:24;67:15;150:5;183:19	9:22;81:1;134:5 directly (2)
dating (1)	8:14	determination (7)	13:19;67:4
94:21	deliver (1)	9:3;35:9,16,17;43:11,21;	Director (85)
David (1)	116:22	122:11	2:24;8:25;9:9;10:5;11:15;
15:2	delivered (1)	determinations (1)	12:14,25;14:16;15:16;17:10,
day (3)	83:19	37:4	12;18:25;19:3;21:14;22:5,
2:23;16:25;225:8	delivery (5)	determine (16)	23;23:5;24:8,13;26:22;
deadline (1)	116:20;158:9,16;201:23;	33:3;43:2;67:18;72:23;	28:24;31:5,20;32:4;33:16,
205:20	219:4	74:5;86:22;91:12;92:5;93:9,	21,23;36:22,25;37:2,14;
deal (2)	delved (1)	10;100:11,19;116:24;187:8;	38:7;39:7;40:13;41:14,19;
28:11;40:2	150:4	211:3;217:7	42:19,21;44:1,21;51:13;
dealing (7)	demand (3)	determined (1)	61:6;64:14;65:9,21;68:15,
29:22;35:3,5;48:4;155:11;	90:2,18,19	76:5	25;84:7,9,11,21;85:8;93:12,
190:15;215:19	demonstrate (4)	determines (1)	22;94:6,7,8;105:6,24;
deals (3)	33:11,15;45:1;69:13	116:6	108:22;127:3;131:16;132:8;
34:24;150:14,15	denied (2)	determining (5)	138:25;140:10;148:24;
decided (6)	228:14;229:6	36:8;80:12;88:20;91:6;	164:21;165:8;175:14;176:4;
83:3,4;88:6;125:14;178:9,	deny (1)	95:16	189:11;195:18,19;196:1;
13	33:21	detriment (1)	197:16;200:13;209:16;
deciding (1)	<b>DEPARTMENT (55)</b>	184:11	210:16;212:2;214:5;222:1, 18;230:19;231:22;232:8
203:15 decision (10)	2:1,22;8:25;16:7;23:10,20; 34:7;39:16;42:20,21;43:10;	develop (1) 115:14	directors (1)
24:15;32:8,14,15;34:7;	54:9,15,21;55:4;61:3,16;	developing (2)	60:1
41:20;45:2;69:7;110:12;	62:6,11;65:12;66:22;67:5,9;	91:4;114:22	Director's (10)
231:16	68:18,21;73:2;74:3;78:19;	diagram (1)	27:4,15;30:10;34:23;41:2;
decisions (3)	80:20,24;81:9,11,13,17,20;	109:11	65:19;72:16;84:18;93:17;
36:2,2;43:7	82:8;86:11,21;87:11;88:9;	difference (12)	136:23
decline (2)	95:6;98:5;107:23;127:7;	76:25;87:21;98:19;102:2,	discharged (1)
108:13;160:20	128:8;129:18;131:19;132:1;	8;128:11;163:19;183:25;	173:4
declined (1)	160:19;161:4;163:1;182:21;	184:23;188:5,10;225:22	disclosed (1)
113:5	196:10;216:5,18	differences (4)	19:13
declines (1)	Department's (2)	77:25;101:21;103:3;	disconcerting (1)
110:16	27:25;42:17	162:14	24:11
declining (1)	depend (1)	different (45)	discuss (3)
113:19	8:20	28:11;37:7;40:1;56:10,13,	19:24;24:18;89:25
decrease (2)	depending (1)	22;74:8;88:11,13,15;90:6,7,	discussed (10)
128:11;184:3	21:1	8,9,13,23,23;91:4;101:22;	18:2;22:10;29:9;32:2;
decreased (2)	depends (1)	102:9,9,16;103:3;108:2;	50:24;52:20;92:24;95:5;
	170.0		
190:2;192:8	178:9	115:21;116:10,12,18,18;	108:2;198:15
190:2;192:8 decree (5)	depth (1)	122:14,15,23;128:17;133:11,	discussing (5)
190:2;192:8 <b>decree (5)</b> 34:25;35:3,6,9,19	<b>depth (1)</b> 127:25	122:14,15,23;128:17;133:11, 19;134:14,17;158:10,11,17,	discussing (5) 52:19,22;100:9;127:8;
190:2;192:8 <b>decree (5)</b>	depth (1)	122:14,15,23;128:17;133:11,	discussing (5)

000239

#### 223:12 discussion (13) 214:11;215:20;216:13; effective (2) 18:4;22:3;64:17;66:15; 217:5;219:1,6;220:11,22 dots (5) 57:15:65:14 67:1;87:8;95:22;106:3; district's (21) 74:16.18:79:3.9.12 effects (1) 33:8;56:1;57:7;60:16; dotted (2) 156:12;198:11;208:24; 191:11 227:12:232:6 65:22;66:4;70:22;73:9;74:9; 102:6:123:14 efficiencies (1) discussions (34) 76:6;82:22;86:25;108:4; down (9) 192:9 15:13;23:22;24:2,12; 139:22;166:13;180:14; 25:23;43:7;68:6;128:2; efficiency (3) 198:18;216:9;217:9;222:24; 187:12,13;192:14 27:25;28:4,9,10,14,19,20,22, 136:17;153:13;184:9; 223:4 24;29:2;30:8,15;42:11; 185:13:188:15 efficient (2) ditch (3) downward (1) 187:15,17 45:10,12;51:1;53:8;57:13; 184:7,7,8 62:25;64:15;84:22,25;93:18; 113:7 effort (5) 94:9;95:15;104:11;156:11; diversion (41) draft (1) 40:15;58:14;87:6;128:13; 196:2;202:8;227:13 33:10;40:14;67:25;74:19; 109:17 199:10 79:13;81:10;87:4,9;88:22; drafting (1) dispute (1) efforts (8) 44:18 90:1,11;91:6;96:19;98:16; 33:1 31:12;53:13;72:25;81:5; distance (1) 103:15;104:9;117:6;118:9, draw (3) 104:18;108:7;113:12,15 17;121:2;125:14,15,20,21; 119:20;136:13;140:25 24:16 eight (2) 37:15;207:13 distinguish (2) 128:7,16;130:25;136:21; drawing (1) 72:9;183:24 162:16,21;163:22;165:17; 146:7 either (10) distributed (1) 166:2;186:17;187:5;188:6, drawn (1) 11:1;25:23;27:18;78:8; 131:6 12;189:16,21;198:12;216:20 108:12 90:21;173:5;176:3;199:3; **DISTRIBUTION (1)** diversions (25) dry (2) 216:4;219:16 38:12,25;39:2;48:7;71:7; 122:12;196:9 elaborate (2) 2:3 DISTRICT (115) 77:15,19,23;102:1,13,17,19, **duly (2)** 138:18;202:6 2:6,7,8,8,9;13:9;14:19,21; 20;103:7,8;104:13;120:22; 46:19;194:2 elect (1) 19:16;39:11;44:5;49:13,14, 121:5;122:18;133:7;174:5; during (21) 96:9 183:22;184:14;190:1;192:9 22:17;42:11;51:1;61:15; electronic (1) 15,15,16,17;55:6,20;60:7,20; 62:4;76:13,15,16,17,19;77:6, divert (6) 105:9;109:13;120:25; 228:12 12,16,17,18,20,21;78:2,3,5,8, 175:7;187:20,23;188:3,19; 121:14;123:2;132:24,25; electronically (1) 9,14,14,15;79:7,8,8,9,14; 203:23 135:14;156:11;172:8; 130:3 80:17;97:10,12;98:5;100:24; diverted (2) 195:10;196:12;197:22; element (2) 110:18;111:23;112:13,22; 89:5,7 199:19;203:13;227:11;232:6 90:17:93:7 114:15:115:6.6.7.14:116:18: diverting (1) duties (3) elements (1) 119:4,17;126:6,10,15; 90:11 19:17;152:12,13 133:9 127:23;137:22;138:7;146:2; divorce (1) Elisheva (6) $\mathbf{E}$ 153:19;161:17,18;174:3,18, 35:6 11:4,11,12,13;13:2;23:2 DOCKET (2) else (4) 19;175:8,8;176:3;178:8; 12:20;15:4;136:25;232:5 180:7;181:1,18,19,21,24; 2:6.13 earlier (18) 182:8;188:14;195:15,17,18; document (46) 35:23;64:14;73:8;106:6; e-mail (3) 129:17;130:3;139:21 59:22;62:21;63:15;64:5,8; 197:21;201:10,21;204:2,24; 111:25;114:19;120:10,17; 205:24,25;206:5,19;209:2,4; 65:7;83:18,25;85:12,17,19; 122:8:125:4:160:18:167:14: employed (1) 215:15;216:9,19;217:7,13, 86:7;89:20;94:19;95:4;96:4; 168:9,13;204:25;208:24; 48:12 24;220:8;222:25;223:3,7; 97:1,24;101:16;105:2; 227:14;232:7 encompass (1) 106:22,23;107:5,11,13,17; 224:3;226:1 early (1) 26:14 108:6;109:1,3,7;110:6; districts (92) 196:7 encompassed (2) 38:21,22,24;40:18,19; 137:10,11;141:22;143:4; 26:22;133:2 ease (1) 49:8,10,21;52:19;58:25; 202:24;203:5;208:8,12,17; encourage (4) 22:16 28:4,13;42:7;156:8 61:17;64:2;70:2,3,5,8,17; 210:19,24;215:8,11,14; easier (2) 71:22;77:24;78:2;79:3,11, 229:17 103:18;104:13 encouraged (1) 11,15;80:5;81:14,15;82:5; documents (13) easiest (1) 156:6 83:4;91:19;97:15;101:24; 15:24;50:4;51:2;52:7; 119:17 end (14) 113:21;114:20,22;115:1,8,9, 66:20;69:11;95:15,23; Eastern (1) 27:12;29:24;32:21;33:5; 124:19;131:7;138:8;222:8; 20:116:5,7:117:5:118:20: 48:18 77:22,23;86:12;126:7; echo (1) 119:7,7;123:19;124:6;128:2; 225:1 146:19;148:22;205:12; 132:25 133:11;141:13;143:10; document's (1) 216:16,17;232:18 ended (1) 144:25;145:5;146:13; 211:24 edits (1) done (24) 211:25 147:16;151:15;154:14; 67:11 155:7,14,21,25;156:18; 23:2;33:12;37:7;39:13; educational (1) end-guns (1) 192:13 173:23,23;175:2;179:25; 52:16;56:5,11;92:17;98:1; 47:15 197:24;198:20;200:11,24; 112:3;115:18;134:9;136:11; effect (11) ending (1) 201:7;202:9;203:15,21,23; 145:22;153:3;156:24; 28:18;35:10;45:13;120:4, 11:19 204:3.4.8:205:13:206:22: 162:25:177:16:182:24: 25;142:13;149:1;181:6; enforce (1) 207:20;208:2,25;210:14; 192:8,19;196:17;213:10; 40:2 184:4,4;197:18

enforced (1)	45:17;84:14;95:17;199:22	92:22	119:20;124:13,19,21,23,24;
37:9	evaluated (1)	Excel (5)	126:20;127:4,9,10;130:17;
engage (1)	199:14	127:11;129:16;130:5,18;	131:4;163:7;165:1;197:3;
9:20	evaluating (2)	131:8	224:19;228:4,13,16,17;230:4
enhancement (1)	78:7;79:21	excess (3)	exist (2)
113:11	evaporated (1) 89:16	223:8,15,24	32:12;66:2
<b>enough (3)</b> 46:3;110:7;153:23		<b>exclude (3)</b> 28:24;68:13;107:3	exists (4) 33:16;39:1;45:16;65:22
enrichment (1)	<b>evaporation (3)</b> 184:13;185:2,10	excluded (4)	expect (4)
26:6	evapotranspiration (4)	28:8;29:8;65:15;72:12	15:2;133:23;190:3;223:14
ensure (4)	56:22;81:6;87:25;185:9	excluding (2)	expectation (3)
25:3;26:8;54:15;108:16	even (9)	7:17;85:18	25:4;223:19,23
enter (1)	8:22;45:10,15;65:1;	exclusion (1)	expected (2)
28:25	116:11,20,20;200:15;202:13	228:20	124:11;133:15
entered (20)	events (1)	excuse (21)	expedite (5)
38:8;50:7;83:22;85:1,2,3;	200:1	19:19;53:16,24;84:8;86:4;	18:16;21:21;105:9;
93:17;135:8,16;136:7;141:1;	eventually (2)	96:10;112:8;120:6;126:20;	194:15;199:11
148:11;149:5,6;197:6,12;	181:7;220:11	132:25;136:4;152:4;159:13;	expedited (2)
215:12,12;221:14;222:3	everybody (5)	160:25;162:3;171:20;172:3;	43:10,21
entire (8)	8:13;9:1,14;12:20;105:12	173:13;185:17;191:8;227:17	expediting (1) 9:7
62:24;74:25;92:25;93:4; 109:1,10;113:10;221:6	everyone (4) 8:7;131:9;211:19;214:12	excused (2) 226:19,20	experience (4)
entirely (1)	evidence (71)	executed (4)	133:14,22;187:11;227:3
10:20	17:7,24,25;18:4,11;23:7;	45:7;147:14;208:15;218:3	explain (30)
entities (2)	26:5;27:3,18,22,24;29:3,6;	execution (2)	33:8,10;39:13;45:19;
53:11;174:5	30:9,12;32:23;33:11,15,18;	57:14;65:13	47:14;51:7;52:15;56:4,10;
entity (1)	34:4,13;39:19;40:4;41:25;	exercise (1)	58:19;60:8;66:4,10;67:15;
12:21	42:3,10,13,15,23;43:18,19,	9:20	72:21;80:8;88:15;89:9;
entry (3)	22,24;44:17,25;45:8;52:9;	exhale (1)	95:25;115:23;117:25;
84:19;93:25;135:4	64:25;65:11;68:12;83:8;	183:6	121:23;122:24;125:11;
equal (1)	85:1,18;93:13;95:4,8;96:5;	exhibit (144)	127:18;183:19;187:13;
97:14	99:22;105:3;106:23;107:2;	15:14;23:1;50:6,15,20;	196:4;197:17;199:20
equally (1)	109:4;110:8;124:20;136:6;	53:24;55:14,17;59:19,20;	explained (6)
39:22	139:8;140:13;141:23;143:5;	60:22;63:2,17;64:16;66:9;	32:6,15,22;41:21;109:11;
equate (1)	200:14,17;201:3;202:11;	69:23;72:17,19,21;73:20,23;	199:19
184:17 equivalent (2)	208:18;214:22;228:17; 229:12,14,16;230:3,5	80:7;83:8,17,18;84:5;85:7, 22;86:4;93:13;95:8,9;96:24;	explaining (1) 130:20
55:23;185:9	evident (2)	99:3,13,22,23;100:7;101:10;	explains (1)
error (3)	32:10;65:25	104:21;105:3,4;106:1,13;	39:11
29:17;30:14,15	exactly (7)	110:8,9;111:3,4,21;112:6;	explanation (1)
ESPA (34)	64:17;90:20;103:1;	114:12;119:21,21;120:8;	213:20
52:24;55:21;70:3;74:17,	118:11;121:6;185:4;232:10	122:21;125:8;130:8;131:5;	explore (2)
25;78:24;79:2,17,24;80:4,	Examination (26)	135:24;136:4;138:23;139:5,	26:12,18
17;90:24;92:25;102:21;	7:4,5,8;18:18;20:2;21:1;	20;141:17,23,24;142:4,5,8,9,	exploring (1)
110:15,18;161:25;162:2,3;	47:8;63:12;66:18;69:20;	11,15,18;143:5,6,8,17;146:5;	26:16
173:20,24;174:19;184:5;	72:6;83:14;86:1;99:10;	148:22;149:11;150:6;152:4,	expound (1)
188:20,21;189:21;190:2;	100:1;132:12;134:23;144:1;	6,19,21;157:10;159:4,9,19;	54:11
198:20;200:7;202:15,16; 203:24;219:17,19	164:23;175:16;183:10; 189:8;192:1;194:12;209:20;	162:14;163:10;165:4,6,8; 166:18;167:24;168:14,17;	express (2) 155:13;156:18
especially (3)	222:21	169:3,9,11,25;170:13,24;	extended (1)
75:23;119:14;125:14	examine (7)	171:8,16,25;173:11;174:8,	131:7
essence (1)	20:23,25;21:2;32:17,19;	14,15;175:19;177:13;	extensive (1)
174:4	47:3;72:13	185:20,22;186:4;189:11;	133:22
essentially (13)	examined (1)	197:4,8;201:11;202:22;	extent (6)
72:16;76:15,23,23;77:6;	22:4	204:11,14,15;208:5,18,20;	21:24;74:24;75:7;148:25;
88:17;89:14;118:6,16;	examines (1)	214:21;215:1,4;218:1,4,13,	201:22;220:9
119:11;121:25;126:17;	21:7	16;221:3;222:6;228:13,18,	extra (1)
187:18	example (12)	19;229:1,10,13;230:2,23	183:1
establish (3)	53:24;90:10;103:2;	EXHIBITS (46)	extract (1)
42:5;92:6;107:10	120:24;122:7,14;125:7;	7:11;15:22,24;16:4;17:6,	67:20
et (1) 13:9	127:15;133:16;184:5; 188:13;229:15	15;22:8,10,11,12,13,17,19, 20,23;50:2,3;51:20,22;52:8,	extracted (1)
evaluate (4)	188:13;229:15 examples (1)	20,23;50:2,3;51:20,22;52:8, 10;53:15,16;59:17;111:3;	67:3 extrinsic (1)
Crainate (7)	Campies (1)	10,55.15,10,57.17,111.5,	CALITISIC (1)

32:23	15:1	34:9;136:23	122:21;204:12;205:15,23
F	<b>feel (5)</b> 18:12;134:2;202:19;	<b>fine (5)</b> 19:9;46:2;110:1;146:19;	flow (5) 54:18,19;56:18;82:15;
<b>.</b>	211:12,18	219:25	88:25
face (7)	feet (3)	finish (4)	fluctuations (1)
31:22;32:10;33:13;65:25;	80:3;108:18;150:12	21:22;176:9;226:25;	124:1
66:6,7;106:22	fell (2)	227:13	focus (2)
fact (17)	78:3;117:11	finished (2)	41:25;49:1
31:16;32:22;34:9;35:7,16;	felt (2)	37:22;228:2	focused (1)
45:17;69:8;92:17;115:19;	24:5;210:3	first (40)	102:10
138:21;142:13;145:15;	few (19)	9:20;11:10;12:15;20:4;	folks (5)
154:2;167:15;178:19; 208:12;221:14	14:24;19:17;22:5;63:8; 82:4;105:25;107:22;134:16;	32:6,17;36:11;39:9;42:13; 46:8,10;50:18;58:1;72:18;	18:12;29:18;201:20; 211:9;232:16
fact-finding (1)	157:3;164:25;165:14;174:1,	78:1;80:10;83:22;94:13;	follow (6)
26:1	24;176:18;183:6;184:5;	97:24;111:8;126:21;135:5,8,	15:19;21:19;106:2;189:5;
factored (4)	188:11;205:15;222:18	10;136:16;138:16;145:17;	215:18;221:16
180:14;181:8,25;182:1	field (2)	146:9,17;147:1,3,8;163:12;	following (10)
factors (1)	88:24;187:11	165:4,14;166:1;197:7;	58:18;164:5,13;176:12,23;
100:5	fifth (2)	205:12;212:25;213:18	205:19,20;206:4;226:3,8
facts (4)	216:3,6	fit (1)	follows (3)
26:12;32:12;66:2;136:24	figure (12)	214:12	21:8;46:20;194:3
<b>factual (3)</b> 27:7,14;30:12	33:14;38:11;40:15;58:21; 82:22;100:4;106:20;108:5;	<b>five (2)</b> 93:6;123:14	<b>follow-up (4)</b> 159:1;164:25;191:21;
failed (3)	109:14;113:7;201:6;210:14	93.0,123.14 five-year (15)	208:22
130:2;165:23;185:19	figured (7)	101:25;102:4,12;103:6,9,	foot (2)
Fair (8)	57:6,11,20;86:25;91:9,16;	21;104:10,16;126:1;129:3;	74:12;203:19
46:3;114:14;143:8,18;	145:23	145:16;162:15,23;163:1;	footnote (2)
151:5;160:11;213:7;219:18	figures (1)	216:15	111:16,20
fairly (2)	102:14	fixed (1)	footnotes (1)
80:20;167:11	figuring (2)	25:18	111:15
faith (1)	90:4;145:4	flat (1)	force (1)
104:15 <b>fall (2)</b>	file (2) 8:1;232:18	70:14 <b>Fletcher (126)</b>	167:5 forever (1)
55:9;86:12	6.1,232.16 filed (5)	7:6;13:10,10;20:10;21:14,	119:12
fallow (1)	16:5;17:1,22;132:1;231:10	17;26:25;27:1;31:7,19;	forge (1)
119:15	files (3)	34:21,22;37:20;39:6,10;	193:12
fallowing (1)	15:12;130:5,18	40:8;42:6;43:23;51:11,16,	form (2)
192:16	fill (1)	18;52:2,3,4,11;61:6;62:19,	43:1;56:18
FALLS (17)	82:1	24;63:2,4,7,13;64:13,14;	formally (1)
2:6,10;14:14,16;61:23;	final (27)	83:9,10,15;84:8,10,13,17;	51:12
161:16;162:4;194:22; 205:25;206:14,18,19,23;	15:25;29:20,22;34:2;	85:14,15;93:14,15,23;94:5; 99:5,6,11,15;104:23,24;	format (2)
207:23;208:3;220:13;225:11	37:14;83:22;84:6,19;85:2; 97:4,25;120:18;135:16,20;	105:16;106:21;108:22;	66:21;203:8 <b>formed (1)</b>
Falls-Aberdeen (5)	136:11;141:1;147:18;151:7,	110:22;124:14,15;131:14;	204:7
49:14;115:7;154:19;	18,22;160:2;163:4;165:10;	134:21,24,25;135:2;139:13,	forms (1)
195:15;209:3	173:16;208:23;229:13,13	16,19;140:9,22;141:16,25;	35:8
familiar (10)	finalization (1)	142:17,23,25;143:7,12,17;	forth (12)
59:4;66:21;87:15;109:18;	135:13	144:19,22;148:10,25;149:4;	23:16;64:22;115:11;
110:7;117:9;145:25;146:4;	finalize (2)	156:24;165:2,14;169:4,7,16;	119:22;136:24;146:14;
161:4,18 <b>familiarity (2)</b>	98:3;113:2 <b>finalized (5)</b>	176:18,22;179:6;189:1,3; 190:9,11,14;191:17;199:1;	153:1,4;191:1;210:25;219:1, 6
52:23;75:12	82:7;97:21,22;147:20;	200:13,19;202:10;208:6,7,	forward (9)
far (17)	160:5	16;210:16;211:23;212:9,22;	17:24;24:7;43:24;46:12;
20:9;27:21;36:7;37:10;	finally (3)	213:11;214:17,20;217:15;	163:25;205:15;222:5;
81:1,24;114:17;128:6;145:2;	29:18;97:16;133:19	218:19;222:23;223:17;	223:24;225:12
146:17;153:10;162:24;	<b>find</b> (7)	224:9,10;227:16,21;229:2;	found (2)
163:16;172:17;182:6;	31:17;35:19;106:14;	230:9,13,15,24;231:1,8	15:23;31:23
184:14;216:22	124:8;186:19;196:18;205:2	Fletcher's (2)	foundation (11)
favor (2)	finder (4)	42:2;191:22	61:9;65:19;68:16,24;
45:25;231:5 <b>February (1)</b>	31:16;32:23;45:17;69:8 <b>finding (3)</b>	flexibility (1) 210:13	107:10;110:23,25;139:14; 143:11,20;144:16
2:23	31:20;65:21;160:14	flip (8)	foundational (2)
federal (1)	findings (2)	71:9;80:6;114:12;119:21;	61:11;65:17
Min II Sovint®		Panarting Carviga	(242) foco foundational

130:15;131:3

hardpan (1)

<b>four (3)</b> 22:9;33:18;157:11
fourth (2)
207:5;216:17
four-year (3)
216:1,2,16
frame (5)
26:13;44:9;84:24;196:12;
197:22
Fremont-Madison (1)
207:15
front (8)
8:14;43:20;49:25;60:6;
142:4;176:3,3;201:2
fulfill (2)
196:17;221:15
full (4)
18:10;26:4;41:4;48:14
further (30)
26:24;32:22;37:25;38:3;
41:13;44:23;51:11;93:21;
99:20;105:23;129:12;131:2;
132:4,6;134:19;143:21; 157:2;175:11;179:7;183:10;
188:25;189:8;190:13;192:1;
193:6;209:10;213:19;
217:15;224:5,7
future (7)
28:20;41:9,10;156:22;
220:7;223:16,24
G
G
gains (3)
156:15;173:3,3
gallery (1)
15:6
gaps (1)
82:14
Gary (2)
2:24;8:24
gather (2)
53:10;81:21
gave (3)
81:21;82:8;134:5
Gehlert (1)
15:2
general (12)
48:8;49:1,22;59:12;62:1;
82:2;98:8;115:25;117:7;
118:14;188:19;197:22
generally (4)
16:20;49:4;79:23;196:4 generate (1)

```
67:9;120:24;151:13
giving (1)
  117:5
goal (7)
  30:1,2;128:20;156:12;
  167:4;226:24;227:1
goals (1)
  156:20
goes (10)
  16:9;21:2;27:22;64:10,16;
  95:20:130:23:184:9,14;
  213:25
good (18)
  9:16,19;12:25;49:25;53:3;
  54:16,23;80:20;104:15,18;
  105:8;133:21;138:22;
  195:21;197:1;202:21;209:9;
  217:21
good-faith (1)
  124:8
Gotcha (1)
  118:8
government (1)
  15:1
grant (1)
  42:22
granted (3)
  34:10;42:18;221:1
graph (3)
  160:19;161:3,6
Great (5)
  11:9;12:18;13:4;75:13;
  188:10
greater (5)
  103:11;114:2;122:9;
  123:10;183:19
greatly (1)
  116:17
green (6)
  9:13;102:3;120:20;121:8;
  122:23;123:6
grieved (1)
  42:20
Ground (24)
  12:23;17:22;19:16;44:5;
  49:14,16;50:9;65:15;89:14;
  111:16,20;115:7;119:15;
  139:22;148:19;173:2;180:6,
  14;181:23;184:6;185:13;
  195:15;201:21;206:19
grounds (4)
  65:4;103:25;210:20;212:6
Groundwater (129)
  14:18,21;38:12,21,21,24,
  25;39:2;40:13,16,18;48:3,6,
  15;49:3,8,10,13,13,15;52:18,
  25;53:11;55:6,20,23;58:25;
  61:23,25;64:2;67:9;70:2;
  71:22;74:18,20;76:13,14;
  78:14,21;79:10,14;80:5;
  81:9;82:5,7;91:19;97:10;
```

```
143:10;144:25;145:4;
  146:13,15;147:13,16;
  151:15:154:14:155:14:
  156:9,17;157:25;158:9,17;
  159:6;160:9,10;165:17;
  166:2,23;173:23,25;174:3,
  18;175:1,7,8;178:8;179:16,
  24,25;181:17,23;186:17;
  188:14,21;189:16,25;190:1;
  191:4;192:12;197:20,23;
  198:12,19;200:11;201:14;
  203:20,23,25;208:2,25;
  209:3;210:14;215:20;
  216:13;217:13;218:9;219:1,
  3,5,6,14,19;220:7,8,10,11;
  226:1
group (3)
  54:6;140:16;221:20
grow (4)
  71:5;185:2;188:1;209:5
guess (28)
  20:6,25;22:1;45:9;57:12;
  60:4;63:7;85:13;95:2;
  103:23;104:1;108:17;109:6;
  129:11;133:12;157:10;
  158:22;163:24;181:13;
  182:15,25;186:20;216:14;
  218:20;219:11;221:8;225:5,
  25
guidance (1)
  27:6
guy (1)
  129:11
guvs (2)
  105:15;192:19
GWD (1)
  128:5
             H
Hagerman (1)
  173:8
half (1)
  12:16
```

```
hand (5)
  18:13;46:15;85:4;93:19;
   193:23
handed (1)
  43:7
handle (1)
  217:8
hands (1)
  26:6
happen (3)
  128:18;180:11;216:4
happened (3)
  164:7;192:7,21
happy (1)
  231:11
harbor (1)
  221:1
hard (5)
  13:24;22:22;126:20;
```

```
188:15
Harris (4)
  11:14,15;14:15,15
Hathaway (1)
  35:5
head (2)
  153:23:159:7
headings (2)
  191:11,13
healthy (1)
  71:5
hear (9)
  9:18;10:1,1,10,22;30:8,12;
  37:17;221:21
heard (3)
  41:23;58:1;221:25
hearing (280)
  2:22;8:2,6,11,19;9:2,7,12,
  19;10:9,12,24;11:3,6,9,12,
  17,21,23,25;12:3,9,18;13:4,
  11,16,23;14:1,3,11,14,17,22;
  15:17;16:14,16,19;17:2,11,
  13,23;18:3,16;19:6;20:1,8,
  22;21:4,15,18,21;22:17;23:4,
  19,23,25;24:10,14,21;25:3,8,
  11;26:1,3,4,9,16,17,24;27:4;
  28:2,6,14,22,25;30:3,18;
  31:4;33:22;34:10,20;37:20;
  38:3;40:6,10,21;41:6,12,22;
  42:17,19,22,24;44:3,14,18,
  20,22;45:9,11,21;46:4,8,12,
  15,22;47:1;51:15,24;52:3,5,
  7,11;57:16,23;58:2,6;61:10,
  13;63:10;64:11;65:8,16;
  66:12,19;68:9,19;69:2,17;
  72:1,5,8;83:9,12;84:12;85:6,
  10,16,23;93:14,20;94:13;
  95:10;96:1,4,7;99:5,8,18,21,
  24;104:3,23,25;105:2,5,11,
  17,20;106:25;107:9;108:24;
  109:6,17;110:6;111:1;
  112:10;124:14,18;130:6,10,
  13;131:1,12,15,20;132:4,9;
  134:20,25;139:2,4,11,14;
  140:6,12;141:18,20,22;
  142:19;143:2,4,13,23;
  144:15,17;148:7;149:3;
  157:1;158:25;164:19;165:6,
  9;175:12;176:2,16,24;179:7;
  183:5,11;188:23;189:2,4;
  190:7,9;191:18,20;193:5,8,
  10,15,18,22;194:5,9;199:7,
  16;200:18;202:12;208:6,11,
  17;209:11,15;210:21;212:8,
  24;213:13,16,22,25;214:6,
  16;217:17;221:12,16,23;
  222:16;223:20;224:6,8,11,
  14;226:12,15,18,22;227:9,
  19,23;228:10,22,25;229:3,7,
  22;230:1,7,12,14,16,18,20,
  22;231:3,11,15,20;232:4,12
```

27:6;56:12;61:2;62:12,23;

127:19 geographical (1)

116:19

geologic (1)

geology (1)

47:19

given (8)

48:4

98:4;114:20,21,23;115:5,6,8,

9,19;133:11;136:21;141:13;

hearings (1)	holds (1)	23;39:14,15,16,25;40:1,2,9,	123:18
227:3	41:3	11,12;41:8,19;45:5,11,13;	immediately (3)
heart (1)	honestly (1)	46:10,19;48:20,23,25;51:9,	59:6;96:18;149:16
95:20	221:19	23;52:16,21;53:7;55:7,10;	impact (3)
heat (1)	Honor (10)	57:6,20;58:16;59:1,7,11;	56:20;78:25;80:1
89:14	16:3;18:19;21:13,16;61:6;	60:1,9;64:3,15,18;67:14;	impacting (1)
heavily (2)	93:16;106:21;202:10;	69:12;73:3;74:1,23;75:6;	92:2
116:14;156:1	210:16;211:23	76:5;79:22;80:5,10;82:21,	impacts (2)
<b>HELD</b> (6)	hope (1)	24;83:19;84:22;86:22,24;	48:7;110:15
2:4;35:13;45:5;100:10;	13:20	87:10,18;88:2;90:4;91:8,16;	imperative (1)
117:10;219:5	hopefully (4)	92:2;94:9;96:9,10;97:5,16;	24:15
help (9)	12:10;28:6;78:5;194:17	99:17;101:24;102:5;103:12,	imperfect (2)
8:22;18:20;38:5;39:10;	hoping (1)	25;104:12;107:24;111:10;	81:22,23
65:6;86:22;115:11;221:19;	12:11	113:4,19,22;114:3;120:6,15;	implement (14)
228:11	hour (5)	122:16;124:6;125:20;127:6;	33:10;52:20;53:1;86:23;
helped (1)	8:2;12:17,17;105:7,13	132:22;134:4;136:10,20;	90:5;109:15;115:12;116:24;
201:20			
	house (1)	137:2,19;138:13,17,21;	119:17;133:9,13,25;187:8;
helpful (6)	127:22	140:16;142:1,13;143:9,19;	210:5
81:19;91:1;117:2;197:1;	housed (2)	144:24;145:3,7,10,23;146:1;	implementation (28)
213:19;214:7	74:3;76:15	147:25;148:11,14;149:6;	27:23;49:2;52:22;53:9,20;
Higgs (50)	housekeeping (2)	150:1,20;151:15;155:11;	54:7;55:12;57:4;58:10,15;
46:11,12,14,18;47:4,16;	22:6;129:13	156:14;157:25;160:6;	75:10;86:10;87:13;88:4;
50:13;52:14;57:18;58:6;	hundreds (1)	162:20;164:3;165:16;166:2;	91:10;96:21;120:7;124:12;
61:14;66:3,10,16,20;67:22;	159:22	167:8;171:18;172:7,20;	134:6;139:22;140:14,16;
68:7,16,21;69:22;72:15;	hydrogeologist (1)	177:19,21;179:20;181:6;	210:13;211:1,22;212:20;
96:8;100:3;103:25;104:4;	47:25	182:21;187:4;188:5;193:21;	214:8;229:11
105:25;107:6,12;109:11,20;	hydrology (1)	194:2,24;195:1,5,10,22,25;	implemented (6)
110:11;126:22;127:8;135:3;	47:20	197:16;199:22;200:7;202:2,	29:9;43:5;104:20;123:23;
144:3;149:1;157:7;183:5,12;	.,,20	8;203:2,13;204:4;205:22;	133:20;195:12
193:8;194:17;198:15;	I	206:17,22,25;207:17,22;	implementing (2)
199:12,19;200:4;202:1;	-	208:8,8;211:2;216:23;217:3,	95:20;107:6
208:25;209:23;225:4;228:18	ice (1)	3;218:3,7;222:12;228:19;	important (4)
200.23,207.23,223.4,220.10	100 (17)	3,210.3,7,222.12,220.17,	miportant (+)
Higgs' (1)	46:13	232:3	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3
<b>Higgs' (1)</b> 105:10	46:13 <b>IDAHO (30)</b>	232:3 IGWA'S (48)	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1)
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1)	46:13 <b>IDAHO (30)</b> 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14,	232:3 <b>IGWA'S (48)</b> 2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3;	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5	46:13 <b>IDAHO (30)</b> 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14;	232:3 <b>IGWA'S (48)</b> 2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24;	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2)
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4)	46:13 <b>IDAHO (30)</b> 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21;	232:3 <b>IGWA'S (48)</b> 2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17,	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21;	46:13 <b>IDAHO (30)</b> 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6;	232:3 <b>IGWA'S</b> (48) 2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17;	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2)
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5	46:13 <b>IDAHO (30)</b> 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2;	232:3 <b>IGWA'S</b> (48) 2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14;	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1)	46:13 <b>IDAHO (30)</b> 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22	232:3 <b>IGWA'S</b> (48) 2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3;	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1)
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4	46:13 <b>IDAHO (30)</b> 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 <b>idea (9)</b>	232:3 <b>IGWA'S (48)</b> 2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1;	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1)	46:13 <b>IDAHO (30)</b> 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 <b>idea (9)</b> 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11;	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12;	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3)
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18	46:13  IDAHO (30) 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9) 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19;	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6)	46:13  IDAHO (30) 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9) 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1)	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20;	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3)
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8;	46:13  IDAHO (30)  2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9)  28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17;	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14	46:13  IDAHO (30) 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9) 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1)	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2)
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8;	46:13  IDAHO (30)  2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9)  28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1) 128:7	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25  IGWA-Surface (3)	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2) 195:1,16
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14	46:13  IDAHO (30) 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9) 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1)	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2)
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14 historical (1)	46:13  IDAHO (30)  2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9)  28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1) 128:7	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25  IGWA-Surface (3)	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2) 195:1,16
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14 historical (1) 93:4	46:13  IDAHO (30) 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9) 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1) 128:7 identified (9)	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25  IGWA-Surface (3) 54:5;146:25;195:11	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2) 195:1,16 include (13)
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14 historical (1) 93:4 historically (1) 56:17	46:13  IDAHO (30) 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9) 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1) 128:7 identified (9) 25:15,25;30:23;162:15;	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25  IGWA-Surface (3) 54:5;146:25;195:11 IGWA-SWC (2) 50:12,18	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2) 195:1,16 include (13) 102:20;110:12,15;129:4; 130:18;155:8;162:2;173:20,
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14 historical (1) 93:4 historically (1) 56:17 history (3)	46:13  IDAHO (30) 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9) 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1) 128:7 identified (9) 25:15,25;30:23;162:15; 163:21;218:4,8;219:12; 230:23	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25  IGWA-Surface (3) 54:5;146:25;195:11  IGWA-SWC (2) 50:12,18  illustrate (3)	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2) 195:1,16 include (13) 102:20;110:12,15;129:4;
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14 historical (1) 93:4 historically (1) 56:17 history (3) 33:1;45:1;211:7	46:13  IDAHO (30) 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9) 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1) 128:7 identified (9) 25:15,25;30:23;162:15; 163:21;218:4,8;219:12;	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25  IGWA-Surface (3) 54:5;146:25;195:11  IGWA-SWC (2) 50:12,18  illustrate (3) 101:20;102:8;124:16	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2) 195:1,16 include (13) 102:20;110:12,15;129:4; 130:18;155:8;162:2;173:20, 22;174:22;175:6;178:12; 207:23
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14 historical (1) 93:4 historically (1) 56:17 history (3) 33:1;45:1;211:7 Hmm (1)	46:13  IDAHO (30) 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9) 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1) 128:7 identified (9) 25:15,25;30:23;162:15; 163:21;218:4,8;219:12; 230:23 identify (1) 47:4	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25  IGWA-Surface (3) 54:5;146:25;195:11  IGWA-SWC (2) 50:12,18  illustrate (3) 101:20;102:8;124:16  illustrates (1)	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2) 195:1,16 include (13) 102:20;110:12,15;129:4; 130:18;155:8;162:2;173:20, 22;174:22;175:6;178:12; 207:23 included (28)
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14 historical (1) 93:4 historically (1) 56:17 history (3) 33:1;45:1;211:7 Hmm (1) 66:24	46:13  IDAHO (30) 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9) 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1) 128:7 identified (9) 25:15,25;30:23;162:15; 163:21;218:4,8;219:12; 230:23 identify (1) 47:4 identifying (1)	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25  IGWA-Surface (3) 54:5;146:25;195:11  IGWA-SWC (2) 50:12,18  illustrate (3) 101:20;102:8;124:16  illustrates (1) 101:21	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2) 195:1,16 include (13) 102:20;110:12,15;129:4; 130:18;155:8;162:2;173:20, 22;174:22;175:6;178:12; 207:23 included (28) 28:12;67:10;68:23;74:22;
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14 historical (1) 93:4 historically (1) 56:17 history (3) 33:1;45:1;211:7 Hmm (1) 66:24 hold (3)	46:13  IDAHO (30) 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9) 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1) 128:7 identified (9) 25:15,25;30:23;162:15; 163:21;218:4,8;219:12; 230:23 identify (1) 47:4 identifying (1) 17:15	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25  IGWA-Surface (3) 54:5;146:25;195:11 IGWA-SWC (2) 50:12,18 illustrate (3) 101:20;102:8;124:16 illustrates (1) 101:21 illustration (1)	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2) 195:1,16 include (13) 102:20;110:12,15;129:4; 130:18;155:8;162:2;173:20, 22;174:22;175:6;178:12; 207:23 included (28) 28:12;67:10;68:23;74:22; 75:19;78:17,18,23,24;79:4;
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14 historical (1) 93:4 historically (1) 56:17 history (3) 33:1;45:1;211:7 Hmm (1) 66:24 hold (3) 23:23;42:19;150:24	46:13  IDAHO (30) 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9) 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1) 128:7 identified (9) 25:15,25;30:23;162:15; 163:21;218:4,8;219:12; 230:23 identify (1) 47:4 identifying (1) 17:15 IDWR (2)	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25  IGWA-Surface (3) 54:5;146:25;195:11  IGWA-SWC (2) 50:12,18  illustrate (3) 101:20;102:8;124:16  illustrates (1) 101:21 illustration (1) 120:14	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2) 195:1,16 include (13) 102:20;110:12,15;129:4; 130:18;155:8;162:2;173:20, 22;174:22;175:6;178:12; 207:23 included (28) 28:12;67:10;68:23;74:22; 75:19;78:17,18,23,24;79:4; 91:22;102:17,18;106:7;
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14 historical (1) 93:4 historically (1) 56:17 history (3) 33:1;45:1;211:7 Hmm (1) 66:24 hold (3) 23:23;42:19;150:24 holder (4)	46:13  IDAHO (30) 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9) 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1) 128:7 identified (9) 25:15,25;30:23;162:15; 163:21;218:4,8;219:12; 230:23 identify (1) 47:4 identifying (1) 17:15 IDWR (2) 2:6,13	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25  IGWA-Surface (3) 54:5;146:25;195:11  IGWA-SWC (2) 50:12,18  illustrate (3) 101:20;102:8;124:16  illustrates (1) 101:21 illustration (1) 120:14 image (1)	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2) 195:1,16 include (13) 102:20;110:12,15;129:4; 130:18;155:8;162:2;173:20, 22;174:22;175:6;178:12; 207:23 included (28) 28:12;67:10;68:23;74:22; 75:19;78:17,18,23,24;79:4; 91:22;102:17,18;106:7; 113:12;126:5;129:24;130:5,
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14 historical (1) 93:4 historically (1) 56:17 history (3) 33:1;45:1;211:7 Hmm (1) 66:24 hold (3) 23:23;42:19;150:24 holder (4) 146:12;147:11;157:20;	46:13  IDAHO (30) 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9) 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1) 128:7 identified (9) 25:15,25;30:23;162:15; 163:21;218:4,8;219:12; 230:23 identify (1) 47:4 identifying (1) 17:15 IDWR (2) 2:6,13 IGWA (194)	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25  IGWA-Surface (3) 54:5;146:25;195:11  IGWA-SWC (2) 50:12,18  illustrate (3) 101:20;102:8;124:16  illustration (1) 120:14 image (1) 79:2	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2) 195:1,16 include (13) 102:20;110:12,15;129:4; 130:18;155:8;162:2;173:20, 22;174:22;175:6;178:12; 207:23 included (28) 28:12;67:10;68:23;74:22; 75:19;78:17,18,23,24;79:4; 91:22;102:17,18;106:7; 113:12;126:5;129:24;130:5, 22;133:6,10;147:21,22;
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14 historical (1) 93:4 historically (1) 56:17 history (3) 33:1;45:1;211:7 Hmm (1) 66:24 hold (3) 23:23;42:19;150:24 holder (4) 146:12;147:11;157:20; 218:25	46:13  IDAHO (30) 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9) 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1) 128:7 identified (9) 25:15,25;30:23;162:15; 163:21;218:4,8;219:12; 230:23 identify (1) 47:4 identifying (1) 17:15 IDWR (2) 2:6,13 IGWA (194) 13:1,3;16:6;17:23;19:9,25;	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25  IGWA-Surface (3) 54:5;146:25;195:11  IGWA-SWC (2) 50:12,18 illustrate (3) 101:20;102:8;124:16 illustration (1) 120:14 image (1) 79:2 imagery (1)	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2) 195:1,16 include (13) 102:20;110:12,15;129:4; 130:18;155:8;162:2;173:20, 22;174:22;175:6;178:12; 207:23 included (28) 28:12;67:10;68:23;74:22; 75:19;78:17,18,23,24;79:4; 91:22;102:17,18;106:7; 113:12;126:5;129:24;130:5, 22;133:6,10;147:21,22; 149:20;151:1;174:3,6;
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14 historical (1) 93:4 historically (1) 56:17 history (3) 33:1;45:1;211:7 Hmm (1) 66:24 hold (3) 23:23;42:19;150:24 holder (4) 146:12;147:11;157:20; 218:25 holders (1)	46:13  IDAHO (30) 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9) 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1) 128:7 identified (9) 25:15,25;30:23;162:15; 163:21;218:4,8;219:12; 230:23 identify (1) 47:4 identifying (1) 17:15 IDWR (2) 2:6,13 IGWA (194) 13:1,3;16:6;17:23;19:9,25; 20:16,16,23;22:18;23:11;	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25  IGWA-Surface (3) 54:5;146:25;195:11  IGWA-SWC (2) 50:12,18 illustrate (3) 101:20;102:8;124:16 illustrates (1) 101:21 illustration (1) 120:14 image (1) 79:2 imagery (1) 89:13	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2) 195:1,16 include (13) 102:20;110:12,15;129:4; 130:18;155:8;162:2;173:20, 22;174:22;175:6;178:12; 207:23 included (28) 28:12;67:10;68:23;74:22; 75:19;78:17,18,23,24;79:4; 91:22;102:17,18;106:7; 113:12;126:5;129:24;130:5, 22;133:6,10;147:21,22; 149:20;151:1;174:3,6; 178:20
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14 historical (1) 93:4 historically (1) 56:17 history (3) 33:1;45:1;211:7 Hmm (1) 66:24 hold (3) 23:23;42:19;150:24 holder (4) 146:12;147:11;157:20; 218:25 holders (1) 62:8	46:13  IDAHO (30)  2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9)  28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1) 128:7 identified (9) 25:15,25;30:23;162:15; 163:21;218:4,8;219:12; 230:23 identify (1) 47:4 identifying (1) 17:15 IDWR (2) 2:6,13 IGWA (194) 13:1,3;16:6;17:23;19:9,25; 20:16,16,23;22:18;23:11; 25:22;26:10,15;30:23;31:6;	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25  IGWA-Surface (3) 54:5;146:25;195:11  IGWA-SWC (2) 50:12,18  illustrate (3) 101:20;102:8;124:16  illustrates (1) 101:21  illustration (1) 120:14  image (1) 79:2  imagery (1) 89:13  images (1)	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2) 195:1,16 include (13) 102:20;110:12,15;129:4; 130:18;155:8;162:2;173:20, 22;174:22;175:6;178:12; 207:23 included (28) 28:12;67:10;68:23;74:22; 75:19;78:17,18,23,24;79:4; 91:22;102:17,18;106:7; 113:12;126:5;129:24;130:5, 22;133:6,10;147:21,22; 149:20;151:1;174:3,6; 178:20 includes (5)
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14 historicall (1) 93:4 historically (1) 56:17 history (3) 33:1;45:1;211:7 Hmm (1) 66:24 hold (3) 23:23;42:19;150:24 holder (4) 146:12;147:11;157:20; 218:25 holders (1) 62:8 holding (1)	46:13  IDAHO (30) 2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9) 28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1) 128:7 identified (9) 25:15,25;30:23;162:15; 163:21;218:4,8;219:12; 230:23 identify (1) 47:4 identifying (1) 17:15 IDWR (2) 2:6,13 IGWA (194) 13:1,3;16:6;17:23;19:9,25; 20:16,16,23;22:18;23:11; 25:22;26:10,15;30:23;31:6; 33:14,25;34:3,8;35:1;36:6,9,	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25  IGWA-Surface (3) 54:5;146:25;195:11  IGWA-SWC (2) 50:12,18  illustrate (3) 101:20;102:8;124:16  illustrates (1) 101:21  illustration (1) 120:14  image (1) 79:2  imagery (1) 89:13  images (1) 11:8	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2) 195:1,16 include (13) 102:20;110:12,15;129:4; 130:18;155:8;162:2;173:20, 22;174:22;175:6;178:12; 207:23 included (28) 28:12;67:10;68:23;74:22; 75:19;78:17,18,23,24;79:4; 91:22;102:17,18;106:7; 113:12;126:5;129:24;130:5, 22;133:6,10;147:21,22; 149:20;151:1;174:3,6; 178:20 includes (5) 33:18;78:11;150:12;
Higgs' (1) 105:10 high (1) 119:5 higher (4) 103:11;118:12;184:21; 185:5 himself (1) 47:4 hindsight (1) 123:18 historic (6) 56:17;81:22;97:9;101:8; 104:17;116:14 historical (1) 93:4 historically (1) 56:17 history (3) 33:1;45:1;211:7 Hmm (1) 66:24 hold (3) 23:23;42:19;150:24 holder (4) 146:12;147:11;157:20; 218:25 holders (1) 62:8	46:13  IDAHO (30)  2:1,22;8:25;12:23;14:14, 16;17:21;31:24;32:6,8,14; 35:4,5;42:18;47:17,20,21; 50:9;61:22;65:11,24;69:6; 74:15;86:12;106:23;107:2; 111:16,20;148:19;194:22 idea (9)  28:3;59:12;80:11;144:11; 180:2;220:15,20,22,24 ideas (1) 115:10 identification (1) 128:7 identified (9) 25:15,25;30:23;162:15; 163:21;218:4,8;219:12; 230:23 identify (1) 47:4 identifying (1) 17:15 IDWR (2) 2:6,13 IGWA (194) 13:1,3;16:6;17:23;19:9,25; 20:16,16,23;22:18;23:11; 25:22;26:10,15;30:23;31:6;	232:3  IGWA'S (48)  2:13;7:14;18:7;20:3; 22:11;32:2;33:6;35:24; 36:17;38:6,7,17;39:3;40:17, 24;41:4,15,21;56:1;59:17; 64:24;69:13;79:16;103:14; 104:1,8;109:23;110:3; 119:20;120:18;125:1; 135:19;139:9;141:12; 144:13;149:8;159:6,19; 166:9;168:22;170:9,20; 171:1,4,12;172:2;230:17; 231:25  IGWA-Surface (3) 54:5;146:25;195:11  IGWA-SWC (2) 50:12,18  illustrate (3) 101:20;102:8;124:16  illustrates (1) 101:21  illustration (1) 120:14  image (1) 79:2  imagery (1) 89:13  images (1)	94:12;95:25;202:2;224:3 impracticality (1) 26:6 impression (2) 81:21;210:19 improper (2) 29:14;30:3 improperly (1) 61:1 improving (3) 82:18;128:1;156:15 Inc (3) 12:24;50:10;68:7 inception (2) 195:1,16 include (13) 102:20;110:12,15;129:4; 130:18;155:8;162:2;173:20, 22;174:22;175:6;178:12; 207:23 included (28) 28:12;67:10;68:23;74:22; 75:19;78:17,18,23,24;79:4; 91:22;102:17,18;106:7; 113:12;126:5;129:24;130:5, 22;133:6,10;147:21,22; 149:20;151:1;174:3,6; 178:20 includes (5)

including (5) instead (1) intervening (1) 27:7;37:15;43:21;44:13; 32:18;43:16;67:25; 41:4 18:22 198:4 109:12:208:3 instruct (3) into (81) issues (15) 24:9;101:1;115:13 17:7;22:20;23:6,13;27:18, 19:1;25:25;26:11,13,14, inclusion (2) 108:21;110:4 instructed (1) 24;28:14;29:16,25;30:5,15; 16,18;30:7,22;71:16;73:4; incomplete (2) 164:11 32:18;37:18;38:8;39:25; 75:16;87:18;93:19;231:8 81:7,22 instrument (13) 42:10;50:7;51:13;52:8; issuing (5) inconsistent (1) 32:10,11,18,19,21,24;33:1, 23:24,25;24:1,15;34:1 56:20;68:12;85:12,18;93:13; 95:4,8;96:5;99:3,22;104:21; italics (1) 90:1 4,13;66:1,2,6,8 incorporate (1) instrumental (1) 186:10 105:3;109:4;110:7;115:25; 56:20 196:24 116:4,21,22;124:20;127:12; item (10) incorporated (2) instruments (1) 128:19;130:23;136:6; 86:15;87:3,20;88:8,16; 32:18;47:18 89:15 137:14;139:8;140:13; 90:18;91:12,19;129:13; incorporating (1) integration (1) 141:23;143:5;148:11;149:5, 203:18 151:12 36:14 6;150:4;151:6;160:9,10; items (3) incorrect (2) intend (6) 161:13;167:5;173:2;180:14, 22:6;95:4;222:19 26:12,18;40:9;109:3; 81:8;82:16 16;181:25;184:7,7,9;185:12, J 179:21;227:21 13,14;197:12;201:3;203:6; increase (6) 128:11;178:7,15,16;182:5; intended (2) 208:18;214:21;221:14; 222:3;223:24;228:17;229:5, 187:12 25:20;31:17 January (1) indicate (1) intending (1) 12,14,16;230:3,5 23:9 66:22 24:22 introduce (2) **Jaxon (25)** indicated (1) intends (3) 13:6:15:6 46:11,14,18;47:10,13,16; 211:6 20:13;26:15;34:4 invited (2) 51:7;68:7;86:3;106:1;111:2; individual (17) intent (4) 23:17;52:21 124:22;127:18;130:12,20; 59:13;100:23;102:22,23; 45:17,18,20,20 involve (1) 165:1,12;169:11;175:18; 116:25;117:6,9,11,14;118:7; intentions (1) 48:18 179:13;189:10;194:16; 126:4,11;130:25;181:17; 33:17 involved (16) 198:14,17;199:19 23:10;53:4,20,20;54:23; Jefferson (1) 182:8;205:21;215:22 interaction (1) individuals (3) 54:14 55:1,25;57:8,22;58:9,20; 12:2 77:23;126:12;201:10 interactions (1) 134:7;194:23,25;195:21; Jefferson-Clark (2) individual's (1) 115:9 221:19 154:20:207:7 27:19 interest (1) involvement (4) **job** (1) influence (2) 15:9 51:8;54:9;55:11;57:3 80:20 110:12:137:7 interested (1) involving (2) John (8) 54:6;61:16 10:3,4,12,15,24;11:19; influenced (1) 9:6 interesting (1) irrelevant (4) 13:11.13 110:4 34:22 inform (1) 68:18,21;84:19;94:17 **Johns** (72) interim (1) 69:12 irrespective (1) 7:5;14:20,20;17:5,12;18:9, information (15) 113:1 160:13 19;20:15,24;21:7;23:5,19; irrigated (2) 15:23;55:5;61:25;65:12; internal (7) 24:3:26:11.17.18:28:5.7.8: 67:4;73:7,21;74:2;75:4,7,7; 64:15,17;84:21;93:18; 56:16:204:1 33:22.23:41:13.14:43:17: 84:3;86:22;131:10;231:17 94:9;99:16;217:12 **IRRIGATION (61)** 44:1,3,4,4,19;52:1;132:7,10, initial (2) internally (4) 2:5,7,8,9;13:9;40:18; 13;134:19,20;141:20,21; 17:25;27:12 29:12;45:12;145:11; 49:17;55:20;58:18;70:3,4,7, 143:2,3;148:4;175:12,13,17; 11,25;71:3,10,13,17,24;73:1; initially (1) 216:12 176:15,23;190:7,8;193:5,7; 37:24 interpret (6) 74:6;75:25;76:2;78:13,15; 209:12,13,16,21;210:22,23; 38:7;39:16;42:16;45:22; 79:11;81:6;83:1;87:25; injury (1) 212:12;213:2,15,18,21,24; 186:25;228:11 92:25;97:23;110:17;111:23; 160:13 214:4,7,15,16;224:6,7; interpretable (1) input (3) 112:13,22;116:21;133:12; 227:14;230:19,21;231:19,21 10:17;26:24;29:12 49:4 135:14;137:22;138:6;146:1; join (11) inquire (2) interpretation (10) 153:19;161:16;173:22; 12:16;20:15;33:24;41:15; 26:4;27:12,19;29:6;31:9; 174:19;175:8;183:21; 99:19;181:18,20,20;220:11, 131:1;143:21 inquiry (3) 35:6;43:14;95:18;138:11; 184:24;185:1,6,16;187:14; 25;231:24 64:16;65:6;189:2 199:5 189:20;198:20;203:23; joined (3) interpretations (2) 204:24;205:24;206:4;208:2; insert (1) 179:24;180:7;181:24 38:16;191:16 215:15;217:24 37:17 joining (3) inserted (2) interpreted (3) **issue (19)** 77:24;231:24;232:3 19:3,23;25:11,17,21; JOINT (1) 109:19;191:14 29:11;39:17;41:20 inside (4) interpreting (4) 27:14,14,22;29:15;30:24; 7:12 78:9;79:13;109:18;202:15 27:4;35:21;110:2;191:6 31:20;42:15;66:13;85:4,20; Judge (1) instance (1) intervene (2) 94:15:95:21:107:5:231:16 43:6 18:24;232:1 issued (5) 18:5 judgment (6)

E VIR	1	1	1 estuary 6, 2026
18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2;	laid (4)	less (19)	10:8;192:21
34:24;35:21	126:3;127:24;130:24;	83:6;90:11;121:3,7;122:6;	lodge (2)
judicial (1)	133:24	123:12;126:2;133:25;	57:12,12
29:21	land (3)	154:25;170:10;184:13,19;	logged (1)
July (7)	89:17;192:16,23	187:20,23,24;188:3,12;	11:7
60:5;83:19;94:22;203:3;	Landsat (1)	192:20;220:21	long (13)
205:7,8,12	89:12	letter (14)	48:9,12,23;75:14;105:13;
June (5)	language (9)	53:22;139:8,15;140:1,4,8,	112:3;116:24;117:15,18;
50:7;197:12;203:4;	32:17,21;33:4;35:8,14;	23;141:2,6;142:1,11,15;	124:16;194:23;195:2,6
205:12;208:14	90:3,7;133:15;186:24	168:14,17	longer (1)
junior (1)	large (5)	letting (1)	113:2
118:13	50:1;58:10;74:25;124:1;	118:16	long-term (6)
junior-priority (2)	196:16	lies (1)	149:19;185:21;186:6;
158:16;219:4	larger (2)	39:22	196:21;218:8;219:12
juniors (1) 220:25	16:14;184:10	<b>light (2)</b> 40:4;212:15	look (43) 31:16;35:3;39:7;49:25;
justification (1)	largest (2) 103:7;192:12	likely (1)	50:3;53:15;56:13,21,21;
108:18	last (12)	45:25	66:21;68:4,6;82:9;84:5;87:3;
100.10	31:20;69:7;80:6;125:7,8;	limit (3)	88:8;94:22;102:11;128:6;
K	154:4;164:1;173:10;174:7;	118:9;120:6;122:12	129:1;131:23;138:23;
	189:19;224:18;230:22	limited (3)	139:13;141:9;146:5;147:1;
Kathleen (1)	late (4)	44:10;82:12,12	148:16;149:10;152:18;
15:2	8:7,12;227:2,3	limits (1)	153:7,13;155:1;163:10;
Kent (6)	latent (14)	117:6	166:22;171:11;190:25;
13:6,10;20:1,9;30:20;	32:1,5,9,9,16;33:6,15;	line (12)	191:5;198:10;203:9,18;
142:22	34:14;40:4;45:1;65:21,25;	28:13;42:5;64:9;77:17;	211:8;224:21;225:13
kept (1)	66:14;95:16	102:3,6,25;108:12;111:22;	looked (5)
78:4	later (2)	112:12;123:14;200:20	98:22;147:17;172:10;
key (1)	54:4;181:8	lined (1)	191:9;196:9
32:4	law (10)	147:12	looking (11)
kind (7)	27:16;29:4,15;31:14,24;	lines (2)	41:7;66:25;90:24;98:7;
91:2;108:1;119:21;	35:10,18;40:3;65:24;69:5	165:15;166:1	108:11;120:8;123:21;
122:13;124:7;160:19;211:7	laws (1)	list (9)	124:10;168:10;182:16;
kinds (3)	32:4	15:23,25;16:20;111:22;	204:14
29:16;48:3;98:21	lay (2) 126:14;139:14	125:20,20,21;161:11,16 listed (8)	looks (2) 9:9;211:9
<b>Kirt (1)</b> 19:12	laying (1)	70:6;87:14;97:4;117:10;	9.9,211.9 looming (1)
Klahn (2)	49:3	155:7;171:7;174:6;229:18	211:16
12:11;13:18	lead (2)	listen (4)	losses (1)
knew (2)	47:25;65:5	12:10;43:22;108:25;	184:8
59:2;212:12	leading (1)	194:16	Lost (1)
knowing (2)	210:17	listening (3)	174:2
29:11;188:5	leads (1)	9:17;13:15,18	lot (23)
knowledge (12)	19:9	listing (1)	48:6;98:4,6,24;127:17;
88:5;112:20;144:23;	least (38)	228:12	133:10,10,11;134:10;
145:1;158:22;159:3;182:22;	12:3,10;15:11,23;16:20;	lists (2)	135:13;167:10;174:8,18;
215:3;220:2,10;222:11;	17:4,24;19:4;21:8;37:23,24;	70:2;86:15	197:22,23;198:11;201:24;
225:25	42:12;59:8;64:11;66:22;	litigation (1)	202:15;203:7,7,10;211:4;
Knowles (2)	67:2;68:9,12;76:1,4;94:16;	175:23	223:8
87:15,21	95:6;119:8,9;128:16;140:15,	little (15)	lots (2)
known (1)	20;163:25;164:10;177:11;	15:10,22;24:7;26:13,21;	37:7;38:19
32:1	186:24,25;188:6;200:20;	27:5;54:4;108:15;116:9;	Lower (3)
knows (1) 144:18	208:13;226:19,25;228:1	138:18;141:11;177:13; 189:15;218:17;220:19	61:21;103:12;216:6
144.16	leave (1) 40:7	live (1)	lunch (4) 105:10,19,22;106:3
L	left (11)	47:16	Lunchtime (1)
L	33:14;68:6;110:11;114:4;	LLC (2)	105:12
labeled (5)	128:6;162:8;189:10;211:20;	32:14;69:8	103.12
50:1;77:11;88:9;106:22;	212:18;213:8,23	loading (1)	$\mathbf{M}$
124:19		27:10	112
· · ·	legal (12)	27.10	
lack (6)	legal (12) 26:6;27:7,14;35:10;	location (1)	Madison (1)
lack (6) 26:7;58:10;61:8;110:25;			Madison (1) 207:9
, ,	26:6;27:7,14;35:10;	location (1)	

-			
49:13;115:6;174:3;	116:15	155:21;156:1;216:20;223:1,	METRIC (9)
188:13;207:11	may (52)	4	87:24;88:10,19;89:6,11,
magnitude (2)	10:8;15:20;16:21,22;17:2;	meeting (13)	12;92:25;93:8;125:16
40:23;223:11	18:16;22:20;23:24;24:1;	12:7;23:13;28:5;86:11,13,	mic (2)
mail (1)	26:7;32:23;39:18;40:7;41:8;	24;87:9;89:23;94:19,23;	85:8;209:14
139:21	45:3;46:8;47:3;58:7;63:8;	95:5;108:9;117:16	Mickelsen (1)
Mainly (2)	68:14;69:8;72:13;83:10,22;	meetings (23)	19:15
49:2;202:18	85:24;89:9;97:22,22;99:7;	23:18;45:5;52:22;53:8;	microphone (1)
maintain (1)	104:4;119:21;123:18;130:6;	55:5,6,8,10;58:22;61:15,19,	8:10
24:16	132:9;135:9,10;136:7,9;	21;62:3;65:13;67:15;107:24;	microphones (2)
majority (1)	140:17;143:21;144:12,12;	109:12;124:7;144:6;145:17;	8:14,21
188:20	147:5;175:13;201:10;	155:11;176:25;199:14	MID (1)
makes (4)	211:20;212:18;213:8;	member (12)	13:10
123:25;124:3,4;184:22	223:21;227:12;228:11;231:4	20:16;49:17;78:9;159:5;	mid-'90s (2)
making (9)	maybe (16)	177:21;195:14;206:6,9,12,	59:6;81:13
35:21;65:20;110:24;137:5,	9:16,21;12:7;16:21;24:1;	15,25;207:17	middle (3)
20;138:17,21;144:25;151:7	38:5;51:16;57:17;90:9;	members (65)	55:8,8;60:4
managed (1)	129:21;131:17;139:16;	23:10,11;38:17;39:4;	might (12)
216:25	159:8;227:4,5;228:6	40:17,24;41:4;45:6,11;50:8,	18:20;26:13;36:10;65:9;
Management (7)	McHUGH (9)	9;55:20;62:4;77:21,22;	134:11;139:13;165:20;
25:13;48:5,8;49:23;75:4;	10:14;12:14;13:22,24;	79:16,21;86:21;92:2;104:12;	188:1;194:15;200:10,11,25
216:12;217:8	10:14;12:14;13:22,24; 14:2,9,12;24:19,25	111:9,10;133:19;136:10,20;	MILNER (2)
manner (4)	McKoon (1)	140:17;157:7,25;158:12,18;	2:8;206:8
49:4;106:12;178:12,14	35:4	159:6;165:16;166:9;167:9,	mind (4)
			104:1;123:25;125:19;
many (22)	mean (22) 39:8;65:2;76:23;80:15;	12;170:9,20;171:5,12;172:2,	232:9
52:18;56:22;66:20;77:22,		8;174:19;175:1;177:19,22;	
22;93:1,3;122:22;132:20;	90:8;102:18;115:20;123:22;	178:2;179:21;180:8;200:7;	mine (1) 9:12
133:6,6,18;134:8,13;180:2;	124:3;131:24;132:18;	201:9,9;202:4;203:2,8,13;	MINIDOKA (4)
184:21;201:7;204:4;206:21;	148:15;151:10;153:6;	204:4;205:21;206:2,18;	
215:24;220:15;227:2	154:23;170:20;174:4;	208:8;209:5;211:2;217:22;	2:9;182:23;204:23;205:24
map (3)	186:20;189:25;190:20;	219:5;220:8	minimum (2)
68:8;74:15,17	221:8;231:9	members' (1)	107:9;116:13
mapping (1)	meaning (9)	202:3	minus (2)
67:25	31:22;33:3,5;35:9,16;	membership (1)	123:15;163:23
marginal (2)	69:10;125:25;126:1;215:20	197:21	minute (7)
85:20;95:13	meaningful (1)	memo (2)	25:1;68:2;146:21;213:17;
marginally (1)	192:10	230:25;231:1	224:20;226:23;227:6
94:16	meanings (2)	memorandum (1) 18:23	mirrors (2)
marked (10)	32:20;35:15		121:5,6
52:8;62:25;95:7;105:2;	means (4)	mention (3)	mischaracterization (1)
139:16;141:22;143:4;	77:5;132:18;138:19;	26:2;36:10;185:19	94:5
175:19;208:18;218:1	154:24	mentioned (16)	Mischaracterizes (1)
markers (1)	meant (2)	22:7;28:5;53:19;65:24;	148:5
72:9	28:18;113:25	69:6;100:3,12,15;117:3,20;	missed (3)
master's (1)	measure (9)	126:18;177:4,6,7;215:19;	12:19;15:5;89:9
47:20	60:12;72:25;75:22;80:13;	216:23	missing (1)
Mat (4)	81:5;87:12;90:25;145:12;	meter (3)	16:2
62:12,21;63:6;86:16	184:15	54:18,19;82:15	mistake (1)
material (1)	measured (3)	meters (1) 88:25	169:8
223:19	71:7;121:13;125:15		Misty (2)
materials (1)	measurement (14)	method (29)	32:14;69:7
65:11	71:24;73:21;74:2,25;75:4,	70:21,25;73:13,18;74:8;	misunderstood (1) 199:7
math (1)	17,21;76:3;77:3;79:14;89:1,	75:10,22,25;76:3,20,25;77:2,	
153:3	3,6,15	3;78:7;88:3,6,12,13,15,20,	mitigate (8)
MATTER (15)	measurements (6)	22;89:3,12;91:6,9;92:6;	167:8,12,15,16;179:21;
2:3,13;16:5;23:5;24:17;	75:10;88:3,24,25;89:4;	96:14;102:9;199:24	196:16;201:9;202:3
27:16;28:11;29:4;30:21;	187:6	methodologies (2)	mitigating (2)
34:24;35:18;41:21;42:9; 83:22;99:16	measures (1)	102:9;199:13	167:7;181:7
X3°77'99'16	150.15		
	150:15	methods (16)	MITIGATION (42)
matters (6)	measuring (4)	57:1;72:23;76:1;77:8;	2:14;9:3;25:13;26:3;31:2;
<b>matters (6)</b> 25:6;35:10;36:15;46:4;	measuring (4) 48:6;88:12,18;100:13	57:1;72:23;76:1;77:8; 87:6;90:23;91:4,13;95:19;	2:14;9:3;25:13;26:3;31:2; 43:2,3,5,8,15;94:1;114:8;
matters (6) 25:6;35:10;36:15;46:4; 84:18;135:4	measuring (4) 48:6;88:12,18;100:13 meet (8)	57:1;72:23;76:1;77:8; 87:6;90:23;91:4,13;95:19; 96:9;108:3;183:13,13,25;	2:14;9:3;25:13;26:3;31:2; 43:2,3,5,8,15;94:1;114:8; 133:2,5,9,15,22;134:4;
matters (6) 25:6;35:10;36:15;46:4;	measuring (4) 48:6;88:12,18;100:13	57:1;72:23;76:1;77:8; 87:6;90:23;91:4,13;95:19;	2:14;9:3;25:13;26:3;31:2; 43:2,3,5,8,15;94:1;114:8;

Min-U-Script®

```
154:4,9,12,20;163:17;164:4;
                               multiple (12)
                                                                                                 231:19
                                                               negotiations (20)
  165:11:166:25:167:17,20,
                                  33:12;35:7;73:9;92:19;
                                                                 27:19;42:4,8,12;44:7,8,16,
                                                                                              noted (3)
  22;177:18;178:16;179:18;
                                  93:3:95:19:101:4:107:20:
                                                                  17:57:14.19:61:24:94:3.7.
                                                                                                 23:19;128:17;173:19
  182:17;196:19;201:16;
                                  109:12;117:22;175:1;199:14
                                                                 20:107:4:139:6:140:9.19:
                                                                                              notes (3)
  219:16:225:6
                               must (9)
                                                                 196:5,7
                                                                                                 108:10;230:8,17
mitigations (1)
                                  38:17,20,20,21,22;39:4,7;
                                                               net (5)
                                                                                              notice (2)
  134:3
                                  69:4;191:8
                                                                 156:15;173:2,3;184:3,4
                                                                                                 24:21;131:18
mix (3)
                               mute (2)
                                                               new (2)
                                                                                              notices (1)
                                                                 29:24:150:5
  18:12;21:6,20
                                  9:10,15
                                                                                                 14:24
                               muted (2)
                                                                                              November (1)
mixed (1)
                                                               newly (1)
                                                                                                 97:19
  116:23
                                  10:20;11:2
                                                                 204:7
modeling (1)
                               myself (1)
                                                               Next (19)
                                                                                              null (3)
  182:24
                                  98:6
                                                                 11:3;12:16;70:6,9;75:1;
                                                                                                 98:12,20;102:23
                                                                 77:17;79:1;90:18;96:7;
                                                                                              number (37)
moment (6)
                                             N
                                                                                                 11:18;36:25;37:1;63:2;
  50:2;53:19;55:16;59:20;
                                                                 99:24;119:16;169:11;
  81:19;127:3
                                                                 186:14;193:20;205:7;206:8,
                                                                                                 70:15;78:21;86:15;101:7;
                                                                 23;226:2;230:10
monitoring (2)
                               name (8)
                                                                                                 103:7,8;106:9;113:14,17;
  49:23,24
                                  8:9,12,24;11:10;47:13,16;
                                                               Nick (1)
                                                                                                 116:11;121:19,20;128:7,7;
                                  112:13;194:19
                                                                 206:20
                                                                                                 135:15;150:6;151:1,13,14;
month (1)
  198:6
                               namely (1)
                                                               nine (2)
                                                                                                 154:16;160:21;161:12;
month-long (1)
                                  122:10
                                                                 207:15,20
                                                                                                 166:7;170:5;172:5,9;178:25;
  203:14
                               names (2)
                                                               Nobody (1)
                                                                                                 200:11;204:1,7;218:6;220:4,
more (60)
                                  86:15;87:14
                                                                 231:7
  15:23;22:3,3;32:13;33:5;
                               nature (1)
                                                               non (1)
                                                                                              numbered (1)
  42:16;56:7;65:17;83:5;
                                  199:9
                                                                 76:14
                                                                                                 16:8
  88:25;91:25;92:2;95:17,24;
                               NDVI (2)
                                                               nonconsumptive (1)
                                                                                              numbering (4)
  100:8;103:22;105:25;
                                  88:10,19
                                                                 90:16
                                                                                                 15:14,19;16:16;169:9
  107:15;115:17,17;116:16;
                               Near (1)
                                                               none (4)
                                                                                              numbers (30)
  118:12,13;119:16;122:5;
                                  182:23
                                                                 29:1;105:1;152:3;191:19
                                                                                                 22:9;25:22;59:9;68:23;
  123:11;125:25;131:5,22;
                               near-term (1)
                                                               nonetheless (1)
                                                                                                 102:25;108:10;109:18,19,20,
  133:15;143:16;148:9;155:2;
                                  149:13
                                                                 24:13
                                                                                                 23,24;110:14;126:25;
  165:25;170:21;171:5,13,19,
                               necessarily (5)
                                                               non-IGWA (3)
                                                                                                 135:11;163:2,8;168:1;178:5,
  22:172:4.17.21.21:176:15:
                                  34:8;90:19;124:2;183:1;
                                                                  167:9:179:21:202:4
                                                                                                 18:179:1:180:17.18.22.23:
  181:11,11:183:1;187:15,17,
                                  192:14
                                                               non-irrigators (1)
                                                                                                 181:25;192:21;204:19;
                               necessary (4)
  19,22;191:20;192:9,10,20;
                                                                  174:23
                                                                                                 205:2:225:23:228:8
  201:24;226:16;227:2,5;
                                  18:11;68:22;107:4;227:12
                                                               nonmembers (1)
                                                                                              numeral (2)
  229:17
                                                                  180:6
                                                                                                 186:16:189:16
                               need (19)
Morning (3)
                                                               nonparticipant (1)
                                                                                              nutshell (2)
                                  11:8;17:18;19:19;20:18,
                                  19;23:6;25:7;41:25;42:5;
                                                                 24:2
                                                                                                 141:11;203:12
  10:5;12:16,25
                                                               Nonparticipants (3)
most (18)
                                  46:5;67:18;105:13;128:19;
                                                                                                            0
  11:2;59:4;87:15;95:14,15;
                                  177:13:193:12:216:3:
                                                                  166:23;179:15;201:14
  96:17;98:8;104:16;115:25;
                                  221:23;227:25;228:11
                                                               nonparticipation (1)
  119:7;124:6;135:3;188:9,12;
                               needed (14)
                                                                  113:8
                                                                                              object (21)
                                                               non-parties (2)
                                  24:6;45:3;58:15;80:12,16,
                                                                                                 61:8;62:19;65:4;103:23,
  189:21;192:12;202:19;204:8
                                  18;82:20,20,21;119:12;
                                                                 161:24,24
                                                                                                 24;104:2;106:21;110:22,24;
motion (11)
  18:24;28:23;29:21;30:22;
                                  196:20;197:20,25;199:15
                                                               nonperformance (1)
                                                                                                 138:21;144:15,16;199:1;
  33:21;34:23;65:20;68:11;
                               needing (1)
                                                                 155:23
                                                                                                 200:14;210:20;211:23;
  85:16;95:2;232:1
                                                               nontechnical (1)
                                  81:20
                                                                                                 212:6,23;213:11;223:17;
                                                                 90:10
move (12)
                               needs (2)
                                                                                                 232:7
  21:21;29:5;58:14;69:3;
                                  19:17;231:17
                                                               noon (1)
                                                                                              objected (1)
  83:7;93:12;99:3;141:16;
                               nefarious (1)
                                                                 105:7
                                                                                                 142:13
                                                                                              objecting (1)
  142:17;169:3;208:5;222:4
                                  39:24
                                                               nor (1)
                                                                 30:3
                                                                                                 141:12
moved (2)
                               negative (6)
  85:7,11
                                  125:24,25;154:20;170:5,6;
                                                                                              objection (54)
                                                               normally (1)
moving (3)
                                                                                                 17:8;19:25;37:11;45:24;
                                  178:16
                                                                 123:8
  212:15;213:6,10
                               negatives (1)
                                                               NORTH (6)
                                                                                                 46:1;51:24;52:1,4;57:13,23;
                                                                 2:9;49:12;115:5;204:24;
much (25)
                                  71:23
                                                                                                 58:3;63:8,9;64:9,10;68:10,
  59:7;77:6;80:21;88:18,20,
                               neglected (1)
                                                                 206:11;207:13
                                                                                                 13;83:11;84:14,15,17;85:13,
  23;89:2,16;97:12;121:16;
                                  51:17
                                                               Nos (1)
                                                                                                 17;93:15;99:7,15;104:24;
  122:13;123:6;126:16,16;
                               negotiated (2)
                                                                 130:8
                                                                                                 108:23;124:15;139:3,4;
  128:2;133:2;134:5;145:18;
                                  28:15;195:12
                                                               note (9)
                                                                                                 140:5,6,7;141:19,21;143:1,3,
  156:6:184:16:192:19:
                               negotiating (4)
                                                                 23:14:24:11:44:24:77:17:
                                                                                                 11,20,22;145:15;148:3,4,5,
  202:19;216:14;220:19;227:5
                                  54:24;94:3;144:8;195:22
                                                                 105:6;126:8;129:2;142:3;
                                                                                                 24;158:23;202:10;208:10;
```

```
124:13
                                                                                                  17:18,20;18:5,17;19:6;
  212:9,25;213:14;214:3;
                                                                  15;63:21;70:3,8,21;72:23;
  221:10
                                offered (1)
                                                                  73:13:75:10:77:8,25:87:12:
                                                                                                  20:2;23:25;26:20;27:4,6,23;
                                                                                                  28:18,25;29:20;31:20;34:2,
objections (7)
                                  124:16
                                                                  88:6;89:3;93:5;95:12,14,18;
  27:11:65:18:95:3:145:19;
                                offering (1)
                                                                  96:19;100:5,8,10;109:6;
                                                                                                  9,24,25;37:14,18;38:6;
  176:7.12.25
                                  45:19
                                                                  115:17,18,21;118:2;119:15;
                                                                                                  40:22;41:2,8;44:13;83:22;
objective (4)
                                office (1)
                                                                  120:23;123:22,23;124:7;
                                                                                                  84:2,6,20;85:2,2,5;93:25;
  89:25;91:5;92:5;166:6
                                  10:7
                                                                  127:3,14;129:13;133:7;
                                                                                                  131:17;133:9;134:22;135:4,
objectives (2)
                                OFFICER (245)
                                                                  134:7;136:11;143:15;148:9;
                                                                                                  5,8,10,16,20;136:24;137:3,6,
  91:3;100:11
                                  8:2,6,11,19;9:12,19;10:9,
                                                                  159:21,22;161:4,8;163:2,4,
                                                                                                  13;138:12;139:7;141:1;
obligation (50)
                                  12,24;11:3,6,9,12,17,21,23,
                                                                  12;165:4,25;168:3,4,8;
                                                                                                  151:7,19,22;165:10;176:13;
  25:17,19,22;30:23;41:8;
                                  25;12:3,9,18;13:4,11,16,23;
                                                                  174:10;175:9;176:19;
                                                                                                  196:10;201:23;208:16;
  42:19;43:2;45:13;56:24;
                                  14:1,3,11,14,17,22;15:17;
                                                                  177:11;181:11,11;187:9,22;
                                                                                                  211:16;215:12
                                  16:14,16,19;17:2,11,13;18:3;
                                                                  191:21:197:7;204:11;
                                                                                                orders (4)
  59:3;60:11;62:2;69:15;
                                                                                                  24:1;27:13;29:22;93:16
  72:24;74:12;76:18;78:20;
                                  20:1,8,22;21:4,15,18;23:4,
                                                                  206:23;209:17;213:22;
  88:14;91:14;96:13,20;
                                  19;24:10,14;25:3;26:17,24;
                                                                  214:2,4,12;215:18;218:18,
                                                                                                order's (1)
  104:14;110:19;113:13;
                                  30:18;31:4;33:22;34:20;
                                                                  19;226:2;229:17;230:10
                                                                                                  84:1
                                                               ones (2)
  114:3;150:25;151:16,23,25;
                                  37:20;38:3;40:6,21;41:6,12,
                                                                                                organize (1)
                                                                  117:8;119:9
  152:8;155:21;163:21;164:5;
                                  22;44:3,14,20,22;45:9,21;
                                                                                                  105:8
                                                                on-field (1)
                                                                                               original (4)
  176:2;177:19,20;178:2,8;
                                  46:4,8,12,15,22;47:1;51:15,
  180:15;181:4,13,16;182:6,
                                  24;52:3,5,7,11;57:16,23;
                                                                  187:14
                                                                                                  36:11;55:15;94:21;217:25
  11;190:21;192:3;216:9;
                                  58:2,6;61:10,13;63:10;
                                                                ongoing (2)
                                                                                                originally (1)
  217:4;220:18;226:8
                                  64:11;65:8,16;66:12,19;
                                                                  137:15;196:7
                                                                                                  58:9
obligations (8)
                                  68:9,19;69:2,17;72:1,5,8;
                                                                online (3)
                                                                                                others (4)
                                                                  9:22,23;12:19
  59:14;135:20;146:13;
                                  83:9,12;84:12;85:6,10,16,23;
                                                                                                  15:17;26:7;91:20;119:3
                                                                                               otherwise (4)
  147:11;153:4,21;156:2;
                                  93:14,20;94:13;95:10;96:1,
                                                               only (16)
  218:25
                                  4,7;99:5,8,18,21,24;104:3,
                                                                  7:15;20:13;35:19;66:6;
                                                                                                  129:17;166:25;179:17;
                                  23,25;105:2,5,11,17,20;
                                                                  93:15;107:19;108:25;109:4;
                                                                                                  201:16
observation (1)
  24:22
                                  106:25;107:9;108:24;109:6,
                                                                  126:21;131:3;146:13;
                                                                                                ought (2)
                                                                                                  9:21;202:19
observe (1)
                                  17;110:6;111:1;112:10;
                                                                  147:11;157:20;177:18;
  85:19
                                  124:14,18;130:6,10,13;
                                                                  202:14;218:25
                                                                                                ourselves (1)
observing (3)
                                  131:1,12,15,20;132:4,9;
                                                                on-the (1)
                                                                                                  36:20
  13:18;14:16;25:1
                                  134:20,25:139:2,4,11,14;
                                                                  89:1
                                                                                                out (73)
                                                                on-the-ground (1)
                                                                                                  9:24;12:8;31:17,19;33:14,
obtained (1)
                                  140:6.12:141:18.20.22:
  139:7
                                  142:19;143:2,4,13,23;
                                                                  89:1
                                                                                                  25;39:6;49:4;57:6,11,20;
obvious (1)
                                  144:15,17;148:7;149:3;
                                                                onto (2)
                                                                                                  58:21;62:21;67:20;70:6;
                                                                  22:25;113:20
  11:1
                                  157:1;158:25;164:19;165:6,
                                                                                                  73:17;77:5,7,11;78:8;79:15;
obviously (3)
                                                               oOo- (1)
                                                                                                  82:22;86:25;88:23;90:4,12,
                                  9;175:12;176:16;179:7;
  28:11;61:1;79:2
                                                                  232:19
                                                                                                  15,20;91:9,16;98:19;100:4;
                                  183:5,11;188:23;189:2,4;
occasionally (1)
                                  190:7,9;191:18,20;193:5,8,
                                                                open (2)
                                                                                                  109:15:117:10,17:119:9;
                                                                  197:2;217:1
  82:14
                                  10,15,18,22;194:5,9;199:7,
                                                                                                  122:15;124:8;126:3,10,12,
occur (5)
                                  16;200:18;202:12;208:6,11,
                                                                operate (2)
                                                                                                  14;127:25;130:24;132:23;
  210:13;211:2;212:20;
                                  17;209:11,15;210:21;212:8,
                                                                  47:17;196:14
                                                                                                  133:24.25:140:10:145:4.23:
  214:10;220:13
                                  24;213:13,16,22,25;214:6,
                                                                operation (1)
                                                                                                  147:12;150:11;152:3;153:3,
occurred (11)
                                  16;217:17;221:12,16,23;
                                                                  48:10
                                                                                                  6,21;154:7,15;167:23;184:6,
  23:10;24:5;36:1,19;42:11;
                                                                opine (3)
                                  222:16;223:20;224:6,8,11,
                                                                                                  15;188:14;192:24;196:6,10;
                                                                  37:21;149:1;213:16
  102:1;128:3;129:8;135:4,13;
                                  14;226:12,15,18,22;227:9,
                                                                                                  201:23;205:12;209:6;
                                  19,23;228:10,22,25;229:3,7,
                                                                                                  210:15;211:21;212:18;
  196:5
                                                                opinion (7)
occurring (3)
                                  22;230:1,7,12,14,16,18,20,
                                                                  42:24;128:15;178:22;
                                                                                                  213:8,23
  28:19;64:18;84:19
                                  22;231:3,11,15,20;232:4,12
                                                                  189:23;190:5;212:17;213:7
                                                                                                outcome (1)
occurs (3)
                                official (2)
                                                                opinions (1)
                                                                                                  41:18
  79:13;127:23;188:10
                                  97:24;131:18
                                                                  134:11
                                                                                                output (1)
                                officially (2)
o'clock (1)
                                                                opportunity (4)
                                                                                                  10:16
                                  48:24;132:23
                                                                  18:10;21:25;34:3;42:22
  193:10
                                                                                                outset (1)
                                Olmstead (1)
                                                                opposition (2)
                                                                                                  125:13
October (3)
  147:18;157:17;169:20
                                                                  18:22;232:1
                                                                                                outside (18)
                                  20:5
                                                                                                  24:12;31:16;33:18;35:11;
                                once (4)
                                                                option (4)
off (13)
                                  21:22;107:15;113:3;
                                                                  70:13;73:2;76:18;229:15
  9:15,15;64:5;89:17,17;
                                                                                                  36:16,19;39:8;66:7;77:16;
                                  147:14
                                                                                                  78:4;79:8;102:21;113:15;
  110:11;150:23;159:7;
                                                                options (7)
                                one (95)
                                                                                                  200:12;201:10;202:16,16;
  189:10;220:3;226:19;227:6,
                                                                  52:20;53:1;58:23;59:2;
                                  15:23,24;18:15;20:5;22:7;
                                                                  60:11;87:12;88:7
                                                                                                  219:16
offer (12)
                                  23:5;24:17,22;25:7,7;29:2;
                                                                oral (2)
                                                                                                over (23)
  45:3,25;46:1;65:9;69:4,4,
                                  33:5;35:4;38:16;42:16;56:7;
                                                                  176:24:231:5
                                                                                                  35:7:70:5:92:25:98:13:
                                                                order (60)
                                                                                                  104:19;119:2,6,12;128:1;
  17;72:2,10,11;104:21;
                                  58:25;59:18;61:21,22;62:7,
```

(249) objections - over

47:25
owner (1)
210:15;217:8
27:4;28:1;47:17;167:15;
own (6)
211:7
overview (1)
221:12;223:20
148:7;149:3;159:2;202:12;
140:20;143:14;144:17;
64:11;104:3;111:1;
overruled (12)
182:14,22
overmitigation (2)
97:13
overall (1)
182:17
overage (1)
216:5,14,17;223:12;226:2,5
176:2;177:17;188:6;215:24;
131:19;133:20;153:7;172:5;

# P

```
PAGE (108)
  7:3,15;53:24,24;54:16;
  55:17,19;60:6,21,24;63:3;
  66:23,25,25;67:1,1,2,2,10,10,
  11,11;68:2,3,7;70:1;71:16,
  19;72:18,18,21;73:20,23;
  74:13;75:1,16;76:9;79:1;
  80:6,7,25;86:3;89:19,20;
  106:2,14:107:17,19,20,21;
  109:1,5;110:8;111:7,21;
  112:6,8,8;114:12,13;125:8;
  126:21;128:14;130:16;
  136:14;137:9,10;148:21;
  149:13;150:15;165:21;
  166:20;174:11,15,16;185:20,
  22;186:1,3,4;189:11;191:10,
  11;197:11,13;198:10;
  201:12,12;204:13,18,21,21;
  205:2,3,7,16,17,19,21,23;
  206:4,11,14,17,23;221:4;
  229:19;230:2
pages (22)
  7:17;16:17;67:3,20,23;
  68:13,17;71:9,10,13;72:2,12,
  14,17;83:7;85:18;148:22;
```

# 204:13,23;205:15,20;228:20 painless (1) 194:18 paper (2) 130:15;131:5

paragraph (25) 136:13,17;137:14;146:7, 10;147:2,8,9;148:16;149:13, 19;150:14;158:4,5,7;165:21; 166:22;186:6;191:5,8; 218:21;219:1,6;221:6,8 paragraphs (3)

146:14;147:7;148:12 Pardon (1)

Min-U-Script®

```
11:25
parol (5)
```

29:3;42:15;200:14,17; 202:11

#### part (23)

19:4;54:17;62:20;78:6; 79:20;80:8;89:22;90:4;95:6; 110:16;113:11;129:24; 136:16;152:12,15;164:4; 167:12;181:23;200:23; 204:8;224:2;226:3,7

# participants (2)

26:9;77:20

# participate (14)

14:8;36:21;52:21;53:7; 54:5;70:18;144:8;146:11; 157:19;201:21;206:22; 215:1;217:12;218:24

# participated (1)

61:24

## participating (22)

8:20;13:12,19;14:25;15:3; 17:3;23:22;24:20;49:18; 50:8,9;59:5;62:10;76:14; 111:8,10;166:24;167:6; 179:17;198:18;201:15;219:5

#### participation (4)

24:22;82:12;201:25;220:7

#### particular (6)

25:10;44:9;45:11;119:8; 133:8;141:14

## particularly (2)

117:15:118:24

#### parties (44)

9:5,6;15:13;17:3;18:8,17; 19:23;22:8;23:15;31:17; 32:20;33:2;38:8;39:23;44:8; 45:5,17,18;51:25;57:19; 64:22;69:9;91:15;94:3; 129:15;130:17;131:6,9; 132:2;137:17;139:6;140:9; 148:18;161:12;177:23; 196:21,24;198:7;219:19; 222:3;227:4,13;230:8;231:6

#### parties' (4)

32:24,25;33:17;44:25

#### parts (3)

35:22;90:8;109:3

#### party (3)

33:1;137:23;161:20

# **pass** (1)

23:20

# **past** (5)

41:10;160:13;172:10; 188:17;196:18

#### patent (7)

31:25;38:10,14;39:1,5,7; 40:4

#### patently (1) 31:21

patience (1)

# 8:7

patron (1)

```
118:16
```

patrons (3)

117:5;118:20;198:7

pattern (1) 189:5

#### PATTERSON (9)

11:5,7,11;13:2,2;16:11,13; 23:2:127:2

#### pause (3)

137:4,19;138:12

# paying (1)

192:20

#### **PCC** (1)

87:6

# **pdf** (1)

83:18

# peak (11)

102:1,6,13;103:7,8,15; 104:8,8,13;162:16,21

#### people (16)

8:20;9:23,24;11:2;13:21; 28:17;29:7,10;86:17,20; 90:10;116:15;175:7;181:7; 187:12;203:9

# people's (1)

29:6

# per (1)

100:16

# percent (4)

108:16;116:16;158:3; 188:22

#### percentage (8)

70:10.14:76:16.19:97:10. 13,14;192:18

# performance (24)

16:6;53:18,21;125:1,9,16, 23;127:5,16;130:11;145:12; 153:1;155:12,13,15;162:22, 24;163:6;170:2,16;171:1,10; 182:13;224:24

#### performed (3)

132:22;154:25;223:8

#### performing (3)

114:10;124:3;132:21

#### perhaps (8)

8:22;15:22;37:23;66:15;

67:1;94:16;95:14;199:7

# period (12)

61:15;105:9;109:13; 120:10,25;121:14;172:8; 196:5;203:14;216:15,16;

#### 223:12 permissible (1)

45:8

# permitted (2)

23:13;34:13

#### person (2)

24:14;90:9

# personal (1)

24:11

personally (1)

187:1 perspective (3)

```
67:16;227:25;228:1
```

persuaded (1)

42:2

pertained (1)

110:20

petition (1)

17:22

# petitioners (2)

12:22;232:11

# phone (1)

10:7

# phrased (1)

57:25

# physical (2)

89:1,4

# pick (1)

104:13

# picked (1)

122:7

# pivots (1)

116:21

# place (7)

9:2;47:11;118:3;149:16; 196:11;200:2;225:8

# places (3)

128:8;185:11,12

#### plain (2)

31:1;48:18

## PLAN (31)

2:14;9:4;25:13;26:3,16; 31:2;43:2,3,5,8,15;94:1; 115:4,15,21:133:2,10,15; 134:4;136:20;137:16,17,23;

138:7;164:9;165:11;166:25;

#### 167:17,22;179:18;201:16 planning (2)

# 12:16;14:7

#### plans (9) 19:8;115:5,10,11,20,24; 133:5,22;134:4

## plant (1)

90:21

#### plants (1)

89:18

#### played (2)

122:15;196:6

## pleading (1)

14:12

# pleadings (4)

16:5,23,25;35:22

#### please (26)

8:15;9:25;13:7;24:8; 46:13,16;47:1,13;56:10;

60:8,21;69:22;85:9;100:8; 104:6;124:22;166:20;

170:13;171:8;191:12;

193:23;194:9,19;202:6;

212:11;219:23

#### plenty (1) 105:14

#### **plus (2)**

161:8;163:23

Pocatello (1)

13:17	44:25	previous (3)	213:4
point (17)	precipitation (2)	79:7;81:10;103:2	pronounce (1)
33:25;39:9,10;43:25;	122:4;185:18	previously (9)	11:10
44:23;55:1;88:6;92:11,12;	preclude (1)	22:10;100:3,9;108:2;	proof (8)
100:12;136:12;140:20;	28:1	112:23;142:7,21;164:7;	45:3,25;46:1;69:5,17;72:2,
150:11;159:8;188:17;201:7;	predates (3)	169:10	10,12
220:21	120:12;208:12,16	primarily (5)	properly (4)
pointed (3)	preference (1)	18:25;22:24;48:18;55:11;	80:19;81:1;98:23;134:1
31:19;39:6;140:10	134:22	57:4	proportion (8)
pointing (1)	pre-hearing (1)	printing (1)	40:17;58:17;74:12;80:12;
73:17	24:21	160:4	81:4;90:25;96:20;116:25
points (9)	preliminarily (1)	printout (1)	proportionate (35)
67:25;74:19,19;77:15,19,	27:1	126:21	33:9;34:17;39:12;40:16;
23;79:12;132:17;134:5	preliminary (6)	prior (15)	41:5;55:22;56:1,14;57:7,21
pontificate (1) 164:8	25:6;42:9;59:10;80:9; 106:5;199:8	34:1;45:6;48:15;57:14; 65:13,19;93:25;97:23;	60:17;65:23;66:5;70:22; 73:10;74:9;76:6;82:23;87:1
pool (1)	premarked (5)	106:18;135:4,12;156:4,5;	108:4;114:16;166:13;
118:16	22:8,11,13,16,22	164:4;172:20	170:10,22;171:6,14,19,23;
portion (2)	preparation (3)	priority (9)	170:10,22,171:0,14,19,23, 172:4;174:20;180:25;181:2
114:5;131:3	98:2;129:14;155:12	116:1,2,4,8;117:4;118:4;	198:18;203:24;223:5
position (3)	prepare (5)	160:9,10,16	proportionately (1)
47:23;195:4;231:25	53:12;60:24;76:10;97:6;	private (3)	91:13
positions (1)	120:1	55:24;82:5;221:20	proportioning (1)
32:25	prepared (22)	privately (1)	59:3
positive (3)	60:8;65:12;66:23;67:4,22;	81:16	proportions (1)
125:24;126:1;170:18	68:18,20;69:12;71:19;83:16,	probably (14)	70:9
positives (1)	25;101:18;125:4,10;136:10;	10:25;15:7;19:20,21;	proposed (3)
71:23	145:13;152:21;208:8;215:8,	87:15;95:5;117:7;146:3;	73:2;75:24,25
possibilities (1)	11,14;225:3	180:12;196:17;215:6;	pros (2)
62:1	preparing (4)	220:16,19,21	73:17;75:20
possible (13)	53:21;63:15;84:2;134:3	problem (1)	prospectively (1)
38:16;83:3;98:25;99:1;	prerogative (1)	202:20	123:21
125:18;127:25;134:17;	69:15	procedure (2)	protective (1)
156:7;183:13;187:25;188:4;	present (21)	15:11;28:1	28:25
194:18;211:18	18:11,14;34:4,11;41:25;	proceed (2)	protest (1)
postdates (1)	45:22,23;59:1;60:10;61:23;	21:1;23:6	82:9
94:21	64:25;73:3;75:13;82:25;	proceeding (5)	prove (1)
potential (9)	109:25;125:17;126:15;	30:16;84:23;94:10;196:3;	69:16
19:14;59:2;70:21;71:23;	129:7;199:18;209:22;215:4	232:8	provide (13)
75:10;87:18;88:11;101:21;	presentation (17)	PROCEEDINGS (1)	49:7;73:7;82:6;86:22;
134:9 potentially (17)	18:4,6,17;59:25;61:2; 62:12,23,25;74:22;75:19;	2:19 process (4)	104:18;112:1;114:7;128:21 167:20;173:4;196:18;
59:13;62:8;70:18;72:23;	79:5;80:8,15;108:8;109:10;	17:17;58:20;196:6;199:21	219:16;223:15
74:8;78:22;79:25;81:5;	228:2,19	produced (1)	provided (11)
88:24;90:21,24;91:25;101:7;	presentations (4)	62:21	22:23;58:24;67:17;75:8;
128:24;158:2;178:9,17	20:6;62:15;67:8;107:23	production (2)	115:1,3,3;126:8;134:10;
power (2)	presented (28)	192:24;215:1	203:13;209:7
32:25;82:15	18:6;42:13;44:17,18;	professional (2)	provision (9)
power-consumption (2)	59:11;61:16;64:6;65:12;	132:24;133:1	35:17;38:22;40:13;51:3;
87:22,23	66:9;78:21;80:3,10;87:10,	program (2)	158:11;167:3;197:18;
PowerPoint (4)	21;91:24;103:1;106:12;	222:24;223:4	198:24;201:19
59:25;63:23;73:6;109:10	107:22;109:8,12;113:14,18;	programs (1)	provisions (4)
practicality (1)	120:17;133:7;134:16;	114:22	150:2,8,22;212:18
81:3	140:15;152:23;215:5	progress (2)	pull (1)
practice (1)	presenting (14)	72:25;75:22	175:14
216:12	17:19,24,25;20:12;23:7;	project (2)	pulled (1)
practices (11)	26:12;52:25;55:4;64:2;	11:8;22:25	62:21
34:15;83:2;119:18;149:14,	74:17;87:17;128:23;152:16;	projected (1)	pump (13)
15,19;185:21;186:6;217:8;	164:7	127:14	90:14;110:18;116:21,22;
218:8;219:12	pressure (2)	projection (1)	118:5;119:16;122:2,5,6;
pre (1)	211:12;212:16	8:23	161:25;173:23;184:6;219:10
208:16	pretty (5)	promise (5)	<b>pumped (21)</b> 56:19;77:7;90:20;97:10;
precedent (1)	28:21;58:10;190:5;211:8,9	157:24;158:11,18,20;	30.15,77.7,50.20,57.10;

121:3,4,11,17,20;122:1,2; 96:20 141:9;161:1;200:10; 129:8;156:1,5,6;163:23; 123:4,8;125:25;126:1,16; quickly (5) 218:14;225:13 173:2;182:19;223:13;225:19 155:2;160:17;184:13,16,19 211:9,13;212:15;213:6,10 reallocate (3) recharged (1) 181:3;182:10,11 128:23 pumper (1) quite (8) 161:14 48:15;54:20;134:16; really (24) recitals (1) pumpers (6) 135:12;174:24;196:16; 17:14;36:3;41:25;43:2; 147:1 39:3;79:21;162:1,2;192:8; 204:7;210:17 45:13;65:1;81:25;82:18; recitation (1) 219:15 Quote (5) 85:4;93:18;116:23;119:17; 230:7 pumping (57) 32:9,17,21,23;33:5 recognition (1) 122:12:128:21,22:133:2,23; 40:18;52:25;54:2;55:21; 137:7;151:6;199:10;201:9, 92:1 R 56:17:59:5,9:70:15:76:17: 25;203:7,11 recognize (16) 77:5;78:24;79:17;80:2; reapportioned (1) 50:4,5;59:22;86:7,17,19; 89:20;97:1;101:15;106:16; 81:22;90:17;96:11;101:23; Raft (3) 78:4 102:3,6;103:12;104:17; 78:11,14;106:6 rearrange (1) 119:24;168:7;197:5;202:24; 110:15,17,20;114:23;120:5; rainfall (1) 182:11 222:8;225:1 121:6,13;122:9;123:1;124:1; 185:15 rearranged (1) recognizes (1) 125:22;128:12;129:7;156:9; 31:24 raise (3) 181:16 recollection (4) 160:23;163:23;167:8;173:2, 19:5;46:15;193:22 re-ask (2) 87:8;97:20;200:1;202:5 20;174:19;180:13;181:18, 40:8;199:16 raised (5) recommended (1) 24;182:1;184:1,10,14,22; 31:8;33:25;43:16;203:7; reason (10) 188:9,21;192:4,12;198:19; 232:3 27:9;33:20;34:8,12;69:13; 81:2 200:7;202:3,4 raising (1) 96:17;188:8;197:24;200:16; reconcile (1) purpose (17) 90:1 30:7 18:21;28:23;42:7;45:4; ran (1) reasonable (4) reconsider (5) 71:21;73:25;88:18;107:7; 154:20 32:19;38:16;95:18;227:1 29:22;34:7;41:20;69:1,3 109:9,15;110:2;118:21; Randall (1) reasonably (2) reconvened (1) 120:3;126:13,14;167:2; 204:21 33:4;35:14 193:18 212:4 **Randy** (11) reasons (7) record (44) purposes (6) 86:16;112:14,15;139:21, 81:3;96:16;123:22,23; 22:18,21;23:6,14;27:10, 18,24;29:16;35:11,23;42:10; 41:1,3;64:1;162:21; 25;142:10,10;168:15,18; 128:18;134:7;175:10 164:10;209:1 203:2;207:21 reassigned (1) 47:4,14;50:6,15,20;51:13; Randy's (1) pursuant (4) 178:1 84:25;85:12;89:16;93:5; 19:6;137:15;145:5;218:7 205:5 rebuttal (7) 95:25:99:4:101:8:104:22: pursuing (1) range (1) 19:10,22;20:7,13,19; 107:2;125:3;126:24;127:4; 34:20;227:25 72:5 116:6 128:16;129:14,24;130:5; put (21) rapid (1) recall (23) 131:21;132:3;137:14; 29:24;33:11;34:4;39:19; 43:20 18:15;60:2;61:20,21; 183:25;194:20;200:16; 223:2;227:6,7;231:16; 40:4;42:22;59:6,25;60:2; rates (1) 62:10,12;63:1;84:4;86:18; 232:14 64:19;84:23;135:11,15; 106:8:108:9:137:6,7:138:14: 56:18 recording (5) 184:6,7;192:18;196:13; rather (2) 145:18;158:2;169:18; 8:4,6;105:20;227:9;228:5 197:19,24;198:5;205:11 47:11:196:21 173:19;187:7;198:17;204:4; putting (3) reach (4) 210:6;229:18 records (3) 30:5:69:13:150:5 126:5;156:15;182:23; receive (6) 81:22;84:25;87:5 212:16 68:12;85:18;95:3,7;99:21; record's (1) Q reaches (2) 126:19 231:12 RECEIVED (26) 173:5,7 Recross (3) 7:12;52:8,10;85:22;95:9; 176:17;179:7;224:8 Q&A (1) reaction (1) **Recross-Examination (5)** 207:21 140:18 96:5;99:23;105:3,4;110:9; 124:20,21;129:20;131:19; 7:9;176:21;179:11; QA (1) read (22) 190:13;224:16 87:6 39:2,3;44:15;94:14; 140:13;141:23,24;143:5,6; qualifications (1) 135:18;136:16;137:13,18; 208:18,20;228:17;229:12,14, red (5) 208:19 146:3,9;152:9,10;165:14; 15;230:3 9:13;74:17;79:3,12;123:14 qualifies (1) receiving (4) Redirect (10) 185:23;186:9,14,21;189:11; 192:14 191:12;203:9;228:6,22 131:22;141:25;176:24; 7:8;21:25;164:20,23; 200:17 175:16;189:8;192:1;222:17, quality (1) reading (6) 21;226:16 34:15;137:6;138:14; recent (3) 54:16 quantity (1) 146:17;151:12;208:13 32:14;69:7;98:8 redo (1) reads (4) 122:17 25:21 recess (6) 55:19;174:18;201:14; 105:19;193:17,19;227:8, quick (7) reduce (6) 141:9;153:23;161:2; 203:18 10.11 39:4;41:8;56:12;114:22; 162:13;194:17;218:14; ready (2) recharge (20) 156:8;166:10 225:14 43:24:46:6 49:3;53:12;54:2,14;55:24; reduced (5) 114:1,23;127:21,23;128:1,2; 38:12;40:14;186:18; quickest (1) real (5)

	T		· ,
189:17;191:4	15:21;17:20;32:4;52:16;	139:23;140:18;154:18;	80:20;81:11;86:11,21;95:6;
reducing (5)	54:10,21;66:13;72:2;75:22;	162:5;163:16;164:1;182:2	98:5;107:23
39:12;55:21;114:15;	87:25;94:15;140:19;185:4;	Reported (6)	respect (5)
133:17;174:20	189:2	77:18;78:2;79:8,10;182:3,	21:17;42:12;67:2;144:12;
reduction (35)	relates (2)	4	218:21
55:23;60:7,11,19;70:14;	75:9;139:5	reporting (5)	respecting (1)
76:19;77:4;90:2,2,2,13,19;	relative (2)	48:6;49:24;79:14;81:12;	45:2
91:7;97:11,14;108:19;	32:24;198:19	128:1	respective (2)
114:16;115:4,5,14;116:13,	relevance (2)	reports (20)	197:15,20
25;123:10;136:21;137:1;	85:20;95:13	16:6;53:18,21;82:6;125:1,	respond (3)
165:17;166:3;186:13;	relevancy (1)	6;127:5,7;128:20;130:12;	9:25;65:20;123:20
189:13;190:4,18;203:19,25;	94:15	140:14,14;145:14;147:22;	Response (5)
216:20;225:19 reductions (9)	relevant (16) 45:10,15;64:16;65:5;	155:13;162:22;163:6; 180:20,23;182:13	31:4;32:2;68:10,12;168:23 <b>responses (1)</b>
33:10;90:11;173:1;	66:15;84:22;93:18;94:9,16;	represent (14)	107:1
182:18;183:14;187:5;192:4,	95:14,15,23;99:16;212:1,7;	79:17;94:19;100:17;	responsibilities (1)
12;210:25	223:19	103:25;109:24;110:1;	137:16
redundant (1)	relief (1)	120:21;121:13,16;136:7;	responsibility (6)
132:19	183:6	150:7;153:25;204:8;210:1	23:23;24:14;38:23;39:22,
refer (11)	relies (1)	representation (1)	24;42:17
35:20,22;50:11;51:1;	35:1	79:6	responsible (7)
71:10;77:14;91:3;92:8;	rely (1)	Representative (2)	23:24,25;39:11;40:25;
169:15;209:25;224:19	20:17	19:14;104:17	55:21;114:15;174:20
reference (5)	relying (1)	represented (7)	rest (2)
22:17;84:1;111:23;152:7;	156:1	110:14;125:12;162:23;	128:5;146:20
191:15	remain (1)	171:15,24;204:9;227:14	restate (7)
referenced (4)	15:7	representing (5)	41:7;158:14;181:9,11;
88:16;108:5,7;109:10	remainder (1)	13:12;14:5;17:5;28:9;	212:11,13;223:22
references (3)	105:10	131:4	result (2)
151:19,23;204:3	remaining (3)	request (1)	43:4;135:20
referred (1) 183:16	72:14;105:8;226:23 remake (1)	23:16	retired (1) 14:10
referring (6)	39:25	requested (2) 16:24;17:23	returns (1)
51:3;60:16;109:1;142:22;	remedy (7)	requesting (2)	90:15
165:7;219:8	29:19;36:23,24;37:17,19;	34:6;232:11	review (20)
refers (4)	40:10;41:6	require (2)	29:21;32:3;53:22;59:20;
38:11;78:13;91:19;207:22	remember (14)	122:13;190:18	67:14;82:13,20,24;84:2;
reflect (4)	28:4;62:5;94:11;108:8;	required (7)	87:4,5,5,6;88:9;98:22;
113:7;118:8;160:23;	136:11;137:21;145:17;	71:5;78:22;81:24;123:11,	124:23;135:17;138:12;
207:25	155:24;163:8;176:24;	12;137:15;196:16	152:11;174:25
reflected (3)	177:10,11;198:21;202:8	requirement (28)	reviewed (7)
100:6;122:19;180:19	remind (3)	60:19;70:5,8,12;71:1,4,11,	15:12;17:6;55:16;98:11,
reflects (6)	8:13,16;132:20	17,24;73:1;74:6;75:25;76:3;	12;131:8;137:3
33:2;69:10;123:14;140:8;	remnant (1)	81:6;82:1;88:1;108:19;	reviewing (5)
154:18;161:24	14:13	117:1;122:3;123:10;124:2;	87:9;98:6,24;134:3;184:20
reform (2)	remote (1)	183:22;184:24;185:1,6;	rewrite (1)
29:20;30:4	88:20	187:19;189:20;191:1	39:15
regard (3) 44:6;175:19;176:12	remotely (2) 8:20;24:20	requirements (5) 81:12;84:1;116:13;	<b>right (71)</b> 11:3,5,21;12:18;13:16;
regarding (17)	8.20,24.20 remove (2)	117:16;148:2	14:3,22;15:8;17:13;19:10;
9:2,3;26:3,4;42:3,10,14;	168:25;169:22	requirement's (1)	20:24;21:2;23:4;28:19;
44:18;53:11;54:19;57:13;	removed (3)	71:13	37:23;41:12;46:15;48:21;
67:16;72:13;139:22;203:3;	72:16;162:4;192:13	requires (2)	56:15,16,19;62:8;63:8;64:4,
210:12;211:21	renew (1)	69:5;90:21	12;66:13;73:11;80:17,25;
regardless (2)	99:15	reserve (3)	88:9;106:9;107:5;117:14;
44:12;69:11	repeat (1)	19:10;20:24;21:2	125:6;126:24,25;130:10,14;
regards (1)	143:15	RESERVOIR (2)	134:2,12;139:1;146:12,19;
53:13	rephrase (2)	2:6;205:25	147:11;150:4;152:5;154:8,
regularly (1)	178:23;210:22	reside (1)	11;157:20;159:12;163:16;
54:19		194:21	164:19;173:20;179:4,22;
	reply (1)		
relate (2)	142:9	RESOURCES (16)	184:25;185:6,17,19;187:7;

229:7,20,25	168:19;171:11;178:11,14;	22:19;40:20;44:1;109:16	61:25;62:25;64:20,22;65:2,
right-hand (6)	181:22;185:4;187:21;188:2,	seeking (2)	13;72:24;75:11,23;86:23;
153:10;170:5,15;171:11;	2;189:5;192:15;198:10;	40:11,22	87:13;90:5;91:10;94:7,20,
204:18;205:1	199:12;202:10;210:8	seeks (1)	22;97:4;100:25;106:23;
RIGHTS (26)	<b>Sarah</b> (28)	40:12	107:3,6;108:5;109:13;111:4;
2:4;80:18,22;98:22;116:1;	8:4,5,17,18;9:11;11:24;	seemed (1)	112:21;113:3,6;114:8,24;
117:10,12,17,21,23;118:1,7,	12:1,6,11,13,15;13:17;85:8;	82:1	115:13;120:12;127:24;
9,12,13,17;126:11;146:16;	129:15,25;130:4;169:5;	seems (3)	129:10;138:11,15;139:5;
147:13;158:17;160:9,11;	219:22,25;228:5,7,11,21,24;	45:12;91:8;186:22	140:19;144:9;146:12,14,24,
218:10;219:3,5,14	229:5,20,23;230:6	select (2)	25;148:12;149:10;150:9;
River (5)	Sarah's (1)	103:21;104:8	152:7,15;156:12,20;157:14,
78:12,14;106:7;173:5;	221:17	selected (3)	19;158:19;159:4;166:24;
174:2	satellite (1)	102:4;104:10;120:13	167:7;173:16;174:9;177:24;
Road (1)	89:15	self-serving (1)	179:17;193:2;195:12,22;
194:22	satisfaction (1)	65:5	196:2;197:5,12,14,19;200:2;
Rob (7)	183:13	senior (1)	
			201:12,15;203:4;204:11;
11:14,17;14:5,9,14,15,17	satisfied (1)	118:12	210:5,24;215:16;217:25;
roll (3)	43:9	sense (4)	218:24;219:2,7;220:6;221:3;
9:20,21;12:20	save (3)	123:25;124:4;181:13;	222:7
rolling (1)	51:18;141:11;209:7	184:22	seven (3)
119:10	saved (3)	sent (1)	28:15;37:15;207:11
Roman (2)	83:19;128:24;182:3	14:24	several (3)
186:15;189:16	saving (1)	sentence (1)	9:23;91:3;126:18
rotation (2)	121:17	136:17	Shall (8)
119:6;192:16	savings (2)	sentences (4)	8:4;38:12;40:14;55:21;
rotations (3)	182:4;192:5	146:9,18,23;147:8	174:20;186:17;189:16;
118:25;119:2,13	saw (2)	separate (5)	191:15
rough (2)	16:20;120:17	78:5;138:24;158:11;	share (37)
180:2;211:8	saying (8)	167:17;218:3	33:9;34:17;39:12,23;
roughly (1)	30:21;34:9;36:14;37:5;	separately (1)	40:16;41:5;55:22;57:7;
203:14	62:20;117:22;121:25;178:21	18:7	60:17;62:2;65:23;66:5;
rounded (1)	scale (1)	September (4)	70:10,22;73:10;74:9;76:6;
108:17	96:22	31:20;94:23;98:2;100:10	82:23;87:1;108:4;113:20;
rounds (1)	scan (1)	series (1)	114:16;166:13;170:11,22;
108:1	111:22	53:17	171:6,14,19,23;172:4,17,22;
row (4)	school (1)	serve (1)	174:21;180:25;198:19;
77:11;153:13,18;209:5	132:23	195:6	203:24;223:5
rule (10)	Schwieder (1)	served (3)	shared (1)
42:7;44:15,15;45:22,25;	19:12	195:1,2,7	208:2
65:11;85:6;106:23;107:2;	scope (6)	services (2)	shares (4)
202:16	25:8;26:8;27:2,3;64:10;	49:7;112:1	56:1;57:21;103:19;181:2
ruled (9)	133:3	set (12)	sheet (2)
27:15;29:4;30:11;34:23;	screen (4)	10:16;37:14;64:22;72:9;	90:3;229:11
84:21;93:17,24;140:10;	11:8;22:25;127:14;228:6	122:12;136:24;146:13;	sheets (1)
199:4	scroll (1)	153:4;191:1;210:24;219:1,6	126:4
Rules (4)	91:2	sets (2)	shift (1)
25:14;28:1,21;43:15	scrutiny (2)	22:9;153:1	113:20
ruling (13)	80:21;82:19	settings (1)	shocked (1)
40:12;65:19;66:13;68:16,	season (4)	10:17	96:1
20;69:1;72:11,16;84:18;	58:18;97:23;122:3;135:14	settle (1)	shocking (1)
93:23;94:11;108:23;196:1	seated (2)	199:23	95:13
ruminal (1)	47:2;194:10	settled (2)	shoe (1)
186:15	second (12)	19:3;97:17	214:12
	20:4;25:21;29:3;32:19;	SETTLEMENT (144)	short (3)
${f S}$	36:12;39:10;42:15;50:21,22;	2:13;16:7;23:9,14;25:12;	96:21;133:8,12
~	68:19;138:5;213:22	27:18,25;28:4,5,8,10,14,19,	short-circuit (1)
safe (2)	Section (9)	20,21,24;29:1;30:15;31:1,	27:10
180:5;221:1	38:10;55:19;114:14,24;	21;33:7,19;36:11,12,13;	shortly (1)
same (32)	174:17;197:13;198:10,11;	38:7;42:3,7,11,14,25;44:6,7,	193:11
same (32) 12:7;21:9;30:8;54:16;	201:13	12,16;45:6,14;49:2;50:7,12,	short-term (1)
		12,16,43:6,14,49:2;50:7,12, 12,16,18,21,23;51:2,8;52:17,	149:15
76:23;80:24;88:18;116:11;	seeing (2)		
121:22;122:25;128:6,14;	108:8;141:15	19;53:2,14,18;54:7,10,17,22;	show (26)
137:10,11;140:6;158:4,5;	seek (4)	55:15;57:4,14,15,19;60:12;	12:3;16:16;34:13,14,15;
			t and the second

-			
41:18;59:8;61:4;71:22;	situation (2)	174:12;176:9;181:11;	spend (2)
74:16,24;75:2,5,20;76:12;	27:8;35:24	185:15;186:15;209:13;	48:5;127:17
97:8;100:14;102:14;103:2;	six-year (1)	214:22;219:24;221:22;	spent (4)
120:22,24;121:8,22;123:3;	223:12	224:12,20;227:16;229:4;	98:4,6,23,24
128:23;197:24		232:16	
	size (2)		split (4)
showed (3)	133:3;214:12	sort (9)	60:18;91:13;96:12;229:5
58:12;160:19;196:11	skewed (2)	57:13;82:11;119:8,12;	splitting (2)
showing (2)	178:19,21	121:11;128:21;129:9;167:5;	56:14,23
10:25;184:10	sky (1)	212:16	sponsored (2)
shown (4)	185:13	sound (1)	86:11;95:5
60:24;100:7;111:21;138:9	Skyler (6)	51:5	<b>spot</b> (1)
shows (23)	14:20,23;17:11;20:22;	sounds (1)	200:10
54:1;61:5;70:21;76:13;	22:14,15	178:21	spreadsheet (3)
84:25;97:9,12,25;101:23,24,	slide (2)	source (5)	98:3;126:4,22
25;102:1,2,3;122:24,25;	66:23;73:6	61:7,7;63:4;67:4;93:9	spreadsheets (9)
129:2;154:17;161:9;163:17;	slightly (1)	sources (2)	125:5;126:23;127:11,15;
170:18;171:18;172:2	186:22	64:25;65:1	129:16;130:7,14,24;131:8
SIDE (4)	small (5)	South (1)	spring (4)
2:10;153:10;204:24;	119:19;159:20;186:15,15;	47:17	55:3;61:3;98:10;173:6
206:11	202:22	Southwest (36)	springs (3)
sigh (1)	smaller (1)	49:17;78:12,15;91:20;	173:5,6,7
183:6	220:16	106:7;108:21;110:5,12,17;	springtime (1)
sign (12)	smallest (1)	111:23;112:1,3,4,13,17,20,	58:22
112:21;113:5,19,21;138:7;	103:8	22;113:5,10,19;114:7;138:6;	stabilize (1)
198:2,8;203:15;207:18;	Snake (4)	153:4,18;154:14;155:9;	78:22
215:15;217:25;220:3	48:18;49:12;115:5;207:13	162:8;167:14;168:25;	staff (11)
signator (2)	snapshot (1)	177:21;178:19;179:3;	23:20;24:9;54:10,15;62:6;
137:24;138:2	75:3	207:17,23;208:3;215:15	67:9;80:24;81:20;86:21;
signatory (1)	soft (1)	Spackman (2)	87:11;98:5
207:20	114:1	2:24;8:24	stage (1)
signature (6)	soil (1)	span (4)	20:18
112:12;204:13,21;205:5,8,	116:19	93:10;98:14;104:19;133:8	stand (2)
112.12,204.13,21,203.3,8,	solely (2)	spans (1)	209:23;226:19
signatures (3)	36:9;40:19	103:3	standard (1)
148:23;205:21,24			91:7
	solemnly (2)	speak (6)	
signed (17)	46:22;194:5	117:6,8;219:23;221:18,24;	standards (2)
16:8;28:17;57:5,11;58:15;	solve (1)	224:12 SDEA KED (5)	27:7,8
64:5;109:14;146:1;147:18;	202:20	SPEAKER (5)	standing (1)
148:22;149:16;150:20;	somebody (3)	138:25;139:17;142:24;	57:24
160:6;178:3;181:7;200:2;	15:18,22;18:15	196:23;231:2	stands (1)
215:9	sometime (1)	speaking (2)	72:11
significant (1)	55:8	8:15;214:8	start (11)
131:25	sometimes (2)	speaks (2)	8:4,8,13;12:22;18:14;46:5;
significantly (2)	24:11;31:13	148:25;199:3	51:16;58:14;107:11;134:24;
21:6;201:1	somewhat (1)	specific (4)	189:4
signing (2)	199:8	51:3;90:14;116:7;119:1	started (7)
36:1;208:13	Somewhere (4)	specifically (5)	8:5;55:7,9;82:3,4;119:9;
similar (5)	60:5;107:25;151:17;	51:4;145:21;147:25;	203:6
26:20;87:17,17;122:22;	177:25	148:11;211:1	starting (5)
231:10	Sommer (2)	specify (4)	82:2;92:10,12;100:12;
similarities (2)	32:14;69:7	56:25;92:13;126:9,12	105:21
115:20,23	soon (1)	specifying (2)	state (14)
simple (1)	15:7	212:19;214:9	24:6;32:13;37:2;41:17;
184:15	sore (1)	speculation (1)	47:13;64:21;84:13;104:1,5;
simply (2)	200:10	211:24	136:25;140:22;166:12,15;
38:24;71:13	sorry (42)	speculative (2)	194:19
Simpson (8)	8:12;9:9;11:4;14:2;24:21,	212:7;213:12	stated (9)
10:3,5,11,23;11:1,20,22;	25;25:17;68:2;85:10;96:1;	speed (2)	18:22;26:23;29:25;42:6;
13:12	103:24;107:11;132:25;	17:14;18:20	64:15;83:16;94:9;164:6;
single (4)	138:24;139:25;142:12;	spelled (2)	208:19
93:3;101:8;122:7;229:18	143:16;146:18;148:9;150:4;	133:25;152:3	statement (5)
sitting (1)	151:10;158:14;159:16,21;	spelling's (1)	41:16;95:13;110:25;
37:12	161:3;168:6,12;172:15;	165:5	191:3;222:25

Min-U-Script®

states (5)	12;150:2,8,21;167:1;179:18;	32:6;35:12;44:24;69:6	161:1,1,11,16,23;163:21;
147:25;165:15;166:23;	201:17;222:13	supremely (1)	170:2,16,16;171:1,10;
179:16;205:19		95:23	174:23,24;175:6;177:1;
	subjects (2)		
stating (3)	26:5;94:25	sure (31)	224:24;225:15;229:9
28:25;29:15;142:14	submission (1)	18:9;29:19;45:10,24;50:3;	tables (9)
stations (1)	197:15	51:12;54:12;61:13;63:7,10;	125:10,10,12;136:10;
89:14	submit (2)	67:7;70:20;80:4,18,25;	178:4,6,19,20;179:1
stay (2)	130:2;231:6	83:16;107:25;121:12;	tabs (3)
118:18;227:2	submits (2)	125:13;128:13;135:15,18;	126:18;127:12;128:6
stayed (2)	126:22;127:6	152:5;158:3;163:5,13;	tabulated (1)
117:18;181:22	submitted (6)	190:20;210:17;212:4;223:2;	120:23
staying (1)	16:1,6;45:1;82:8;129:17;	232:4	talk (12)
227:3	162:25	SURFACE (66)	15:10;17:18;25:7,8;27:1;
steering (2)	subparagraph (4)	7:23;13:5,13;17:3;18:10;	41:23;46:5;90:10;155:17;
152:24;176:25	185:23;186:12,15;189:15	21:23;22:12;26:25;29:13,14;	226:23;228:3;231:3
Stephanie (1)	subpart (2)	49:5;50:8;60:6;62:7;63:14,	talked (20)
19:14	165:15;189:12	21;64:4,18;99:12;111:9,15;	44:11;55:7;79:7;93:1,1;
still (11)	subparts (1)	112:23;131:6,12;137:2;	98:13;101:6;102:23;114:14,
13:21,24;20:16;57:24;	87:4	138:3,16,20;139:6;140:17;	17;122:8;124:5;126:10;
63:4;72:11;88:2;108:24;	subsequent (7)	141:12;142:14;143:8,18;	145:18;156:14;164:16;
122:9;144:15;202:14	25:12;43:12;76:19;84:24;	144:3,11,23;146:12,16;	167:10;174:17;187:6;198:17
stipulate (1)	94:25;172:23;208:15	147:10;156:22;157:8,20;	talking (13)
22:8			
	substance (1)	158:12,18;159:4;161:20;	27:17;44:9;59:13;98:14,
stipulated (12)	196:2	165:15;173:5;177:3;188:16;	20;118:25;130:7;149:20;
17:8;31:2;51:14;60:13;	subtract (2)	196:19;200:21;208:9;	161:1;162:1;177:22;185:8,
113:1;129:15;130:17;131:9,	125:22;185:18	214:25;215:3;216:24;217:1,	11
22;132:2;136:6;165:11	success (3)	11;218:8,24;219:4,13;220:3;	talks (4)
stipulation (9)	80:13;90:25;108:16	222:12;227:24	23:9,14;54:18;91:5
15:19,21;22:18;51:22,23;	successfully (1)	surrebuttal (1)	target (1)
125:3;127:10;130:2;140:13	139:7	22:1	225:15
stipulations (1)	Suffice (1)	surrounding (1)	tasked (3)
15:14	172:16	35:21	151:11;152:16;164:7
Stoddard (3)	suggested (4)	susceptible (3)	technical (2)
~ ***********			
			54:6;90:9
11:23,24;12:1	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24	33:5;35:14;38:15	54:6;90:9
11:23,24;12:1 storage (2)	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 sum (6)	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1)	54:6;90:9 <b>Technically (1)</b>
11:23,24;12:1 <b>storage (2)</b> 161:6,10	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 <b>sum (6)</b> 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13;	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11	54:6;90:9 <b>Technically (1)</b> 185:7
11:23,24;12:1 storage (2) 161:6,10 straight (1)	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 <b>sum (6)</b> 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3)	54:6;90:9 <b>Technically (1)</b> 185:7 <b>technology (1)</b>
11:23,24;12:1 storage (2) 161:6,10 straight (1) 116:21	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 <b>sum (6)</b> 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 <b>summarize (1)</b>	33:5;35:14;38:15 <b>suspend (1)</b> 231:11 <b>sustain (3)</b> 212:24;213:13;214:2	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13
11:23,24;12:1 storage (2) 161:6,10 straight (1) 116:21 strangely (1)	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 <b>sum (6)</b> 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 <b>summarize (1)</b> 140:3	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1)	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1)
11:23,24;12:1 storage (2) 161:6,10 straight (1) 116:21 strangely (1) 66:21	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 summarize (1) 140:3 summary (17)	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25
11:23,24;12:1 storage (2) 161:6,10 straight (1) 116:21 strangely (1) 66:21 strict (1)	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 summarize (1) 140:3 summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2;	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1)	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1)
11:23,24;12:1 storage (2) 161:6,10 straight (1) 116:21 strangely (1) 66:21 strict (1) 43:15	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 summarize (1) 140:3 summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20;	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18
11:23,24;12:1 storage (2) 161:6,10 straight (1) 116:21 strangely (1) 66:21 strict (1) 43:15 strictly (1)	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 summarize (1) 140:3 summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13;	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7)	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2)
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2)  161:6,10  straight (1)  116:21  strangely (1)  66:21  strict (1)  43:15  strictly (1)  45:22	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 summarize (1) 140:3 summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1;	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2)  161:6,10  straight (1)  116:21  strangely (1)  66:21  strict (1)  43:15  strictly (1)  45:22  strongly (1)	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 summarize (1) 140:3 summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10 summed (3)	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1)
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2)  161:6,10  straight (1)  116:21  strangely (1)  66:21  strict (1)  43:15  strictly (1)  45:22  strongly (1)  18:12	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 summarize (1) 140:3 summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10 summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2)	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2) 161:6,10  straight (1) 116:21  strangely (1) 66:21  strict (1) 43:15  strictly (1) 45:22  strongly (1) 18:12  struck (2)	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 summarize (1) 140:3 summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10 summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10 summer (2)	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1)
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2) 161:6,10  straight (1) 116:21  strangely (1) 66:21  strict (1) 43:15  strictly (1) 45:22  strongly (1) 18:12  struck (2) 9:4;45:14	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 summarize (1) 140:3 summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10 summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10 summer (2) 55:9;58:25	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3 sworn (2)	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1) 37:23
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2) 161:6,10  straight (1) 116:21  strangely (1) 66:21  strict (1) 43:15  strictly (1) 45:22  strongly (1) 18:12  struck (2) 9:4;45:14  structure (1)	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 summarize (1) 140:3 summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10 summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10 summer (2) 55:9;58:25 summing (2)	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3 sworn (2) 46:19;194:2	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1) 37:23 term (4)
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2) 161:6,10  straight (1) 116:21  strangely (1) 66:21  strict (1) 43:15  strictly (1) 45:22  strongly (1) 18:12  struck (2) 9:4;45:14  structure (1) 32:24	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 summarize (1) 140:3 summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10 summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10 summer (2) 55:9;58:25 summing (2) 102:24;117:20	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3 sworn (2) 46:19;194:2 System (3)	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1) 37:23 term (4) 38:14;90:3;113:2;229:11
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2) 161:6,10  straight (1) 116:21  strangely (1) 66:21  strict (1) 43:15  strictly (1) 45:22  strongly (1) 18:12  struck (2) 9:4;45:14  structure (1)	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 summarize (1) 140:3 summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10 summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10 summer (2) 55:9;58:25 summing (2)	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3 sworn (2) 46:19;194:2	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1) 37:23 term (4)
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2) 161:6,10  straight (1) 116:21  strangely (1) 66:21  strict (1) 43:15  strictly (1) 45:22  strongly (1) 18:12  struck (2) 9:4;45:14  structure (1) 32:24	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 summarize (1) 140:3 summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10 summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10 summer (2) 55:9;58:25 summing (2) 102:24;117:20	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3 sworn (2) 46:19;194:2 System (3)	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1) 37:23 term (4) 38:14;90:3;113:2;229:11
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2) 161:6,10  straight (1) 116:21  strangely (1) 66:21  strict (1) 43:15  strictly (1) 45:22  strongly (1) 18:12  struck (2) 9:4;45:14  structure (1) 32:24  struggling (1)	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 summarize (1) 140:3 summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10 summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10 summer (2) 55:9;58:25 summing (2) 102:24;117:20 supply (3)	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3 sworn (2) 46:19;194:2 System (3) 73:22;74:2;75:4	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1) 37:23 term (4) 38:14;90:3;113:2;229:11 terms (19)
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2) 161:6,10  straight (1) 116:21  strangely (1) 66:21  strict (1) 43:15  strictly (1) 45:22  strongly (1) 18:12  struck (2) 9:4;45:14  structure (1) 32:24  struggling (1) 27:23	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 summarize (1) 140:3 summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10 summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10 summer (2) 55:9;58:25 summing (2) 102:24;117:20 supply (3) 74:18;156:22;174:1 support (7)	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3 sworn (2) 46:19;194:2 System (3) 73:22;74:2;75:4 systems (2)	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1) 37:23 term (4) 38:14;90:3;113:2;229:11 terms (19) 29:25;30:5;31:1,17;37:18;
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2) 161:6,10  straight (1) 116:21  strangely (1) 66:21  strict (1) 43:15  strictly (1) 45:22  strongly (1) 18:12  struck (2) 9:4;45:14  structure (1) 32:24  struggling (1) 27:23  studies (1) 88:21	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24 sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8 summarize (1) 140:3 summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10 summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10 summer (2) 55:9;58:25 summing (2) 102:24;117:20 supply (3) 74:18;156:22;174:1 support (7) 18:24;20:16;33:24;34:11;	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3 sworn (2) 46:19;194:2 System (3) 73:22;74:2;75:4 systems (2) 116:21;133:12	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1) 37:23 term (4) 38:14;90:3;113:2;229:11 terms (19) 29:25;30:5;31:1,17;37:18; 38:8,14,19;94:4;99:13; 100:25;139:10;167:18;
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2) 161:6,10  straight (1) 116:21  strangely (1) 66:21  strict (1) 43:15  strictly (1) 45:22  strongly (1) 18:12  struck (2) 9:4;45:14  structure (1) 32:24  struggling (1) 27:23  studies (1) 88:21  stuff (4)	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24  sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8  summarize (1) 140:3  summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10  summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10  summer (2) 55:9;58:25  summing (2) 102:24;117:20  supply (3) 74:18;156:22;174:1  support (7) 18:24;20:16;33:24;34:11; 41:15;231:25;232:3	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3 sworn (2) 46:19;194:2 System (3) 73:22;74:2;75:4 systems (2)	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1) 37:23 term (4) 38:14;90:3;113:2;229:11 terms (19) 29:25;30:5;31:1,17;37:18; 38:8,14,19;94:4;99:13; 100:25;139:10;167:18; 201:25;210:2,11;211:20;
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2) 161:6,10  straight (1) 116:21  strangely (1) 66:21  strict (1) 43:15  strictly (1) 45:22  strongly (1) 18:12  struck (2) 9:4;45:14  structure (1) 32:24  struggling (1) 27:23  studies (1) 88:21  stuff (4) 36:19;131:18;185:7,11	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24  sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8  summarize (1) 140:3  summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10  summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10  summer (2) 55:9;58:25  summing (2) 102:24;117:20  supply (3) 74:18;156:22;174:1  support (7) 18:24;20:16;33:24;34:11; 41:15;231:25;232:3  supporting (1)	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3 sworn (2) 46:19;194:2 System (3) 73:22;74:2;75:4 systems (2) 116:21;133:12 T	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1) 37:23 term (4) 38:14;90:3;113:2;229:11 terms (19) 29:25;30:5;31:1,17;37:18; 38:8,14,19;94:4;99:13; 100:25;139:10;167:18; 201:25;210:2,11;211:20; 213:23;214:8
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2) 161:6,10  straight (1) 116:21  strangely (1) 66:21  strict (1) 43:15  strictly (1) 45:22  strongly (1) 18:12  struck (2) 9:4;45:14  structure (1) 32:24  struggling (1) 27:23  studies (1) 88:21  stuff (4) 36:19;131:18;185:7,11  sub (2)	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24  sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8  summarize (1) 140:3  summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10  summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10  summer (2) 55:9;58:25  summing (2) 102:24;117:20  supply (3) 74:18;156:22;174:1  support (7) 18:24;20:16;33:24;34:11; 41:15;231:25;232:3  supporting (1) 127:12	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3 sworn (2) 46:19;194:2 System (3) 73:22;74:2;75:4 systems (2) 116:21;133:12  T tab (5)	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1) 37:23 term (4) 38:14;90:3;113:2;229:11 terms (19) 29:25;30:5;31:1,17;37:18; 38:8,14,19;94:4;99:13; 100:25;139:10;167:18; 201:25;210:2,11;211:20; 213:23;214:8 testified (22)
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2) 161:6,10  straight (1) 116:21  strangely (1) 66:21  strict (1) 43:15  strictly (1) 45:22  strongly (1) 18:12  struck (2) 9:4;45:14  structure (1) 32:24  struggling (1) 27:23  studies (1) 88:21  stuff (4) 36:19;131:18;185:7,11  sub (2) 136:18,19	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24  sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8  summarize (1) 140:3  summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10  summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10  summer (2) 55:9;58:25  summing (2) 102:24;117:20  supply (3) 74:18;156:22;174:1  support (7) 18:24;20:16;33:24;34:11; 41:15;231:25;232:3  supporting (1) 127:12  supports (1)	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3 sworn (2) 46:19;194:2 System (3) 73:22;74:2;75:4 systems (2) 116:21;133:12  T  tab (5) 59:19;86:4;128:5;129:1;	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1) 37:23 term (4) 38:14;90:3;113:2;229:11 terms (19) 29:25;30:5;31:1,17;37:18; 38:8,14,19;94:4;99:13; 100:25;139:10;167:18; 201:25;210:2,11;211:20; 213:23;214:8 testified (22) 46:20;57:3;66:3;67:22;
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2) 161:6,10  straight (1) 116:21  strangely (1) 66:21  strict (1) 43:15  strictly (1) 45:22  strongly (1) 18:12  struck (2) 9:4;45:14  structure (1) 32:24  struggling (1) 27:23  studies (1) 88:21  stuff (4) 36:19;131:18;185:7,11  sub (2) 136:18,19  subissues (2)	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24  sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8  summarize (1) 140:3  summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10  summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10  summer (2) 55:9;58:25  summing (2) 102:24;117:20  supply (3) 74:18;156:22;174:1  support (7) 18:24;20:16;33:24;34:11; 41:15;231:25;232:3  supporting (1) 127:12  supports (1) 34:16	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3 sworn (2) 46:19;194:2 System (3) 73:22;74:2;75:4 systems (2) 116:21;133:12  T  tab (5) 59:19;86:4;128:5;129:1; 202:23	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1) 37:23 term (4) 38:14;90:3;113:2;229:11 terms (19) 29:25;30:5;31:1,17;37:18; 38:8,14,19;94:4;99:13; 100:25;139:10;167:18; 201:25;210:2,11;211:20; 213:23;214:8 testified (22) 46:20;57:3;66:3;67:22; 73:8;106:6;111:25;114:19;
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2) 161:6,10  straight (1) 116:21  strangely (1) 66:21  strict (1) 43:15  strictly (1) 45:22  strongly (1) 18:12  struck (2) 9:4;45:14  structure (1) 32:24  struggling (1) 27:23  studies (1) 88:21  stuff (4) 36:19;131:18;185:7,11  sub (2) 136:18,19  subissues (2) 25:14,16	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24  sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8  summarize (1) 140:3  summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10  summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10  summer (2) 55:9;58:25  summing (2) 102:24;117:20  supply (3) 74:18;156:22;174:1  support (7) 18:24;20:16;33:24;34:11; 41:15;231:25;232:3  supporting (1) 127:12  supports (1) 34:16  supposed (4)	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3 sworn (2) 46:19;194:2 System (3) 73:22;74:2;75:4 systems (2) 116:21;133:12  T  tab (5) 59:19;86:4;128:5;129:1; 202:23 table (34)	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1) 37:23 term (4) 38:14;90:3;113:2;229:11 terms (19) 29:25;30:5;31:1,17;37:18; 38:8,14,19;94:4;99:13; 100:25;139:10;167:18; 201:25;210:2,11;211:20; 213:23;214:8 testified (22) 46:20;57:3;66:3;67:22; 73:8;106:6;111:25;114:19; 120:10;125:4;134:13;138:6;
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2)  161:6,10  straight (1)  116:21  strangely (1)  66:21  strict (1)  43:15  strictly (1)  45:22  strongly (1)  18:12  struck (2)  9:4;45:14  structure (1)  32:24  struggling (1)  27:23  studies (1)  88:21  stuff (4)  36:19;131:18;185:7,11  sub (2)  136:18,19  subissues (2)  25:14,16  subject (16)	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24  sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8  summarize (1) 140:3  summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10  summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10  summer (2) 55:9;58:25  summing (2) 102:24;117:20  supply (3) 74:18;156:22;174:1  support (7) 18:24;20:16;33:24;34:11; 41:15;231:25;232:3  supporting (1) 127:12  supports (1) 34:16  supposed (4) 34:10;115:14;130:21;	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3 sworn (2) 46:19;194:2 System (3) 73:22;74:2;75:4 systems (2) 116:21;133:12  T  tab (5) 59:19;86:4;128:5;129:1; 202:23 table (34) 60:24;61:7;70:1;102:11;	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1) 37:23 term (4) 38:14;90:3;113:2;229:11 terms (19) 29:25;30:5;31:1,17;37:18; 38:8,14,19;94:4;99:13; 100:25;139:10;167:18; 201:25;210:2,11;211:20; 213:23;214:8 testified (22) 46:20;57:3;66:3;67:22; 73:8;106:6;111:25;114:19; 120:10;125:4;134:13;138:6; 145:3,14,20;160:18;167:14;
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2) 161:6,10  straight (1) 116:21  strangely (1) 66:21  strict (1) 43:15  strictly (1) 45:22  strongly (1) 18:12  struck (2) 9:4;45:14  structure (1) 32:24  struggling (1) 27:23  studies (1) 88:21  stuff (4) 36:19;131:18;185:7,11  sub (2) 136:18,19  subissues (2) 25:14,16  subject (16) 16:7;21:10;25:25;34:3;	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24  sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8  summarize (1) 140:3  summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10  summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10  summer (2) 55:9;58:25  summing (2) 102:24;117:20  supply (3) 74:18;156:22;174:1  support (7) 18:24;20:16;33:24;34:11; 41:15;231:25;232:3  supporting (1) 127:12  supports (1) 34:16  supposed (4) 34:10;115:14;130:21; 216:19	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3 sworn (2) 46:19;194:2 System (3) 73:22;74:2;75:4 systems (2) 116:21;133:12  T  tab (5) 59:19;86:4;128:5;129:1; 202:23 table (34) 60:24;61:7;70:1;102:11; 127:8,13,16;129:1,4;130:23,	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1) 37:23 term (4) 38:14;90:3;113:2;229:11 terms (19) 29:25;30:5;31:1,17;37:18; 38:8,14,19;94:4;99:13; 100:25;139:10;167:18; 201:25;210:2,11;211:20; 213:23;214:8 testified (22) 46:20;57:3;66:3;67:22; 73:8;106:6;111:25;114:19; 120:10;125:4;134:13;138:6; 145:3,14,20;160:18;167:14; 183:12;192:4;194:3;200:4;
11:23,24;12:1  storage (2)  161:6,10  straight (1)  116:21  strangely (1)  66:21  strict (1)  43:15  strictly (1)  45:22  strongly (1)  18:12  struck (2)  9:4;45:14  structure (1)  32:24  struggling (1)  27:23  studies (1)  88:21  stuff (4)  36:19;131:18;185:7,11  sub (2)  136:18,19  subissues (2)  25:14,16  subject (16)	32:20;59:1;80:23;82:24  sum (6) 98:16,17;118:6;154:13,13; 161:8  summarize (1) 140:3  summary (17) 18:23;26:19;27:6;32:2; 34:24;125:9;127:8,13,16,20; 129:1;130:13,16;134:13; 170:2,16;171:10  summed (3) 100:23;117:14;126:10  summer (2) 55:9;58:25  summing (2) 102:24;117:20  supply (3) 74:18;156:22;174:1  support (7) 18:24;20:16;33:24;34:11; 41:15;231:25;232:3  supporting (1) 127:12  supports (1) 34:16  supposed (4) 34:10;115:14;130:21;	33:5;35:14;38:15 suspend (1) 231:11 sustain (3) 212:24;213:13;214:2 sustained (1) 210:21 Swanson (1) 32:7 SWC (7) 36:5,9,20;136:20;166:1; 176:12;197:16 SWC-IGWA (2) 173:16;203:3 sworn (2) 46:19;194:2 System (3) 73:22;74:2;75:4 systems (2) 116:21;133:12  T  tab (5) 59:19;86:4;128:5;129:1; 202:23 table (34) 60:24;61:7;70:1;102:11;	54:6;90:9 Technically (1) 185:7 technology (1) 89:13 technology-challenged (1) 10:25 telephone (1) 11:18 telling (2) 36:4,5 ten (1) 193:13 tendered (1) 37:23 term (4) 38:14;90:3;113:2;229:11 terms (19) 29:25;30:5;31:1,17;37:18; 38:8,14,19;94:4;99:13; 100:25;139:10;167:18; 201:25;210:2,11;211:20; 213:23;214:8 testified (22) 46:20;57:3;66:3;67:22; 73:8;106:6;111:25;114:19; 120:10;125:4;134:13;138:6; 145:3,14,20;160:18;167:14;

119:10;162:16,21 **Total (36)** 213:5.9.10 testify (6) 29:1;45:18;57:18;68:17, 38:12,24;39:2,12;40:13; threshold (1) TSCHOHL (17) 22:176:1 30:21 55:22:70:6.11.15:76:16: 8:5,18;11:24;12:1,6;85:8; throughout (1) testifying (6) 97:11:98:18:101:23:114:16; 129:25;169:5;219:22,25; 29:10;109:22;132:15; 182:19 117:18:122:18:123:3: 228:7,21,24;229:5,20,23; 199:2,5;201:6 tier (4) 136:20;151:14;153:7,9,22; 230:6 154:3;160:20;164:12; **TESTIMONY (35)** 116:8;117:10;118:3,10 turn (56) tiers (3) 7:3;17:19,20;18:14;21:6,9, 165:16;166:2;170:9;172:12; 8:15;10:18;30:4;55:14,17; 20;28:16;45:19;46:23;61:8; 116:6,11:118:8 174:21;177:17;183:1; 59:16;60:21;69:22;71:16; 73:16;81:19;104:2;105:10; till (2) 73:20;74:13;75:1,16;76:9; 186:17;189:16;198:12; 106:19:109:25:124:17; 195:8,9 203:25 79:1:85:8;86:3;89:19;96:24; 135:3;148:6;151:6;170:4; Tim (4) totals (1) 101:10;106:1,13;111:3; 179:20;189:19;194:6,16; 193:21;194:14,23;222:23 112:6;119:19;124:22; 126:5 198:14,21;199:11,12,19,25; 135:24;137:9;148:21;159:9; timely (1) towards (1) 205:11;228:2;232:7 43:10 113:16 163:6;166:18,20;167:24; Thanks (11) times (4) TRANSCRIBER'S (1) 169:11,25;170:13,24;171:8, 9:12;11:22;14:17,22;15:8; 35:8;107:20;172:21;180:7 16,25;173:3,10;174:7,11; 2:19 21:18;91:1;117:2;183:4; TRANSCRIPT (1) time's (1) 185:20;197:4,13;201:11; 204:16;208:21 63:7 202:22;204:10;216:5,17; 2:19 timing (1) 218:13;221:3,4 theirs (1) transparently (1) 40:19 93:7 125:18 turned (1) theory (2) TIMOTHY (3) transpiration (1) 174:12 184:18;188:1 7:3;194:1,21 185:3 Turning (1) therefore (1) title (7) transpired (2) 206:17 36:22 21:16;71:10;111:8,12; 58:20;89:17 turns (1) thereof (1) 150:17;185:25;186:9 travel (1) 98:19 128:25 titled (15) 197:23 twice (1) thick (1) 50:6,15,21;60:6;71:16; Travis (10) 226:13 136:2 73:21;75:16;87:3;166:22; 13:6,8;17:9;20:1;139:24; **TWIN (2)** thinking (1) 186:6;197:11;201:13;203:1; 157:7;168:14,18;217:21; 2:10;206:14 113:9 229:11,13 227:16 two (32) third (1) titles (2) treasurer (1) 10:8:16:23:19:12:25:14, 207:3 229:24.24 195:5 24;29:22;30:22;31:24;38:15; third-party (1) TJ (10) treat (2) 61:21:66:16;70:3;76:1;93:5; 64:25 12:25;15:17;31:6;104:5; 78:8:210:18 107:1;118:1;125:22;146:9, Thompson (76) trend (2) 17,22;147:8;153:21;156:10; 129:21;142:10;165:25; 7:7,9;13:8,8,15;17:10; 179:15;189:3;203:2 108:12,13 176:19;183:18,25;186:19; 187:2;207:2;222:10;225:23; 20:3;21:12;26:25;30:19,20; today (27) tried (2) 9:1;14:16;15:3,5,8;19:18; 30:22;203:8 229:4 31:8;38:1,2;52:5,6;57:12,24, 26:1,9,12,15;27:17;30:9; trigger (1) 25;58:4,5;65:9;93:20,21; **type (8)** 99:18,19;101:12;103:23; 34:3,5;36:4,17;37:13;40:10; 201:25 27:3;34:4;45:8;48:1,25; 104:25;105:1;124:18; 46:23;47:11;51:1;85:21; trouble (1) 49:20;87:17;132:22 131:16;134:22;139:24; 194:6,14;202:14;211:5; 119:11 types (6) 31:24;83:5;116:19,20; 157:1,3,6,7;159:3,18;164:17; 227:13 troubleshoot (1) together (12) 10:15 119:18;133:11 165:2;168:3,14,18,23; 59:7;60:1,3;119:6;196:13, true (9) 169:16;173:13,17;176:19; typically (2) 24;197:20;198:5;205:11; 161:15;162:7,18;164:15; 88:21;188:18 177:5;179:8,9,12;183:3; 211:13,19,20 180:9;181:15,19;218:10; 191:18,19;193:14;204:14, U told (1) 219:15 16;217:17,20,21;218:20; 197:24 220:2;221:25;222:15,16; true-up (1) 224:11,12,17;226:10;227:17, tomorrow (1) 83:2 ultimate (3) 18;230:11,25 130:4 truly (1) 41:18;45:14;201:1 thought (9) tonight (2) 84:15 ultimately (5) 9:19;16:21;28:17,17;40:1, 130:3;226:25 truth (6) 65:14;96:9,10;103:11; 3;134:11;147:5;199:8 took (12) 46:23,24,24;194:6,7,7 199:23 thoughts (2) 76:22;78:2;100:21;105:7; un- (1) try (6) 20:2,9 8:15;24:15;39:25;80:11; 31:15 116:24;131:18;149:16; three (10) 150:23;200:1,1;211:4;225:7 211:12;226:5 unambiguous (10) 64:20;90:22,23;102:15; trying (19) top (5) 29:5;30:5,11,13;31:9,14, 103:5;116:9;129:3;185:11, 102:11;111:7;148:16; 12:6;27:9;29:18,19,24; 21;35:9;65:7;199:5 12;193:10 157:17;159:7 36:17;37:14;64:24;84:23; uncertain (2) three-vear (7) topic (1) 98:24:109:14:124:8:187:8: 27:2:108:25 101:25;102:5,12;103:6; 202:9 196:12;202:11;211:19; uncertainties (1)

216:22 22;116:15;128:10;182:3; VARIOUS (18) 81:11,14;82:3,25;86:11,21; unclean (1) 215:23 2:4;35:8;53:11;58:23,23; 88:3,9,18,20,23;89:2,3,4,7, use (45) 79:3:96:16:114:19:127:12: 16;90:12,14,15;92:10;95:6; 26:6 uncomfortable (1) 56:21;75:6,9;81:3,6;88:10, 128:18;147:16;180:6,7; 96:19;98:5,22;99:12;107:23; 24:7 19;91:7,10;93:2,4,4,5,5,6,9, 183:12;187:18;199:22; 110:18:111:9.16.17.20: under (26) 11;96:11,14;101:1;119:5; 209:5;216:13 112:23,24;113:25;115:7; 25:13;27:25;42:18;73:13; 125:14;127:15;145:10; vary (1) 116:1,1,2,11,12;117:10,12, 116:17 76:1,20,22;77:9;81:13;86:4; 162:20;164:3;178:25;184:1, 14,16,17,21,22;118:1,7,9,16; 102:15;112:24;114:3,8,10; 3,18,21,24;185:3,5,8,14; varying (1) 119:12,16;123:11,12;124:2; 49:12 117:16,18;118:18;119:3; 186:13;187:6;188:11; 126:11;128:24;131:7,13; 137:13;167:7;185:21; 189:12:190:4,18:192:6; versa (1) 132:21:133:6:137:2:138:3, 190:23;202:23;221:1;225:15 216:14;226:7 126:2 16,20;139:6,22;140:17; 141:12;142:14;143:8,18; used (31) underlying (3) versus (7) 9:4:15:13:42:25 35:11;57:1;74:5,11;75:5, 35:4:102:24:120:5; 144:4,11,23;146:12,16,25; understands (1) 15;76:20;77:6;81:25;82:11; 121:11;129:8;182:2;189:20 147:11;148:19;150:15; 28:7 87:23;88:19,21,21,24;89:2; via (2) 156:22;157:8,20;158:12,18; 113:25;139:21 understood (10) 90:8;91:13;92:6;102:8,10; 159:5;161:20;165:15;173:4, 39:16,18;41:5;73:16; 103:2;107:24;108:18; vice (1) 6;177:3;180:6,14;181:23; 93:24;94:2;170:4;189:19; 126:2 120:15;127:19;145:8,15; 182:3;184:6,9;185:10; 200:5;201:5 view (1) 184:12;187:5;199:23 187:15,19,20,21,23,24; unfortunately (2) user (9) 208:11 188:2,3,16;192:20;195:11, 27:5;31:12 90:21;96:19;117:14; violated (2) 15;196:15,17,18;200:6,21; **UNIDENTIFIED (4)** 118:5;119:15;166:24; 43:3,11 201:21;202:15,16;203:23; 138:25;139:17;142:24; 179:16;181:23;201:14 violation (1) 206:19;208:9;209:7;214:25; 231:2 users (30) 43:4 215:4;216:13,14,24;217:1, unique (3) 55:5;61:23,25;67:9;78:21; visual (1) 11;218:9,25;219:4,13;220:3; 31:13;38:22;214:11 82:7,8,25;99:17;113:15; 79:6 222:12;227:24 University (2) 116:2;119:1,1,10;122:5; vodka (1) watermasters (1) 47:20,21 126:9;133:6,10;179:24; 232:17 81:14 unjust (1) 188:18;196:19;202:15,16; **VOIR (4)** way (26) 26:5 215:22;216:13;217:2; 63:12;83:14;99:10;144:1 20:9,19;21:12,20;34:6; Unless (4) 219:19;220:7,11,16 volume (19) 37:6;39:16;44:10;56:7; 15:18;51:3;179:2;189:3 users' (1) 40:24:56:12.14.19.19: 57:25:58:17:66:6:113:9: unmute (1) 82:13 59:8:60:18,19:97:9,9; 115:18:119:3,12,17;125:19; 13:25 uses (1) 103:11;117:19;118:17; 132:3;160:9;175:4;181:22; 89:4 unmutes (1) 121:22;160:17;161:9; 208:11;209:6;214:5;228:22 186:13;189:12;190:18 9:15 **using (12)** ways (18) 22:24;71:23;75:21,25; 33:12;37:7;52:20;53:6; Unmuting (1) volumes (5) 87:24;117:3;125:15,15; 24:25 88:3;89:12,14;92:24;101:7, 56:10,13,23;73:9;92:19; 22;103:3;202:14 188:12 up (45) 96:20;101:4;115:17;122:14, 10:18:17:14:18:12:21:6,8, usually (1) 22;134:9,14,17,18 W 20:27:12:56:14.23:58:17: 9:22 Weaver (4) 59:19;60:18;77:17,22,23; utilize (4) 62:13,21;63:6;86:16 WebEx (2) 84:8;87:19;91:14;96:12; 40:25;96:9;118:21;209:4 waiting (1) 103:1;106:2;108:17;117:14, utilized (1) 113:2 10:7,15 walk (1) 107:5 weigh (1) 20;126:10;144:19;147:1; utilizing (1) 199:11 116:14 157:8;160:20;175:14; welcome (1) 208:25 176:23;181:1,7,14;182:7; wants (2) Utmost (1) 185:12;204:18;209:23; 109:24;149:1 9:1 211:25;215:18;219:23; 21:17 watch (1) wells (11) 221:16,18,24;224:12 8:17 48:4;74:20;77:7;78:8;  $\mathbf{V}$ **upon** (9) **WATER (204)** 80:19;98:22;102:24;116:20; 18:2;35:1;84:18;143:7,17; 117:17;174:1;189:25 2:1,4,4,23;7:23;8:25; weren't (1) 151:5;155:4;190:22;197:14 Valley (11) 12:23;13:5,13;17:3,22; upper (1) 32:14;49:13;61:22,22; 18:10;19:16;21:23;22:12; 98:23 61:22 69:8;115:6;174:2,3;188:13; 23:11;26:25;29:13,14;44:5; West (1) **USA (1)** 47:17 207:5,11 47:18,23;48:1,9,17;49:5,14, wet (1) 162:3 value (1) 16;50:8,10;54:6;55:4,5; **usage (26)** 185:5 56:15,16,19;60:6;61:3;62:8, 122:13 49:3,24;53:12;54:1,2,13; values (4) 8;63:14,21;64:4,18;66:22; what's (27) 59:4;76:15;77:5;79:13,24; 82:16;98:12,20;102:23 71:5;73:2,21;74:2,3,24;75:3, 32:1;47:23;57:10;62:17; 80:4:81:3:82:6:87:16.24: varies (2) 4,6,9,17,21;76:3;77:2,6; 63:2:64:22:66:15:67:18.19: 90:1,24;93:2;98:6;100:21, 116:4;192:17 69:25;71:3;84:5;87:8;89:11; 78:19;79:25;80:16,18,20,22;

```
11 (5)
  91:21;95:7;104:9;122:18;
                                word (2)
                                                               Yenter (1)
  139:4;146:22;147:7;167:2;
                                  137:13:150:10
                                                                  87:14
                                                                                                 67:11;68:2,5,7;205:23
  195:4;216:4;218:20;225:5;
                                wording (2)
                                                               Yep (8)
                                                                                               11/3/2016 (1)
                                  151:7;190:22
                                                                  73:19;92:18;141:15;
  232:8
                                                                                                  160:4
whenever (1)
                                words (5)
                                                                  150:13,17;173:15;206:21;
                                                                                               110(1)
  9:15
                                  31:10;123:9;133:17;
                                                                  230:6
                                                                                                 7:15
                                                               yesterday (1)
Whereas (1)
                                  190:17,19
                                                                                               114 (12)
  147:3
                                work (19)
                                                                  16:24
                                                                                                 7:19;96:24;99:3,22,23;
                                  43:10;48:1,17,25;49:11,
white (4)
                                                               Young (1)
                                                                                                  100:7;159:9,10,17,18;
  59:16;86:5;119:19;202:23
                                                                  47:19
                                                                                                  173:11;229:13
                                  12,19,20;52:16;82:21;
whole (10)
                                  105:15:112:3:117:8:124:9:
                                                                                               118 (8)
                                                                             \mathbf{Z}
  46:24;63:17;66:15;
                                  132:22;134:11;187:12;
                                                                                                  7:20;119:21;120:8;124:13,
  107:17;109:7;119:4;194:7;
                                  211:4,19
                                                                                                  19,21;230:4,9
                                worked (1)
                                                                                               119 (9)
  216:9,10;223:8
                                                               zero (5)
who's (4)
                                  127:25
                                                                  11:19,19;16:9;22:11;
                                                                                                 7:21;101:10,12,13;104:21;
  9:23;19:15;49:17;110:24
                                working (2)
                                                                  154:15
                                                                                                  105:3,4;162:11;229:15
                                                               Zoom (1)
Whose (1)
                                  54:6;112:4
                                                                                               12 (11)
  109:20
                                workshop (2)
                                                                  9:17
                                                                                                 67:11,23;68:3;80:7;83:7;
                                  98:2;100:10
Wildman's (1)
                                                                                                 203:22;204:3,8;207:22;
                                                                             1
  43:6
                                worried (2)
                                                                                                  229:6,7
William (1)
                                  119:1,2
                                                                                               12,943 (1)
  11:23
                                writing (2)
                                                               1 (36)
                                                                                                 153:19
Williams (2)
                                  210:19;211:25
                                                                  50:3,6;52:8;55:14;72:17;
                                                                                               120(8)
  14:5.9
                                writings (1)
                                                                  89:25;111:3,4,7,21,21;
                                                                                                 7:22;119:21;122:21;
                                                                                                  124:13,20,21;230:4,9
willing (1)
                                  32:18
                                                                  114:12;149:11;159:4;
  227:2
                                written (4)
                                                                  166:18;174:8,14,14,15;
                                                                                               122,784 (1)
                                                                                                 154:1
wish (1)
                                  25:23;176:6,11;222:11
                                                                  185:20,22;186:4;189:11;
  227:20
                                                                                               124(2)
                                wrong (3)
                                                                  197:4,8,11,16;201:11;
withdrawals (1)
                                  112:8;159:15;165:20
                                                                  204:11,15;205:20;218:1;
                                                                                                 7:20,22
                                WWC (1)
  203:20
                                                                  221:3;228:16;229:5,7
                                                                                               1-39 (2)
withdrawn (1)
                                  68:7
                                                               1:15(3)
                                                                                                 7:13;52:10
                                                                  105:16,17,18
  58:3
                                                                                               14 (14)
                                             Y
within (20)
                                                                                                  7:15;106:14;107:17,19,20,
                                                               10(9)
  12:16;31:10;32:21;69:15;
                                                                  67:11;76:9;106:2;108:16;
                                                                                                 21;109:1,5;110:8;159:17;
                               year (60)
  70:5;77:19;78:3;79:9,24;
                                                                  191:5,8,11;205:16,19
                                                                                                  176:13;204:9;206:11;230:2
                                  30:23;69:7;93:3,5;100:16;
                                                                                               141 (1)
  80:5,17,17;90:24;118:10;
                                                               1-0(1)
  125:20;175:7;202:2,8;204:1;
                                  101:9;102:3;103:15;104:8,9;
                                                                                                 7:24
                                                                  229:3
  209:1
                                                                                               143 (1)
                                  119:15,16;121:4,9,18,22;
                                                               100(4)
without (5)
                                                                                                 7:25
                                  122:4,7,11,18;123:15;
                                                                  22:11;118:5;158:3;188:22
  17:8,16;29:12,13;121:5
                                                                                               145 (1)
                                  147:17,22;155:16;162:5,16,
                                                               101 (6)
witness (38)
                                  25:163:20:164:4.5.8.13:
                                                                  7:15;106:13;110:8,9;
                                                                                                 32:8
  18:15;19:14;20:5;21:10;
                                  168:19;170:9;171:23;172:4;
                                                                  229:21;230:2
                                                                                               146(1)
  22:24;46:9,10,19,25;58:8;
                                  177:7;180:11,12,17;196:8,9,
                                                               102 (12)
                                                                                                 35:5
  62:22;63:1,6;101:14;104:5;
                                  12,23;201:24,25;209:7;
                                                                  7:16;202:22;208:5,18,20;
                                                                                               14th (3)
                                  211:8;216:3,6,17,19;223:5;
                                                                  214:22;230:5,11,16,21,22,24
                                                                                                  140:24;168:15;175:21
  112:11;130:19,21;143:15,
                                  225:8,9,12;226:2,3,4,8
  21;148:6,9;156:25;168:4;
                                                               102,000 (1)
                                                                                               15 (7)
  183:8;193:9,20;194:2,8;
                                                                                                  124:24;125:8;126:20,25;
                               years (43)
                                                                  170:21
                                                                                                  127:4;206:14;220:16
  202:13;212:10,11;219:24;
                                  28:15;37:15;93:3,3,6,10;
                                                               102,803 (1)
  220:1;221:13,22;223:22;
                                  98:14;101:7,22;102:10,22;
                                                                  170:18
                                                                                               16 (3)
                                                                                                  53:16,24;206:17
  226:21
                                  104:19;119:3;120:13,15,16,
                                                               105 (1)
witness' (3)
                                  16,23;122:5,10,12,12,13;
                                                                                               170(1)
                                                                  7:21
  22:4;124:16;210:18
                                  123:2,5,10,12;132:20;156:4,
                                                               106(1)
                                                                                                 32:14
WITNESSES (24)
                                                                                               170,000 (1)
                                  5;172:20,23;177:5,17;
                                                                  35:5
  7:2;19:8,10,12;20:4,12,13,
                                  182:13;195:2,7;196:18;
                                                                                                 223:12
                                                               107 (18)
                                                                                               182,000 (1)
  18,23,25;21:3,3,24;24:23;
                                  215:24;223:7,14,16,25
                                                                  7:17;59:19;63:3,17,17,18;
  25:2,5;29:1;226:24;227:2,
                               year's (4)
                                                                                                 171:13
                                                                  69:23;70:1;72:19,21;83:8,
  15,19,22,24;228:1
                                  53:13;54:1;98:17;125:22
                                                                  17,18;85:22;106:1;228:18;
                                                                                               19(2)
WMIS (13)
                               year-to-year (1)
                                                                                                  126:25;201:22
                                                                  229:1,2
                                  196:22
  67:1;73:21;74:21;75:5;
                                                               107s (1)
                                                                                               194(1)
  76:15;81:17;87:5,6,16;
                               yellow (12)
                                                                  229:4
  96:11;125:15;128:6,17
                                  74:16,20;79:3,9;102:6;
                                                               109 (7)
                                                                                               1950s (1)
wondering (1)
                                  120:20;121:2,16,21;122:19,
                                                                  7:18;86:3,4;93:13;95:8,9;
                                                                                                 108:12
                                                                                               1995 (1)
  37:13
                                  22;123:3
                                                                  229:10
```

Min-U-Script®

132:23

2014 (8)

2015 (38)

48:14;102:1,7;122:8,9;

98:9,15;100:23;101:1,23;

120:9,19;122:17

9:5;25:11;50:7,12,23;

52:18;55:3,15;58:23,25;

108:14

224:19,22 **221,000 (1)** 

16:9;112:6;127:10;130:9;

163:10,11;171:25;195:7;

217 (1)

7:7

22 (10)

65:11;106:24;107:3

5

413 (1)

32:15 **42-1701a (1)** 

42:18

186:1,3

197:13

135:9,10;136:7,9;203:3

3

2d(1)

2nd(5)

# **Audio Transcription**

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	I, JEFF LaMAR, CSR No. 640, Certified Shorthand	
4	Reporter, certify:	
5	That the audio recording of the proceedings was	
6	transcribed by me or under my direction.	
7	That the foregoing is a true and correct	
8	transcription of all testimony given, to the best of my	
9	ability.	
10	I further certify that I am not a relative or	
11	employee of any attorney or party, nor am I financially	
12	interested in the action.	
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I set my hand and seal this	
14	1st day of June, 2023.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	JEFF LaMAR, CSR NO. 640	
22	Notary Public	
23	Post Office Box 2636	
24	Boise, Idaho 83701-2636	
25	My commission expires December 30, 2023	

# EXHIBIT H

1 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES OF THE STATE OF IDAHO IN THE MATTER OF DISTRIBUTION ) OF WATER TO VARIOUS WATER ) IDWR DOCKET NO. RIGHTS HELD BY OR FOR THE ) CM-MP-2016-001 BENEFIT OF A&B IRRIGATION ) DISTRICT, AMERICAN FALLS ) RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2, BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT, ) MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, ) TRANSCRIBER'S TRANSCRIPT MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, ) OF PROCEEDINGS NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY, ) AND TWIN FALLS CANAL COMPANY ) CAPTION CONTINUED NEXT PAGE **BEFORE** HEARING OFFICER: ROGER BURDICK DATE: March 14, 2024 LOCATION: Idaho Department of Water Resources Boise, Idaho TRANSCRIBED BY: BROOKE SIMMS, CSR No. 1174, RPR, CCR Notary Public

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

```
2
                                                                                                                 3
                                                            1
                                                                             APPEARANCES
    IN THE MATTER OF IGWA'S
                                               )
    SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
                                               )
 3 MITIGATION PLAN
                                                            3 For the Department of Water Resources:
                                                                      Office of the Attorney General
 4
 5
                                                            5
                                                                      Idaho Department of Water Resources
                                                                      BY MR. KAYLEEN R. RICHTER, ESQ.
 6
                                                            6
 7
                                                                      322 East Front Street, Suite 648
 8
                                                                      Boise, Idaho 83720-0098
 9
                                                            9
                                                                      kayleen.richter@idwr.idaho.gov
10
                                                           10 For the Minidoka Irrigation District, AFRD#2, SWC:
11
                                                           11
                                                                      Fletcher Law Office
12
                                                                      BY MR. W. KENT FLETCHER, ESQ.
13
                                                                      1200 Overland Avenue
                                                           13
14
                                                           14
                                                                      Burley, Idaho 83318-0248
15
                                                                      wkf@pmt.org
16
                                                           16 For A&B Irrigation District, Burley Irrigation District,
17
                                                           17 Milner Irrigation District, North Side Canal Company,
18
                                                           18 Surface Water Coalition, and Twin Falls Canal Company:
19
                                                           19
                                                                      Marten Law
20
                                                           20
                                                                      BY MR. TRAVIS L. THOMPSON, ESQ.
21
                                                           21
                                                                      163 2nd Avenue West
22
                                                           22
                                                                      Twin Falls, Idaho 83301
23
                                                                      tthompson@martenlaw.com
24
                                                           24
25
                                                           25
                                                                                                                 5
 1
                A P P E A R A N C E (Continued)
                                                                      APPEARANCES (Continued)
 3 For Idaho Ground Water Appropriators, Inc.:
                                                             3
             Racine Olson, PLLP
                                                             4
                                                               For American Falls-Aberdeen Ground Water District:
              BY MR. THOMAS J. BUDGE, ESQ.
 5
                                                             5
                                                                      Somach Simmons & Dunn, P.C.
              & MS. ELISHEVA M. PATTERSON, ESQ.
                                                             6
                                                                      BY MR. MAXIMILIAN C. BRICKER, ESQ.
 6
                                                             7
 7
              201 East Center Street
                                                                      1155 Canyon Boulevard, Suite 110
                                                             8
                                                                      Boulder, Colorado 80302
 8
              Pocatello, Idaho 83201
                                                             9
                                                                      mbricker@somachlaw.com
 9
              tj@racineolson.com
                                                            10
10
              elisheva@racineolson.com
                                                            11 Also Present:
11 For Bonneville-Jefferson Ground Water District:
                                                            12
                                                                      Sara Tschohl
12
              Olsen Taggart, PLLC
                                                           13
13
              BY MR. SKYLER C. JOHNS, ESQ.
                                                           14
14
              P.O. Box 3005
                                                           15
15
              Idaho Falls, Idaho 83403
                                                            16
16
              sjohns@olsentaggart.com
                                                            17
17 For Bingham Ground Water District:
                                                            18
              Dylan Anderson Law
18
                                                            19
19
              BY MR. DYLAN K. ANDERSON, ESQ.
                                                            20
20
              P.O. Box 35
                                                            21
21
              Rexburg, Idaho 83440
                                                            22
22
              dylan@dylanandersonlaw.com
                                                            23
23
                                                            24
24
                                                            25
25
```

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

	, ,
1 INDEX 2 WITNESSES 3 TESTIMONY OF DAVID COLVIN PAGE 4 Direct Examination By Mr. Thompson 40 5 Cross-Examination By Mr. Budge 53 6 Cross-Examination By Unidentified Speaker 83 7 Cross-Examination By Mr. Anderson 86 8 Redirect Examination By Mr. Thompson 92 9 10 TESTIMONY OF SOPHIA SIGSTEDT 11 Direct Examination By Ms. Patterson 95 12 Cross-Examination By Mr. Thompson 148 13 Cross-Examination By Mr. Anderson 180 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	1
1 (Beginning of audio.) 2 HEARING OFFICER: a whole bunch of cases. 3 I'm going to say the case is CM-MP-2016-001. I'm Roger 4 Burdick. I'm the hearing officer for same. 5 We have a room full of attorneys, some who I 6 have allowed to intervene, some who are here only as 7 observers. As such, I will indicate to all the parties, 8 because I may not as familiar with you as I should be, 9 that as you address the court, the first time at least, 10 indicate your name and who you represent, and then we will proceed from there. 12 It's been indicated to me that we have a 13 couple of motions, and before we start today, the 14 petitioners are SWC and A&B, and they have a motion. 15 Who wishes to put that on the record? 16 MR. FLETCHER: Your Honor, this is Kent 17 Fletcher. I represent Minidoka Irrigation District and 18 American Falls Reservoir District Number 2. 19 HEARING OFFICER: Excuse me. I I 20 misidentified you, Mr. Fletcher. So 21 MR. FLETCHER: You did? 22 HEARING OFFICER: Yes. I said A&B, and it's 23 actually Minidoka. 24 MR. FLETCHER: Oh, oh. A&B is sitting next to me.	1 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 2 MR. FLETCHER: So we're close. But I'm making 3 this motion on behalf of the Surface Water Coalition. 4 We read your order on IGWA's motion seeking an 5 order in limine. So that provides us some guidance, but 6 in light of the many developments that have occurred in 7 this case in the last two or three weeks, we would move 8 the hearing officer and request that the hearing officer 9 order that all testimony in evidence that this 10 hearing be limited to issue four in the hearing 11 officer's order setting the hearing. That issue is what 12 action must be taken by ground water districts to secure 13 the 2022 breach of the 2016 mitigation plan. 14 This motion is based on, one, the summary 15 judgment that has been entered on all the other issues. 16 Number two, the district court has now entered a 17 judgment in favor of and denying reconsideration of the 18 director's determination that the signature ground water 19 districts are required to reduce 240,000 acre-feet per 20 year. The 240,000 must be done annually. Averaging is 21 not allowed. 22 And the district the breaching districts 23 involved in this proceeding have the following annual 24 reduction obligations: Bingham is forty thousand nine 25 hundred fourteen thousand [sic] acre-feet. Bonneville

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

11

10

Jefferson, 21,341 acre-feet. Jefferson Clark, 63,533 2 acre-feet.

3

4

5

6

7

19

20

23

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

19

20

In your summary judgment order, you stated, "The district court issued a decision reaffirming the director's actions and interpretations in its March 5, 2024, order denying petition for rehearing. This hearing officer will not second guess a district court's decisions regarding the proper interpretation of the terms of the 2016 mitigation plan. Additionally, no

party has requested the stay of the district court's decisions. Therefore, this hearing officer will treat 11 those issues as decided and will not revisit them here." 12

13 In the director's final order regarding IGWA's 14 2022 mitigation plan compliance, the director found that 15 the districts named above breached the agreement by failing to reduce in the following amounts: Bingham, 17 32,476 acre-feet; Bonneville-Jefferson, 5,204 acre feet; 18 Jefferson-Clark, 18,605 acre-feet.

Whether there was a breach is not at issue in this hearing. It is not one of the listed issues. The amount of the breach is not at issue. It is not one of the listed issues. The actions taken before 2022 are not at issue.

24 Almost all, if not all, of the witnesses listed by the ground water districts want to talk about alternative ways to calculate the annual obligation,

averaging, credit for past actions, past actions that

have been taken on similar topics. None of those are relevant to the sole remaining issue, and much of this

testimony is directly contrary to the district court's

findings and the decision this hearing officer entered 7

in the summary judgment matter.

8 We request that the hearing officer restrict 9 testimony in evidence to the sole remaining issue and 10 prohibit testimony that attacks or attempts to change 11 the director's findings of breach in 2022 and the 12 judgment of the district court upholding the director's determinations of annual reduction requirements, prohibition of averaging, and allocation among 15 districts.

Thank you.

16

17 HEARING OFFICER: Response, Mr. Budge?

18 MR. BUDGE: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Hearing

19 Officer. My name is TJ Budge. I'm the attorney for

20 Idaho Ground Water Appropriators commonly known by its

21 acronym I-G-W-A or IGWA.

22 I recognize that the hearing officer's summary

23 judgment rulings do dispose of issues one through three

that are identified for this proceeding, and so I don't

object to that recognition on the record. I would note

12 13

that those orders are interlocutory orders, and under the department's rules of procedure, the hearing officer can change those based on evidence presented, but we 4 don't intend today to challenge issues one through three or address issues one through three as a result of the 5 6 summary judgment rulings that were made.

As to issue four, that is the primary issue to be addressed in this proceeding, and we'd agree with the Surface Water Coalition in that regard but would vehemently disagree with the characterization of the prior rulings concerning that issue.

Mr. Fletcher has asked the hearing officer to rule that the -- each district has a specific prescribed the version reduction volume that it must comply with. That request goes too far for a couple of reasons.

One of the reasons is that issue number four is asking what the appropriate remedy is, and the director did not increase the district's conservation obligations until the very end of the 2022 irrigation season. And so it is relevant in considering the equities of a remedy to consider what were the practices in effect during the 2022 irrigation season. And -- and so that would be the first reason to not preclude

evidence as to each district's mitigation obligation

during the 2022 irrigation season.

The second reason is that the numbers that the director used in what we call his compliance order ruling where he increased the obligations -- those aren't set in stone, and when we took that issue up to Judge Wildman, what Judge Wildman said is that was actually beyond the scope of the director's decision, that -- that he simply used the performance report that

IGWA had submitted and scaled up the numbers, and Judge Wildman recognized that IGWA may change the method it

10 uses to measure performance.

11 At the oral argument we had considerable 12 discussion about this, and Judge Wildman very clearly 13 acknowledged that as a result of the director's decision concerning averaging and -- and the word "annual," that 14 15 he recognized IGWA was going to change the method it 16 used to measure compliance.

17 The third reason is that when we talk about 18 IGWA's 2022 performance, the report that was submitted 19 acknowledged that if the director eliminated averaging and -- and reallocated the 240, that IGWA would be compelled to change the method it uses to measure compliance. IGWA has, in fact, done that, and today 23 there will be -- and tomorrow, will be putting evidence in the record to show the new method used to measure

compliance. So that's certainly a live issue, and it

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

14

would be improper to exclude evidence to that effect 2

3 HEARING OFFICER: Further argument by the 4 parties who intervene? 5

MR. JOHNS: Mr. Burdick, this is Skyler Johns with Bonneville-Jefferson Ground Water District.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good.

6

17

18

25

8

9

10

15 16

17

18

19

7 MR. JOHNS: I would just reiterate some of the arguments raised by counsel for IGWA. Some of these issues, although there have been prior decisions, they 10 do provide some relevant context. Certainly, I think it would have been in front of Director Spackman when he 12 13 issued his decision. Certainly it would be useful for the hearing officer here to be able to at least provide 15 some context of some of the practices that were going on at the time rather than just [unintelligible] you this

issue in a very, very narrow time frame. I think, from a technical standpoint, which will be shown in some of the expert reports and some of the rebuttal reports that the practices that were being implemented by some of the ground water districts are relevant to determining the injury aspect. And so for that reason, I think that the motion raised by the Surface Water Coalition should be denied.

HEARING OFFICER: You -- you indicate injury

aspect. Where in the settlement is that dependent?

Where is injury the keystone in the settlement

agreement?

8

4 MR. JOHNS: So for determining what the remedy 5 will be --

6 HEARING OFFICER: So you're indicating 7 deviations from the 240 as the injury?

MR. JOHNS: Correct.

9 HEARING OFFICER: But not injury in terms of 10 the CMRs, et cetera?

MR. JOHNS: No. The injury -- to the extent 11 12 that the experts are determining what the remedy should 13 be. So in the expert reports.

14 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I understand. 15

MR. JOHNS: They're -- they're calculating 16 what the effect of the overconsumption that's been

17 alleged has been throughout time, which is going to be arguments offsetting that injury and that that will help

the hearing officer determine what the resolution would 20

21 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.

22 Sir, you wish to address the court -- or

23 excuse me -- the -- address the hearing, please. I'm

24 25

MR. BRICKER: Thank you. I'm Max Bricker

16

appearing on behalf of the American Falls-Aberdeen Ground Water District, and I would merely state that Aberdeen -- American Falls-Aberdeen support a narrow 4 scope of this hearing.

5 HEARING OFFICER: I didn't hear you, sir. 6 MR. BRICKER: We would support a narrow scope 7 of this hearing.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good.

Mr. Fletcher, on -- any response, sir?

MR. FLETCHER: Yes, Mr. Hearing Officer. This is exactly what we were afraid was going to happen today. What we have found historically is that the ground water districts don't really abide by necessarily what the director orders. They don't really care what the district court has said. They're going to come back and make the same arguments before you that they've been

making in front of these tribunals for years. And I would like to point out specific wording in the order that we're here on. It's paragraph 13 on page 8, and it states, "The director further finds, as

outlined in Table 3 printed below, four IGWA ground water districts failed to satisfy their proportionate

share of IGWA's 240,000 acre-feet conservation

obligation in 2022. Table 3 also lists the deficiency

volume for each of the four IGWA members who failed to

satisfy the respective mitigation obligations in 2022."

And then Table 3 sets out Aberdeen falls -excuse me -- American Falls-Aberdeen, 1,352. And they 3 did enter into an agreement with us to satisfy that

breach by recharging in their district last year, which 5

they did, and we filed documentation on that.

Bingham, 32,476. Bonneville-Jefferson, 5,204. 8 Jefferson-Clark, 18,605. Total 57,637. None of the

ground water districts asked for reconsideration of that determination. The hearing officer did not list that 10

determination as an issue to be determined. There is no question that that is the -- sets up the framework for

13 this hearing. And the issue, as framed by the hearing

14 officer says, what can they do to cure this breach?

15 So to get into all of these collateral 16 issues -- and their expert reports are full of them --

17 is way beyond the scope of the hearing as set out on the

18 issue before the hearing officer. In your scheduling 19

order, you -- you specifically stated how to cure this breach is the only issue to be heard.

20

21 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. At this point in 22 time that motion is under advisement, and I will take

some time this morning before we proceed to answer those 23 24 questions.

Mr. Budge, you had a motion also, sir? 25

15

5

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

19

18

MR. BUDGE: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Hearing Officer. Yesterday, the hearing officer issued an order denying IGWA's motion to take official notice of certain agency orders. I would respectfully ask the hearing officer to reconsider that in part and -- and explain why.

1

3 4

5

6

17

18

1

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

7 HEARING OFFICER: I'll do it right now. The issue is you gave me -- you told me to take judicial notice of about 50 records, and I'm not going to do that, because I don't know if they're even relevant. By taking judicial notice, they could become a part of the 11 12 evidentiary base work in this matter. If you want me to 13 take judicial notice or if anyone wishes me to take judicial notice of any document, you're going to have 15 to, number one, tell me why and, number two, the 16 foundation for same.

So that's why I said, no, I'm not going to sift through the entire four or five years of -- of documentation in this matter and pick out things that help you or help them because I'm not the lawyers for you or them.

22 Is that consistent with what you needed in 23 terms of direction?

MR. BUDGE: It is. And I'm prepared to address specific documents and why I think judicial notice should be taken.

HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

3 MR. BUDGE: And I prefer to do that at this 4 time, if we could.

HEARING OFFICER: Sure.

6 MR. BUDGE: The motion that we filed did have 7 a long list of agency orders. It's on pages 3 through 5 of that motion. And, Kayleen, I don't know if you've got a copy of that motion.

10 HEARING OFFICER: I've got the copy of the 11 motion and looked at it and looked at the documents. I 12 didn't look at it -- I did not look at the substance. I 13 looked at the numbers.

14 MR. BUDGE: So on pages 4 and 5 -- so this is 15 about two-thirds of the documents, these are all orders that are issued in the Surface Water Coalition delivery 17 call case. We call them [unintelligible] orders. It's the application of the methodology order each year. I'm not concerned about those. So my motion for reconsideration does not include the documents 21 identified on pages 4 and 5 of that motion.

22 I'm primarily concerned with the documents 23 identified on page 3 of that motion, and I will explain why I think it's appropriate to take judicial notice of

those.

20

HEARING OFFICER: Very well.

MR. BUDGE: Just to review what documents are on page 3 and how they pertain to this case, the first five documents are orders issued in this particular administrative case.

And so the first one is the Surface Water Coalition and IGWA's stipulated mitigation plan and request for order. We're referring to this as the 2016 plan. This was the pleading by which that plan was filed with the Department.

The second document is the Surface Water Coalition's and IGWA's stipulated amended mitigation plan. That was filed in 2017 in this action, and that was the submission of the first and second addendums to the Department.

The third document is the Department's final order of proving the stipulated mitigation plan in 2016. The fourth is the final order approving the amendment to it. And then the fifth is the final order regarding IGWA's 2022 mitigation plan compliance that was issued last August.

22 So these documents are really at the heart of this action and referenced by the parties and the hearing officer in the summary judgment briefing and 25 decisions.

The sixth and seventh document listed on

page 3 are the Department's orders approving what has been referred to as the 2009 mitigation plans. IGWA

distinguishes between these as a storage water plan and

an aguifer enhancement plan. Those plans are referenced

in the issues for the hearing, and they've been argued

in summary judgment briefing and in the hearing 8 officer's rulings. And so I think if on appeal a judge

9 is going to kind of understand the basis for the

10 decision, he needs to have access to those decisions.

11 HEARING OFFICER: You think this will be 12 appealed? 13

MR. BUDGE: I wish it wasn't, but yes.

14 The next set of documents which are on rows 8 15 through 11 -- these are other mitigation plans that have 16 been approved in the Surface Water Coalition delivery

17 call case. These were cited -- these and then the next set of documents, which are on rows 12 through 23 --

19 these are other mitigation plans the Department has

approved in other conjunctive [unintelligible] delivery 21 call cases. So there's three of them that were approved

in the Blue Lakes delivery call -- or excuse me -- four

in the Blue Lakes delivery call. Two of them were

approved in the Clear Springs delivery call, and then

there were six approved mitigation plans in the Rangen

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

22 23 delivery call. 1 HEARING OFFICER: 11 through 15 -- is that 2 2 And the reason we asked to have these in the what it is? 3 agency record is simply because they reflected precedent 3 MR. BUDGE: So the -- the orders that serves of the agency. So we're not submitting them to try to 4 precedent -- those are on rows 8 through --4 establish some fact that's in dispute in this case. 5 5 HEARING OFFICER: 11. 6 It's simply to add for the record the precedent of the MR. BUDGE: 8 through 23, actually. 7 7 Department in approving mitigation plans. HEARING OFFICER: Oh, okay. 8 What's kind of unique in a agency proceeding MR. BUDGE: Yeah. 9 is that prior decisions are not published in a recorder. HEARING OFFICER: I'm sorry. 10 So we can't simply go to the, you know, the Pacific MR. BUDGE: I think I wasn't clear on that. Reporter and cite prior decisions. Because of that, 11 So --12 HEARING OFFICER: Very good. 12 it's been my practice to try to add to the agency of record the prior decisions that we think have 13 MR. BUDGE: So those were 8 through 23. And precedential value, and that's the only reason that I've then the last four rows on page 3 -- those are orders 15 asked to include these, is that they do serve as 15 dismissing mitigation plans that the Department has 16 precedent for the agency. 16 issued in the Surface Water Coalition delivery call 17 And we did cite these decisions in our summary 17 case. Those are also provided just because they serve 18 judgment brief, and so we think it's important that as precedent for the agency, not to try to establish a the -- the record and any appellate court have access to fact that's in dispute in this proceeding. 20 those decisions that we cited in our summary judgment And so we just wanted to be in a position that brief just as precedent, not to try to establish some 21 if the case is appealed that we're able to cite the fact that's in dispute. 22 agency orders that were referenced in our summary 23 And then the last four are --23 judgment brief to the hearing officer and that we think 24 HEARING OFFICER: 11 through 15? 24 do have value as precedent. 25 MR. BUDGE: What's that? 25 And it is customary for the Department to take 24 25 1 official notice of its prior decisions. As the hearing [unintelligible]. officer is aware, the rules of evidence are somewhat HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Am I correct in that relaxed in agency proceedings, and the Department's Rule 3 regard? MR. BUDGE: That's correct. 600 says that evidence should be taken by the agency to 4 5 HEARING OFFICER: All right. 5 assist the parties developing a record, not to exclude 6 MR. BUDGE: And -- and Elisheva just let me 6 or frustrate that development. 7 know that the first four are already listed as exhibits, 7 And I also think it's important that nobody 8 but -- but they are -- those are [unintelligible] issued opposed the motion. So this is customary and there's no 9 in the proceeding -opposition. 10 HEARING OFFICER: Sure. 10 If the motion for reconsideration is not 11 MR. BUDGE: -- that are the substance of it. 11 granted, it would force us to call a witness to go 12 HEARING OFFICER: All right. And I appreciate 12 testify about prior actions and decisions --13 the explanation. That obviously will help me understand 13 [Unintelligible cross-talk.] the motions. So thank you. 14 14 HEARING OFFICER: I understand. 15 Response, if any, sir, by opposing parties? 15 MR. BUDGE: Yeah, which we'd rather not spend 16 MR. FLETCHER: The only issue we really have time doing, but we can if needed. 17 with this at this point, considering what's -- now where 17 HEARING OFFICER: That's fine. 18 we sit, is how are these relevant to the only issues UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can I clarify something 18 before this hearing, which is how do they cure a breach? 19 real quick? 20 It kind of goes back to our first motion in limine, and 20 HEARING OFFICER: Please. 21 most of these documents are irrelevant to that. 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: TJ, were you including 22 HEARING OFFICER: One of the cornerstones of

IGWA's argument in summary judgment was the fact that

the Department had not dismissed 2009, and, as a result,

the director, under 2016, have no power to do anything

22

23

24

25

six and seven in this [unintelligible]?

HEARING OFFICER: They are the Department

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. Sorry. I

orders allowing the 2009 plan -- is my understanding.

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

26 27 but follow 29 -- 2009, and I'm sure that 1 Anything further, Mr. Fletcher? [unintelligible] our further argument as to the -- to All right. I'm going to take a recess so that 3 support that argument. I can review the arguments because I don't want to 4 Am I correct in that regard, Mr. Budge? proceed without a clear understanding of how we're going MR. BUDGE: No. We -- we accept the hearing 5 to proceed. officer's ruling, but -- but after the final decision 6 Thank you. The hearing will be in recess. comes out of this proceeding, there will be a chance to 7 7 (Recess taken.) file exceptions with the director, and then if there's 8 8 HEARING OFFICER: Back on record, and I've an appeal -- so we need to be in a position that when we 9 9 taken two motions under advisement. Had a long recess, 10 go to the director --10 and I apologize for the time taken from your busy days, [Unintelligible cross-talk.] 11 11 but the first is the motion on behalf of SWC, and I will HEARING OFFICER: -- that 2009 is still 12 12 answer it first. And I will be referring to the final 13 effective? order of 8/2/23 as well as Judge Wildman's opinion 14 MR. BUDGE: Yes. concerning the 2022 breach and the motion for 15 HEARING OFFICER: Because the Department has a 15 reconsideration opinion in that regard. 16 procedure, these are still in -- in -- these are still 16 The director on page 6 of the 8/2/23 opinion in force, and the ripple effect of this decision will 17 defined what is contained in the 2016 settlement affect those. Is that the argument? 18 mitigation plan, and I believe those are basically the 19 MR. BUDGE: That's correct. So we're not 19 documents one through seven that Mr. Budge asked the [unintelligible] this today. We recognize the hearing 20 20 court to take judicial notice of and will do so; is that officer's decision and respect that, but -- but the 21 correct, sir? director and appellate judge needs to have the same 22 MR. BUDGE: Could you repeat the question? information the hearing officer considered, and so 23 23 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. One through seven of 24 that's why it needs to be in the agency record. 24 25 HEARING OFFICER: Very good. 25 MR. BUDGE: So --28

29

HEARING OFFICER: Oh, you're right. You're right. Let's -- let's just do it this way. One through seven I'm taking judicial notice of. Okay? And I will explain the other documents as I go through them.

4

8

12 13

15

16

17

18

19

5 On 8/2/23, the director's order, which spawned 6 the motion for reconsideration and request for the hearing here today as well as all the interim hearings 7 that we've had. The reconsideration requested four 9 in -- inquiries by the hearing officer. The parties had input in the same, and I ordered those four issues to be 10 11 the parameters of today's hearing.

By summary judgment order, I have ruled three of those four issues are decided as a matter of law, interpreting the document for the 2016 settlement mitigation order and all the documents contained in that series of documents indicated on page 3 of 8/2/23 director's order.

Additionally, I've found, because of the unique and comprehensive nature of 2016 settlement mitigation plan, the 2009 mitigation plan cannot be used

21 to cure the 2022 breach. Judge Wildman addressed performance in the 22 2021 breach, and he also succinctly stated the -- in

the -- what the 2016 settlement mitigation plan says.

Importantly, Judge Wildman allowed and agreed with the

director that the director did not err in apportioning the -- the breach in the same way as the director

apportioned the breach in the 8/2/23 director's order. I have read and referred to those proceedings

5 leading to the 8/2/23 order in my summary judgment order. For the reasons stated in the summary judgment

order and here today, I find that the director's 8 findings in Tables 2 and 3 of the 8/2/23 order as well

as the findings of breach to be conclusive, and, 9

10 therefore, not an issue today.

11 To clarify, I adopt the findings of the 8/2/23 director's order of breach and Tables 2 and 3 in the

13 director's 8/2/23 order. In doing so, I adopt his

previous methodology to arrive at those numbers. I will 14

hear evidence as to how to cure those mentions in this 15 16 hearing.

17 As concerns the issue on judicial notice

18 brought by Mr. Budge, I have already indicated I would grant his motion on numbers 1 through 7. 8 through 27,

I believe, are irrelevant, and I will not take judicial

21 notice of same. Number one, they were never argued in

the summary judgment proceedings before today. I

believe the arguments before this contested case

concerned the 2016 settlement and mitigation plan and

the use of 2009 mitigation plan to cure same. Never

30

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

31

heard of these mitigation plans before. 2 It was argued that the Department had certain 3 procedures to dismiss mitigation and to stack mitigation 4 in the summary judgment arguments of Mr. Budge or other 5 signatories. In fact, 2016 and 2009 were argued to have

6 been stacked mitigation plans. I've addressed this

argument in the summary judgment order indicating the 7 settlement agreement is an independent contract between

SWC and the signatories in addition to any other

10 mitigation issues.

That has called for, and I've referred to in 11 my summary judgment, a different procedure outside of 12 13 the usual Department handling. That does not mean that we throw everything out. It just means this is a very 15 unique document to -- to try to stay away from 16 litigation and has many agreements between the 17 signatories and SWC. When I say signatories, I mean

IGWA and the numerous signatories to the settlement portion of the 2016 mitigation plan.

So we are here today on the two documents -on the two documents, 2016 and the arguments concerning 2009, but we are basically here for the 2016 settlement

mitigation plan and how to cure the breach. And as such, the motion is denied as to 8 through 27. I think

I was correct in Mr. -- in commenting to Mr. Budge that

the relevance of those would be the precedents, how the

Department handles other mitigation plans, et cetera,

concerning dismissal or stacking, et cetera. But in

this matter, because of the settlement and mitigation

plan, it is a unique creature, and those are irrelevant. 6 As a result, I am sure that the parties --

7 what time is it?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 10:43.

9 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I am sure the parties 10 wish to discuss this -- these rulings with themselves,

and, therefore, I will take at least a half hour break 11

12 for the parties to discuss among themselves this ruling,

13 and then we will proceed as the parties wish in that

14 regard.

8

15 Thank you. We're in recess.

16 (Recess taken.)

17 HEARING OFFICER: Roger Burdick presiding.

18 Any other issues before the presentation of

19 the parties? Mr. Budge?

20 MR. BUDGE: Thank you, Mr. Burdick. I just

would like to request clarification on the hearing

officer's recent decision on IGWA's motion to take

official notice. As I understand the decision, the

hearing officer has agreed to take official notice of

the documents listed on lines 1 through 7 of IGWA's

32 33

motion; is that correct?

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

2 HEARING OFFICER: Yes, sir.

MR. BUDGE: And as to the documents listed on items -- or excuse me -- on lines 8 through 27, the

5 hearing officer has made a conclusive ruling that those

6 documents are not relevant in this proceeding? 7

HEARING OFFICER: Yes. And that I will not take judicial notice of same as a result.

MR. BUDGE: Okay. Does that mean that IGWA should not call a witness to testify of those documents because they've been deemed irrelevant?

HEARING OFFICER: Yes.

MR. BUDGE: Okav.

14 HEARING OFFICER: Please. I don't need that authentication. 15

MR. BUDGE: Okay. I appreciate that. In 16 17 light of that ruling, I would like to make an offer of 18 proof concerning those documents.

HEARING OFFICER: Yes, sir.

MR. BUDGE: The Department's Rule of Procedure 603 states that an offer of proof for the record consist

of a statement of the substance of the excluded

23 evidence.

24 HEARING OFFICER: I agree, and you can do so,

25 sir. 1 MR. BUDGE: Thank you.

2 HEARING OFFICER: It's different than in the 3

district court. So go ahead.

4 MR. BUDGE: Thank you. I'm going to cite or 5 reference pages 10 and 11 of the Memorandum in Support 6

of IGWA's Motion for Summary Judgment --7

HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

8 MR. BUDGE: -- which illustrates the relevance of the documents as we see them, and I'll just read this

and correlate the documents referenced in the brief with

11 the documents identified in the motion to take judicial 12 notice.

14

13 HEARING OFFICER: Perfect. Thank you.

MR. BUDGE: Beginning on page 10 of the

15 Memorandum in Support of IGWA's Motion for Summary

Judgment, the third -- second full paragraph down

17 begins, "In practice, the Department has approved

multiple mitigation plans in response to various

delivery calls. In the SWC delivery call case, the

Department has approved seven different mitigation

plans -- three for IGWA (Order Approving Mitigation Plan

dated May 14, 2010, in Docket Number CM-MP-2009-006)."

23 That is the document identified on lines 7 that was

24 mentioned.

25 "Order Approving Mitigation Plan dated

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

34

June 3rd, 2010, in Docket Number CM-MP-2009-007."

That's the document identified on my 6th of IGWA's

3 motion. Those two have been accepted by official

4 notice. "Final Order Approving Amendment to Stipulated

5 Mitigation Plan dated May 9th, 2017, Docket Number

CM-MP-2016-001." That document has been accepted by 6

7 official notice. It's identified in line 3 of IGWA's

motion.

The memo continues, "One for the Coalition of 10 Cities, citing Final Order Approving Stipulated

Mitigation Plan dated April 9th, 2019." That's the 11

document identified on line 8 of IGWA's motion. "One 12

13 for Southwest Irrigation District (Final Order Approving

Mitigation Plan and Dismissing Contested Case dated

15 March 26, 2018)." That is identified on line I -- line

16 9 of IGWA's motion.

17 "One for several food processors known

18 collectively as the 'Water Mitigation Coalition' (Final Order Approving Mitigation Plan dated October 25th,

2021)." That document is identified on line 10 of

IGWA's motion. "And one for A&B Irrigation District

(Final Order Approving Mitigation Plan dated

December 16th, 2015)." That's identified on line 11 of

24 IGWA's motion.

25

10

11

18

The next paragraph reads, "In connection with

the delivery call filed by Blue Lakes Trout Farm, the

Department approved four mitigation plans, (Docket

Numbers CM-MP-2009-001, 002, 003 and 006)." Those

documents are listed on lines 12 through 15 of IGWA's

5

6

Next sentence, "In connection -- in connection

7 with the Clear Springs Foods delivery call, the

Department approved two mitigation plans, citing Docket

Number CM-MP-2009-004 and 2009-005." Those are listed

10 on lines 16 and 17 of IGWA's motion.

11 Next sentence, "And in connection with the

12 Rangen delivery call, the Department approved six

13 mitigation plans." Those six mitigation plans

referenced in IGWA's Memorandum in Support of Motion for

15 Summary Judgment are identified on lines 18 through 23

of IGWA's motion to take official notice. 16

17 Turning to page 11, under Section 2.2,

18 beginning on the third paragraph down, it states, "The

19 Aquifer Enhancement Plan and the Storage Water Plan were

approved by final orders issued by the Department under

the Idaho Administrative Procedures Act, Chapter 52,

22 Title 57, Idaho Code. The Department has not issued an

23 order terminating or vacating the approval orders.

24 "By contrast, the Department has previously

issued an order in the SWC delivery call case that

36

terminated three mitigation plans that had been

superseded by a subsequent mitigation plan. The

Coalition of Cities and the cities of Pocatello and 3

4 Idaho Falls each filed mitigation plans that were later

5 superseded by a joint mitigation plan. When that

6 happened, the director issued an order terminating the

prior plans, citing Order Dismissing Mitigation Plans in

Docket Numbers CM-MP-2015-001, CM-MP-2015-004,

CM-MP-2015-005, and CM-MP-2016-002."

Those orders are identified in IGWA's motion on lines 4 through 27, and I have copies of them here

12 with me that I can submit to Sarah or Kayleen. 13 HEARING OFFICER: Those will be marked not as admitted but as offered only. You may approach, sir. 14

15 Offered and not admitted, please. 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I also had a comment on 17 the motion limiting the scope of the hearing.

HEARING OFFICER: Yes, sir.

19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm not asking the 20 hearing officer to reconsider that ruling at this time,

but I want to point out offers of proof that may be made

22 later and explain the basis so you can prepare for them.

23 HEARING OFFICER: Wait a second. I need to

have those in order based upon what has been presented

before. Why would you do this at this time, sir? If

you could explain that to me.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Thank you. So

3 this just provides some -- some foundation as to some of

the evidence that has been excluded by the ruling, as I

5 understand it. And we will make offers of proof at the

time we present the evidence, but I do think some

7 context may be helpful to -- for the hearing officer to

8 be prepared for that.

HEARING OFFICER: At that point in time, we'll

10 deal with those. As I indicated, and I thought I

indicated in the order on the motion in limine, we will

12 do those -- we will respond to those as they come up. 13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. Fair enough.

14 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.

15 Is there a response in that regard, sir?

16 MR. FLETCHER: Very briefly. I'm a little

17 unclear exactly what was being requested because it

18 sounded like a reconsideration on the summary judgment

19 decision, but the bottom line is, you know, what is

20 relevant to this hearing, whether those documents have

21 to do with the issue, what action must be taken to cure

the 2022 breach of the 2016 mitigation plan. And we

have to remember that IGWA admitted in its filings that

it wasn't relying on the 2009 plan to cure the 2022

breach. It admitted that in the pleading.

Word 4 Word Court Reporting, LLC 208-242-3289

35

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

38

So all of this evidence about other mitigation plans has nothing to do with how do they cure the breach of the 2016 plan resulting from their actions of 2022.

4 HEARING OFFICER: At this point in time, I'm 5 going to further explain my ruling. You indicated at page 10, the second paragraph, the introductory 6 7 paragraph in that regard says, "In paragraph, the Department has approved multiple mitigation plans in

response to various delivery calls."

10 And that was indicated when I, maybe rudely, interrupted you. I said I understand the reason you 11 were bringing these to me to show that the Department 12 13 handles stacked mitigation plans or can dismiss mitigation plans or other mitigation plans refer to 15 other mitigation plans that dismiss same, which is one of your arguments in the summary judgment. And I think 17

I understand that [unintelligible]. But as I said, none of those were an issue in the director's original proceeding which resulted in

8/2/23 opinion of the director, and they show the Department's procedures historically, et cetera, that

don't apply to the specific 2016 settlement mitigation

plan, which I tried to point out in my summary judgment

order, that it is a unique mitigation plan. 25

So for those reasons, I will, again, deny the

offer of proof and, quite frankly, I need to look -- as

you went through those, I may have made a mistake and

thought that 6, 7, and 8 were documents that had

previously been admitted. I will revisit that if

necessary and -- and indicate to you, Mr. Budge, if I'm

going to change that -- that -- that ruling and a reason 7

why later so we can proceed, sir.

On behalf of the -- are there any other comments or orders or argument or motions?

10 Mr. Fletcher? 11 Mr. Budge?

12 Any other party?

13 Very well. Mr. Fletcher, you are the party

who has brought this matter. You're the petitioner.

15 You may proceed.

16 MR. FLETCHER: Yes. Mr. Travis Thompson will

17 handle the questioning [unintelligible]. 18

HEARING OFFICER: Very well, sir.

19 MR. THOMPSON: [Unintelligible.]

20 HEARING OFFICER: Whom?

21 MR. THOMPSON: David Colvin.

22 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Mr. Colvin, please

23 come forward and be sworn.

24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I do this, I guess?

25 DAVID COLVIN

40

called by SWC, having been first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows:

HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good, sir. Please be seated.

MR. THOMPSON: For the record, Travis Thompson with Marten Law representing A&B Irrigation District, et al. in this matter.

**DIRECT EXAMINATION** 

9 BY MR. THOMPSON:

10 Q. David, could you please state and spell your 11 name for the record.

A. My name is David Colvin, D-a-v-i-d 12

13 C-o-l-v-i-n.

18

3

4

5 6

7

8

14

16

Q. And where do you currently work?

15 A. LRE water in Denver, Colorado.

Q. What's your current occupation?

17 A. I'm the ground water team leader.

Q. And I believe in front of you -- probably that 18

binder on the far corner, your right -- left -- yeah. 19

It should be labeled the SWC Exhibits; is that correct?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And is your CV attached to Exhibit 1?

23

Q. And does that generally describe your

education and work history?

A. It does.

12

Q. And could you generally describe the

experience you have with ground water matters in Idaho?

A. Yes. So in 2010, I started working on the

5 Rangen call and worked through a number of hearings

associated with that call for two or three years, and

then got reinvolved with the Surface Water Coalition in

2019. When I started with the Rangen call, I joined the

9 Eastern Snake Hydrologic Modeling Committee and was

involved in review of the development and calibration of 10

the ESPAM model.

HEARING OFFICER: Excuse me? Which one?

13 THE WITNESS: The Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer

14 Model, ESPAM.

15 Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON) And you said you joined 16 that technical committee back in 2010; is that correct?

17 A. I think I actually joined the committee in

18 2011, but at that time frame.

19 Q. And that committee is undertaking refinements,

20 I guess, improvements to that -- that model over time;

21 is that correct?

22 A. That's right.

Q. And how about any other ground water cases, 23

24 allocations for permit or transfer? Anything else?

A. Yes. So for the Surface Water Coalition, I've

Word 4 Word Court Reporting, LLC 208-242-3289

25

39

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

42

reviewed several transfer cases, or transfer

applications, rather, and have been active on the

3 Sentinel Well Technical Working Group associated with

4 the Surface Water Coalition-IGWA agreement and

5 monitoring the annual actions that it takes under the

6 agreement.

7

10

14

15

8

Q. And during your time that you've worked on the aquifer, have you become familiar with Department reports and information regarding the ESPA on an annual basis?

A. Yes, I have. 11

12 Q. Reviewed that data, as far as ground water

13 levels, changes, and aquifer levels?

A. Yes, that's greatly part of my duties.

Q. And have you been qualified as an expert

16 witness before the Department in prior cases?

17 A. I have, several cases.

18 Q. Can you just generally describe what Exhibit 1

19 is for the record?

A. Exhibit 1 is my expert report that I prepared

in response to this -- this hearing, in preparation for

this hearing. And so it addresses the 2022 breach and, to some extent, the four issues that were specified for

this case, but focusing on the fourth issue, which is a

cure or remedy that could be done under the 2016

navigation plan to cure the 2022 breach.

Q. And I'll direct your attention to page 4 of 3 that exhibit. You have what's listed at the top

Table 1. Can you describe where you obtained that

5 information for that table?

A. Yes. This was in the director's order on the 7 2022 breach, and it was the director's apportionment of the breach amounts for the three listed ground water

districts, Bingham, Bonneville-Jefferson, and

10 Jefferson-Clark.

13

11 Q. And some of those quantities were directly 12 taken from the director's order; is that correct?

A. That's right.

14 Q. If you could turn over to page 5, could you 15

generally describe Figure 1 and what that represents? 16 A. Yeah. So this is a graph showing the sentinel

17 well index which was established to monitor aquifer conditions and has -- in the gray background are all the

individual well measurements over the years from

approximately 1981 to 2023. And the blue line is the

calculated sentinel wealth index, which is a measure

that's in the 2016 mitigation plan intended to represent

aquifer conditions, and it also includes the three

targets or goals for 2020, 2023, and 2026.

25 Q. And who are you aware -- are you aware who

44

developed that index?

A. To some extent. I wasn't involved back then with the Surface Water Coalition negotiations with IGWA, 3

but from what I understand there were Surface Water

Coalition and IGWA experts involved in picking out the

wells and establishing the targets that are listed. 6

Q. And since your time as a consultant for the Surface Water Coalition, have you evaluated those

individual wells on an annual basis? 9

10 A. Yes. Every year we get data from IDWR and run

through a calculation kind of parallel with IGWA's

experts, and we come up with a sentinel well index

calculation and then crosscheck that with IDWR.

14 Q. And that index is a compilation of those

15 various well levels set to a certain standard. Is that

how you would describe that? 16

17 A. Yeah, that's right. It basically takes the

18 multiple measurements from many wells and rolls it into

19 one number that can be compared against the historical

20 data and also the targets.

21 Q. And that number is reported to the department?

22 A. That's right.

Q. And I'm just going to generally refer you to

24 pages 5 and 6, and can you describe your opinion offered

in what I'll call part 2.3?

45 A. Yeah. So basically we took the numbers for --

the breach numbers that the director specified in Table

1 in my report there and put them into the ESPAM model

to calculate the impacts on the sentinel well index and

came up with, from the 2022 breach numbers distributed 5

amongst the associated ground water districts, an impact

of a .29 foot decline in the sentinel well index.

8 We also evaluated the impact to the -- the 9 reach gains of the Snake River and typically focusing on

10 the reach gains important to the Surface Water Coalition

11 supplies, which would be basically from Blackfoot to

12 Milner.

13 HEARING OFFICER: Sir, would you please give

14 me a definition of reach gain impacts?

15 THE WITNESS: Yes. So those parts of the

16 Snake River between those USGS stream gauges, the

17 upstream gauge being the Blackfoot gauge and downstream

18 all the way to Milner. There's a great deal of ground

19 water that gains to the river in that area. And so

20 those gains to the river show up as supplies for the

21 Surface Water Coalition. And so the changes to those

22 reach gains are what we use the model to calculate. And

23 so in this case --

24 **HEARING OFFICER:** To calculate?

25 THE WITNESS: The changes in those reach

Word 4 Word Court Reporting, LLC 208-242-3289

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

46

gains. And so in this case, the -- the extra groundwater pumping reduces the amount of water that moves from the aquifer into the Snake River, and that's what we were quantifying here.

5 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I just needed that 6 info. Thank you, sir.

THE WITNESS: No problem.

Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON) And so is it fair to say that the -- the breach number in '22 -- that what -what you identified and offered here were just some examples of hydrologic impact resulting from the -- that breach?

13 A. That's right.

4

7

12

5

8

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ground water district.

14 Q. Can you describe your opinions offered on 15 pages 7 and 8? Or were you done with --

A. Yeah, I think -- well, the -- just to clarify 17 on the reach gain impacts, there was -- basically we entered the 2022 pumping into the model but then looked at the -- the model of impact in future years as well, so all the way out through 2072. And the point there

was just that the 2022 pumping has a long-term future impact and Table 2 goes through the impact in each year

leading up to 2026 for Bingham, Bonneville, and

Jefferson-Clark and then the decades that follow there.

So it was just to show the long-term impacts.

47

That -- that stretches onto page 7 but is basically quantified in Table 2. And then Section 3 begins on page 7, and essentially what I was trying to do there was describe what could be done within the framework of the 2016 mitigation plan to cure the -- the breach in 2022.

And so the 2016 mitigation plan has

measurement requirements, and in Section 3.1, I was 9 making the point that measurement can be paired with 10 reporting, and, right now, that reporting happens on a 11 somewhat annual basis. We're here at this hearing obviously discussing 2022 breach numbers, and, you know, it's a year and a half, two years later, and we're still talking about those numbers, but we still don't know what was pumped in 2023. And so that sort of delay 16 is -- is important to keep in mind as I say what I'm

17 about to say for potential cures here. 18 In a best case scenario, there would be 19 measurement in place, as specified in the 2016

20 mitigation plan, that would then lead to, essentially, real time reporting, where even if it's on a monthly

basis or down to a daily basis, IDWR could administer

23 the allocated amount for ground water pumping.

Essentially a real time basis that would actually

prevent a breach from happening or at least minimize the

48

8

amount of the breach to a much smaller amount that could be dealt with in the future. And so that -- that

3 Section 3.1 is basically setting up that kind of ideal 4 condition.

In Section 3.2, I was trying to make it more realistic for the current situation, given the lag in reporting on pumping numbers, and because 2022, obviously, happened in the past, we can't go back and change that. So in Section 3.2, I was trying to come up with a remedy that would be within the framework of the 2016 mitigation plan applicable to the 2022 breach.

And so -- unfortunately, because the timing is off, we have to deal with the -- the delay, but there's really no way to do that in the 2016 mitigation plan. So the next best thing is to basically reduce the upcoming ground water diversions in the location where the 2022 breach happened. So the -- the 2016 mitigation plan is structured such that the -- the spatial coverage is basically no more detailed than a ground water district. And so in our analysis and in our proposed remedy, we basically didn't get any more detailed than a

So, in essence, what that leads us to is a -a remedy could be fashioned for the 2024 irrigation

season where essentially each of the ground water

districts that breached in 2022 could reduce their pumping by an equal amount that they breached in -- they

could reduce their 2024 pumping by an equal amount to

what the breach was in 2022. And that's basically a 5 summary of Section 3 there.

Q. And I guess the recommendation of the opinion 7 that that remedy occur in the location where the breach occurred -- can you discuss the importance of that?

A. Yeah. So, ideally, if -- if these things 10 could happen in real time, that would be ideal. The -the location of the breach and the remedy is important because there is basically a different response --13 different temporal response across the aquifer, and it 14 can vary by years and even decades.

15 And so because of the structure of the 2016 16 mitigation plan, the ideal location of a remedy would be 17 at the location where the breach occurred. And so with 18 the 2016 mitigation plan being structured around ground 19 water districts, that's kind of why the -- the proposed 20 remedy is in the same location, the same ground water 21 districts as the breach itself.

O. And if this remedy were to be recommended and 22 ultimately adopted, could that be measured and monitored 24 in this upcoming season?

A. Yeah --

49

6 7 14 (Pages 50 to 53)

51

50

HEARING OFFICER: Excuse me. I didn't hear the last part of that question, sir.

3 Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON) I would say if the proposed 4 remedy offered in your report is ultimately recommended and adopted, could that be measured and monitored this 5 upcoming season, assuming it's implemented for 2024?

HEARING OFFICER: Did you indicate what year? MR. THOMPSON: 2024.

9 HEARING OFFICER: Very good.

10 A. Yes. So the measurement that's in place and 11 reported annually is basically the same measurement and reporting that would be reflected in this remedy. If it's applied, it would basically be a reduction in the amount of pumping already reported under the 2016 15 mitigation plan.

16 Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON) Any further comments on 17 your opening report or opinions off of there?

18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Objection. Calls for a 19 narrative response.

HEARING OFFICER: What? Are you done in that 20 21 regard? Did somebody say something?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't have my 22 speaker on. I apologize. But I objected that it calls 23

24 for a narrative response.

1

2

7

8

25

16

20

HEARING OFFICER: Restate the -- the question.

MR. THOMPSON: I just asked if he had any other further comments on his opening report or any opinions offered.

HEARING OFFICER: That's fine. Overruled. 5 You may proceed.

A. No, I think that covers sufficiently the item four topic for the hearing today.

Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON) If you could turn to Exhibit 2, Mr. Colvin. Please identify that for the 10 record.

A. This would be my rebuttal report for this 2022 11 12 breach issue.

13 Q. And what did you review for purposes of this 14 report?

15 A. For the rebuttal, this was primarily in 16 response to IGWA's expert reports which was --

17 HEARING OFFICER: Could I -- could I interject

18 here? I don't know that the IGWA report or that testimony is in, and I would rather have any rebuttal

after that. It would make more sense to me and probably

allow IGWA to present a full panoply of evidence in that

regard, unless there is some significant reason why we 23 would go out of order in that regard. Can you help in

24 that regard, sir?

25 MR. THOMPSON: No. Mr. Burdick, we -- we can

52

recall Mr. Colvin if necessary to discuss that exhibit and I guess any other further opinions after we hear what the other side presents. That's fine.

3 HEARING OFFICER: Any objection in that 4 5 regard, Mr. Budge?

6 MR. BUDGE: No.

7 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Why don't we do 8 it that way just because I'm old and don't understand a 9

10 MR. THOMPSON: No, that's -- that's fine. We 11 would offer Mr. Colvin as an expert on the matters

testified to in his report, namely ground water hydrology, particularly to the Eastern Snake Plain

Aquifer in tender and for cross-examination. 14 15

HEARING OFFICER: Very well. Objection in that regard, sir?

17 Hearing no objection, the Court will indicate

18 that admission of Exhibit 2 -- admission of Exhibit 2, 19

21 HEARING OFFICER: Oh, Exhibit 1. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Exhibit 1.

23 HEARING OFFICER: I'm sorry. Okay. Exhibit 1

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Unintelligible].

24 is hereby admitted. You're qualified as an expert. 25

(Exhibit 1 admitted.)

1 HEARING OFFICER: Cross in this regard?

**CROSS-EXAMINATION** 

3 BY MR. BUDGE:

Q. Good morning, Mr. Colvin. How are you today?

5 A. Good.

4

12

Q. For the record, I am TJ Budge representing 7 IGWA in this proceeding.

Mr. Colvin, I do want to ask you a few questions about your report. If you will first turn to page -- or to Section 2.2, Exhibit 1, which is your expert report.

A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. Looking at the third paragraph under

Section -- excuse me -- fourth paragraph under Section 14 15 2.2, the first sentence states, "The primary measure of

2016 mitigation plan activities impact on aquifer 16

17 conditions is through the sentinel well index." And I

18 just want to clarify for the hearing officer, if we turn

19 to the next page of your report, you've got a Figure 1? 20

A. Yes.

21 Q. I don't know that this was explained much in 22 your initial examination, but I just wanted to clarify

23 that Figure 1 represents the sentinel well index?

24 A. Yes, it does.

25 Q. I think there's 19 or 20 wells that make up

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

54

the index; is that correct?

A. That sounds about right. I'm not sure on the 3 exact number.

4 Q. And the light gray lines are the gone water 5 levels in each of those wells within the index; is that 6 right?

A. That's right.

7

Q. And then the dark line is the index or, I guess -- would you consider that the average of all 10 those wells?

A. It's -- it's not quite. It's a little 11

complicated because the wells change by different 12

13 amounts, but the index is intended to basically come up

with sort of an equal contribution of each well, if you

15 will. It's essentially the -- the index is supposed to

represent the change in all of those wells, not

17 necessarily the average.

18 Q. Okay. That makes sense. And then your Figure 1 does have some diamond marks on it with some numbers attached to those. There's a negative 8.72, a negative 3.9, and a 0.93. Could you explain what those are?

A. Those are the -- those are the sentinel well

index targets that the 2016 mitigation plan set forth. 23 And so the point was that the -- the sentinel well index

was going to be measured against those three levels as

an indication of aquifer conditions and the impact of IGWA's actions related to the mitigation plan.

Q. Yeah. So the anticipation at the time the parties signed the agreement is that if they -- the

ground water districts conserved the 240,000 acre-feet

that the water level in the aquifer would increase and

reach or exceed those benchmarks and -- and the ultimate

goal, the diamonds that you just described?

A. That's right. That would indicate basically 10 the aquifer recovering.

11 Q. Okay. And if we look at the index, it

12 increased for a few years after 2015, and it's decreased

13 the last few years. And so it looks that in 2023, it's

considerably below that -- what we called benchmark, the

15 negative 3.9 foot level? 16

A. Yes.

17 Q. You discussed the sentinel well index and the

18 benchmarks in your report, but you understand that if

the ground water level does not reach the benchmark,

that negative 3.9, that does not result in a breach of

21 the settlement agreement?

A. That's right. That would just implement -- I 22

don't exactly know the procedure, but adaptive

management was I think supposed to be the response to

not meeting these goals.

56 57

Q. And that's not at issue in today's proceeding?

A. It is not. 2

Q. So your report isn't purporting to designate 3 adaptive management procedures or anything to that 4 5 effect?

6 A. No.

Q. It's limited simply to your opinion as to an appropriate remedy for the excess pumping that allegedly 8 9 occurred in 2022?

10 A. That's right. Cure for the breach.

11 Q. Okay. Turning back to that Figure 1 in your

12 report, are you aware that when the party signed the settlement agreement that the ground water model, the

ESPA model, was used to predict what would happen to

ground water levels if the 240,000 acre-feet of 15

16 conservation was implemented annually?

A. Yes, I heard about that.

Q. You've seen that chart or graph?

19 A. Yes.

17

18

20 Q. And 2015, the model showed that if the ground

water districts did their 240, that the -- assuming

average hydrologic conditions -- that the index would

have surpassed the benchmark and goal that you have

marked on Figure 1? 24

A. Yes, I'm aware of that. 25

Q. And that analysis was done under Version 2.1 of the ESPA model?

A. Yes.

3

8

10

Q. And you understand that led the parties to

5 believe that as long as they did this that the aguifer

would recover to the levels that they anticipated?

A. Yes.

Q. You understand the Department has subsequently

9 changed the model and issued a new Version 2.2?

A. Yes.

11 Q. And -- and you understand that Version 2 made some significant changes in the -- what I call storage

13 capacity of the aquifer?

14 HEARING OFFICER: What's the date of the

15 revision, please? And I'm sorry to interrupt you,

16 Mr. Budge.

17 THE WITNESS: 2021 or 2022, somewhere in that 18 time frame.

19

HEARING OFFICER: So the new Version 2.2 was 20 put in in 2022, sir.

21 MR. BUDGE: That sounds about right. It was a 22 couple years ago.

23 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

24 MR. BUDGE: '21, '22.

25 [Unintelligible cross-talk.]

Word 4 Word Court Reporting, LLC 208-242-3289

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

58

THE WITNESS: -- process that stretched over 2 the calendar year. So 2021 or 2022.

> MR. BUDGE: Sounds about right. HEARING OFFICER: We'll use 2022.

- 5 Q. (BY MR. BUDGE) And in '22, they made a 6 significant change to the storage capacity in the model 7
- A. Yes. The -- the term of the storativity, yes. That's -- that's right. There was a change to that 10 input for the model.
- Q. And as I understand it, what that change did 11 is it -- it -- it told the model that each model cell 12 13 can hold more water than Version 2.1?
- 14 A. It's hard to simplify it down to that 15 statement because it varies across the whole model, and so each cell changed differently. If I remember correctly, there were actually model cells where the change -- the opposite change happened, but, in general, when you look at the model, it -- for the most part, the amount of store -- the storativity value went up.
- Q. Yeah. 2.1

3

4

- 22 A. Representing, like you said, that basically a 23 given volume of the aquifer could hold more water.
- Q. Yeah. And when they made that change, the effect of that is that as you add water to the aquifer,

you get less of a rise in the water table?

A. Again, it's a very complicated set of variables, but if you isolate just those two variables, the water coming in and the storativity, that's right.

So the water level would not rise as much with the same

amount of water coming into that cell.

7 Q. So I mentioned a moment ago, and you agreed, that in 2015 the parties used the model to predict what would happen if there was a 240,000 acre-foot water

budget change, and Version 2.1 of the model showed that 10 11 the ground water level would increase significantly and

12 would have surpassed the benchmark and goal that -- that 13 you've shown on Figure 1; correct?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Have you also seen the modeling of Version 2.2 16 which did the same analysis?

17

18 Q. And under Version 2.2, the water level rises 19 at a much lower rate than Version 2.1?

20 A. A lower rate, yes.

21 Q. And if -- and if you run the same analysis in

22 Version 2.2, I think it takes 20 or 30 years before the

23 water table will rise to the benchmark shown in Figure

24 1; is that right?

25 A. Yeah. I don't have those figures in front of

60

me, but it does take longer.

2 Q. Okay.

3

A. And none of the data shown on Figure 1 is --4 is basically associated with the model. These are all measurements of actual water levels in the aguifer.

- Q. But you do make it a point in your report to 7 note that the water level in the sentinel well index is below the 2023 benchmark? 8 9
  - A. That's right.

10 Q. And, in fact, given the change to the model, the Version 2.1 misled the parties into thinking that

they would achieve the 2023 benchmark, when, in reality,

the 240,000 acre-feet would not have even achieved it from the get-go? 14

15 A. I can't speak to who was misled because I 16 wasn't part of all of that, but the model did show a 17 faster response -- a fast water level response to 18 conservation of 240,000 acre-feet in a year.

19 Q. Okay. Let me have you turn to Section 2.3.1 20 of your report. 21

A. Okav.

- 22 O. That section has got a heading "Sentinel Well
- Index Impacts," and the first paragraph states that
- ESPAM results show that the 2022 underperformance by
- Bingham, Bonneville-Jefferson, and Jefferson-Clark

ground water districts will cause a total of a 0.29 foot decline in the sentinel well index.

3 I want to make sure I understand what you've done to calculate that 0.29 foot decline figure. I couldn't understand that from -- from the report, but my assumption is that you took the figures from Table 1 of your report, which is the deficit, the conservation deficit for those three ground water districts in 2022, 9 and you modeled what effect that deficit would have on 10 the sentinel well index and that's what generated the 11 0.29 foot decline?

A. That's right. We took the 2022 breach 13 numbers, distributed the amount in Table 1 throughout the entire district as a stress in the model and then 15 calculated the total reduction in the sentinel well 16 index value, and that was 0.29.

17 Q. Okay. So if I go back to Figure 1 and I look 18 at the sentinel well index, you've got an annotation there that says 2023 SWI negative 8.97? 19 20

A. Yes.

21 O. That -- that -- as I understand it, that was 22 the spring sentinel well index for 2023; is that right?

23 A. Yes. The IDWR measures the sentinel wells 24 ideally in April or March and reports those values, and

the 2023 calculated value for those observations was

61

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

63

62

negative 8.97.

Q. Okay. And so just to clarify, your analysis 3

is that had there been no deficit in ground water

- conservation in 2022, that the sentinel well index would 4
- have been negative 8.68 feet instead of negative 5
- 8.97 feet? 6
- A. Yes. 7
- Q. I understand. And that analysis is based on the figures in Table 1 of your report -- I believe is
- what you testified? 10
- A. Yes. 11
- 12 Q. Did you assign -- so if I look at Table 1,
- we've got these deficit figures for each ground water 13
- district. If you take Bingham, for example, the 32,476
- acre-feet, did you assign that to specific wells within
- 16 the ground water district?
- 17 A. No. We chose to distribute it across the
- 18 entire ground water district because my read of the 2016
- mitigation plan is that the Surface Water Coalition
- basically agreed to district-wide management, and so the
- annual reporting is actually on a well-by-well basis.
- And so we could have done that, distributing the
- overpumping to specific wells, but I felt to be
- consistent with the 2016 mitigation plan, we should
- distribute it across the districts.

- Q. Okay. So had you looked at the specific wells that had pumping deficits in that year, would the
- analysis potentially have generated a different result?
- 4 A. Possibly. I haven't done that analysis 5 though.
- 6 Q. Okay. If the conservation deficit figures of 7
- Table 1 were to change, that would also cause your calculation of the 0.29 acre-foot decline to also
  - change?
- 10 A. It would depend how much they change. So it 11 could.
- 12 Q. So let's just -- hypothetically, if those
- 13 numbers were cut in half, then the effect on the
- sentinel well index would have been decreased by some
- 15 amount, half presumably?
- 16 A. I don't know if it would be half, but, yes, it
- 17 would be reduced.
- 18 Q. Okay. Okay. Let me have you turn to Section
- 19 2.3.2 of your report. This is where you discuss reach
- gain impacts which you testified on direct examination
- as being the impacts to the Snake River reach from
- Blackfoot to Mini- -- to Milner, I believe; is that
- 23 right?

5

14

18

22

25

- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Was it Blackfoot to Milner or Blackfoot to

64

1 Minidoka?

- A. Well, in the model it's Blackfoot to Minidoka.
- So that's what we calculated. 3
- 4 Q. Okay. And just so I understand this, you took
- the conservation deficit figures from Table 1 and 5
- modeled what effect those would have on the
- 7 Blackfoot-Minidoka reach gains over time?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So if I look at Table 1, there's a total
- 10 [unintelligible] deficit of 56,285 feet?
- 11
  - Q. And then if you look at the -- at Table 2, the
- 13 total impact to the near Blackfoot to Minidoka reach is
  - 26.143 acre-feet?
- A. Yes. 15
- 16 O. So between those three districts, you know, a
- 17 little under 50 percent of the conservation deficit
- 18 accrues at the reach over time?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And just to be clear, you calculated in 2022
- 21 the total reach gain deficit was 4,245 acre-feet?
- A. Yes.
- 23 O. And -- and that number is --
- 24 HEARING OFFICER: Wait a second. Do we have
- 25 any water? [unintelligible] not alcohol.

- 65 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We can take a short
- recess if you like.
- HEARING OFFICER: Oh, it is alcohol. Thank
- you. And I apologize, sir. 4
  - MR. BUDGE: Okay. No apology. No problem.
- 6 Q. (BY MR. BUDGE) So retrieving my prior
- question, on Table 2, you've got on the left column the
- 8 calendar year. So that's showing, as a result of the
- 9 roughly 56,000 conservation deficit in 2022, there was
- 10 an impact in that year of 4,245 acre-feet to the reach?
- 11 A. That's right. And I just want to point out
- that Table 2 only goes through 2072. So there would be
- 13 additional impacts after that time frame --
  - Q. Okay.
- 15 A. -- that we did not model.
- 16 Q. So you modeled 50 years. In over 50 years,
- 17 how much impact would there be?
  - A. That's right.
- 19 Q. And -- and I assume you've captured about
- 20 90 -- 99 percent of the impact within that 50 years?
- 21 A. I'm not sure.
  - HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.
- 23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We want this counted
- 24 towards our [unintelligible].
  - HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I'm sorry. Excuse

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

66 1 me.

2 [Unintelligible conversation.]

3 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Budge, how much more 4 time do you have? 5

MR. BUDGE: Probably about 15 minutes --

HEARING OFFICER: Okay. That's fine.

MR. BUDGE: -- and I would be fine to take a

8 break if you would like.

9 HEARING OFFICER: No. no. Finish that up.

10 MR. BUDGE: Okay.

11 HEARING OFFICER: And then we'll break for

12 lunch or take a break.

MR. BUDGE: Okay.

Q. (BY MR. BUDGE) Mr. Colvin, we were discussing

15 Table 2 of your report, and it shows the impacts to the

reach over the next 50-year period. You had testified

17 that there may be some impacts that extend beyond

18 50 years?

6

7

13

14

25

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. What percentage of the impacts do you

anticipate would be manifested within the first

22 50 years?

23 A. I don't know. But I didn't do that analysis.

24 Q. Close to 100 percent?

A. Like close if you mean more than 50 percent?

Yes. I don't know what the actual number would be.

Q. Well, I'm just looking here. In the first

50 years, you've got 26,143 acre-feet, and of that

amount, only 787 accrued from 2047 to 2072. So over the

last half of that, you've only got a really small

percentage --

7

18

20

3

8

68

A. Yeah.

Q. -- of the accrual. So for pragmatic purposes,

this table --

10 A. [Unintelligible] be more than 90 percent, that those tails -- meaning the -- the small numbers -- they 11

12 tend to stretch out for a very long time.

13 Q. Okay. If we look at 2022, what you're saying is the deficit conservation of 56,000 acre-feet caused

15 an actual impact to the reach gains of 4,245 acre-feet

16 in that year?

17 A. That's the model calculation [unintelligible].

Q. And in 2023, it's 6,850 feet?

19

Q. And if the Department were to impose a remedy,

wouldn't it make sense to require conservation 21

equivalent to the reach gains -- or excuse me -- require

23 the delivery of water equivalent to the reach gains?

24 A. My understanding of the 2016 mitigation plan

is that's not how it's structured.

Q. So you're not -- by putting this information, you're not suggesting that this would be a potential remedy the Department could use?

A. Not under the 2016 mitigation plan.

O. Okay. Your -- your opinion is that the impact 5 should be remedied solely by excess -- or additional ground water conservation? 7

8 A. Under the 2016 mitigation plan, that seems

9 like the most effective remedy.

Q. Okay. You mentioned during your testimony 10 that the effect of the reach -- excuse me -- the effect of the deficit conservation from 2022 would stand

13 multiple years as we've just discussed?

A. Yes. 14

15 Q. And just for reference, on -- in Section 2.2

of your report, paragraph 3, you state, "Excess pumping 16

17 by these ground water districts has long-term effects 18

that outlast any one irrigation season." And then in 19 Section 2.3.1, paragraph 3, the second sentence, you

state, "These impacts propagate into the future." And I

just -- to be clear, Table 2 is -- is representing those

statements that the impacts accrue over the years?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. So your point is that assuming a ground water

district pumped more ground water than they should have

in 2022, that additional withdrawal from the aquifer will propagate for years in the future?

A. I'm sorry. Can you restate that?

Q. Assuming a ground water district pumps more water than they should have in 2022, the effect of that

5 additional withdrawal from the aquifer will propagate

7 many years into the future?

A. Yes.

9 Q. And you would agree that the opposite is also

10 true. If a ground water district conserved more water

than was required in 2022, the addition -- the effects

of that additional conservation would propagate for many

13 vears into the future? 14

A. Yes.

15 Q. You're aware that the ground water districts

conserve much more than 240,000 acre-feet from 2016 to

17 2020?

16

18

22

A. Yes.

19 Q. You're familiar with the performance report

20 [unintelligible] every year that shows conservation by

21 ground water district?

A. I am, yes.

23 Q. Have you ever modeled the effect of the

24 surplus conservation on either the sentinel well index

or Snake River reach gains?

Word 4 Word Court Reporting, LLC 208-242-3289

67

70

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

71

A. Yes.

Q. And you understand that the surplus

conservation that the districts performed from 2016 to

4 2020 had a positive effect on the sentinel well index

and a positive effect on Snake River reach gains that is

greater than the deficits you've reported in your 6

7

1

A. I had not done that analysis, and it's my understanding that the 2016 mitigation plan is basically

an aquifer recovery plan that is intended to deal with

historical pumping in the -- the historical pumping that

predates the 2015 agreement and the 2016 mitigation plan 12

13 so that it -- it's basically actions intended to recover

the historical impacts of junior ground water pumping,

15 as opposed to mitigating future impacts or allowing

16 future impacts.

20

3

16

19

20

17 Q. Okay. Let me -- let me ask it this way. If you'll open your binder that lists IGWA's exhibits.

Excuse me. We're going to use the common exhibits.

A. Okay.

21 MR. BUDGE: Hearing officer, I've got a lot of

paper on a small podium. Do you mind if I sit down --22

HEARING OFFICER: No. 23

24 MR. BUDGE: -- for this next line of questions?

72

submitted every year with the performance report that goes to the Steering Committee?

A. That's right.

O. And the data in this table is -- is the data 4

that you have -- these tables have provided some of the 5

data you've used for your modeling in this proceeding? 6

A. Not really because all we did for this

8 proceeding was to take the Table 1 in my report, which

9 came from the director's ruling. So we didn't actually

reference the performance summary tables. 10

Q. Understood. Yes. Okay. You -- you utilized

the numbers from the director's August 2nd, 2023, order? 12

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And you understand that those numbers were

15 derived from IGWA's 2021 performance report?

A. 2022 performance report.

17 Q. 2021, actually, but I can strike that

18 question. It's not essential.

A. Okay. I don't --

Q. I'm going to ask you this.

21 A. [Unintelligible.]

Q. Do you generally understand the data that's 22

23 represented in Exhibit 518?

A. I do. 24

25 Q. And if we look on the left side of that, HEARING OFFICER: Go right ahead. Turn that

mic off, and then turn that one on, please.

MR. BUDGE: Thank you.

4 Q. (BY MR. BUDGE) David -- or, Mr. Colvin, if

5 you'll turn to Exhibit 518.

6 A. Okay. 7

Q. I'm actually going to have you turn one page

8 forward to 517.

A. Okay. I'm there.

10 O. There's a document labeled "Settlement

11 Agreement Implementation Report to the Steering

Committee from the Ground Water Districts dated April 1,

2017, Regarding 2016 Ground Water Diversion and Recharge

Report."

9

20

Have you seen this before? 15

16 A. I have.

17 Q. You're aware that IGWA submits this type of

18 report every year to the Steering Committee?

19

Q. And if you'll turn to page -- excuse me --

21 Exhibit 518.

22 A. Okay.

23 Q. Do you recognize that table?

24 A. I do.

25 Q. And that table or something like it is

73

there's a row for each ground water district, and then there's a column labeled "Diversion Baseline," and then

there's a column labeled "Target Conservation."

Do you understand that the target conservation

5 column shows the conservation obligations that IGWA had

assigned to each district for that year 2016?

A. Yes. And it also includes A&B Irrigation

8 District and the Southwest Irrigation District, but,

yes, I see that IGWA assigned target conservation. 9

10 Q. Okay. And then if you go to the second column

11 from the right, there's a column, like, of total

conservation?

13 A. Yes.

14 O. And that's the sum of the conservation

actually performed by each ground water district, 15

meaning their diversion reductions plus their recharge.

17 Do you understand that?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And the mitigation balance column is the

difference between the target conservation and the total 20

21 conservation. Do you see that?

22 A. I do.

23 Q. And so you understand that if the mitigation

24 balance column has a positive number, that means a

district's total conservation exceeded its target

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

75

77

74

conservation, and if the mitigation balance column has a negative number, that means the district's total

conservation was less than its target -- target

4 conservation. Do you understand that? 5

A. Yes.

Q. And if we look at the bottom row, we have --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hearing Officer, I'm just going to object to this line of questioning. I

think it goes beyond the scope of his direct. I guess,

you know, what happened in those prior years IGWA

contends it did or didn't do -- that's not at issue in 11

this hearing. 12

6

7

13

24

1

3

**HEARING OFFICER: Sir?** 

14 MR. BUDGE: Yeah. This is foundation for the 15 remedy, some questions about the remedy that Mr. Colvin 16 has recommended.

17 HEARING OFFICER: So total conservation is

18 based on what, sir? 19 MR. BUDGE: So the -- and I -- and I can ask a

20 witness to explain this further. HEARING OFFICER: In your estimation, it's a 2.1 document, as I understand it, given by IGWA to the 22

23 Department; correct?

MR. BUDGE: Correct.

25 HEARING OFFICER: And I'm going to ask you in that regard.

MR. BUDGE: Yes. So the total conservation is 3 the sum of diversion reductions plus recharge.

HEARING OFFICER: Diversion reductions consist 4 5 of --

MR. BUDGE: If you look at the second column 6 7 from the left, there's diversion baseline, and during this period, this was based on pre-agreement average

diversions within each district. And so this was viewed

as the -- the number from which conservation pumping 10

reductions will be measured. 11

12 So these -- these numbers reflect average 13 diversions -- the baseline reflects average diversions

within each ground water district for the period

15 2010-2014. That became the baseline. And then each

16 year, there's a usage column. So if we look at American

17 Falls -- Aberdeen-American Falls, they had a diversion

18 baseline of 271,989 acre feet. There were --

19 HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

20 MR. BUDGE: Yeah.

21 HEARING OFFICER: We're getting too far. I'm

sorry, Mr. Budge, [unintelligible]. 22

MR. BUDGE: That's okay. And I'll just finish 23

24 that 2016 usage is the -- the volume actually pumped

that year.

76

HEARING OFFICER: All right. MR. BUDGE: And then the diversion reduction is the -- is the baseline less the usage. So it shows

how much less water that district pumped in 2016. So 4

for American Falls-Aberdeen, it was 21,836 acre-feet.

And how much recharge that district performed and the 6

7 diverse reduction plus the recharge gets you to the

total conservation. 8 9

HEARING OFFICER: All right. I'm going to let it in, and it's obvious cross-examination and/or

further -- further questions of this witness may help

clear up as to whether or not it's within the parameters of my previous ruling. 13

MR. BUDGE: Okay.

14 15 HEARING OFFICER: I'll make that decision

16 based upon the evidence presented. 17

MR. BUDGE: Thank you.

Q. (BY MR. BUDGE) Mr. Colvin, if you look at the 18 19 bottom right hand cell, it has the total mitigation balance for 2016. 20

21 A. Yes.

22 O. And you can see that that figure does not

23 include Southwest or Falls or A&B Irrigation District;

correct? 24

25

A. That's right.

O. So that 34,923 acre-feet figure -- that shows

that among the signatory ground water districts, they

had collectively conserved 34,923 acre-feet more than

the target that had been assigned to them?

A. That's what's reported here.

Q. Okay. And if you'll turn next to Exhibit 521.

7 A. Okav.

5

6

8

9

18

HEARING OFFICER: Let me clarify one question. Did you -- documents 517 and 518 -- did you use those

10 documents as part of your expert opinion?

11 THE WITNESS: I did not.

12 HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you. You 13 may proceed.

14 MR. BUDGE: Yes. Thank you.

15 Q. (BY MR. BUDGE) Exhibit 521 is a similar table for the year 2017 labeled "2017 Performance Summary 16

Table." Do you see that? 17

A. Yes.

19 Q. And that shows that in 2017, the mitigation

20 balance among those districts was 289,987 acre-feet. Do

21 you see that?

22 A. Yes.

Q. And that would reflect that the signatory 23

24 districts conserved 289,987 acre-feet more than the

target conservation that had been assigned that year?

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

79

81

78

A. That's what's reported here.

[Unintelligible cross-talk.]

3 HEARING OFFICER: -- this document your expert 4 opinion?

THE WITNESS: I did not.

HEARING OFFICER: Very well.

- Q. (BY MR. BUDGE) And your prior testimony was that if a ground water district had conserved more water than was required that the effect of it would propagate into future years?
- A. Yes.

2

5

6

7

10

11

- 12 Q. Did you have the ability to model the effect 13 of the surplus conservation in prior years on the
- sentinel well index or -- or Snake River reach gains?
- 15 A. Yes, but I didn't do that because I didn't
- 16 feel it relevant to this hearing.
- 17 Q. Okay. And I won't walk through the other 18 performance tables, but you understand that, from 2016 through 2020, every year the ground water districts did more conservation than had been assigned those years?
- A. I haven't reviewed that; so I can't speak 22
- specifically to that.
- 23 Q. Okay. Let me have you turn back to your
- 24 expert report. That's Exhibit 1.
- 25 A. Okay.

MR. BUDGE: And I'm getting near the end here,

2 Hearing Officer.

HEARING OFFICER: That's fine.

- 4 Q. (BY MR. BUDGE) If you'll look at Section 3.
  - A. Okay.

5

11

22

6 Q. The paragraph right under that heading, the 7 second sentence reads, "An appropriate remedy should mitigate all impacts of IGWA's excessive junior ground water pumping, including longterm impacts that happen 10 over many years."

And then I'll have you scroll down to 3.2,

- 12 which is on the same page, where you talk about the 2022
- 13 breach remedy. And the second paragraph begins with
- this sentence. "An effective remedy to the 2022 breach
- 15 could include reducing 2024 pumping at the locations
- 16 where the excessive pumping occurred."
- 17 So as I understand your recommendation, it's
- 18 that these -- the districts that had a deficit in their
- 19 conservation in 2022 be required to make up that deficit
- 20 in 2024.
- 21 A. Under the 2016 mitigation plan, yes.
  - Q. That's your recommendation? Wouldn't it have
- 23 been better if they had done surplus conservation in
- advance instead of doing it in arrears?
- 25 A. Well, under -- the issue here for the '22 --

- 2022 breach hearing, it would have been better if they
- didn't over pump in 2022. And so anything that happened
- before 2022, in my mind, is -- this is still -- the 2016
- mitigation plan is an aquifer recovery plan that
- specifies longterm actions that the district should take
- to recover impacts from junior ground water pumping for 7 many decades.
- Q. Yeah, I agree with that. If we look back to 8 9 your Table 2 in your report.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. This is where you show the impacts to the
- Snake River reach gain, and you're showing, you know,
- over 4,000 acre-feet of impact in '22 and another 6,800
- acre-feet of impact in '24 -- or excuse me -- in '23.
- And if the districts do additional conservation in '24, 15
- 16 that won't offset the impacts that were realized in '22
- 17 or '23; right? 18
  - A. Can you restate that question?
- 19 Q. If the districts do what you've suggested,
- 20 which is to do additional conservation in '24 --
- 21 A. Yes.
- O. -- to offset the deficit from '22, that will 22
- 23 not mitigate the reach gain impacts that were realized
- in '22 or '23, because those are, you know, water under
- the bridge, so to speak; right?

- A. And likely some of 2024 would be unrealized
  - because the -- the time it takes for these impacts to
  - propagate through the aquifer and get it to the
  - [unintelligible].
  - O. Yeah. So wouldn't it have been better if the 5 districts would have done surplus conservation prior to '22 so that it did offset the impacts?
  - 8 A. Well, again, my understanding of the topic of
  - 9 this hearing and the structure of the 2016 mitigation
  - plan is not that IGWA's actions are intended to cure 10
  - future impacts or [unintelligible]. It's to recover the
  - aguifer. And so the excess conservation was helpful in
  - 13 recovering the aquifer, but I'm not -- I wouldn't say
  - 14 that it should be applied to future impacts.
  - 15 Q. Okay. So let's -- let's say we -- we talk
  - 16 about the sentinel well impacts instead of the reach
  - 17 gains. So you've calculated a 0.29 foot decline in the
  - 18 sentinel well index?
  - 19 A. Yes.
  - 20 Q. And your recommendation is that the Department
  - 21 require the districts to do additional conservation to
  - offset that. Wouldn't it have been better if they had
  - done additional conservation in advance rather than in 23
  - 24 arrears?
  - 25 A. Better than the remedy I propose? Yeah. Yes,

82

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

but we can't go back in time and change what was done in 2 the past.

3 Q. Okay.

4 A. And that's why I structured the remedy,

5 because it is intended to be within the framework of the

2016 mitigation plan, which really kind of puts some

7 constraints on what a remedy can look like because

there's specific actions that are outlined in the 2016

mitigation plan. And recovering a 2022 breach, I think

that the best remedy is the one that I've put forward. 10

Q. Okay. But, hydrologically speaking, you would 11

agree that if a district is going to perform surplus 12 13 conservation to offset the impacts of deficit

conservation, it would be better for that to be

15 performed in advance instead of in arrears?

16 A. In general, doing things in the past at a time

17 that we can realize the benefits now would be better.

And so if that's what you're saying, in broad terms,

outside of the 2016 mitigation plan, then, yes, I would 20 agree with that.

21

24

4

5

6

9

19

20

MR. BUDGE: Okay. No further questions.

HEARING OFFICER: Redirect, Mr. Thompson? 22

23 MR. THOMPSON: [Unintelligible.]

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.

25 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Unintelligible.] HEARING OFFICER: Oh, sir. I'm sorry. Go

2 right ahead.

б

7

9

20

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's okay. I'm really 4

quiet over here. 5

HEARING OFFICER: Not to worry.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Unintelligible.]

HEARING OFFICER: There you go.

8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is it okay if I just --

HEARING OFFICER: Please.

10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- ask here just a

11 couple questions.

12 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 

13 BY UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

14 Q. Mr. Colvin, I just wanted to ask a question.

15 Mr. Budge was asking you a series of questions about

16 whether or not your analysis had looked at conservation

17 that exceeded the obligation by ground water districts

18 in the past. Do you recall that line of questioning?

19 A. I do.

Q. I just want to ask a couple questions. It's

21 my understanding that you -- the reason you didn't look

at that was based off your understanding of the 2016

settlement agreement; is that correct?

24 A. And the context of this hearing --

25 Q. Okay.

84 85

A. -- and the issues specified.

Q. Okay. So was your analysis purely technical, 3 or did it include legal analysis of --

A. I tried to stick to the technical issues.

Q. Okay. Did -- so what provisions of the settlement agreement were you looking at that

7 determined -- made you come to the conclusion that you

8 shouldn't look at those past years?

A. Basically the -- the longterm actions listed

10 in the 2016 mitigation plan.

Q. Okay. Does the 2016 agreement give you any 12 direction, or are there any specific provisions that specifically state that you can't look at the past years when you're trying to determine what injury in 2022

15 looked like for -- as you model it into the future?

16 A. Well, there is the annual requirement of 17 240,000 acre-feet per year, and so that kind of narrowly 18 defined my analysis.

O. Okay. Any other provisions?

A. Any other provision --

21 O. Provisions in the agreement that you were

22 looking at that narrowed your analysis to just looking

at 2022 and then into the future?

A. I would probably have to review the provisions

and remind myself of what they were, but that was the

main reason. The crux was that 240,000 acre-feet a year was the requirement.

Q. Okay. From purely a technical standpoint,

though, if you were to look at injury that occurred in

2022, do you have any concerns with overstating that

injury? Purely from a technical standpoint, ignoring

the 2016 and what you believed you were limited to, do

you have concerns that if you don't factor in prior

conservation efforts from the past that it's going to

10 overstate the injury by just looking at 2022 and moving

11

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'll object to the form 12 13 of this question. The -- the issue of 2022, and I guess

14 the breach that was found, has been determined -- has

15 been judicially [unintelligible] --16

HEARING OFFICER: That's sustained.

17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- what that injury is 18 [unintelligible].

19 HEARING OFFICER: Ask the next question.

20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. I don't have

21 anything further. Thanks.

HEARING OFFICER: Excuse me, sir?

23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Nothing further.

24 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good.

25 Anyone?

22

208-242-3289

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

86 1 MR. ANDERSON: Just briefly. me. I can't remember which. 2 HEARING OFFICER: Your name, sir? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Unintelligible.] 3 MR. ANDERSON: Dylan Anderson with Bingham 3 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. Order. 4 4 HEARING OFFICER: And, again, make sure Ground Water District. 5 5 HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you. you're -- as you talk among yourselves, your mics are 6 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** б off, please. 7 7 BY MR. ANDERSON: MR. ANDERSON: I'm sorry. I just -- I'm Q. I just have a quick question in regards to -trying to find the -- 511 -- Exhibit 511. I'm sorry. let me get back into your report. You modeled out an I've got it open here. I just didn't give him the injury impact of roughly 56,285 acre-feet? proper exhibit. 10 10 A. Yeah. Not to quibble over nomenclature, but 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm on 511. What page? 11 12 it was actually a breach amount that I was modeling. MR. ANDERSON: Table 2 on page 8. 12 13 13 Q. Okay. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 512. 14 A. So --14 MR. ANDERSON: Oh, I'm sorry. 512. 15 Q. In the -- the report on Table 2 -- or I'm 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 512. sorry -- in the -- in the order on Table 2 that is the 16 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. subject of this hearing, it -- the Department found that 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. So you want Table 2? the -- I'm sorry. What was the term you used? You said 18 Q. (BY MR. ANDERSON) Table 2 -- it shows that a breaching number? 19 the total number there as 38,734? A. A breach amount. 20 20 A. Yes, that was the amount reported by IGWA. 21 Q. Of the breach amount? 21 Q. Okay. And -- and the Table -- what -- 3 --22 A. Breach amount. 22 can you see how that -- those numbers were obtained? 23 Q. Okay. The Department found the breach amount 23 A. Not as I sit here today, but my -- I -- I 24 to be 38,734. In Table 2 -- I -- do you remember which 24 wouldn't want to hazard a guess. exhibit is the order? I'm -- I've got it in front of 25 Q. Okay. If --

> 88 89

A. Those are the numbers that should be consistent with what we model.

Q. Would you agree that those numbers down there 3 in Table 3 were extracted from Table 2? 4

HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Table 3 and 5 and 5 6 12 --

MR. ANDERSON: Yes.

8 HEARING OFFICER: -- [Unintelligible]?

9 MR. ANDERSON: Yes. They're on the same page.

10 I'm sorry.

7

11 HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Just for the 12 record.

A. I'm not sure if those values in Table 3 are 13 14 actually in Table 2.

Q. (BY MR. ANDERSON) Could -- could I direct 15

16 you, please, to the last column, mitigation balance?

17 A. Yeah, Table 2? 18

Q. Yes.

A. Yeah. 19

20

24

Q. Do you see those numbers reflected in Table 3?

21 A. Yes. The Table 3 is a positive value compared

to Table 2 as a negative value, but the -- the numbers

23 are the same.

Q. Correct. Okay. So you can see there from --

from Table 2, those negative balances basically -- you

called them breach numbers. I'll use your term without

necessarily agreeing to it -- to it, but you labeled

them as -- as breach deficits numbers, and then they're

just outlined as a positive number here in Table 3;

5 correct?

A. Yes. 6

O. So if you look at that Table 2, the total

number there from IGWA of -- of these breach deficits

is -- is 38,734. Is there a reason why you didn't use

10 that number instead of the 57,637 plus Aberdeen-American

11

12 A. Yes, because the model run we did was to

evaluate the impact of each ground water district's

breach number. And so I see now that this column -- the

15 far right column on Table 2 is IDWR's calculation of

16 the, quote/unquote, mitigation balance. And so those

17 numbers are -- the negative numbers are essentially the

18 breach numbers, and that was what is at issue here

19 today -- are the breach numbers.

20 Q. So just to be clear, IGWA -- the balance

21 there -- the 38,734 -- what's your understanding of that

22 number?

23 A. That includes credit for the additional

24 conservation measures in those districts that did not

25 breach.

Word 4 Word Court Reporting, LLC 208-242-3289

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

91

93

90

- Q. Okay. Is there a reason why those weren't 2 included in your modeling?
- 3 A. Yes, because the issue here today is the 2012 4 breach.
- 5 Q. Okay. As far as remedy goes for -- for -- for curing any potential breach, did you find those 7 irrelevant or --
- A. I don't know if irrelevant's the right word, but the location of pumping is very important to understand the impacts, and so crediting those conservation measures is very complicated. And, again, 12 the issue was the districts that breached, and so my
- analysis was limited to the breach amounts. 14 Q. Okay. But IGWA as a -- as a whole, the breach 15 amount is different than the individual districts added up. You would agree to that; correct?
- 17 A. Yes.

13

- 18 Q. Okay. And that IGWA, as a whole, it's the --
- the 38,000 less, obviously, now the American
- Falls-Aberdeen amount; correct? Which was --
- A. Yeah, yeah. 21
- 22 Q. -- 1,300?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 O. Can I draw your attention back to an exhibit
- 25 that TJ asked you to look at, Number 518?

A. Okay.

- Q. Okay. Was there a breach in 2016? Was IGWA 3 in breach?
- A. There were districts that did not meet their 5 conservation targets.
- 6 Q. But was IGWA ever in breach in 2016, to your 7 knowledge?
- A. I don't remember if a specific district is the level of measurement of the 2016 mitigation plan
- compliance. So I don't know. I would have to look at that in detail. 11
- 12 Q. From your understanding that -- the -- the
- 13 agreement sets amount of -- you know, we argue 205, 240,
- whatever it is -- it sets an amount. And from your
- understanding, has IGWA always been free to allocate
- that reduction amongst IGWA? Has the Surface Water
- 17 Coalition or IDWR ever been involved in that?
- 18 A. I'm not sure how IGWA distributes that 19 requirement.
- 20 Q. But it is IGWA that does distribute that;
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. I don't know that.
- 23 Q. Okay. But you don't know of any breach in
- 24 2016?
- 25 A. Again, there are districts that did not need

92

- their target conservation, and so they possibly were in
- breach as a district. So based on my limited
- understanding of how the conservation volumes are
- 4 allocated, it's possible that there was a breach in 5 2016.
- 6 Q. Even though the Surface Water got its full amount of reductions as required by IGWA, you would 7 contend that there would still be --
- A. I just don't understand how each district is 9 apportioned their obligation under the 2016 mitigation 10 11
- 12 Q. Okay. I understand.
- 13 MR. ANDERSON: I have no further questions. 14 [Unintelligible.]
- 15 HEARING OFFICER: Very well. Sir? Thompson? 16 I'm sorry.
- 17 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you. Travis Thompson 18 again.
- 19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 20 BY MR. THOMPSON:
- 21 Q. Just a couple questions on redirect,
- Mr. Colvin. Mr. Budge asked you quite a few questions
- about the district's over-performance in prior years, I
- guess going above and beyond the 240. Do you recall
- those? 25

A. Yes.

- Q. And to your knowledge, has the director
- authorized IGWA or the district to frontload obligations 4 for future years?
- 5 A. My understanding is that he has not authorized 6 that.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Objection. Calls for a 7 8 legal conclusion.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER: Well, I think it's in the
- 10 director's report that I previously allowed. It's
- 11
- 12 MR. THOMPSON: That's all the questions I had.
- 13 Thank you.
- HEARING OFFICER: Anything? Please be seated. 14
- 15 At this point in time the Court -- or excuse
- me -- the hearing will be in recess. 16
- 17 What is the usual recess for lunch, and what
- 18 do vou folks need?
- 19 (Recess taken.)
  - MS. PATTERSON: So, yeah, right there. Yes.
- 21 Sophia, can you turn on your camera?
- 22 MS. SIGSTEDT: It should -- it should be on.
- 23 MS. PATTERSON: Oh. there it is. Perfect.
- 24 Are you able to see me okay?
- MS. SIGSTEDT: The picture is really small, 25

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

94 95 but I can hear you. HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. MS. PATTERSON: Okay. Good. All right. DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 Thank you for being here with us today. 3 BY MS. PATTERSON: 4 HEARING OFFICER: For the record, she's Q. All right. I'll ask for you to start with 5 5 appearing remotely. You may proceed, and I'm sorry to your name and the name of the business -- or your 6 6 interrupt. business. 7 7 MS. PATTERSON: No. I was gonna mention that A. My name is Sophia Sigstedt, and I work for she's [unintelligible]. 8 Lynker. So Mr. Burdick explained you are appearing Q. And Miss Sigstedt, may I call you Sophia remotely here by permission of the hearing officer. 10 today? 10 I'll be sharing my screen for some of the exhibits since 11 A. Yes. 11 12 Q. I want to go through a little bit of your 12 you don't have the hard copies in front of you. 13 Do you have your expert report available, 13 background. Can you tell me about your educational 14 14 though? background? 15 MS. SIGSTEDT: I do. I have all the expert 15 A. I have a master's in hydrology from the New 16 reports on my screen that I should be able to see. 16 Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology. 17 MS. PATTERSON: Okay. Perfect. And then, 17 Q. And what is your position with Lynker? 18 Hearing Officer, did you want to swear her in? 18 A. I'm a senior hydrologist. 19 HEARING OFFICER: Which exhibit -- excuse me? 19 Q. What's your area of expertise? 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Unintelligible.] 20 A. My area of expertise is in water resources and 21 21 hydrogeology. I'm a certified professional hydrologist HEARING OFFICER: Oh, gosh. Yeah. 22 Madam, please raise your right hand. by the American Institute of Hydrology with a specialty SOPHIA SIGSTEDT 23 23 in ground water. called by IGWA, having been first duly sworn to tell the 24 O. And do you have expertise in modeling of truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: ground water. 96 97 A. Yes. I've been doing numerical ground water the sentinel wells. modeling for over 15 years. I specialize primarily in Q. Okay. Beyond the sentinel wells, what other basin scale water resource management in conjunctive type of work do you do for IGWA?

- use. Particularly, I do modeling around the timing of pumping impacts on depletions or accretions to surface water systems, either in a conjunctive use context or in 7 planning around feasibility or environmental impact analysis.
- Q. And are you familiar with the -- what we call 10 the ESPAM, or the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer Model?
- 11 A. Yes. I've been using the ESPAM model for over 12 ten years. Similarly, I've been on the Eastern Snake
- 13 Hydrologic Modeling Committee for over ten years. And I
- think as was previously discussed, that committee is
- involved in both the development and the use of the
- ESPAM model.
- 17 Q. And are you a consultant for IGWA?
- 18 A. Yes. I've been a consultant for IGWA at least
- 19 since 2012, and I might have started work for them in
- 21 Q. Mr. Colvin testified earlier about working 22 with some of IGWA's consultants on the sentinel well
- index. Are you that consultant that works with him?
- A. Yes, I am. In that capacity, we work on the
- 25 IGWA-SWC Technical Working Group Committee related to

- A. I do just generally expert support in the evaluation of the conjunctive administration of ground
- water rights, looking at modeling of aquifer management,
- mitigation plans, consumptive use analysis, analysis of 8 historical water use, things like that.
- 9 Q. Have you ever testified as an expert witness 10 before?

11

- O. In which cases?
- 13 A. I was part of some of the expert reports and
- proceedings in the Rangen case around water trans---14
- water right transfers and mitigation. Recently I 15
- testified in the Surface Water Coalition call related to 16
- 17 the methodology order. I've done some testimony around
- 18 the rest of the country related to ground water
- 19 modeling, aquifer budgets, looking at permit
- 20 applications, as well as expert support in Colorado
- 21 around conjunctive use administration with stream
- 22 depletion modeling.
- 23 Q. And I should have asked you this when we
- 24 discussed the ESPAM, but are you familiar with both
- versions -- the Department's prior Version 2.1 and the

98

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

1 current 2.2?

A. Yes. ESPAM Version 2.1 was released pretty 3 recently from when I first began working with IGWA. So

I worked with that model for, you know, most of this

- time, and then, as was discussed, ESPAM 2.2 came out
- around 2021. And so I've had a few years of working 7 with that model.
- 8 Q. Okay. Thank you. Let's turn now to the 9 matter at hand. This hearing involves a settlement 10 agreement between IGWA and the Surface Water Coalition
- 11 which was entered into in 2015. Are you familiar with,
- 12 generally, the documents that make up this, well,
- mitigation plan -- those being the 2015 settlement
  - agreement, the first addendum, second addendum, and the
- 15 A&B agreement? 16
  - A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And if I refer to these agreements in 18 that term or collectively as "the settlement agreement" 19 or "agreement," you'll understand what I mean?

20

7

8

- 21 Q. Okay. So you can do the same also. If you
- 22 want to refer to it as the "settlement agreement" or the
- 23 "agreement," I think we'll understand what you're
- 24 25
  - Let's start with what you were asked to do in

1 this case.

A. Essentially, I was asked to quantify what the Surface Water Coalition reach gain benefits in the near Blackfoot to Minidoka reach would be resulting from

IGWA's conservation activities over the historical

- period of the settlement agreement. So 2016 through 7 2022 is what I analyzed.
- 8 Q. And you submitted an expert report in this 9 case?
- 10 A. Yes.

15

16

11 Q. I'll have you open up that expert report, and 12 I'll refer the hearing officer to Exhibit 142 -- IGWA 13 Exhibit 142. Sorry. The binders are kind of tightly 14 packed.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

MS. PATTERSON: Okay. Thank you.

- 17 Q. (BY MS. PATTERSON) Looking here at the 18 introduction, is it correct to say that the first page
- that we're looking at here is just some background on the settlement agreement? 20
- 21 A. Yeah. I think that's fair. 22
  - Q. Okay. And you're discussing here some of
- 23 IGWA's historical understanding; is that correct? 24
  - A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. And that's the matter that was addressed in

100

what we call the '21 breach case; is that correct? A. I believe so.

2 3 Q. And I just want to make clear that while we -you may be testifying to, you know, IGWA's understanding

on some of those items, are we attempting to re-litigate what those terms are here?

- A. No. They're just reference for context.
- Q. Okay. Thank you.

9 Moving on to the second page, you give a 10 little bit of a summary of the report. Can you describe to us what this report shows, generally?

A. Yeah. So, essentially, I developed modeling where I developed three model runs -- one that looks at what IGWA's actual conservation activities were as reported under the settlement or -- or the summary 15 performance report that IGWA submits to the Department 16 17

and the Surface Water Coalition annually. And then I compared -- with -- with that

18 19 analysis, I'm, again, looking at primarily the near Blackfoot to Minidoka reach gains, impacts from those conservation activities from IGWA, and I compare what IGWA's actual activities were through 2016 through 2022 to what the benefits to that reach would have been had 24 IGWA done either the bare minimum under their

understanding of the 205,000 acre-foot obligation and

its allocation or the more recently ordered 240,000

acre-foot allocation and obligation under the director's 3 order.

Q. Okay. And so you ran these three models. You got reach gain results from them, and then you were able to subtract IGWA's actual conservation from, you know,

what the modeled results would be for the -- the bare 8

minimal amounts; is that a correct synopsis? 9 A. Yeah, that's right. So, essentially, the

10 difference between those runs gives you what the benefit from just the excess activities or the surplus

conservation from IGWA on its own would have been to 13 those reaches.

14 MR. FLETCHER: Excuse me. I have a question 15 objection. This report, for the most part, is outside the scope of what the hearing officer ordered. Part of

17 the motion was that passed actions should not be 18 considered. Averaging should not be considered, and

19 this is a backward attempt to do exactly that.

20 They're trying to introduce this evidence,

21 according to the charge that she was given by her counsel, the historical actions that were taken by IGWA

since 2016. That is not the issue before this hearing

officer. And it's just a backdoor attempt to try to get

back to averaging, which the district court has already

99

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

103

102

determined. The director was correct when he said 2 averaging is not authorized. 3

MS. PATTERSON: Thank you. As the Coalition 4 and the hearing officer has stated, the settlement agreement is viewed as a contract agreement. They are alleging breach of that agreement, and you should be able to argue, just like in any other contract case, what other activities we have done to mitigate any sort of harm or damages.

This is not a backdoor way of getting in 11 averaging. As Miss Sigstedt will explain, we're using the Department's model, the same model that Mr. Colvin 12 13 utilized to estimate what his damages are, and we are simply accounting for actual conservation activities 15 that IGWA had performed and their impact on the results 16 of the 2022 breach.

17 TJ, [unintelligible].

5

6

7

10

25

5

6

8

9

10

11

15

16

22

24

25

HEARING OFFICER: Anything further?

18 19 MR. FLETCHER: Yeah. This is exactly what was litigated in 2021, the same type of argument, that the past action should be counted toward annual actions. The director specifically held it could not be. It went to the district court, and the district court held the 23

24 director's actions.

They're just trying to come back a different

way and say, "You should give us credit or average" or however they want to argue it, "for what we did in the past," when the agreement was ignored by some -- not ignored -- it's not the proper wording -- but breached

in 2022 by some of the districts. б

That's already been litigated, and the 7 district court's already said, "No, you look at what was done that year. You are not entitled to credit or

averaging from prior year's actions. That's what the

whole motion in limine was about. I was afraid that 10 that's where this hearing would evolve -- is back into 11

12 the very same issues we litigated in 2021.

13 HEARING OFFICER: I'm going to sustain this 14 objection. Although there was no objection when Mr. 15 Budge included it in with the cross-examination of the previous witness. 16

17 It has been my understanding [unintelligible]. 18 Judge Wildman did it in his [unintelligible]. And it

19 has always been my understanding that there was no carryover. That, in fact, this was a finite examination

of one year, and what was the deficiency, and how do we

cure it? That's how the 8/2/23 opinion reads, and I'm 22

23 going to sustain the objection.

24 Mr. Budge?

25 MR. BUDGE: Mr. Hearing Officer, the last case

104

dealt with determining whether a breach occurs, and in determining whether a breach occurs, the director ruled

you can't use averaging, and he reallocated the 240.

This case is different. We're addressing today what do you do to remedy a breach? We're not challenging the director's decision. We're talking about a different issue. What is the proper remedy? And the Coalition -- they presented the evidence that the proper remedy is to do additional conservation in a year that is different than when the breach occurred.

They opened that door, and now what we're saying is we have already done that. We mitigated our damages in advance, and that is clearly relevant to the

proper remedy. And if we're not allowed to put in our evidence of an appropriate remedy, we're severely prejudiced in this case. You may -- you may redact our

17 evidence. We accept that, but we have to be able to 18 explain our basis for an appropriate remedy, and that is

squarely before the agency today. We're not 19 20 re-litigating what happened in the past. We're talking 21 about how do you quantify the remedy?

We have a proposal as to what should be considered, and that's relevant, and it has to be accepted today.

HEARING OFFICER: I -- I struggle with the

105

- issue of past actions prior to an agreement that found
- certain breaches and deficiencies that is of a certain
- date that because it's taken us so long to get to this
- point of how much damage there was and how do we remedy
- it and how much, that's taken us two years, you argue
- 6 that that is in fact opening the door to previous
- conduct on behalf of IGWA and the signatories as opposed
- to nothing more than finally getting to the brass tacks
- of a breach in 20 -- 2022 under this agreement.

10 I understand your argument, Mr. Budge, but the 11 agreement -- the agreement doesn't seem to allow -- and the director never found when he found the breach -- to 13 allow any sort of previous conservation attempts in my

mind.

15 MR. BUDGE: Yeah. We -- we accept the director's ruling. We understand it. I don't agree

with it, but we understand his ruling. He said, "You have breached the agreement." Now, what is the remedy? 18

19 We have a right to mitigate our damages.

20 I'll give an example -- hypothetical. If I'm

a tenant and I'm renting some commercial property, I can

put down a security deposit that's going to be available 23 to remedy damages that may exist at the end of the term.

So with a fundamental contract right and obligation to

mitigate one's damages in the event of a breach. We are

5

6

15

16

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

107

109

106

going to put on evidence that we have, in fact, 2 mitigated our damages.

3

4

5

6

7

17

18

23

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

And I understand that this agreement has authority in some ways. It doesn't spell out a remedy. It doesn't spell out a baseline. It doesn't spell out a method of conservation, and that has largely fed the litigation that's been going on for a year, and that's

But when it comes to the Department saying, 10 "We have discretion to fashion a remedy," the Department must consider what has been done to mitigate the 11 12 damages, and we have done things, in fact, to mitigate 13 our damages, and we have a right to present that and put 14 it in the record. You can treat it how you will. You 15 may reject it, but we have a right to put into the 16 record what we've done to mitigate our damages.

HEARING OFFICER: [unintelligible] further argument? Do you have any comments to add?

19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm sorry. May we --20 HEARING OFFICER: No. I haven't made a 21 decision yet. Do you have any comments on the objection, please? 22

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, Your Honor.

24 HEARING OFFICER: That's fine.

25 Anything further on behalf of SWC?

MR. FLETCHER: This -- Hearing Officer, it's illogical to stand here and say you can't use averaging in order to determine compliance, but if you breach you can then use averaging to determine mitigation for the

The whole goal of this agreement, as was

7 pointed out by Mr. Colvin, is to reach certain benchmarks and certain goals. These annual actions have to be taken every year in order -- in an attempt to 10 reach those benchmarks and goals. I mean, that's what 11 people lose sight of when they're making these 12 arguments. When they -- when they start saying, "Well, 13 we can do a whole bunch one year and then slack off another year, and that'll average out. It's what the

annual action should be." The real question becomes is that marching 17 forward toward the goals? That's the purpose of the agreement. So I -- I don't understand this argument, and I don't understand how anybody is mitigated by something that was done in 2016 to '20 for nonaction that occurred in 2022. We're not trying to mitigate injury. It's not an injury-based plan. It's a plan 23 that requires annual actions in order to restore an 24 aquifer.

25 So they're kind of convoluting these two

108

I believe that this agreement cannot be mitigated by previous actions. It is a year-to-year analysis of the sentinel wells and where they are at

this time. Does that mean that subjectively you thought you could use the mitigation? As I indicated in my opinion, subjective intent is not an issue when you look

at a document's four corners. 8 I understand your argument, sir, that you have 9 the right in a damage case to do so, but that's not what 10 the contract says between SWC and IGWA, in my

estimation. It is a yearly analysis. Are you -- are the sentiment wells in good order? If not, we go to the

13 Steering Committee and we do the following.

14 Now, that has to be a horrible decision on 15 behalf of IGWA, and I understand the importance of it. 16 Don't get me wrong, and I -- I understand that. But

17 that -- that's truly how I felt about the disagreement.

18 It is a year-to-year analysis, and the sentiment wells, 19 when you entered into this agreement, said X. And you

20 were to keep them at that level by aquifer recharge or

21 reduction in your -- your drilling, and it was found 22

that you weren't.

23 It would seem to me, again, that these prior 24 years are irrelevant because, number one, they don't -they don't look to the sentinel well -- sentinel wells.

principles and talking about injury to the reach or whatever they want to talk about, but the bottom line is the plan says this is what you have to do every year, and they didn't do it in 2022. That's not disputed. 5 The director found it. They didn't ask for a rehearing 6 on that issue. The director said this is how much. They didn't dispute it. They didn't ask for 8 reconsideration on that issue. So those issues aren't 9 even before you today. 10

The only issue is what can they do now going forward to make up for what they didn't do in 2022?

HEARING OFFICER: I'm going to sustain the objection, and I'm going to try to tell you why.

First of all, this agreement from 2015, again, looked at a yearly examination, and I'm not parroting what he said. This is my true understanding -- what Mr. Fletcher said. This is my true understanding of the document.

19 The document said that we will measure these 20 yearly. We will go to the Steering Committee, figure out who's over-appropriated within the different districts, and then we will present that to the director to implement. City committee in this case could not come to that agreement; so you went -- you went to the 25 director.

6

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

111

110

There's no -- nothing in the agreement in that regard.
 And, therefore, I'm going to sustain the
 objection.

4

5

6

7

15

16

17

3

4

5

6

Having done so, Mr. Budge, I'm going to allow significant time for you to respond to that, based upon the decision of the Court. And if you have further argument, let me know about it now. I was going to maybe even recess for the day or for most of the afternoon so you can discuss that.

MR. BUDGE: Yeah. It -- it is a vexing decision. If we're looking at it in one year, there's nothing that can be done. We can't accept the Coalition's remedy because it didn't happen in '22. If this is so isolated that all we consider --

[Unintelligible cross-talk.]

HEARING OFFICER: -- sentinel wells and the determination of the damage is in one year, and you go forward. As was indicated by Mr. Colvin, the best thing that could happen is if telemetry was on the wells immediately and we could know what the numbers are immediately, et cetera, but that's not the system we have. The reason we're here two years later is

23 litigation. And if that's -- that's -24 MR. BUDGE: It's -- it's just we are here to
25 decide what is an appropriate remedy, and before we even

presented our evidence, before we explained "this is an appropriate remedy and this is why it is," we've got a ruling that says, "That can't be considered. We're not going to consider any remedy based on what you did in advance."

And -- and I understand Mr. Fletcher's

frustration, and it stems from this agreement not having
the level of specificity that, in hindsight, we wish it
did, and it doesn't. It doesn't prescribe a remedy. It
doesn't prescribe a baseline. We did something for six
years, and the director said, "You can't do it that way
anymore." So we have all kinds of -- of -- of
shortcomings that we're struggling with that's caused a
lot of litigation that's going to continue for a long
time, I assume, unfortunately.

But today we're here to decide one issue -
17 what is an appropriate remedy? And we have evidence of

18 an appropriate remedy. Is that relevant? Yes. So I

19 don't -- I don't understand the basis for excluding

20 relevant evidence.

HEARING OFFICER: Sir, excuse me. You used the -- the -- you used the example of renting an area and returning it to the owner and you have damages and here's how I mitigate those damages. But that's not what the rental agreement says. The rental agreement,

112

113

in this situation, says we're going to look at this year's sentinel wells, and there's going to be either an over -- they're going to be fine or they're going to be under.

And as a result, you then have to -- and the director did this in August -- how much is what they were under?

7 were under?
8 MR. BUDGE: No. There's some confusion going
9 on. The sentinel well index and the benchmarks are not
0 at issue in this proceeding. If we don't hit the
1 benchmarks, that's not a breach. That triggers adaptive

2 measures, but that's not at issue in this proceeding.

Mr. Colvin went into that, and my attempt at

L4 cross-examining was to say that's not even an issue with

this proceeding. It is part of the agreement, but it'snot what we're here today to talk about. We're here

16 not what we're here today to talk about. We're here17 today only to address what is the remedy if the

18 districts don't conserve 240.

And back to the rental hypothetical. If the rent that's owed every month is \$240 and one month I pay 300, one month I pay 350, one month I pay 275, and I accumulate excess rent, and then one month I pay 200, there's a pool of excess rent that was not obligated.

24 It was not required. It was surplus. And what we're

5 saying is in the year that our -- in the month that our

1 rent was deficient, we had accumulated a surplus in 2 advance to offset that.

Now, Mr. Colvin said, "Well, you shouldn't have given us a surplus. You should have paid us exactly 240, then fallen behind, and then caught up in the arrears by doing more after the fact." I don't know how it's not relevant that we gave more than we were required to under the terms of the agreement. We did more -- more than our obligation, and that more than offset the deficit. And I don't -- I don't know how that's not relevant to a remedy.

And -- and if the hearing officer wants to
reject that for whatever reason after we present the
evidence, so be it, but this is our case to show what we
think is an appropriate remedy. That is the issue. So
it's relevant. It's material. It's not barred by the
terms of the agreement. The agreement doesn't say what
a remedy is. It doesn't say, "A remedy can't be

provided in advance or after." It says none of that.
All of that is within what we're talking about today.
So I would ask the hearing officer to

reconsider the decision. Let us put our evidence on of our remedy. You can decide if you agree with it or not, but let us present our evidence of what we think an

or the dispresent our evidence of wi

25 appropriate remedy is.

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

114

MR. FLETCHER: May I address? HEARING OFFICER: Sure, Mr. Fletcher. MR. FLETCHER: Yeah. These analogies are --4 are missing one thing, you know. When -- when you enter into a real estate contract, for example -- that's what we seem to be talking about here -- if you want to look at this as like a promissory note; right? IGWA's making a promise, "We're going to restore the aquifer level to pass by 2026 -- or the sentinel well level"; right?

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

3

5 6

7

9

10

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

But the agreement says, you know, along the 11 way, you're going, every year, do these certain things, 12 13 and it's -- it's -- it's like having a promissory note that says prepayment is allowed. You can do more in any 14 15 one year, if you want, because we're trying to get to this goal, but prepayment does not excuse the regular 17 installment payments that are required under the note. 18 And that is a more apt analogy to what our agreement is.

That's what their promise is.

19 Our agreement deals with them agreeing to 20 reach a goal and doing certain annual actions every year to get to that goal. If they prepay in certain years, they're trying to get to the goal too. There's benefits to them under the agreement to get to that goal, but that doesn't mean that they can avoid obligations every year that are set out in the agreement. The agreement

says you have to do these things annually.

And this is exactly the issue we litigated in 3 the 2021 breach, and now we're trying to say, well, yeah, the courts have held back, but now we want to use averaging and prior actions to -- to -- to fashion a remedy, when the effect would be the same. You know, 7 you're not going to get to your goal by doing it that 8 way.

9 So I -- if you want to use real estate analogy, I think it's much better to say this contract 10 says you have to do certain things annually, and it 11 12 doesn't allow you to excuse those actions. There's nothing in this contract that says if you did a whole bunch last year, then -- then you don't have to do it 15 this year. That's basically what they are arguing.

16 Thank you. 17 MR. BUDGE: If you prepay the promissory note, 18 the principal goes down. The lender doesn't just get to 19 keep it as a windfall. The principal goes down.

20 And analyses aside, the fact is we're deciding 21 what's the remedy, and the agreement does not prescribe anything or prohibit anything. It just says the

director shall consider all available information and fashion a remedy. We're here to present available

information for the judge to consider under the terms of

116

that you did.

and it's not prohibited by the terms of the agreement. So how do we not get to present relevant evidence as to the remedy? Under the terms of the

4 agreement, the director shall consider all available information.

the agreement. They may not like it, but it's relevant,

Sorry to belabor the issue, Mr. Hearing 8 Officer.

HEARING OFFICER: No. That's fine. As I've mentioned to you guys before, every case, no matter how complex, has a linchpin, and this has been the linchpin 12 in this case from day one.

Well, [unintelligible]. At this point in time, I think it would be more expeditious for -- to allow further questioning of this witness. I will overrule my previous opinion, no matter what my previous comments meant. I'll overrule that objection based upon the arguments of counsel Mr. Budge I received.

MS. PATTERSON: Thank you.

Q. (BY MS. PATTERSON) Sophia --

21 22 O. So I'll try to give a quick recap. I asked

you to describe what your report showed generally, and I believe I was going to go into next having you explain

some of the general assumptions of the -- the modeling

A. Sure. So some of the basics about the modeling are that I used ESPAM 2.2 for all of these model runs. All of the inputs for these model runs are based on IGWA's performance summary reports and

spreadsheets that -- as they submitted 2016 to 2022 without any modifications.

8 And I think maybe at this point it's important 9 for me to say that this doesn't have anything to do with 10 averaging. This will just be looking at the difference between what activities and where the aquifer levels and reach gains would be without or with the additional 13 activities that IGWA did over those years.

14 Q. Okay. I'm gonna share my screen, and you 15 mentioned that -- the inputs here for IGWA's actual activities for the performance summary reports and the 17 spreadsheets that accompany them. I'm going to show 18 you, first, exhibit -- common Exhibit 518. So in the 19 hard copies, I'll represent that the first tab on the cell spreadsheet, which is the actual exhibit, is

20

21 printed off, but --

Are you able to see my screen, Sophia?

23 A. I'm -- I'm not.

24 Q. Okay. Is anything showing up?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't see

Word 4 Word Court Reporting, LLC 208-242-3289

22

25

115

5

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

119

121

118

[unintelligible].

3

4

5

6

7

8

A. Although I am familiar, generally, with those spreadsheets.

Q. (BY MS. PATTERSON) No?

MS. PATTERSON: Sorry. Can we -- can we go off the record for a moment?

HEARING OFFICER: Yes.

(Recess taken.)

- Q. (BY MS. PATTERSON) All right. So we were looking at the performance summary table for 2016. I just want to walk through and confirm that the numbers that you used in your model include both the diversion reduction and the recharge; is that correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And here in Column F, that would be the 16 diversion reduction, and then the recharge here is in 17 Column G?
- 18 A. That's correct. But in my model, I model them by locations. So the location that each diversion reduction or recharge takes place is allocated according to those next tabs by district and by well location or 22 by recharge sites specifically.
- Q. Okay. And so you've pointed out that in this 23 24 spreadsheet, or the workbook that accompanies these performance reports, there is recharge report, there is

the actual diversion data here by well, and these are the inputs that you use in the model?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And then in terms of diversion reduction, how does -- do you know, from the spreadsheet, how IGWA calculates that?
- б A. The diversion reduction, again, is by well location, where each well is assigned a baseline number, and then the annual usage from that baseline number is
- deducted to determine whether there's a surplus or a 10 11 deficit or a zero at that well location, and that's

12 exactly how I've input it into my model.

- 13 Q. Okay. So, generally, on this spreadsheet 14 though, we've got a usage column, which is the wells 15 actual usage or -- by district, and then we have the baseline diversion, which is the average of
- 17 pre-agreement from 2010 to 2015 or '14 diversions. 18
  - A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And the two are subtracted in order to come up 20 with the diversion reduction; is that correct?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. And here at the mitigation balance, I believe
- 23 we had some testimony about that, but where there is a
- positive number, that means that there was more
- conservation than required; is that correct?

120

MS. PATTERSON: Yes.

HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

3 A. Yeah. So, generally, that's what this map is showing. This shows the ESPAM, the model boundary in gray as the background. That's all the model cells and all the area within the Eastern Snake Plain that are

represented by the model. And then the colored areas 8 that I have over that generally represent the ground 9

water districts.

10 And then within those ground water districts, 11 vou can see that there's a series of black dots. So

those are all of the well locations where the ground 13 water districts make their actual pumping diversions,

and these are accumulative of all the points that are; 14

15 used throughout all of the years as reported in the

summary performance reports that either have a positive 16 17 or a negative balance that nets out to be what the total

18 conservation is in terms of pumping reductions.

19 And then I've got sort of a series of

20 highlighted blue model cells, and these are where I've 21 overlapped the recharge sites and what model cells they

align with, and that's where I'm inputting the recharge

volumes in the model. So everything is site specific by

year for all of these con -- what I'm calling

conservation activities, which is the total of both the

A. That's correct.

Q. But it -- okay. Did your inputs include data from the 2021 irrigation season? 3

A. No. I used just null values in 2021. So

no -- no surplus, no deficit, based on my understanding 5 that the 2021 breach had been settled by agreement, and

7 I didn't know the terms of that to implement into a

8 model.

9 Q. Let's have you turn now to page 5 of your report. I believe -- it is marked on our exhibits as page 5. I believe in your report it's page 3. 12

A. Okav.

4

13 Q. I'm sorry. Actually, can you turn to the next 14 page, page 6? It's a -- has Figure 1 on there. 15

A. Sure.

16 O. And so you discussed using the summary 17 reports, that actual data, the recharge, and the diversion data at the well location. Is this what this 19

figure is showing in your model? 20 HEARING OFFICER: When you say well location, 21 vou mean sentinel well?

MS. PATTERSON: No. The actual wells that are 22 23 diverting ground water.

HEARING OFFICER: The -- all of the wells in 24

the district?

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

123

125

122

ground water pumping reduction and the recharge.

- Q. Okay. And this data is, again, available in the reports that are provided to the SWC and the Department?
  - A. Correct.

3

4

5

2

- 6 Q. And there's, in your modeling, therefore, is 7 spatial and a temporal component to this?
- A. That's correct. I'm using the transient
  model, which is -- has monthly inputs. So the ground
  water pumping reduction which are reported annually are
  evenly distributed over the irrigation season from April
  through October. And, similarly, the recharge volumes
- 13 are evenly distributed either annually over the
- 14 irrigation season if it's an annual volume reported, or
- 15 if they have monthly volumes reported, then I'm evenly distributing it over the months referenced for that
- 16 distributing it over the months referenced for that17 recharge.
- 18 Q. And were you present this morning when 19 Mr. Colvin testified?
- 20 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. Can you just contrast kind of the modeling that you performed with, you know, some of the
- assumptions that Mr. Colvin did in terms of this spatial
- and temporal aspect?
- 25 A. Sure. So I think my understanding of how

1 Mr. Colvin described his modeling this morning is -- if

- you look at Bingham Ground Water District, for example,
- 3 which is highlighted in sort of that gold-yellow color
- 4 on my Figure 1, you can see that what I've modeled
- 5 within Bingham are these specific well locations as
- 6 designated by those black dots, and that's where all the 7 stress is applied to the model.
- What Mr. Colvin did is he basically took all of the model cells within that entire gold area, and he evenly distributed what he is using as -- as the deficit
- 11 volume in his model across all of those model cells to
- 12 get what the stresses and -- and the reach gain
- 13 benefits. And we're looking at the same reach gain
- 14 benefits from his model results and my model results.
- 15 We're looking at the same output.
- Q. Okay. And then did you attempt to retain this spatial and temporal component when you were modeling
- $1\,8$   $\,$  kind of the bare minimum model runs both for the 205,000  $\,$
- 19 and the 240,000?
- A. Yes. So when I'm developing the contrasting run to show what would have been the effect if IGWA had
- 22 just done, for example, just the 240,000 acre-foot bare
- 23 minimum target allocation, is I'm taking the same
- 24 locations by year within the model, and I'm comparing
- 25 the volume that they actually recharged to what their

124

onservation 1 the -- the next page. And, again, these are your inputs

target -- or conserved to what their target conservation would have been.

- And I'm multiplying all of those locations by
  a uniform factor either up, if the ground water district
- 5 did less than their target, or down, if the ground water
- district did more than their target, so that in the
   contrasting run, the total volume for each district will
- 8 be equal to whatever its allocation would have been
- 9 under, for example, the 240,000 acre-foot, but it occurs
- at all the same locations that IGWA actually did its
- 11 conservation activities.
  - Q. Okay. And then, as you said, you take the reach gain either -- you take the reach gain results,
- 14 and then you subtract IGWA's actual reach gain from, you
- 15 know, the bare minimum model runs in order to come up
- with either a surplus or a deficit number; is thatcorrect?
- A. That's correct. So in that way, we can look at what the water level change would have been across
- 20 the sentinel wells, or we can look at what the reach
- 21 gain at the near Blackfoot to Minidoka reach would have
- 22 been with or without the surplus activities by IGWA,
- 23 based on, for example, the 240,000 acre-foot allocation
- 24 by the director.25 O. [Unintel
  - Q. [Unintelligible] turn to page 7, which is

that come from the performance summary reports?

- A. Correct. So in this table, I've sort of got a block of data going through each year in the settlement
- 5 agreement -- so 2016, 2017, all the way through 2022 --
- 6 where I'm showing by district what the total diversion
- 7 reduction was for their district, which is kind of the
- 8 light gray line at the top of the block of data. And
- 9 then I'm showing what each district did in terms of the 10 recharge volumes that they applied at the various
- 11 recharge sites.
- And this is probably one of the more
- 13 significant differences between what I did and what Dave 14 did -- is that many of the districts perform recharge at
- 15 locations that are outside their district boundaries.
- 16 So if you really want to get into the complex -- as --
- 17 as Mr. Colvin referred to it -- the complex modeling of
- 18 timing, how things offset the deficits versus the
- 19 credits, it's really important to be location specific.
- 20 And so here I'm honoring where the recharge actually
- 21 occurs throughout, based on the performance reports.
- Q. Okay. And just to verify, I'm going to share
- 23 my screen again and take you to Exhibit 521. This is
- 24 the 2017 performance summary table. These are the
- 5 inputs that you used?

12

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

126

A. That's correct.

1

- Q. And all reflected in these tables on page 7 of your report?
- A. Right. Yep. So the diversion reduction volumes will match from these, and then the total recharge, if you add it up for each district across these specific sites that I am tabulating, will match these reports.
- 9 Q. And then moving on to the next page, page 8 of 10 your report for 2018, I'm going to show you that 11 spreadsheet. And I'll take you to Exhibit 524.

And are these the values that you used for the 13 inputs for the 2018 table?

- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- A. Although I should note that I'm not using any 17 of the A&B or Southwest Irrigation District --
  - Q. Thank you.
- 19 A. -- [unintelligible].
- Q. And this here is Exhibit 527. These match the inputs that you used in your expert report?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Just a few more. And this is Exhibit
- 24 530. These are the inputs that you used for 2020?
- A. Correct.

Q. And I will skip over -- well, this here is the performance summary table for 2021. As you explained previously, you did not include any of these volumes?

A. That's correct. So in the -- the way that

5 I've developed the -- the model, it's basically assuming6 that the target allocation was met based on the

7 settlement agreement. So there would be no difference

8 between the model, the two model versions.

- 9 Q. Okay. And then, finally, this is the report 10 for Exhibit 536, and this is the report for the 2022 11 performance summary; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And these are the inputs and the
  associated tabs contained in this report that went into
  your table?
- A. Exactly. In all of these cases, the inputs
  match the recharge report or the individual ground water
  district net volume and well tabs.
- Q. All right. And then I believe that we discussed in your report Section 2.2. This is titled "Modeling Approach." Have we covered most of the
- 22 material that is contained in this section?
- A. Yeah, I think we did. Just essentially that I did it specific to each ground water district and then I

5 used that scaling approach to make sure that the

128

comparison was at the same locations when I am calculating the difference between the models.

- Q. Does this explain -- and let's go, then, to results, please, which is on page 11 of your report of this exhibit.
  - A. Okay.

6

Q. Actually, I will take you down to the kind of last page of this table. It's going to be page 13 of Exhibit 142. That's page 11 of your report. Can you explain to me for this total IGWA table here what -- you know, what this is showing?

A. Sure. So the table is set up where there's two blocks of data -- one where I'm either comparing IGWA's actual conservation activities compared to the allocation under the 205,000 acre-foot target or I'm comparing it to the 240,000 acre-foot allocation targets. And in each case, let's take the bottom block of the 240,000 acre-foot conservation target.

of the 240,000 acre-foot conservation target.
The first line in this table in that block
shows the actual conservation volume by IGWA. So you'll
see that purple data blocks. That's the exact same
number. It's just what IGWA actually conserved based on

the ground water pumping reduction or the recharge

volumes in each year.And then the next line is essentially

1 calculating what the surplus or deficit would be,

2 either -- so in this case we're looking at the 240,000

3 acre-foot reduction. So I'm simply reducing -- I'm --

4 I'm simply deducting that number from what IGWA actually

5 did. So in 2016, you're looking at them doing actually

6 about 255,000 acre-feet of reductions, and then you're

7 subtracting the 240. So the surplus in that case is

about 15,000 acre-feet.

9 So I'm doing that across all the years. Same 10 thing for a 2017. You can see that the surplus is about 11 255,000 acre-feet.

And then the bottom column in this block
calculates the difference or what the net reach gain at
the near Blackfoot to Minidoka would be when you compare
the actual activities minus the allocated targets -- so

16 essentially what is the net reach gain based on either

17 the surplus or the depth -- the deficit. And so you can18 go through for each year, and these are cumulative. So

19 your activities in 2016 are going to result in benefits

0 in 2016, 2017, 2018 and so on.

And then same thing for 2017. It'll start accruing benefits in 2017, and those -- in this bottom line, those are being added together. So you're seeing the total benefits of the reach gains from the surplus

5 or deficit depending on whether -- what it was through

Word 4 Word Court Reporting, LLC 208-242-3289

127

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

131

133

130

this time series, just looking at 2016 through 2022.

2 And we're focusing here on 2022 and whether 3

these excess or deficit volumes either result in a net

4 increase or a net decrease in the reach gain in 2022.

5 And so what we see is that when we're looking at IGWA as

a whole, even when you're taking the 240,000 acre-foot

7 conservation target allocations into account, all of the

8 excess or surplus conservation activities, 2016 through

9 2020, results in over 30,000 acre-feet of net gain in

10 the reach, even with the 2022 deficit in 2022.

11 Q. And these two columns that you discussed 12 initially, the actual conservation volume and the

240,000 acre-foot conservation target surplus/deficit --

these could be calculated from those performance summary

reports that we just discussed -- Exhibits 518, 521,

16 524, 527, 530, and 536?

17 A. That's correct.

18

22

4

5

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

the river.

the reach gains?

Q. Okay. And then the tables above that are

19 separated out by ground water districts -- that would be

on page 13, 12 and bottom part of page 11 -- do these

tables all show the same thing except by district?

A. They do.

23 Q. And then you've got a column for if IGWA was

24 only determined to have to do their -- their share of

the total of 240, which is 205,000 acre-feet. Why did

peak more slowly, and so some of their benefits are

benefits will last when you look at the accretions to

will have a longer tail in terms of how long the

going to come in much greater in later years, and -- and

15,000 acre-feet more than required by the agreement,

all of those benefits aren't showing up immediately in

A. No. It's similar to, you know, the analysis

that Mr. Colvin showed where you can plot out these

mean, you'll still see some amount of benefits coming

reach gain benefits all the way out to 50 years. I

Q. So, in 2016, for example, where IGWA conserved

you include that in here?

A. I included it because the district -- the

districts -- in 2022 irrigation season, the order from

the director hadn't come out yet. So they were --

that -- they were just under the understanding that

those were the target allocations by district that they

were trying to meet. And -- and that that was their

understanding going into the 2022 irrigation season.

Q. And then you talked about how, you know,

10 excess conservation in 2016 will carry forward into future years, and that is cumulative, where, you know,

11 12 if you're getting a benefit from surplus conservation

activities in 2016, that will show up in 2017 and

14 onward. The same thing for '17.

15 Is there a time or a point when these volumes

16 are going to drop off?

17 A. Yeah. Each location within the ESPAM model is

18 going to have a different response time in terms of when

it shows up at the near Blackfoot to Minidoka reach.

And so some of them -- for instance, the activities that

take place in the districts that are very close to that

river -- they're going to peak very quickly, and the

benefits from those activities are going to drop off

fairly quickly, in just a few years. Whereas, locations

that are more distant from that river reach are going to

132

ESPAM model based on changes that either a surface water

reach or a spring reach to determine what the benefits

from those activities are. And -- and they're used in

4 mitigation amount -- for the mitigation amount.

5 MS. PATTERSON: Mr. Hearing Officer, I'm just 6 going to have Miss Sophia -- or Miss Sigstedt go through

her rebuttal report. Do you want to allow for

8 cross-examination first on her direct or --

HEARING OFFICER: I'm going to ask counsel for

10 SWC what you think in that regard because it would

11 affect you, if anyone. I understand that this witness

12 is now testifying remotely and could not be recalled,

13 but if you feel that the rebuttal opinion should be like

I ruled previously, that's fine too. 14

15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think it'd be fine

16 for her to go through her rebuttal right now.

17 HEARING OFFICER: All right. 18

MS. PATTERSON: Thank you.

19 Q. (BY MS. PATTERSON) Okay. Sophia, we're going 20 to move to Exhibit 143, which is your rebuttal report.

21

A. Okay.

22 Q. And then we'll just start on page 3, which is

the introduction, and I'll just ask you to walk me

24 through Section 2 of this report.

25 A. Sure. So this essentially responds to a claim

in. And, in fact, I -- I did that analysis in my rebuttal report. O. Which we will go to next in just a moment. Page 14 is your summary of conclusions. Is there anything in here that we haven't addressed? A. No. I think that -- that we've addressed these. I think, you know, one point that I have in here that I think everybody understands is that the way that we're calculating the credit to the near Blackfoot to Minidoka reach gain is really common in mitigation plans

for different conjunctive use cases in the ESPAM where

activities on the plain are accounted for using the

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

135

137

134

in the SWC report that deficit pumping in 2022 results in a deficit near Blackfoot to Minidoka reach gains and a lower sentinel well target when you model those 4 activities. And -- and in that report, they look at

how -- how all of -- how that 2022 deficit propagates 5 into the future, looking at those same outputs every

7 year over a 50-year horizon.

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

13

15

19

And so mainly what I'm pointing out here in this section is that I agree with that, but the same is true for the benefits from the surplus conservation activities over the target allocations. Those near Blackfoot to Minidoka reach gains and the increase in 12 13 water levels to the sentinel wells also propagate into 14 the future over those same years.

15 And when you do the specific modeling, 16 spatially and temporally, what you see is that they do 17 cancel out the deficits on a IGWA-wide basis from the 2022 breach, both when you look at the near Blackfoot to Minidoka reach gains, there's more reach gains in the river than if IGWA had every single year done its 240,000 acre-foot allocation. And when you look at the sentinel wells similarly, there's a net gain in what the water level across those wells would have been. 23

Q. When Mr. Colvin testified this morning about the sentinel well index not meeting the 2026 target and the other benchmarks that are prescribed by the

agreement, is that due to the 2022 breach entirely, or

are there other factors in there?

A. No. And I think even in Dave -- or

5 Mr. Colvin's report, what you see is he -- he modeled --

and it sounded like from his direct testimony -- the 2.9

acre-foot -- or the 2.9 foot difference in the sentinel

8 well from the deficit was calculated in 2023.

9 And so I similarly looked at what the effect 10 of the surplus in what conservation activities would

have been on the sentinel well in 2023. And what I see

is that there -- with those activities, there would have

13 been a net increase.

14 And, in fact, I think, based on my experience 15 doing the modeling for the original settlement agreement in 2015 and knowing how ESPAM 2.1 and ESPAM 2.2 compare,

that, in fact, one of the major reasons that we're far

below what the 2023 target value is, is just that the

model projection is significantly different with the

improved model and that when we look at what -- and that

when you look at what the activities were, even if they

had been implemented at 240,000 acre-feet every single

year and with the board's recharge, we wouldn't -- we

still would be very far below what that 2023 target

25 would be.

136

was signed, one thing that we did was we modeled using

the current version of ESPAM at that time, ESPAM Version 2.1. We modeled what the effect of the districts or --

more like an aquifer-wide reduction at the time the

Department was giving us a percentage of the total

6 irrigated acres that resulted in 240,000 acre-feet of

reduction.

8 So this modeling assumed across all of ESPAM ground water irrigated lands, a uniform reduction that resulted in 240,000 acre-feet of conservation in ground

11 water activities and then 250,000 acre-feet of board 12 recharge, either in the upper basin and the lower basin,

13

distributed based on the typical water rights window

when that recharge opens up. And what we see from the 14 15 modeling using ESPAM 2.1 is shown in the blue dashed

line with the blue points.

17 And across this -- horizontally across this 18 figure, I've got three gray lines which represent the

19 different settlement agreement targets. So the first

gray line is equivalent to that 20 -- I think it was

2015 value of where the historical sentinel well is, and then that 2023 target is shown in the slightly more bold

23 gray line at sort of that negative four number. And

then the ultimate agreement target for 2026 is shown in

the green line.

So it's really -- the reason we're really far below that target isn't because of the deficit pumping in 2022, but it's much more a function of the model we used to set that target. The version is very different compared to the more recent and adopted ESPAM 2.2 version.

And then I think I can similarly show you, if we look at some of these figures in the report, that extreme dry years also impacted where we were, where we are in the sentinel well index as much as, you know, a deficit in the pump.

Q. Okay. So along that line, let's go to -- some of what you just discussed here -- is that addressed on page 3 and 4 of your report -- or rebuttal report Section 2.1?

A. Yeah. So can we look at Figure 1, and I 16 17 can -- I can kind of go through what that's showing? 18

Q. All right. Let's look at page 5 of Exhibit 143, Figure 1.

20 A. So Figure 1 shows the historical sentinel well index in the black line with the black points marking the historical sentinel well values. And that goes from 23 1981 through 2016.

24 And then leading up to developing that settlement agreement, before the settlement agreement

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

141

138

And so you can see that when we originally did that modeling, the projection was that we would hit the targets ahead of time, based on -- in 2023 and 2026. I redid that modeling, not changing anything except for the version of the model, and that's what's shown in the orange dashed line with the orange points.

4

5

6

7

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

23 24

6

7

8 9

13 14

15

16

17

conservation activities.

And what we see there is that the change in model version, as was discussed in TJ's cross with Mr. Colvin, is that the water level rises is predicted to rise much more slowly, and it takes much longer to reach the targets, and that it would not reach the 2023 target in 2023, and that it takes almost to 2020 -- '48 for it to reach the ultimate target goal shown in that green line.

And if you just directly compare the two model versions, ESPAM 2.1 and ESPAM 2.2, over the original 10-year period of the model, what you see is that it's more than 50 percent. It predicts that the water level rise will be 50 percent less than what it would have been under the ESPAM 2.1 version of the model using ESPAM 2.2. So it's really that the targets were set unrealistically using basically an outdated, incorrect version of the model based on what we know now.

And the other thing that I'm plotting here is, following 2016, I've got a series of pink X's, and these 139

are the sentinel -- these are the observed sentinel well water levels post-settlement agreement. And so what you

see is that they rise much more in line with the new

ESPAM 2.2 prediction until we [unintelligible] extremely

dry years, which I can discuss in the next figure, in

2021 and 2022, where we see an additional drop off from

7 that modeling. Which, the modeling -- a basic

assumption in it is that it's average hydraulic

conditions. So it's not wet or dry based on the model 10 period or the model projection.

11 Q. Thank you. And you said Figure 2-point -- or 12 Figure 2 on page 6 discusses the impact of the -- the 13 weather?

14 A. Well, this -- I've got -- I guess it's my 15 Figure 2 and 3 kind of get into that. And so in

Figure 2, what I'm showing is this is modeling by IDWR

17 that was presented to the Eastern Snake Plain Hydrologic Modeling Committee in August 2021, and I think it was

also presented at the following Steering Committee 20

meeting.

7

21 But what IDWR did is they modeled the 22 implementation of the settlement agreement terms very

23 similar to what I showed you with my modeling in this

analysis. They did it point by point location based on

the summary performance reports and then the recharge

140

locations, and then they also included the impacts of the board's -- IWRB's recharge. And so what you see here is historical sentinel well index in blue. It's

3 4 the same as what I showed you in the Figure 1 above. 5

And then following that, they show three lines. One is what the actual observed sentinel well index did. That's the upper blue line. So that -that's just observed values. And then they did a middle -- the middle blue line shows you what the sentinel well would have done without the board's recharge, and then what the sentinel well would have done without the board's recharge and without IGWA's

And so what I want to point out from this figure is, one, that the board's recharge and IGWA's conservation activities -- and this was in the Department's conclusions -- have significantly improved what the sentinel well water levels would have been.

18 19 But what I also want to show you is that when 20 you just look at the green line, without any of the 21 aguifer management activities, what you see is that it would have gone up because of the wet year that we had in 2017 with or without those activities, but not as much. So -- so a wet year can significantly increase the sentinel well with or without the activities.

And, similarly, a dry year, like occurs in 2021, can dip down the sentinel well with or without the activities taking place. And in some cases, the increase or the drop can be even more than what the modeled activities on their own would have been. 5

Q. Okay. Let's go to the next page, page 7 of Exhibit 143 and discuss here, please.

8 A. So this gets at a similar point where, again, 9 in the black line with black dots, I'm plotting the 10 historical sentinel well values before the settlement agreement, and then the pink X's are the observed sentinel well values following the settlement agreement 13 activities.

14 And in the background, what I'm showing is 15 what's called the Palmer Drought Severity Index. And so 16 this is an index developed for monitoring drought 17 conditions. It's used really prominently throughout 18 the -- the US, and this is showing what the conditions 19 specific to the Eastern Snake Plain are.

20 And so the way the Palmer Drought Severity 21 Index works is that positive values on this are representative of wet years, and negative values are representative of dry years. And in their definition of the Palmer Drought Index, above a three, a positive three, is an extremely wet year, and below a negative

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

143

145

142

three is an extremely dry year.

6

2 And so what you can see here is that even 3 before, you know, the settlement agreement came into 4 place, the sentinel wells fluctuate very strongly away 5 from the average condition of 0 following either severely wet years, like you see in the 1980s or the 7 1990s, and then it drops severely down from average, that 0 value, following severely dry conditions, like the 2000s or the 2010s.

10 And then -- so what I'm showing here is that 11 this drop that we see in 2021, 2022, and 2023 is really more a function of the extreme drought conditions that 13 we had, and you can see that defined by the Palmer 14 Drought Index.

15 And so, you know, a point that I want to make 16 here is that when we look at the modeling projections 17 using the ESPAM model, we're modeling those under average hydrologic conditions, and, over time, we expect that these climactic conditions, in terms of wet or dry years, are going to average out in the long term and

that the activities that we're taking from the

conservation activities are going to produce significant

benefits to the well index over time. But in the short

term, any significantly severely dry or severely wet

year is going to cause a deviation up or down from sort

of those average -- average conditions that the model projection predicts.

Q. Okay. I'm gonna take you to the next page, Table 1 here. Can you describe what this is?

A. So this uses the same modeling that I 6 described in my expert report, but I'm just sort of 7 laying it out in the same way that Dave Colvin laid out his reach gain results in the Surface Water Coalition expert report. So we're looking at -- for each district, either based on the 205,000 allocation or the 10 11 200 and -- I've got two tables.

12 So Table 1 is looking at the 205,000 13 allocation by district, and it's summing up what the net reach gain benefit or deficit would have been resulting 15 from all of IGWA's actual activities versus what if they

16 had just done the bare minimum 205 every -- every year.

17 And it's showing it in the same framework that Dave

looks at. So we've got just the cumulative over 2016 to

2021, and then we look at 2022, the individual years

20 through 2026, and then the cumulative of some of these

later years going out all the way to 50 years to just

show that the surplus activities by IGWA that were

undertaken in those early years continue to have

benefits that come into the reach and that benefit the

sentinel well, you know, going out 50 years -- the same

144

way you can look at an individual deficit out that way -- out to those years.

Q. And then on the next table -- or next page, page 9 of Exhibit 143, Table 2 shows the same, but --[Unintelligible cross-talk.]

Α. Yes.

4

5

6

9

10

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

Q. Go ahead. 8

A. Yeah. This looks at the same -- the same -it's developed in the same framework and in the same format, but here we're just doing the comparison to the 240,000 acre-foot obligation. And so what you can see is that in some years, you know, there are deficits for some districts, but that IGWA, overall, you know, more or less, with the exception of 2023, has positive values through all of this.

And at the end of the day, you're looking at, you know -- over the 70-year period, you're looking at almost 200,000 acre-feet of additional reach gains that are coming in because of those surplus activities through time.

Q. And, finally, do you have any additional conclusions?

A. Yeah. I think -- I'm just finishing here just sort of saying that I -- that what you can see from this is that it really is accomplishing the same remedy that the Surface Water Coalition report is proposing in terms of taking additional activities at the specific location

where the deficits occur to benefit the aquifer, you

know, to -- to make sure that we're accumulating

benefits to both the aquifer levels and the reach gains.

5 6 And, you know, really what this modeling 7 analysis shows you is that if you do the complex 8 modeling at each location and really check on whether --

9 how the timing works out, what you see is that there --

10 in -- in all of these years, there's this net increase

in -- when you look at sentinel well index in 2023 or if

you look at the reach gains over this 50-year horizon,

the -- the surplus any given year should be taken into

account in terms of a revenue or a deficit.

14 15 And that's something in the -- in the modeling 16 that I showed from IDWR when they gave us that 17 presentation. In their conclusions -- and it's a direct 18 quote -- is basically that -- let me look at it here. 19 So a direct quote from them is that there will be droughts when [unintelligible] management will be

21 limited. And during wet periods, it's important to

22 capture as much water into the aguifer for later use. 23 So I think it's a really smart way to take

24 advantage of how the natural hydrology of the Eastern

Snake Plain aquifer works to get as much water into the

146

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

147

149

ground as you can during wet years and to allow that to

be a buffer to get through the dry years and just make sure that, at the end of the day, the sentinel well

levels and the reach gains are increasing, at least as 5

much as they would have under the target allocations.

O. I think that's all I have for you, Sophia.

7 MS. PATTERSON: I would like -- or I'm going 8 to move to admit Exhibit 143 and 142, your expert

9 reports, and I would also move to admit the workbooks

10 for the summary performance reports, which are

11 exhibits -- common Exhibit 518, 521, 524, 527, 530, and

12 536 -- and 533 also.

13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. I -- I would object to the admission of the expert reports on the

15 same premises we've been talking about. They rely

solely upon past actions to try to overcome a 2022

breach, and, in effect, what is being argued is that the

agreement should be changed to accommodate this expert's

opinion about a better way to manage things, but that's

20 not what this hearing is about.

21 And so I'm not going to restate all of the

22 things we've said, but since this relies solely upon

past actions to accomplish whatever they're trying to 23

24 accomplish, we would object. 25

BY MR. THOMPSON:

2

okay?

HEARING OFFICER: For the same reason, I will

overrule the objection and take this under advisement as

to whether or not it does form the basis of mitigation

pursuant to the agreement. They will be marked as

admitted.

(Exhibits 142, 143, 518, 521, 524, 527,

530, 533, and 536 were admitted.)

HEARING OFFICER: Further evidence in this

8 regard?

5

6

7

18

9 At this time, does SWC wish to put on their

10 rebuttal?

11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think I would like a

12 cross-examination.

13 HEARING OFFICER: Oh, cross. Yes, sir.

14 Excuse me.

15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Unintelligible]

16 five-minute break.

17 HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, please. Go ahead.

(Recess taken.)

19 HEARING OFFICER: And Kayleen will be here in

20 a minute. There he is. We'll be on record.

21 And you may proceed, Mr. Thompson, with your

22 cross examination of the witness.

23 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you. Travis Thompson for

24 A&B Irrigation District.

25 CROSS-EXAMINATION

148

Q. And I'll -- Miss Sigstedt, can you hear me

3 4 A. Yes, I can. Thank you.

5 Q. Okay. Great. I guess going back to

Ms. Patterson's question, was it -- I guess I was

curious. Were you asked to provide a report that

addressed any of the hearing officer's four issues

identified in his December 29th order.

10 A. They wanted me to calculate what the near

11 Blackfoot to Minidoka reach gains would be from the

12 surplus of IGWA's activities 2016 through 2020.

13 Q. Okay. As far as issue four -- and I'll --

I'll read it to you, quote, "What action must be taken 14

by the ground water districts to cure their 2022 breach

of the 2016 mitigation plan," I -- I guess based upon

your analysis, your opinion would be they would have to

18 take no further action; is that correct?

19 A. I think that now and in the future they could

look at the mitigation based on aquifer credits as

calculated using the ESPAM model the same way mitigation

22 is accounted for in the other cases that I'm familiar

23 with.

O. Okay. As far as this case and the -- the

director's finding from August of 2023 where he found

certain districts in breach in 2022, you're not

recommending any additional actions by those districts

going forward; is that correct?

A. So I'm not totally familiar with how the

individual districts are in breach. I -- my 5

understanding was that the -- IGWA's allocation was

allocated internally and that you looked at IGWA's total

8 numbers. But -- but I'm honestly not familiar with how

9 things have progressed on that.

Q. Okay. And I'll -- if you have Exhibit 512

11 handy, is that something you can review?

A. Which exhibit is that?

13 Q. It is the director's final order dated August

14 2nd, 2023.

10

12

18

20

15 A. I think I have an order that outlines four

issues. Is that what you want me to look at? 16

17 Q. No. It's just common Exhibit 512.

A. I don't have it in front of me.

19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Unintelligible.]

MR. THOMPSON: If you could share it, that'd

21 be great, yeah. Page 8. That's what I'm going to ask

22 about.

23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Unintelligible.]

24 Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON) Can you see the shared

screen yet?

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

1 A. Yes. Q. And I'll just refer you to that paragraph 13 3

where the director identifies Table 3, and that order reads, "Table 3 also lists the deficiency volume for 4

5 each of the four IGWA members that failed to satisfy their respective mitigation obligations in 2022."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

7

Q. And the settlement was reached with American

Falls-Aberdeen, but the other three -- that's, I guess,

the reason why we're here today. Bingham, 32,476;

Bonneville-Jefferson, 5,204; Jefferson-Clark, 18,605. 13

Do you see that?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And my question is you're not recommending

that any of those districts undertake any action going

17 forward to remedy that deficiency volume; is that

18 correct?

25

8

9

A. I mean, I think that that would be dependent

on whether you're looking at the obligation IGWA-wide or

by district. And -- because I -- I think my analysis

does show still that, at least Bingham, I think, has a

deficit that would need to be mitigated in some way if

you're looking at it by district.

But if you're looking at the total IGWA

150 151

> contribution, then at least if you're accepting my analysis based on the mitigation credit and looking at

the reach gain, then there would not be action needed to

be taken when you look at the sentinel wells or the

reach gain in terms of there being a net gain.

Q. And so do you know what -- how much water IGWA's members typically divert in a year?

A. I mean, no. I -- I have a rough figure in my head, but I think I'd be embarrassed to throw it out

10 without looking at the settlement reports.

11 Q. Guess how many acres do IGWA's members 12 irrigate annually?

13 A. I think that they have more than a million 14 acres, around a million.

15 Q. So fair to say their diversions are probably 16 excess 2 million acre-feet a year?

17 A. Yeah. That's how I would get at the rough 18 number, something like 2 -- 2 acre-foot -- 2 -- 2 feet

19

7

20 Q. And have you modeled that impact on the reach

21 of the river we're talking about today, near Blackfoot

to Minidoka?

23 A. No.

24 O. And that diversion impact can be modeled for

each district; is that correct?

152 153

A. I mean, I guess in -- in the superposition mode alone, you could just model, yeah, what the 3 districts pump.

4 Q. So your analysis looks at it -- a hydraulic 5 impact of the conservation obligations, the -- the benefit you say that occurred over time, but it doesn't

7 look at the impact of divergence; is that correct?

A. It's simply relative to what the benefits would have been just under -- just meeting the allocation of the districts under the settlement

Q. And you would agree that ground water users 13 are not administered based upon that impact to the river?

14 15

A. I mean, yeah. My understanding is that 16 they're currently -- you know, there's the Surface Water 17 Coalition call, and then they have a settlement 18 agreement that gives them safe harbor under the terms of

19 the settlement, if the terms of the settlement agreement

20 are met.

21

25

Q. So the longterm actions under the 2016 plan, as you understand them -- would you agree that it was a

50,000 acre-foot storage delivery each year and then a

240,000 acre-foot conservation obligation? 24

A. Yes.

Q. And --

A. I mean --

3 Q. So I just want to clarify that the references

4 in your reports to 205,000 are not, I guess, what was

ordered by the director?

6 A. No. I mean, that's not what was ordered by the director, but I will say, from my experience in the

settlement negoti- -- like my experience, for example,

doing that original modeling for the settlement

10 agreement negotiations, the 240,000 acre-foot reduction

11 was applied evenly across all of ground water -- all

12 ground water irrigated acres on ESPAM, not just the IGWA

13 members.

14 So I do understand where they're thinking on 15 the 205 number comes from based on presentations I saw

from the Department then. And, like I said, you know,

17 you can see it in the modeling that I did at that time.

Q. But you agree, based upon the director's

18

19 decisions, as far as evaluating compliance with the

agreement, whether it's a breach, or in this case

identifying a proposed remedy, that 205,000 acre-feet

22 has not been recognized as what their annual obligation

23 is? Would you agree with that?

A. Today in -- yeah. Today in this hearing was

25 actually the first time that I heard that that decision,

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

155

154

- 1 I guess based on the appeal, had been made. So based on 2 my understanding from what I heard in this hearing
- 3 today.
- 4 Q. Okay. So on page 4 of your report -- I think
- 5 that's Exhibit 142 -- your second paragraph, you -- you
- 6 end that paragraph with the sentence, "The director's
- 7 ruling is currently on appeal." So that was your
- 8 understanding at the time of the report?
- 9 A. That's right.
- Q. And that was the reason for including the
- 11 additional 205,000 acre-foot analysis?
- A. And, like I testified, just that, you know,
- 13 the districts wouldn't have had that understanding going
  - 4 into the 2022 irrigation season.
- Q. The bottom of that page, the second to last
- 16 paragraph, you talk about -- you state that this report
- 17 presents the Snake River reach gains that accrued to the
- 18 SWC from years of excess conservation by IGWA members
- 19 from 2016 to 2022. And going through your testimony
- 20 with Miss Patterson, that's what you looked at. You
- 21 looked at each year, what was undertaken by each
- 22 district, and then you looked at that compared to what
- 23 impact that had on the -- on the reach gains; is that --
- 24 is that correct?
- 25 A. That sounds correct.

Q. And is it -- is it your contention that those reach gain numbers were utilized solely by the Surface

Water Coalition?

4 A. No. I mean, I don't know. I -- I'm just 5 thinking about how mitigation is calculated in past

6 cases, and -- and that's how it's calculated -- is it --

7 you take the actions on the plain, and you model it with

8 the ESPAM model, and you look at the reach gain output

9 to -- to what -- either -- you know. So that would be 10 just typically how it's done.

11 Q. As far as what's required under the 2016

12 mitigation plan, that type of analysis is not undertaken

13 each year, is it?

18

22

A. No. I think this is more in response to a

15 remedy for the breach -- would be my understanding.

Q. And would some of those reach gains accrue toAmerican Falls Reservoir?

A. Yes. I think that is part of that -- that

19 reach gain.20 O. And do you have an understanding of

Q. And do you have an understanding of the number of space holders in that reservoir?

A. I mean, I understand that there's multiple

23 space holders in the reservoir.

Q. Entities besides the Surface Water Coalition.

25 Would you accept that?

156 157

A. Yes.

Q. So it's fair to say that any -- any accruals

3 or benefits to the Snake River reached gains, based upon

4 your analysis, may not completely accrue to the Surface

5 Water Coalition's benefit?

6 A. Like I said, I'm just familiar with mitigation 7 plans that look at this in terms of benefits to the SWC

8 reach in -- in other mitigation plans in that call.

Q. Okay. So would you agree that the director

10 has not recognized excess conservation and prior orders

11 in this case?

12 A. I -- not that I'm aware of.

Q. Looking at page 5 of your initial report, you

14 have Table 1 included in there, IGWA conservation

15 summary based upon 2022 summit performance report and

16 2023 IDWR ruling. Do you see that?

17 A. I'm sorry. Can you say the page again?

- 18 Q. It's page 5.
- 19 A. Oh, yeah.
- 20 Q. I'm sorry.
- A. Sorry. Is it Table 1?
- Q. Table 1 under [unintelligible].
- A. Yes, I see that.
- Q. And, again, that appears to match up with
- 25 Exhibit 512, page 8. I was just curious where you

1 retrieved that information from.

A. Yes. So I think the IGWA conservation targets

that's like IGWA's -- that can be pulled straight from the settlement performance reports. The column that's

5 to the left of that, IGWA proportioning, is just

6 calculating, by district, what that proportion would be 7 then.

8 My understanding is that the IDWR target to 9 get each ground water districts allocation under that,

10 you're just taking the 240 and using the same 11 percentage -- you're taking that 240 and kind of

weighting it the same as the previous proportionment,

and so that gives you that. So -- so some of these are

14 calculated by me, and some of them are just straight out15 of the performance reports.

Q. And looking at that far column where it has that IDWR 2022 mitigation balance -- do you see that?

18 A. Yes.19 Q. And it does show certain districts with a

20 deficiency volume -- I'll call it -- and some that have 21 excess surplus conservation; is that correct?

A. Can you say that again?

Q. The -- the column shows certain districts with

24 a negative balance. So that would be a deficiency

s volume.

158

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

159

1 A. Yes.

Q. And then the -- some districts have a positive 3 balance. Is that --

A. That's right. 4

5 Q. So that would be above their individual 6 proportionate share of the 240 that year; is that 7 correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And the director, in his August 2023 order, did not apply that balance or that positive balance to any of those districts with a negative deficiency 12 volume; is that true?

13 A. Based on that table that I saw, it seems like he's just looking at the districts separately. 14

15 Q. So looking at those examples -- and then I 16 think your spreadsheets in pages 7 through 9 identify 17 the various years. And I guess I just want to talk generally -- if we go back to that 2022 column, we have an entity like North Snake with a -- a mitigation balance of 7,586; is that correct?

21 A. I see that.

22 HEARING OFFICER: On what page, sir? 23 MR. THOMPSON: I'm on page 5 of Exhibit 142.

24 THE WITNESS: Table 1 again.

25 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON) So you're generally

familiar with where the North Snake Ground Water

District's located: correct?

A. Yes.

5

7

20

Q. The far western edge of the ESPA?

6 A. That's correct.

Q. I guess, hydrologically, wouldn't you agree

with me that a benefit there would not offset a

deficiency in the Bingham Ground Water District located

close to the Snake River on the eastern side? 10

11 A. Well, it -- it does, depending how you look at 12 the timing. So, I mean, that -- that's really exactly

13 what my analysis gets at, is that when you input all of

the deficits and surpluses exactly as they took place in

15 their exact locations, you come up with either a net 16 positive or a net negative.

17 Q. But as far as 2022, I guess additional actions 18 in North Snake are not going to offset -- I'll call it 19 overpumping in Bingham. Would that be true?

A. It's true that for the majority of their

21 actions in 2022, that's not going to offset Bingham's

2022 deficit. But when you look at their past

activities, which take place years ago, that is when the

timing of their excess or surplus conservation does --

can offset it in that year, because it -- it takes it

160 161

longer to get there.

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

2 So it's all dependent on the time frame that you're looking at, but specifically the way you frame 3 that question, does their activities in 2022 offset very 4 much of Bingham's 2022 deficit? No. I would agree with 5 6 that. It's a very small percentage.

Q. So if we're looking at a proposed remedy going forward and we're looking at a one-year time frame to implement that, would you agree that taking some action in North Snake in 2024 wouldn't remedy Bingham's breach in 2022?

A. You know, I mean, the way I'm looking at this, none of them offset the breach in 2022, except for the activities that were taken prior to 2022. You know, nothing in 2024 is going to offset 2022 unless you're looking at 2022's effect on all of those future years, which, you know, I do look at in my analysis, and it varies in terms of how they get offset or not.

19 But I think the only way to offset the actual 20 deficit on the reach in 2022 is if you're accounting for 21 the activities that took place prior to 2022. Actions in 2024 don't affect the reach gain in 2022.

23 Q. And getting back to what you've looked at -you know, if we're looking at everything, you're just looking at the impacts of those additional actions they 1 took from '16 to '22; correct?

A. I'm comparing them to what -- yeah. I mean,

I'm looking at just the difference. So what would have

taken place if they hadn't done those activities and

they had just done 240 every single year or in the

analysis where I look at 205 if they had just done 205

every single year versus what they actually did, which

was either more or less than those depending in --

9 depending on the year. So I'm looking at the difference

10 between those.

11 I'm not -- I'm not just looking at here's

these excess credits, what are the reach gain effects of

13 that? I'm looking at the -- the difference, so -- so

14 the net gain.

15 Q. And I think I understand that. You're not 16 looking at the -- the 240, you're looking at anything 17 beyond the 240 that was done?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And you would agree that that excess or

surplus conservation would -- would not exceed the 20

21 modeled impacts of those ground water diversions on the

22 river that year?

23 HEARING OFFICER: I didn't hear the last portion of that, sir. 24

25 MR. THOMPSON: The modeled impacts of the

12

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

162

ground water diversions on the river that year. 2 HEARING OFFICER: Which year?

3 MR. THOMPSON: Any year. We'll -- we'll say 4 2022.

HEARING OFFICER: Very well.

5 6 A. I -- I mean, you're saying just the ground water diversions, not like ground water diversions in 7 those years minus the ground water diversions that took place -- like you're saying if you just isolate a single year's of ground water diversions, would that have more of an impact than just the excess percentage? 11

12 Q. Correct.

13 A. Yeah. I mean, I haven't done that analysis,

but it seems like that is fair.

15 Q. Turn to page 10 of your report. Exhibit --16 page 10.

17 A. Is that Table 3?

18 Q. No. It's a problem. We have different page

19

22

Page 8 of your report, Sophia, that -- it's

Exhibit 142, page 10. 21

A. Okay. So it's just paragraphs?

Q. Yeah. That first paragraph you talk about 23

24 special and temporal -- spatial and temporal information

where that was available. And how much of that did you

have -- as far as the version reduction or recharge activity, was that for everything?

A. In terms of diversion reduction, it's for everything. In terms of recharge, it's -- you -- you can see it from the settlement report. I mean, it's just based on how much information there is in the 7 settlement reports. And then for -- yeah. So I -- I'm not sure what percentage it would be. I didn't calculate that. But it's only a factor for the recharge

10 locations. 11 Q. And you talked about --

[Unintelligible cross-talk.]

13 Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON) Excuse me. Recharge locations and dates were estimated, and I guess what

15 data were you basing that on?

A. That was in consultation with Jaxon Higgs, who 16 17 works with the districts. I gave him the -- a list from

the settlement reports, which he puts together. I gave

him a list of recharge that I based on the information that I was provided in those reports that I couldn't

locate myself and asked him for his best estimate in

terms of where to put that.

23 O. And if you could turn over a couple pages

24 to -- I believe pages 11 through 13 -- those tables

showing your conservation model analysis.

164

parts of it. So I -- I don't even know the whole -- all of the terms, but my understanding were that some of the

terms were direct delivery.

And so when I thought about including it, I thought I could include it and then offset those future 5 years with direct delivery, but then aspects of the settlement agreement that aren't direct delivery, that

8 are some -- you know, I -- I just didn't know how to implement that in the model. So based on there being an

10 agreement that shared the breach, it made the most sense

to me to just say there's no deficit. There's no surplus in 2021. 12

13 Q. From an actual remedy standpoint, I -- I agree. Setting aside the 2022 agreement that settled 14 15 that breach, hydrologically, what you're evaluating,

16 that would change what actually shows up in the river 17 given what was done in 2021?

18 A. But like I said, for -- for -- like -- like I said, direct deliveries would also have changed it. So,

you know, for example, in 2022, there were direct

deliveries of water, which I included as direct reach

gains in this analysis that I'm showing. So I -- you

know, I think that's the only way to make sense of that

as well, would be to -- to account for those direct

deliveries as supplies to the reach as well.

A. Okay.

2 O. You have that?

A. Yes. 3

4 Q. And would you agree that those gains in those tables would be higher had the districts fully performed 5 in 2022? 6

A. Yes, but not if they had performed at just --I mean, obviously, that's what the analysis shows, that 8

these are the increases if they had just performed

204 -- 240 every single year. So I don't -- you know, I don't get the point of it, I guess -- of the question.

Q. In looking at 2021, you said you didn't 13 include the -- the deficits from those years -- from that year; is that true?

15 A. That's right.

16 O. But there was a pretty significant deficit 17 from that year; isn't that right?

A. Yes.

18

19 Q. So would that change your carryover -- I guess 20 your actual conservation volume in that 2022 column had 21 vou included that?

A. Yes, but I would have also included, you know,

whatever direct delivery of water or -- you know, I didn't know how to deal with the terms of that

settlement agreement, but my -- and I -- I only know

Word 4 Word Court Reporting, LLC 208-242-3289

163

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

167

169

166

- Q. And are you talking about the direct delivery of additional storage in 2022 beyond the 50,000?
- 3 A. I'm referring -- yes. I mean, I'm not -- I don't know exactly what you're referring to there, but 4
- 5 I'm referring to the direct deliveries as they're
- reported in the summary performance report for 2022. So 6
- to keep the volumes consistent with that, I accounted 7
- for those direct deliveries at the river.
- Q. So the storage deliveries were separate and apart from the 240,000 acre-foot ground water reduction recharge obligation. Do you recognize that? 11
- 12 A. Yes. So those are not included in any of my 13 modeling.
- 14 Q. So any additional water that was part of 15 the -- the 240 and 2 -- 2022 was not included?
- 16 A. I'm just including the water as it's reported
- in the recharge tab of the performance agreement for
- 2022. I don't know how to just -- I -- I'm not sure if
- I'm distinguishing that correctly from the water you're 20 talking about.
- Q. If we could turn to Exhibit 143, your rebuttal
- report. I guess would you agree that a district's
- annual longterm conservation obligations and the
- sentinel well measurements and analysis are separate
- components of the mitigation plan?

- A. Can you say that again?
- Q. So would you agree that the district's annual
- longterm conservation obligations and the sentinel well
- measurements and analysis are separate components of the mitigation plan?
- 6 A. So you mean, like, the 240 acre-feet versus 7 the sentinel well targets are -- are separate?
- 8
- A. The sentinel well targets were negotiated
- numbers in my view, from my understanding. But I think
- we did the modeling that I show in my rebuttal report 11
- 12 prior to the settlement agreement being signed,
- 13 implementing what 240,000 acre-feet of ground water
- reductions across the Eastern Snake Plain looked like
- 15 relative to those sentinel well targets for a reason.
- 16 So in that way, just from my view, having done
- 17 that modeling, I see a connection. But I'm not sure,
- technically, legally. I don't know how to answer that
- 19 [unintelligible].
- 20 Q. Yeah. And I'll -- I'll try to break it down.
- 21 I guess as far as reporting and analyzing the annual
- activities that the districts do, that's done every
- 23 year; correct?
- A. Right. 24
- 25 Q. That's reported. That's evaluated. The

168

- director evaluates whether that's been complied with or
- not. Would you agree with that?
- A. Yes. 3
- O. And then the sentinel well benchmarks and the 4 5 ultimate goal -- those were to be looked at at three
- points -- 2020, 2023, and 2026? 6
  - A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And if a benchmark was not achieved like the 9 2023 benchmark, you agree in your report that that could
- 10 trigger adaptive management?
- 11 A. That's the way I see it written in the
- 12 settlement agreement.
- 13 Q. So would you agree that if excess conservation
- was done, even though it was not required under the 14
- 15 longterm annual 240,000 acre-feet obligation every year,
- that could still help the districts achieve reaching 16 17
- those benchmarks and goals of the sentinel wells? 18
- A. Yes. I agree. And in some ways, that's 19 always been sort of a complication of the agreement,
- that IGWA wants to raise the water levels in the well --
- in the wells, but the Surface Water Coalition is
- interested in the increased reach gains. And sometimes
- developing the timing between what benefits the sentinel
- wells versus what benefits the reach gains -- that can
- be a tricky balance, in terms of where to prioritize

- recharge, for example.
- Q. And if we look at your Figure 1 on page 5.

- Q. You talked about what was done with each of
- those modeling exercises -- the blue line representing 5
- the 240 and 250 with ESPAM 2.1: is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And then the orange line with two-point --
- 9 **ESPAM 2.2?**
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And it's -- it's your contention that the --
- the district surplus conservation between 2016 and 2020
- 13 helped increase the sentinel well index in those years?
- Would you agree with that? 14
- 15 A. Based on the modeling which I looked at --
- specifically the net change in 2023, I see a net 16
- 17 increase when you take into account IGWA's conservation
- 18 over those years versus if they had just done 240 or 205
- 19 every year.
- 20 Q. So even though that wasn't required under the
- 21 agreement or the mitigation plan, that had the benefit
- of helping achieve that -- the benchmark?
- 23 A. I see that that's a benefit.
- 24 Q. And the first benchmark was exceeded -- do you
- agree with that -- in 2020?

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

171

173

1 A. Yes. The first -- I remember people talking 2 about how increasing the water level was like turning the Titanic. So they set the first benchmark equivalent 3 to where we were, thinking that it was going to take 4 5 longer to kind of overcome the past depletions. And

then we had, you know, a really wet year to start the 7 agreement off. And so in some ways, mother nature kind 8 of kickstarted and helped us get above that benchmark in 9 addition to the conservation activities.

10 O. So the districts had an incentive to perform 11 these additional actions when they could, when there was water available and there were good hydrologic conditions to take advantage of that?

A. Yes, but, I mean, you can also see, I believe, if you just look at the districts' plans, that a lot of the districts implemented averaging in their individual mitigation. So I can't say that that was their whole

impetus -- was hopefully increasing just the sentinel 19 wells in doing their excess conservation in those years.

Q. You talked about the differences in the -- the two models, and then you state that the -- the sentinel well levels are below 2016 mitigation plan targets

because those targets were established partly on

24 modeling using ESPAM version 2.1. Can you explain that? 25

A. So I kind of alluded to that in my earlier

170

negotiated values, but I think we looked at the modeling to see is it even feasible to achieve these targets? And I think if the modeling -- if we had been modeling back then with ESPAM 2.2 and it had showed, no, there's no way within these ten years that you're going to meet

1 answer that I think, in a lot of ways, these targets are

those targets, I don't know for sure, but I think it's

possible different targets would have been set at that 9 time. 10 So I think the fact that the modeling showed

11 that -- may have influenced how the targets were negotiated. It was harder to argue for lower targets if 12 13 they could be met that way. 14 O. And based on your analysis, the -- the index

15 was trending along the orange line, the ESPAM 2.2 line, 16 for at least the first five years; is that correct?

17 A. That's what it looks like, but it -- you know, 18 it's not just because the model is that much better. 19 There's other influences. Like I said, the climate impacted the trajectory of that actual observed in that

21 case in some ways. Q. And then we had some different climatic 22 23 conditions in '21 and '22 -- warmer, dryer conditions?

24 25 Q. And we had breaches by the districts in those

172

two years as well. Do you agree with that?

A. Yes. They're -- the director determined -- I 3 mean, I'm not sure how the settled 2021 gets defined, if the settlement came before a breach determination. And then, like I said, for 2022, I heard in this hearing. So I'm a little foggy on exactly how that is --6 [Unintelligible cross-talk.] Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON) And I'll phrase -- I'll

8 9 phrase it differently. I'll -- in those years leading up to 2021, we had the districts taking actions in excess of 240,000 acre-feet per year. That's true. And then in '21 --

13 A. Right.

16

18

2.0

14 Q. -- and '22, the districts did not perform 240,000 feet --15

A. That's fair.

17 Q. -- both years?

> And, coincidentally, the index declined in those years as well -- the sentinel well index?

19 20 A. Yes, but obviously in my report I'm -- I'm showing the severe drought years and how the historical index has responded to severe drought years for a

reason. I think they are a factor. 23 24

Q. And based upon your review of the -- the sentinel wells, the data that goes into the index, is it

fair to say that the reading from 2016 -- the spring of 2016 and the spring of 2023 are two of the lowest that 3 we've seen in history?

A. I think that's fair. They're very close.

Q. And regardless of which model we employ, 2.1 or 2.2, the 2023 sentinel well index is below what the agreement and mitigation plan call for, for purposes of 8 the benchmark? 9

A. Yes.

10 O. So you state -- make this quote "Over time, as 11 climatic influences average out. However, the ground water districts' conservation efforts make a significant 13 difference in ground water levels." Is that true since 14 2016?

15 A. Well, I mean, hydrologically, unfortunately, I 16 think that is still a short time frame, and so, you 17 know, my point there is that, in the short term, those 18 drought years are going to cause the index to deviate 19 drastically, but the same happens if we have a wet year. 20 It's going to deviate drastically in the opposite 21 direction.

22 So if you make the evaluation right in two -following two dry years, which is what we're -- you

know, a series of dry years, if you look at the Palmer

Drought Severity Index in both those cases, yes, those

5

6

7

20

45 (Pages 174 to 177)

174

- are going to be the low points when it deviates off of
- it. So, I mean, that's kind of the point -- is that you
- need to look at it long term before it's going to look
- anything like the projected model under average 4
- 5 hydraulic conditions.
- Q. And it -- and, at least in the short term, you 7 were relying upon the Department's modeling in that Figure 2 on page 6, which shows projections with -- with or without recharge and without ground water mitigation?
- A. I mean, I think part of the conclusion from 10
- 11 that analysis is that the sentinel well would be lower,
- 12 much lower, if the activities hadn't taken place. And,
- 13 obviously, that doesn't show out to 2023. So we're just
- looking at until 2021. But if you look at 2021, which 14
- 15 was, you know, starting that dry year, we would have
- been in a much worse place to then drop off additionally
- 17 from -- if the terms of the settlement agreement and the
  - board's recharge hadn't been accomplished.
- Q. So carrying out that figure to 2023, we're
- 20 kind of back where we started in 2016, essentially, on
- 21 that Department graph?

3

4

19

20

- A. But I think if you extended the Department's
- analysis, what they would -- what it would show is that 23
- we would be much lower without those activities than we
- are today. And, again, you should -- we should do the

analysis. [Unintelligible.]

Q. So would greater conservation efforts by the ground water districts increase sentinel well levels 4 moving forward?

- A. I think that's fair.
- Q. And that would assist with the adaptive management under the mitigation plan?
- A. I mean, I -- I don't what it lays out in terms of specifics for adaptive management. It's -- so that
- could be a possibility for an adaptive management 10
- 11 technique, but I don't think it's in the settlement
- 12 agreement -- I don't think.
- 13 Q. I'm going to turn over to your page 9, that 14 Table 2.
- 15 A. Is this in my expert report? 16
  - Q. This would be your rebuttal still, yep.
- 17 A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Can you -- you're
- 18 looking at Table 2, you said?
- 19 Q. Yes, yes.
  - A. [Unintelligible.]
- 21 Q. [Unintelligible] it's titled "2016 to 2022
- 22 IGWA Conservation Model Analysis."
- 23 A. Got it.
- 24 O. And that shows the estimated impacts on the
- 25 near Blackfoot to Minidoka reach resulting from the

176

districts that underperformed in 2022. No. I'm sorry. That's -- I'm going back to Dave Coleman's

- Table 2. That -- previous page 8. That's -- that's his Table 2; correct?
- 5 A. I -- oh, yeah. Yeah. Table 2. Yep. Sorry. 6
- 7 Q. And do you disagree with any of the modeling 8 set forth in that table?
- 9 A. Well, I -- I mean, Dave did do a more
- simplified version of the modeling. That's not
- incorrect. We simplify modeling all the time. But, you
- know, if you were going to compare and contrast what the
- actual deficits or accruals were at the reach, I think
- it's more appropriate to have done the modeling by
- 15 location than to have applied it across the district 16 uniformly.
- 17 Q. So the actual well location that you've 18 identified where that underperformance occurred -- that could be modeled? That could be incorporated?
  - A. That's how I modeled it.
- 21 Q. Rather than just district-wide assigning it to
- 22 each cell whether there's pumping? 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And when you take those totals, would they
- change a whole lot, or do you know?

- A. I -- I don't know, actually.
- Q. And then looking on at your analysis over the next two pages, you used the 205. And then the second
- table, your Table 2 on page 9, looks at the 240;
- correct? The surplus conservation? 5
  - A. Correct, correct.
- Q. And you're just looking at it -- IGWA, as a
- 8 whole, even though you've got it broken down by
- 9 district; is that correct?
- 10 A. I mean, correct, but you can look at it by
- 11 district. I mean, there was a reason I was asked to
- break it down.
- 13 Q. And would you agree that those to-reach gains
- 14 would have been higher had the breaches in '22 not
- 15
- 16 A. Yes, but not higher than if 240 had been done
- 17 every single year, except for the cases where there's 18 negatives.
- 19
- Q. Yeah. If we went back in time and the
- 20 districts just did 240 every year, we probably wouldn't
- 21 be here, would we?
- So if the hearing officer accepted your 22
- conclusion about the '22 breach -- or accepted your 23
- conclusion that the past performance has remedied the
  - '22 breach, it would never be completely remedied, would

175

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

179 178 1 it? 1 breach. 2 A. In -- in what way? Q. And in looking at your Table 2, you have these 3 Q. Looking at what happened in '22 and that numbers that extend out into the future, that far right 4 underperformance of what was required. table, and all those positive numbers. Are you 5 A. Well, I think if you look at IGWA-wide -- I advocating that the ground water districts could produce mean, I think it depends on how you're looking at it, less than 240 based upon those numbers? 7 whether you're looking at it by district or IGWA-wide, 7 A. Well, it -- it's separate from the 240 and I would say that that for sure same conclusion accounting. You would -- essentially, to adopt this, applies to the remedy that is in the Surface Water you would have then to look at the -- the reach Coalition report with just increased diversion accounting, if there's a breach, and have remedy the 10 11 reductions in 2024, if I'm understanding the question. 11 breach. So -- so if there's a breach, then to determine 12 12 Q. Your conclusion relies upon a multiyear how much it needs to be remedied, you would do this 13 evaluation of what's been done in the past; is that 13 analysis. But --14 14 [Unintelligible cross-talk.] true? 15 A. Yes. My -- my conclusion is taking excess 15 Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON) And under your analysis, pumping that was not needed under the terms of the 16 you're only looking at conservation actions. You're not 17 settlement agreement and looking at what that results, 17 looking at impacts from the ground water diversions; is in terms of mitigation, potential mitigation, to a 18 that correct? breach at the near Blackfoot to Minidoka -- in terms of 19 A. Correct. I'm just looking at the difference between the 240 allocation target being met every year the near Blackfoot to Minidoka reach gains. 20 Q. So you're crediting past performance against and what is gained by doing more than that in terms of 21 future obligations; is that correct? the net effect on the reach gain. 22 22 23 23 A. I don't know about future obligations. I'm [Unintelligible cross-talk.] 24 just -- I'm just looking at the net effect on the river HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Further as a way to -- you know, to see how to best remedy the questions? 180 181 1 MR. ANDERSON: Yes, just -- just quickly. correct? **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 2 2 A. Correct. BY MR. ANDERSON: MR. ANDERSON: That's all I have. 3 3 4 Q. How you doing, Sophia? 4 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. May the witness 5 A. Good. 5 be excused?

6 HEARING OFFICER: [Unintelligible.] 7 MR. ANDERSON: This is Dylan Anderson with 8 Bingham Ground Water District. Q. (BY MR. ANDERSON) I just want to clarify 10 something that you just talked about. When you were 11 being asked about past performance or past conservation, 12 when you do that, you're not adding up a cumulative 13 effect from all those previous years. You're looking at just 2022 and the benefit that previous performance has 15 in that year; right? Is that correct to say? A. Yes. So, yeah, if you look at my table for each year, it's just the net effect in that year of the 18 cumulative activities that have taken place prior to it. 19 So --20 Q. So you're not asking --21 [Unintelligible cross-talk.] 22 Q. (BY MR. ANDERSON) Yes. You're not modeling a benefit or effect that took place, whether it was 5 years ago or 100 years ago. You're looking at an actual benefit that -- that is in the reach gain for 2022;

6 Madam, thank you very much for appearing by 7 Zoom, or whatever electronic marvel, and we appreciate your appearance here today. You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you so much for allowing 10 me to appear remotely. It's really helpful. 11 HEARING OFFICER: No problem. 12 So the next witness would be? 13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Our next witness is Jaxon Higgs. It may be better if we start him fresh 14 15 first thing in the morning. 16 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: If --18 HEARING OFFICER: Do you have anybody that 19 would take 40 minutes, or is this kind of the subject 20 matter that everything is very long? 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Unfortunately, it is. 22 HEARING OFFICER: I guess. All right. Any

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We only have one more

23

24

issues by SWC in that regard?

25 day for this hearing. So I guess we get a little more

47 (Pages 182 to 184)

183 182 information about how many witnesses [unintelligible]. want to interfere, but on the other hand, that might be 2 Based upon the order that was entered at the one way to help. 3 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think if -- if those beginning of the hearing, I thought a lot of this would 4 go faster, but if everyone can testify to everything 4 are all the witnesses that will be called, we probably 5 that's in all these expert reports, then it's going to can [unintelligible]. 6 take a while. So I don't know [unintelligible]. 6 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Should we start at 7 7 9:00, then? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So we started at, I think, 11:00 today and got through two experts with the 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think we're okay. 9 most voluminous and complex reports. From here on HEARING OFFICER: All right. We're -- we'll forward, we got Jaxon Higgs, who I think will be shorter 10 10 reconvene here at 9:00 o'clock. Mr. Higgs will be the than Ms. Sigstedt and Mr. Colvin. I understand Bryce 11 next witness. 11 Contor is going to be quite short, and then we have a 12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. 12 13 13 lay witness Bill Stoddart, and I don't expect his to be HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Higgs previously -especially lengthy. So -- and then we have David 14 15 Colvin's rebuttal, if -- if any. 15 (End of audio file.) \*\*\*\* 16 I don't foresee much difficulty getting 16 17 through all of those tomorrow, but I'm happy to hear 17 from others. This is just my suggestion. 18 19 [Unintelligible cross-talk.] 19 20 HEARING OFFICER: -- strikes me is because of 20 our time limitations, a calling of -- of repetition 21 would be very helpful. The evidence is already in. 22 Maybe some of the future evidence can be called back 23 23 some, rather than lots of repetition of the same things. 24 25 On -- on the one hand, I don't necessarily 25 184 1 TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, BROOKE SIMMS, an Idaho Certified Shorthand Reporter, Utah State Certified Court Reporter, and 3 4 Registered Professional Reporter, hereby certify: That the audio recording of the proceedings 5 6 were transcribed by me or under my direction; 7 That the foregoing is a true and correct 8 transcription of all testimony given, to the best of my 9 ability; 10 I further certify that I am not a relative or 11 employee of any attorney or party, nor am I financially interested in the action. 13 14 15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I set my hand and seal 16 this 25th day of March, 2024. 17 18 19 20 21 Brooke Simms, RPR, CCR, CSR 22 Idaho CSR No. 1174 Utah CCR No. 12335391-780 23 24 Notary Public 25 My commission expires 4/27/27

Page 185

A&B 1:7 3:16					Page 185
A&B 1:7 3:16 8:14,22;24 34:21 40:6 73:7 76:23 98:15 126:17 147:24         179:8,10 accreations 96:5 132:4 accruals 156:2 176:13 accrue 68:22 176:13 accrue 68:22 175:16 156:4 accrue 66:22 155:16 156:4 accrue 66:22 155:16 156:4 accrue 66:13 154:17 151:18 152:23 155:16 156:4 accrue 66:14 154:17 151:18 152:23 155:16 156:4 accrue 66:12 154:17 151:18 152:23 155:16 156:4 accrue 66:12 151:14 accumulate         179:8,10 accrue 68:22 155:16 156:4 130:6,13 134:21 152:24 153:10 accrue 66:13 accrue 66:14 152:24 153:10 accumulate         133:13,20,23 133:13,20,23 134:4,11 135:10 133:2,231 313:4,41 1135:10 133:12,21 313:4,41 1135:10 313:2,231 40:16;21,23,25 140:16;21,23,25 140:16;10 12,23,25 140:16;10 12,23,25 140:17 17:22 accumulate         80:15,20 81:21 140:16;10 133:3 accumulate         80:15,20 81:22 140:16;21,23,25 140:17 114:11 160:10 142:21,22 153:11,14 160:11 143:12,2 160:11 143:12,2 160:11 143:12,2 160:11 143:12,2 160:11 143:13,13 160:11 143:13 160:11 143:13 160:11 143:13 160:11 143:13 160:11 143:13 160:11 143:13 160:11 143:13 160:11 143:13 160:11 144:11 161:14 167:22 161:14 167:22 170:17 17:11 161:14 167:22 170:17 17:11		102.14 160.20	144.10 151.16	102.9 14 117.11	00.14
8:14,22,24         accretions 96:5         168:15 172:11         121:25 124:11         20:14 adding 180:12           34:21 40:6 73:7         33:21 40:6 73:7         132:4         accreual 67:8         63:8 100:25         129:15,19 130:8         addition 30:9           76:23 98:15 126:17 147:24         Aberdeen 16:3         17:2         176:13         124:92.3 128:15         132:25 133:3         addition 30:9           Aberdeen-Ame 75:17 89:10         abide 16:13         accrued 67:4         135:16 156:4         130:61.3 134:21         135:11 140:13         81:52 89:23           ability 78:12         accrues 64:18         152:24 153:10         140:16:13         141:35,13         81:23 89:23           able 14:14 23:21         184:9         accrumlate         151:11,14         144:19,145:2         145:24 159:17           104:17 107:22         accumulated         113:1         accumulated         153:12         144:19 145:2         160:25 166:2,14           104:17 107:12         145:4         accinop 12:2         151:11,14         144:19 145:2         160:25 166:2,14           104:17 105:15         145:4         accinop 13:3         160:9 184:1         170:9 174:12,24         addresses 89:12:2           104:21 77:23         168:8         121:14         accinop 184:1         accinop 184:1	-			*	
34:21 40:6 73.7   76:23 98:15   accrual 67:8   accrual 67:8   accrual 67:8   accrual 67:8   accrual 68:22   176:13   124:9,23 128:15   132:25 133:3   addition 30:9   additi		· ·		*	
76:23 98:15 126:17 147:24         accrual 57:8 accruals 156:2         63:8 100:25 13:22         129:15,19 130:8 13:23 33:33 13:22 13:25:133:3         addition 30:9 accrue 4:18 17:18 15:18 15:23 13:25:13:33 13:25:13:33 abile 16:13 abile 16:13 abilety 78:12 accrue 68:22 accrue 66:418 accrue 66:418 accrue 64:18 accrue 129:22 accumulate accrue 137:6 accumulate 112:22 accumulate 153:12 accumulate 153:12 accumulate 153:12 accumulate 153:12 accumulating 14:17:17:22 accumulating 14:17:17:23 accumulating 14:17:23 accumulating 14:18 accumulative 15:18 15:23 accumulative 15:18 15:22 accumulate 12:14 accumulative 15:22:19 accumulate 16:18 accompanies 118:24 accompanies 18:24 accompanies 18:24 accompanies 18:24 accompanies 118:24 accompanies 118:24 accomplished 16:23 55:5 accomplished 16:23 55:5 accomplished 174:25 accomplished 174:25 accomplishing 144:25 accomplishing 148:21 169:14 accomplishing 148:22 166:7 accomplished 148:18 8:10 19:9:68 accomplished 148:21 188:11 19:9:6 accomplished 148:22 166:7 accomplished 148:22 168:14 148:24 148:14 148:14 148:14 148:14 148:14 148:14 148:14 148:14 148:14 148:14 148:14 148:14 148:14 148:14 148:14 148:14 148				· -	
Table   Tabl					O
Aberdeen   16:3   176:13   124:9,23   128:15   132:25   133:3   68:6   69:1,6,12   68:6   69:1,6,12   130:6,13   134:4,11   135:10   68:6   69:1,6,12   68:6   69:1,6,12   130:6,13   134:4,11   135:10   134:4,11   135:10   135:12,21   135:11,140:13   135:12,21   137:11   140:13   131:11   140:13   131:11   140:13   131:11   140:13   131:11   140:13   131:11   140:13   131:11   140:13   131:11   140:13   131:11   140:13   131:11   140:13   139:23   140:16,21,23,25   104:9   171:12   122:4   151:18   152:23   140:16,21,23,25   104:9   171:12   122:4   151:11   144:19   145:2   149:2				*	
Times				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Aberdeen-Ame 75:17 89:10 abide 16:13 accrued 67:4 135:7 144:11 137:11 140:13 81:23 89:23 adbility 78:12 accrues 64:18 152:24 153:10 141:3,5,13 139:6 144:18,21 133:24 94:16 101:5 102:7 104:17 117:22 accomulate 135:12 accumulated 153:12 accumulated 153:12 accumulated 153:12 accumulated 153:12 accumulated 153:12 accumulated 153:12 accomplishing 146:23 49:2 accomplishing 146:23,24 accomplishing 146:23,24 accomplishing 146:23,24 accomplishing 146:25 accomplishing 146:13 48:14 165:24 166:7 148:14 165:24 166:17 448:14,18 179:17 179:16 accomplishing 144:25 accomplished 169:17 51:14 157:14 169:17 179:16 accomplishing 148:14 165:24 166:7 148:14 165:24 166:17 148:14 165:24 166:17 148:14 165:24 166:17 148:14 165:24 166:17 148:14 165:24 166:17 148:14 165:24 166:17 148:14 165:24 166:17 148:14 165:24 166:17 148:14 165:24 166:17 148:14:165:24 166:17 148:14:165:24 166:17 148:14:165:24 166:17 148:14:16 176:14 176:25 148:17:17:17 146:23 149:2 148:14:16 176:14 176			· ·		
T5:17 89:10			,	<i>'</i>	′ ′
abide 16:13         154:17         151:18 152:23         140:16,21,23,25         104:9 117:12           ability 78:12         accrues 64:18         152:24 153:10         141:3,5,13         139:6 144:18,21           able 14:14 23:21         accumulate         152:24 153:10         141:3,5,13         139:6 144:18,21           39:24 94:16         101:5 102:7         104:17 117:22         accumulated         153:12         143:15,22         15:11,15         150:12,12         150:1,1,14         144:19 145:2         160:25 166:2,14         170:11         160:25 166:2,14         170:11         additionally 10:9         28:18 174:16         addiress 8:9 12:5         160:25 166:2,14         170:11         additionally 10:9         28:18 174:16         addiress 8:9 12:5         160:25 166:2,14         170:11         addiress 8:9 12:5         15:22,23 18:25         170:11         addiress 8:9 12:5         15:21,11,14         144:19 145:2         160:25 166:2,14         170:11         addiress 8:9 12:5         160:21,14         170:11         addiress 8:9 12:5         160:21,14         activity 163:2         112:17 114:1         address 8:9 12:5         15:22,23 18:25         15:22,23 18:25         15:22,23 18:25         12:217 114:1 <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>,</th><th>*</th><th>,</th></th<>			,	*	,
ability 78:12 184:9 able 14:14 23:21 93:24 94:16 101:5 102:7 104:17 117:22 accept 26:5 104:17 105:15 110:12 155:25 accepted 34:3,6 104:24 177:22 177:23 accepted 151:1 access 21:10 22:19 accommodate 146:18 accommodate 146:18 accommodate 146:18 accompanies 118:24 accomplished 174:18 accomplished 174:18 accomplished 174:18 accomplishing 144:19:22 152:14 153:10 144:13,5,13 144:19,145:2 151:11,14 144:19,145:2 148:12,159:23 148:12,159:23 148:12,10 160:24,14,21 160:5,24 160:5,67:11 170:1,2,17,18 160:9184:12 110:1,1,18 160:9184:12 110:1,19:23 117:					
184:9   accruing 129:22   accumulate   112:22   accumulate   112:22   accumulate   112:22   accumulate   112:22   accumulate   113:1   accumulate   113:1   accumulate   113:1   accumulate   113:1   accumulate   120:21					
able 14:14 23:21         accumulate         acres 137:6         143:15,22         154:11 159:17           93:24 94:16         101:5 102:7         accumulated         151:11,14         144:19 145:2         160:25 166:2,14           104:17 117:22         accumulating         Act 35:21         160:4,14,21         additionally 10:9           accept 26:5         104:17 105:15         145:4         action 9:12 20:13         170:9 174:12,24         address 8:9 12:5           104:24 177:22         achieve 60:12         148:14,18         activity 163:2         actual 60:5 67:1         address 8:9 12:5           177:23         168:16 169:22         150:16 151:3         67:15 100:14,22         addressed 12:8         28:22 30:6           accompt 151:1         achieved 60:13         actions 10:5,22         117:15,20 119:1         136:13 148:8         actions 10:5,22         117:15,20 119:1         136:13 148:8           accompany         18:24         151:19         101:17,22         120:22 12:113         136:19 18:24         actions 10:5,22         117:15,20 119:1         136:13 148:8         addresses 42:22         addresses 42:22 </th <th>•</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>' '</th> <th></th>	•			' '	
112:22   151:11,14   144:19 145:2   160:25 166:2,14		C		,	
101:5 102:7   104:17 117:22   accept 26:5   104:17 105:15   125:25   accumulating				,	
104:17 117:22   accept 26:5   104:17 105:15   110:12 155:25   accumulating 145:4   accumulative 20:23 37:21   180:18   accumulative 10:424 177:22   177:23   achieve 60:12   168:16 169:22   150:16 151:3   achieve 60:13   168:8   accepting 151:1   access 21:10   22:19   accommodate 146:18   accommodate 146:18   accompanies 118:24   accompanies 118:24   accompanies 118:24   accomplished 146:23,24   accomplished 174:18   accomplished 174:18   accomplished 174:18   accomplished 174:18   accomplished 174:18   accomplished 174:18   accomplishing 144:25   accomplishing 144:25   accomplish 165:17   accounted 130:25   148:21 166:7   accounted 132:25   148:22 166:7   accounted 132:25   148:21 143:14 169:14   accounted 132:25   148:22 166:7   accounted 132:25   148:22 166:7   accounted 132:25   148:22 166:7   accounted 132:25   148:22 166:7   accounted 132:25   accounted			*		*
accept 26:5         accumulating         Act 35:21         161:4 167:22         28:18 174:16         address 8:9 12:5           104:17 105:15         110:12 155:25         accumulative         20:23 37:21         180:18         15:22,23 18:25           accepted 34:3,6         104:24 177:22         168:16 169:22         150:16 151:3         activity 163:2         15:22,23 18:25           177:23         168:16 169:22         150:16 151:3         67:15 100:14,22         28:22 30:6           accepting 151:1         achieved 60:13         160:9 184:12         101:6 102:14         addressed 12:8           accommodate         146:18         13:13,19         actions 10:5,22         117:15,20 119:1         136:13 148:8           accompany         15:19         70:13 80:5         122:14 122:1         129:22 121:13         addresses 42:22           accompany         17:17         10:1,2,17,18         10:17,22         13:10:19         13:11 160:19         152:13           accomplish         16:23 55:5         109:2 114:20         17:20 176:13         16:20 165:13           174:18         65:15 60:13,18         15:2:21 155:7         56:4 112:11         administrative           144:25         76:5 77:1,3,20         76:5 77:1,3,20         77:24 80:13,14         159:17,21         168:10 175	101:5 102:7				
104:17 105:15   145:4   action 9:12 20:13   170:9 174:12,24   address 8:9 12:5   12:14   102:21 107:15   activity 163:2   12:17 114:1   addressed 12:8   177:23   168:16 169:22   150:16 151:3   67:15 100:14,22   28:22 30:6				, ,	
110:12 155:25         accumulative         20:23 37:21         180:18         15:22,23 18:25           accepted 34:3,6         104:24 177:22         achieve 60:12         148:14,18         activity 163:2         actual 60:5 67:1         addressed 12:8           177:23         168:16 169:22         150:16 151:3         67:15 100:14,22         28:22 30:6           accepting 151:1         achieved 60:13         actions 10:5,22         101:6 102:14         addressed 12:8           accommodate 146:18         168:8         11:2,2 24:12         117:15,20 119:1         136:13 148:8           accompanies 118:24         151:19         38:3 42:5 55:2         120:22 121:13         addressed 4:22         addresses 4:22           accomplish 16:23,24         15:19         10:17,22         130:12 140:6         152:13         administration           174:18         16:23 55:5         109:2 114:20         17:20 176:13         administrative           174:18         65:15 60:13,18         115:5,12 146:16         176:17 180:24         adaptive 55:23         administrative           144:25         76:5 77:1,3,20         160:21,25         175:10         admitted 7:3           accomplishing 144:25         65:17 69:16         159:17,21         160:21,25         175:10         admitted 7:3	accept 26:5	$\mathcal{C}$			
accepted 34:3,6         121:14         102:21 107:15         activity 163:2         112:17 114:1           177:23         168:16 169:22         150:16 151:3         67:15 100:14,22         28:22 30:6           accepting 151:1         achieved 60:13         168:8         169:9 184:12         101:6 102:14         28:22 30:6           accommodate         168:8         11:2,2 24:12         117:15,20 119:1         136:13 148:8           accommodate         146:18         38:3 42:5 55:2         120:22 121:13         addresses 42:22           accompanies         118:24         151:19         101:17,22         130:12 140:6         152:13           accomplish         16:23 55:5         109:2 114:20         171:20 176:13         administration           174:18         62:15 64:14,21         146:23 149:2         adaptive 55:23         admisistrative           174:18         65:10 67:3,14         159:17,21         168:10 175:6,9         169:17           accomplishing         144:25         76:5 77:1,3,20         160:21,25         175:10         adaptive 55:23         admission 52:18           145:14 165:24         86:10 129:6,8         129:11 130:9,25         179:16         36:10,12         36:14,15 37:23           148:22 166:7         132:7 135:22         99:5 100:14,2	104:17 105:15	- '		· ·	
activition         achieve 60:12         148:14,18         actual 60:5 67:1         addressed 12:8           177:23         168:16 169:22         150:16 151:3         67:15 100:14,22         28:22 30:6           accepting 151:1         3chieved 60:13         160:9 184:12         101:6 102:14         99:25 132:18,19           access 21:10         168:8         11:2,2 24:12         117:15,20 119:1         136:13 148:8           accommodate         146:18         13:13,19         70:13 80:5         124:14 128:14         addresses 42:22           accompanies         118:24         151:19         101:17,22         130:12 140:6         152:13           accomplish         16:23 55:5         109:21,24 103:9         164:20 165:13         administered           174:18         56:15 60:13,18         115:5,12 146:16         176:17 180:24         administration           accomplished         62:15 64:14,21         146:23 149:2         adaptive 55:23         admission 52:18           174:18         65:10 67:3,14         152:21 155:7         56:4 112:11         52:18 146:14           account 130:7         77:24 80:13,14         170:11 172:10         add 22:6,12         36:14,15 37:23           145:14 165:24         84:17 85:1         179:16         58:25 106:18         37:25 39:4 </th <th>110:12 155:25</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>,</th>	110:12 155:25				,
177:23         168:16 169:22         150:16 151:3         67:15 100:14,22         28:22 30:6           accepting 151:1         achieved 60:13         160:9 184:12         101:6 102:14         99:25 132:18,19           access 21:10         achieved 60:13         11:2,2 24:12         117:15,20 119:1         136:13 148:8           accommodate         146:18         13:13,19         70:13 80:5         124:14 128:14         addresses 42:22           accompanies         151:19         101:17,22         130:12 140:6         152:13           accomplish         16:23 55:5         109:2 114:20         171:20 176:13         administration           174:18         65:10 67:3,14         155:21 155:7         168:10 175:69         176:21 155:7         adaptive 55:23         admission 52:18           accomplishing         67:15 69:16         159:17,21         168:10 175:69         168:10 175:69         175:10         admitted 7:3           account 130:7         77:24 80:13,14         170:11 172:10         168:10 175:69         36:14,15 37:23           169:17         86:10 129:6,8         129:11 130:9,25         100:23 14:14         126:6         37:25 39:4           148:22 166:7         132:7 135:22         99:5 100:14,21         129:23         147:6         147:6	accepted 34:3,6				
accepting 151:1         achieved 60:13         160:9 184:12         101:6 102:14         99:25 132:18,19           access 21:10         achieved 60:13         168:8         11:2,2 24:12         117:15,20 119:1         136:13 148:8           accommodate 146:18         acknowledged 13:13,19         38:3 42:5 55:2         120:22 121:13         addresses 42:22           accompanies 118:24         151:19         81:10 82:8 84:9         128:20 129:15         administer 47:22           accompany 17:17         10:1,2,17,18         105:1 107:8,23         164:20 165:13         administration           146:23,24         56:15 60:13,18         115:5,12 146:16         176:17 180:24         administrative           accomplished 174:18         65:10 67:3,14         152:21 155:7         56:4 112:11         52:18 146:14           accomplishing 144:25         67:15 69:16         159:17,21         168:10 175:69         admit 146:8,9           146:21 4:25         84:17 85:1         179:16         58:25 106:18         37:25 39:4           169:17         86:10 129:6,8         129:11 130:9,25         100:20 20 10 14         121:2         40ded 90:15         40ded 90:15           146:22 166:7         129:11 130:9,25         100:20 20 10 14         121:2         40ded 90:15         40ded 90:15           146:6	104:24 177:22		,		
access 21:10         achieved 60:13         actions 10:5,22         117:15,20 119:1         136:13 148:8           22:19         168:8         11:2,2 24:12         119:15 120:17         addresses 42:22           accommodate 146:18         13:13,19         70:13 80:5         124:14 128:14         administer 47:22           accompanies 118:24         151:19         101:17,22         130:12 140:6         152:13           accompany 117:17         10:1,2,17,18         105:1 107:8,23         164:20 165:13         administered           146:23,24         16:23 55:5         109:2 114:20         171:20 176:13         administration           174:18         62:15 64:14,21         146:23 149:2         adaptive 55:23         administrative           142:25         76:5 77:1,3,20         160:21,25         175:10         admit 146:8,9           account 130:7         77:24 80:13,14         170:11 172:10         168:10 175:6,9         admit 146:8,9           169:17         86:10 129:6,8         129:11 130:9,25         129:11 130:9,25         126:6         52:24,25 147:4           148:22 166:7         132:7 135:22         99:5 100:14,21         129:23         addet 99:15	177:23				
accommodate         168:8         11:2,2 24:12         119:15 120:17         addresses 42:22           accommodate         acknowledged         38:3 42:5 55:2         120:22 121:13         addressing 104:4           accompanies         acre 10:17 75:18         81:10 82:8 84:9         128:20 129:15         administer 47:22           accompany         acre-feet 9:19,25         101:17,22         130:12 140:6         152:13           accomplish         16:23 55:5         109:2 114:20         171:20 176:13         administration           174:18         65:15 60:13,18         115:5,12 146:16         176:17 180:24         admission 52:18           accomplished         65:10 67:3,14         159:17,21         168:10 175:6,9         admit 146:8,9           144:25         76:5 77:1,3,20         160:21,25         75:10         admit 146:8,9           account 130:7         77:24 80:13,14         170:11 172:10         58:25 106:18         37:25 39:4           169:17         86:10 129:6,8         active 42:2         59:5 100:14,21         126:6         52:24,25 147:4           accounted 132:25         132:7 135:22         99:5 100:14,21         129:23         added 90:15         147:6           148:22 166:7         127:10 148:20         129:23         120:21 11:30         120:24 </th <th>accepting 151:1</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>· ·</th>	accepting 151:1				· ·
accommodate         acknowledged         38:3 42:5 55:2         120:22 121:13         addressing 104:4           146:18         13:13,19         70:13 80:5         124:14 128:14         administer 47:22           accompanies         151:19         81:10 82:8 84:9         128:20 129:15         administer 47:22           accompany         10:1,2,17,18         101:17,22         130:12 140:6         152:13           accomplish         16:23 55:5         109:2 114:20         143:15 160:19         administration           146:23,24         56:15 60:13,18         115:5,12 146:16         176:17 180:24         20:5 35:21           accomplished         62:15 64:14,21         146:23 149:2         adaptive 55:23         administrative           174:18         65:10 67:3,14         152:21 155:7         56:4 112:11         52:18 146:14           accomplishing         67:15 69:16         159:17,21         168:10 175:6,9         admit 146:8,9           144:25         76:5 77:1,3,20         160:21,25         175:10         36:14,15 37:23           145:14 165:24         84:17 85:1         179:16         58:25 106:18         37:25 39:4           169:17         86:10 129:6,8         active 42:2         126:6         52:24,25 147:4           148:22 166:7         132:7 13	access 21:10		,		
146:18 accompanies         13:13,19 acre 10:17 75:18         70:13 80:5 84:9 128:20 129:15 administered         124:14 128:14 1	22:19		*		
accompanies         acce 10:17 75:18         81:10 82:8 84:9         128:20 129:15         administered           118:24         151:19         101:17,22         130:12 140:6         152:13           accompany         117:17         10:1,2,17,18         105:1 107:8,23         164:20 165:13         97:5,21           accomplish         16:23 55:5         109:2 114:20         171:20 176:13         administrative           174:18         62:15 60:13,18         115:5,12 146:16         176:17 180:24         20:5 35:21           accomplished         62:15 64:14,21         146:23 149:2         adaptive 55:23         admission 52:18           accomplishing         67:15 69:16         159:17,21         168:10 175:6,9         admit 146:8,9           account 130:7         77:24 80:13,14         170:11 172:10         add 22:6,12         36:14,15 37:23           145:14 165:24         84:17 85:1         179:16         58:25 106:18         37:25 39:4           169:17         86:10 129:6,8         active 42:2         126:6         52:24,25 147:4           148:22 166:7         132:7 135:22         99:5 100:14,21         129:23         adopt 29:11,13	accommodate	C			C
118:24       151:19       101:17,22       130:12 140:6       152:13         accompany       101:17,17       10:1,2,17,18       105:1 107:8,23       164:20 165:13       administration         accomplish       16:23 55:5       109:2 114:20       171:20 176:13       administrative         accomplished       62:15 64:14,21       146:23 149:2       adaptive 55:23       admission 52:18         accomplishing       65:10 67:3,14       152:21 155:7       56:4 112:11       52:18 146:14         accomplishing       67:15 69:16       159:17,21       168:10 175:6,9       admit 146:8,9         account 130:7       77:24 80:13,14       170:11 172:10       add 22:6,12       36:14,15 37:23         145:14 165:24       86:10 129:6,8       179:16       58:25 106:18       37:25 39:4         169:17       86:10 129:6,8       129:11 130:9,25       activities 53:16       added 90:15       147:6         148:22 166:7       132:7 135:22       99:5 100:14,21       129:23       147:0       adopt 29:11,13	146:18	7			
118:24       151:19       101:17,22       130:12 140:6       152:13         accompany       117:17       10:1,2,17,18       105:1 107:8,23       164:20 165:13       administration         146:23,24       16:23 55:5       109:2 114:20       171:20 176:13       administrative         accomplished       62:15 64:14,21       146:23 149:2       adaptive 55:23       admission 52:18         174:18       65:10 67:3,14       152:21 155:7       56:4 112:11       52:18 146:14         accomplishing       67:15 69:16       159:17,21       168:10 175:6,9       admit 146:8,9         account 130:7       76:5 77:1,3,20       170:11 172:10       add 22:6,12       36:14,15 37:23         145:14 165:24       84:17 85:1       179:16       58:25 106:18       37:25 39:4         169:17       86:10 129:6,8       129:11 130:9,25       activities 53:16       added 90:15       147:6         148:22 166:7       132:7 135:22       99:5 100:14,21       129:23       170:14       170:02	accompanies				
117:17       10:1,2,17,18       105:1 107:8,23       164:20 165:13       97:5,21         accomplish       16:23 55:5       109:2 114:20       171:20 176:13       administrative         146:23,24       56:15 60:13,18       115:5,12 146:16       176:17 180:24       20:5 35:21         accomplished       62:15 64:14,21       146:23 149:2       adaptive 55:23       admission 52:18         accomplishing       67:15 69:16       159:17,21       168:10 175:6,9       admit 146:8,9         account 130:7       76:5 77:1,3,20       160:21,25       175:10       admitted 7:3         account 130:7       84:17 85:1       179:16       58:25 106:18       37:25 39:4         169:17       86:10 129:6,8       active 42:2       active 42:2       activities 53:16       added 90:15       147:6         accounted 132:25       132:7 135:22       19:5 100:14,21       129:23       adopt 29:11,13	118:24				
accomplish       16:23 55:5       109:2 114:20       171:20 176:13       administrative         146:23,24       56:15 60:13,18       115:5,12 146:16       176:17 180:24       20:5 35:21         accomplished       62:15 64:14,21       146:23 149:2       adaptive 55:23       admission 52:18         174:18       65:10 67:3,14       152:21 155:7       56:4 112:11       52:18 146:14         accomplishing       67:15 69:16       159:17,21       168:10 175:6,9       admit 146:8,9         144:25       76:5 77:1,3,20       160:21,25       175:10       admitted 7:3         account 130:7       84:17 85:1       179:16       58:25 106:18       37:25 39:4         169:17       86:10 129:6,8       129:11 130:9,25       126:6       37:25 39:4         accounted 132:25       132:7 135:22       99:5 100:14,21       129:23       147:6         148:22 166:7       127:6 10.11       129:23       147:6	accompany	acre-feet 9:19,25	102:21,24 103:9		administration
accomplished       56:15 60:13,18       115:5,12 146:16       176:17 180:24       20:5 35:21         accomplished       62:15 64:14,21       146:23 149:2       adaptive 55:23       admission 52:18         174:18       65:10 67:3,14       152:21 155:7       56:4 112:11       52:18 146:14         accomplishing       144:25       76:5 77:1,3,20       160:21,25       175:10       admit 146:8,9         account 130:7       77:24 80:13,14       170:11 172:10       add 22:6,12       36:14,15 37:23         145:14 165:24       84:17 85:1       179:16       58:25 106:18       37:25 39:4         169:17       86:10 129:6,8       active 42:2       126:6       52:24,25 147:4         accounted 132:25       132:7 135:22       99:5 100:14,21       129:23       adopt 29:11,13         147:6       132:7 6 10:11       127:6 10:11       129:23       120:11	117:17		· ·		,
accomplished       62:15 64:14,21       146:23 149:2       adaptive 55:23       admission 52:18         174:18       65:10 67:3,14       152:21 155:7       56:4 112:11       52:18 146:14         accomplishing       67:15 69:16       159:17,21       168:10 175:6,9       admit 146:8,9         account 130:7       76:5 77:1,3,20       160:21,25       175:10       admitted 7:3         account 130:7       84:17 85:1       179:16       36:14,15 37:23         169:17       86:10 129:6,8       active 42:2       126:6       37:25 39:4         accounted 132:25       129:11 130:9,25       activities 53:16       added 90:15       147:6         148:22 166:7       132:7 135:22       99:5 100:14,21       129:23       adopt 29:11,13	accomplish				
174:18       65:10 67:3,14       152:21 155:7       56:4 112:11       52:18 146:14         accomplishing       144:25       76:5 77:1,3,20       160:21,25       175:10       admit 146:8,9         account 130:7       77:24 80:13,14       170:11 172:10       add 22:6,12       36:14,15 37:23         145:14 165:24       84:17 85:1       179:16       58:25 106:18       37:25 39:4         169:17       86:10 129:6,8       active 42:2       126:6       52:24,25 147:4         accounted 132:25       129:11 130:9,25       activities 53:16       added 90:15       147:6         148:22 166:7       132:7 135:22       99:5 100:14,21       129:23       adopt 29:11,13	146:23,24				
174:18       65:10 67:3,14       152:21 155:7       56:4 112:11       52:18 146:14         accomplishing       144:25       76:5 77:1,3,20       160:21,25       175:10       admit 146:8,9         account 130:7       77:24 80:13,14       170:11 172:10       add 22:6,12       36:14,15 37:23         145:14 165:24       84:17 85:1       179:16       58:25 106:18       37:25 39:4         169:17       86:10 129:6,8       active 42:2       126:6       52:24,25 147:4         accounted 132:25       129:11 130:9,25       activities 53:16       added 90:15       147:6         148:22 166:7       132:7 135:22       99:5 100:14,21       129:23       adopt 29:11,13	accomplished	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	
account 130:7       76:5 77:1,3,20       160:21,25       175:10       admitted 7:3         145:14 165:24       84:17 85:1       170:11 172:10       add 22:6,12       36:14,15 37:23         169:17       86:10 129:6,8       active 42:2       126:6       52:24,25 147:4         accounted 132:25       129:11 130:9,25       activities 53:16       added 90:15       147:6         148:22 166:7       132:7 135:22       99:5 100:14,21       129:23       adopt 29:11,13	_	· ·			
144:25       76:5 77:1,3,20       160:21,25       175:10       admitted 7:3         account 130:7       77:24 80:13,14       170:11 172:10       add 22:6,12       36:14,15 37:23         145:14 165:24       84:17 85:1       179:16       58:25 106:18       37:25 39:4         169:17       86:10 129:6,8       active 42:2       126:6       52:24,25 147:4         accounted 132:25       132:7 135:22       99:5 100:14,21       129:23       adopt 29:11,13         127:6 10:11       127:6 10:11       120:23       170:11       170:12	accomplishing		,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·
145:14 165:24 169:17 86:10 129:6,8 active 42:2 activities 53:16 129:23 37:25 39:4 52:24,25 147:4 148:22 166:7 132:7 135:22 169:5 100:14,21 129:23 adopt 29:11,13		, ,	,		
145:14 165:24       84:17 85:1       179:16       58:25 106:18       37:25 39:4         169:17       86:10 129:6,8       active 42:2       126:6       52:24,25 147:4         accounted 132:25       129:11 130:9,25       activities 53:16       added 90:15       147:6         148:22 166:7       132:7 135:22       99:5 100:14,21       129:23       adopt 29:11,13	account 130:7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· ·	*
accounted 132:25 148:22 166:7	145:14 165:24				
accounted 132:25       129:11 130:9,25       activities 53:16       added 90:15       147:6         148:22 166:7       132:7 135:22       99:5 100:14,21       129:23       adopt 29:11,13	169:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
148:22 166:7		· ·			
127 6 10 11 100 20 101 11 1 1 1 1 00 14 170 0		132:7 135:22	· ·		_
		137:6,10,11	100:22 101:11	addendum 98:14	179:8
					<u> </u>

				rage 100
adopted 49:23	10:15 15:3 17:4	65:3	63:15 67:4	180:7,9,22
50:5 136:5	30:8 42:4,6	align 121:22	86:12,20,21,22	181:3
advance 79:24	55:4,21 56:13	alleged 15:17	86:23 87:20	annotation 61:18
81:23 82:15	70:12 71:11	allegedly 56:8	90:15,20 91:13	annual 9:23 11:1
104:13 111:5	83:23 84:6,11	alleging 102:6	91:14 92:7	11:13 13:14
113:2,19	84:21 91:13	allocate 91:15	132:13 133:4,4	42:5,9 44:9
advantage	98:10,14,15,18	allocated 47:23	amounts 10:16	47:11 62:21
145:24 170:13	98:19,22,23	92:4 118:20	43:8 54:13	84:16 102:21
advisement 17:22	99:6,20 102:5,5	129:15 149:7	90:13 101:8	107:8,15,23
27:9 147:1	102:6 103:3	<b>allocation</b> 11:14	<b>analogies</b> 114:3	114:20 119:9
advocating 179:5	102.0 103.3	101:1,2 123:23	analogies 114.3	122:14 153:22
affect 26:18	105:18 106:3	124:8,23 127:6	115:10	166:23 167:2,21
133:11 160:22	107:6,18 108:14	124.8,23 127.0	analyses 115:20	168:15
<b>afraid</b> 16:11	107.0,18 108.14	134:21 143:10	analysis 48:20	annually 9:20
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		57:1 59:16,21	50:11 56:16
103:10 <b>AFRD#2</b> 3:10	110:1 111:7,25 111:25 112:15	143:13 149:6 152:10 157:9	,	
<b>afternoon</b> 110:9		179:20	62:2,8 63:3,4 66:23 70:8	100:17 115:1,11
	113:8,17,17			122:10,13
agency 18:4 19:7	114:11,18,19,23	<b>allocations</b> 41:24 130:7 131:6	83:16 84:2,3,18	151:12
22:3,4,8,12,16	114:25,25		84:22 90:13	answer 17:23
23:18,22 24:3,4	115:21 116:1,2	134:11 146:5	96:8 97:7,7	27:12 167:18
26:24 104:19	116:5 120:6	allow 51:21	100:19 109:3,11	171:1
ago 57:22 59:7	125:5 127:7	105:11,13 110:4	109:18 132:10	anticipate 66:21
159:23 180:24	132:7 135:2,15	115:12 116:15	132:14 139:24	anticipated 57:6
180:24	136:25,25	133:7 146:1	145:7 148:17	anticipation 55:3
agree 12:8 32:24	137:19,24 139:2	allowed 8:6 9:21	150:21 151:2	anybody 107:19
69:9 80:8 82:12	139:22 141:11	28:25 93:10	152:4 154:11	181:18
82:20 88:3	141:12 142:3	104:14 114:14	155:12 156:4	anymore 111:12
90:16 105:16	146:18 147:3	allowing 24:24	159:13 160:17	<b>apart</b> 166:10
113:23 134:9	152:11,18,19	70:15 181:9	161:6 162:13	apologize 27:10
152:12,22	153:10,20	alluded 170:25	163:25 164:8	50:23 65:4
153:18,23 156:9	164:25 165:7,10	alternative 11:1	165:22 166:24	apology 65:5
159:7 160:5,9	165:14 166:17	amended 20:12	167:4 171:14	appeal 21:8 26:9
161:19 164:4	167:12 168:12	amendment	174:11,23 175:1	154:1,7
165:14 166:22	168:19 169:21	20:18 34:4	175:22 177:2	appealed 21:12
167:2 168:2,9	170:7 173:7	<b>American</b> 1:8 5:4	179:13,15	23:21
168:13,18	174:17 175:12	8:18 16:1,3	analyzed 99:7	<b>appear</b> 181:10
169:14,25 172:1	178:17	17:3 75:16 76:5	analyzing 167:21	appearance
177:13	agreements 30:16	90:19 95:22	and/or 76:10	181:8
agreed 28:25	98:17	150:9 155:17	Anderson 4:18	appearing 16:1
31:24 59:7	ahead 33:3 71:1	amount 10:21	4:19 6:7,13	94:5,9 181:6
62:20	83:2 138:3	46:2 47:23 48:1	86:1,3,3,7 87:3	appears 156:24
agreeing 89:2	144:7 147:17	48:1 49:2,3	87:7,12,14,16	appellate 22:19
114:19	al 40:7	50:14 58:20	87:18 88:7,9,15	26:22
agreement 2:2	alcohol 64:25	59:6 61:13	92:13 180:1,3,7	applicable 48:11
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

				Page 187
<b>!4</b> 10.10	25.10.41.12	115.20	12.2	D 7.2
application 19:18	35:19 41:13 42:8,13 43:17	aside 115:20 165:14	<b>attention</b> 43:2 90:24	B 7:2
applications 42:2 97:20	43:23 46:3	asked 12:12 17:9	90:24 attorney 3:4	<b>back</b> 16:15 25:20 27:8 41:16 44:2
· · · - ·			11:19 184:11	
<b>applied</b> 50:13 81:14 123:7	49:13 52:14 53:16 55:1,6,10	22:2,15 27:19		48:8 56:11 61:17 78:23
125:10 153:11		51:1 90:25 92:22 97:23	attorneys 8:5 audio 8:1 183:15	80:8 82:1 86:9
176:15	57:5,13 58:23		184:5	
	58:25 60:5 69:1	98:25 99:2		90:24 101:25 102:25 103:11
<b>applies</b> 178:9	69:6 70:10 80:4	116:22 148:7	August 20:21	
apply 38:22 158:10	81:3,12,13	163:21 177:11	72:12 112:6	112:19 115:4
	96:10 97:6,19	180:11	139:18 148:25	148:5 158:18
apportioned 29:3	107:24 109:20	asking 12:17	149:13 158:9	160:23 171:5
92:10	114:8 117:11	36:19 83:15	authentication	174:20 176:2
apportioning	140:21 145:3,5	180:20	32:15	177:19 182:23
29:1	145:22,25	aspect 14:22 15:1	authority 106:4	backdoor 101:24
apportionment	148:20	122:24	authorized 93:3,5	102:10
43:7	aquifer-wide	aspects 165:6	102:2	background
appreciate 25:12	137:4	assign 62:12,15	available 94:13	43:18 95:13,14
32:16 181:7	area 45:19 95:19	assigned 73:6,9	105:22 115:23	99:19 121:5
approach 36:14	95:20 111:22	77:4,25 78:20	115:24 116:5	141:14
127:21,25	121:6 123:9	119:8	122:2 162:25	backward 101:19
appropriate	areas 121:7	assigning 176:21	170:12	<b>balance</b> 73:19,24
12:17 19:24	argue 91:13	assist 24:5 175:6	<b>Avenue</b> 3:13,21	74:1 76:20
56:8 79:7	102:7 103:2	associated 41:6	average 54:9,17	77:20 88:16
104:15,18	105:5 171:12	42:3 45:6 60:4	56:22 75:8,12	89:16,20 119:22
110:25 111:2,17	argued 21:6	127:14	75:13 103:1	121:17 157:17
111:18 113:15	29:21 30:2,5	<b>assume</b> 65:19	107:14 119:16	157:24 158:3,10
113:25 176:14	146:17	111:15	139:8 142:5,7	158:10,20
Appropriators	arguing 115:15	assumed 137:8	142:18,20 143:1	168:25
4:3 11:20	argument 13:11	assuming 50:6	143:1 173:11	balances 88:25
approval 35:23	14:3 25:23 26:2	56:21 68:24	174:4	<b>bare</b> 100:24
approved 21:16	26:3,18 30:7	69:4 127:5	averaging 9:20	101:7 123:18,22
21:20,21,24,25	39:9 102:20	assumption 61:6	11:2,14 13:14	124:15 143:16
33:17,20 35:2,8	105:10 106:18	139:8	13:19 101:18,25	<b>barred</b> 113:16
35:12,20 38:8	107:18 109:8	assumptions	102:2,11 103:9	base 18:12
approving 20:18	110:7	116:25 122:23	104:3 107:2,4	<b>based</b> 9:14 12:3
21:2 22:7 33:21	arguments 14:9	attached 40:22	115:5 117:10	36:24 62:8
33:25 34:4,10	15:18 16:16	54:20	170:16	74:18 75:8
34:13,19,22	27:3 29:23 30:4	attacks 11:10	avoid 114:24	76:16 83:22
approximately	30:21 38:16	<b>attempt</b> 101:19	aware 24:2 43:25	92:2 110:5
43:20	107:12 116:18	101:24 107:9	43:25 56:12,25	111:4 116:17
<b>April</b> 34:11 61:24	arrears 79:24	112:13 123:16	69:15 71:17	117:5 120:5
71:12 122:11	81:24 82:15	attempting 100:5	156:12	124:23 125:21
<b>apt</b> 114:18	113:6	attempts 11:10		127:6 128:22
aquifer 21:5	<b>arrive</b> 29:14	105:13	B	129:16 133:1
	<u> </u>	l	l	

				Page 188
135:14 137:13	79:13	82:10 110:18	hlooks 129.12 21	79:13,14 80:1
138:3,23 139:9	behalf 9:3 16:1	163:21 178:25	blocks 128:13,21 blue 21:22,23	82:9 85:14
139:24 143:10	27:11 39:8	184:8	35:1 43:20	86:12,20,21,22
148:16,20 151:2	105:7 106:25	better 79:23 80:1	121:20 137:15	86:23 89:1,3,8
152:13 153:15	109:15	81:5,22,25	137:16 140:3,7	89:14,18,19,25
153:18 154:1,1	belabor 116:7	82:14,17 115:10	140:9 169:5	90:4,6,13,14
156:3,15 158:13	believe 27:18	146:19 171:18	<b>board</b> 137:11	91:2,3,6,23 92:2
163:6,19 165:9	29:20,23 40:18	181:14	board's 135:23	92:4 100:1
169:15 171:14	57:5 62:9 63:22	<b>beyond</b> 13:6	140:2,10,12,15	102:6,16 104:1
172:24 179:6	100:2 109:1	17:17 66:17	174:18	104:2,5,10
182:2			Boise 1:21 3:8	' '
<b>baseline</b> 73:2	116:24 119:22	74:9 92:24 97:2		105:9,12,25
	120:10,11	161:17 166:2	<b>bold</b> 137:22	107:3,5 112:11
75:7,13,15,18	127:19 163:24 170:14	<b>Bill</b> 182:13	<b>Bonneville</b> 9:25 46:23	115:3 120:6
76:3 106:5		<b>binder</b> 40:19		134:18 135:2
111:10 119:8,9	believed 85:7	70:18	Bonneville-Jeff	146:17 148:15
119:16	benchmark 55:14	<b>binders</b> 99:13	4:11 10:17 14:6	149:1,5 153:20
<b>basic</b> 139:7	55:19 56:23	Bingham 4:17	17:7 43:9 60:25	155:15 160:10
<b>basically</b> 27:18	59:12,23 60:8	9:24 10:16 17:7	150:12	160:13 165:10
30:22 44:17	60:12 168:8,9	43:9 46:23	<b>bottom</b> 37:19	165:15 172:4
45:1,11 46:17	169:22,24 170:3	60:25 62:14	74:6 76:19	177:23,25
47:2 48:3,15,19	170:8 173:8	86:3 123:2,5	108:2 128:17	178:19 179:1,10
48:21 49:4,12	<b>benchmarks</b> 55:7	150:11,22 159:9	129:12,22	179:11,11
50:11,13 54:13	55:18 107:8,10	159:19 180:8	130:20 154:15	<b>breached</b> 10:15
55:9 58:22 60:4	112:9,11 135:1	Bingham's	Boulder 5:8	49:1,2 90:12
62:20 70:9,13	168:4,17	159:21 160:5,10	Boulevard 5:7	103:4 105:18
84:9 88:25	benefit 1:7	<b>bit</b> 95:12 100:10	boundaries	breaches 105:2
115:15 123:8	101:10 131:12	black 121:11	125:15	171:25 177:14
127:5 138:22	143:14,24 145:3	123:6 136:21,21	boundary 121:4	breaching 9:22
145:18	152:6 156:5	141:9,9	Box 4:14,20	86:19
basics 117:2	159:8 169:21,23	Blackfoot 45:11	brass 105:8	break 31:11 66:8
basin 96:3 137:12	180:14,23,25	45:17 63:22,25	<b>breach</b> 9:13	66:11,12 147:16
137:12	benefits 82:17	63:25 64:2,13	10:19,21 11:11	167:20 177:12
<b>basing</b> 163:15	99:3 100:23	99:4 100:20	17:5,14,20	<b>Bricker</b> 5:6 15:25
basis 21:9 36:22	114:22 123:13	124:21 129:14	25:19 27:14	15:25 16:6
42:10 44:9	123:14 129:19	131:19 132:22	28:21,23 29:2,3	<b>bridge</b> 80:25
47:11,22,22,24	129:22,24	134:2,12,18	29:9,12 30:23	brief 22:18,21
62:21 104:18	131:23 132:1,4	148:11 151:21	37:22,25 38:2	23:23 33:10
111:19 134:17	132:8,12,13	175:25 178:19	42:22 43:1,7,8	briefing 20:24
147:2	133:2 134:10	178:20	45:2,5 46:9,12	21:7
began 98:3	142:23 143:24	Blackfoot-Mini	47:6,12,25 48:1	<b>briefly</b> 37:16 86:1
beginning 8:1	145:5 152:8	64:7	48:11,17 49:4,7	bringing 38:12
33:14 35:18	156:3,7 168:23	block 125:4,8	49:11,17,21	<b>broad</b> 82:18
182:3	168:24	128:17,19	51:12 55:20	broken 177:8
<b>begins</b> 33:17 47:3	best 47:18 48:15	129:12	56:10 61:12	Brooke 1:24
į l		I	I	I

				Page 189
104.2.21	hway 27,10	50.19 22 02.7	111.12	122.1
184:2,21	<b>busy</b> 27:10	50:18,23 93:7 camera 93:21	111:13 <b>CCR</b> 1:24 184:21	133:1
<b>brought</b> 29:18 39:14	C		184:23	changing 138:4 Chapter 35:21
	C 3:1 4:1,13 5:1,6	<b>Canal</b> 1:13,14 3:17,18	cell 58:12,16 59:6	characterization
Bryce 182:11	<b>C-o-l-v-i-n</b> 40:13	cancel 134:17	76:19 117:20	12:10
Budge 4:5 6:5	calculate 11:1		176:22	
11:17,18,19	45:4,22,24 61:4	Canyon 5:7		charge 101:21
17:25 18:1,24	148:10 163:9	capacity 57:13	cells 58:7,17	chart 56:18
19:3,6,14 20:2	calculated 43:21	58:6 96:24	121:5,20,21	check 145:8
21:13 22:25	61:15,25 64:3	<b>CAPTION</b> 1:16	123:9,11	chose 62:17
23:3,6,8,10,13	64:20 81:17	<b>capture</b> 145:22	Center 4:7	cite 22:11,17
24:15 25:4,6,11	130:14 135:8	captured 65:19	certain 18:3 30:2	23:21 33:4
26:4,5,14,19	148:21 155:5,6	care 16:14	44:15 105:2,2	cited 21:17 22:20
27:19,22,25	157:14	carry 131:10	107:7,8 114:12	cities 34:10 36:3
29:18 30:4,25	calculates 119:6	carrying 174:19	114:20,21	36:3
31:19,20 32:3,9	129:13	<b>carryover</b> 103:20	115:11 149:1	citing 34:10 35:8
32:13,16,20	calculating 15:15	164:19	157:19,23	36:7
33:1,4,8,14 39:5	128:2 129:1	case 8:3 9:7 19:17	certainly 13:25	City 108:23
39:11 52:5,6	132:22 157:6	20:3,5 21:17	14:11,13	claim 133:25
53:3,6 57:16,21	calculation 44:11	22:5 23:17,21	CERTIFICATE	clarification
57:24 58:3,5	44:13 63:8	29:23 33:19	184:1	31:21
65:5,6 66:3,5,7		34:14 35:25	certified 95:21	clarify 24:18
66:10,13,14	67:17 89:15	42:24 45:23	184:2,3	29:11 46:16
70:21,24 71:3,4	calendar 58:2	46:1 47:18	<b>certify</b> 184:4,10	53:18,22 62:2
74:14,19,24	65:8	97:14 99:1,9	cetera 15:10 31:2	77:8 153:3
75:2,6,20,22,23	<b>calibration</b> 41:10	100:1 102:7	31:3 38:21	180:9
76:2,14,17,18	call 13:2 19:17,17	103:25 104:4,16	110:21	<b>Clark</b> 10:1
77:14,15 78:7	21:17,21,22,23	108:23 109:9	challenge 12:4	clear 21:24 23:10
79:1,4 82:21	21:24 22:1	113:14 116:10	challenging 104:6	27:4 35:7 64:20
83:15 92:22	23:16 24:11	116:12 128:17	chance 26:7	68:21 76:12
103:15,24,25	32:10 33:19	129:2,7 148:24	change 11:10	89:20 100:3
105:10,15 110:4	35:1,7,12,25	153:20 156:11	12:3 13:9,15,21	clearly 13:12
110:10,24 112:8	41:5,6,8 44:25	171:21	39:6 48:9 54:12	104:13
115:17 116:18	57:12 95:9 96:9	cases 8:2 21:21	54:16 58:6,9,11	climactic 142:19
<b>budget</b> 59:10	97:16 100:1	41:23 42:1,16	58:18,18,24	<b>climate</b> 171:19
budgets 97:19	152:17 156:8	42:17 97:12	59:10 60:10	climatic 171:22
<b>buffer</b> 146:2	157:20 159:18	127:16 132:24	63:7,9,10 82:1	173:11
<b>bunch</b> 8:2 107:13	173:7	141:3 148:22	124:19 138:7	<b>close</b> 9:2 66:24,25
115:14	called 30:11 40:1	155:6 173:25	164:19 165:16	131:21 159:10
<b>Burdick</b> 1:18 8:4	55:14 89:1	177:17	169:16 176:25	173:4
14:5 31:17,20	94:24 141:15	caught 113:5	changed 57:9	CM-MP-2009
51:25 94:9	182:23 183:4	<b>cause</b> 40:2 61:1	58:16 146:18	35:3
<b>Burley</b> 1:10 3:14	calling 121:24	63:7 94:25	165:19	CM-MP-2009
3:16	182:21	142:25 173:18	changes 42:13	35:9
business 95:5,6	<b>calls</b> 33:19 38:9	<b>caused</b> 67:14	45:21,25 57:12	CM-MP-2009
		l		<u> </u>

				Page 190
33:22	<b>column</b> 65:7 73:2	116:17	90:11	connection 34:25
CM-MP-2009	73:3,5,10,11,19	commercial	complication	35:6,6,11
34:1	73:24 74:1 75:6	105:21	168:19	167:17
CM-MP-2015	75:16 88:16	commission	complied 168:1	conservation
36:8	89:14,15 118:15	184:25	comply 12:14	12:18 16:23
CM-MP-2015	118:17 119:14	committee 41:9	component 122:7	56:16 60:18
36:8	129:12 130:23	41:16,17,19	123:17	61:7 62:4 63:6
CM-MP-2015	157:4,16,23	71:12,18 72:2	components	64:5,17 65:9
36:9	158:18 164:20	96:13,14,25	166:25 167:4	67:14,21 68:7
CM-MP-2016	columns 130:11	108:20,23	comprehensive	68:12 69:12,20
1:6 8:3 34:6	<b>Colvin</b> 6:3 39:21	109:13 139:18	28:19	69:24 70:3 73:3
CM-MP-2016	39:22,25 40:12	139:19	con 121:24	73:4,5,9,12,14
36:9	51:9 52:1,11	<b>common</b> 70:19	concerned 19:19	73:20,21,25
CMRs 15:10	53:4,8 66:14	117:18 132:23	19:22 29:24	74:1,3,4,17 75:2
Coalition 3:18	71:4 74:15	146:11 149:17	concerning 12:11	75:10 76:8
9:3 12:9 14:24	76:18 83:14	commonly 11:20	13:14 27:14	77:25 78:13,20
19:16 20:7	92:22 96:21	Company 1:13	30:21 31:3	79:19,23 80:15
21:16 23:16	102:12 107:7	1:14 3:17,18	32:18	80:20 81:6,12
34:9 36:3 41:7	110:18 112:13	compare 100:21	concerns 29:17	81:21,23 82:13
41:25 44:3,5,8	113:3 122:19,23	129:14 135:16	85:5,8	82:14 83:16
45:10,21 62:19	123:1,8 125:17	138:15 176:12	conclusion 84:7	85:9 89:24
91:17 97:16	132:11 134:24	compared 44:19	93:8 174:10	90:11 91:5 92:1
98:10 99:3	138:9 143:7	88:21 100:18	177:23,24 178:8	92:3 99:5
100:17 102:3	182:11	128:14 136:5	178:12,15	100:14,21 101:6
104:8 143:8	<b>Colvin's</b> 135:5	154:22	conclusions	101:12 102:14
145:1 152:17	182:15	comparing	132:17 140:17	104:9 105:13
155:3,24 168:21	<b>come</b> 16:15 37:12	123:24 128:13	144:22 145:17	106:6 119:25
178:10	39:23 44:12	128:16 161:2	conclusive 29:9	121:18,25 124:1
Coalition' 34:18	48:9 54:13 84:7	comparison	32:5	124:11 128:14
Coalition's 20:12	102:25 108:24	128:1 144:10	condition 48:4	128:18,20 130:7
110:13 156:5	119:19 124:15	compelled 13:21	142:5	130:8,12,13
<b>Coalition-IGWA</b>	125:2 131:4	compilation	conditions 43:18	131:10,12
42:4	132:2 143:24	44:14	43:23 53:17	134:10 135:10
<b>Code</b> 35:22	159:15	completely 156:4	55:1 56:22	137:10 140:13
coincidentally	<b>comes</b> 26:7 106:9	177:25	139:9 141:17,18	140:16 142:22
172:18	153:15	<b>complex</b> 116:11	142:8,12,18,19	152:5,24 154:18
Coleman's 176:2	<b>coming</b> 59:4,6	125:16,17 145:7	143:1 170:13	156:10,14 157:2
collateral 17:15	132:13 144:19	182:9	171:23,23 174:5	157:21 159:24
collectively 34:18	comment 36:16	compliance 10:14	conduct 105:7	161:20 163:25
77:3 98:18	commenting	13:2,16,22,25	<b>confirm</b> 118:11	164:20 166:23
<b>color</b> 123:3	30:25	20:20 91:10	confusion 112:8	167:3 168:13
Colorado 5:8	comments 39:9	107:3 153:19	conjunctive	169:12,17 170:9
40:15 97:20	50:16 51:2	complicated	21:20 96:3,6	170:19 173:12
colored 121:7	106:18,21	54:12 59:2	97:5,21 132:24	175:2,22 177:5
	l			

				Page 191
conserve 69:16	Continued 1:16	127:4,11,12	103:1,8 132:22	currently 40:14
112:18	4:1 5:1	130:17 148:18	151:2	152:16 154:7
conserved 55:5	continues 34:9	149:3 150:18	crediting 90:10	customary 23:25
69:10 77:3,24	<b>Contor</b> 182:12	151:25 152:7	178:21	24:8
78:8 124:1	contract 30:8	154:24,25	<b>credits</b> 125:19	cut 63:13
128:22 132:6	102:5,7 105:24	157:21 158:7,8	148:20 161:12	CV 40:22
consider 12:21	109:10 114:5	158:20 159:3,6	cross 53:1 138:8	
54:9 106:11	115:10,13	161:1 162:12	147:13,22	D
110:14 111:4	contrary 11:5	167:23 168:7	cross-examinat	<b>D</b> 6:1 7:1
115:23,25 116:5	contrast 35:24	169:6,7,10	6:5,6,7,12,13	<b>D-a-v-i-d</b> 40:12
considerable	122:21 176:12	171:16,24 176:4	52:14 53:2	daily 47:22
13:11	contrasting	176:23 177:5,6	76:10 83:12	damage 105:4
considerably	123:20 124:7	177:6,9,10	86:6 103:15	109:9 110:17
55:14	contribution	178:22 179:18	133:8 147:12,25	damages 102:9
considered 26:23	54:14 151:1	179:19 180:15	180:2	102:13 104:13
101:18,18	conversation	181:1,2 184:7	cross-examining	105:19,23,25
104:23 111:3	66:2	correctly 58:17	112:14	106:2,12,13,16
considering	convoluting	166:19	cross-talk 24:13	111:23,24
12:20 25:17	107:25	correlate 33:10	26:11 57:25	dark 54:8
consist 32:21	<b>copies</b> 36:11	counsel 14:9	78:2 110:15	<b>dashed</b> 137:15
75:4	94:12 117:19	101:22 116:18	144:5 163:12	138:6
consistent 18:22	<b>copy</b> 19:9,10	133:9	172:7 179:14,23	data 42:12 44:10
62:24 88:2	<b>corner</b> 40:19	counted 65:23	180:21 182:19	44:20 60:3 72:4
166:7	corners 109:7	102:21	crosscheck 44:13	72:4,6,22 119:1
constraints 82:7	cornerstones	country 97:18	crux 85:1	120:2,17,18
consultant 44:7	25:22	<b>couple</b> 8:13 12:15	<b>CSR</b> 1:24 184:21	122:2 125:4,8
96:17,18,23	<b>correct</b> 15:8 25:2	57:22 83:11,20	184:22	128:13,21
consultants 96:22	25:4 26:4,19	92:21 163:23	cumulative	163:15 172:25
consultation	27:21 30:25	<b>court</b> 8:9 9:16	129:18 131:11	<b>date</b> 1:19 57:14
163:16	32:1 40:20	10:4 11:12	143:18,20	105:3
consumptive 97:7	41:16,21 43:12	15:22 16:15	180:12,18	<b>dated</b> 33:22,25
contained 27:17	54:1 59:13	22:19 27:20	<b>cure</b> 17:14,19	34:5,11,14,19
28:15 127:14,22	74:23,24 76:24	33:3 52:17	25:19 28:21	34:22 71:12
contend 92:8	83:23 88:24	93:15 101:25	29:15,25 30:23	149:13
contends 74:11	89:5 90:16,20	102:23,23 110:6	37:21,24 38:2	dates 163:14
contention 155:1	91:21 99:18,23	184:3	42:25 43:1 47:5	<b>Dave</b> 125:13
169:11	99:24 100:1	<b>court's</b> 10:7,10	56:10 81:10	135:4 143:7,17
contested 29:23	101:8 102:1	11:5 103:7	103:22 148:15	176:2,9
34:14	118:13,14,18	<b>courts</b> 115:4	<b>cures</b> 47:17	<b>David</b> 6:3 39:21
<b>context</b> 14:11,15	119:3,18,20,21	coverage 48:18	curing 90:6	39:25 40:10,12
37:7 83:24 96:6	119:25 120:1	covered 127:21	curious 148:7	71:4 182:14
100:7	122:5,8 124:17	covers 51:6	156:25	day 110:8 116:12
continue 111:14	124:18 125:3	creature 31:5	current 40:16	144:16 146:3
143:23	126:1,14,22,25	credit 11:2 89:23	48:6 98:1 137:2	181:25 184:16
	l	l		

				rage 192
days 27:10	deficient 113:1	10:6 18:3	designate 56:3	different 30:12
deal 37:10 45:18	<b>deficit</b> 61:7,8,9	department 1:1	designated 123:6	33:2,20 49:12
48:13 70:10	62:3,13 63:6	1:20 3:3,5	detail 91:11	49:13 54:12
164:24	64:5,10,17,21	20:10,15 21:19	<b>detailed</b> 48:19,21	63:3 90:15
deals 114:19	65:9 67:14	22:7 23:15,25	determination	102:25 104:4,7
dealt 48:2 104:1	68:12 79:18,19	24:23 25:24	9:18 17:10,11	104:10 108:21
decades 46:24	80:22 82:13	26:15 30:2,13	110:17 172:4	131:18 132:24
49:14 80:7	113:10 119:11	31:2 33:17,20	determinations	135:19 136:4
<b>December</b> 34:23	120:5 123:10	35:2,8,12,20,22	11:13	137:19 162:18
148:9	124:16 129:1,17	35:24 38:8,12	determine 15:19	171:8,22
<b>decide</b> 110:25	129:25 130:3,10	42:8,16 44:21	84:14 107:3,4	differently 58:16
111:16 113:23	134:1,2,5 135:8	57:8 67:20 68:3	119:10 133:2	172:9
decided 10:12	136:2,11 143:14	74:23 81:20	179:11	difficulty 182:16
28:13	144:1 145:14	86:17,23 100:16	determined 17:11	dincuity 182.16 dip 141:2
<b>deciding</b> 115:20	150:23 159:22	106:9,10 122:4	84:7 85:14	direct 6:4,11 40:8
decision 10:4	160:5,20 164:16	137:5 153:16	102:1 130:24	43:2 63:20 74:9
11:6 13:6,13	165:11	174:21	172:2	88:15 95:2
14:13 21:10	deficits 63:2 70:6	department's	determining	133:8 135:6
26:6,17,21	89:3,8 125:18	12:2 20:16 21:2	14:22 15:4,12	145:17,19
31:22,23 37:19	134:17 144:12	24:3 32:20	104:1,2	164:23 165:3,6
76:15 104:6	145:3 159:14	38:21 97:25	<b>developed</b> 44:1	165:7,19,20,21
106:21 109:14	164:13 176:13	102:12 140:17	100:12,13 127:5	165:24 166:1,5
	defined 27:17	174:7,22	141:16 144:9	166:8
110:6,11 113:22 153:25		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	84:18 142:13 172:3	depend 63:10	<b>developing</b> 24:5 123:20 136:24	<b>direction</b> 18:23 84:12 173:21
<b>decisions</b> 10:8,11 14:10 20:25	<b>definition</b> 45:14	<b>dependent</b> 15:1 150:19 160:2	168:23	184:6
	141:23			
21:10 22:9,11		depending	development	directly 11:5
22:13,17,20	delay 47:15 48:13	129:25 159:11	24:6 41:10 96:15	43:11 138:15
24:1,12 153:19	<b>deliveries</b> 165:19	161:8,9		director 10:14
<b>decline</b> 45:7 61:2	165:21,25 166:5	depends 178:6	developments 9:6	12:18 13:2,19
61:4,11 63:8	166:8,9	depletion 97:22	deviate 173:18,20	14:12 16:14,20
81:17	<b>delivery</b> 19:16	depletions 96:5	deviates 174:1	25:25 26:8,10
declined 172:18	21:16,20,22,23	170:5	deviation 142:25	26:22 27:16
decrease 130:4	21:24 22:1	deposit 105:22	deviations 15:7	29:1,1,2 36:6
decreased 55:12	23:16 33:19,19	depth 129:17	diamond 54:19	38:20 45:2 93:2
63:14	35:1,7,12,25	derived 72:15	diamonds 55:8	102:1,22 104:2
deducted 119:10	38:9 67:23	describe 40:24	<b>difference</b> 73:20	105:12 108:5,6
deducting 129:4	152:23 164:23	41:2 42:18 43:4	101:10 117:10	108:22,25
deemed 32:11	165:3,6,7 166:1	43:15 44:16,24	127:7 128:2	111:11 112:6
deficiencies 105:2	denied 14:24	46:14 47:4	129:13 135:7	115:23 116:5
<b>deficiency</b> 16:24	30:24	100:10 116:23	161:3,9,13	124:24 131:4
103:21 150:4,17	<b>Denver</b> 40:15	143:4	173:13 179:19	150:3 153:5,7
157:20,24	deny 38:25	described 55:8	differences	156:9 158:9
158:11 159:9	denying 9:17	123:1 143:6	125:13 170:20	168:1 172:2
	I	1	I	I

1				Page 193
director's 9:18	166:19	district's 12:18	75:17 76:2	153:9 170:19
10:5,13 11:11	distribute 62:17	12:24 73:25	118:12,16,19	179:21 180:4
11:12 13:6,13	62:25 91:20	74:2 89:13	119:1,4,7,16,20	door 104:11
28:5,17 29:3,7	distributed 45:5	92:23 159:3	120:18 125:6	105:6
29:12,13 38:19	61:13 122:11,13	166:22 167:2	126:4 151:24	dots 121:11 123:6
*				
43:6,7,12 72:9 72:12 93:10	123:10 137:13	district-wide	163:3 178:10 diversions 48:16	141:9 downstream
	distributes 91:18	62:20 176:21		
101:2 102:24	distributing	districts 9:12,19	75:9,13,13	45:17
104:6 105:16	62:22 122:16	9:22 10:15,25	119:17 121:13	drastically
148:25 149:13	DISTRIBUTI	11:15 14:21	151:15 161:21	173:19,20
153:18 154:6	1:4	16:13,22 17:9	162:1,7,7,8,10	draw 90:24
disagree 12:10	district 1:8,9,10	43:9 45:6 49:1	179:17	<b>drilling</b> 109:21
176:7	1:11,12 3:10,16	49:19,21 55:5	divert 151:7	<b>drop</b> 131:16,23
disagreement	3:16,17 4:11,17	56:21 61:1,8	diverting 120:23	139:6 141:4
109:17	5:4 8:17,18	62:25 64:16	<b>Docket</b> 1:5 33:22	142:11 174:16
discretion 106:10	9:16,22 10:4,7	68:17 69:15	34:1,5 35:2,8	drops 142:7
discuss 31:10,12	10:10 11:5,12	70:3 71:12 77:2	36:8	<b>drought</b> 141:15
49:8 52:1 63:19	12:13 14:6 16:2	77:20,24 78:19	document 18:14	141:16,20,24
110:9 139:5	16:15 17:5 33:3	79:18 80:15,19	20:11,16 21:1	142:12,14
141:7	34:13,21 40:6	81:6,21 83:17	28:14 30:15	172:21,22
discussed 55:17	48:20,22 61:14	89:24 90:12,15	33:23 34:2,6,12	173:18,25
68:13 96:14	62:14,16,18	91:4,25 103:5	34:20 71:10	droughts 145:20
97:24 98:5	68:25 69:4,10	108:22 112:18	74:22 78:3	<b>dry</b> 136:9 139:5,9
120:16 127:20	69:21 73:1,6,8,8	121:9,10,13	108:18,19	141:1,23 142:1
130:11,15	73:15 75:9,14	125:14 130:19	document's 109:7	142:8,19,24
136:13 138:8	76:4,6,23 78:8	131:3,21 137:3	documentation	146:2 173:23,24
discusses 139:12	80:5 82:12 86:4	144:13 148:15	17:6 18:19	174:15
discussing 47:12	91:8 92:2,9	149:1,2,5	documents 18:25	dryer 171:23
66:14 99:22	93:3 101:25	150:16 152:3,10	19:11,15,20,22	<b>due</b> 135:2
discussion 13:12	102:23,23 103:7	154:13 157:9,19	20:2,4,22 21:14	duly 40:1 94:24
dismiss 30:3	118:21 119:15	157:23 158:2,11	21:18 25:21	Dunn 5:5
38:13,15	120:25 123:2	158:14 163:17	27:19 28:4,15	<b>duties</b> 42:14
dismissal 31:3	124:4,6,7 125:6	164:5 167:22	28:16 30:20,21	<b>Dylan</b> 4:18,19
dismissed 25:24	125:7,9,15	168:16 170:10	31:25 32:3,6,10	86:3 180:7
dismissing 23:15	126:6,17 127:18	170:16 171:25	32:18 33:9,10	dylan@dylana
34:14 36:7	127:24 130:21	172:10,14 175:3	33:11 35:4	4:22
dispose 11:23	131:2,6 143:10	176:1 177:20	37:20 39:3 77:9	
<b>dispute</b> 22:5,22	143:13 147:24	179:5	77:10 98:12	E
23:19 108:7	150:21,24	districts' 170:15	<b>doing</b> 24:16	<b>E</b> 3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1
disputed 108:4	151:25 154:22	173:12	29:13 79:24	5:1 6:1,2,2 7:1
<b>distant</b> 131:25	157:6 159:9	divergence 152:7	82:16 96:1	7:2
distinguishes	169:12 176:15	diverse 76:7	113:6 114:20	earlier 96:21
21:4	177:9,11 178:7	diversion 71:13	115:7 129:5,9	170:25
distinguishing	180:8	73:2,16 75:3,4,7	135:15 144:10	<b>early</b> 143:23
	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 194
<b>East</b> 3:7 4:7	ampley 172.5	aggam ag 49.22	27.4 6 20.1	154:18 156:10
eastern 41:9,13	<b>employ</b> 173:5 <b>employee</b> 184:11	essence 48:23 essential 72:18	37:4,6 38:1 51:21 76:16	157:21 159:24
	enhancement	essentially 47:3		161:12,19
52:13 96:10,12	21:5 35:19		101:20 104:8,15 104:17 106:1	161:12,19
121:6 139:17		47:20,24 48:25		
141:19 145:24	enter 17:4 114:4	54:15 89:17	111:1,17,20	170:19 172:11
159:10 167:14	<b>entered</b> 9:15,16	99:2 100:12	113:14,22,24	178:15
edge 159:5	11:6 46:18	101:9 127:23	116:4 147:7	excessive 79:8,16
education 40:25	98:11 109:19	128:25 129:16	182:22,23	exclude 14:1 24:5
educational	182:2	133:25 174:20	evidentiary 18:12	excluded 32:22
95:13	entire 18:18	179:8	evolve 103:11	37:4
effect 12:22 14:1	61:14 62:18	establish 22:5,21	exact 54:3 128:21	<b>excluding</b> 111:19
15:16 26:17	123:9	23:18	159:15	excuse 8:19 15:23
56:5 58:25 61:9	entirely 135:2	established 43:17	exactly 16:11	17:3 21:22 32:4
63:13 64:6	Entities 155:24	170:23	37:17 55:23	41:12 50:1
68:11,11 69:5	entitled 103:8	establishing 44:6	101:19 102:19	53:14 65:25
69:23 70:4,5	<b>entity</b> 158:19	estate 114:5	113:5 115:2	67:22 68:11
78:9,12 115:6	environmental	115:9	119:12 127:16	70:19 71:20
123:21 135:9	96:7	estimate 102:13	159:12,14 166:4	80:14 85:22
137:3 146:17	equal 49:2,3	163:21	172:6	93:15 94:19
160:16 178:24	54:14 124:8	estimated 163:14	examination 6:4	101:14 111:21
179:22 180:13	equities 12:21	175:24	6:8,11 40:8	114:16 115:12
180:17,23	equivalent 67:22	estimation 74:21	53:22 63:20	147:14 163:13
effective 26:13	67:23 137:20	109:11	92:19 95:2	<b>excused</b> 181:5,8
68:9 79:14	170:3	et 15:10 31:2,3	103:20 108:15	exercises 169:5
effects 68:17	<b>err</b> 29:1	38:21 40:6	147:22	<b>exhibit</b> 7:3,4,5,6
69:11 161:12	<b>ESPA</b> 42:9 56:14	110:21	example 62:14	7:7,8,9,10,11,12
efforts 85:9	57:2 159:5	evaluate 89:13	105:20 111:22	7:13 40:22
173:12 175:2	<b>ESPAM</b> 41:11,14	evaluated 44:8	114:5 123:2,22	42:18,20 43:3
<b>either</b> 69:24 96:6	45:3 60:24	45:8 167:25	124:9,23 132:6	51:9 52:1,18,18
100:24 112:2	96:10,11,16	evaluates 168:1	153:8 165:20	52:21,22,23,25
121:16 122:13	97:24 98:2,5	evaluating	169:1	53:10 71:5,21
124:4,13,16	117:3 121:4	153:19 165:15	examples 46:11	72:23 77:6,15
128:13 129:2,16	131:17 132:24	evaluation 97:5	158:15	78:24 86:25
130:3 133:1	133:1 135:16,16	173:22 178:13	exceed 55:7	87:8,10 90:24
137:12 142:5	136:5 137:2,2,8	evenly 122:11,13	161:20	94:19 99:12,13
143:10 155:9	137:15 138:16	122:15 123:10	exceeded 73:25	117:18,18,20
159:15 161:8	138:16,20,21	153:11	83:17 169:24	125:23 126:11
electronic 181:7	139:4 142:17	event 105:25	exception 144:14	126:20,23
eliminated 13:19	148:21 153:12	everybody	exceptions 26:8	127:10 128:5,9
<b>Elisheva</b> 4:6 25:6	155:8 169:6,9	132:21	excess 56:8 68:6	133:20 136:18
elisheva@racin	170:24 171:5,15	<b>evidence</b> 9:9 11:9	68:16 81:12	141:7 144:4
4:10	especially 182:14	12:3,24 13:23	101:11 112:22	146:8,11 149:10
embarrassed	<b>ESQ</b> 3:6,12,20	14:1 24:2,4	112:23 130:3,8	149:12,17 154:5
151:9	4:5,6,13,19 5:6	29:15 32:23	131:10 151:16	156:25 158:23
	1			1

				Page 195
162:15,21	179:3	69:19 96:9	figures 59:25	<b>five</b> 18:18 20:4
166:21	extended 174:22	97:24 98:11	61:6 62:9,13	171:16
exhibits 25:7	extended 174.22 extent 15:11	118:2 148:22	63:6 64:5 136:8	five-minute
40:20 70:18,19	42:23 44:2	149:4,8 156:6	file 26:8 183:15	147:16
94:11 120:10	extra 46:1	159:2	<b>filed</b> 17:6 19:6	Fletcher 3:11,12
130:15 146:11	extracted 88:4	far 12:15 40:19	20:10,13 35:1	8:16,17,20,21
147:5	extreme 136:9	42:12 75:21	36:4	8:24 9:2 12:12
exist 105:23	142:12	89:15 90:5	filings 37:23	16:9,10 25:16
expect 142:18	extremely 139:4	135:17,24 136:1	final 10:13 20:16	27:1 37:16
182:13	141:25 142:1	148:13,24	20:18,19 26:6	39:10,13,16
expeditious		153:19 155:11	27:12 34:4,10	101:14 102:19
116:14	<b>F</b>	157:16 159:5,17	34:13,18,22	107:1 108:17
experience 41:3	<b>F</b> 118:15	163:1 167:21	35:20 149:13	114:1,2,3
135:14 153:7,8	fact 13:22 22:5	179:3	finally 105:8	Fletcher's 111:6
expert 14:19	22:22 23:19	Farm 35:1	127:9 144:21	fluctuate 142:4
15:13 17:16	25:23 30:5	<b>fashion</b> 106:10	financially	focusing 42:24
42:15,20 51:16	60:10 103:20	115:5,24	184:11	45:9 130:2
52:11,24 53:11	105:6 106:1,12	fashioned 48:24	<b>find</b> 29:7 87:8	foggy 172:6
77:10 78:3,24	113:6 115:20	<b>fast</b> 60:17	90:6	folks 93:18
94:13,15 97:4,9	132:14 135:14	<b>faster</b> 60:17	<b>finding</b> 148:25	<b>follow</b> 26:1 46:24
97:13,20 99:8	135:17 171:10	182:4	<b>findings</b> 11:6,11	following 9:23
99:11 126:21	<b>factor</b> 85:8 124:4	<b>favor</b> 9:17	29:8,9,11	10:16 109:13
143:6,9 146:8	163:9 172:23	feasibility 96:7	<b>finds</b> 16:20	138:25 139:19
146:14 175:15	factors 135:3	feasible 171:3	<b>fine</b> 24:17 51:4	140:5 141:12
182:5	<b>failed</b> 16:22,25	<b>fed</b> 106:6	52:3,10 66:6,7	142:5,8 173:23
<b>expert's</b> 146:18	150:5	<b>feel</b> 78:16 133:13	79:3 106:24	follows 40:2
expertise 95:19	<b>failing</b> 10:16	<b>feet</b> 10:17 62:5,6	112:3 116:9	94:25
95:20,24	fair 37:13 46:8	64:10 67:18	133:14,15	<b>food</b> 34:17
experts 15:12	99:21 151:15	75:18 151:18	<b>finish</b> 66:9 75:23	<b>Foods</b> 35:7
44:5,12 182:8	156:2 162:14	172:15	finishing 144:23	<b>foot</b> 45:7 55:15
expires 184:25	172:16 173:1,4	<b>felt</b> 62:23 109:17	<b>finite</b> 103:20	61:1,4,11 81:17
explain 18:5	175:5	<b>fifth</b> 20:19	<b>first</b> 8:9 12:23	135:7
19:23 28:4	<b>fairly</b> 131:24	<b>figure</b> 43:15	20:3,6,14 25:7	<b>force</b> 24:11 26:17
30.22 37.1 30.3	fallen 113:5	53:19,23 54:18	25:20 27:11,12	foregoing 184:7
54:21 74:20	<b>falls</b> 1:8,14 3:18	56:11,24 59:13	40:1 53:9,15	foresee 182:16
102:11 104:18	3:22 4:15 8:18	59:23 60:3 61:4	60:23 66:21	<b>form</b> 85:12 147:2
116:24 128:3,10	17:2 36:4 75:17	61:17 76:22	67:2 94:24 98:3	format 144:10
170:24	75:17 76:23	77:1 108:20	98:14 99:18	<b>forth</b> 54:23 176:8
explained 53:21	89:11 155:17	120:14,19 123:4	108:14 117:18	<b>forty</b> 9:24
77.7 111.1	Falls-Aberdeen	136:16,19,20	117:19 128:19	forward 39:23
127:2	5:4 16:1,3 17:3	137:18 139:5,11	133:8 137:19	71:8 82:10
explanation	76:5 90:20	139:12,15,16	153:25 162:23	85:11 107:17
25:13	150:10	140:4,15 151:8	169:24 170:1,3	108:11 110:18
extend 66:17	<b>familiar</b> 8:8 42:8	169:2 174:8,19	171:16 181:15	131:10 149:3
		I	I	I

				Page 196
150:17 160:8	function 136:3	67:23 69:25	<b>go</b> 22:10 24:11	116:24 117:17
175:4 182:10	142:12	70:5 78:14	26:10 28:4 33:3	125:4,22 126:10
found 10:14	fundamental	81:17 100:20	48:8 51:23	128:8 129:19
16:12 28:18	105:24	117:12 129:24	61:17 71:1	131:8,16,18,22
85:14 86:17,23	<b>further</b> 14:3	132:9 134:2,12	73:10 82:1 83:1	131:23,25 132:2
105:1,12,12	16:20 26:2 27:1	134:19,19	83:7 95:12	133:6,9,19
108:5 109:21	38:5 50:16 51:2	144:18 145:5,12	108:20 109:12	142:20,22,25
148:25	52:2 74:20	146:4 148:11	110:17 116:24	143:21,25 146:7
foundation 18:16	76:11,11 82:21	154:17,23	118:5 128:3	146:21 148:5
37:3 74:14	85:21,23 92:13	155:16 156:3	129:18 132:16	149:3,21 150:16
four 9:10 12:7,16	102:18 106:17	164:4 165:22	133:6,16 136:12	154:13,19
16:21,25 18:18	106:25 110:6	168:22,24	136:17 141:6	159:18,21 160:7
21:22 22:23	116:15 147:7	177:13 178:20	144:7 147:17	160:15 170:4
23:14 25:7 28:8	148:18 179:24	gauge 45:17,17	158:18 182:4	171:6 173:18,20
28:10,13 35:2	184:10	gauges 45:16	goal 55:8 56:23	174:1,3 175:13
42:23 51:7	<b>future</b> 46:19,21	general 3:4 58:18	59:12 107:6	174.1,3 173.13
109:7 137:23	48:2 68:20 69:2	82:16 116:25	114:16,20,21,22	182:12
148:8,13 149:15	69:7,13 70:15	generally 40:24	114:10,20,21,22	gold 123:9
150:5	70:16 78:10	41:2 42:18	138:13 168:5	gold-yellow 123:3
fourteen 9:25	81:11,14 84:15	43:15 44:23	goals 43:24 55:25	gonna 94:7
fourth 20:18	84:23 93:4	72:22 97:4	107:8,10,17	117:14 143:3
42:24 53:14	131:11 134:6,14	98:12 100:11	168:17	good 14:7 16:8
frame 14:17	148:19 160:16	116:23 118:2	goes 12:15 25:20	23:12 26:25
41:18 57:18	165:5 178:22,23	110.23 118.2	46:22 65:12	40:3 50:9 53:4
65:13 160:2,3,8	179:3 182:23	158:18 159:1	72:2 74:9 90:5	53:5 85:24 86:5
173:16	177.3 102.23	generated 61:10	115:18,19	88:11 94:2
framed 17:13	G	63:3	136:22 172:25	109:12 170:12
framework 17:12	<b>G</b> 118:17	get-go 60:14	going 8:3 13:15	180:5
47:5 48:10 82:5	gain 45:14 46:17	getting 75:21	14:15 15:17	gosh 94:21
143:17 144:9	63:20 64:21	79:1 102:10	16:11,15 18:9	grant 29:19
frankly 39:1	80:12,23 99:3	105:8 131:12	18:14,17 21:9	grant 23.13 granted 24:11
free 91:15	101:5 123:12,13	160:23 182:16	27:2,4 33:4	graph 43:16
fresh 181:14	124:13,13,14,21	give 45:13 84:11	38:5 39:6 44:23	56:18 174:21
front 3:7 14:12	129:13,16 130:4	87:9 100:9	54:25 70:19	gray 43:18 54:4
16:17 40:18	130:9 132:12,23	103:1 105:20	71:7 72:20 74:8	121:5 125:8
59:25 86:25	134:22 143:8,14	116:22	74:25 76:9	137:18,20,23
94:12 149:18	151:3,5,5 155:2	given 48:6 58:23	82:12 85:9	great 45:18 148:5
frontload 93:3	155:8,19 160:22	60:10 74:22	92:24 103:13,23	149:21
frustrate 24:6	161:12,14	101:21 113:4	105:22 106:1,7	greater 70:6
frustration 111:7	179:22 180:25	145:13 165:17	108:10,12,13	132:2 175:2
full 8:5 17:16	<b>gained</b> 179:21	184:8	110:2,4,7 111:4	greatly 42:14
33:16 51:21	<b>gains</b> 45:9,10,19	gives 101:10	111:14 112:1,2	green 137:25
92:6	45:20,22 46:1	152:18 157:13	112:3,3,8 114:8	138:14 140:20
fully 164:5	64:7 67:15,22	giving 137:5	114:12 115:7	ground 4:3,11,17
<i>J</i> - 12				0
L.				

				Page 197
5.4 0.12 19	140.16 150.10	70.6	52.1 10 57.14	hold 1.6 100.00
5:4 9:12,18 10:25 11:20	148:16 150:10 151:11 152:1	79:6 <b>hear</b> 16:5 29:15	53:1,18 57:14 57:19 58:4	<b>held</b> 1:6 102:22 102:23 115:4
14:6,21 16:2,13	153:4 154:1	50:1 52:2 94:1 148:2 161:23	64:24 65:3,22	help 15:18 18:20 18:20 25:13
16:21 17:9	158:17 159:7,17		65:25 66:3,6,9 66:11 70:21,23	
40:17 41:3,23	163:14 164:11	182:17		51:23 76:11
42:12 43:8 45:6	164:19 166:22	heard 17:20 30:1	71:1 74:7,12,13	168:16 183:2
45:18 47:23	167:21 181:22	56:17 153:25 154:2 172:5	74:17,21,25	helped 169:13
48:16,19,22,25	181:25		75:4,19,21 76:1	170:8
49:18,20 52:12	guidance 9:5	hearing 1:18 8:2	76:9,15 77:8,12	helpful 37:7
55:5,19 56:13	<b>guys</b> 116:10	8:4,19,22 9:1,8	78:3,6,16 79:2,3	81:12 181:10
56:15,20 59:11	Н	9:8,10,10,11	80:1 81:9 82:22	182:22
61:1,8 62:3,13	$\frac{\mathbf{H}}{\mathbf{H}}$ 7:2	10:7,11,20 11:6	82:24 83:1,5,7,9	helping 169:22
62:16,18 68:7	half 31:11 47:13	11:8,17,18,22	83:24 85:16,19	Higgs 163:16
68:17,24,25	63:13,15,16	12:2,12 14:3,7	85:22,24 86:2,5	181:14 182:10
69:4,10,15,21	67:5	14:14,25 15:6,9	86:17 87:4 88:5	183:10,13
70:14 71:12,13	hand 76:19 94:22	15:14,19,21,23	88:8,11 92:15	higher 164:5
73:1,15 75:14	98:9 182:25	16:4,5,7,8,10	93:9,14,16 94:4	177:14,16
77:2 78:8,19		17:10,13,13,17	94:10,18,19,21	highlighted
79:8 80:6 83:17	183:1 184:15	17:18,21 18:1,2	95:1 98:9 99:12	121:20 123:3
86:4 89:13	handle 39:17	18:4,7 19:2,5,10	99:15 101:16,23	hindsight 111:8
95:23,25 96:1	handles 31:2	20:1,24 21:6,7	102:4,18 103:11	historical 44:19
97:5,18 120:23	38:13	21:11 22:24	103:13,25	70:11,11,14
121:8,10,12	handling 30:13	23:1,5,7,9,12,23	104:25 106:17	97:8 99:5,23
122:1,9 123:2	handy 149:11	24:1,14,17,20	106:20,24 107:1	101:22 136:20
124:4,5 127:17	happen 16:11	24:23 25:2,5,10	108:12 110:16	136:22 137:21
127:24 128:23	49:10 56:14	25:12,19,22	111:21 113:12	140:3 141:10
130:19 137:9,10	59:9 79:9	26:5,12,15,20	113:21 114:2	172:21
146:1 148:15	110:13,19	26:23,25 27:6,8	116:7,9 118:7	historically 16:12
152:12 153:11	happened 36:6	27:23 28:1,7,9	120:20,24 121:2	38:21
153:12 157:9	48:8,17 58:18	28:11 29:16	133:5,9,17	history 40:25
159:2,9 161:21	74:10 80:2	31:9,17,21,24	146:20,25 147:7	173:3
162:1,6,7,8,10	104:20 178:3	32:2,5,7,12,14	147:13,17,19	hit 112:10 138:2
166:10 167:13	happening 47:25	32:19,24 33:2,7	148:8 153:24	hold 58:13,23
173:11,13 174:9	happens 47:10	33:13 36:13,17	154:2 158:22,25	holders 155:21,23
175:3 179:5,17	173:19	36:18,20,23	161:23 162:2,5	honestly 149:8
180:8	happy 182:17	37:7,9,14,20	172:5 177:22	<b>Honor</b> 8:16
groundwater	harbor 152:18	38:4 39:18,20	179:24 180:6	106:23
46:2	hard 58:14 94:12	39:22 40:3	181:4,11,16,18	honoring 125:20
<b>Group</b> 42:3 96:25	117:19	41:12 42:21,22	181:22,25 182:3	hopefully 170:18
guess 10:7 39:24	harder 171:12	45:13,24 46:5	182:20 183:6,9	horizon 134:7
41:20 49:6 52:2	harm 102:9	47:11 50:1,7,9	183:13	145:12
54:9 74:9 85:13	hazard 87:24	50:20,25 51:4,7	hearings 28:7	horizontally
87:24 92:24	head 151:9	51:17 52:4,7,15	41:5	137:17
139:14 148:5,6	heading 60:22	52:17,21,23	heart 20:22	horrible 109:14
	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

				1496 170
<b>hour</b> 31:11	153:21	33:15 34:2,7,12	70:15,16 79:8,9	140:1 156:14
hundred 9:25	IDWR 1:5 44:10	34:16,21,24	80:6,11,16,23	164:21,22
hydraulic 139:8	44:13 47:22	35:4,10,14,16	81:2,7,11,14,16	165:21 166:12
152:4 174:5	61:23 91:17	36:10 44:11	82:13 90:10	166:15
hydrogeology	139:16,21	51:16 55:2	96:5 100:20	includes 43:23
95:21	145:16 156:16	70:18 72:15	140:1 160:25	73:7 89:23
hydrologic 41:9	157:8,17	79:8 81:10	161:21,25	including 24:21
46:11 56:22	IDWR's 89:15	96:22 99:5,23	175:24 179:17	79:9 154:10
96:13 139:17	<b>ignored</b> 103:3,4	100:4,14,22	<b>impetus</b> 170:18	165:4 166:16
142:18 170:12	ignoring 85:6	101:6 114:7	implement 55:22	incorporated
hydrologically	<b>IGWA</b> 11:21	117:5,15 124:14	108:23 120:7	176:19
82:11 159:7	13:8,9,15,20,22	128:14 140:12	160:9 165:9	incorrect 138:22
165:15 173:15	14:9 16:21,25	140:15 143:15	implementation	176:11
hydrologist 95:18	21:3 30:18 32:9	148:12 149:6,7	71:11 139:22	increase 12:18
95:21	33:21 37:23	151:7,11 157:3	implemented	55:6 59:11
hydrology 52:13	44:3,5 51:18,21	169:17	14:21 50:6	130:4 134:12
95:15,22 145:24	53:7 71:17 73:5	IGWA-SWC	56:16 135:22	135:13 140:24
hypothetical	73:9 74:10,22	96:25	170:16	141:4 145:10
105:20 112:19	87:20 89:8,20	IGWA-wide	implementing	169:13,17 175:3
hypothetically	90:14,18 91:2,6	134:17 150:20	167:13	increased 13:3
63:12	91:15,16,18,20	178:5,7	importance 49:8	55:12 168:22
	92:7 93:3 94:24	illogical 107:2	109:15	178:10
I	96:17,18 97:3	illustrates 33:8	important 22:18	increases 164:9
I-G-W-A 11:21	98:3,10 99:12	immediately	24:7 45:10	increasing 146:4
Idaho 1:2,20,21	100:16,21,24	110:20,21 132:8	47:16 49:11	170:2,18
3:5,8,14,22 4:3	101:12,22	<b>impact</b> 45:6,8	90:9 117:8	independent 30:8
4:8,15,15,21	102:15 105:7	46:11,19,22,22	125:19 145:21	index 43:17,21
11:20 35:21,22	109:10,15	53:16 55:1	Importantly	44:1,12,14 45:4
36:4 41:3 184:2	117:13 119:5	64:13 65:10,17	28:25	45:7 53:17,23
184:22	123:21 124:10	65:20 67:15	<b>impose</b> 67:20	54:1,5,8,13,15
ideal 48:3 49:10	124:22 128:10	68:5 80:13,14	improper 14:1	54:23,24 55:11
49:16	128:20,22 129:4	86:10 89:13	improved 135:20	55:17 56:22
ideally 49:9 61:24	130:5,23 132:6	96:7 102:15	140:17	60:7,23 61:2,10
identified 11:24	134:20 143:22	139:12 151:20	improvements	61:16,18,22
19:21,23 33:11	144:13 150:5,25	151:24 152:5,7	41:20	62:4 63:14
33:23 34:2,7,12	153:12 154:18	152:13 154:23	incentive 170:10	69:24 70:4
34:15,20,23	156:14 157:2,5	162:11	<b>include</b> 19:20	78:14 81:18
35:15 36:10	168:20 175:22	impacted 136:9	22:15 76:23	96:23 112:9
46:10 148:9	177:7	171:20	79:15 84:3	134:25 136:10
176:18	<b>IGWA's</b> 2:1 9:4	impacts 45:4,14	118:12 120:2	136:21 140:3,7
identifies 150:3	10:13 13:18	46:17,25 60:23	127:3 131:1	141:15,16,21,24
identify 51:9	16:23 18:3 20:7	63:20,21 65:13	164:13 165:5	142:14,23
158:16	20:12,20 25:23	66:15,17,20	included 90:2	145:11 169:13
identifying	31:22,25 33:6	68:20,22 70:14	103:15 131:2	171:14 172:18
			l	l

				Page 199
172.10 22 25	126.12.21.24	22.11.00.7	12.4 5 14.10	29.2 20.17 20
172:19,22,25 173:6,18,25	126:13,21,24	32:11 90:7 109:24	12:4,5 14:10 17:16 21:6	28:3 29:17,20 32:8 33:11
, ,	127:13,16	irrelevant's 90:8		
indicate 8:7,10 14:25 39:5 50:7	inputting 121:22		25:18 28:10,13 30:10 31:18	judicially 85:15 June 34:1
	inquiries 28:9	irrigate 151:12		
52:17 55:9	installment	<b>irrigated</b> 137:6,9	42:23 84:1,4	<b>junior</b> 70:14 79:8
indicated 8:12	114:17	153:12	103:12 108:8	80:6
28:16 29:18	instance 131:20	<b>irrigation</b> 1:7,10	148:8 149:16	K
37:10,11 38:5	<b>Institute</b> 95:16,22	1:11,12 3:10,16	181:23	<b>K</b> 4:19
38:10 109:5	intend 12:4	3:16,17 8:17	it'd 133:15	<b>Kayleen</b> 3:6 19:8
110:18	intended 43:22	12:19,22,25	It'll 129:21	36:12 147:19
indicating 15:6	54:13 70:10,13	34:13,21 40:6	item 51:6	kayleen.richter
30:7	81:10 82:5	48:24 68:18	items 32:4 100:5	3:9
indication 55:1	intent 109:6	73:7,8 76:23	IWRB's 140:2	keep 47:16
individual 43:19	interested 168:22	120:3 122:11,14	$oxed{J}$	109:20 115:19
44:9 90:15	184:12	126:17 131:3,8	$\mathbf{J}4:5$	166:7
127:17 143:19	interfere 183:1	147:24 154:14	<b>Jaxon</b> 163:16	<b>Kent</b> 3:12 8:16
144:1 149:5	interim 28:7	isolate 59:3 162:9	181:14 182:10	<b>keystone</b> 15:2
158:5 170:16	interject 51:17	isolated 110:14	Jefferson 10:1,1	kickstarted 170:8
influenced	interlocutory	issue 9:10,11	Jefferson-Clark	kind 21:9 22:8
171:11	12:1	10:19,21,23	10:18 17:8	
influences 171:19	internally 149:7	11:4,9 12:7,7,11		25:20 44:11
173:11	interpretation	12:16 13:4,25	43:10 46:24	48:3 49:19 82:6
<b>info</b> 46:6	10:8	14:17 17:11,13	60:25 150:12	84:17 99:13
information	interpretations	17:18,20 18:8	Johns 4:13 14:5,5	107:25 122:21
26:23 42:9 43:5	10:5	25:16 29:10,17	14:8 15:4,8,11	123:18 125:7
68:1 115:23,25	interpreting	37:21 38:18	15:15	128:7 136:17
116:6 157:1	28:14	42:24 51:12	<b>joined</b> 41:8,15,17	139:15 157:11
162:24 163:6,19	interrupt 57:15	56:1 74:11	joint 36:5	170:5,7,25
182:1	94:6	79:25 85:13	judge 13:5,5,8,12	174:2,20 181:19
initial 53:22	interrupted	89:18 90:3,12	21:8 26:22	kinds 111:12
156:13	38:11	101:23 104:7	27:13 28:22,25	know 18:10 19:8
initially 130:12	intervene 8:6	105:1 108:6,8	103:18 115:25	22:10 25:7
<b>injury</b> 14:22,25	14:4	108:10 109:6	judgment 9:15,17	37:19 47:12,14
15:2,7,9,11,18	introduce 101:20	111:16 112:10	10:3 11:7,12,23	51:18 53:21
84:14 85:4,6,10	introduction	112:12,14	12:6 20:24 21:7	55:23 63:16
85:17 86:10	99:18 133:23	113:15 115:2	22:18,20 23:23	64:16 66:23
107:22 108:1	introductory	116:7 148:13	25:23 28:12	67:1 74:10
injury-based	38:6	<b>issued</b> 10:4 14:13	29:5,6,22 30:4,7	80:12,24 90:8
107:22	involved 9:23	18:2 19:16 20:4	30:12 33:6,16	91:10,13,22,23
<b>input</b> 28:10 58:10	41:10 44:2,5	20:20 23:16	35:15 37:18	98:4 100:4
119:12 159:13	91:17 96:15	25:8 35:20,22	38:16,23	101:6 110:7,20
<b>inputs</b> 117:4,15	involves 98:9	35:25 36:6 57:9	judicial 18:8,11	113:6,10 114:4
119:2 120:2	irrelevant 25:21	issues 9:15 10:12	18:13,14,25	114:11 115:6
122:9 125:1,25	29:20 31:5	10:20,22 11:23	19:24 27:20	119:5 120:7
	<u> </u>	l	l	l

				rage 200
122:22 124:15	leading 29:5	line 34:7,12,15,15	120:20 125:19	145:18 148:20
128:11 131:9,11	46:23 136:24	34:20,23 37:19	131:17 139:24	149:16 151:4
132:10,20	172:9	43:20 54:8	145:2,8 176:15	152:7 155:8
136:10 138:23	leads 48:23	70:24 74:8	176:17	156:7 159:11,22
142:3,15 143:25	led 57:4	83:18 108:2	locations 79:15	160:17 161:6
144:12,13,17	<b>left</b> 40:19 65:7	125:8 128:19,25	118:19 121:12	169:2 170:15
145:4,6 151:6	72:25 75:7	129:23 136:12	123:5,24 124:3	173:24 174:3,3
152:16 153:16	157:5	136:21 137:16	124:10 125:15	174:14 177:10
154:12 155:4,9	legal 84:3 93:8	137:20,23,25	128:1 131:24	178:5 179:9
160:12,14,17,24	legally 167:18	138:6,14 139:3	140:1 159:15	180:16
164:10,22,23,24	lender 115:18	140:7,9,20	163:10,14	looked 19:11,11
164:25 165:1,8	lengthy 182:14	141:9 169:5,8	long 19:7 27:9	19:13 46:18
165:8,20,23	let's 28:2,2 63:12	171:15,15	57:5 67:12	63:1 83:16
166:4,18 167:18	81:15,15 98:8	lines 31:25 32:4	105:3 111:14	84:15 108:15
170:6 171:7,17	98:25 120:9	33:23 35:4,10	132:3 142:20	135:9 149:7
170.0 171.7,17	128:3,17 136:12	35:15 36:11	174:3 181:20	153.9 149.7
173:17,24	136:18 141:6	54:4 137:18	long-term 46:21	160:23 167:14
174:13 170:12	level 55:6,15,19	140:6	46:25 68:17	168:5 169:15
178:23,25 182:6	59:5,11,18 60:7	list 17:10 19:7	longer 60:1 132:3	171:2
knowing 135:16	60:17 91:9	27:24 163:17,19	138:10 160:1	looking 53:13
knowledge 91:7	109:20 111:8	listed 10:20,22,25	170:5	67:2 84:6,22,22
93:2	114:8,9 124:19	21:1 25:7 31:25	longterm 79:9	85:10 97:6,19
known 11:20	134:23 138:9,18	32:3 35:4,9	80:5 84:9	99:17,19 100:19
34:17	170:2	43:3,8 44:6	152:21 166:23	110:11 117:10
34.17	levels 42:13,13	43.3,6 44.0 84:9	167:3 168:15	118:10 123:13
$\overline{\mathbf{L}}$	44:15 54:5,25	lists 16:24 70:18	look 19:12,12	123:15 129:2,5
L 3:20	56:15 57:6 60:5	150:4	39:1 55:11	130:1,5 134:6
labeled 40:20	117:11 134:13	litigated 102:20	58:19 61:17	143:9,12 144:16
71:10 73:2,3	139:2 140:18	103:6,12 115:2	62:12 64:9,12	144:17 150:20
77:16 89:2	145:5 146:4	litigation 30:16	67:13 72:25	150:24,25 151:2
lag 48:6	168:20 170:22	106:7 110:23	74:6 75:6,16	151:10 156:13
laid 143:7	173:13 175:3	111:14	76:18 79:4 80:8	157:16 158:14
Lakes 21:22,23	light 9:6 32:17	little 37:16 54:11	82:7 83:21 84:8	158:15 160:3,7
35:1	54:4 125:8	64:17 95:12	84:13 85:4 89:7	160:8,12,16,24
lands 137:9	limine 9:5 25:20	100:10 172:6	90:25 91:10	160:25 161:3,9
largely 106:6	37:11 103:10	181:25	103:7 109:6,25	161:11,13,16,16
law 3:11,19 4:18	limitations	live 13:25	112:1 114:6	164:12 174:14
28:13 40:6	182:21	locate 163:21	123:2 124:18,20	175:18 177:2,7
lawyers 18:20	limited 9:10 56:7	located 159:3,9	132:4 134:4,18	173.16 177.2,7
lay 182:13	85:7 90:13 92:2	location 1:20	134:21 135:20	178.3,0,7,17,24
laying 143:7	145:21	48:16 49:7,11	134.21 135.20	180:13,24
lays 175:8	limiting 36:17	49:16,17,20	136:18 140:20	looks 55:13
lead 47:20	linchpin 116:11	90:9 118:19,21	142:16 143:19	100:13 143:18
leader 40:17	116:11	119:8,11 120:18	142.10 143.19	144:8 152:4
	110.11	117.0,11 120.10	177.1 143.11,12	177.0 132.7

171:17 177:4	marking 136:21	measure 13:10,16	<b>million</b> 151:13,14	12:24 17:1 20:7
<b>lose</b> 107:11	marks 54:19	13:21,24 43:21	151:16	20:12,17,20
lot 52:9 70:21	<b>Marten</b> 3:19 40:6	53:15 108:19	<b>Milner</b> 1:11 3:17	21:3,15,19,25
111:14 170:15	marvel 181:7	measured 49:23	45:12,18 63:22	22:7 23:15
171:1 176:25	master's 95:15	50:5 54:25	63:25	27:18 28:15,20
182:3	match 126:5,7,20	75:11	mind 47:16 70:22	28:20,24 29:24
lots 182:24	127:17 156:24	measurement	80:3 105:14	29:25 30:1,3,3,6
<b>low</b> 174:1	material 113:16	47:8,9,19 50:10	<b>Mini-</b> 63:22	30:10,19,23
lower 59:19,20	127:22	50:11 91:9	Minidoka 1:12	31:2,4 33:18,20
134:3 137:12	matter 1:4 2:1	measurements	3:10 8:17,23	33:21,25 34:5
171:12 174:11	11:7 18:12,19	43:19 44:18	64:1,2,13 99:4	34:11,14,18,19
174:12,24	28:13 31:4	60:5 166:24	100:20 124:21	34:22 35:2,8,13
<b>lowest</b> 173:2	39:14 40:7 98:9	167:4	129:14 131:19	35:13 36:1,2,4,5
<b>LRE</b> 40:15	99:25 116:10,16	measures 61:23	132:23 134:2,12	36:7 37:22 38:1
lunch 66:12	181:20	89:24 90:11	134:19 148:11	38:8,13,14,14
93:17	matters 41:3	112:12	151:22 175:25	38:15,22,24
<b>Lynker</b> 95:8,17	52:11	meet 91:4 131:7	178:19,20	43:22 47:5,7,20
	Max 15:25	171:6	minimal 101:8	48:11,14,17
M	MAXIMILIAN	meeting 55:25	minimize 47:25	49:16,18 50:15
<b>M</b> 4:6	5:6	134:25 139:20	<b>minimum</b> 100:24	53:16 54:23
<b>Madam</b> 94:22	mbricker@som	152:9	123:18,23	55:2 62:19,24
181:6	5:9	members 16:25	124:15 143:16	67:24 68:4,8
<b>main</b> 85:1	mean 30:13,17	150:5 151:7,11	<b>Mining</b> 95:16	70:9,12 73:19
<b>major</b> 135:17	32:9 66:25	153:13 154:18	minus 129:15	73:23 74:1
majority 159:20	98:19 107:10	<b>memo</b> 34:9	162:8	76:19 77:19
making 9:2 16:17	109:4 114:24	Memorandum	minute 147:20	79:21 80:4 81:9
47:9 107:11	120:21 132:13	33:5,15 35:14	minutes 66:5	82:6,9,19 84:10
114:7	150:19 151:8	mention 94:7	181:19	88:16 89:16
manage 146:19	152:1,15 153:2	mentioned 33:24	misidentified	91:9 92:10 97:7
management	153:6 155:4,22	59:7 68:10	8:20	97:15 98:13
55:24 56:4	159:12 160:12	116:10 117:15	misled 60:11,15	107:4 109:5
62:20 96:3 97:6	161:2 162:6,13	mentions 29:15	missing 114:4	119:22 132:23
140:21 145:20	163:5 164:8	merely 16:2	mistake 39:2	133:4,4 147:2
168:10 175:7,9	166:3 167:6	met 127:6 152:20	mitigate 79:8	148:16,20,21
175:10	170:14 172:3	171:13 179:20	80:23 102:8	150:6 151:2
manifested 66:21	173:15 174:2,10	<b>method</b> 13:9,15	105:19,25	155:5,12 156:6
map 121:3	175:8 176:9	13:21,24 106:6	106:11,12,16	156:8 157:17
March 1:19 10:5	177:10,11 178:6	methodology	107:21 111:24	158:19 166:25
34:15 61:24	meaning 67:11	19:18 29:14	mitigated 104:12	167:5 169:21
184:16	73:16	97:17	106:2 107:19	170:17,22 173:7
marching 107:16	means 30:14	<b>Mexico</b> 95:16	109:2 150:23	174:9 175:7
marked 36:13	73:24 74:2	<b>mic</b> 71:2	mitigating 70:15	178:18,18
56:24 120:10	119:24	mics 87:5	mitigation 2:3	<b>mode</b> 152:2
147:3	meant 116:17	<b>middle</b> 140:9,9	9:13 10:9,14	model 41:11,14
	l	<u> </u>	l	l

				Page 202
41 20 45 2 22	06 12 00 2	10.01.02.04.0	104 01 100 14	20.21.25
41:20 45:3,22	86:12 90:2	19:21,23 24:8	124:21 129:14	never 29:21,25
46:18,19 56:13	95:24 96:2,4,13	24:10 25:20	131:19 132:22	105:12 177:25
56:14,20 57:2,9	97:6,19,22	27:11,14 28:6	134:2,11,18	new 13:24 57:9
58:6,10,12,12	100:12 116:25	29:19 30:24	148:10 151:21	57:19 95:15
58:15,17,19	117:3 122:6,21	31:22 32:1 33:6	175:25 178:19	139:3
59:8,10 60:4,10	123:1,17 125:17	33:11,15 34:3,8	178:20	nine 9:24
60:16 61:14	127:21 134:15	34:12,16,21,24	necessarily 16:13	nomenclature
64:2 65:15	135:15 137:8,15	35:5,10,14,16	54:17 89:2	86:11
67:17 78:12	138:2,4 139:7,7	36:10,17 37:11	182:25	nonaction 107:20
84:15 88:2	139:16,18,23	101:17 103:10	necessary 39:5	North 1:13 3:17
89:12 96:10,11	142:16,17 143:5	motions 8:13	52:1	158:19 159:2,18
96:16 98:4,7	145:6,8,15	25:14 27:9 39:9	need 26:9 32:14	160:10
100:13 102:12	153:9,17 166:13	move 9:7 133:20	36:23 39:1	Notary 1:25
102:12 117:4,4	167:11,17 169:5	146:8,9	91:25 93:18	184:24
118:12,18,18	169:15 170:24	moves 46:3	150:23 174:3	<b>note</b> 11:25 60:7
119:2,12 120:8	171:2,4,4,10	<b>moving</b> 85:10	needed 18:22	114:7,13,17
120:19 121:4,5	174:7 176:7,10	100:9 126:9	24:16 46:5	115:17 126:16
121:7,20,21,23	176:11,14	175:4	151:3 178:16	<b>notice</b> 18:3,9,11
122:9 123:7,9	180:22	multiple 33:18	needs 21:10	18:13,14 19:1
123:11,11,14,14	<b>models</b> 101:4	38:8 44:18	26:22,24 179:12	19:24 24:1
123:18,24	128:2 170:21	68:13 155:22	negative 54:20,20	27:20 28:3
124:15 127:5,8	modifications	multiplying	55:15,20 61:19	29:17,21 31:23
127:8 131:17	117:7	124:3	62:1,5,5 74:2	31:24 32:8
133:1 134:3	moment 59:7	multiyear 178:12	88:22,25 89:17	33:12 34:4,7
135:19,20 136:3	118:6 132:16		121:17 137:23	35:16
138:5,8,15,17	monitor 43:17	N	141:22,25	<b>null</b> 120:4
138:20,23 139:9	monitored 49:23	N 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1	157:24 158:11	number 8:18
139:10 142:17	50:5	6:2 7:1	159:16	9:16 12:16
143:1 148:21	monitoring 42:5	name 8:10 11:19	negatives 177:18	18:15,15 29:21
152:2 155:7,8	141:16	40:11,12 86:2	<b>negoti-</b> 153:8	33:22 34:1,5
163:25 165:9	month 112:20,20	95:5,5,7	negotiated 167:9	35:9 41:5 44:19
171:18 173:5	112:21,21,22,25	named 10:15	171:2,12	44:21 46:9 54:3
174:4 175:22	monthly 47:21	narrative 50:19	negotiations 44:3	64:23 67:1
modeled 61:9	122:9,15	50:24	153:10	73:24 74:2
64:6 65:16	months 122:16	narrow 14:17	net 127:18 129:13	75:10 86:19
69:23 86:9	morning 17:23	16:3,6	129:16 130:3,4	87:19 89:4,8,10
101:7 123:4	53:4 122:18	narrowed 84:22	130:9 134:22	89:14,22 90:25
135:5 137:1,3	123:1 134:24	narrowly 84:17	135:13 143:13	109:24 119:8,9
139:21 141:5	181:15	natural 145:24	145:10 151:5	119:24 124:16
151:20,24	<b>mother</b> 170:7	nature 28:19	159:15,16	128:22 129:4
161:21,25	<b>motion</b> 8:14 9:3,4	170:7	161:14 169:16	137:23 151:18
176:19,20	9:14 14:23	navigation 43:1	169:16 178:24	153:15 155:20
modeling 41:9	17:22,25 18:3	near 64:13 79:1	179:22 180:17	<b>numbers</b> 13:1,8
59:15 72:6	19:6,8,9,11,19	99:3 100:19	nets 121:17	19:13 29:14,19
			<u> </u>	

_				rage 203
35:3 36:8 45:1	61:25	23:12,23 24:2	146:25 147:7,13	72:11,19 73:10
45:2,5 47:12,14	observed 139:1	24:14,17,20,23	147:17,19	75:19,23 76:14
48:7 54:19	140:6,8 141:11	25:2,5,10,12,22	158:22,25	77:6,7 78:17,23
61:13 63:13	171:20	26:12,15,23,25	161:23 162:2,5	78:25 79:5
67:11 72:12,14	observers 8:7	27:8,23 28:1,9	177:22 179:24	81:15 82:3,11
75:12 87:22	obtained 43:4	31:9,17,24 32:2	180:6 181:4,11	82:21 83:3,8,25
88:1,3,20,22	87:22	32:5,7,12,14,19	181:16,18,22	84:2,5,11,19
89:1,3,17,17,18	<b>obvious</b> 76:10	32:24 33:2,7,13	182:20 183:6,9	85:3,20,24
89:19 110:20	obviously 25:13	36:13,18,20,23	183:13	86:13,23 87:11
118:11 149:8	47:12 48:8	37:7,9,14 38:4	officer's 9:11	87:17,21,25
155:2 162:19	90:19 164:8	39:18,20,22	11:22 21:8 26:6	88:5,24 90:1,5
167:10 179:3,4	172:20 174:13	40:3 41:12	26:21 31:22	90:14,18 91:1,2
179:6	occupation 40:16	45:13,24 46:5	148:8	91:23 92:12
numerical 96:1	occur 49:7 145:3	50:1,7,9,20,25	official 18:3 24:1	93:24 94:2,17
numerous 30:18	occurred 9:6 49:8	51:4,17 52:4,7	31:23,24 34:3,7	97:2 98:8,17,21
	49:17 56:9	52:15,21,23	35:16	99:16,22 100:8
0	79:16 85:4	53:1,18 57:14	offset 80:16,22	101:4 117:14,24
o'clock 183:10	104:10 107:21	57:19 58:4	81:7,22 82:13	118:23 119:13
<b>object</b> 11:25 74:8	152:6 176:18	64:24 65:3,22	113:2,10 125:18	120:2,12 121:2
85:12 146:14,24	177:15	65:25 66:3,6,9	159:8,18,21,25	122:2 123:16
objected 50:23	occurs 104:1,2	66:11 70:21,23	160:4,13,15,18	124:12 125:22
objection 50:18	124:9 125:21	71:1 74:7,13,17	160:19 165:5	126:15,23 127:9
52:4,16,17 93:7	141:1	74:21,25 75:4	offsetting 15:18	127:13 128:6
101:15 103:14	October 34:19	75:19,21 76:1,9	<b>oh</b> 8:24,24 23:7	130:18 133:19
103:14,23	122:12	76:15 77:8,12	28:1 52:21 65:3	133:21 136:12
106:22 108:13	<b>offer</b> 32:17,21	78:3,6 79:2,3	83:1 87:14	141:6 143:3
110:3 116:17	39:1 52:11	82:22,24 83:1,5	93:23 94:21	148:3,5,13,24
147:1	<b>offered</b> 36:14,15	83:7,9 85:16,19	147:13 156:19	149:10 154:4
obligated 112:23	44:24 46:10,14	85:22,24 86:2,5	176:5	156:9 158:25
obligation 11:1	50:4 51:3	87:4 88:5,8,11	okay 9:1 15:14	162:22 164:1
12:24 16:24	<b>offers</b> 36:21 37:5	92:15 93:9,14	19:2 23:7 24:25	181:16 183:6,8
83:17 92:10	<b>Office</b> 3:4,11	94:4,10,18,19	27:23 28:3 31:9	<b>old</b> 52:8
100:25 101:2	<b>officer</b> 1:18 8:2,4	94:21 95:1	32:9,13,16 33:7	<b>Olsen</b> 4:12
105:24 113:9	8:19,22 9:1,8,8	99:12,15 101:16	37:13 39:22	Olson 4:4
144:11 150:20	10:7,11 11:6,8	101:24 102:4,18	40:3 46:5 52:23	one's 105:25
152:24 153:22	11:17,19 12:2	103:13,25	54:18 55:11	one-year 160:8
166:11 168:15	12:12 14:3,7,14	104:25 106:17	56:11 60:2,19	onward 131:14
<b>obligations</b> 9:24	14:25 15:6,9,14	106:20,24 107:1	60:21 61:17	open 70:18 87:9
12:19 13:3 17:1 73:5 93:3	15:19,21 16:5,8	108:12 110:16	62:2 63:1,6,18	99:11
114:24 150:6	16:10 17:10,14	111:21 113:12	63:18 64:4 65:5	opened 104:11
152:5 166:23	17:18,21 18:2,2	113:21 114:2	65:14,25 66:6	<b>opening</b> 50:17
167:3 178:22,23	18:5,7 19:2,5,10	116:8,9 118:7	66:10,13 67:13	51:2 105:6
observations	20:1,24 21:11	120:20,24 121:2	68:5,10 70:17	opens 137:14
UUSCI VALIUIIS	22:24 23:1,5,7,9	133:5,9,17	70:20 71:6,9,22	<b>opinion</b> 27:13,15
	ı		1	1

				1490 201
27:16 38:20	19:15,17 20:4	<b>P</b> 3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1	33:16 34:25	133:19 146:7
44:24 49:6 56:7	21:2 23:3,14,22	5:1	35:18 38:6,7,7	154:20
68:5 77:10 78:4	24:24 35:20,23	P.C 5:5	53:13,14 60:23	Patterson's 148:6
103:22 109:6	36:10 39:9	<b>P.O</b> 4:14,20	68:16,19 79:6	pay 112:20,21,21
116:16 133:13	156:10	Pacific 22:10	79:13 150:2	112:22
146:19 148:17	original 38:19	packed 99:14	154:5,6,16	payments 114:17
opinions 46:14	135:15 138:16	page 1:16 6:3	162:23	peak 131:22
50:17 51:3 52:2	153:9	16:20 19:23	paragraphs	132:1
opposed 24:8	originally 138:1	20:3 21:2 23:14	162:22	<b>people</b> 107:11
70:15 105:7	outdated 138:22	27:16 28:16	parallel 44:11	170:1
opposing 25:15	outlast 68:18	33:14 35:17	parameters 28:11	percent 64:17
opposite 58:18	outlined 16:21	38:6 43:2,14	76:12	65:20 66:24,25
69:9 173:20	82:8 89:4	47:1,3 53:10,19	parroting 108:15	67:10 138:18,19
opposition 24:9	outlines 149:15	71:7,20 79:12	part 18:5,11	percentage 66:20
oral 13:11	output 123:15	87:11,12 88:9	42:14 44:25	67:6 137:5
orange 138:6,6	155:8	99:18 100:9	50:2 58:19	157:11 160:6
169:8 171:15	outputs 134:6	120:9,11,11,14	60:16 77:10	162:11 163:8
order 9:4,5,9,11	outside 30:12	120:14 124:25	97:13 101:15,16	Perfect 33:13
10:3,6,13 13:2	82:19 101:15	125:1 126:2,9,9	112:15 130:20	93:23 94:17
16:19 17:19	125:15	128:4,8,8,9	155:18 166:14	perform 82:12
18:2 19:18 20:8	over-appropria	130:20,20	174:10	125:14 170:10
20:17,18,19	108:21	132:17 133:22	particular 20:4	172:14
27:13 28:5,12	over-performa	136:14,18	particularly	performance
28:15,17 29:3,5	92:23	139:12 141:6,6	52:13 96:4	13:7,10,18
29:6,7,8,12,13	overall 144:13	143:3 144:3,4	<b>parties</b> 8:7 14:4	28:22 69:19
30:7 33:21,25	overcome 146:16	149:21 154:4,15	20:23 24:5	72:1,10,15,16
34:4,10,13,19	170:5	156:13,17,18,25	25:15 28:9 31:6	77:16 78:18
34:22 35:23,25	overconsumpti	158:22,23	31:9,12,13,19	100:16 117:5,16
36:6,7,24 37:11	15:16	162:15,16,18,20	55:4 57:4 59:8	118:10,25
38:24 43:6,12	Overland 3:13	162:21 169:2	60:11	121:16 125:2,21
51:23 72:12	overlapped	174:8 175:13	partly 170:23	125:24 127:2,11
86:16,25 87:3	121:21	176:3 177:4	parts 45:15 165:1	130:14 139:25
97:17 101:3	overpumping	pages 19:7,14,21	party 10:10 39:12	146:10 156:15
107:3,9,23	62:23 159:19	33:5 44:24	39:13 56:12	157:4,15 166:6
109:12 119:19	overrule 116:16	46:15 158:16	184:11	166:17 177:24
124:15 131:3	116:17 147:1	163:23,24 177:3	<b>pass</b> 114:9	178:21 180:11
148:9 149:13,15	overruled 51:4	<b>paid</b> 113:4	<b>passed</b> 101:17	180:14
150:3 158:9	93:11	paired 47:9	Patterson 4:6	performed 70:3
182:2	overstate 85:10	<b>Palmer</b> 141:15,20	6:11 93:20,23	73:15 76:6
ordered 28:10	overstating 85:5	141:24 142:13	94:2,7,17 95:3	82:15 102:15
101:1,16 153:5	owed 112:20	173:24	99:16,17 102:3	122:22 164:5,7
153:6	owner 111:23	panoply 51:21	116:19,20 118:4	164:9
orders 12:1,1		<b>paper</b> 70:22	118:5,9 120:22	<b>period</b> 66:16 75:8
16:14 18:4 19:7	P	paragraph 16:19	121:1 133:5,18	75:14 99:6
	1	l	l	l

				Page 205
138:17 139:10	55:2 62:19,24	<b>point</b> 16:18 17:21	practice 22:12	31:18 145:17
138.17 139.10	67:24 68:4,8	25:17 36:21	33:17	presentations
periods 145:21	70:9,10,12	37:9 38:4,23	practices 12:21	153:15
permission 94:10	79:21 80:4,4	46:20 47:9	14:15,20	presented 12:3
permit 41:24	81:10 82:6,9,19	54:24 60:6	pragmatic 67:8	36:24 76:16
97:19	84:10 91:9	65:11 68:24	pre-agreement	104:8 111:1
pertain 20:3	92:11 98:13	93:15 105:4	75:8 119:17	139:17,19
petition 10:6	107:22,22 108:3	116:13 117:8	precedent 22:3,6	presents 52:3
petitioner 39:14	148:16 152:21	131:15 132:20	22:16,21 23:4	154:17
petitioners 8:14	155:12 166:25	139:24,24	23:18,24	presiding 31:17
phrase 172:8,9	167:5 169:21	140:14 141:8	precedential	presumably
pick 18:19	170:22 173:7	142:15 164:11	22:14	63:15
picking 44:5	175:7	173:17 174:2	precedents 31:1	pretty 98:2
picture 93:25	planning 96:7	pointed 107:7	preclude 12:23	164:16
pink 138:25	plans 21:3,5,15	118:23	predates 70:12	prevent 47:25
141:11	21:19,25 22:7	<b>pointing</b> 134:8	predict 56:14	previous 29:14
place 47:19 50:10	23:15 30:1,6	points 121:14	59:8	76:13 103:16
118:20 131:21	31:2 33:18,21	136:21 137:16	predicted 138:9	105:6,13 109:2
141:3 142:4	35:2,8,13,13	138:6 168:6	prediction 139:4	116:16,16
159:14,23	36:1,4,7,7 38:2	174:1	predicts 138:18	157:12 176:3
160:21 161:4	38:8,13,14,14	pool 112:23	143:2	180:13,14
162:9 174:12,16	38:15 97:7	portion 30:19	prefer 19:3	previously 35:24
180:18,23	132:23 156:7,8	161:24	prejudiced	39:4 93:10
plain 41:13 52:13	170:15	position 23:20	104:16	96:14 127:3
96:10 121:6	pleading 20:9	26:9 95:17	premises 146:15	133:14 183:13
132:25 139:17	37:25	positive 70:4,5	preparation	primarily 19:22
141:19 145:25	please 15:23	73:24 88:21	42:21	51:15 96:2
155:7 167:14	24:20 32:14	89:4 119:24	prepare 36:22	100:19
plan 2:3 9:13	36:15 39:22	121:16 141:21	prepared 18:24	primary 12:7
10:9,14 20:7,9,9	40:4,10 45:13	141:24 144:14	37:8 42:20	53:15
	51:9 57:15 71:2			
20:13,17,20		158:2,10 159:16	prepay 114:21	<b>principal</b> 115:18
21:4,5 24:24	83:9 87:6 88:16	179:4	115:17	115:19
27:18 28:20,20	93:14 94:22	possibility 175:10	prepayment	principles 108:1
28:24 29:24,25	106:22 128:4	<b>possible</b> 92:4	114:14,16	printed 16:21
30:19,23 31:5	141:7 147:17	171:8	prescribe 111:9	117:21
33:21,25 34:5	PLLC 4:12	possibly 63:4	111:10 115:21	<b>prior</b> 12:11 14:10
34:11,14,19,22	PLLP 4:4	92:1	prescribed 12:13	22:9,11,13 24:1
35:19,19 36:2,5	plot 132:11	post-settlement	135:1	24:12 36:7
37:22,24 38:3	<b>plotting</b> 138:24	139:2	present 5:11 37:6	42:16 65:6
38:23,24 43:1	141:9	potential 47:17	51:21 106:13	74:10 78:7,13
43:22 47:5,7,20	<b>plus</b> 73:16 75:3	68:2 90:6	108:22 113:13	81:6 85:8 92:23
48:11,14,18	76:7 89:10	178:18	113:24 115:24	97:25 103:9
49:16,18 50:15	Pocatello 4:8 36:3	potentially 63:3	116:3 122:18	105:1 109:23
53:16 54:23	<b>podium</b> 70:22	power 25:25	presentation	115:5 156:10
	I	I	I	1

				Page 206
160.14.21	129.2 120.10	nublished 22.0	anontifying 16.1	roto 50.10.20
160:14,21 167:12 180:18	138:2 139:10 143:2	published 22:9	quantifying 46:4	rate 59:19,20
	_ :	<b>pulled</b> 157:3	quantities 43:11	re-litigate 100:5
prioritize 168:25	<b>projections</b> 142:16 174:8	<b>pump</b> 80:2 136:11 152:3	question 17:12	re-litigating 104:20
<b>probably</b> 40:18			27:22 50:2,25	
51:20 66:5	prominently	pumped 47:15	65:7 72:18 77:8	reach 45:9,10,14
84:24 125:12	141:17	68:25 75:24	80:18 83:14	45:22,25 46:17
151:15 177:20	<b>promise</b> 114:8,10	76:4	85:13,19 86:8	55:7,19 63:19
183:4	promissory 114:7	<b>pumping</b> 46:2,18	101:14 107:16	63:21 64:7,13
problem 46:7	114:13 115:17	46:21 47:23	148:6 150:15	64:18,21 65:10
65:5 162:18	<b>proof</b> 32:18,21	48:7 49:2,3	160:4 164:11	66:16 67:15,22
181:11	36:21 37:5 39:1	50:14 56:8 63:2	178:11	67:23 68:11
procedure 12:2	propagate 68:20	68:16 70:11,11	questioning	69:25 70:5
26:16 30:12	69:2,6,12 78:9	70:14 75:10	39:17 74:8	78:14 80:12,23
32:20 55:23	81:3 134:13	79:9,15,16 80:6	83:18 116:15	81:16 99:3,4
procedures 30:3	propagates 134:5	90:9 96:5	questions 17:24	100:20,23 101:5
35:21 38:21	proper 10:8	121:13,18 122:1	53:9 70:25	107:7,10 108:1
56:4	87:10 103:4	122:10 128:23	74:15 76:11	114:20 117:12
proceed 8:11	104:7,9,14	134:1 136:2	82:21 83:11,15	123:12,13
17:23 27:4,5	property 105:21	176:22 178:16	83:20 92:13,21	124:13,13,14,20
31:13 39:7,15	proportion 157:6	<b>pumps</b> 69:4	92:22 93:12	124:21 129:13
51:5 77:13 94:5	proportionate	purely 84:2 85:3	179:25	129:16,24 130:4
147:21	16:22 158:6	85:6	quibble 86:11	130:10 131:19
proceeding 9:23	proportioning	<b>purple</b> 128:21	quick 24:19 86:8	131:25 132:9,12
11:24 12:8 22:8	157:5	purporting 56:3	116:22	132:23 133:2,2
23:19 25:9 26:7	proportionment	<b>purpose</b> 107:17	quickly 131:22	134:2,12,19,19
32:6 38:19 53:7	157:12	purposes 51:13	131:24 180:1	138:11,11,13
56:1 72:6,8	proposal 104:22	67:8 173:7	quiet 83:4	143:8,14,24
112:10,12,15	propose 81:25	pursuant 147:3	quite 39:1 54:11	144:18 145:5,12
proceedings 1:12	proposed 48:20	put 8:15 45:3	92:22 182:12	146:4 148:11
24:3 29:4,22	49:19 50:3	57:20 82:10	quote 145:18,19	151:3,5,20
97:14 184:5	153:21 160:7	104:14 105:22	148:14 173:10	154:17,23 155:2
process 58:1	proposing 145:1	106:1,13,15	quote/unquote	155:8,16,19
processors 34:17	<b>provide</b> 14:11,14	113:22 147:9	89:16	156:8 160:20,22
produce 142:22	148:7	163:22		161:12 165:21
179:5	provided 23:17	puts 82:6 163:18	R	165:25 168:22
professional	72:5 113:19	putting 13:23	<b>R</b> 3:1,6 4:1 5:1	168:24 175:25
95:21 184:4	122:3 163:20	68:1	Racine 4:4	176:13 178:20
progressed 149:9	provides 9:5 37:3		raise 94:22	179:9,22 180:25
profibit 11:10	<b>proving</b> 20:17	Q	168:20	reached 150:9
115:22	provision 84:20	qualified 42:15	raised 14:9,23	156:3
prohibited 116:2	provisions 84:5	52:24	ran 101:4	reaches 101:13
prohibition 11:14	84:12,19,21,24	quantified 47:2	Rangen 21:25	reaching 168:16
projected 174:4	Public 1:25	quantify 99:2	35:12 41:5,8	read 9:4 29:4
projection 135:19	184:24	104:21	97:14	33:9 62:18
projection 133.19	104.4		,,,-,	33.7 02.10
	•	•	•	-

F				Page 207
148:14	166.01 167.11	140.2 150.15	119.16 20 110.4	181:23
reading 173:1	166:21 167:11 175:16 182:15	149:2 150:15 reconsider 18:5	118:16,20 119:4 119:7,20 122:1	regarding 10:8
reads 34:25 79:7	recall 52:1 83:18	36:20 113:22	122:10 125:7	10:13 20:19
103:22 150:4	92:24	reconsideration	126:4 128:23	42:9 71:13
reaffirming 10:4	recalled 133:12	9:17 17:9 19:20	120.4 128.23	regardless 173:5
real 24:19 47:21	recap 116:22	24:10 27:15	153:10 163:1,3	regards 86:8
47:24 49:10	recap 110.22 received 116:18	28:6,8 37:18	166:10	Registered 184:4
107:16 114:5	recess 27:2,6,7,9	108:8	reductions 73:16	regular 114:16
115:9	31:15,16 65:2	reconvene 183:10	75:3,4,11 92:7	rehearing 10:6
realistic 48:6	93:16,17,19	record 8:15 11:25	121:18 129:6	108:5
	' '			reinvolved 41:7
reality 60:12 realize 82:17	110:8 118:8 147:18	13:24 22:3,6,13	167:14 178:11	
		22:19 24:5	refer 38:14 44:23	reiterate 14:8
realized 80:16,23	recharge 71:13 73:16 75:3 76:6	26:24 27:8	98:17,22 99:12 150:2	reject 106:15
reallocated 13:20		32:21 40:5,11		113:13
104:3	76:7 109:20	42:19 51:10	reference 33:5 68:15 72:10	related 55:2
really 16:13,14	118:13,16,20,22	53:6 88:12 94:4		96:25 97:16,18
20:22 25:16	118:25 120:17	106:14,16 118:6	100:7	relating 40:2
48:14 67:5 72:7	121:21,22 122:1	147:20	referenced 20:23	94:25
82:6 83:3 93:25	122:12,17	recorder 22:9	21:5 23:22	relative 152:8
125:16,19	125:10,11,14,20	recording 184:5	33:10 35:14	167:15 184:10
132:23 136:1,1	126:6 127:17	records 18:9	122:16	relaxed 24:3
138:21 141:17	128:23 135:23	recover 57:6	references 153:3	released 98:2
142:11 144:25	137:12,14	70:13 80:6	referred 21:3	relevance 31:1
145:6,8,23	139:25 140:2,11	81:11	29:4 30:11	33:8
159:12 170:6	140:12,15 163:1	recovering 55:10	125:17	relevant 11:4
181:10	163:4,9,13,19	81:13 82:9	referring 20:8	12:20 14:11,22
reason 12:23 13:1	166:11,17 169:1	recovery 70:10	27:12 98:24	18:10 25:18
13:17 14:23	174:9,18	80:4	166:3,4,5	32:6 37:20
22:2,14 38:11	recharged 123:25	redact 104:16	refinements	78:16 104:13,23
39:6 51:22	recharging 17:5	redid 138:4	41:19	111:18,20 113:7
83:21 85:1 89:9	recognition 11:25	redirect 6:8 82:22	reflect 75:12	113:11,16 116:1
90:1 110:22	recognize 11:22	92:19,21	77:23	116:3
113:13 136:1	26:20 71:23	reduce 9:19	reflected 22:3	relies 146:22
146:25 150:11	166:11	10:16 48:15	50:12 88:20	178:12
154:10 167:15	recognized 13:9	49:1,3	126:2	rely 146:15
172:23 177:11	13:15 153:22	reduced 63:17	reflects 75:13	relying 37:24
reasons 12:15,16	156:10	reduces 46:2	regard 12:9 25:3	174:7
29:6 38:25	recommendation	reducing 79:15	26:4 27:15	remaining 11:4,9
135:17	49:6 79:17,22	129:3	31:14 37:15	remedied 68:6
rebuttal 14:20	81:20	reduction 9:24	38:7 50:21	177:24,25
51:11,15,19	recommended	11:13 12:14	51:22,23,24	179:12
132:15 133:7,13	49:22 50:4	50:13 61:15	52:5,16 53:1	remedy 12:17,21
133:16,20	74:16	76:2,7 91:16	75:1 110:1	15:4,12 42:25
136:14 147:10	recommending	109:21 118:13	133:10 147:8	48:10,21,24
		<u> </u>		l

				rage 200
49:7,11,16,20	69:19 70:7	130:15 139:25	resources 1:1,20	retrieving 65:6
49:22 50:4,12	71:11,14,18	146:9,10,14	3:3,5 95:20	returning 111:23
56:8 67:20 68:3	72:1,8,15,16	151:10 153:4	respect 26:21	revenue 145:14
68:9 74:15,15	78:24 80:9 86:9	157:4,15 163:7	respectfully 18:4	review 20:2 27:3
79:7,13,14	86:15 93:10	163:18,20 182:5	respective 17:1	41:10 51:13
81:25 82:4,7,10	94:13 99:8,11	182:9	150:6	84:24 149:11
90:5 104:5,7,9	100:10,11,16	represent 8:10,17	respond 37:12	172:24
104:14,15,18,21	101:15 116:23	43:22 54:16	110:5	reviewed 42:1,12
105:4,18,23	118:25 120:10	117:19 121:8	responded	78:21
106:4,10 110:13	120:11 126:3,10	137:18	172:22	revision 57:15
110:25 111:2,4	126:21 127:9,10	representative	responds 133:25	revisit 10:12 39:4
111:9,17,18	127:14,17,20	141:22,23	response 11:17	<b>Rexburg</b> 4:21
112:17 113:11	128:4,9 132:15	represented	16:9 25:15	RICHTER 3:6
113:15,18,18,23	133:7,20,24	72:23 121:7	33:18 37:15	right 18:7 25:5
113:25 115:6,21	134:1,4 135:5	representing 40:6	38:9 42:21	25:12 27:2 28:1
115:24 116:4	136:8,14,14	53:6 58:22	49:12,13 50:19	28:2 40:19
144:25 150:17	143:6,9 145:1	68:21 169:5	50:24 51:16	41:22 43:13
153:21 155:15	148:7 154:4,8	represents 43:15	55:24 60:17,17	44:17,22 46:13
160:7,10 165:13	154:16 156:13	53:23	131:18 155:14	47:10 54:2,6,7
178:9,25 179:10	156:15 162:15	request 9:8 11:8	rest 97:18	55:9,22 56:10
remember 37:23	162:20 163:5	12:15 20:8 28:6	restate 50:25	57:21 58:3,9
58:16 86:24	166:6,22 167:11	31:21	69:3 80:18	59:4,24 60:9
87:1 91:8 170:1	168:9 172:20	requested 10:10	146:21	61:12,22 63:23
remind 84:25	175:15 178:10	28:8 37:17	restore 107:23	65:11,18 71:1
remotely 94:5,10	reported 44:21	require 67:21,22	114:8	72:3 73:11 76:1
133:12 181:10	50:11,14 70:6	81:21	restrict 11:8	76:9,19,25
rent 112:20,22,23	77:5 78:1 87:20	required 9:19	result 12:5 13:13	77:12 79:6
113:1	100:15 121:15	69:11 78:9	25:24 31:6 32:8	80:17,25 83:2
rental 111:25,25	122:10,14,15	79:19 92:7	55:20 63:3 65:8	89:15 90:8
112:19	166:6,16 167:25	112:24 113:8	112:5 129:19	93:20 94:2,22
renting 105:21	Reporter 22:11	114:17 119:25	130:3	95:4 97:15
111:22	184:3,3,4	132:7 155:11	resulted 38:19	101:9 105:19,24
repeat 27:22	reporting 47:10	168:14 169:20	137:6,10	106:13,15 109:9
repetition 182:21	47:10,21 48:7	178:4	resulting 38:3	114:7,9 118:9
182:24	50:12 62:21	requirement	46:11 99:4	126:4 127:19
<b>report</b> 13:7,18	167:21	84:16 85:2	143:14 175:25	133:16,17
42:20 45:3 50:4	reports 14:19,20	91:19	results 60:24	136:18 154:9
50:17 51:2,11	15:13 17:16	requirements	101:5,7 102:15	158:4 164:15,17
51:14,18 52:12	42:9 51:16	11:13 47:8	123:14,14	167:24 172:13
53:9,11,19	61:24 94:16	requires 107:23	124:13 128:4	173:22 179:3
55:18 56:3,12	97:13 117:5,16	<b>reservoir</b> 1:9 8:18	130:9 134:1	180:15 181:22
60:6,20 61:5,7	118:25 120:17	155:17,21,23	143:8 178:17	183:9
62:9 63:19	121:16 122:3	resolution 15:19	retain 123:16	<b>rights</b> 1:6 97:6
66:15 68:16	125:2,21 126:8	resource 96:3	retrieved 157:1	137:13
			l	

				rage 209
<b>ripple</b> 26:17	runs 100:13	131:8 154:14	seen 56:18 59:15	series 28:16 83:15
rise 59:1,5,23	101:10 117:4,4	seated 40:4 93:14	71:15 173:3	121:11,19 130:1
138:10,19 139:3	123:18 124:15	second 10:7 13:1	senior 95:18	138:25 173:24
rises 59:18 138:9	123.10 124.13	20:11,14 33:16	sense 51:20 54:18	serve 22:15 23:17
river 45:9,16,19	S	36:23 38:6	67:21 165:10,23	serves 23:3
45:20 46:3	<b>S</b> 3:1 5:1 6:2,2,2	64:24 68:19	sentence 35:6,11	set 13:4 17:17
63:21 69:25	7:2	73:10 75:6 79:7	53:15 68:19	21:14,18 44:15
70:5 78:14	safe 152:18	79:13 98:14	79:7,14 154:6	54:23 59:2
80:12 131:22,25	Sara 5:12	100:9 154:5,15	sentiment 109:12	114:25 128:12
132:5 134:20	<b>Sarah</b> 36:12	177:3	109:18	136:4 138:21
151:21 152:14	satisfy 16:22 17:1	section 35:17	sentinel 42:3	170:3 171:8
154:17 156:3	17:4 150:5	47:2,8 48:3,5,9	43:16,21 44:12	176:8 184:15
159:10 161:22	saw 153:15	49:5 53:10,14	45:4,7 53:17,23	sets 17:2,12 91:13
162:1 165:16	158:13	53:14 60:19,22	54:22,24 55:17	91:14
	saying 67:13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
166:8 178:24 Pager 1:18 8:2	82:18 104:12	63:18 68:15,19	60:7,22 61:2,10	<b>setting</b> 9:11 48:3 165:14
<b>Roger</b> 1:18 8:3 31:17	106:9 107:12	79:4 127:20,22	61:15,18,22,23 62:4 63:14	settled 120:6
	112:25 144:24	133:24 134:9	69:24 70:4	
rolls 44:18 room 8:5	162:6,9	136:15		165:14 172:3 settlement 2:2
	says 17:14 24:4	secure 9:12	78:14 81:16,18	
rough 151:8,17	28:24 38:7	security 105:22	96:22 97:1,2	15:1,2 27:17
roughly 65:9	61:19 108:3	see 33:9 73:9,21	109:3,25,25	28:14,19,24
86:10	109:10 111:3,25	76:22 77:17,21	110:16 112:2,9	29:24 30:8,18
row 73:1 74:6	112:1 113:19	87:22 88:20,24	114:9 120:21	30:22 31:4
rows 21:14,18	114:11,14 115:1	89:14 93:24	124:20 134:3,13	38:22 55:21
23:4,14	115:11,13,22	94:16 117:22,25	134:22,25 135:7	56:13 71:10
<b>RPR</b> 1:24 184:21	scale 96:3	121:11 123:4	135:11 136:10	83:23 84:6 98:9
rudely 38:10	scaled 13:8	128:21 129:10	136:20,22	98:13,18,22
rule 12:13 24:3	scaling 127:25	130:5 132:13	137:21 139:1,1	99:6,20 100:15
32:20	scanng 127.23 scenario 47:18	134:16 135:5,11	140:3,6,10,11	102:4 125:4
ruled 28:12 104:2	scheduling 17:18	137:14 138:1,7	140:18,25 141:2	127:7 135:15
133:14	scope 13:6 16:4,6	138:17 139:3,6	141:10,12 142:4	136:25,25
rules 12:2 24:2	17:17 36:17	140:2,21 142:2	143:25 145:11	137:19 139:22
ruling 13:3 26:6	74:9 101:16	142:6,11,13	146:3 151:4	141:10,12 142:3
31:12 32:5,17	screen 94:11,16	144:11,24 145:9	166:24 167:3,7	150:9 151:10
36:20 37:4 38:5	117:14,22	149:24 150:7,13	167:9,15 168:4	152:10,17,19,19
39:6 72:9 76:13	125:23 149:25	153:17 156:16	168:17,23	153:8,9 157:4
105:16,17 111:3	scroll 79:11	156:23 157:17	169:13 170:18	163:5,7,18
154:7 156:16	seal 184:15	158:21 163:5	170:21 172:19	164:25 165:7
rulings 11:23	season 12:20,22	167:17 168:11	172:25 173:6	167:12 168:12
12:6,11 21:8	12:25 48:25	169:16,23	174:11 175:3	172:4 174:17
31:10	49:24 50:6	170:14 171:3	separate 166:9,24	175:11 178:17
run 44:10 59:21	68:18 120:3	178:25	167:4,7 179:7	seven 24:22 27:19
89:12 123:21		seeing 129:23	separated 130:19	27:23 28:3
124:7	122:11,14 131:3	seeking 9:4	separately 158:14	33:20
	I	l	I	<u> </u>

				Page 210
4h 21.1	162.05 165.00	77.15 122.10	112.1	(0.2.75.22.92.1
seventh 21:1 severe 172:21,22	163:25 165:22 172:21	77:15 132:10 139:23 141:8	112:1 six 21:25 24:22	69:3 75:22 83:1 86:16,18 87:7,8
severely 104:15	shown 14:19	similarly 96:12	35:12,13 111:10	87:14 88:10
•		122:12 134:22	sixth 21:1	92:16 94:5
142:6,7,8,24,24	59:13,23 60:3			
<b>Severity</b> 141:15 141:20 173:25	137:15,22,24	135:9 136:7 141:1	sjohns@olsent	99:13 106:19
	138:5,13 <b>shows</b> 66:15		4:16	116:7 118:5 120:13 156:17
<b>share</b> 16:23 117:14 125:22	69:20 73:5 76:3	Simmons 5:5 Simms 1:24	skip 127:1 Skyler 4:13 14:5	
130:24 149:20	77:1,19 87:18		slack 107:13	156:20,21
158:6	100:11 121:4	184:2,21		175:17,17 176:1 176:5
		simplified 176:10	slightly 137:22	
shared 149:24 165:10	128:20 131:19	simplify 58:14 176:11	slowly 132:1 138:10	sort 47:15 54:14
	136:20 140:9			102:8 105:13
<b>sharing</b> 94:11	144:4 145:7	simply 13:7 22:3	small 67:5,11 70:22 93:25	121:19 123:3
short 65:1 142:23	157:23 164:8	22:6,10 56:7		125:3 137:23
173:16,17 174:6 182:12	165:16 174:8	102:14 129:3,4	160:6	142:25 143:6
	175:24	152:8	smaller 48:1	144:24 168:19
shortcomings	sic 9:25	single 134:20	smart 145:23	sounded 37:18
111:13	side 1:13 3:17	135:22 161:5,7	Snake 41:9,13	135:6
shorter 182:10	52:3 72:25	162:9 164:10	45:9,16 46:3	sounds 54:2
<b>Shorthand</b> 184:2	159:10	177:17	52:13 63:21	57:21 58:3
show 13:24 38:12	sift 18:18	sir 15:21,22 16:5	69:25 70:5	154:25
38:20 45:20	sight 107:11	16:9 17:25	78:14 80:12	<b>Southwest</b> 34:13
46:25 60:16,24	<b>signatories</b> 30:5,9	25:15 27:21	96:10,12 121:6	73:8 76:23
80:11 113:14	30:17,17,18	32:2,19,25	139:17 141:19	126:17
117:17 123:21	105:7	36:14,18,25	145:25 154:17	space 155:21,23
126:10 130:21	signatory 77:2,23	37:14,15 39:7	156:3 158:19	Spackman 14:12
131:13 136:7	signature 9:18	39:18 40:3	159:2,10,18	spatial 48:18
140:5,19 143:22	signed 55:4 56:12	45:13 46:6 50:2	160:10 167:14	122:7,23 123:17
150:22 157:19	137:1 167:12	51:24 52:16,19	sole 11:4,9	162:24
167:11 174:13	significant 51:22	53:12 57:20	solely 68:6 146:16	spatially 134:16
174:23	57:12 58:6	65:4,22 74:13	146:22 155:2	spawned 28:5
showed 56:20	110:5 125:13	74:18 82:24	Somach 5:5	speak 60:15
59:10 116:23	142:22 164:16	83:1 85:22 86:2	somebody 50:21	78:21 80:25
132:11 139:23	173:12	92:15 109:8	somewhat 24:2	speaker 6:6 24:18
140:4 145:16	significantly	111:21 147:13	47:11	24:21,25 31:8
171:5,10	59:11 135:19	158:22 161:24	<b>Sophia</b> 6:10	36:16,19 37:2
<b>showing</b> 43:16	140:17,24	sit 25:18 70:22	93:21 94:23	37:13 39:24
65:8 80:12	142:24	87:23	95:7,9 116:20	50:18,22,23
117:24 120:19	Sigstedt 6:10	site 121:23	117:22 133:6,19	52:20,22 65:1
121:4 125:6,9	93:22,25 94:15	sites 118:22	146:6 162:20	65:23 74:7
128:11 132:8	94:23 95:7,9	121:21 125:11	180:4	82:25 83:3,6,8
136:17 139:16	102:11 133:6	126:7	sorry 15:24 23:9	83:10,13 85:12
141:14,18	148:2 182:11	sitting 8:24	24:25 52:23	85:17,20,23
142:10 143:17	similar 11:3	situation 48:6	57:15 65:25	87:2,13,15 93:7
	<u> </u>	l	I	l

94:20 106:19,23	stack 30:3	58:6 152:23	subtracted	54:2 61:3 65:21
117:25 133:15	stacked 30:6	166:2,9	119:19	87:4 88:13
146:13 147:11	38:13	storativity 58:8	subtracting 129:7	91:18 114:2
147:15 149:19	stacking 31:3	58:20 59:4	succinctly 28:23	117:2 120:15
149:23 181:13	stand 68:12 107:2	store 58:20	sufficiently 51:6	122:25 127:25
181:17,21,24	standard 44:15	straight 157:3,14	suggested 80:19	128:12 133:25
182:7 183:3,8	standpoint 14:18	stream 45:16	suggesting 68:2	145:4 146:3
183:12	85:3,6 165:13	97:21	suggestion	163:8 166:18
speaking 82:11	start 8:13 95:4	<b>Street</b> 3:7 4:7	182:18	167:17 171:7
special 162:24	98:25 107:12	stress 61:14 123:7	<b>Suite</b> 3:7 5:7	172:3 178:8
specialize 96:2	129:21 133:22	stresses 123:12	sum 73:14 75:3	surface 3:18 9:3
specialty 95:22	170:6 181:14	stretch 67:12	summary 9:14	12:9 14:24
specific 12:13	183:6	stretched 58:1	10:3 11:7,22	19:16 20:6,11
16:18 18:25	started 41:4,8	stretches 47:1	12:6 20:24 21:7	21:16 23:16
38:22 62:15,23	96:19 174:20	strike 72:17	22:17,20 23:22	41:7,25 42:4
63:1 82:8 84:12	182:7	strikes 182:20	25:23 28:12	44:3,4,8 45:10
91:8 121:23	starting 174:15	strongly 142:4	29:5,6,22 30:4,7	45:21 62:19
123:5 125:19	state 1:2 16:2	structure 49:15	30:12 33:6,15	91:16 92:6 96:5
126:7 127:24	40:10 68:16,20	81:9	35:15 37:18	97:16 98:10
134:15 141:19	84:13 154:16	structured 48:18	38:16,23 49:5	99:3 100:17
145:2	170:21 173:10	49:18 67:25	72:10 77:16	133:1 143:8
specifically 17:19	184:3	82:4	100:10,15 117:5	145:1 152:16
78:22 84:13	<b>stated</b> 10:3 17:19	struggle 104:25	117:16 118:10	155:2,24 156:4
102:22 118:22	28:23 29:6	struggling 111:13	120:16 121:16	168:21 178:9
160:3 169:16	102:4	subject 86:17	125:2,24 127:2	surpassed 56:23
specificity 111:8	statement 32:22	181:19	127:11 130:14	59:12
specifics 175:9	58:15	subjective 109:6	132:17 139:25	surplus 69:24
specified 42:23	statements 68:22	subjectively	146:10 156:15	70:2 78:13
45:2 47:19 84:1	<b>states</b> 16:20	109:4	166:6	79:23 81:6
specifies 80:5	32:21 35:18	submission 20:14	<b>summing</b> 143:13	82:12 101:11
<b>spell</b> 40:10 106:4	53:15 60:23	<b>submit</b> 36:12	<b>summit</b> 156:15	112:24 113:1,4
106:5,5	<b>stay</b> 10:10 30:15	submits 71:17	superposition	119:10 120:5
<b>spend</b> 24:15	<b>Steering</b> 71:11,18	100:16	152:1	124:16,22 129:1
spreadsheet	72:2 108:20	submitted 13:8	superseded 36:2	129:7,10,17,24
117:20 118:24	109:13 139:19	13:18 72:1 99:8	36:5	130:8 131:12
119:5,13 126:11	stems 111:7	117:6	<b>supplies</b> 45:11,20	134:10 135:10
spreadsheets	stick 84:4	submitting 22:4	165:25	143:22 144:19
117:6,17 118:3	stipulated 20:7	subsequent 36:2	<b>support</b> 16:3,6	145:13 148:12
158:16	20:12,17 34:4	subsequently	26:3 33:5,15	157:21 159:24
spring 61:22	34:10	57:8	35:14 97:4,20	161:20 165:12
133:2 173:1,2	<b>Stoddart</b> 182:13	substance 19:12	supposed 54:15	169:12 177:5
Springs 21:24	stone 13:4	25:11 32:22	55:24	surplus/deficit
35:7	storage 21:4	subtract 101:6	sure 19:5 25:10	130:13
squarely 104:19	35:19 57:12	124:14	26:1 31:6,9	surpluses 159:14
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

				rage ZIZ
sustain 103:13,23	158:13,24	180:18	technically	52:12 62:10
108:12 110:2	162:17 175:14	takes 42:5 44:17	167:18	63:20 66:16
sustained 85:16	175:18 176:3,4	59:22 81:2	technique 175:11	94:25 96:21
SWC 3:10 8:14	176:5,8 177:4,4	118:20 138:10	Technology	97:9,16 122:19
27:11 30:9,17	179:2,4 180:16	138:12 159:25	95:16	134:24 154:12
33:19 35:25	tables 29:8,12	talk 10:25 13:17	telemetry 110:19	testify 24:12
40:1,20 106:25	72:5,10 78:18	79:12 81:15	tell 18:15 40:1	32:10 182:4
109:10 122:3	126:2 130:18,21	87:5 108:2	94:24 95:13	testifying 100:4
133:10 134:1	143:11 163:24	112:16 154:16	108:13	133:12
147:9 154:18	164:5	158:17 162:23	temporal 49:13	testimony 6:3,10
156:7 181:23	tabs 118:21	talked 131:9	122:7,24 123:17	9:9 11:5,9,10
swear 94:18	127:14,18	163:11 169:4	162:24,24	51:19 68:10
<b>SWI</b> 61:19	tabulating 126:7	170:20 180:10	temporally	78:7 97:17
sworn 39:23 40:1	tacks 105:8	talking 47:14	134:16	119:23 135:6
94:24	Taggart 4:12	104:6,20 108:1	ten 96:12,13	154:19 184:8
synopsis 101:8	tail 132:3	113:20 114:6	171:6	thank 11:16,18
system 110:21	tails 67:11	146:15 151:21	tenant 105:21	15:21,25 17:21
systems 96:6	take 17:22 18:3,8	166:1,20 170:1	tend 67:12	18:1 25:14 27:6
	18:13,13 19:24	target 73:3,4,9,20	tender 52:14	31:15,20 33:1,4
T	23:25 27:2,20	73:25 74:3,3	term 58:8 86:18	33:13 37:2,14
<b>T</b> 6:2 7:2	29:20 31:11,22	77:4,25 92:1	89:1 98:18	46:6 52:7 65:3
<b>tab</b> 117:19	31:24 32:8	123:23 124:1,1	105:23 142:20	65:22 71:3
166:17	33:11 35:16	124:5,6 127:6	142:24 173:17	76:17 77:12,14
table 16:21,24	60:1 62:14 65:1	128:15,18 130:7	174:3,6	82:24 86:5
17:2 43:4,5	66:7,12 72:8	130:13 131:6	terminated 36:1	92:17 93:13
45:2 46:22 47:2	80:5 124:12,13	134:3,11,25	terminating	94:3 95:1 98:8
59:1,23 61:6,13	125:23 126:11	135:18,24 136:2	35:23 36:6	99:15,16 100:8
62:9,12 63:7	128:7,17 131:21	136:4 137:22,24	terms 10:9 15:9	102:3 115:16
64:5,9,12 65:7	143:3 145:23	138:12,13 146:5	18:23 82:18	116:19 126:18
65:12 66:15	147:1 148:18	157:8 179:20	100:6 113:8,17	133:18 139:11
67:9 68:21	155:7 159:23	targets 43:24	115:25 116:2,4	147:23 148:4
71:23,25 72:4,8	169:17 170:4,13	44:6,20 54:23	119:4 120:7	158:25 179:24
77:15,17 80:9	176:24 181:19	91:5 128:17	121:18 122:23	181:4,6,9
86:15,16,24	182:6	129:15 137:19	125:9 131:18	183:12
87:12,17,18,21	taken 9:12 10:22	138:3,11,21	132:3 139:22	<b>Thanks</b> 85:21
88:4,4,5,13,14	11:3 19:1 24:4	157:2 167:7,9	142:19 145:1,14	that'd 149:20
88:17,20,21,22	27:7,9,10 31:16	167:15 170:22	151:5 152:18,19	thing 48:15
88:25 89:4,7,15	37:21 43:12	170:23 171:1,3	156:7 160:18	110:18 114:4
118:10 125:3,24	93:19 101:22	171:7,8,11,12	163:3,4,22	129:10,21
126:13 127:2,15	105:3,5 107:9	<b>team</b> 40:17	164:24 165:2,3	130:21 131:14
128:8,10,12,19	118:8 145:13	technical 14:18	168:25 174:17	137:1 138:24
143:4,12 144:3	147:18 148:14	41:16 42:3 84:2	175:8 178:16,18	181:15
144:4 150:3,4	151:4 160:14	84:4 85:3,6	178:19 179:21	<b>things</b> 18:19 49:9
156:14,21,22	161:4 174:12	96:25	testified 40:2	82:16 97:8
	l	l	l	l

				Page 213
106:12 114:12	53:13	66:4 67:12 81:2	today's 28:11	trigger 168:10
115:1,11 125:18	<b>THOMAS</b> 4:5	82:1,16 93:15	56:1	triggers 112:11
146:19,22 149:9	Thompson 3:20	98:5 109:4	told 18:8 58:12	<b>Trout</b> 35:1
182:24	6:4,8,12 39:16	110:5 111:15	tomorrow 13:23	true 69:10 108:16
think 14:11,18,23	39:19,21 40:5,5	116:14 130:1	182:17	108:17 134:10
18:25 19:24	40:9 41:15 46:8	131:15,18 137:2	top 43:3 125:8	158:12 159:19
21:8,11 22:13	50:3,8,16 51:1,8	137:4 138:3	topic 51:7 81:8	159:20 164:14
22:18 23:10,23	51:25 52:10	142:18,23	topics 11:3	172:11 173:13
24:7 30:24 37:6	82:22,23 92:15	144:20 147:9	total 17:8 61:1,15	178:14 184:7
38:16 41:17	92:17,17,20	152:6 153:17,25	64:9,13,21	truly 109:17
46:16 51:6	93:12 147:21,23	154:8 160:2,8	73:11,20,25	truth 40:2 94:25
53:25 55:24	147:23 148:1	171:9 173:10,16	74:2,17 75:2	try 22:4,12,21
59:22 74:9 82:9	149:20,24	176:11 177:19	76:8,19 87:19	23:18 30:15
93:9 96:14	158:23 159:1	182:21	89:7 121:17,25	101:24 108:13
98:23 99:21	161:25 162:3	timing 48:12 96:4	124:7 125:6	116:22 146:16
113:15,24	163:13 172:8	125:18 145:9	126:5 128:10	167:20
115:10 116:14	179:15	159:12,24	129:24 130:25	trying 47:3 48:5
117:8 122:25	thought 37:10	168:23	137:5 149:7	48:9 84:14 87:8
127:23 132:19	39:3 109:4	<b>Titanic</b> 170:3	150:25	101:20 102:25
132:20,21	165:4,5 182:3	Title 35:22	totally 149:4	107:21 114:15
133:10,15 135:4	thousand 9:24,25	titled 127:20	totals 176:24	114:22 115:3
135:14 136:7	three 9:7 11:23	175:21	trajectory 171:20	131:7 146:23
137:20 139:18	12:4,5 21:21	<b>TJ</b> 11:19 24:21	trans- 97:14	Tschohl 5:12
144:23 145:23	28:12 33:21	53:6 90:25	transcribed 1:23	tthompson@m
146:6 147:11	36:1 41:6 43:8	102:17	184:6	3:23
148:19 149:15	43:23 54:25	<b>TJ's</b> 138:8	TRANSCRIBE	turn 43:14 51:8
150:19,21,22	61:8 64:16	tj@racineolson	1:11 184:1	53:9,18 60:19
151:9,13 154:4	100:13 101:4	4:9	TRANSCRIPT	63:18 71:1,2,5,7
155:14,18 157:2	137:18 140:5	to-reach 177:13	1:11	71:20 77:6
158:16 160:19	141:24,25 142:1	today 8:13 12:4	transcription	78:23 93:21
161:15 165:23	150:10 168:5	13:22 14:2	184:8	98:8 120:9,13
167:10 171:1,2	<b>throw</b> 30:14	16:12 26:20	transfer 41:24	124:25 162:15
171:4,7,10	151:9	28:7 29:7,10,22	42:1,1	163:23 166:21
172:23 173:4,16	<b>tightly</b> 99:13	30:20 51:7 53:4	transfers 97:15	175:13
174:10,22 175:5	time 8:9 14:16,17	87:23 89:19	transient 122:8	turning 35:17
175:11,12	15:17 17:22,23	90:3 94:3 95:10	<b>Travis</b> 3:20 39:16	56:11 170:2
176:13 178:5,6	19:4 24:16	104:5,19,24	40:5 92:17	<b>Twin</b> 1:14 3:18
182:8,10 183:3	27:10 31:7	108:9 111:16	147:23	3:22
183:8	36:20,25 37:6,9	112:16,17	treat 10:11	<b>two</b> 9:7,16 18:15
thinking 60:11	38:4 41:18,20	113:20 150:11	106:14	21:23 27:9
153:14 155:5	42:7 44:7 47:21	151:21 153:24	trending 171:15	30:20,21 34:3
170:4	47:24 49:10	153:24 154:3	tribunals 16:17	35:8 41:6 47:13
<b>third</b> 13:17 20:16	55:3 57:18 64:7	174:25 181:8	tricky 168:25	59:3 105:5
33:16 35:18	64:18 65:13	182:8	tried 38:23 84:4	107:25 110:22
	l			l

				rage 214
119:19 127:8	155:22 161:15	146:13 147:11	49:24 50:6	vary 49:14
128:13 130:11	182:11	147:15 149:19	upholding 11:12	vary 49.14 vehemently 12:10
138:15 143:11	understanding	149:23 181:13	upnoiding 11.12 upper 137:12	verify 125:22
170:21 172:1	24:24 27:4	181:17,21,24	140:7	version 12:14
173:2,22,23	67:24 70:9 81:8	182:7 183:3,8	<b>upstream</b> 45:17	57:1,9,11,19
177:3 182:8	83:21,22 89:21	183:12	usage 75:16,24	58:13 59:10,15
two-point 169:8	91:12,15 92:3	uniform 124:4	76:3 119:9,14	59:18,19,22
two-point 109.8 two-thirds 19:15	93:5 99:23	137:9	119:15	60:11 97:25
type 71:17 97:3	100:4,25 103:17	<b>uniformly</b> 176:16	use 29:25 45:22	98:2 136:4,6
102:20 155:12	103:19 108:16	unintelligible	58:4 68:3 70:19	137:2,2 138:5,8
typical 137:13	108:17 120:5	14:16 19:17	77:9 89:1,9	138:20,23 163:1
typical 137.13 typically 45:9	122:25 131:5,8	21:20 24:13,22	96:4,6,15 97:7,8	170:24 176:10
151:7 155:10	149:6 152:15	25:1,8 26:2,11	97:21 104:3	versions 97:25
151./ 155.10	154:2,8,13	26:20 38:17	107:2,4 109:5	127:8 138:16
U	154.2,8,15	39:17,19 52:20	,	versus 125:18
ultimate 55:7	165:2 167:10	· ·	115:4,9 119:2 132:24 145:22	143:15 161:7
137:24 138:13		57:25 64:10,25		
168:5	178:11	65:24 66:2	useful 14:13	167:6 168:24
ultimately 49:23	understands	67:10,17 69:20	users 152:12	169:18
50:4	132:21	72:21 75:22	uses 13:10,21	vexing 110:10
unclear 37:17	Understood	78:2 81:4,11	143:5	view 167:10,16
underperforma	72:11	82:23,25 83:6	USGS 45:16	viewed 75:9
60:24 176:18	undertake 150:16	85:15,18 87:2	usual 30:13 93:17	102:5
178:4	undertaken	88:8 92:14 94:8	Utah 184:3,23	volume 12:14
underperformed	143:23 154:21	94:20 102:17	utilized 72:11	16:25 58:23
176:1	155:12	103:17,18	102:13 155:2	75:24 122:14
understand 15:14	undertaking	106:17 110:15	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	123:11,25 124:7
21:9 24:14	41:19	116:13 118:1	vacating 35:23	127:18 128:20
25:13 31:23	unfortunate	124:25 126:19	vacating 55.25 value 22:14 23:24	130:12 150:4,17
	106:8	139:4 144:5	58:20 61:16,25	157:20,25
37:5 38:11,17 44:4 52:8 55:18	unfortunately	145:20 147:15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	158:12 164:20
	48:12 111:15	149:19,23	88:21,22 135:18	<b>volumes</b> 92:3
57:4,8,11 58:11	173:15 181:21	156:22 163:12	137:21 142:8	121:23 122:12
61:3,5,21 62:8	Unidentified 6:6	167:19 172:7	<b>values</b> 61:24	122:15 125:10
64:4 70:2 72:14	24:18,21,25	175:1,20,21	88:13 120:4	126:5 127:3
72:22 73:4,17	31:8 36:16,19	179:14,23 180:6	126:12 136:22	128:24 130:3
73:23 74:4,22	37:2,13 39:24	180:21 182:1,6	140:8 141:10,12	131:15 166:7
78:18 79:17	50:18,22 52:20	182:19 183:5	141:21,22	voluminous
90:10 92:9,12	52:22 65:1,23	unique 22:8	144:14 171:2	182:9
98:19,23 105:10	74:7 82:25 83:3	28:19 30:15	variables 59:3,3	<b>TX</b> 7
105:16,17 106:3	83:6,8,10,13	31:5 38:24	varies 58:15	<u>W</u>
107:18,19 109:8	85:12,17,20,23	unrealistically	160:18	W 3:12 6:2
109:15,16 111:6	87:2,13,15 93:7	138:22	various 1:5 33:18	Wait 36:23 64:24
111:19 133:11	94:20 106:19,23	unrealized 81:1	38:9 44:15	walk 78:17
152:22 153:14	117:25 133:15	upcoming 48:16	125:10 158:17	118:11 133:23
Į į			l	

				raye 213
want 10:25 18:12	58:13,23,25	179:5,17 180:8	145:4 150:11	184:15
27:3 36:21 53:8	59:1,4,5,6,9,11	way 17:17 28:2	151:21 160:7,8	<b>Wildman</b> 13:5,5
53:18 61:3	59:18,23 60:5,7	29:2 45:18	160:24 173:23	13:9,12 28:22
65:11,23 83:20	60:17 61:1,8	46:20 48:14	174:13,19 183:8	28:25 103:18
87:17,24 94:18	62:3,13,16,18	52:8 70:17	183:9	Wildman's 27:13
95:12 98:22	62:19 64:25	102:10 103:1	we've 28:8 62:13	windfall 115:19
100:3 103:2	67:23 68:7,17	111:11 114:12	68:13 106:16	window 137:13
108:2 114:6,15	68:24,25 69:4,5	115:8 124:18	111:2 119:14	wish 15:22 21:13
115:4,9 118:11	69:10,10,15,21	125:5 127:4	132:19 143:18	31:10,13 111:8
125:16 133:7	70:14 71:12,13	132:12,21	146:15,22 173:3	147:9
140:14,19	73:1,15 75:14	141:20 143:7,21	wealth 43:21	wishes 8:15 18:13
142:15 149:16	76:4 77:2 78:8	144:1,2 145:23	weath 43.21 weather 139:13	withdrawal 69:1
153:3 158:17	78:8,19 79:9	146:19 148:21	weeks 9:7	69:6
180:9 183:1	80:6,24 83:17	150:23 160:3,12	weighting 157:12	witness 24:11
wanted 23:20	86:4 89:13	160:19 165:23	well-by-well	32:10 41:13
53:22 83:14	91:16 92:6	167:16 168:11	62:21	42:16 45:15,25
148:10	95:20,23,25	171:6,13 178:2	wells 44:6,9,18	46:7 57:17,23
wants 113:12	96:1,3,6 97:6,8	178:25 183:2	53:25 54:5,10	58:1 74:20
168:20	97:14,15,16,18	ways 11:1 106:4	54:12,16 61:23	76:11 77:11
warmer 171:23	98:10 99:3	168:18 170:7	62:15,23 63:1	78:5 87:11,17
wasn't 21:13	100:17 120:23	171:1,21	97:1,2 109:3,12	97:9 103:16
23:10 37:24	121:9,10,13	we'll 37:9 58:4	109:18,25	116:15 133:11
44:2 60:16	121:9,10,13	66:11 98:23	110:16,19 112:2	147:22 158:24
169:20	,		110:16,19 112:2	
	124:4,5,19	133:22 147:20		181:4,9,12,13
water 1:1,5,5,20	127:17,24	162:3,3 183:9 <b>we're</b> 9:2 16:19	120:24 124:20	182:13 183:11
3:3,5,18 4:3,11	128:23 130:19		134:13,22,23	184:15
4:17 5:4 9:3,12	133:1 134:13,23	20:8 22:4 23:21	142:4 151:4	witnesses 10:24
9:18 10:25	137:9,11,13	26:19 27:4	168:17,21,24	182:1 183:4
11:20 12:9 14:6	138:9,18 139:2	31:15 47:11,13	170:19 172:25	wkf@pmt.org
14:21,24 16:2	140:18 143:8	70:19 75:21	went 39:2 58:20	3:15
16:13,22 17:9	145:1,22,25	99:19 102:11	102:22 108:24	word 13:14 90:8
19:16 20:6,11	148:15 151:6	104:4,5,6,11,14	108:24 112:13	<b>wording</b> 16:18
21:4,16 23:16	152:12,16	104:15,19,20	127:14 177:19	103:4
34:18 35:19	153:11,12 155:3	107:21 110:11	weren't 90:1	work 18:12 40:14
40:15,17 41:3,7	155:24 156:5	110:22 111:3,13	109:22	40:25 95:7
41:23,25 42:4	157:9 159:2,9	111:16 112:1,16	West 3:21	96:19,24 97:3
42:12 43:8 44:3	161:21 162:1,7	112:16,24	western 159:5	workbook 118:24
44:4,8 45:6,10	162:7,8,10	113:20 114:8,15	wet 139:9 140:22	workbooks 146:9
45:19,21 46:2	164:23 165:21	115:3,20,24	140:24 141:22	worked 41:5 42:7
47:23 48:16,19	166:10,14,16,19	123:13,15 129:2	141:25 142:6,19	98:4
48:22,25 49:19	167:13 168:20	130:2,5 132:22	142:24 145:21	working 41:4
49:20 52:12	168:21 170:2,12	133:19 135:17	146:1 170:6	42:3 96:21,25
54:4 55:5,6,19	173:12,13 174:9	136:1 142:17,21	173:19	98:3,6
56:13,15,21	175:3 178:9	143:9 144:10	WHEREOF	works 96:23
		l	l	l

				Page 210
141:21 145:9,25	176:5,5 177:19	years 16:17 18:18	<b>0.29</b> 61:1,4,11,16	12335391-780
163:17	180:16	41:6 43:19	63:8 81:17	184:23
worry 83:5	year 9:20 17:5	46:19 47:13	<b>0.93</b> 54:21	<b>13</b> 16:19 128:8
worse 174:16	19:18 44:10	49:14 55:12,13	<b>002</b> 35:3	130:20 150:2
wouldn't 67:21	46:22 47:13	57:22 59:22	<b>003</b> 35:3	163:24
79:22 81:5,13	50:7 58:2 60:18	65:16,16,20	006 35:3	<b>14</b> 1:19 33:22
81:22 87:24	63:2 65:8,10	66:18,22 67:3		119:17 132:17
135:23 154:13	67:16 69:20	68:13,22 69:2,7	1	<b>142</b> 7:5 99:12,13
159:7 160:10	71:18 72:1 73:6	69:13 74:10	<b>1</b> 7:4 29:19 31:25	128:9 146:8
177:20	75:16,25 77:16	78:10,13,20	40:22 42:18,20	147:5 154:5
<b>written</b> 168:11	77:25 78:19	79:10 84:8,13	43:4,15 45:3	158:23 162:21
wrong 109:16	84:17 85:1	92:23 93:4 96:2	52:21,22,23,25	<b>143</b> 7:6 133:20
	103:8,21 104:10	96:12,13 98:6	53:10,19,23	136:19 141:7
X	106:7 107:9,13	105:5 109:24	54:19 56:11,24	144:4 146:8
<b>X</b> 6:1 7:1,2	107:14 108:3	110:22 111:11	59:13,24 60:3	147:5 166:21
109:19	110:11,17	114:21 117:13	61:6,13,17 62:9	<b>147</b> 7:5,6,7,8,9,10
<b>X's</b> 138:25	112:25 114:12	121:15 129:9	62:12 63:7 64:5	7:11,12,13
141:11	114:15,20,25	131:11,24 132:2	64:9 71:12 72:8	<b>148</b> 6:12
	115:14,15	132:12 134:14	78:24 120:14	<b>15</b> 22:24 23:1
Y	121:24 123:24	136:9 139:5	123:4 136:16,19	35:4 66:5 96:2
<b>yeah</b> 23:8 24:15	125:4 128:24	141:22,23 142:6	136:20 140:4	<b>15,000</b> 129:8
40:19 43:16	129:18 134:7,20	142:20 143:19	143:4,12 156:14	132:7
44:17 45:1	135:23 140:22	143:21,21,23,25	156:21,22	<b>16</b> 35:10 161:1
46:16 49:9,25	140:24 141:1,25	144:2,12 145:10	158:24 169:2	<b>163</b> 3:21
55:3 57:23	142:1,25 143:16	146:1,2 154:18	<b>1,300</b> 90:22	<b>16th</b> 34:23
58:21,24 59:25	145:13 151:7,16	158:17 159:23	<b>1,352</b> 17:3	<b>17</b> 35:10 131:14
67:7 74:14	152:23 154:21	160:16 162:8	<b>10</b> 33:5,14 34:20	<b>18</b> 35:15
75:20 80:8 81:5	155:13 158:6	164:13 165:6	38:6 162:15,16	<b>18,605</b> 10:18 17:8
81:25 86:11	159:25 161:5,7	169:13,18	162:21	150:12
87:3,16 88:17	161:9,22 162:1	170:19 171:6,16	<b>10-year</b> 138:17	<b>180</b> 6:13
88:19 90:21,21	162:2,3 164:10	172:1,9,17,19	<b>10:43</b> 31:8	<b>19</b> 53:25
93:20 94:21	164:14,17	172:21,22	<b>100</b> 66:24 180:24	<b>1980s</b> 142:6
99:21 100:12	167:23 168:15	173:18,23,24	<b>11</b> 21:15 22:24	<b>1981</b> 43:20
101:9 102:19	169:19 170:6	180:13,24,24	23:1,5 33:5	136:23
105:15 110:10	172:11 173:19	yep 126:4 175:16	34:23 35:17	<b>1990s</b> 142:7
114:3 115:4	174:15 177:17	176:5	128:4,9 130:20	
121:3 127:23	177:20 179:20	Yesterday 18:2	163:24	2
131:17 136:16	180:15,17,17		<b>11:00</b> 182:8	<b>2</b> 1:9 8:18 29:8,12
144:8,23 146:13	<b>year's</b> 103:9	Z	<b>110</b> 5:7	46:22 47:2 51:9
147:17 149:21	112:2 162:10	<b>zero</b> 119:11	<b>1155</b> 5:7	52:18,18 57:11
151:17 152:2,15	year-to-year	<b>Zoom</b> 181:7	<b>1174</b> 1:24 184:22	64:12 65:7,12
153:24 156:19	109:2,18	Δ	<b>12</b> 21:18 35:4	66:15 68:21
161:2 162:13,23	<b>yearly</b> 108:15,20	0	88:6 130:20	80:9 86:15,16
163:7 167:20	109:11	<b>0</b> 142:5,8	<b>1200</b> 3:13	86:24 87:12,17
	<u> </u>			1

F				Page 217
Q7.1Q QQ.4 14	<b>2010</b> 33:22 34:1	173:1,2,14	79:12,14,19	50:8 79:15,20
87:18 88:4,14 88:17,22,25	41:4,16 96:20	173:1,2,14	80:1,2,3 82:9	81:1 160:10,15
89:7,15 133:24	119:17	<b>2017</b> 20:13 34:5	84:14,23 85:5	160:22 178:11
139:12,15,16	<b>2010-2014</b> 75:15	71:13 77:16,16	85:10,13 99:7	184:16
144:4 151:16,18	<b>2010-2014</b> 73.13 <b>2010s</b> 142:9	77:19 125:5,24	100:22 102:16	<b>2026</b> 43:24 46:23
151:18,18,18	<b>2010s</b> 142.9 <b>2011</b> 41:18	129:10,20,21,22	100.22 102.10	114:9 134:25
166:15 174:8	<b>2011</b> 41.18 <b>2012</b> 90:3 96:19	131:13 140:23	103.3 103.9	137:24 138:3
175:14,18 176:3	<b>2012</b> 90.3 90.19 <b>2015</b> 34:23 55:12	<b>2018</b> 34:15	117:6 125:5	143:20 168:6
175.14,18 176.3	56:20 59:8	126:10,13	127:10 130:1,2	<b>204</b> 164:10
179:2	70:12 98:11,13	120.10,13	130:4,10,10	<b>204</b> 104.10 <b>2047</b> 67:4
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>2019</b> 34:11 41:8	130:4,10,10	<b>204</b> 7 67:4 <b>205</b> 91:13 143:16
<b>2-point</b> 139:11 <b>2.1</b> 57:1 58:13	108:14 119:17 135:16 137:21	<b>2019</b> 34:11 41:8 <b>2020</b> 43:24 69:17	131:3,8 134:1,3	
				153:15 161:6,6
59:10,19 60:11	<b>2016</b> 9:13 10:9	70:4 78:19	136:3 139:6	169:18 177:3
97:25 98:2	20:8,17 25:25	126:24 130:9	142:11 143:19	<b>205,000</b> 100:25
135:16 136:15	27:17 28:14,19	138:12 148:12	146:16 148:15 149:1 150:6	123:18 128:15
137:3,15 138:16	28:24 29:24	168:6 169:12,25		130:25 143:10
138:20 169:6	30:5,19,21,22	<b>2021</b> 28:23 34:20	154:14,19	143:12 153:4,21
170:24 173:5	37:22 38:3,22	57:17 58:2	156:15 157:17	154:11
<b>2.2</b> 35:17 53:10	42:25 43:22	72:15,17 98:6	158:18 159:17	<b>2072</b> 46:20 65:12
53:15 57:9,19	47:5,7,19 48:11	102:20 103:12	159:21,22 160:4	67:4
59:15,18,22	48:14,17 49:15	115:3 120:3,4,6	160:5,11,13,14	<b>21</b> 57:24 100:1
68:15 98:1,5	49:18 50:14	127:2 139:6,18	160:15,20,21,22	171:23 172:12
117:3 127:20	53:16 54:23	141:2 142:11	162:4 164:6,20	<b>21,341</b> 10:1
135:16 136:5	62:18,24 67:24	143:19 164:12	165:14,20 166:2	<b>21,836</b> 76:5
138:16,21 139:4	68:4,8 69:16	165:12,17 172:3	166:6,15,18	<b>22</b> 46:9 57:24
169:9 171:5,15	70:3,9,12 71:13	172:10 174:14	172:5 175:21	58:5 79:25
173:6	73:6 75:24 76:4	174:14	176:1 180:14,25	80:13,16,22,24
<b>2.3</b> 44:25	76:20 78:18	<b>2022</b> 9:13 10:14	<b>2022's</b> 160:16	81:7 110:13
<b>2.3.1</b> 60:19 68:19	79:21 80:3 81:9	10:22 11:11	<b>2023</b> 43:20,24	161:1 171:23
<b>2.3.2</b> 63:19	82:6,8,19 83:22	12:19,22,25	47:15 55:13	172:14 177:14
<b>2.9</b> 135:6,7	84:10,11 85:7	13:18 16:24	60:8,12 61:19	177:23,25 178:3
<b>20</b> 53:25 59:22	91:2,6,9,24 92:5	17:1 20:20	61:22,25 67:18	<b>23</b> 21:18 23:6,13
105:9 107:20	92:10 99:6	27:14 28:21	72:12 135:8,11	35:15 80:14,17
137:20	100:22 101:23	37:22,24 38:3	135:18,24	80:24
<b>200</b> 112:22	107:20 117:6	42:22 43:1,7	137:22 138:3,11	<b>24</b> 80:14,15,20
143:11	118:10 125:5	45:5 46:18,21	138:12 142:11	<b>240</b> 13:20 15:7
<b>200,000</b> 144:18	129:5,19,20	47:6,12 48:7,11	144:14 145:11	56:21 91:13
<b>2000s</b> 142:9	130:1,8 131:10	48:17 49:1,4	148:25 149:14	92:24 104:3
<b>2009</b> 21:3 24:24	131:13 132:6	51:11 56:9	156:16 158:9	112:18,20 113:5
25:24 26:1,12	136:23 138:25	57:17,20 58:2,4	168:6,9 169:16	129:7 130:25
28:20 29:25	143:18 148:12	60:24 61:8,12	173:2,6 174:13	157:10,11 158:6
30:5,22 37:24	148:16 152:21	62:4 64:20 65:9	174:19	161:5,16,17
<b>2009-005</b> 35:9	154:19 155:11	67:13 68:12	<b>2024</b> 1:19 10:6	164:10 166:15
<b>201</b> 4:7	169:12 170:22	69:1,5,11 72:16	48:24 49:3 50:6	167:6 169:6,18
		l	l	l

				rage 210
177:4,16,20	150:3,4 162:17	138:18,19	139:12 174:8	9
179:6,7,20	<b>3.1</b> 47:8 48:3	143:21,25	<b>6,800</b> 80:13	
<b>240,000</b> 9:19,20	<b>3.2</b> 48:5,9 79:11	<b>50-year</b> 66:16	<b>6,850</b> 67:18	934:16 144:4
16:23 55:5	<b>3.9</b> 54:21 55:15	134:7 145:12	<b>600</b> 24:4	158:16 175:13
56:15 59:9	55:20	<b>50,000</b> 152:23	<b>603</b> 32:21	177:4
60:13,18 69:16	<b>30</b> 59:22	166:2	<b>63,533</b> 10:1	<b>9:00</b> 183:7,10
84:17 85:1	<b>30,000</b> 130:9	<b>511</b> 87:8,8,11	<b>648</b> 3:7	<b>90</b> 65:20 67:10
101:1 123:19,22	<b>300</b> 112:21	<b>512</b> 87:13,14,15	6th 34:2	<b>92</b> 6:8
124:9,23 128:16	<b>3005</b> 4:14	149:10,17		<b>95</b> 6:11
128:18 129:2	<b>32,476</b> 10:17 17:7	156:25	7	<b>99</b> 65:20
130:6,13 134:21	62:14 150:11	<b>517</b> 71:8 77:9	7 29:19 31:25	<b>9th</b> 34:5,11
135:22 137:6,10	<b>322</b> 3:7	<b>518</b> 7:7 71:5,21	33:23 39:3	
144:11 152:24	<b>34,923</b> 77:1,3	72:23 77:9	46:15 47:1,3	
153:10 166:10	<b>35</b> 4:20	90:25 117:18	124:25 126:2	
167:13 168:15	<b>350</b> 112:21	130:15 146:11	141:6 158:16	
172:11,15	<b>38,000</b> 90:19	147:5	<b>7,586</b> 158:20	
<b>250</b> 169:6	<b>38,734</b> 86:24	<b>52</b> 7:4 35:21	<b>70-year</b> 144:17	
<b>250,000</b> 137:11	87:19 89:9,21	<b>521</b> 7:8 77:6,15	<b>787</b> 67:4	
<b>255,000</b> 129:6,11	<b>3rd</b> 34:1	125:23 130:15		
<b>25th</b> 34:19		146:11 147:5	8	
184:16	4	<b>524</b> 7:9 126:11	8 16:20 21:14	
<b>26</b> 34:15	<b>4</b> 19:14,21 36:11	130:16 146:11	23:4,6,13 29:19	
<b>26,143</b> 64:14 67:3	43:2 136:14	147:5	30:24 32:4	
<b>27</b> 29:19 30:24	154:4	<b>527</b> 7:10 126:20	34:12 39:3	
32:4 36:11	<b>4,000</b> 80:13	130:16 146:11	46:15 87:12	
<b>271,989</b> 75:18	<b>4,245</b> 64:21 65:10	147:5	126:9 149:21	
<b>275</b> 112:21	67:15	<b>53</b> 6:5	156:25 162:20	
<b>289,987</b> 77:20,24	<b>4/27/27</b> 184:25	<b>530</b> 7:11 126:24	176:3 <b>8.68</b> 62:5	
<b>29</b> 26:1 45:7	<b>40</b> 6:4 181:19	130:16 146:11	<b>8.72</b> 54:20	
<b>29th</b> 148:9	<b>48</b> 138:12	147:6	<b>8.97</b> 61:19 62:1,6	
<b>2nd</b> 3:21 72:12	5	<b>533</b> 7:12 146:12	<b>8/2/23</b> 27:13,16	
149:14	<b>5</b> 10:5 19:7,14,21	147:6	28:5,16 29:3,5,8	
3	43:14 44:24	<b>536</b> 7:13 127:10	29:11,13 38:20	
<b>3</b> 16:21,24 17:2	88:5 120:9,11	130:16 146:12	103:22	
19:7,23 20:3	136:18 156:13	147:6	<b>80302</b> 5:8	
21:2 23:14	156:18 158:23	<b>56,000</b> 65:9 67:14	<b>83</b> 6:6	
28:16 29:8,12	169:2 180:23	<b>56,285</b> 64:10	<b>83201</b> 4:8	
34:7 47:2 49:5	<b>5,204</b> 10:17 17:7	86:10 <b>57</b> 35:22	<b>83301</b> 3:22	
68:16,19 79:4	150:12	<b>57,637</b> 17:8 89:10	<b>83318-0248</b> 3:14	
87:21 88:4,5,13	<b>50</b> 18:9 64:17	31,031 17.0 09.10	<b>83403</b> 4:15	
88:20,21 89:4	65:16,16,20	6	<b>83440</b> 4:21	
120:11 133:22	66:18,22,25	<b>6</b> 27:16 39:3	<b>83720-0098</b> 3:8	
136:14 139:15	67:3 132:12	44:24 120:14	<b>86</b> 6:7	

1 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES OF THE STATE OF IDAHO IN THE MATTER OF DISTRIBUTION ) OF WATER TO VARIOUS WATER ) IDWR DOCKET NO. RIGHTS HELD BY OR FOR THE ) CM-MP-2016-001 BENEFIT OF A&B IRRIGATION ) DISTRICT, AMERICAN FALLS RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2, BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT, ) MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, )TRANSCRIBER'S TRANSCRIPT MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, ) OF PROCEEDINGS NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY, AND ) TWIN FALLS CANAL COMPANY ) CAPTION CONTINUED NEXT PAGE **BEFORE** HEARING OFFICER: ROGER BURDICK DATE: March 15, 2024 LOCATION: Idaho Department of Water Resources Boise, Idaho TRANSCRIBED BY: ANDREA L. CHECK, CSR No. 748, RPR, CRR Notary Public

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

```
2
                                                                                                                 3
                                                            1
                                                                             APPEARANCES
 1 IN THE MATTER OF IGWA'S
 2 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
 3 MITIGATION PLAN
                                                            3 for the Department of Water Resources:
                                                                     Office of the Attorney General
 4
 5
                                                            5
                                                                     Idaho Department of Water Resources
                                                                     BY MR. KAYLEEN R. RICHTER, ESQ.
                                                            6
 б
 7
                                                                     322 East Front Street, Suite 648
 8
                                                                     Boise, Idaho 83720-0098
 9
                                                            9
                                                                     kayleen.richter@idwr.idaho.gov
10
                                                           10 For the Minidoka Irrigation District, AFRD#2, SWC:
11
                                                           11
                                                                     Fletcher Law Office
12
                                                           12
                                                                     BY MR. W. KENT FLETCHER, ESQ.
13
                                                           13
                                                                     1200 Overland Avenue
14
                                                           14
                                                                     Burley, Idaho 83318-0248
15
                                                                     wkf@pmt.org
16
                                                           16 For A&B Irrigation District, Burley Irrigation District,
17
                                                           17 Milner Irrigation District, North Side Canal Company,
18
                                                           18 Surface Water Coalition, and Twin Falls Canal Company:
19
                                                           19
                                                                     Marten Law, LLP
20
                                                           20
                                                                     BY MR. TRAVIS L. THOMPSON, ESQ.
21
                                                           21
                                                                     163 2nd Avenue West
22
                                                           22
                                                                     Twin Falls, Idaho 83301
23
                                                           23
                                                                     tthompson@martenlaw.com
24
                                                           24
25
                                                           25
                                                                                                                 5
 1
                A P P E A R A N C E (Continued)
                                                                      APPEARANCES (Continued)
 3 For Idaho Ground Water Appropriators, Inc.:
                                                             3
           Racine Olson, PLLP
                                                             4 For American Falls-Aberdeen Ground Water District:
            BY MR. THOMAS J. BUDGE, ESQ.
 5
                                                             5
                                                                     Somach Simmons & Dunn, P.C.
            & MS. ELISHEVA M. PATTERSON, ESQ.
                                                             6
                                                                     BY MR. MAXIMILIAN C. BRICKER, ESQ.
 6
                                                             7
 7
            201 East Center Street
                                                                     1155 Canyon Boulevard, Suite 110
                                                             8
                                                                     Boulder, Colorado 80302
 8
            Pocatello, Idaho 83201
                                                             9
                                                                     mbricker@somachlaw.com
 9
            tj@racineolson.com
                                                            10
            elisheva@racineolson.com
                                                            11 Also Present:
11 For Bonneville-Jefferson Ground Water District:
                                                            12
                                                                      Sarah Tschohl
12
            Olsen Taggart, PLLC
                                                           13
13
            BY MR. SKYLER C. JOHNS, ESQ.
                                                           14
14
            P.O. Box 3005
                                                           15
15
            Idaho Falls, Idaho 83403
                                                            16
16
            sjohns@olsentaggart.com
                                                            17
17 For Bingham Ground Water District:
                                                            18
18
            Dylan Anderson Law
                                                            19
19
            BY MR. DYLAN K. ANDERSON, ESQ.
                                                            20
20
            P.O. Box 35
                                                            21
21
            Rexburg, Idaho 83440
                                                           22
            {\tt dylan@dylanandersonlaw.com}
22
                                                           23
23
                                                            24
24
                                                            25
25
```

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

		3 (Pages 6 to	9)
	6		7
1	INDEV	1 INDEV	
1 2	INDEX WITNESSES	1 INDEX 2 EXHIBITS	
3	TESTIMONY OF JAXON HIGGS PAGE	3	
4	Direct Examination By Ms. Patterson 10	4 NO. MARKED ADMITTED	
5	Voir Dire Examination By Mr. Fletcher 14	5 2 - *** 185	
6	Continued Direct Examination By Ms. Patterson 34	6 300 - *** 79	
7	Continued Direct Examination By 1415. I attersor	7 500 - *** 189	
8	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF BRYCE CONTOR	8 501 - *** 189	
9	Direct Examination By Mr. Johns 50	9 502 - *** 189	
10	Cross-Examination By Mr. Fletcher 79	10 503 - *** 189	
11	Cross-Examination By Mr. Anderson 97	11 510 - *** 189	
12	Redirect Examination By Mr. Johns 102	12 512 - *** 189	
13	,	13 517 - *** 189	
14	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DAVID COLVIN	14 519 - *** 189	
15	Direct Examination By Mr. Thompson 106	15 520 - *** 189	
16	Cross-Examination By Mr. Budge 114	16 522 - *** 189	
17	Cross-Examination By Mr. Johns 125	17 523 - *** 189	
18	Redirect Examination By Mr. Thompson 130	18 525 - *** 189	
19	, ,	19 526 - *** 189	
20	TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM STODDART	20 528 - *** 189	
21	Direct Examination By Mr. Budge 133	21 32)	
22	Cross-Examination By Mr. Thompson 169	22 531 - *** 189 23 532 - *** 189	
23	Examination By the Hearing Officer 177	24 534 - *** 189	
	Cross-Examination By Mr. Anderson 179	25 535 - *** 189	
25	Redirect Examination By Mr. Budge 181	25 555 - 167	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	NO. MARKED ADMITTED 537 - *** 189  Offer of Proof PAGE 131 - *** 48  *** Exhibits which were previously marked	1 (Beginning of audio.) 2 3 MS. PATTERSON: This is Elisheva Patterson or 4 behalf of IGWA. We will be calling our expert witness, 5 Jaxon Higgs. 6 HEARING OFFICER: All right. Sir, if you'll 7 please come forward. 8 9 JAXON HIGGS, 10 called by IGWA, having been first duly sworn to tell the 11 truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: 12 13 HEARING OFFICER: Please be seated. 14 We're having a little trouble with water 15 bottles today I can see, Elisheva. 16 MS. PATTERSON: I've been coughing a little 17 bit, and so [unintelligible]. 18 HEARING OFFICER: Take your time. Don't 19 worry. 20 MS. PATTERSON: Thank you. The evidence we 21 be discussing today are [unintelligible] in the back of 22 the binders. I know it takes some time to get it 23 together. So we'll be in the 500s or, sorry, the	
24 25		24 530s in the [unintelligible] exhibits. And then 25 primarily talking about Exhibit 142 [unintelligible].	

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

13

10

DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 QUESTIONS BY MS. PATTERSON:

Q. All right. Good morning, Mr. Higgs.

A. Good morning.

Q. May I call you "Jaxon"?

6 A. Yeah.

7 Q. Okay. Let's start with your name and your

3

4

5

10

11

13

14

15

20

4

5

6

7

11

12

20

A. I'm Jaxon Higgs. I work for Water Well Consultants. I am a hydrogeologist and owner of that

12 Q. And what's your educational background?

A. I have a degree in geology and a master's degree in hydrology.

Q. And do you have any professional licenses?

16 A. Yeah. I'm a licensed professional geologist 17 in Idaho.

Q. And what's your position again with Water Well 18

Consultants?

A. I'm an owner and the lead hydrologist.

HEARING OFFICER: Can the parties stipulate to 2.1

22 his expertise having previously testified before the

23 Director as an expert?

24 MR. FLETCHER: I don't have an objection

[unintelligible].

11

Q. (BY MS. PATTERSON) And I understand that you

read the transcript from the 2021 --

A. Yeah.

3

4 Q. -- hearing?

5 Okay. That's fine. Why don't we move on into

the type of work that you do for IGWA. I do want to discuss a little the work that he does as a groundwater

8 consultant.

9 HEARING OFFICER: We just need to conserve 10 time where we can.

11 MS. PATTERSON: Yes, I understand.

12 Q. (BY MS. PATTERSON) Can you tell me about the 13 work that you do for IGWA and also the work that you do

14 for the groundwater districts?

15 A. Uh-huh. Yeah. So IGWA had hired me to help

16 keep track of the usage numbers that are associated with

the Settlement Agreement. So I compile the -- all the

18 usage information and put it in report form so that it

19 can be presented to IDWR and the Surface Water

20 Coalition.

21 And then I just help with general consulting

22 things with IGWA, including serving on technical working

23 groups, filling in for the other expert, Sophia

Sigstedt, that was here yesterday, and I attend the

25 meetings, things like that.

12

A. Yeah.

2

5

16

Q. Okay. So you can do that also.

3 Were you involved in negotiating the

4 agreement?

A. No.

Q. At what point did you become involved with the

7 agreement?

8 A. Through the groundwater districts that I

9 privately work for, I was aware of the negotiations and

10 kind of what was going on. And we had started looking

at some of the impacts of the agreement on the

individual groundwater districts, but for IGWA, I really

13 only became involved when they started trying to figure

out how to implement the agreement, so it was late 2015, 14

15 early 2016.

O. Okay. Thank you.

17 And what were you asked to do in connection

18 with this case?

19 A. In this case, I was asked to discuss the --

20 some specific information about the report that was

21 filed for the 2022 season, it was filed in 2023.

22 O. Okay. So you assisted in IGWA compiling the

information and putting together the 2022 performance 23

24 report --

25 A. Yes.

Q. And then do you also work with some of the groundwater districts that are members of IGWA?

A. Yeah, I work -- specifically, I contract with some of the groundwater districts in IGWA, not all of them, but I do aquifer management stuff for them.

Q. Did you assist those groundwater districts in developing their [unintelligible]?

8 A. Yes, all but one of the ones that I work for 9 privately, I help them put together that plan -- those plans, those -- we call them reduction plans. 10

O. Okav. Thank you.

A lot of your work has dealt with the

Settlement Agreements. You understand that the 2016 13

mitigation plan is comprised of four documents, Exhibit 500, the 2015 Settlement Agreement; Exhibit 105, 15

16 the first addendum; Exhibit 502 -- sorry. I might need

17 to correct that -- the first addendum is 501, and the 18 second addendum 502, and the A&B agreement, which is

19 Exhibit 503.

Are you familiar with these documents?

21 A. Yeah, I'm fairly familiar. I've read them all

22

23 Q. Okay. And if I refer to these as

the "Settlement Agreement" or the "Agreement," you'll 24

understand what I'm saying?

3

4

6

7

8

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

15

17

14

- Q. -- which was submitted in 2023, given that the 2 agreement has reporting [unintelligible]?
  - A. Yes. Yep.
- Q. You were here yesterday when we talked through 4 5 the performance report workbooks with Ms. Sigstedt?
- 6 A. Yes.

3

7 Q. Okay. So we don't need to revisit all of them. I'll have you go only to Exhibit 530, which is the 2020 performance for the court workbook.

10 MR. FLETCHER: Hearing Officer, may I ask a few questions in aid of objection? 11

12 I don't think this witness is going to testify 13 to anything that should be before this hearing.

Especially the issue framed by the hearing officer. And

15 I think I can establish that with just a few

16 questions --

22

23

25

1

5

11

14

15

17

18

17 HEARING OFFICER: I will allow you to make a 18 few questions in aid of objection.

19 MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. 20

HEARING OFFICER: But it's not

2.1 cross-examination.

MR. FLETCHER: No, I understand.

**VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION** 

24 **QUESTIONS BY MR. FLETCHER:** 

Q. Mr. Higgs, you're aware that the Director

determined that four districts breached the agreement in 2022; correct?

A. Yes, I've read that order.

Q. And you're aware that the Director determined the amount of the breach for each of those districts; correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And isn't the purpose of your report and your testimony in this case to change the Director's

determination of a breach or the amount of the breach? 10

11 A. Well, I don't claim to change anything the 12 Director did.

13 Q. Well, how does your report address the only issue that's before this hearing, "What action must be

15 taken by the groundwater districts to cure the 2022

16 breach of the 2016 mitigation agreement?"

17 A. Which report are you talking about? 18

Q. Your report.

19 A. The expert report that I wrote for this case? 20

Q. Yes.

21 A. I'm not talking about that today. 22

Q. So you're not here today to testify about

"What action must be taken by the groundwater districts 23

24 to cure the 2022 breach of the 2016 mitigation

agreement"?

3

16

- A. And then that's the No. 4, the issue No. 4?
- 2 O. Yes.
- 3 A. I believe that some of the things that I'm 4 testifying about have relevance there.
  - O. Can you explain what those are?

6 HEARING OFFICER: That's cross-examination. 7 Do you have an objection, sir?

- 8 Q. (BY MR. FLETCHER) Did you disclose any 9 opinion concerning what action must be taken by the groundwater districts to cure the 2022 breach?
  - A. When and where?
- 12 Q. In your report.
- 13 A. No.

MR. FLETCHER: You know, we -- what's going on is these folks want this hearing officer to revisit the same issues we went through in the 2021 breach, which was how do you calculate their report and all of those things.

19 His report has nothing to do with the issue framed by the hearing officer. I think he's just 20 admitted that. He's not rendered one opinion anywhere in this report about what groundwater districts can do to cure their breach in 2022. So we'd ask that his

testimony be excluded and the report be not allowed into 25 evidence.

**HEARING OFFICER:** Your response?

2 MS. PATTERSON: Thank you, Hearing Officer.

Respectfully, I believe this objection is

premature. We are discussing the 2022 report, which is

5 highly relevant here, given that it is the basis for

this entire hearing.

This is -- Mr. Higgs does provide relevant 8 information that impacts what the possible remedy would be and any sort of posturing or argument by the counsel that the Director's decision has addressed this issue is 10 unfounded. And we are willing to walk you through that

at this time, but we believe it's premature. 12 13 We would respectfully ask that we be allowed -- or Mr. Higgs be allowed to continue to 14 15 testify, and if they want to raise their objection once 16 more information comes in, they could do so.

17 HEARING OFFICER: What's his testimony going 18 to do from the '92 -- from the 2021 hearing where he has 19 previously testified as to how he could -- how you could implement this agreement? 20

21 MS. PATTERSON: Well, the Director, in his 22 2021 decision, adopted the performance report that IGWA

had submitted. They modified the numbers in order to

address the inconsistencies or the dispute that is in

the agreement. He did not address the baseline year

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

19

18

1 metric. And he based all of these findings on the 20212 performance report that was submitted.

Here in 2023, April 1, we submitted the 2022
performance report. It is remarkably different from
what was submitted in 2021. The Director has not issued
his final decision on this face 222 like to a second the second to the face 222 like to a second to a second to the face 222 like to a second to

7 in August -- or in April of 2022, did not find breach
 8 until August of 2023. And --

9 HEARING OFFICER: But the evidence he made his 10 decision on was set.

MS. PATTERSON: No, Your Honor -- well,
Hearing Officer, as we attempted to explain just now,
IGWA reserved the right to change their 2022 performance
report. It states that in their 2022 performance
report, which is Exhibit 545 --

16 HEARING OFFICER: [Unintelligible] to the 17 Director.

MS. PATTERSON: Yes. This was admitted to the Department.

HEARING OFFICER: In the hearing in 2021, did you preserve the right to change the testimony the

22 Director made his decision on?

3

9

10

MS. PATTERSON: We are not seeking to change Mr. Higgs' testimony. Testimony was given about the

5 baseline year not being prescribed in the Settlement

1 Agreement.

2 HEARING OFFICER: Right.

MS. PATTERSON: Perhaps I'm not understanding your question.

5 MR. FLETCHER: May I address those arguments? 6 HEARING OFFICER: Yes, I need further 7 arguments.

MR. FLETCHER: Yeah. Basically, this is an ambush going on. They're trying to present evidence of how the breach should have been calculated and/or there should be no breach if you used a different calculation.

But that's not what's before us today.

I mean, we were told the hearing before us today was how do you cure the 2022 breach. And you ruled at the beginning of this hearing that was the only evidence that could come in.

evidence that could come in.
That is not what Mr. Higgs states -- he did
not state anything in this report about how to cure a
2022 breach, and he admitted that. And for them to try
to offer anything into evidence today on how the breach

21 is calculated, whether there was a breach, all those

issues, the hearing officer has ruled those aren't at

23 issue.

3

12

13

24 HEARING OFFICER: Madam?

MS. PATTERSON: Mr. Higgs will testify about

20

the first addendum to the 2022 performance report, which was submitted to the Steering Committee, to SWC -- or SWC and the Department in this matter.

It does not purport to address the issue of breach. It will mitigate some of the breach damages. And his expert report discusses the different methods that IGWA used and considered before supplying that amended performance report.

MR. FLETCHER: What they're saying is we're going to record it in a different way because they show different numbers to reduce a breach after the Director's already made a determination there's the breach and what the amount of breach is. That's what

they're saying right now. I'm at a loss.
We were told -- it's not fair to our client -we were told the hearing before this hearing officer
dealt with how do you cure the breach found by the
Director, not how do we calculate the breach, are there

Director, not how do we calculate the breach, are there other ways to calculate it. You know, we didn't prepare for any of that. That wasn't the issue that was laid

21 out by the hearing officer.

And this testimony's already been restricted by the order entered at the beginning of the hearing, I believe. But our position is the same, this will be a

total surprise if he comes in here and tries to testify

1 as to how do you cure a 2022 breach as his opinions in

2 this report have nothing to do with that.

HEARING OFFICER: [Unintelligible]10:05:03.

4 MS. PATTERSON: Respectfully, how a 5 [unintelligible] its numbers is highly relevant here.

6 It is the foundation of what the Director based his

7 decision on in 2021. He used that method -- in 2022

8 when he found breach, that was based off of the

9 information that IGWA had provided at that point. The

10 matter was still being actively litigated.11 After we had decisions, we subm

After we had decisions, we submitted the amended performance report as we had preserved the right to do so in the April 21, 2023, report, which was

14 submitted for the 2022 report.

HEARING OFFICER: Do you feel the order in any way tried to attack the Director's August 2nd, 2023, opinion? Did you submit any other evidence other than

18 the hearing that was previously held?

MS. PATTERSON: No, Your Honor, because we had not had a decision yet from Judge Wildman on that very issue, the compliance method. So the Director issued

his decision in August of 2023. Judge Wildman did not

23 enter his memorandum decision and order until November

24 11th of the same year.

And so we had no cause to raise this issue.

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

22

We had reserved the right to amend the performance 2 report. The Director had used the information he had available at the time, but now --3

HEARING OFFICER: Has anybody used that report 4 5 for anything, that amended report?

6 MS. PATTERSON: No. Your Honor. It was 7 submitted in February of this year, only after Judge 8 Wildman -- well, after Judge Wildman's initial decision.

9 HEARING OFFICER: I don't see any relevance of 10 this report. Do you wish to address the Court,

11 Mr. Budge?

3

4

6 7

8

12 MR. BUDGE: Thank you, Hearing Officer.

13 MS. TSCHOL: Microphone, please. 14

MR. BUDGE: Thanks, Sarah.

15 The purpose of the experience to decide what a 16 corporate remedy is for the breach that allegedly 17 occurred in 2022, Mr. Higgs is prepared to discuss the 18 performance report that IGWA submitted on April 1st 19 2022.

20 The Coalition says they're being ambushed by the performance report we submitted on April 1, 2022. 22 His assignment is to explain that report, which serves

as the foundation for today's hearing and the remedy. 23

24 There's no ambush. Mr. Higgs did not admit --25

HEARING OFFICER: I'll agree [unintelligible]

for the performance report. I just don't understand the relevance of that performance report and how it is

within the confines [unintelligible].

MR. BUDGE: Let him explain the relevance of the performance report. They're trying to keep you from 5 б hearing what we actually submitted in 2022. When we 7 reported our performance, what did we give to the Department. They don't want you to hear that. They're saying you're being ambushed.

10 HEARING OFFICER: I heard that through

11 Sophia's testimony.

12 MR. BUDGE: She didn't explain it like 13 Mr. Higgs prepared to. That's what he's here to do, to

explain the 2022 performance report and why it differed

15 from the prior reports.

16 Now, a year ago Mr. Higgs testified about 17 different things. He talked about how you calculate the baseline, why we use averaging historically, what the

interpretation of the agreement meant, how you

20 determined breach.

21 Today he's talking about what did we submit in 22 2022, and why is that different than 2021. The Director

has never considered or ruled on what we submitted in

2022 or heard the information that you're about to hear

25 today.

24

1 HEARING OFFICER: [Unintelligible] argument, 2 Mr. Fletcher.

MR. FLETCHER: Well, this just goes back to the very issue we're talking about, whether there was a breach in 2022 is not at issue today. You know, the performance report was the foundation for the finding of breach. The Director found breach. The Director found the amounts. This hearing officer has already ruled

9 those are not at issue. 10 The only reason to potentially open up this stuff again is to attempt to change the amounts the Director found. That's the only reason. They did not

ask for reconsideration of the finding of breach. They did not ask for reconsideration of the amounts. And, 15 yet, they're here today to try to put on evidence

clouding this record talking about those very issues. 16

17 That's the only purpose of this testimony is 18 to deal with there shouldn't be a breach or the amount 19 should be different. This has nothing to do with what they can do to cure the breach that was found by the 20 21 Director.

22 MR. BUDGE: Can I make one argument?

The Director's decision was issued without a 24 hearing. He didn't have Mr. Higgs' testimony before

him. It was a prehearing decision, and due process

entitles the parties to a hearing to challenge the

decision. A hearing was requested.

3 HEARING OFFICER: Is there a time period which 4 was lined up?

MR. BUDGE: Yeah, it was done by the 5 6 Coalition. That's why we're here. Today is the after-the-fact hearing.

8 HEARING OFFICER: If you have a problem with 9 those documents -- with those findings, you have not indicated that by any filing in this case. 10

11 MR. BUDGE: Oh. ves. we have.

12 HEARING OFFICER: What?

13 MR. BUDGE: The April 1st, 2022,

performance -- or the 2022 performance report. 14

15 Mr. Higgs is going to explain what was --

16 HEARING OFFICER: You did not ask the Director 17 to change anything, did you?

18 MR. BUDGE: Well, the hearing was requested by 19 the Coalition, and our --

HEARING OFFICER: I understand that.

21 MR. BUDGE: Yeah. No, we didn't, because we

22 had not, at that time, completed or supplemented our

2022 performance report. So at the time 23

[unintelligible] decision, this issue over averaging and

how you allocate the 240 was not appealed to Judge

Word 4 Word Court Reporting, LLC 208-242-3289

20

23

4

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

9

did in 2022.

did in '21?

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

2.6

Wildman. And rather than, you know, jump to litigation before the Director, we thought, let's let Judge Wildman 3 make his decision, and then we'll see where we stand.

4

5

6 7

1

8

10

And if you'll actually listen to what we've submitted in 2022, you'll see this is relevant. If what we report in 2022 is not relevant to this case, then I don't know what else is. We're here to talk about our performance in 2022. Mr. Higgs needs to be able to explain what we did in 2022 and how we reported that.

10 Judge Wildman's decision said that the 11 Director did not error because he relied upon IGWA's 2021 performance report. Now, in this case the Director 12 13 must also consider IGWA's 2022 performance report. Ms. Sigstedt did not --14

15 HEARING OFFICER: Was that argued to the 16 Director at any time?

17 MR. BUDGE: We haven't had a hearing yet. 18 This is it. We have not had an after-the-fact hearing yet. This is a hearing on the decision that was issued without a hearing.

2.1 Part of the problem we have with all of this 22 litigation before the Department is the Director makes

hearings -- decisions first and then gives parties 23 after-the-fact hearings. So we have to come in after

the fact and say this is what, you know, should have

going to know why we did things different --HEARING OFFICER: [Unintelligible] in the

So if Mr. Higgs can't testify to what we did

in 2022, it's not going to be in the record. You're not

19 20 record will be an offer of proof that concerns his 21 expert opinion.

been done, try to convince him that he had done

And so here we are. We're here after the

fact. They've raised an issue as to what the remedy is.

You know the Director ruled is that he wasn't going to

impose a remedy, they challenged that, so now here we

have the after-the-fact hearing, and we're going to talk

So they're the ones that requested the

hearing, made an issue of the remedy. And if we're

going to consider that issue, we've got to consider what

in 2022, or do you want to just assume it was what we

did we do in 2022. Do you want to find out what we did

about the remedy. And relevant to the remedy is what we

something wrong the first time.

MR. BUDGE: If you hear what we did and you 22 23 don't like it, that's okay.

24 HEARING OFFICER: I understand that. That was your argument yesterday. Anything further?

MR. BUDGE: Thank you for the opportunity. I 2 appreciate it.

3 MR. FLETCHER: Mr. Budge ignores continually 4 in his arguments the issue framed by this hearing 5 officer and the findings of this hearing officer on summary judgment. The only issue is what should be done 6 7 to cure the breaches found by the Director, that's it.

Now, we would stipulate, if they want to stipulate today to the 2022 performance report that was submitted to the Director before he made his findings in 11 2023 in the record, that's not the issue. And he knows 12 that's not the issue.

13 They want to change all of that. They want to present evidence about other ways to do it. They want 14 to present all this other stuff that is outside the scope of this hearing. And they -- how they can get that into evidence under the one issue that's remaining 18 to be heard, I have no idea.

19 So that's why -- I'm not trying to waste time 20 by objecting to this stuff. This -- once the summary judgment decision came down and once Wildman's decision came down, it was pretty clear that we -- the hearing officer framed the one issue remaining to be what should be done to cure the breach. That was it. All this

25 other stuff is superfluous.

28 29

> HEARING OFFICER: I'm going to sustain this objection. You may put in the report and/or make an offer of proof concerning the performance evaluation as

> well as the report indicated by Mr. Higgs. 4 MS. PATTERSON: Thank you, Hearing Officer. 5

6 So I may proceed with testimony regarding the 7 2022 report that was submitted on April 1 of 2023, is

8 that my understanding?

HEARING OFFICER: No.

10 MS. PATTERSON: That was --

11 HEARING OFFICER: I thought I sustained the

objection. And so what evidence -- what is the 13

relevance of the performance report in 2022? Was that before the Director prior to August 2, 2023? 14

MS. PATTERSON: Yes. 15

16 HEARING OFFICER: Did he mention it? Was that 17 part of a hearing? 18

MS. PATTERSON: There was no hearing. That was provided to the parties before he issued --

19 20 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So it was informal 21 information given to the Director after the original

hearing in this -- or during -- before the hearing; is

23 that correct?

24 MS. PATTERSON: Yes, Your Honor --

25 HEARING OFFICER: So it was available to you

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

30 31 to present to the Director? that is when the Director issued his amended final order 2 MS. PATTERSON: It was presented to -- it was regarding compliance with the 2021 order. 3 provided to the Department and the Director. HEARING OFFICER: How is that brought to the 4 HEARING OFFICER: In the hearing? attention of the Director, then, as evidence? 5 MS. PATTERSON: There would be no opportunity MS. PATTERSON: In the 2021 hearing? to provide that to him. The hearing for the 2021 matter 6 HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. had passed, and it was under consideration by the 7 MS. PATTERSON: No, Your Honor, because we had Director, and we submitted this performance report as we 8 not -do every single year. 9 HEARING OFFICER: At any other time did you 10 So, no, this was not a live issue before the 10 bring this to the attention of the Director in a formal Director. He was addressing the issue of the 2021 11 11 hearing or other setting? breach in February of 2023. In April of 2023 -- April 1 12 12 MS. PATTERSON: Let me explain the timeline. of 2023, this is when we submitted this performance 13 HEARING OFFICER: Please do. 14 report to account for the 2022 usage. 14 MS. PATTERSON: The Director issued his 15 So this was -- it is impossible that this was 15 initial order finding breach in the 2021 case that he 16 before the Director before he -- you know, during the issued without hearing in -- excuse me -- September of 17 hearing that we had on the 2021 matter. It is -- and we 2022. So that would be the final order regarding haven't had a hearing on the 2022 breach until today. 18 compliance with [unintelligible] mitigation plan. But this was before him before he made his August 2023 19 That was issued again in September of 2022. 20 decision. IGWA petitioned for rehearing on that matter. 20 21 MR. BUDGE: It was submitted to the parties The hearing was held in February of 2023, and August 1 22 and Department, but it was not submitted to the Director 22 of 2023 we submitted the performance report, the 2022 23 formally. 23 performance report --24 MR. FLETCHER: Just to avoid confusion, is 24 MR. BUDGE: April 1. that I think -- if the court -- or the hearing officer 25 MS. PATTERSON: On April 1. On April 24th, 32 33 looks at the final order regarding 2022 mitigation plan we do not know. He didn't address it in this. We are 2 compliance. 2 addressing it here at the hearing that we're having on HEARING OFFICER: The order is dated, what? 3 3 MR. FLETCHER: How can you have a hearing 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: August --4 5 where you present evidence and then say, hold it, we're 5 MR. FLETCHER: August. I think it's 6 submitted, I think -going to be able to change this in the future and it 7 will be binding on future proceedings in this matter? HEARING OFFICER: August 2nd 2023? 8 8 Explain that to me, please. MR. FLETCHER: Yes. 9 9 MS. PATTERSON: That we are going to be -- I HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 10 MR. FLETCHER: Okay. The performance report don't view this as binding, but this is relevant that they're referring to is referenced in that report information that impacts whether or not -- or what the in that quarter by the Director. The Director remedy will be for the 2022 breach. 12 considered their 2022 performance report when he 13 HEARING OFFICER: Out of caution, I'm going to determined there was a breach, and then he determined 14 allow the presentation of this witness that will go to 15 the amounts of the breach for the various districts. the weight that I give it. I'm still -- this is an 15 16 HEARING OFFICER: Why is this not a collateral issue which I understand what IGWA and its signatories 17 attack on that, Madam? 17 are saying. I have great trepidation allowing this 18 MR. FLETCHER: That's our issue. 18 witness to testify, however... 19 MS. PATTERSON: I'm sorry, why is this? 19 I'm going to allow the testimony at this time 20 HEARING OFFICER: If he considered that 20 and give it the weight that it deserves based upon the 21 already in his 8-2-23 opinion, why is this not a 21 previous proceedings before the Director. And if you

23

24

25

can find some way to expedite the basis for the

MS. PATTERSON: Thank you, Hearing Officer.

HEARING OFFICER: They've already stipulated

admission of his report, I would appreciate it.

collateral attack on that evidence?

23

24

MS. PATTERSON: Well, as this report shows, we

reserved the right to amend the performance report.

Whether or not the Director took notice of that or not,

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

34 35 to the performance report of 2022, is that correct, We went over this yesterday. There has been a few 2 Mr. Fletcher? slight changes over the years. None of them were MR. FLETCHER: Yes, the original report that 3 substantial until 2022. was filed in April of 2023. 4 HEARING OFFICER: Those are already in the 5 HEARING OFFICER: Is that an exhibit? 5 record in Sophia's testimony; correct? 6 MR. FLETCHER: That's Exhibit No. --6 MS. PATTERSON: That is correct. 7 7 MS. PATTERSON: Yes, 535. HEARING OFFICER: Very well. 8 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 8 Q. (BY MS. PATTERSON) Regarding those performance reports, however, prior to 2022, did IGWA 9 MR. FLETCHER: 535. 10 report the mitigation balance column? (Exhibit 535 admitted.) 10 HEARING OFFICER: Then that's admitted, 11 A. Yeah, there was a column that was to provide 11 therefore, I don't think we need any further foundation 12 to the Department and to the Surface Water Coalition on 12 13 in that regard. 13 an individual district basis whether or not they met 14 MS. PATTERSON: Okay. Thank you. 14 their obligation. 15 15 Q. And then on Exhibit 536, which was previously 16 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 admitted, that shows the -- what we provided to the 17 **QUESTIONS BY MS. PATTERSON:** 17 Department in 2022? 18 Q. Jaxon, regarding the prior performance 18 A. Yeah. So 2022 was submitted a little bit reports, was there a standard format that IGWA would 19 differently --Q. Okay. submit those to the Department and to the Steering 20 21 A. -- due to uncertainty. Committee? 22 22 A. Yeah. We submitted -- there was always a Q. And then going back to Exhibit 535, please read, starting on page 1, the third paragraph, which summary that was created by the attorneys and -- with my 23 review. And then the performance report included all of starts: "Unlike IGWA's performance reports in years the usage information for all the groundwater districts. past," please --36 37 1 A. Okay. He's getting into how the 2022 report is calculated, which is not relevant to this hearing under the issue 2 O. -- read that. A. "Unlike IGWA's performance report in years before this --3 past, the summary tab shows only groundwater diversion 4 HEARING OFFICER: There will be a and recharge data. It does not contain a table showing 5 continuing -- you can just indicate you object, and it a baseline target conservation or mitigation balance 6 will be a continuing objection based upon that. because the final order regarding compliance with 7 7 MR. FLETCHER: Yeah, and I -approved mitigation plan compliance order issued on 8 8 HEARING OFFICER: And there may be some September 8th, 2022, necessitates that IGWA and the testimony that is admissible, but you may object on an Surface Water Coalition revisit how compliance will be individual question basis. It will be the same

measured under the agreement for 2022 in future years as explained below." 13 Q. Please read the next. 14 A. "The agreement requires each district conserve a" --15 HEARING OFFICER: I can read it. Why don't 16 17 you ask questions concerning the impact of same. MS. PATTERSON: Okay. 18 19 Q. (BY MS. PATTERSON) Jaxon, you're familiar 20 with the Settlement Agreement. 21 Do you know whether it prescribes a five-year 22 baseline, a three-year baseline, any sort of baseline

MR. FLETCHER: Again, I'm going to object for

the record. I'd prefer this be a continuing objection.

23

24

metric?

objection, but I understand the objection. But I think 12 for clarity of the record, we'll proceed that way, 13 Mr. Fletcher. 14 MR. FLETCHER: The grounds for this will be 15 that it's not relevant based upon the issue framed by 16 the Hearing Officer. 17 HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you. 18 Q. (BY MS. PATTERSON) Mr. Higgs, you can answer. 19 HEARING OFFICER: I can take notice of that. 20 It doesn't have any information in that regard. 21 MS. PATTERSON: Okay. Thank you. 22 THE WITNESS: Can you ask that question again? 23 Sorry. 24 Q. (BY MS. PATTERSON) Well, does the performance

25 report discuss that the agreement does not prescribe how

38

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

39

groundwater users are to measure compliance?

2

3 Q. And then I'll take you to page 2 of the

4 report.

10

11

14

23

24

5 A. Okay.

6 Q. The --

7 HEARING OFFICER: And when you say "report,"

performance report of --MS. PATTERSON: Yes.

HEARING OFFICER: -- 2022?

MS. PATTERSON: I apologize, yes. This is

12 Exhibit 535. This is the 2022 --

13 HEARING OFFICER: Very good.

MS. PATTERSON: -- performance report.

15 Q. (BY MS. PATTERSON) On page 2 of that 16 performance report, can you please go to the fourth 17 paragraph, the last line, and read that.

18 A. Yes. "IGWA will determine a more appropriate method of measuring compliance once the Director's decision becomes final."

Q. And had the decision -- or Director rendered a 21 22 decision in this matter yet, a final decision?

A. Not until after this report was submitted.

Q. And did IGWA do just that?

25 A. Submit a report?

Q. Did they look into a more appropriate method 2 of measuring compliance?

A. Oh, yes.

Q. And did you assist them in that? 4 5

A. Yes.

7

6 Q. Is that the subject of your expert report?

A. That's a portion of it, yes.

Q. Let's please move to your expert report, then, 9 which is Exhibit 142.

10 I'm actually going to take you to Exhibit 131, 11 please.

12 Can you please explain to me what this is?

13 A. Yeah. This was an addendum to the Settlement Agreement performance report that we were just looking at that was submitted by IGWA in February after the 15

16 decisions from the Director and the district court.

17 Q. Okay. And does it adopt a different method of 18 compliance?

19 A. I don't know if you want to say that, but it 20 does adopt a different metric for evaluating the 21 baseline.

22 Q. And the baseline affects how much --

23 MR. FLETCHER: We have an objection. This was

24 submitted after the order entered in this case that's

before the hearing officer today. It's totally

40 41

irrelevant to this proceeding.

MS. PATTERSON: Respectfully, we have 2

discussed just now how the 2022 performance report that 3

was submitted in April of '23 specifically said that 4

5 IGWA will be looking to alternate means of compliance

and the Director's order which had not yet been

7 submitted. This is just what IGWA did. So we believe it's relevant.

12

20

HEARING OFFICER: The objection is noted. I'm 10 going to let it in and give it the weight it needs. I can't -- well, okay.

11

You may proceed. Thank you, sir.

13 Q. (BY MS. PATTERSON) Can you explain to me how the baseline method adopted here factors into IGWA's 14 15 reporting?

A. Uh-huh. Since the beginning of the agreement, there was no -- there was really never a stipulated way to determine what we were measuring against, and that 19

would be the baseline. And due to the, I don't know, I guess for lack of a better term, shake up of all of the orders that

were made and the method that the Department used to -that they extrapolated from our reports and determined

what their opinion of the overages were or the breaches

25 were, IGWA felt that it was more appropriate, given the

circumstances, to use a three-year baseline portion of the same five years just shortening it to three. And

that baseline was used as a metric to compare against the current year's usage.

5 Q. And on page 3 of the first addendum to the 6 2022 Settlement Agreement performance report,

7 Exhibit 131, does that provide an updated table?

8 A. Yeah. So everything on that table will be the same except for the baseline numbers. We also, due to 9 10 the Director's order, removed Southwest Irrigation

District and A&B Irrigation District. So this was an

attempt to -- after the orders were all made -- to come into better compliance on a reporting with what the

Director had ordered. 14

15 And so the first column there, the IDWR target 16 conservation, was a change based off of what the

17 Director ordered. The three-year baseline is the method

18 that IGWA adopted as the -- as the baseline measurement 19 to compare annual usage against.

20 And then all of the numbers will be the same 21 until you get back to that '22 mitigation balance where

22 those numbers would differ because IDWR's order required

us to change the target conservation. And then we

changed the three-year baseline, so you can see there

that those -- that mitigation balance will have changed

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

43

45

42

and the total conservation numbers. So those would be 2 the changes that were made in this addendum. 3

Q. Thank you.

4

5

6

MR. THOMPSON: Hearing Officer, can I make a point on this exhibit?

This is Travis Thompson for the record.

7 So IGWA did submit their '22 performance report as required by the agreement in the addendums by April 1st, 2023. That was submitted, the Director made his order August 2nd finding a breach. Hand reviewed by the Department, the Department submitted its review by July 1st, as provided by the mitigation plan Director's 12

13 orders approving it. 14

They submitted this to the Director like three 15 weeks ago. What the Director thinks of this we don't know. We're not here to litigate this addendum, whether they can file an addendum, whether they can change how

they measure compliance, but that's all this does.

And so we'd object to this coming in on this 20 hearing for the reason Mr. Fletcher stated. But they're just trying to rewrite history. The fact that they were

out of compliance, the Director found that, this

attempts to show that they were in compliance based upon

the revised theory that they have that was rejected by

the Director, rejected by the district court.

1 Thank you.

> 2 HEARING OFFICER: I'm going to sustain the 3 objection.

4 Q. (BY MS. PATTERSON) Mr. Higgs, I would like to 5 direct you to -- well, switch gears a little bit and

talk about the groundwater districts that you assist and

how you consulted with them and implemented the

Settlement Agreement. 8

9 First, is there a uniform program that the 10 groundwater districts use to produce groundwater pumping 11 and conduct recharge to comply with the agreement?

A. No.

13 Q. Does the agreement explain how this should be 14 done?

15 A. No.

12

16 Q. How long did it take the districts to develop 17 its reduction programs?

18 A. It depends on the district. Some of them

19 started to attempt it as the negotiations were

occurring. All of them had something, even if it was

just a skeleton, in place by the beginning of the 2016

22 season.

23 Q. Okay.

24 A. So from, you know, eight, nine months to three

25 or four months. Some of them were cramming at the end

44

1 of it.

5

7

8

16

17

18

Q. Okay. And in rolling out these programs, does it take some education for the groundwater districts, 3 4 you know, with their men first?

A. Yeah, so the districts created the framework for these plans internally but actual implementation of it, especially on a grower level, took quite a bit longer.

9 In fact, the first year, 2016, was really just 10 kind of a guessing year where everybody was trying to figure out what was going on. We didn't have flow meters in place, and so it's very difficult to implement down to the grower level something like this. And the magnitude of what happened was pretty amazing in that 15 short period of time.

O. And I'm talking about at the grower level. You know, how quickly do you think growers can change their usage?

19 You know, if they have selected a crop, if 20 there's crops in the ground, how quickly can they inhibit?

21 A. It depends on the grower, obviously. But later in the season there's just a lot less flexibility.

24 If it's at the beginning of seasons, sometimes they can,

you know, turn their hay off early, or they can chop

their grain instead of harvesting it, you know, with a combine. And so there are some things they can do, but

the later in the season, the more difficult it is.

Q. Okay. And which districts did you assist in developing these conservation programs?

5

A. That would be North Snake Groundwater 7 District, Magic Valley Ground Water District, American

8 Falls-Aberdeen Ground Water District, and

9 Bonneville-Jefferson Ground Water District.

10 MR. FLETCHER: Director, we're -- Hearing 11 Officer, we're going to object. This is a rehash of what was presented on the 2021 breach and is not

13 relevant to the issue before this Hearing Officer.

14 MS. PATTERSON: Respectfully, this is 15 relevant. This is not -- while similar testimony may have been elicited during the 2021 breach matter just 16

17 because these are things that don't change. 18

Here in terms of damages and remedy, it needs 19 to be acknowledged that the Director's final order,

20 which effectively changed IGWA's understanding of the

21 agreement, their obligations under it, didn't come out 22 until September of 2022, two-thirds of the way through

23 the irrigation season.

24 What Mr. Higgs is testifying about is highly

relevant to whether or not it's even feasible for

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

47 46 groundwater districts to avoid breach. I think we've known each other quite a while. 2 HEARING OFFICER: This is in 2022? THE WITNESS: Yep. 3 MS. PATTERSON: Yes, Your Honor. 3 MR. FLETCHER: And as I understand it, the 4 HEARING OFFICER: Sir? 4 Director did allow the expert report -- excuse me -- the 5 hearing officer allowed the expert report into evidence; MR. FLETCHER: Yeah, whether there was a 6 6 is that correct? breach isn't before this hearing. 7 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Unintelligible.] HEARING OFFICER: I'm going to sustain the 8 objection. The biggest problem is, and -- I'm not going MR. FLETCHER: Was the expert report admitted? 9 HEARING OFFICER: As an offer of proof. to say it. Please talk to Mr. Budge, and I apologize for interrupting, ma'am. 10 MS. PATTERSON: The 2022 -- oh, sorry, the 10 MS. PATTERSON: We would request that you 11 11 expert report? That was not admitted. 12 MR. FLETCHER: The expert report was not overturn that objection. We are not addressing whether 12 13 or not there was a breach with this line of testimony, 13 admitted? rather we're looking at the equities of the 14 HEARING OFFICER: Correct. 15 circumstances here and fashioning a remedy and --15 Yes, ma'am? 16 HEARING OFFICER: I don't think equities go 16 MS. PATTERSON: We would like to clarify that 17 into it, madam. We have a contract that's been 17 the first addendum was submitted as an offer of proof. interpreted, and we are here to see how you can cure the That would be Exhibit 131. breaches previously found. And I'm not sure that 19 We had gone through most of the testimony, Mr. Fletcher objected, you sustained, and we're making equities go into that acknowledgement -- or that 20 21 21 analysis. that as an offer. 22 22 HEARING OFFICER: Exhibit 131? Sustained. Thank you, though. MS. PATTERSON: Nothing further, then. 23 MS. PATTERSON: Yes. 23 24 24 **HEARING OFFICER: Cross?** HEARING OFFICER: And that is what, madam. 25 25 MS. PATTERSON: That is the first addendum to MR. FLETCHER: Mr. Higgs, I'm Kent Fletcher. 48 49 the 2022 performance report. That is when IGWA adopted Bonneville-Jefferson, Mr. Hearing Officer, would like to alternate baseline and reported under that. present, on rebuttal, Bryce Contor. 3 HEARING OFFICER: As an offer of proof? HEARING OFFICER: Very well. MR. BUDGE: IGWA does have a lay witness, but MS. PATTERSON: Yes. 4 5 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. That will be because Mr. -- IGWA has another lay witness that we'll 5 6 marked as a proposed exhibit not admitted but as an call, Bill Stoddart, but because Mr. Contor is an expert offer of proof. 7 7 witness, we thought it was appropriate to have him 8 MR. FLETCHER: So Exhibit 131 was admitted 8 testify at this time. 9 9 under an offer of proof. Exhibit 142, the expert report HEARING OFFICER: I appreciate the courtesy, 10 10 was not admitted; is that correct? sir. 11 **HEARING OFFICER:** Madam? 11 MR. FLETCHER: No objection. 12 MS. PATTERSON: That is correct. 12 HEARING OFFICER: Very well. Please identify 13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: For clarification, I 13 yourself, sir. MR. JOHNS: My name is Skyler Johns, and I think [unintelligible] 141, but 142 was admitted 14 15 vesterday. That was [unintelligible]. 15 represent Bonneville-Jefferson Ground Water District. MR. FLETCHER: I'm sorry, Exhibit 141 was not 16 16 HEARING OFFICER: Very good. 17 admitted? 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Unintelligible.] 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's my 18 HEARING OFFICER: Sure. 19 understanding. 19 20 20 MR. FLETCHER: In light of that, I don't have BRYCE CONTOR. 21 any questions. 21 called as a rebuttal witness by the Bonneville-Jefferson 22 HEARING OFFICER: Very well. Ground Water District having been first duly sworn to 23 Mr. Higgs, thank you, sir. tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as 23 24 The next witness. 24 follows:

25 ///

25

MR. JOHNS: If IGWA doesn't have any others,

7

8

16

50

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

51

HEARING OFFICER: Very well. Please be seated. I apologize to individuals, I have 40 years in the court system, and usually a clerk does that. So I 4 appreciate your patience in my incompetence in that regard. I'm sure there are other thoughts of my incompetence, but we'll move on.

1

3

5

6

21

22

MR. JOHNS: Well, first, just preliminary, 7 Mr. Hearing Officer, we are sensitive to the time restraints, so some of this presentation we have worked through in effort to try and avoid any duplicity of presentation, so there will be some questions -- again, 11

I told Travis Thompson this as well -- that we're going 12 to maybe refer to prior testimony. 13 14

It's not in any way trying to shortchange the 15 report. It's just to try and speed things along so that we're not spending all day going over similar 17 conclusions with respect to this expert.

18 HEARING OFFICER: I appreciate your discussion 19 with Mr. Thompson as well as your efforts here today. 20 Thank you, sir.

MR. JOHNS: Okay. Very well.

23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 **QUESTIONS BY MR. JOHNS:** 25

Q. Mr. Contor, will you please state your name

and spell it for the record.

A. Bryce A. Contor. First name B-r-y-c-e, last name C-o "N" as in "November, "T" as in "Tango," o-r.

4 Q. Do you mind if we refer to you as "Bryce"

5 during your testimony? 6

A. [No audible response.]

Q. Okay. What's your current position?

A. I'm the principal hydrologist of Contor Water,

9 LLC, which is -- mainly my wife [unintelligible].

10 Q. And will you please briefly describe your 11 educational background?

12 A. I've got an associate's degree in farm crops 13 management, a bachelor's degree in agricultural economics, I have a master's degree in hydrology. 14

15 Q. Do you have any licenses currently?

A. Driver's license.

17 Q. Very well. Do you have -- can you please

18 detail your experience in groundwater matters.

19 A. So my experience in groundwater matters begins 20 in 2001, 2001 through 2010. 2011 I worked for

University of Idaho developing ESPAM 1.0; 1.1, was

involved for most of; 2.1, was involved through all of

2.2 as a member of the Eastern Snake Hydrologic Model

24 Committee, but I was not one of the modelers working on

25 a team for that model. And then I've applied that work

52

through my career since the development of ESPAM 1.2.

2 Q. Okay. Can you please clarify what the ESPAM is? 3

4 A. It began as Enhanced Snake Plain Aquifer 5 Model. With version 2.2 then it was changed to Eastern

Snake Plain Model. It's the [unintelligible] model of 6

7 the [unintelligible]. It's used by IDWR in

8 administration and in planning and in evaluation.

9 MR. FLETCHER: Hearing officer, to the extent 10 this is being used to lay foundation that he's an

expert, we'll stipulate that he is. He's been

identified as an expert in previous Department

proceedings. If you're laying foundation for other things, that's fine. 14

15

16

19

23

HEARING OFFICER: Very well.

MR. JOHNS: Thank you, Counselor.

17 HEARING OFFICER: Under 702 I'll allow expert 18 testimony.

MR. JOHNS: Very well.

20 Q. (BY MR. JOHNS) Moving along then, Mr. -- or, Bryce, were you asked to prepare a report in this matter

for Bonneville-Jefferson Ground Water District?

A. I was, uh-huh.

24 Q. What were you asked to do?

25 A. I was asked to respond to the expert report prepared by Colvin. That was the limited scope I was asked to complete.

Q. Okay. Is your analysis specific to 3

4 Bonneville-Jefferson?

A. It is.

5

9

18

6 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the parties in this case you referred to as the 2016 Settlement 7

Agreement? 8

A. Yes, I am.

10 Q. Okay. Did you review it in preparation for 11 vour testimony?

12 A. I did.

13 Q. Okay. And we're going to refer you to -- I

think if we have Exhibit 1 up there, that's Mr. Colvin's 14

report. And just if you want to have that available for 15 16 reference.

17 A. I'm getting [unintelligible].

Q. And then as you're getting there --

19 MS. TSCHOHL: For clarification, that is

20 Exhibit 300: correct?

21 MR. JOHNS: For Mr. Colvin's report?

22 MS. TSCHOHL: Oh, Colvin.

23 MR. JOHNS: Mr. Colvin's report. Yeah, yeah,

24 Dave Colvin's report Exhibit 1. And then Bryce Contor's

report, 300. Mr. Hearing Officer, for reference, those

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

54 55 will be the primary documents that we'll be looking at. 1 today? 2 HEARING OFFICER: Are these in here? A. Yes, they are. 3 MR. JOHNS: Yep, 300 and Exhibit 1. 3 Q. Okay. And is that report marked as 4 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. You may proceed, 4 Exhibit 300 in front of you there? 5 A. Yes, it is. sir. 6 Q. Okay. Does your report identify any technical 6 THE WITNESS: I think I was in the wrong book. 7 deficiencies with methodology and approach contained in 7 Okay. Here's an Exhibit 1 in this book. Mr. Colvin's report? 8 Yes, okay. A. It does. 9 MR. JOHNS: And then Bonneville-Jefferson's 10 Q. Okay. Does your report dispute the remedy 10 Exhibit No. 300, which I think is the black binder. proposed by Dave Colvin? 11 11 THE WITNESS: The black binder? 12 A. It does. 12 MR. JOHNS: The black binder. 13 Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you just a couple of 13 Sorry, everyone. 14 questions preliminarily. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. [Unintelligible]. 15 Were you present during the testimony, 15 MS. TSCHOHL: Bryce, can you turn the 16 Mr. Colvin? 16 microphone on, please. [Unintelligible]. Thank you. 17 A. Yes. 17 MS. PATTERSON: So Exhibit 1 is in here. 18 Q. Okay. Were you -- do you recall testimony 18 HEARING OFFICER: Do you have it? 19 regarding the Settlement Agreement and provisions that 19 THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm here. Thank you. informed his technical --20 MR. JOHNS: Okay. Mr. Hearing Officer, are 20 21 A. I do. 21 you there? 22 Q. Okay. Did you find any provisions in the 22 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. You may proceed. 23 Settlement Agreement that dictate what actions must be 23 Q. (BY MR. JOHNS) Okay. Are the opinions that taken by a groundwater district if they breach their you formulated with regard to Mr. Colvin's report annual reduction requirement? contained in an expert report that's been submitted 56

57

A. I did not find any.

2

6

7

8

Q. Did you find any provisions of the Settlement Agreement that require that the actions to be ordered by the Director to cure a breach of the annual reduction obligation be equal to the volume of water that a signatory allegedly over consumed in any particular vear?

A. I did not find that in the order.

9 Q. Okay. So is it safe to say, from a technical standpoint, your opinion is you didn't receive any instruction on how to craft a remedy for breach?

12 A. The order does not provide any technical 13 guidance.

Q. Okay. Okay. Were you present during the 14 15 testimony of Sophia Sigstedt and Jaxon Higgs? 16

A. Yes.

17 Q. Okay. Did you reach similar conclusions in 18 your analysis as they did?

19 A. For the subset of their testimonies that I 20 analyzed, our conclusions were similar.

21 O. Okay. So in the interest of time, as we work through your report, I'm just going to ask that you please indicate for each of the opinions where there may be points of agreement so that the group knows that --

and we don't need to go through in detail if it's

already been presented -- could you just indicate what your agreement is or if there's any variation, just for 3 the sake --

A. I can. You may need to remind me.

Q. Very well. Okay. So let's turn to your expert report. This will be Exhibit 300. And I first want to go down to the bottom. Your opinion's on the 8 bottom there. You're challenging Opinion No. 9 and 9 Opinion No. 10, it appears, of Mr. Colvin's report.

10 Will you summarize what Mr. Colvin's opinions 11 were? And you may reference your report if it refreshes 12 your memory.

13 A. So we -- the opinions of Colvin that we 14 addressed I repeated at the beginning of the report. So 15 on page 2 of our report, he says, Opinion 9, that an 16 effective remedy to the 2022 breach could include 17 reducing 2024 pumping at specified locations. 18

And then Opinion 10 he provides the volumes 19 that he proposes that the reduction be and that it 20 should occur during the 2024 irrigation season. 21

O. Okay. Do you disagree with that opinion?

22 A. I did disagree with that opinion. And primarily my disagreement is -- springboards off of the

modeling that he proposed. And I -- conceptually, I

agree with the modeling that it's intriguing we have

Word 4 Word Court Reporting, LLC 208-242-3289

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

58

talked about the purpose of the plan is to sustain the aquifer. And that's my recollections from my 3 involvement during the negotiations. However, the 4 stated objective of the plan is to keep reach gains.

5

6

7

11

14

15

17

3

4

6

8

9

10

15

22

25

actions.

the future.

Oh, and so Mr. Colvin is correct in applying the ESPAM -- but, yeah, the ESPAM, whatever you call it, to assess those. He was technically incorrect in only looking at a single year. Just as it would be technically incorrect to look at one of the years that IGWA vastly over performed and extrapolate that far into

12 Q. Could you please expound on why that was 13 technically incorrect?

A. It was technically incorrect because there is a temporal delay caused by transitive actions through the aquifer. And there is a spatial distribution of efforts through the aquifer. And so to look at a single year in isolation or to look at a single location in isolation does not reflect the physical process of what actually happens to effect that stated goal of the plan, which is to preserve reach gains.

Q. As it pertains to Bonneville-Jefferson, did 23 you perform any analysis as to whether or not Mr. Colvin's analysis in his modeling correctly estimated what the correct remedy should be, in your opinion?

A. So I didn't repeat his modeling. I trust that he performed it competently, but I corrected the deficiency of only looking at one year and applied the modeling to all the years and looked only at Bonneville-Jefferson. б

7 But qualitatively, the results were similar to what was presented yesterday by Ms. Sigstedt. And that was that not only did Surface Water Coalition receive adequate in 2022, according to what the goal of the plan 10 11 was, which was to sustain their reach gains, actually, 12 you see that nearly double what basic performance would 13 have been had Bonneville-Jefferson conserved exactly 21 -- whatever the number is -- 21,134 exactly and only 15 that every year. 16

In 2022 Surface Water Coalition received more 17 than twice. This is not discussing the breach. The breach is a [unintelligible] performance. The goal of the plan and, therefore, what must be mitigated is what 20 was received at the reach.

21 And even if you only looked at 2022 efforts, 22 discarded everything previously, because much of 23 Bonneville-Jefferson's effort in 2022 was delivery of wet water, even -- only looking at 2022, Surface Water Coalition received more than it would have received if

60

looking only at 2022 there had been a reduction of 21 whatever the number is.

Q. So it's your testimony, based off of that and the goals as you looked at the Settlement Agreement, adequate water supply was provided, and the remedy that is proposed by SWC, therefore, isn't justified?

A. That is correct.

Q. Do you have any other information to add on Opinion 9 or Opinion 10?

A. So we were asked in this proceeding to inform our opinion of what the remedy should be. And globally it is Rocky Mountain's opinion -- so I am a subcontractor for Rocky Mountain Environmental. I am a former employee, now retired, now a subcontractor. 14

Our proposal is that this methodology introduced by Colvin and elaborated upon by Sigstedt and 16 17 myself, it is an appropriate methodology, that whenever 18 there's a breach -- and, perhaps, even if there is not a breach -- that every year modeling should be used to ensure that in that year the Surface Water Coalition receives the benefit contemplated by the prescribed

23 Q. Okay. Anything else on that opinion?

24 A. No. Thank you.

Q. Okay. I think let's go back to your report.

Maybe we'll just continue, we'll work our way

backwards --

3

4

12

15

A. That's fine.

O. -- for reference.

5 Could you please look at Opinion 8 and б summarize.

A. Yeah. So his Opinion 8 was that there should 8 be real-time reporting or near real-time reporting of diversions from groundwater. And, you know, first of all, I don't see that that speaks to a remedy. That 11 can't rewind the clock and change what happened in 2022.

But to the extent that that has merit, and I 13 think it does have merit, it applies to water of any source. The spatial distribution should be similar 14 across sources. And the temporal timing of the near 16 real-time reporting should be commensurate with the --17 of the physical response.

18 So if the river drops on Monday and a surface 19 water user seemed to be short of water on Tuesday, that informs the timing that would be appropriate for

21 real-time reporting of surface water diversions. If I

shut off a well in Bliss in 2021 and that effect finds its way to the Surface Water Coalition reach in 2035,

that would inform the appropriate timing for that sort

of reporting.

59

7

9

10

11

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

65

62

- Q. But as a remedy for breach, in your view, it doesn't --
  - A. It's irrelevant.
- Q. But it potentially is preventative or it justincreases the ability to track and monitor water?
- 6 A. Yes.

3

17

18

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

25

here.

- 7 Q. Okay. For both surface and groundwater?
- 8 A. Yes
- 9 Q. Okay. Any other opinions you have on Opinion 10 No. 8 in Colvin's --
- 10 No. 8 in Colvin 11 A. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. Go to Opinion No. 6.

Please summarize -- could you please summarize your disagreement -- or what Mr. Colvin's opinion was and what your disagreement was or if it's been covered already by Sophia Sigstedt?

A. Well, thank you for that reminder. So, in essence, our Opinion 6 is very similar analysis and conclusions as Ms. Sigstedt's but applied just to the Bonneville-Jefferson Groundwater District.

Figure 4, I think, is the key figure. The
white bars are what the Surface Water Coalition actually
received as a result of Bonneville-Jefferson's actions
taking Mr. Colvin's modeling paradigm and applying it to
all available data.

63

And it's intriguing, 2023, though, by the
prescribed action, Bonneville-Jefferson exceeded its
requirement. By this alternate metric that Rocky
Mountain has proposed, the Surface Water Coalition would
be indicated by the modeling to not have received quite
enough.

And that's -- illustrates the difficulty of moving goal posts with wheels on them, which is -- I almost said bad words last night in that regard.

Q. And what do you mean by moving the goal posts? What are you referring to?

A. Well, so even the agreement itself -- so I
think I'm the only one of the experts who was present
during negotiations, and it was very clear during
negotiations -- it was articulated yesterday by counsel
-- that the goal of the agreement was to raise water
levels broadly across the aquifer over a long period of
time.

The stinking thing doesn't say that in its
written objectives. In its objectives it says that the
goal is to keep reach gain. So I can see why sometimes
we're told to focus on aquifer levels, sometimes we're
told to focus on reach gains, and for convenience, the
actual metrics specified in the agreement is different,
yet, it's the sentinel wells. So I can see why

64

inel 1 gains. And so there's no other guidance in the document

2 itself as to how breach should be remedied, except that3 because the stated objective of the plan is reach gains,

4 it seems reasonable to me that the remedy should focus on reach gains.

And Mr. Colvin, I think, correctly applied
modeling to assess part of the effect on reach gains.
And we simply have to expand that to include all
relevant data. And I think we have a scientifically
valid method to calculate the remedy that's consistent
with the stated goals of the plan.

Q. Okay. So on that -- I think you may have said this already, but just to be clear on this point -- when Mr. Colvin ran his modeling you're referring to where he's -- he began in '22 and he looked at the injury moving into the future on the -- to the reach --

A. Well, so it would be impossible for Mr. Colvin to analyze injury using the model. He used the word "injury," or he may have used the word "impact." There

are people who assign nuances of meaning, and they mayread this word "impact" and think a particular nuance,

but, again, the model cannot predict impact. That's

23 impossible for the model to do.24 The model can predict ef

The model can predict effect. And I believe that much of what Mr. Colvin, when he used the word

64

1 sometimes we're told to focus on the stinking sentinel 2 wells.

- O. Well, and --
- 4 A. Excuse me.
  - Q. And, Bryce -- and I can understand your passion on the subject. You've been involved in it for many years.

But could you please just describe why that focus on the sentinel wells versus the reach gains is significant as it pertains to determining what an adequate remedy would be in this case?

A. Yeah, so yesterday there was confusion about whether this proceeding was regarding adaptive management or whether it was regarding addressing breach.

And in the agreement, clearly, the adaptive management is tied through the sentinel wells, and our report goes through the technical reasons that that was adopted as the metric.

I think that it's correct that the breach is calculated based on annual performance. And I don't dispute that. Although, there is a problem of conservation of mass that, apparently, is not at issue

But the stated objective of the plan is reach

7

10

17

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

67

66

"injury" or "impact," he meant "effect." And he did, he used the model, and he correctly showed what a little narrow slice of the record would be indicated the effect 4

And so all that has to be done is to simply include all the relevant data, and then that becomes a sound method.

Q. And that wasn't done in Mr. Colvin's analysis, in your opinion?

A. I didn't see that he used the full suite of

12 Q. Okay. Any further opinions that were not 13 offered previously to Response 6? 14

A. I don't think so.

5

6

7

10

11

5

6

19

21

22

15 Q. Okay. Will you please summarize Opinion 16 No. -- Mr. Colvin's Opinion No. 5, and just please 17 indicate whether you agree or disagree and whether it's been addressed by Ms. Sigstedt and what your opinion is with regard to how that was addressed by --

A. So Mr. Colvin's Opinion 5 -- let me look at it so I get this correctly. My response is more in my 21 mind. Okay. 22

23 ESPAM results show that the underperformance 24 will cause a certain calculated decline in the sentinel

well index. This is significant -- these impacts

propagate into the future and warrant mitigation.

So starting at the back, "impacts propagate into the future and warrant mitigation," I would agree 3 that effects propagate into the future and weren't mitigation. And this applies to all years of the б record.

Whether .29 feet is significant in the context of a record that has ranged from minus 3 feet and would have been clear down at minus 13 feet and whether .29 feet is significant in that, I don't know if it's statistically significant. 11

12 I think it's maybe, in Mr. Colvin's opinion, 13 subjective opinion, but -- significant. But I think more importantly -- and maybe because Mr. Colvin wasn't 15 present during the negotiations, he's conflating those 16 three different sets of goalposts that we talked about.

18 effect of any shortfall or any overperformance on the sentinel well index. And I think the valid way to perform that would be the way IDWR did as reproduced 21 here in Figure 3.

It is important, I think, to consider the

22 Ms. Sigstedt referred to an earlier version of 23 this figure that ended in 2021-2022. This one goes clear through to 2023. I think the highlight of this

figure is the last set of lines. The black line is

68

where we are. It's at not quite minus 9. So minus 8.8 something. The bottom dashed line is where we would

have been without implementation of the plan, which is 3 minus -- maybe minus 13.2. I think that's one takeaway. 4

The other takeaway is that, perhaps,

Mr. Colvin's method of comparing -- directly comparing aquifer -- changes in aquifer storage to the index has

some merit, except that the data are widely scattered.

9 But to take a single pair of data from a time series is 10 statistically invalid.

11 I could look at a year when -- on page 6, my Table 1, I could look at 2018 to 2019 storage went down

by 30,000 acre-feet, the well index came up by 0.4. We can extrapolate that and say if we really want to

improve the index, then IGWA should over pump by 15

300,000, and then you'll come up by 4 feet. Well, 16

17 that's idiotic because it's statistically invalid. 18

Q. So it's your opinion still that by isolating the data and not looking at all the relevant technical data that we've -- that you normally look at as a hydrologist, his opinion was technically --

A. Correct.

23 O. -- incorrect?

24 A. Yeah. It's correct that the -- that the

25 opinion was incorrect.

Figure 1 talks about how much difference a

version of the model makes. I think Ms. Sigstedt covered that adequately, and I think Mr. Colvin

explained it correctly in his testimony. So I think

that's all on -- I've gone astray. That was Opinion 5. 6 I apologize.

Q. Okay. So just so we're clear, so you were 8 just giving testimony with regard to Opinion 5?

9 A. I was. And you had asked about 6, and I 10

11 Q. That's okay. Do you have anything else to add on Opinion No. 5? 13

A. No. sir.

14 Q. Okay. Is there anything you need to add on 15 Opinion No. 6 of Mr. Colvin's report that you dispute? 16 And is there anything in there that you have to add that

17 Ms. Sigstedt may have -- may or may not have covered?

18 A. No, sir. I think her analysis was slightly 19 different than mine because mine was focused on a 20 district. Mine was more detailed than Mr. Colvin's,

21 less detailed than Mr. Sigstedt's [sic], but I think the 22

results are consistent when applied to all the data. Q. Okay. So you agree with Ms. Sigstedt's 23

24 testimony --25 A. [Unintelligible.]

70

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

71

Q. -- on it as to that point.

2 Okay. We're moving right along here. Would you please summarize your response to Opinions No. 3 and 3 4 7 and indicate if there's any additional information

5 that you have on that that Ms. Sigstedt may or may not

have covered?

1

7

14

17

18

4 5

6

8

9

10

11

14

A. So --

8 Q. And please summarize the opinions for the 9 record, as well.

10 A. So here's -- Opinion 3 is that effects 11 propagate into the future. We agree. We agree that that applies to -- we agree with Ms. Sigstedt that applies to effects of all actions.

Opinion 7 is that the mitigation plan recognizes the long-term cumulative impacts of IGWA's junior pumping. I think it's implicit. I didn't find that explicitly stated, but I think implicitly it is in

19 Underperformance in 2022 causes, he says, 20 impacts. It's impossible to know, but it certainly affects the propagate as do all other years of the record. And I think Ms. Sigstedt appropriately responded to that. 23

24 Q. Okay. And you -- and I think you've clarified 25

this, but impacts versus effects, what's the

significant --

3

A. Yeah.

Q. -- what's the significance of that in trying to craft remedy or determine what --

A. Yeah. So an effect is inescapable. If I take a bucket of water out of the Snake River, the Snake River is one bucket of water short. That is an effect. And the model is sometimes not so shiny, but it's our shiniest toy for estimating effects.

10 If when I take that bucket of water out of the 11 river, the water supply situation is such that someone 12 could not receive their full paper allocation of their water right, that becomes an impact. But suppose the moment that that effect and that impact reaches their 15 headgate, it's August, they've already harvested their 16 grain, they have not yet started fall irrigation to 17 facilitate tillage, there is no injury.

18 So effect is automatic. It's what happens when there's less water than there would have been. The 19 spring of 1997 when I was filling sandbags in Roberts, there would have been no injury from the pumping that occurred 40 years before in Mud Lake that was finally

23 propagating its way to the river. 24 Q. So if you're trying to meet the roles in what

you have described of the agreement to make sure that

72

8

9

21

the reach gains aren't injured and provide water to the SWC through the reach, you need to be looking at impacts; is that correct?

A. Yes. Yeah.

Q. Do you believe Mr. Colvin's report adequately did that in discussing what remedy was appropriate?

A. So I think that if we just substitute the word "effect" for "impact," that overcomes that little difficulty of his report. The fact that he did not include all data is a larger problem that can't be changed by a semantics.

Q. Very good. Okay. Anything else on response to Opinions 3 and 7? 13

A. No.

15 Q. Okay. And then finally on response to Opinion 16 1, I think you have referenced this before,

"conservation of mass," is there anything that hasn't been addressed already by other experts with regard to 19 your response to Opinion 1? 20

A. So.

21 Q. If you would please summarize what your 22 response to Opinion 1 is.

A. Yeah. So, you know, his Opinion 1 was that it was -- there was a breach, and he quantified the breach.

And, in and of itself, that's all right, but I

understood that his was a technical report. This sounds more like a legal opinion, and legally I understand that

that's been decided. But, technically, there is the law

of conservation of mass. And the calculation that was

used to arrive at those numbers violates the conservation of mass.

Q. And would you please describe, briefly, what you mean by the law of conservation of mass for us lay

10 A. Yeah. So you cannot create or destroy water, 11 essentially. It's conservation of mass. And so if you -- you know, if you put five bricks in a pot and grind them up into brick powder and then you add 4 14 tablespoons of olive oil and weigh it, the weight is going to add up to the rate of the original bricks and 15 16 the weight of the olive oil.

17 If you were to set fire to it and the olive 18 oil were to burn off, the reduction in the weight would 19 correspond to the amount of the olive oil that became 20 smoke. That's conservation of mass.

So in the case of the 240,000, the 240,000 --

22 and I was there when this was debated -- was rounding up a best scientific estimate of the shortfall of water in

the aquifer. And that shortfall was attributed to

groundwater pumping. And the groundwater pumping that

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

75

74

actually caused that shortfall was the groundwater pumping from ridgetop to ridgetop.

A large part of that groundwater pumping was 4 immediately excluded. Anything outside of the Rule 50 was excluded. Anything that was not within a groundwater district was immediately excluded. So that was part of it.

And then later another part was excluded, and that was the part of groundwater districts or irrigation districts relying upon groundwater to have alternate plans. And, yes, the original 240 was never reduced. It's like three guys go into a restaurant.

13 They [unintelligible] a bill of \$240. The first guy gets up and leaves. The second guy gets up and pays \$80. The third guy goes to the cash register, and the clerk says, "That will be \$240, sir," that's 17 conservation of mass.

18 Q. Okay.

3

5

7

10

11

12

19 A. And that's -- that's independent of what's 20 been determined legally. That's just a technical fact that Mr. Colvin omitted to -- failed to acknowledge.

Q. Okay. And so by not acknowledging that, from a technical standpoint, is it your opinion that

Mr. Colvin's report was technically deficient in that 25 regard?

A. It's technically deficient in that regard. And then second, that it really wasn't a technical opinion to start with, it was a legal opinion.

Q. Okay. Do you have anything else -- or any -if you want to take a moment and just scan through your report, is there any other responses to Mr. Colvin's opinions that you would like to share that we -- or discuss relative to your report that we haven't covered already?

10 A. There is one. Figure 4 is modeled accruals. 11 It's very similar, conceptually, to what Ms. Sigstedt 12 did, only it refers only to the Bonneville-Jefferson. 13 If you look at the red line indicated to be today, there begins to be a decline. And that's only

15 because we did not project future actions in our 16 modeling.

17 In reality, my clients have told me that 18 they're committed to do what's necessary to actually keep the Surface Water Coalition whole. And so those lines, in reality, will continue to build, and that decline will not actually occur. 21

22 Q. So there was an assumption made that you 23 disagreed with, is that what I'm hearing?

24 A. Only that there is a danger of misinterpreting 25 Figure four.

76

Q. Okay. Any other responses that you need to cover or you feel you need to cover from your report?

A. Let me just read the last -- the most important part of my report is the last sentence.

5 Q. Okay. Just for reference, we're on Exhibit 6 No. 300 --

A. Page 11.

Q. -- page 11.

9 A. You got it. So just above the signature

10 block.

4

8

18

19

20

21

11 O. Okav.

A. Then remedies should be crafted so that at the 13 end of each year the accruals for that year from the full history of Bonneville-Jefferson Ground Water 15 District efforts would sum to at least the accruals for 16 that year that would have resulted from a continuous time series at exactly the required reduction. And the reason for that conclusion is the stated goal of the plan to keep the Surface Water Coalition reach whole.

Q. In your opinion, has Bonneville-Jefferson accomplished that for the 20 -- as of the 2022 season?

22 A. As of -- the cumulative results of all Bonneville-Jefferson's efforts as of the year end of 2022 achieved that goal. If you should -- it's

technically invalid. But if you were to pull out

Bonneville-Jefferson's 2022 efforts in isolation,

because so much of that effort was in direct wet water

delivery, it's also true for that year in isolation.

Q. Okay. And you're referring to the wet water 5 delivery that was pursuant to an agreement with SWC and 6 IGWA to remedy prior breaches; is that correct? 7

A. So.

8

9

12

14

HEARING OFFICER: Excuse me. Whom? The first --

10 MR. JOHNS: The Idaho Ground Water Appropriators and the Surface Water Coalition. 11

HEARING OFFICER: Very good.

13 MR. JOHNS: My apologies.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. That's fine.

15 THE WITNESS: So I do not understand the administrative background, but there's a block of water 16

17 every year dedicated in the plan that we did not

18 consider. But the data that were provided me from

19 Mr. Higgs, so consistent with Mr. Higgs' testimony,

indicated that there was a certain volume of reduction

21 that Bonneville-Jefferson did in 2022, and then there

was a block of direct delivery that Bonneville-Jefferson

did independently. Now, the administrative origin of 24 that block of water, I don't know what it is. But

25 that's -- those are the number s I'm referring to.

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

79

81

78

Q. (BY MR. JOHNS) Okay. So you're just, technically, analyzing water that you understood was --3

A. Right.

4

5

6

7

Q. -- provided and then looking at what model --

A. What -- so what does the model say Surface Water Coalition received from that block of reduction that occurred in that year, plus, the block of wet water

that, modeled or not, we did receive that year.

That sums to more than they would have just looking in that year in isolation had it just been the 10

21 whatever -- 21,134 at that -- just looking at that in 11

isolation. That would have given them less water than what they actually got just from 2022 activities.

13 14 Q. Okay. So in your opinion, they had received,

15 based off of what had actually occurred, more water than Bonneville-Jefferson?

17 A. Right. And so -- then if the appropriate

18 metric for the remedy is the stated purpose of the plan,

there's not a requirement for additional water to

provide the remedy, even though by the metric of the --

of annual performance, the Director has decided that

there was a breach in that year.

23 MR. JOHNS: Okay. I'm just skimming my notes,

24 Mr. Hearing Officer, briefly, just to make sure that I

covered everything. I believe I covered everything.

Q. (BY MR. JOHNS) Do you believe you covered everything that you prepared for this day?

A. I think so, but this isn't my -- thinking on

4 my feet isn't my strong suit. 5

Q. To be continued; right?

MR. JOHNS: Mr. Hearing Officer, with that, I would move to admit Exhibit 300, the expert report of

Mr. Contor.

6

7

9 HEARING OFFICER: Objection? Any objection? 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, judge.

11 HEARING OFFICER: This report will be --

12 anything from IGWA?

13 The report will be admitted.

14 (Exhibit 300 admitted.)

15 MR. JOHNS: Okay. I believe I don't have any

16 other questions for this witness.

17 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.

MR. JOHNS: Thank you.

19 **HEARING OFFICER: Cross?** 

20

3

18

21 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 

22 QUESTIONS BY MR. FLETCHER: 23 Q. Mr. Contor, I'm Kent Fletcher. We've known

24 each other quite a while.

25 A. Yes, sir.

80

Q. Is it fair to characterize your report as suggestions on how the methodology -- or excuse me -the Settlement Agreement should be changed?

A. No. sir.

4 5 Q. Okay. You -- your testimony is today that your opinions were based upon goals set forth in the 7 Settlement Agreement; correct?

A. Yes, sir.

9 Q. Okay. Would you like to turn to Exhibit 500.

A. Oh, which book is that going to be in?

MS. TSCHOHL: [Unintelligible] the exhibit

12 volume.

8

10

11

13

14

THE WITNESS: Pardon.

MS. TSCHOHL: [Unintelligible.]

15 THE WITNESS: It's the first one. I bet I

could have figured that out. I'm there, sir. 16

17 Q. (BY MR. FLETCHER) Okay. Can you point out --

18 Exhibit 500 is the Settlement Agreement; correct? 19 A. It's the Settlement Agreement, but I don't see

20 the addendum that actually talks about the remedy for

21 breach, but it is the agreement.

22 O. Yeah, I'm going to address that. I'm

addressing the agreement itself. Because your report --

you're testifying today, your report, your discussion of

what should be done is based upon goals set forth in

this agreement dealing with reach gains; correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Can you point out to me in this

Settlement Agreement any objective standard of what

reach gains should be achieved pursuant to the terms of

this agreement?

A. So as I discussed with Mr. Johns, and has been 8 discussed before, the agreement is pretty scant. And so

9 let me read you what's -- what I find in this agreement

regarding reach gains. 10 11 Objective 1A, mitigate for material injury the

surface water rights that rely upon natural flow in the

13 near Blackfoot to Milner reach to provide part of the

water supply for the senior surface water rights. 14

15 That's what I find.

16 Q. Okay. How about turning to page 3 of that 17 agreement. 18

A. Okay. I have page 3.

19 Q. And I would ask that you look at paragraph

20 sub (b) on that paragraph.

21 A. Okay.

Q. That says for factual goals, doesn't it?

23 A. It does. And as I explained to Mr. Johns,

24 those are the goals that define adaptive management.

And yesterday there seemed to be some confusion whether

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

83

82

this proceeding was regarding adaptive management or 2 whether it was regarding breach.

But, yes, there are goals stated here. There was some testimony yesterday about whether those goals were reasonable based on --

- Q. You're going beyond my question.
- A. I'm sorry.
- Q. Paragraph E sets forth specific goals with the metric measurement; correct?
- 10 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

2

3

4

5

6

8

18

19

20

25

- Q. And does that talk about reach gains? 11
- 12 A. Those do not. And that's -- those do not.
- 13 Q. Anywhere in this agreement does there -- under
- the heading of "Goal" is there an objective measurement
- 15 of a reach gain that should be achieved?
- 16 A. There's not a numerical number.
- 17 18
  - A. But the first objective listed in the
- agreement, which in my mind would be the most important is for reach gains.
- Q. Okay. Well, let's look at what the agreement 22 actually says in paragraph E sub (i). The actual goal
- of the agreement states that it's to stabilize and
- ultimately reverse the trend of declining groundwater
- levels and return groundwater levels to a level equal to

an average of the aquifer levels from '91 to 2001.

Isn't that the goal of the agreement? 3

A. Well, that says that that is the groundwater level goal and benchmark, which is under a subheading titled No. 3, "Long-Term Practices Commencing 2016."

6 So, to me, the goal of the agreement goes back 7

to the very first thing stated on the agreement.

O. Okay.

8

- A. I agree that there are groundwater level
- 10 benchmarks. And Mr. Johns asked me what those referred
- to, and I can repeat that. And I agree that that is 11
- 12 stated in here. And I agree that if this were a
- proceeding regarding adaptive management, this would be
- the place to drill in, because that is how adaptive
- 15 management is defined, is my understanding.
- 16 Q. Yeah. Well, let's step down to sub (3) under 17 that same section.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. One of the goals is to develop a reliable
- 20 method to measure reach gain trends in the Blackfoot to
- 21 Milner reach within ten years --
  - A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- correct.
  - So that is the goal of the agreement. It
- 25 sounded ---

22

24

84

A. So --

(Unintelligible crosstalk.) HEARING OFFICER: Wait for the question, sir. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

Q. (BY MR. FLETCHER) That's a yes-or-no question.

A. It is a groundwater level goal of subsection (3) of long-term practices.

Q. So I guess the hearing officer's

interpretation of your testimony has to be based upon your definition of a goal, which is not the goal stated in the agreement, but an objective stated on the first 13 page?

14 A. So I'm not an attorney, and I'm not an English 15 professor. I'm a hydrologist. And I speak plain 16 English, and I speak some Spanish, and I speak some 17 Persian. And in my --

HEARING OFFICER: They're helpful in these things too.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. So in my mind --

- 21 Q. (BY MR. FLETCHER) [Unintelligible] expert 22 testimony covers those things?
- A. Objective and goals are synonyms. If they're 24 not, I do not know how to respond.
  - Q. Okay. All right.

85

A. But I agree that under subsection E, number I talks about water levels. I agree that under subsection E, No. 3 talks about developing methodology to major

reach gains.

O. So isn't -- if you are going to define a 5 remedy, shouldn't it be -- if you're going to model to define a remedy, which is what you're suggesting here,

shouldn't it be centered on the goal, stated goal of the

9 agreement rather than your interpretation that it should 10 be an undefined modeling number?

11 A. So I actually was following Mr. Colvin's lead. Mr. Colvin's lead, which I thought was reasonable, was 13 to use modeling to estimate in a future year how much of a shortfall would propagate to the Surface Water

14 15 Coalition.

16 Now, you may be right that Mr. Colvin was 17 wrong. You may be right that I was wrong. But following his lead, that seems reasonable. And this 19 particular part of the agreement, without any addenda,

20 does not specify how breach will be addressed.

21 And so we were asked to come with a proposal.

22 Mr. Colvin came with a proposal. We agreed in part. We

- [unintelligible] elaborate. We came with a proposal.
- And, yes, my proposal is that the appropriate remedy for
- breach is to do whatever is necessary so that the

86

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

Surface Water Coalition receives what it would have received had the specified actions actually been taken exactly on schedule lockstep with no deviation up or 3 4

Q. So you're analyzing this more in an injury context to the Surface Water Coalition?

A. No, sir. I'm analyzing it in context that there was a plan, the plan specified some actions, the Director has found that those actions did not occur and, therefore, the most reasonable remedy for that is to provide something that replaces what would have happened had those actions occurred.

Now --

5

6

7

11

12 13

2.1

1

5

6

25

14 Q. But your proposal doesn't --15 HEARING OFFICER: Wait a second. Let him

16 finish.

17 MR. FLETCHER: Oh, I thought he was done. I'm 18 sorry.

19 THE WITNESS: I may have been going on, but 20 it's --

HEARING OFFICER: That's fine.

Next question, sir. Thank you. 22

23 Q. (BY MR. FLETCHER) But your proposal doesn't 24 make a suggestion as to what should be done to cure the

breach, your proposal is saying based upon modeling

nothing needs to be done.

Isn't that your suggestion?

A. So may I refer back to my report?

4 Q. Sure.

3

A. Okay. If I can find -- okay. "At the end of 6 each year the accruals for that year from full history of BJGWD efforts with some but at least the accruals for that year that resulted from continuous time series

after the required reduction."

10 So following Mr. Colvin's lead, the model is 11 an imperfect tool. It's been deemed the best available

12 science. There's no other way that I know of,

13 technically, to evaluate what the Surface Water

Coalition would have received had performance been

15 adequate.

16 And so, yes, my proposal is that we use the 17 best available science to determine what the -- the

Surface Water Coalition would have received had the

specified actions in the plan been followed. And then

if that is inadequate, do what is necessary to be sure 21

that the Surface Water Coalition gets that much water.

22 Now, in this specific case, the modeling 23 happens to show that the efforts were adequate. But

24 that's just a specific case.

Q. Well, we're talking about this specific case?

88

25

5

8

15

18

19

A. Yes. And --

Q. And in order to accomplish your opinion on 3 that very matter, you are looking at all the actions 4 occurring prior to 2022?

A. In my report I did evaluate all those, but as I explained to Mr. Johns, if we were to peel away all years but 2022, if we were to look at only what Surface

8 Water Coalition would have received if

9 Bonneville-Jefferson had never conserved nor ever would again in the future but it only conserved in 2022, the 10

model says how much water the Surface Water Coalition would have received in 2022.

13 We can also look at only what

Bonneville-Jefferson actually did in 2022. And we can 14 15 model that, and we can only look at what Surface Water 16 Coalition received in 2022 from what actually was done 17 in 2022.

18 And if you look at what Surface Water 19 Coalition actually received in 2022, only what Bonneville-Jefferson did in 2022, as if they had never done anything nor ever would again, if you compare that to what Bonneville-Jefferson -- if Bonneville-Jefferson had done exactly 21,1 -- what's the number -- 21,1 24 something, 21,100 and some odd acres.

If only in 2022 Bonneville-Jefferson had done

89

that, had never done anything before, never done anything since, the Surface Water Coalition would have

got less than they actually got from

4 Bonneville-Jefferson --

Q. So no matter how you are analyzing this, you're looking at prior year's actions in determining what to do about the 2022 breach?

A. No.

9 Q. Whether you're speculating about if they'd 10 only done their allocation every year or what they actually did every year, you're having to include those actions, whether they're paper actions like you're 13 talking about now or actual actions, in order to get it 14 to your conclusion?

A. No. I'm sorry, I did not explain that.

16 Q. Okay. What if -- did you do any analysis if 17 Jefferson Clark [sic] had done nothing? Nothing.

A. Had Bonneville-Jefferson --

Q. I mean Bonneville-Jefferson. Excuse me.

20 A. I did not do the analysis, but analysis is not

21 needed. Had Bonneville-Jefferson done nothing, the Surface Water Coalition would have received nothing.

23 It's -- I don't even need the stinking model to do that.

24 Q. Yeah. So is it your understanding that if

Bonneville-Jefferson is required to reduce

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

90

21,000 acre-feet per year that the Surface Water

2 Coalition should receive that benefit with that year?

3 A. So I didn't find anything in this document nor any addendum that identified when the Surface Water 4

Coalition was to receive the benefit. I only found -- I

didn't even -- I didn't even find all that's been

ordered. But I did find that there was to be a 7

particular volume of reduction.

Q. Okay. Well, have you read the order that was 10 entered in summary judgment in this case?

A. I looked at it briefly. I can't say that I'm 11 12 familiar with it.

13 Q. Did you read the Director's order finding that

14 Bonneville-Jefferson breached in 2022?

A. I did. 15

16 MR. JOHNS: I'm going to -- I'm going to 17 object. I think that's going outside the scope. We

didn't discuss summary judgment order or anything in my

19 direct.

20

MR. FLETCHER: Well, it's foundational to his opinion. Well, I guess I can -- if he didn't read them,

then he can say he didn't, and then we'll know that that

wasn't considered in his opinion. 23

24 HEARING OFFICER: You can answer as to whether

or not you read that.

1 THE WITNESS: I did read it.

> Q. (BY MR. FLETCHER) Okay. And in that order the Director found that Bonneville-Jefferson breached

the agreement; correct?

A. That is correct.

6 Q. And he found that they breached it in the

amount of 21,341 acre-feet; correct?

A. That is not correct. That was the number that he used to indicate what performance should have been.

But there was some performance, so that's the number 10

from which he subtracted the actual performance. 11

12 Mr. Colvin identified the number, and I think I repeated

13 it. But it was -- let's see. I think I can find it

14 more quickly in --

15 Q. Well, if I misstated it, I want to get the

16 correct --

17 HEARING OFFICER: Well, wait a second. Let

18 him answer.

19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So Opinion 1, Mr. Colvin

says that Bonneville-Jefferson -- okay. So

Bonneville-Jefferson is the second in the list.

5,204 acre-feet is the second number in the list of

23 numbers.

3

12

15

16

24 And so I accept that 5,204 acre-feet is the

shortfall in the performance that the Director

92

identified. And as I thought I said when I was

explaining our response to Opinion 1, I don't challenge

that. I believe that that is what the shortfall has 3

been legally deemed to be. 4

O. (BY MR. FLETCHER) Yeah, you're correct. I

misstated the numbers. I appreciate you clarifying 6

7

5

8

18

So your suggestion to the hearing officer for the purposes of the only issue that's before this

9 hearing, which is what can be done to cure the 2022

breach, is that Bonneville-Jefferson doesn't have to do

anything? 12 13

A. That is correct.

Q. And in doing your work, you did not do any 14

analysis about how their lack of performance in 2022 may 15

have impacted the written goals of the agreement 16 17 concerning groundwater levels?

A. I did not do any independent work on

19 groundwater levels. 20 Q. I believe on page 7 of your report you even

went after Mr. Colvin when he stated that those 21 groundwater levels are now near historical lows?

A. I would agree with that statement. I thought

24 he said that they were at historical lows. And I don't

have access to the numbers, but using a ruler, I think

near accurate -- near historical lows would be accurate.

Q. What year is lower than they are now?

A. So using a ruler, it looks to me like 2026 --

2016 is the lowest of the actual. Importantly, on my

Figure 3, which came from Alex Moody of IDWR, had it not

been for the plan, 2023 would be dramatically the lowest

in the record by an additional -- like 7 feet lower than 8 it is now.

Q. So if there had been no mitigation provided 10 for seven years, it would be worse than it is today?

11 A. Correct.

Q. Right. But it is near that level -- at least

13 you'll agree that it's near the lowest level on that

chart, which was 2016? 14

A. I do agree that -- with that.

Q. And you agree that it's nowhere near the 2023

benchmark or the 2026 goal? 17

A. I agree with Ms. Sigstedt's analysis of all of 18

19 that. Q. And you -- your report on page 10 agrees that 20

21 in order to prevent future breaches it would be a good idea to have accurate measurement and near real-time

22 23 reporting of water use, and that that could benefit the

groundwater users and the surface water users?

25 A. Well, I think that what I said was that 93

4

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

95

94

1 accurate measurements and near real-time reporting water use can equally benefit groundwater and surface water management, but I also stated that's irrelevant because

it has no ability to rewind the clock to 2022 and 4 5 address the breach.

6 Q. But if one of the -- if the Director decides 7 he's getting tired of having breach hearings every year and wants to try to prevent a breach, it would be a

course of conduct he can order?

10 MR. JOHNS: I'm going to object. It's asking -- calling for a hypothetical response. 11

12 THE WITNESS: I wasn't asked to address this.

13

14 HEARING OFFICER: I'm going to sustain the 15 objection. He has no idea what the Director would

16 need --

18

17 MR. FLETCHER: Okay.

HEARING OFFICER: -- think or --

19 MR. FLETCHER: He addressed it in his report,

20 and that's why I was asking.

HEARING OFFICER: Excuse me, I didn't hear 21

you, if that's your response. 22 23

MR. FLETCHER: I'm sorry. He addressed measurement in his report and real-time reporting in his

report, and that's why I was asking him questions.

96

5

6

97

sound technological approach to a ready of breach. And I just adjusted his method for perceived deficiencies.

And I was focused on breach. 3

Now, to the extent that I offer any opinions 4 about measurement, I agree those are forward-looking and 5 if it had not been in Mr. Colvin's report, I would not 6

7 have gone there.

8 MR. FLETCHER: I don't have any other 9 questions. Thank you.

10 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

11 Were there any other questions from other

12 parties? 13

14

MR. ANDERSON: [Unintelligible].

HEARING OFFICER: Sir, I didn't hear you.

15 MR. ANDERSON: This is Dylan Anderson from Bingham Ground Water District. I just have a few quick 16

17 questions, if you don't mind. 18

HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead.

19 MR. ANDERSON: May I just do it from here? Is 20 that all right?

21 HEARING OFFICER: Certainly. That's fine.

22 Can you pick him up?

23 MS. TSCHOHL: [Unintelligible.]

24 ///

25 ///

HEARING OFFICER: But then you asked about the 1 2 reactions of the Director in the future.

MR. FLETCHER: Well, I --

HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. Thank you.

5 Q. (BY MR. FLETCHER) And do you realize that the Surface Water Coalition diversions from the Snake River

are monitored in near real time?

8 A. At a single location they are monitored --

9 monitored in near real time.

10 Q. To your knowledge, is any groundwater district 11 monitoring in near real time?

12 A. I am not familiar with what all the groundwater districts are doing.

13 14

Q. So you don't know of any?

15 A. I don't know one way or the other.

16 Q. Would you agree with the statement that your 17 recommendations really are better suited for determining

18 changes that couldn't be made for the purposes of

19 adaptive management rather than the 2022 breach? 20

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: God damn I can use all 21 the help I can get.

22 THE WITNESS: I was not asked to consider

23 changes for adaptive management, so I haven't thought of

24 it in those terms. What I did was find that Mr. Colvin

laid a good foundation for what seemed to me like a

**CROSS-EXAMINATION OUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:** 

Q. Bryce, Exhibit No. 1 is the report from the 3

4 Surface Water Coalition's expert. Okay?

A. Yes, I have got it.

Q. Would you turn to page 6 of that report.

A. Okay. I'm on page 6.

8 Q. In your testimony you touched briefly about

9 this modeling. And you didn't rework his modeling, you

10 assumed he did it correctly.

11 My question here in looking at this, he has 12 that distribution of effects from 2022, '23, '24.

13 You see that graph in front of you?

A. I see that, yes, sir.

14 15 Q. If you were to change the year on that, for

instance, if it were to start in, I don't know, 2018 and 16 17 2019, 2000, you know, '20, would that distribution be

18 the same?

19 A. It would be the same.

20 Q. And the way I understand this, this was the

21 effects of not putting something into the aquifer,

but -- or, sorry, this is taking out of the aguifer.

But it would be the same if you put something in the

24 aquifer; right?

25 Does this model work both ways? Did I say

98

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

1 that clearly enough?

A. So I think what you're saying is that the

- 3 model would give the same numerical value whether the --
- 4 Mr. Colvin used the word "stress." That's our geeky
- 5 term for something we do to the model. And if the
- 6 stress is positive, you put water into the aquifer, or
- 7 if the stress is negative, meaning you take the water
- 8 out, it will give you the same numerical value just a
- 9 negative sign. I think that's what you were asking, and
- 10 the answer to that yes.
- Q. Yes. And you used the term "effect," it would
- 12 have the same -- similar effect. I just want to try to
- 13 draw a contrast here. Let's pretend that that's the
- 14 year -- it starts in year 2018, so that would make year
- 15 2026, 2022; right?
- A. Let's see, that's correct.
- Q. And it's -- and I do believe this is -- the
- 18 numeric value here is about 56,000 acre-feet?
- A. So the sum of effect for four years down the road is 1,648.
- Q. Oh, yes. Yeah, let's look at that for --
- 22 yeah, you're right. It's for --
- A. Maybe I'm not understanding the question.
- Q. No, you're correct. The 56,000 is for all
- 25 groundwater districts listed there. So the sum effect

1 is 1,648, you're correct.

- 2 A. And may I clarify?
  - Q. Yeah.

3

- 4 A. So that's -- the 56,000, I think, had to do on
- 5 the farm, the 1,648 is at the reach.
- Q. Correct. Okay. So I'm just trying to
- 7 understand this. That's -- just quick math in my head,
- 8 that's about 3 percent of that, you know, 1,600 is,
- 9 roughly, 3 percent of 5,600?
- 10 A. I get in trouble when I do math in my head.
- 11 But, you know, the hearing officer will have the numbers
- 12 in a calculator, so that's fine.
- Q. Right. I'm just -- I want to ask you about a
- 14 distinction between that, what you're talking about
- 15 here, the effects on reach gain and averaging.
- Now, if I were averaging, and I put in
- 17 56,000 acre-feet of recharge or something in 2018, and
- 18 then I was deficient that amount in 2022, averaging
- 19 would give me credit for the full 56,000; right?
- A. So I'm not sure -- you know, the nuance of
- 21 averaging is what are you adding up and what are you
- 22 dividing by? And --
- Q. Well, assume all the years are --
- 24 HEARING OFFICER: Wait. Whoa, whoa. Let him
- 25 answer.

100 101

- THE WITNESS: So unless I know what I'm adding up and what I'm dividing by, it's hard for me to know
- 3 the answer to that.
- Q. (BY MR. ANDERSON) Sure enough. Fair enough.
- 5 Let's say in 2018 the requirement of 240
- 6 was -- existed, but I did 56,000 acre-feet more than
- 7 that.

12

- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. Okay? Then in 2020 -- let's say I did 240
- 10 every year after that.
- 11 A. Okay.
  - Q. And then in 2022 I was deficient
- 13 56,000 acre-feet?
- 14 A. Okay.
- Q. I'd only done 184, or whatever the math is.
- 16 A. Whatever the math is.
- Q. I was deficient. But if I'm averaging, then
- $18 \;\; \text{in } 2022 \; \text{I}$  would get that full benefit of that
- 19 56,000 acre-feet; correct?
- A. If that -- if the averaging period included
- 21 that, that is correct.
- Q. Yes. That's not what we're talking about
- 23 here; right?
- A. It's certainly not what I'm talking about.
- Q. Right. What you're showing -- or what Dave

- kind of introduced and you're piggybacking on is thateffect of previous conservation recharge just in that
- 3 year. And it's a small percentage. If I'm looking at
- 4 it, it would only be about 3 percent from 2018, if we
- 5 used his same distribution; correct?
- 6 A. So, you know, it is correct -- if you look at
- 7 the totals, you know, in total at the bottom, whatever
- 8 he put into his model over all time to this reach,
- 9 26,000 acre-feet came out. And in the first year was a
- 10 small number, the second year was the biggest number in
- 11 the record, the third year was just a little bit lower
- 12 than the first number, and they declined.
- And so I think, you know, I'm not entirely
- 14 sure I understand your question, but I think
- 15 conceptually the beauty of using the model is that we
- 16 can be sure we're not attributing to the benefit of the
- 17 Surface Water Coalition something that actually happened
- 18 last year or next year.
- Q. Correct. And I think you've answered my question here. I just wanted to make sure that there
- 21 was a distinction here that this is not averaging?
  - A. Oh, very good. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And, in fact, to get this benefit in
- 24 one year, if it's a -- only a, you know, 3 percent or,
  - 5 you know, 10 percent that you're after, you have to do

22

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

102 quite a bit more conservation or recharge to even -- to just want to ask you a couple questions. even make up for a deficiency in a given year; is that There was a line of inquiry that Mr. Fletcher 3 correct? had asked you about regarding Exhibit 500, which is the 4 Is that a correct assumption? Settlement Agreement. 5 A. So for the location of Bonneville-Jefferson A. Okay. 6 6 Ground Water District, it's correct that to get an Q. I just briefly want to clarify your testimony 7 7 immediate benefit in that same year you've got to pile as to issues that he was discussing with you there, so on a lot of water to get only a little bit of benefit. if you'd please turn to Exhibit 500. A. Common Exhibit 30? MR. ANDERSON: Okay. I have no further 10 10 Q. Yes, of the common exhibits. questions. 11 A. I am here. 11 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Budge or Elisheva, 12 12 Q. Very well. Mr. Fletcher, do you recall him anything? 13 Sir? 13 asking you questions about Section 3(e)? 14 MR. JOHNS: May I --14 A. Yes. 15 15 Q. Okay. And do you recall your testimony HEARING OFFICER: Yes. 16 MR. JOHNS: Brief redirect? 16 regarding Section 1(a), which is the objectives? 17 17 A. I certainly can't reiterate it word for word, HEARING OFFICER: Sure. 18 MR. JOHNS: Is it okay if I just do it from 18 but I recall trying to explain my understanding of that. 19 here? 19 Q. Okay. So I just want to clarify. Is it your testimony that you understood, and as you were preparing 20 HEARING OFFICER: Yes, please. 20 MR. JOHNS: Very well. for your technical analysis, that subsection 5, so 2.1 21 subsection V of Section 3(e) and a breach thereof 22 23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 23 triggered adaptive management measurers? 24 24 **QUESTIONS BY MR. JOHNS:** A. That is my understanding. 25 25 Q. And to be clear, Section 1(a) is outside of Q. Mr. Contor, thank you for your testimony. I

104 105

Section 3(e) and the objectives stated pertaining to reach gain. Reach gains is separate from Section 3(e) and all the provisions, including section -subsection 5? 5

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. So when you read the agreement, is it fair to say the conclusion you came to is what you could technically and should technically look at was different 8 9 than Mr. Colvin's? 10

A. Yes.

11

15

16

17

19

Q. Okay. And part of that is the -- is your 12 testimony that the agreement does not specify that the direct must only evaluate technical information beginning from the year in which the breach occurred? 14

A. Yes. If we include -- this part has nothing about the breach. If we include the addendum where breach is addressed, there's absolutely no technical guidance on what is or is not required, what is or is not acceptable for calculation of breach.

20 Q. So you used what you typically do as a hydrologist to calculate impacts to inform what your recommendation for breach of the annual reduction 23 requirement will be?

A. So I used the primary objective of the plan and Mr. Colvin's modeling lead to calculate effects. I have no way of predicting impacts.

MR. JOHNS: I don't have anything else on redirect, Mr. Hearing Officer. 3

HEARING OFFICER: All right. Please be 4

5 seated. Thank you, sir.

6

7

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER: Next witness?

8 MR. FLETCHER: I think we talked about having 9 Mr. Colvin testify on rebuttal as an expert. We're kind

of out of order. They had one more lay witness. 10

11 HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

12 MR. FLETCHER: If that's okay with the hearing 13 officer.

14 **HEARING OFFICER:** Any objections?

15 MR. BUDGE: No objection, but can we take a

16 brief recess before?

17 HEARING OFFICER: Oh. Oh, gosh, yeah. Sorry, 18 it's just so titillating, I...

19 (Break taken.)

20 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Thompson, will you call 21 your next witness out of order without any objection of any party.

23 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Burdick. We 24 would call Dave Colvin back on rebuttal.

25 HEARING OFFICER: Any objection to having him

Word 4 Word Court Reporting, LLC 208-242-3289

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

106 107 under oath from yesterday? A. Yeah. So I performed modeling to, basically, 2 Hearing none, you are under oath from evaluate the impact of the 2022 breach numbers from the 3 Director's order. So we used the model to evaluate the yesterday, sir. 4 4 impact of those -- the breach over pumping numbers on 5 DAVID COLVIN, the sentinel well index and the reach gains. The called as a rebuttal witness by SWC having been 6 sentinel well index we, basically, just wanted to show previously duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said the relationship between that over pumping from the cause, testified as follows: breach and how that lowered the sentinel well index levels. 10 10 And then for the reach gains that we HEARING OFFICER: You may proceed. 11 11 tabulated, we're, basically, just showing that the 12 impacts stretch over many years and just wanted to show DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 13 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMPSON: what that impact was at the reach, but it wasn't 14 Q. Good morning, Mr. Colvin. 14 intended to inform the proposed remedy that I had in my 15 A. Good morning. 15 report. 16 Q. For the record, Travis Thompson for A&B 16 Q. Fair to characterize it as just an example of 17 Irrigation District, et al. 17 here's what can happen on the ground as far as not 18 I think I just wanted to go back and ask you performing the full 240,000 acre-feet production obligation in 2022? some questions. There's been quite a bit of testimony about different modeling that's been done. You did some 20 A. Yes, that's right. modeling, Ms. Sigstedt did some modeling, I think 21 Q. Can you turn to Exhibit 2. 22 Mr. Contor testified as to some modeling. A. Okay. 23 Can you describe the purposes of your modeling Q. And identify that document for the record. 23 exercise with respect to both the sentinel well index 24 A. This is my rebuttal report. and the reach gains? 25 Q. And what did you review for the purposes of 108 109 1 this report? vesterday --A. Yes. A. I reviewed the expert reports that were submitted for IGWA, which included the Lynker expert 3 3 O. -- is that correct? report and the Water Well Consultants expert report. 4 And is it fair to characterize that 5 5 O. And can you just generally describe your -- I Ms. Sigstedt has identified surplus conservation that 6 guess your conclusions in this rebuttal report? 6 the groundwater districts did between 2016 and 2020? A. Well, I went through, basically, a number of A. Yes, she did. 8 the issues that were contained in both reports that 8 Q. And she modeled, I guess, the results, the weren't relevant to the one technical issue for this 9 9 estimated impacts on the Snake River reach because of 10 10 hearing, which was a proposed remedy for the breach in those --11 11 A. Yes. And so I went through a number of explanations 12 Q. -- excess conservation? 12 13 of where they included things that weren't relevant to a 13 A. Yes, that's right. 14 remedy and then in Section 3 reiterated my opinions from Q. And did you hear her testimony about my original expert report that were relevant to a 15 15 evaluating 2021 --16 A. Yes. 16 remedy. 17 Q. And did any of those reports change your 17 Q. -- that was not included in her tables? 18 ultimate conclusion of what you're recommending is a 18 A. That's right. 19 remedy in this case? 19 Q. I guess, would the conservation deficiency in 20 A. No. 20 2021 effect, ultimately, the impact on the reach there 21 Q. And Ms. Sigstedt also submitted a rebuttal 21 was in 2022 and in future years? 22 report in this case. 22 A. Yes, it would. Did you review that? 23 Q. In looking at what the groundwater districts 23

24 did in those years, would you agree that that excess or

surplus conservation can assist in meeting the sentinel

24

25

A. I did.

Q. And you heard the testimony of Ms. Sigstedt

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

111

110

1 well index benchmarks and goals?

2 A. Yes. It would raise the water levels from the 3 excess conservation.

Q. And would you agree that that surplus conservation does not offset the total impact of all groundwater diversions within those districts?

A. No, it did not.

4

5

6

2.1

3

4

5

8

11

15

16

17

18

7 Q. We talked about the modeling, the modeling you did, and I guess with respect to your exercise, why did you assign the mitigation deficiencies in

2022 District-wide as opposed to specific wells? 11 12 A. Well, looking at the 2016 mitigation plan in

13 the agreement, it seemed that the spatial detail was only down to the district level rather than specifying what would happen at each well. So I limited our modeling to district-wide representation of the 2022

17 breach over pumping numbers. 18 Q. And if the Director does order a cure for the

2022 breach, could he apply that deficiency volume to specific wells rather than just apply district-wide?

A. He could.

22 Q. And by example, if a particular groundwater user was 10 acre-feet short in 2022, the Director could order that same groundwater user to reduce an additional

10 acre-feet in 2024?

A. Yes. And I think the IGWA performance reports do include a well-by-well tally of each well's pumping and their baseline pumping comparison, so whether they

met their conservation goal or not.

5 Q. And that would be consistent with the 6

7 HEARING OFFICER: When you say "report," what 8 report?

9 THE WITNESS: IGWA's annual report.

10 HEARING OFFICER: Performance report. 11

THE WITNESS: Performance report. 12

HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you.

13 Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON) And that performance report includes spreadsheets of each individual district's

15 individual wells; is that correct?

A. Yes.

17 Q. And whether the Director does that 18 district-wide or specific wells, that would be

consistent with the remedy you're recommending in this 19

20 case; is that correct?

21 A. Yes, as long as it was, basically, an amount

22 that would equal the 2022 breach numbers that are

23 tabulated in my report and come from the Director's

24 order. 25

16

Q. And he applies that to the specific districts

112

for the deficiency volumes identified for each one; would that be correct?

A. That's right.

Q. I just want to turn to the Rocky Mountain Environmental rebuttal.

6 Did you review that rebuttal report submitted 7 by Rocky Mountain in this case as well?

A. I did.

9 Q. And you were present for the testimony of 10 Mr. Contor today?

A. I was.

12 Q. Mr. Contor, I heard, criticized your report 13 because it just looked at the 2022 deficiency and did not consider all relevant data. 14

My question would be, I guess, you know, what would be a full set of relevant data if we were looking at complete impacts on the Snake River reach gains in a particular year?

A. Well, that would be a very comprehensive 19 20 modeling analysis that could include the entire 21 calibration period of the model, which goes all of the way back many decades and represents all the pumping 23 prior to 2016.

24 And it would represent all of the hydrologic variables that exist in reality as closely as possible

113 in a model. And so it would be at a very comprehensive

modeling effort that would be much more than what any of

the experts have done for this hearing.

Q. So fair to say that what shows up in the reach 5 in a given year is a multitude of factors, it's not just

looking at the deficiency only in 2022 or the

conservation actions taken by the groundwater district

8 since 2016?

9

A. That is right.

10 Q. Do you have any comments or opinions that were 11 offered by Contor in his report?

A. I mean, just in general, I think that I stand 13 by my modeling analysis as, basically, just a

demonstration of the impacts. And then my proposed 14

15 remedy being within the confines of the 2016 mitigation

plan. And I think that specific to a remedy, he was 17 recommending something that doesn't fit within the

18 framework of the 2016 mitigation plan.

19 Q. Fair to say that Mr. Contor's report relies 20 upon past actions or years of surplus conservation to

21 state that there's nothing for Bonneville-Jefferson

Ground Water District to do this year to cure that 2022

23 breach?

25

24 A. Yes.

MR. THOMPSON: That's all of the questions I

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

115

114

have, Mr. Colvin. Thank you. 2

THE WITNESS: Thanks.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.

4 Cross by IGWA? 5

MR. BUDGE: For the record, this is TJ Budge,

6 attorney for IGWA. 7

3

10

13

14

17

4

5

6

8

9

10

15

16

17

24

25

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

#### QUESTIONS BY MR. BUDGE:

Q. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. I want to ask you a 11 few questions about your rebuttal report. That's Surface Water Coalition Exhibit 2.

12

Do you have that in front of you.

A. I do.

15 Q. If you would please turn to page 3 of that 16 report under the heading Section two.

A. Okay.

18 Q. In that first paragraph, the second sentence reads -- and it's referring to the expert reports of 20 IGWA's consultants -- it says, "They also include erroneous application of multiyear or averaged annual mitigation actions to IGWA's 2022 mitigation plan obligations." 23 24

You understand that Ms. Sigstedt did not use average diversions as part of her modeling analysis?

A. Well, she didn't have yearly averages. So she 2 did represent the actions for each year.

Q. Uh-huh.

4 A. And so in that sense, it wasn't averaged data.

5 Q. Okay. So you understand Ms. Sigstedt modeling the actual groundwater conservation actual recharge that occurred each year?

That's correct; right?

A. Yes.

8

9

12

18

10 Q. She did not average those across multiple years and then do the modeling? 11

A. Right.

13 Q. So that reference to average annual mitigation

14 actions in your report would be inaccurate?

15 MR. FLETCHER: I object. That misstates what 16 it says.

17 HEARING OFFICER: It's overruled.

You may answer, sir.

19 THE WITNESS: I think that was referring to

the Water Well Consultants report. I do open that 20

paragraph with reference to the two reports. And 21

perhaps I'm not specific enough when I say "they also 22

23 include."

24 Q. (BY MR. BUDGE) And what was it that Water

Well Consultants did that utilized an average?

116

A. Well, they had several different approaches to calculating the conservation numbers for 2022. And several of those approaches did include averaging over years.

Q. You're referring to the baseline against which conservation is measured?

A. Or the actual conservation numbers that had -in most of the tables that were presented, there was an averaging that included a balance carried over from previous years, so it was kind of a rolling average.

11 Q. You're referring to the performance report 12 spreadsheets?

13 A. Yeah, the proposed ones that Water Well Consultants presented. 14

Q. The ones that were actually submitted to the Department each --

A. No, no in the expert report.

18 Q. You're referring to the alternative methods 19 for measuring compliance that were in the expert report 20 of Water Well Consultants? 21

A. That's right, yes.

22 Q. And explain how the averaging was used in 23 those reports?

A. If I recall, there were several different --

MR. THOMPSON: I guess I'm going to object to

this line of questioning on Mr. Higgs' report that has been excluded. I did not go into any questions on

Mr. Higgs' report. 3

HEARING OFFICER: Well, you mentioned the 5 rebuttal report. It seems to me -- the bigger problem I

have is the Water Well report is not in evidence. So

7 when we talk about Water Well and his report, we're 8 talking about something that is not in evidence at this

9 time.

10 THE WITNESS: And maybe I can clarify it this

11 way --HEARING OFFICER: He's already testified the 12

expert who -- Ms. Sigstedt testified not using any 13 averages, that's what I remember, but not Water Well 14

15 because it's not in the evidence.

16 O. (BY MR. BUDGE) And so just to be clear, in

17 Section 2 of your rebuttal report where you refer to 18 "averaged annual mitigation actions," you're referring

19 specifically to the Water Well Consultants analyses and

not to Lynker's analyses? 20

21 A. That's right.

O. If you'll turn to the next page of your

23 report, I'm looking at Section 2.1.

A. Okav. 24

Q. The second paragraph down, the second sentence

117

22

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

118

reads: "Lynker's modeling of the impact from 2016-2021 activities is irrelevant to the 2022 breach and does not 3 address the four issues specified for this hearing."

You would agree this is a legal conclusion?

5 A. Well, I think that there are technical issues that were part of the -- I guess it would have been the 6 scheduling order for this hearing, and so I don't know 7 if it's a legal conclusion. We had to have some topics to address in this hearing, and so I don't know that that's a legal conclusion. 10

Q. Which of your technical analyses support the 11 statement that Lynker's modeling is irrelevant? 12

13 A. By comparison, I model the reach numbers that the Director had in his order, and the Lynker modeling 15 included other components that weren't part of the 2022 16 reach order.

17 Q. So is it your testimony that any modeling done by another expert that's different than yours is

19 irrelevant?

20

2

5

4

A. No.

21 Q. You would agree that whether the analyses done by Ms. Sigstedt or Mr. Contor or Mr. Higgs is relevant,

that's a decision that the hearing officer makes, it's a 23

legal conclusion?

25 A. Okay.

119 Q. Okay. So just to be clear, you would agree,

then, that this sentence in your expert report is not a technical analysis, it's a legal conclusion that

you're -- you've inserted or, you know, with

consultation with legal counsel?

A. Well, if we break down that sentence, I still 7 think that Lynker's modeling isn't relevant to the 2022 breach because it includes other hydrologic variables that they've put into modeling. And it is my opinion that it doesn't address the issue specified for this 11 hearing. So I don't think that's a legal conclusion, 12 but...

13 Q. Okay. I appreciate you're not a lawyer. I'll 14 move on to my next question.

15 Let me ask you about the next sentence. You state: "Lynker does not address whether the Director 16 17 was in error by not specifying actions to cure the 2022 18 breach."

19 You understand that Lynker did do modeling to 20 show the effect of surplus conservation in the years prior to 2022? 21

A. Yes.

22

6

7

8

12

15

25

23 Q. And you understand Lynker's -- Ms. Sigstedt's

explanation that if you account for that surplus

conservation, that there's a net benefit to the sentinel

120

well index and a net benefit to the reach gains?

A. Yes.

3 Q. And you understand that that evidence was presented because it has a bearing on the remedy that 4 may be imposed in this case?

A. That part I struggle with, because my 6 7 understanding is that the remedy needs to be fashioned 8 within the framework of the 2016 mitigation plan. And 9 so I think that there are things that are representative of that modeling that are inconsistent with the 10

mitigation plan long-term actions that are what could be 12 done here.

Q. So, Mr. Colvin, part of the trouble that we have with your report is it's filled with legal

15 statements and legal analyses as to what's relevant,

what the agreement requires. And as a technical expert, 16

17 your analysis should be grounded in technical analyses. 18 And the point I'm making is that you're discounting the

19 relevance of Lynker's analyses, and that's a decision 20

for the hearing officer.

21 Would you agree that that's ultimately the 22 hearing officer's decision to decide what -- which of 23 her analyses are relevant to this case.

MR. THOMPSON: Mr. Burdick, we would stipulate

to that. This is an argumentative line of questioning.

I guess what is actually in Mr. Colvin's report, how it

compares to what Ms. Sigstedt did, and that is something

121

you'll have to decide, but to sit here and quibble over

words in his report and say he's offering legal

5 conclusions, I just --

HEARING OFFICER: I agree.

MR. THOMPSON: -- think it's argumentative.

HEARING OFFICER: I will sustain the

9 objection. But I also agree with you, I'm going to go

through that report and make my own conclusion as to 10

11 what the law is, and away we'll go. And I --

MR. BUDGE: Thank you.

13 HEARING OFFICER: You've made your point for the hearing officer. Thank you. 14

MR. BUDGE: Thank you.

16 O. (BY MR. BUDGE) Mr. Colvin, I want to ask you 17 about some of the analyses that Lynker did to which you 18 did not object in your rebuttal report.

19 And I'll just go through some of these. If 20 you, you know, want to reference Sophia's report, which

21 I know you're familiar with, it's Exhibit 142. And then

a rebuttal report is 143. And I can just read these for

23 you.

24 A. Okav.

Q. But on Exhibit 142, page 11 of that exhibit,

122

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

that's page 9 of Sophia's report, I'm looking at

Section 3.1. And she's discussing the tables that she

prepared. And you can look at those. You can -- but

4 the tables that show the net benefit to the Blackfoot to

5 Minidoka reach from the conservation that was performed during the period of record 2016 to 2022 --

A. What page are we on again?

Q. Page 11 is where it begins.

HEARING OFFICER: Page 11 of her report?

10 MR. BUDGE: It's -- the exhibit number has a different page. It's page 11 of the exhibit. It's 11 page 9 of her report. 12

HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you, sir.

14 Q. (BY MR. BUDGE) Do you recall Ms. Sigstedt --15 these tables that she has starting on page 11 of that exhibit and continuing through page 13?

17 A. Yes.

7

8

9

13

18 Q. And you don't disagree with the modeling that 19 Sophia did to generate these tables or the data in these 20

21 A. I don't disagree with how the modeling was 22 performed.

23 Q. Okay.

24 A. But I do disagree with kind of how the results

were applied.

Q. Okay. You don't disagree with any of the 2 numeric figures --

A. No.

4

11

18

Q. -- that Ms. Sigstedt modeled?

A. I haven't -- I haven't thoroughly checked the modeling, but I trust that she did a good job with the

8 Q. Okay. Let me have you turn to page 14 of the 9 exhibit --

10 A. Okay.

Q. -- which is page 12 of her report.

12 One of her conclusions, No. 6, reads that 13

"From 2016 to 2022 IGWA's members conserve a total of 2,195,103 acre-feet or 313,586 acre-feet annually on

average when compared to average pre-Settlement 15

16 Agreement diversions from 2010 to 2014.

17 You don't dispute that data?

A. I didn't double-check those numbers, but,

19 again, I trust that she did the math correctly.

20 Q. Okay. So if you look at the last sentence on

21 that conclusion 6, it says, "Thus IGWA's members

conserved, on average, 108,586 acre-feet more than they

23 understood was required."

24 Do you agree that the groundwater districts

during that period conserved more than -- on average,

124

more than was required under the terms of the agreement?

A. Yes. Again, I trust her math.

Q. Okay. If you'll turn to Ms. Sigstedt's 3 rebuttal report. That's the next exhibit, 143. And 4

then turn to page 3 of that exhibit. 6

A. Okay.

Q. Under Section 2, if you look at the fifth 8 paragraph, it reads that, "Surplus conservation by the

groundwater districts from 2016 to 2020 resulted in

higher sentinel well levels than would have occurred if the groundwater districts had implemented the precise conservation targets allocated by IGWA and IDWR."

13 You agree with Ms. Sigstedt's analysis in that 14 effect?

15

16 O. And if you'd turn to the next page, 4, there's 17 a paragraph right in the middle that begins with the 18 words, "I agree."

A. Yes.

19 20 Q. Ms. Sigstedt agrees with you when she states 21 that, "I agree that the effects of groundwater pumping propagate into the future. However, the effects of

groundwater conservation also propagate into the future.

Surplus groundwater conservation by the groundwater

districts from 2016 to 2020 have resulted in a net

increase to near Blackfoot to Minidoka reach gains and a

net increase in groundwater levels, as measured by the

sentinel well index." 3

You don't dispute that either; correct?

5 A. That is correct.

MR. BUDGE: No further questions.

7 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.

Further questions? Sir? Mr. Johns?

9 MR. JOHNS: Just briefly, Mr. Hearing Officer.

10 Do you mind if I stay here?

11 HEARING OFFICER: Sure.

12 MR. JOHNS: Thank you.

13 14

4

6

8

**CROSS-EXAMINATION** 

**QUESTIONS BY MR. JOHNS:** 15

16 Q. Mr. Coleman -- Colvin, thank you for your 17 testimony. A lot of things to sort through, a lot of

18 different testimony has been presented.

19 I just wanted to touch on a comment that you 20 had made about Mr. Contor's testimony. I just wanted to

21 ask: Have you -- were you present during the entire

22 time that Mr. Contor, Bryce, had testified?

23 A. The very last redirect I think I missed. I

24 had to step out for a moment. 25

Q. Okay. Were you present when he testified that

125

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

127 126 1 he only looked at the 2022 year, and even if you look at ask you just have those available. the 2022 year, he testified that the Surface Water A. Okay. Coalition received more accruals to the reach that 3 Q. Could you please identify the specific benefits them just looking only at 2022 and what efforts provisions in this [unintelligible] documents that 5 constitute the 2016 agreement? were done there? 6 A. I was here for that. I'm not exactly sure 6 A. Yeah. 7 7 Q. Correct? if -- yes, I believe I was here for that. 8 Q. Okay. So you're not exactly sure what was A. Yeah. said, but would you defer --Q. Can you please identify the specific 10 A. Yeah. provision, stating the section numbers, that you believe 11 Q. -- to any written or recorded statements of 11 conflict with Mr. Contor's analysis and his proposals in 12 this hearing with regard to him only looking at 2022? 12 his report? 13 13 A. Wait, I'm sorry, can you say that again? A. I think that the long-term practices is 14 Q. So do you -- you don't recall everything Bryce 14 Section 3 of Exhibit 500. Those are the actions defined 15 said as far as only looking at the 2022 year and the 15 within the 2016 mitigation plan. And that's where I accruals of the SWC? 16 think that his proposal focusing on the reach gain 17 A. I do remember him saying that, yes. 17 calculations is not within these long-term practices. 18 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say he did look at 18 Q. Okay. Could you please look at subsection 5 just 2022? 19 19 of section 3(e)? 20 HEARING OFFICER: Of 501? 20 A. I think that's fair, yes. 21 Q. Okay. Thank you. And then just one other. 21 MR. JOHNS: Of Exhibit 500. 22 22 HEARING OFFICER: 500? Would you please -- I think you've got common 23 23 MR. JOHNS: Yeah. exhibits --24 A. Yep. 24 THE WITNESS: Safe harbor section? 25 25 Q. -- 500, 501, and 502. And I'm just going to MR. JOHNS: No. It will be section 3(e).

128 129

1 THE WITNESS: Oh, oh, I see. 2 Q. (BY MR. JOHNS) Okay. And then --3

A. Okay. Yes.

Q. Yep. In subsection 5, what does subsection 5 4 5 indicate will occur if there's a breach of any of the provisions in 3(e)? 6

HEARING OFFICER: Excuse me. You've kind 8 of -- I don't understand the question. You referred to 9 3(e), and then you referred to 5.

10 MR. JOHNS: Sorry. Subsection 5 of 3(e).

11 HEARING OFFICER: Oh, 3(e). Okay.

MR. JOHNS: Correct. 12

14

13 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.

MR. JOHNS: My apologies, Hearing Officer.

15 HEARING OFFICER: I'm sorry. That's my fault.

Q. (BY MR. JOHNS) Okay. So subsection 5 of 16

17 Section 3(e), does it state what actions trigger 18 adaptive measures in there or does it state -- talk

19 about adaptive measures?

20 A. Yes. "If any of the benchmarks or groundwater 21 level goal is not achieved, adaptive measures will be

identified and implemented per Section 4 below."

O. Okay. Does it mention anything in that 24 section about what occurs if there's a breach of the

annual reduction requirement?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Do you know where that language is contained in the agreement? 3

A. No, I don't.

5 O. Okay. Can you look at Exhibit 502.

б A. Okav.

7 Q. Okay. And this will be Section 2(c)3(m). And 8 that's going to be page --

A. Page 3?

10 Q. Yeah, page 3, correct. And then subsection

11 III there, can you see where I'm looking?

And then --

13 A. Yes.

9

12

14 Q. Okay. And then there at the bottom, I believe

15 this is the section, if you want to just take a look

there, does it -- do you see anything in subsection III 16

17 on page 3 that tells you what year you should measure --

18 or which years you should only look at when determining

19 a breach of the annual reduction requirement?

20 A. No, I don't see anything in there about the timeframe of the breach. Any of my references to that 21

22 were coming from the Director's order.

23 O. Okay. Just one last question: Is it fair to

24 say that you and Mr. Contor disagree on your

interpretation of what the agreement tells you to do

Word 4 Word Court Reporting, LLC 208-242-3289

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

130 131 technically when there's a breach? Q. It should be Exhibit 142, page 14. 2 2 A. I guess so. A. 142, is that what you said? 3 3 Q. Fair enough. Q. Yes. 4 MR. JOHNS: Thank you. 4 A. And what page was that? Q. It should be exhibit page 14. It would be 5 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Anything further part 4, her summary of conclusions? in terms of cross? A. And which --7 Hearing none, rebuttal? 8 O. Looking at No. 6. 8 MR. THOMPSON: Just one question on redirect. 9 A. No. 6. Yes, so she includes averaging IGWA's 9 HEARING OFFICER: Or redirect. I'm sorry. conservation over the period of 2016 to 2022 and comes 10 MR. THOMPSON: This is Travis Thompson again up with the average numbers for conservation there. 11 11 for Surface Water Coalition. 12 Q. And is it your understanding the Director has 12 prohibited averaging for purposes of the 240,000 annual 13 13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 14 obligation? 14 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMPSON:** 15 A. That is my understanding, yes. 15 Q. Dave, Mr. Budge asked you questions about your 16 Q. Thank you. 16 rebuttal report, and he took issue with your sentence 17 HEARING OFFICER: Very well. Thank you, sir. that talked about multiyear and averaging analysis that 18 You may be seated. were done in the IGWA reports. 19 THE WITNESS: Thanks. 19 Do you recall that? 20 HEARING OFFICER: I guess it's about noon. 20 A. Yes. 21 Any -- I guess you have another witness, then, sir? 21 Q. And, I guess, would you agree on page 14 of MR. BUDGE: Correct. One lay witness. 22 22 Ms. Sigstedt's original report she did include that 23 HEARING OFFICER: Do you want to do -- do that conclusion about averaging looking at what IGWA's 24 now or after lunch? members had done prior to 2022. 25 What is -- what do --A. Let me pull that reference real quick. 25 132 133 MR. BUDGE: I think after lunch would be WILLIAM STODDART. ideal, then we don't have to break in the middle. called by IGWA, having been first duly sworn to tell the HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Fletcher, you either 3 3 truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: 4 have gas or you don't agree with that? MR. FLETCHER: Oh, probably. I was hoping 5 5 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir. Please be we'd just power through. I don't know how long it's 6 seated. going to take, though, if it's going to take over an 7 MR. BUDGE: Good afternoon, Bill. Thanks for 7 8 hour or whatever. 8 being here. 9 9 MR. BUDGE: So based on the hearing officer's THE WITNESS: Thank you. 10 rulings this morning that limited some of the evidence, MR. BUDGE: For the record, this is TJ Budge I think it would be helpful if I reworked some of 11 on behalf of IGWA. Mr. Stoddart's testimony. 12 HEARING OFFICER: We'll go after lunch. 13 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. BUDGE: 14 Thank you, sir. 14 MR. BUDGE: Yeah. 15 15 Q. Bill, to begin would you please state your HEARING OFFICER: We'll be -- the court -- the 16 name and address. 16 17 hearing is in recess. Please excuse me. 17 A. My name is William Edward Stoddart, Stoddart 18 (Lunch break taken.) 18 is S-t-o-d-d-a-r-t. And my address is 1849 North 800 19 HEARING OFFICER: Back on the record. 19 East, Monteview, Idaho. 20 20 And as I remember, Mr. Budge, you had a Q. For those who may not be familiar with 21 further witness, sir. 21 Monteview, where is that in Idaho? 22 MR. BUDGE: IGWA will call Bill Stoddart. 22 A. It's east. It's about 45 miles west of HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Stoddart, please come 23 23 Rexburg, Idaho. 24 24 HEARING OFFICER: Is there anything else out forward. 25 25 there?

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

135

137

134

1 THE WITNESS: No.

2 HEARING OFFICER: Very well. Sorry.

- Q. (BY MR. BUDGE) Well, there's Mud Lake; right?
- 4 A. Yes, if you're more familiar with the Mud
- 5 Lake metropolitan area, that is one of the suburbs.
- 6 MR. BUDGE: Can we go off the record for a 7
  - (Discussion held off the record.)
- Q. (BY MR. BUDGE) Okay. Bill I understand
- you're a farmer? 10
- 11 A. I am.

3

- 12 Q. Did you grow up on a farm?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. In that area?
- 15 A. Yes, the same farm.
- 16 Q. Been farming your whole life?
- 17
- 18 Q. Are you a member of any groundwater district?
- 19 A. I'm a member through my canal company where I
- own ground. They're the member that represents us.
- Q. Of what --21
- 22 A. Of the Jefferson Clark Ground Water District.
- 23 Q. Explain how a canal company is a member of
- 24 Jefferson Clark Ground Water District?
- 25 A. So they, like any other members, hold the

water rights in their name for many of their members of

shares and stuff in a -- for a canal company. So they, like many of our other members, are water right holders

in the Jefferson-Clark area.

Q. Now, when I think of canal company, I think of surface water right holders.

7 Is your canal company a holder of surface

water rights or groundwater rights? A. Strictly groundwater rights.

- Q. So there's wells that pump water into a canal 10 11 that takes that water to the shareholder in the company?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. So your canal company is subject to the
- 14 mitigation plans of the groundwater district?
- 15
- 16 Q. Has your canal company been a member of
- Jefferson Clark Ground Water District since its 17
- formation?

20

- 19 A. Yes, they have.
  - O. Do you know when the district was formed?
- 21 A. In 2009, I believe November.
- 22 Q. Okay. Are you involved with the Jefferson
- 23 Clark Ground Water District in any other way beside
- being a member?
- 25 A. I'm currently the manager of the Jefferson

136

Clark Ground Water District.

- Q. How long have you had that job?
- 3 A. A little over six years.
- Q. And tell me generally what your duties are as 4 5 manager.
- A. I do everything from compliance, things like,
- you know, reporting to the controller's office our audit
- and everything, Title 74 issues, open meeting laws,
- public record requests. I compile and send out all
- assessments, all water usage reports. I compile data
- from IDWR that records the actual meter readings. I put them in our database and send all of that out. I
- present the agendas and any information they need at
- 14 board meetings.

15 I'm the secretary for the board meetings. I 16 record all the minutes. I deal with all compliance

- 17 issues with the members. They, generally, call me with
- 18 questions of the district. Any informational stuff, I
- 19 worked with -- I work with IDWR on, pretty much, any
- issue that involves our members. You know, flow meter
- replacements, making sure they are only farming so many
- acres, any of those things, what measurements were
- recorded by them and whether they were correct or not.
- So pretty much the whole host of everything that the
- 25 district does.

Q. Okay. And it sounds like you're involved in

- implementing the district's conservation program?
  - A. Yes. Yes, I am.
- 4 O. Are you also involved with IGWA?
  - A. I am.
- 5 б Q. How long have you been involved with IGWA?
- A. So the day after I got hired, I started going
- 8 to IGWA meetings, and that was six years ago. And so
- I've gone to IGWA meetings ever since. And different
- entities that IGWA's involved with, other meetings and

- Q. Do you hold a leadership position within IGWA?
- 13 A. I'm currently treasurer of IGWA.
- 14 Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the
- 15 mitigation plans and activities that IGWA undertakes?
- 16 A. Generally, we discuss other -- the differences
- 17 between mitigation plans of other districts. Mine,
- 18 certainly, is more familiar, even though it's more
- complex than, I think, some of the others. I'm far more
- familiar with mine. But, yes, I am generally familiar
- 21 with some of the aspects of other district's mitigation 22
- Q. Okay. And who are the members of IGWA, 23 24 currently?
- 25 A. There's ten groundwater districts and one

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

139

141

138

- irrigation district. Magic Valley, North Snake, Carey,
- Big Lost, Bingham, Aberdeen-American Falls, Jefferson
- Clark, Bonneville-Jefferson, and Southwest Irrigation
- 4 District, and then Henry's Fork and Madison Groundwater
- District. And I think that was all of them.
- O. Okay. And are you testifying today on behalf 7 of the IGWA?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 O. Are you also testifying on behalf of the
- 10 Jefferson Clark Ground Water District?
- 11
- 12 Q. Bill, we've been discussing a Settlement
- Agreement between the Surface Water Coalition and the
- groundwater districts. There's three documents that
- make up that Settlement Agreement. One of them is what
- we sometimes refer to as the 2015 Agreement. That's
- 17 Exhibit 500 in this case. And then there was a first
- addendum, which is Exhibit 501. And a second addendum.
- 19 which is Exhibit 502.
  - Are you familiar with those documents?
- 21 A. I am.

20

- 22 Q. I'll refer to those documents collectively as
- 23 the "Settlement Agreement" or the "Agreement" during our
- 24 discussion, and you may do the same.
- 25 A. Okay.

- Q. Were you involved in negotiating a Settlement 2 Agreement?
  - A. I was not.
  - Q. Were you involved with Jefferson Clark Ground
- Water District at the time the agreement was negotiated? 6
  - A. I was not.
  - Q. Were involved in developing Jefferson Clark's
- program to comply with the Settlement Agreement?
  - A. Not the original plan.
- 10 Q. Okay. And so your involvement is in
- 11 implementing Jefferson Clark's program since you became
- 12 the manager?

7

- 13 A. Correct. 14
- Q. Okay. Bill, as you're aware, Section 3(a) of 15 the Settlement Agreement provides for a total
- groundwater diversion reduction of 240,000 acre-feet
- 17 annually. And it states that, "Each district with
  - members pumping from the ESPA shall be responsible for
- 19 reducing their proportionate share of the total."
- 20 Are you familiar with that term of the
- 21 agreement?
- 22 A. Yes, I am.
- 23 Q. Does the Settlement Agreement specify each
- 24 district's proportionate share of the 240,000 acre-feet? 25
  - A. It does not.

140

- Exhibits" to Exhibit 518. Do you recognize that? 3
- 3
- 4 A. I do.
- 5 O. Please describe it.
- 6 A. That's the -- IGWA's reported 2016 performance 7 summary table.

Q. If you'll turn in your book labeled "Common

- 8 Q. Okay. And you're familiar with that report
- that IGWA submits annually? 9
- 10 A. I am.
- 11 Q. On that spreadsheet, on the -- towards the
- 12 left, there's a column labeled "Target Conservation."
  - Do you see that?
- 14 A. I do.

13

22

- 15 Q. And do you understand that to be each
- district's proportionate share of the 240,000 acre-feet, 16
- 17 at least as it was calculated at that time?
- 18 A. I do. That was the target conservation we'd 19 agreed to amongst the districts.
- 20 Q. Okay. And for Jefferson Clark, it shows
- 21 54,178 acre-feet?
  - A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And you understand that's the annual
- 24 conservation obligation that pertained to Jefferson
- Clark, at least at that time?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Do you know how that number was determined?
  - A. I do.
- 4 Q. And please explain.
- 5 A. So we took a percent based on the 2010 to 2014
- baseline of those entities, and we divided up the
- 240,000 amongst them. So it, approximately, ended up to
- around 12.35 percent to each district listed there.
- Q. Okay. So that allocation was an agreement 10 among the groundwater districts?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. If you'll turn to Exhibit 521, that's the 2017
- 13 performance spreadsheet.
- 14 Do you see that?
- 15 A. I do.
- 16 Q. I noticed when I was going through these that
- the target conservation obligation for Jefferson Clark 17
- 18 had gone up a little bit. It was -- it's
- 19 54,373 acre-feet.
  - Do you know why it changed from 2016 to 2017?
- 21 A. From the time I began, there was always slight
- 22 adjustments to the measurements made during 2010 to 2014
- 23 due to corrections just in the fact that the numbers
- reported to IDWR during that time were not as accurate
- 25 as everybody believes it to be. So if any better

8

9

22

5

16

20

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

142

information the district had, they would slightly change some of the diversions during those times.

- 3 Q. Thank you for that. Has Jefferson Clark's 4 obligation remained at that 54,373 acre-feet figure 5 since 2017?
  - A. It has.

6

7

Q. You testified that you're involved in implementing the program that Jefferson Clark developed to conserve 54,373 acre-feet annually.

10 Would you please describe the program that was 11 developed?

12 A. So in our district we do it a little different 13 than others. We take what is the 2010 to 2014 diversion or average -- average of those diversion numbers across 15 all our wells for every individual, and we use that as a 16 starting point.

17 And from that we reduce based on the weighted 18 average priority dates of their water rights for those individuals or combined individuals. Those reductions range from 3 percent to 17 percent, based on the weighted priority date. And so that's how we determine --22

HEARING OFFICER: The net percentage is the 23 percentage of 100 percent within the district; is that correct.

THE WITNESS: No. So we take -- so your diversion baseline -- we take a baseline number, which is the average of your points of diversion, what they pump during those years, and then we reduce that by

anywhere from 3 to 17 percent based on the priority 6 dates, the weighted priority dates of those water rights

7 that are made up in those diversions.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

10 Q. (BY MR. BUDGE) So maybe just to give an example: Hypothetically, if you had a patron whose 11 average diversions from 2010 to 2014 were 12

1,000 acre-feet, then Jefferson Clark would require them to reduce by somewhere between 3 percent and 17 percent

15 based on the priority date of that patron's water 16 rights?

17 A. Correct. And that 3 percent goes from 1913, 18 our very earliest right, up to 2001 is the distribution 19 of that -- those percentages.

20 Q. Okay. So more junior rights have to conserve 21 more than more senior rights?

A. Correct.

23 Q. Does Jefferson Clark then give each patron an

24 annual pumping limit?

25 A. We do.

144 145

Q. And Jefferson Clark keeps track of the amount of groundwater diverted by each patron?

A. Correct.

3

6

8 9

21

- 4 Q. What if a patron pumps less than their annual 5 limit?
- A. Then before we used to give them credit for 7 that. We would mark it on their things, and I would, every year, post it on their new water usage report as a carry-forward credit.
- Q. Okay. So you sent an annual report out to the 10 patrons that shows where they stand in water usage?
  - A. Correct.
- 13 Q. What if a patron pumps more than their annual 14 limit?
- 15 A. If they pump more than their annual limit in a 16 year, they're required to make that up. And they can do 17 that in several ways. They can -- the district would 18 buy recharge in many of those years, and they could buy 19 -- whatever our cost was, was we would sell them some of 20 those recharge credits to offset theirs, or they can buy
- O. If they had surplus in a prior year, were they 23 able to use that towards their deficit?

them from other individuals that have credits.

- 24 A. They were.
- 25 Q. Okay. You mentioned that patrons can acquire

recharge from the district to help them meet their individual conservation obligations.

3 Can you explain Jefferson Clark's recharge 4 program?

program was to make sure we did plenty of recharge in the very wet years when it was available and it was beneficial, and that we would use it in the years that 9 were hot and dry where it was harder to meet the

A. So our main goal of our recharge problem --

obligation. We could use some of that in those years to 10

11 offset our pumping diversions. 12 Q. Okay. We discussed earlier the performance

13 report that IGWA submits annually to the Surface Water Coalition and to the Department. 14

15 You're familiar with those; correct?

A. I am.

17 Q. And I think it's been mentioned earlier that 18 these reports are prepared during the winter following 19 the irrigation season; is that right?

A. Correct.

21 Q. Do you know why the reports aren't prepared 22 until the winter following the irrigation season?

23 A. Most of the time they're just waiting for the

24 sheer amount of data to come in. And our district, they read flow meters at the end of the year as late as they

Word 4 Word Court Reporting, LLC 208-242-3289

7

11

14

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

147

149

146

can to make sure they get read before the snow falls. So it can be October even November, at the latest.

3 But then we have a fair amount of 4 self-reporting and PCC numbers that they, a lot of 5 times, don't get the information from the power company until December, late December to January. And so by the 6 7 time I compile all that information and make sure it's correct with the members, it's February, March.

Q. You mentioned "PCC." What is that?

10 A. A power of coefficient. It compares when 11 IDWR, at least for our district, goes out and measures nonflow meter diversions, they measure the power usage 12 13 to a volume over a set period of time creating a ratio. That way at the end of the year they can get a power

15 reading, and that will be used to know how much volume 16 they pumped during the year. 17 Q. So some of the wells in your district have

meters on them that you're reading after the irrigation 19 season to see how much was pumped that year?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And other wells the measurement is done 22 through the power consumption coefficient?

A. Correct. 23

24 Q. And just to summarize, it takes the district

several months to collect all of that data so that you

1 have the diversion volumes for the prior irrigation season?

3 A. Yes. And we have, technically, IDWR compile and collect all of our measurements. And it takes them that long to get the power readings from Rocky Mountain б Power.

Q. Okay. Very good.

8 Do you still have in front of you the performance report? And I don't remember what year we 10 were looking at.

A. This was 2017.

12 Q. 2017, okay. There's a column there labeled 13 "Diversion Reduction."

What does that represent?

15 A. It's the difference between the diversion

16 baseline and the target conservation.

17 Q. Okay. And you understand the baseline is the 18 average diversions from 2010 to 2014, which you

19 described previously? 20

A. Correct.

21 Q. So if the diversion reduction shows a positive

22 number, that means district patrons collectively

23 diverted less water that year than they diverted from

2010 to 2014; is that right?

25 A. Yes.

148

Those numbers are accurate?

A. Yes, I believe so.

3 Q. And so every year since 2015, Jefferson

Clark's patrons have diverted tens of thousands of acre

feet less than they did on average from 2010 to 2014;; 6 is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. Even during the very dry years like 2021?

9

7

8

10 O. Okay. What had Jefferson Clark's patrons done 11 to be able to conserve groundwater or pump less

groundwater every year?

13 A. So different patrons, we -- we're a little 14 different than some of the other groundwater districts.

15 We're not next to the river, so conversions are kind of

16 out. Mostly end gun removals, corner dry-ups. There's 17

been changes to cropping patterns and different crops 18 and the number of cuttings that -- we mostly hay, grain,

19 and potato area. So some of them have reduced from

20 three cuttings of hay to two.

21 We've implemented some ground dry-ups as part 22 of the district. And some of that and other -- they've

done, you know, things like pipelines and stuff for some

of those people that aren't directly hooked to wells to

try to increase their efficiencies.

O. And if the diversion reduction column shows a negative number, that would mean district patrons

diverted more water that year than they did on average

4 from 2010 to 2014?

5

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. So, Bill, I've gone through each of the performance reports from 2016 to 2021 and tabulated the diversion reduction volumes for each of those years.

I'm not going to require you to go look at them, because I know you're familiar with these. I'm just going to

read them for the record and you can confirm they're 12 accurate.

13 So for Jefferson Clark Ground Water District, there was a diversion reduction of 22.574 acre-feet. 14

15 That's Exhibit 518. In 2017 they reduced by

67,878 acre-feet. That's Exhibit 521. In 2018 they 16 17 reduced by 69,555 acre-feet. That's Exhibit 524. In

18 2019 your patrons reduced by 52,922 acre-feet. That's

19 shown in Exhibit 527. In 2020 your patrons reduced by 20 41,244 acre-feet. That's shown in Exhibit 530. And in

2021 your patrons reduced by 36,838 acre-feet, as shown

in Exhibit 533; is that correct?

A. The last one is 36.856.

24 Q. 36,856 acre-feet. Thank you for the

25 correction.

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

151

150

Q. Okay. So you were here yesterday when Mr. Fletcher made a statement to the hearing officer, "The groundwater users just ignore the rulings of the

4 Department and the courts and do whatever they want."

Is this consistent with your experience?

A. It isn't. For the most part, the patrons have complied with what we've asked of them. And they -- you

know, most of it took a little while. When we first

started to -- both as the board to get understanding how

to apply a mitigation plan, in general -- you know, a 10

groundwater district's a unique entity with the rules 11

12 that aren't very specific on how they're supposed to

13 implement something.

1

3

5

6

7

19

5

10

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

14 So from the start, there was, you know, a 15 little trouble, but, you know, they got through that and implemented a plan that, for the most part, is complied 17 with by the patrons. 18

Q. Let me ask you a little more about the recharge that your district does.

Those performance reports often show recharge values, and those are in addition to the diversion reductions; correct?

A. Yes. 23

24 Q. So as I look through those reports, in 2016 Jefferson Clark did 32,193 acre-feet of recharge, as set

forth in Exhibit 518. In 2017 your district had

58,878 acre-feet of recharge, as set forth in

Exhibit 521. In 2018 your district had 17,101 acre-feet

of recharge, as set forth in Exhibit 524. In 2019 your

district had 6,833 acre-feet of recharge, as set forth

in Exhibit 527. In 2020 your district had

7 26,213 acre-feet of recharge, as set forth in

Exhibit 530. In 2021 your district had 5,881 acre-feet

of recharge, as set forth in Exhibit 533. And in 2022

your district had 7,647 acre-feet of recharge, as set 10

11 forth in Exhibit 536.

12 Are those numbers accurate?

A. Yes, I believe so.

14 Q. So if I did the math right, Jefferson Clark 15 district has done over 154,000 acre-feet of recharge

16 since beginning this agreement in 2016; is that right? 17

A. Correct.

18 Q. How much money has your district spent on recharge? 19

A. Over 2.5 million.

21 Q. And how has the district paid for this?

A. Through assessments to all the district

23 members.

13

20

22

5

7

8

12

24 Q. So the district patrons have paid for all this

25 recharge?

152 153

A. Correct.

Q. Is this consistent with Mr. Fletcher's statement that the districts ignore the rulings of the 4 Department and the courts and do whatever they want?

A. No.

6 Q. How much effort has it been for the district 7 to develop and implement the recharge program and 8 conservation program? 9

A. I know it's been a considerable effort on the board to devise a plan that, you know, tries to deal with the equities and inequities present in water rights and our huge distribution of, you know, priority years.

When I first -- before I first began as a 14 manager, I was on a subcommittee devised by the board after their first implementation of the plan to look at their implementation of the plan and address some of its shortcomings and, you know, that through direct implementation of a single plan there's bound to be variances and needs for that.

And so through that subcommittee, they adopted what we call the 1.85 plan, which was to bring up some of the very lowest users, you know, through

circumstances to a minimum kind of level of water usage.

You know, so that's -- it's taken a lot of time, and we

25 have a lot of meetings. And we still discuss it to this

very day, you know, how is this plan working, what do we need to do to adapt to it and try to address some of the shortcomings of the plan.

Q. Thank you. Do you know how many water rights are in your district?

A. I think there's a little under 1,800.

O. I can appreciate the complexity.

In 2017 Jefferson Clark did 58,878 acre-feet

9 in recharge, and as we discussed earlier, Jefferson

Clark's total conservation obligation is 10

11 54.373 acre-feet.

Why did Jefferson Clark do more recharge than 13 its total conservation obligation that year?

14 A. The board believed that doing that would 15 provide them some buffer, you know, in those dry years 16 to work against their required reduction in those years.

17 So the board thought, you know, there's plentiful water,

18 we need to make sure that goes in the ground, and this

19 will provide, like I said, a buffer against those dry

20 years that are inevitable. And in order to provide some

21 stability to the farmers that make up the groundwater

22 district, this is one of their ways to try to manage

23 risk.

24 Q. So if I look at the mitigation balance column

for 2017, that's Exhibit 521, it shows that Jefferson

154

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

155

Clark had a balance of 72,383 acre-feet; right?

A. Yes. Correct.

Q. So the district did, basically,

4 72,000 acre-feet more than was required of them that 5

6

3

13

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

7 Q. And that was reported to the Surface Water Coalition and the Department?

A. Correct.

10 Q. Did the Coalition or the Department ever tell 11 Jefferson Clark that its wasting its money and effort by 12 doing excess conservation?

A. No.

14 Q. If I look through the -- through the 15 mitigation balance figures in those performance

reports -- and I'll just read these to you -- in 2016

Jefferson Clark had a positive balance at 589 acre-feet,

18 as set forth in Exhibit 518. In 2017 Jefferson Clark

19 had a positive balance of 72,383 acre-feet, as set forth

20 in Exhibit 521. In 2018 Jefferson Clark had a positive

21 balance of 32,283 acre-feet, as set forth in

22 Exhibit 526. In 2019 Jefferson Clark had a positive

23 balance of 5,382 acre-feet, as set forth in Exhibit 527.

24 In 2020 Jefferson Clark had a positive balance of

25 13,084 acre-feet, as set forth in Exhibit 530. And in

Q. Let me have you turn to Exhibit 510. It's in

That document is titled "Final Order Regarding

2021 Jefferson Clark had a negative balance of 11,636 acre-feet, as set forth in Exhibit 533.

Do those numbers look correct?

A. Yes.

Q. So if I did the math right, Bill, during that

period from 2016 to 2021, Jefferson Clark had

cumulatively about 112,000 acre-feet more conservation

than is required by the agreement; is that right?

A. That is correct.

Q. And is that consistent with Mr. Fletcher's 11 statement that the districts ignore the rulings of the

12 Department and the courts and do whatever they want?

13

10

14

Q. Why did Jefferson Clark do so much more?

15 A. It was, you know, to try to help provide

16 actual -- you know, administrating a plan is different

17 than, you know, drawing up a plan. This is -- we have

to deal with actual compliance with that and helping our

members comply with the plan.

20 And so we believed this was a way we could

build into it the compliance aspect of it by providing

extra recharge water in the years, when available, and

using that as a credit against our diversions. If it

24 was hot and dry, it provided us a buffer to those hot

25 and dry years.

156

O. And that incentive has been effective, it

the same target conservation figures that you had been from 2016 or '17?

A. That is correct. 3

Q. And you had been operating under the

assumption that you could use averaging of some sort 5

A. That is -- was our belief, yes.

Q. About what time of year does your district

Probably at the back.

A. September 8th, 2022.

Do you see that?

looks like, for your district?

the common exhibits folder.

A. Yes.

A. I do.

Q. Are you familiar with that order?

A. I am.

15 Q. Just describe, briefly, what that order means 16 to you?

Compliance With Approved Mitigation Plan."

Q. And do you see the date on that?

17 A. This was an order by the Director that, in 18 application of the 2016 -- the Settlement Agreement,

19

that 240 was to be allocated amongst the districts, the

20 signees of the agreement, and that no averaging would be 21 allowed in that agreement.

22 Q. So that decision was made on September 8th of 23 2022; is that right?

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. So prior to that date, your district was using between the years to carry forward surplus conservation?

8 9 send out the usage report or allocation reports to the 10 patrons?

11 A. So the water usage -- so along with the water usage reports I send out reporting last year's, unless

13 there's been a change in the allocation, those are the

basis for the next year's water usage. So, generally, 14

as soon as I can do them. The first year, due to just 15

16 our previous manager passing away, it was clear into

17 March when I did that. But most years I try to do it in

18 January --

19 Q. Okay. 20

A. -- of the following year.

21 Q. So in January your patrons get a report that

22 tells them how much water they have to use, basically,

23 in the upcoming irrigation season?

24 A. Correct.

Q. And did they adjust their planting decisions

157

25

158

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

159

1 based on that?

A. I would hope so and everything.

Q. And so for 2022 -- for the 2022 irrigation 4 season, Jefferson Clark or you would have sent out these usage reports and allocation reports in, you said,

February or something like that? 6

A. Correct.

3

5

7

11

12

13

17

18

Q. January or February.

After the Director issued his decision in September near the end of the irrigation season, was it realistic for you to go back and change the conservation allocation -- or the diversion reduction numbers for your patrons?

14 A. It wouldn't have been. The bulk of the -- the 15 irrigation season in our area is done by then. 16

Q. Okay. Let me have you turn to Exhibit 536. Bill, do you recognize that as IGWA's 2022 performance spreadsheet?

19 A. I do.

Q. And, obviously, the first page of that looks 21 significantly different than those from 2016 to 2021; is that right? 22

23 A. It does.

24 Q. And can you explain why IGWA made a different report in 2022?

A. At the time, we were required to provide some sort of summary report. The status of everything was influx, and how we were going to apply the Director's

new ruling in September.

Q. You were here for the testimony of Mr. Higgs 6 earlier today? 7

A. I was.

Q. I think there was some review of the timeline, but just to confirm, so the Director's initial decision that you referenced a moment ago was issued

11 September 8th, 2022; correct?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. And that was issued without a hearing?

14

8

15 Q. And there was an after-the-fact hearing held 16 in February of '23?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And a decision had not been made on that 19 after-the-fact hearing by the time this report was due 20 on April 1st?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Okay. And so at that time, as you mentioned, 23 what was, you know, required under [unintelligible]

agreement was up in the air?

25 A. It was.

160 161

Q. If you'll turn to Exhibit 535.

2 Do you recognize that document, Bill?

3 A. I do. 4

5

18

23

O. Explain what that is.

A. So this was a report, a settlement report, reporting the '22 -- along with the '22 performance

report addressing things like -- since they were influx,

that's knowing how we're going to measure the

proportional share distributed to the districts was now

10 unknown and waiting on a final ruling from the Director 11

Q. So we've been talking a lot about the 13 spreadsheets that IGWA -- in this proceeding, the spreadsheets that IGWA submits every year to the 15 Coalition and the Department.

Those spreadsheets are accompanied every year 16 17 by a narrative report; is that right?

A. Correct.

19 Q. So every year when IGWA submits its annual performance report, it submits the spreadsheet, which is 20 the data, and then it supports a narrative report to

accompany that?

A. Correct.

24 Q. And you're reviewing the narrative report that

25 was submitted in 2022?

A. Yes.

2

3

Q. I'm going to have you read just two small --HEARING OFFICER: Under IGWA?

4 MR. BUDGE: Yes. Yes, thank you.

Q. (BY MR. BUDGE) I'm going to have you read

5 6 just two short paragraphs from that report. The first one is on page 1. It's under the heading, "2022 8

Performance." And I want to have you read the second paragraph beginning with the word "Unlike." 9

10 A. "Unlike IGWA's performance reports in the 11 years past, the summary tab shows only groundwater

diversion and recharge data. It does not contain a

table showing a baseline, target conservation, or

14 mitigation balance. Because the final order regarding

compliance with approved mitigation plan, the compliance 15

order, is issued on September 8th, 2022, necessitates 16

17 that IGWA and the Surface Water Coalition revisit how

18 compliance will be measured under the agreement for 2022 19 and future years, as explained below."

20 Q. Okay. And then the second one is on page 2.

21 It's the fourth paragraph down, beginning with the word 22 "Since."

23 A. Since the method of measuring compliance will

24 change depending on the outcome of the Director's

reconsideration of the compliance order, the enclosed

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

162

- spreadsheet does not purport to demonstrate compliance
- with the agreement in 2022. However, the usage analysis
- tab of the spreadsheet does contain the same table
- 4 provided in prior years that show baseline, target
- 5 conservation, and mitigation balance. This table is
- provided for informational purposes and is not 6
- conclusive of each district's compliance with the 7
- agreement because it compares single year's diversions
- against a five-year average baseline. IGWA will
- determine a more appropriate method of measuring
- compliance method once the Director's decision becomes 11
- 12 final."
- 13 Q. So in the spring of '22 when that report was
- submitted, the groundwater users, as you mentioned the 14
- 15 requirements under the Settlement Agreement were up in 16
- the air --
- 17 A. The spring of '23?
- 18 Q. The spring of '23, thank you.
- 19 And then that report states that if the
- 20 Director's decision, which [unintelligible] on averaging
- and reallocated the 240, if that doesn't change, that
- the districts would adopt a new method for measuring

is Exhibit 131. It's the addendum to the 2022

not been admitted into evidence at this time.

performance report, and as Mr. Fletcher pointed out,

that has been accepted under an offer of proof but has

In further support of the prior offer of

compliance. 23

4

5

7

8

9

15

- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Can you explain why?

A. Well, the way we viewed it before is if, you

- know, the average of the years were dictating of the
- baseline, we should use that as our -- part of our
- compliance. And we had always done, you know, a credit
- system before of when we reported every year, that this
- is how we believed it was to be done, and told our
- members that was how they had access to carryover 7
- credits to be used on the next year and everything else
- in order to meet our compliance.
- 10 Q. Okay. And have the groundwater districts, in 11 fact, adopted an alternative compliance method, as
- 12 they've said they would in that report?
  - A. They have.
  - Q. When was that done?
- 15 A. That was --

13

14

16

- MR. FLETCHER: Objection. Mr. Hearing
- 17 Officer, he's trying to get right into the same issue
  - you've already excluded, the updated report that he now
- 19 is talking about. 20
  - HEARING OFFICER: I agree. Sustained.
- 21 MR. FLETCHER: Thank you.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER: I previously indicated this
- 23 is irrelevant. [Unintelligible].
  - MR. BUDGE: I have. Thank you, Hearing
- Officer. The exhibit that Mr. Fletcher's referring to

authorized the use of a three-year average baseline so

that there was precedent for it.

3 And they felt if averaging was no longer allowed, that that was a more fair and equitable way to

achieve the objectives of the Settlement Agreement. 5

That Exhibit 131 then includes a table that shows the

mitigation balance for each of the districts using that

new method. And in that table Jefferson Clark has a

9 positive mitigation balance instead of a negative

10 mitigation balance.

11 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

12 MR. BUDGE: You bet.

13 HEARING OFFICER: You may proceed.

14 Q. (BY MR. BUDGE) Mr. Stoddart, this proceeding

15 is about ferreting out what a proper remedy is for the

alleged noncompliance of the Settlement Agreement in 16

17 2022. And I want to ask your perspective as a manager

18 of a groundwater district who's intimately familiar with

19 how the various remedies that are considered may work.

20 One of the proposals made by the Coalition is

21 that the Department just curtail every water right

22 within the district that has a negative mitigation

23 balance.

24 Did the districts have the ability to curtail

their patrons water rights?

164

proof, I would like to offer some testimony from Mr. Stoddart in support of that offer of proof. HEARING OFFICER: And what would that say? MR. BUDGE: That testimony will explain --

10 HEARING OFFICER: I think the rule indicates 11 that offers of proof in these hearings are verbal.

12 MR. BUDGE: Okay. I'd be happy to do that as

13 well. 14

**HEARING OFFICER: Please.** 

MR. BUDGE: Yeah. So Mr. Stoddart's testimony 16 will explain that the groundwater districts waited until 17 a decision had been entered by Judge Wildman before 18 deciding to change the methodology. That decision came 19 out in November of 2023.

20 After that, the districts undertook a process 21 of evaluating different alternative methods of measuring compliance, and they selected a three-year average

baseline, the years 2012 to 2014. They selected that,

in part, because in a -- one of the pleadings that the Coalition and IGWA had filed with the Director in 2017 163

165

6

7

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

167

166

- A. We do not.
- 2 Q. Did the districts own the water rights in 3 their district?
- 4 A. No, they do not.
  - Q. The patrons own those?
- 6

1

5

13

16

25

4

5

15

16

- 7 Q. The district's job is not to curtail or enforce diversion reductions by their patrons?
- 10 Q. The district's job is to develop a mitigation 11 plan that the patrons can utilize to protect themselves from curtailment; correct? 12
  - A. That is correct.
- 14 Q. And if patrons don't comply, then it's up to 15 the Department if they want to curtail people?
  - A. That is correct.
- 17 Q. If the Department attempted to curtail all the members of your district for noncompliance in 2022, do you think this would be an effective remedy?
- A. I don't believe so. I think it would, effectively, end the groundwater districts. It's -- we did provide -- we provided the obligation and told them that if they performed these duties and functions, they
- would be protected under their mitigation call.

And they did that, they performed. And due to

that, they're going to have further obligations. So the

effectiveness of a groundwater district will cease to

exist. And as such, I assume most of the district

members will sue the groundwater district and that will be the end of the Jefferson Clark.

Q. Let's say the Department took the 2022 performance report and looked strictly at the -- you know, well by well which wells had a deficit that year and proceeded to curtail those patrons only.

10 Do you think that would be an effective 11 remedy?

- 12 A. No. Because that has really no relation to 13 how well someone performed according to our distribution 14 of those mitigation obligations.
- 15 Q. So if the Department looked at those individual wells in the 2018 performance report, that 16 17 would not reflect whether a patron had a surplus from a prior year that they could draw on in 2022?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. So if the Department did that, they would be 21 out curtailing patrons who were in compliance with the district's conservation program?
- 23 A. Absolutely.
- 24 Q. As an alternative, the Coalition has proposed that the Department add conservation deficits from 2022

168

169

- to the district's conservation obligation in 2024. And I think you were here for some earlier discussion of 3
  - Do you think this would be an effective remedy in your district?
- A. I don't think so. I mean, you're massively increasing our obligation for 2024. And after what they've done in the past to try to buffer themselves 9 against these sort of obligations, these continuous 10 obligations, they'll have no, you know, appetite for 11 continuing to do that.
  - Q. So what would happen is patrons who had conserved water in reliance on the district's program that said, yes, you can conserve surplus and carry it forward, if you take that away and say that was all for not, you see that being problematic?
- 17 A. Absolutely. You know, it's one of the methods 18 to ensure compliance with a -- you know, this type of 19 program is to allow carryovers, to do more in wet years when it's available and, you know -- and -- but it also encourages, even in the wettest of years, for those
- people to be as conservative as they can be in hopes that that will buffer them against the next year.
- Farming is all about managing risks, and this is one of many risks that farmers manage. And if you

- have no ability to do that, you don't leave them a whole lot of options.
- Q. What do you think would be an effective remedy 3 for mitigating the over pumping in 2022 or the 4 conservation deficit in 2022?
- A. You know, my opinion is that it has been 7 remedied, but, you know, I -- that is kind of how I view 8 it is doing in the past is more effective than doing it 9 after the fact. You know, I guess that's where I am and 10 the view of the board of the Jefferson.
- 11 MR. BUDGE: Okay. Thank you, Bill. I have no 12 further questions.

13 **HEARING OFFICER: Cross-Exam?** 

14 15

**CROSS-EXAMINATION** 

**OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMPSON:** 16

17 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Stoddart. I'm Travis 18 Thompson for A&B Irrigation District, et al.

19 You went through a number of those performance 20 reports that the groundwater district submitted to the 21 Coalition and to the Department from, I think, 2016

- 22 through 2022; is that correct? 23
  - A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And were you aware of the Coalition's dispute 25 that IGWA was including A&B and Southwest Irrigation

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

170 171 Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON) Do you recognize that District in those annual performance reports? 2 A. Was I aware? that's the number the Director has found? 3 Q. Yes. A. What was the number you said? 4 4 A. Yes. Q. You can go ahead and read it? 5 5 Q. So did counsel bring that to your attention in A. Okay. 63,533. the spring of 2017 when that dispute was raised? 6 6 Q. And does the district now recognize that A. I don't believe so. 7 number as their annual conservation obligation? Q. If you'd turn to Exhibit 512. That's the 8 A. The district recognizes that that was what was Director's order from last summer, the August 2nd, 2003 9 ordered by the Director. 10 [sic], order. 10 Q. Do you recognize that today? Can you turn to page 8. And do you see the 11 11 A. As what was ordered by the Director? column in Table 2 identified as "IDWR Target 12 12 Q. Yes. 13 Conservation"? 13 A. Yes. 14 A. I do. 14 O. And did the district meet that number in 2023? 15 Q. And do you recognize that the Director has 15 A. Yes. 16 found that the annual conservation number for Jefferson 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Objection. You asked 17 Clark is [unintelligible] acre feet? 17 if he met that in '23? 18 A. Yes, then he changed it to 60 -- what did you 18 HEARING OFFICER: I didn't hear you, sir. 19 say? Could you repeat that? 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm sorry, Mr. Thompson Q. 63,530 --20 20 asked about meeting that in 2023, which is outside the 21 HEARING OFFICER: Wait a second. scope of this hearing. We're talking about 2022 22 THE WITNESS: I just needed him to repeat the 22 compliance. 23 number he said. 23 MR. THOMPSON: Well, Mr. Stoddart's familiar HEARING OFFICER: Oh, okay. Sorry. My fault. 24 with the district's reduction obligations. You were 25 Continue. asking questions about Mr. Fletcher saying that the 172

173

districts don't follow what [unintelligible] said. He testified that they do. So that's -- I'm just 3 confirming. HEARING OFFICER: [Unintelligible] on that 4 5 very limited area.

Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON) So you have the numbers 6 7 from 2023, and the district did comply with that number? 8

A. Yes.

9 Q. Thank you. But they did not meet this number

in 2021 or 2022; is that correct?

A. This target conservation number?

12 O. Yes.

14

16

13 A. In what years?

Q. 2021 and 2022?

15 A. Correct.

O. And the district settled the noncompliance

17 from 2021; is that correct? 18

A. We did, yes.

19 Q. But you have not settled for the district's

noncompliance in 2022? 20

21 A. No.

Q. So you provided testimony about the early 22

efforts in good water years to do the surplus

conservation and the additional reductions, the

additional recharge in those early years.

Would you agree that that surplus conservation

would help the district in meeting the sentinel well

benchmark and goal? 3

A. As well, yes.

5 Q. And so it wouldn't be a waste of money to

perform that action beyond 240 in those years, would it?

A. It wouldn't, no.

Q. Mr. Budge asked you some questions about

9 compliance with your individual patrons.

Does the district enforce the reduction that 10

11 it sets for its patrons?

A. We do.

8

12

14

13 Q. And how do you do that?

A. As far as the process we do to enforce it.

15 O. Correct.

16 A. Okay. First, we allow the district -- the

17 district member to offset -- you know, they have one

18 entity, but they may run several entities. And their

19 first deal is to offset that [unintelligible] reduction

of other entities, as well as, then they can proceed to 20

purchase water from other entities that were under their 21

22 allocated amount.

Thirdly, the district often buys additional

24 recharge that we sell according to the statute to offset

any of that. If they refuse to do any of that, the

45 (Pages 174 to 177) 175 174 district would turn them over for curtailment by IDWR. Q. And so are any patrons out of compliance 2 Q. Has the district [unintelligible]? 3 A. Has the district done what? 3 A. As of today? Q. Yes. 4 Q. Turned any noncompliant patrons over to the 4 5 5 A. Yes. Department? 6 A. We have. 6 Q. [Unintelligible]? 7 7 Q. In what years? A. On payment of assessments. A. We have turned noncompliant in in several 8 Q. For nonpayment of assessments only? years. I know '20 I did one here a couple weeks ago due 9 A. Correct. 10 Q. Not noncompliance with the mitigation plan? 10 to assessments. Q. So if a user is noncompliant with the 11 A. Correct. 11 12 District's plan, that user has been turned in to the Q. But my question is specific to the mitigation 12 13 Department. 13 plan. 14 What has been the Department's response? 14 Do you have any district patrons that are not 15 A. No, no, no. I've turned over people for 15 in compliance with your mitigation plan that you've curtailment. And that was your question. I have due to 16 turned over to the Department? 17 17 A. No, not at this moment. assessments. 18 Q. For nonpayment of assessments? 18 Q. But you recognize that as a potential remedy, 19 A. Correct. 19 do you agree? 20 20 Q. Okay. And what's the Department done with A. I recognize that -- yes, I don't know what it 21 21 that? A. They have proceeded with contacting the 22 Q. Mr. Budge talked about a remedy and that 22 individual, and the timeframe of hearings. We have gone 23 you're -- you've testified that curtailing individual as far as a prehearing. By that time usually the patron wells would not provide remedy for the 2022 deficiency. comes into compliance. 25 Do you recall that? 176 177 A. Yes. A. Yes. Q. But would you agree the Department could Q. But you agree that under 2022 that the curtail individual users to bring somebody into district's noncompliance with what they were required to 4 compliance for that breach? do in 2022 has not yet been remedied? 5 A. I believe the Director said that. A. I don't know what they can and cannot do. 5 6 Q. But you recognize the Department's deficiency 6 MR. THOMPSON: That's all of the questions I 7 7 have. number from 2022 for Jefferson Clark 18,605 acre-feet? 8 8 A. Do I recognize it -- could you repeat that for 9 9 **EXAMINATION** 10 QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: 10 O. What the Director has found as far as 11 Jefferson Clark's noncompliance for 2022, being 11 Q. You need to clear something up for me. You indicated that you can turn a noncomplying well user in 18,605 acre-feet? A. Do I recognize that he said that was the 13 to the Department for curtailment; correct? 14 number? 14 A. Correct. 15 15 Q. And then you said, well, I don't know if --Q. Yes. 16 A. I recognize he stated that was the number. 16 what the Department can do with curtailment; is that 17 O. And if a remedy is ordered, the district will 17 correct? comply with that order, do you agree with that? 18 18 A. That is correct. 19 A. I can't say what the district will do. 19 Q. Then why'd you turn them over for curtailment? 20 20 Q. I think you talked about that you believe the A. We don't have a lot of options to try to 21 remedy for the breach has already been taken care of by collect those assessments, and our duty is to collect past actions; is that correct? the assessments, so we use available means. We have 23 23 curtailment, the threat of curtailment --A. Yes.

24

Q. Then if -- that's what I'm saying. The

25 Department can curtail an individual well user?

24

25 performed in past years?

Q. And that's based upon excess conservation

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

178 179 A. If --HEARING OFFICER: Please. 1 2 Q. It has the power after hearings? MR. ANDERSON: -- if you'll allow it. A. That's -- I don't know what their --3 Dylan Anderson with Bingham Ground Water 4 Q. Well, you are the treasurer of IGWA, and you 4 District. 5 don't know that? 5 A. No. I mean -- I'm sorry, Your Honor. I --6 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 7 when we request curtailment, what does that mean? If 7 **OUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:** they're 10 acre-feet over, how is that applied --8 Q. If I could ask you just to kind of put on your Q. I'm talking about the assessments which you've 9 IGWA hat here, and maybe we can conserve some time. 10 indicated did? 10 Could you open up 527, Exhibit 527. This is A. Correct. I don't know how they would apply 11 11 one of the reports that you had just gone over with TJ. that. Is it permanent curtailment or \$1,000 assessment? 12 12 A. The 2019 performance report. Do they permanently -- are they permanently shut off 13 13 O. Correct. And TJ kind of went through these from their water? I don't know how the Department would 14 reports and asked you questions specific to Jefferson 15 ever apply that. We've never carried it that far. 15 Clark about possible recharge and going above and beyond 16 Q. Okay. Then I understand your answer. 16 the target conservation; correct? 17 A. I'm sorry. 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. That's fine. 18 Q. Could that same -- your same logic as your 19 HEARING OFFICER: Redirect? 19 understanding of IGWA be applied to other groundwater 20 MR. BUDGE: I do, but there may be other 20 districts? 21 cross-examination. 21 A. Yes. HEARING OFFICER: Sir? 22 22 Q. For example, on that particular year, Bingham MR. JOHNS: Not for Bonneville-Jefferson. 23 23 Ground Water District -- and you can see the numbers 24 HEARING OFFICER: Sir? right in front of you -- had a target conservation of 25 MR. ANDERSON: Just quickly, if --35,000, they reduced 44,000, and then continued to do 180 181 more recharge of -- in excess of 22,000 acre-feet; 1 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Budge? 2 correct? A. Correct. 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 Q. Now, in your conversations with other 4 **OUESTIONS BY MR. BUDGE:** Q. Hey, Bill, I just have just a few follow-up groundwater districts who also did excess recharge and 5 6 conservation, what's your understanding of why they did 6 auestions. 7 Remind me when your -- you became manager of 8 A. They had the same understanding of the 8 Jefferson Clark. 9 9 application of the agreement as sort of like us. They A. I became the manager on January 9th, 2018. 10 varied on how they distributed their allocation, but Q. And that's when you began working with IGWA? 11 they believed there was some sort of credit or averaging in their application of meeting their reductions every Q. So Mr. Thompson asked you if you were aware of 13 a dispute that happened in the spring of 2017 involving 13 14 A&B and Southwest. 14 Q. And you testified that there was several Do you remember that? 15 different groundwater users. And it looks -- as we look 15 at these documents -- and the documents speak for 16 A. Yes. 17 themselves -- at any given time, any given year, there 17 Q. That would have been before you began working was excess recharge done by pretty much all these 18 18 with IGWA? groundwater users. A. Correct. 19 19 20 20 Q. Mr. Thompson asked you about Exhibit 512. You As your position in IGWA, do you expect testimony to be similar for each groundwater user, 21 can pull that open. That's the Director's order from groundwater district, that they did that excess recharge 22 August of '23 where he found a breach [unintelligible] 23 in anticipation of getting some future benefit for it? 23 in '22. If you'll turn to Table 2 of that exhibit. 24 A. I assume that, yes. 24 25 MR. ANDERSON: I have no other questions. 25 It's on page 8.

47 (Pages 182 to 185) 183 182 1 A. Okay. Do you remember that? 2 2 Q. And that shows the reassigned conservation A. Yes, I do. 3 targets that the Department imposed, and you had read 3 Q. And that figure came out of the August 2023 4 that for Jefferson Clark it's 63,533 acre-feet? 4 order of the Director? 5 5 A. Correct. A. Correct. 6 6 Q. Is that number prescribed in the Settlement Q. And that order was issued prior to the 7 7 Agreement anywhere? supplemental performance report? 8 A. Correct. A. It is not. 9 9 Q. Has Jefferson Clark agreed to that figure? Q. So that was issued before the groundwater 10 10 districts had decided an alternative compliance method? A. No. 11 11 Q. Let me switch gears for a moment and ask you 12 12 Q. And is it your view that Jefferson Clark about some of the questions Mr. Thompson asked about Ground Water District is in compliance in '22 based on 13 compliance. 13 14 He asked if any of your patrons are currently the alternative compliance method? 15 out of compliance with the district's conservation 15 MR. FLETCHER: I'm going to object to that. program and I think I heard you testify that they are 16 The court has -- they've tried three or four times to 17 not currently? 17 backdoor this alternative compliance method into the 18 A. No, they're not currently -record, and you have sustained those objections each 19 Q. So if the Department proceeds with curtailment 20 HEARING OFFICER: And I'll sustain this one. as a remedy, they're going to be curtailing patrons who have, in fact, done what the district asked of them? 21 MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. 22 MR. BUDGE: Yeah. I will just --A. Correct. 23 HEARING OFFICER: That testimony will be 23 Q. Mr. Thompson asked you if you recognize the 24 Director's ruling that in August of '23 that Jefferson 24 stricken. I think you've made yourself clear. I am not Clark had a conservation deficit of 18,605 acre-feet. going to accept an offer of proof. 184 185 1 You may proceed. 1 Is there an objection on the rebuttal report? MR. BUDGE: Yeah, I won't do that. I do want 2 MR. BUDGE: No objection. to note that opposing counsel opened the door when they 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No objection. 3 asked Mr. Stoddart if he recognizes that he's out of 4 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Budge, objection on the 4 compliance in 2022 based on the 18,000 acre-foot number. 5 5 rebuttal report. And so in response, I had to ask him to acknowledge that 6 MR. BUDGE: No objection. 7 7 he does not believe he's out of compliance. HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Anything further 8 HEARING OFFICER: You may proceed. 8 from SWC? 9 MR. BUDGE: No further questions. Thank you. 9 MR. FLETCHER: We don't have any further 10 10 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. evidence. 11 Is there any further evidence by any of the 11 HEARING OFFICER: Oh, that exhibit is 12 admitted. 12 other parties? (Exhibit 2 admitted.) 13 MR. JOHNS: Nothing here, Mr. Hearing Officer. 13 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Anderson? Ma'am? Sir? HEARING OFFICER: Are you getting ready to 14 14 15 15 attack here? MR. BUDGE: No, none from IGWA. Thank you. MS. PATTERSON: It is a bit of a dance with 16 16 17 MR. THOMPSON: Hearing Officer, I just need to 17 all of these binders. 18 move to admit Exhibit 2 from this morning, that we 18 I just have a cleanup item, if that's kind 19 failed to do that. 19 of --

20 20 HEARING OFFICER: Excuse me, sir? HEARING OFFICER: Sure. 21 MS. PATTERSON: -- where we're at in the MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibit 2, Dave 22 Colvin's rebuttal report. We did not move to admit that 22 proceedings. 23 It was brought to my attention that the Common HEARING OFFICER: That's right. You indicated 24 Exhibit 527, I believe, which is the 2019 performance spreadsheet -- now, this exhibit is the workbook, but that prior to lunch.

21

23

24

48 (Pages 186 to 189)

186 187 we've provided here in the binder a screenshot from the subsequent review of that report, which they publish a first page of that, and it has been marked by mistake as couple months later, I think we referred to it in 528 down at the bottom. So that just needs to read general but didn't specifically ask to admit those, but 3 4 "527" and wanted the record to reflect that. 4 that's --HEARING OFFICER: Very well. Thank you, 5 5 HEARING OFFICER: How many documents are ma'am. those? 2016 to 2023 or 2024 or 2022? 7 7 Anything further? MR. FLETCHER: '22, through 2022. 8 MR. THOMPSON: '16 through '22. 8 MR. BUDGE: I don't have anything further, but 9 I would like to, while we've got everybody here, confirm 9 HEARING OFFICER: '16 to '22? MR. BUDGE: That's correct. And those are 10 which exhibits have been admitted, just to make sure 10 11 we're operating on the same --11 identified as Common Exhibit Nos. 517 through 537. That 12 HEARING OFFICER: Do you mind if we take a captures the performance reports and Department's 12 brief recess? You folks can [unintelligible] some review. So our agreement was to stipulate to Exhibits motion concerning exhibits? 14 517 through 537. 15 Who wishes to put that in the record? 15 MR. FLETCHER: About half of those are already 16 MR. THOMPSON: Mr. Burdick, we had a 16 admitted. 17 discussion before this hearing on common exhibits that 17 HEARING OFFICER: Are what? 18 both parties, essentially, stipulated to. We have a 18 MR. FLETCHER: Already admitted. subset of those that we want to discuss with you that 19 HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, the performance weren't specifically referenced in the hearing, but they 20 reports from Stigland (phonetic) and... are the Department's annual review of IGWA's performance 21 Well, I just haven't -- I get antsy when 22 report. 22 there's a bunch of hearsay that I don't know who said 23 So all the performance reports 2016 forward 23 what, why they're saying it, et cetera. I guess it 24 that Mr. Budge discussed. And I think some of those, stands for itself, and I'll be in charge of sifting maybe all of them, were admitted. The Department's 25 through it and making a finding.

188

1 MR. BUDGE: Those are pretty benign reports, 2 so --3 HEARING OFFICER: I have heard some benign 4 testimony this morning in court here. What can be more benign than, "Here's some water." 5 6 MR. BUDGE: There's also a few exhibits that 7 Sarah pointed out did get discussed and referenced by exhibit number during the hearing today. 8 9 HEARING OFFICER: And those are fine. 10 MR. BUDGE: And those were 500 through 503. Those are the documents that make up the Settlement Agreement. 510 was the Director's August 20 -- or excuse me -- September 2022 order regarding compliance with the mitigation plan in 2021. And then 512 was the August 2nd, 2023, order by the Director. Those are part 15 of the Agency record already, but because they were 16 17 referenced by exhibit number, we thought they should be 18 included --19 HEARING OFFICER: That's fine. 20 MR. BUDGE: -- by those numbers.

HEARING OFFICER: That's what I expected.

allow the Director's response to the performance report

22 I'll -- I will, pursuant to stipulation of the parties,

And you have the numbers, Sarah?

s, I guess, is how we would frame that.

21

24

25

1 MS. TSCHOHL: I do. Do you want me to read 2 them? 3 HEARING OFFICER: Please. MS. TSCHOHL: So I've got 517, 519, 520, 522, 4 523, 525, 526, 528, 529, 531, 532, 534, and 537. 5 6 HEARING OFFICER: All right. Is that what the 7 parties have stipulated to? 8 MR. BUDGE: That's correct. That's consistent 9 with my notes. 10 MR. THOMPSON: Yes, thank you. 11 (Exhibits 517, 519, 520, 522, 523, 525, 526, 528, 529, 531, 532, 534, and 537 admitted.) 12 13 HEARING OFFICER: In addition to the ones that have been previously mentioned, and you'd know those, 14 15 Sarah. 16 MS. TSCHOHL: 500 through 503, 510, 512. 17 (Exhibits 500 through 503, 510, 512 admitted.) 18 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Anything further by 19 any party. 20 MR. BUDGE: Just for the record, Exhibits 142 21 and 143 have been admitted. Exhibit 300 has been admitted. Exhibit 131 was not admitted but was

submitted as an offer of proof. And then there was the

stack of documents relating to our motion to take

official notice that were offered as proof but not

23

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

190 191 admitted. the -- what would be an appropriate time period in that regard, Sarah, or counsel for the transcript to arrive? 2 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 3 MR. FLETCHER: And Exhibits 1 and 2. MR. BUDGE: M -- I call them M&M still, but 4 HEARING OFFICER: Exhibits 1 and 2? they reported that it would take about ten days from 5 MR. FLETCHER: Were already admitted. when they received the audio to have the transcription 6 HEARING OFFICER: Very well. 6 done. 7 7 MS. TSCHOHL: Is that the expedited or is that Okay. I'm going to -- is there a motion or does somebody wish to have a transcript? 8 standard? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Budge 9 MR. BUDGE: Standard. 10 10 HEARING OFFICER: We can have expedited? [unintelligible]. MR. BUDGE: It will be ordered once we get the 11 MS. TSCHOHL: There's additional cost. 11 12 audio recording. So we've made arrangements to have it 12 HEARING OFFICER: Oh. 13 transcribed once the audio recording is done. I think 13 MR. BUDGE: I think it was double or something Sarah does some kind of proofing afterwards or something 14 like that. 15 to the effect, and then she'll email it to me, and we'll 15 MS. TSCHOHL: I don't know their new policies. 16 work with the reporter, and we'll have it transcribed. 16 MR. BUDGE: We can check on that, if it's 17 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I'm -- as I 17 reasonable and makes sense. 18 previously indicated, I'm trying to get this out by 18 HEARING OFFICER: I would like expedited. April. And as a result, who are you using to 19 Does SWC enter into any sort of payment in 20 20 transcribe? that regard also? MR. FLETCHER: We just have to get 2.1 MR. BUDGE: It used to be M&M. They have a 21 confirmation from our clients. 22 new name. 22 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 23 HEARING OFFICER: All right. 23 MR. BUDGE: Word 4 Word, I think it is. 24 MR. BUDGE: We've customarily split that. 24 25 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. All right. What is 25 HEARING OFFICER: Do you think that will be a 192 193 problem, Mr. Fletcher or Mr. -is it shakes the hands of hardworking lawyers of a 2 MR. FLETCHER: I don't think so. hearing. I'd like to do that at this time. 3 HEARING OFFICER: All right. So why don't we 3 (All simultaneously speaking.) 4 HEARING OFFICER: The hearing is concluded. 4 get it expedited, tentatively set for sharing of costs in that regard. I appreciate you volunteering your 5 5 clients in that regard Mr. Fletcher. 6 6 (End of audio file.) 7 MR. FLETCHER: [Unintelligible]. Travis has 7 8 his fancy charge card from his firm. [Unintelligible]. 8 9 9 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Other issues? 10 10 Briefing, we will get the transcript on "X" 11 date. I'd like to have simultaneous briefing within 11 14 days from that date, limited to ten pages. 12 13 MR. BUDGE: Single spaced, 8-point font? 13 HEARING OFFICER: The usual font, the spacing 14 14 15 of Supreme Court pagination in the rule so that we can 15 read it without a magnifying glass. 16 16 17 MS. TSCHOHL: Can I just clarify so that we 17 know from the date, if we're doing 14 days from the date 18 18 19 the transcript is received, will you send the transcript 19 20 20 to the [unintelligible] email for the Department so that 21 we can all have a record of exactly [unintelligible]? 21 22 MR. BUDGE: Yeah, we can do that. 22 23 HEARING OFFICER: I appreciate it. 23 24 Anything further? 24 25 25 One of the things that the Supreme Court does

50 (Page 194)

	194	
1 2 3 4 5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE. I, ANDREA L. CHECK, CSR No. 748, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the audio recording of the proceedings were transcribed by me or under my direction;	
6 7 8 9 10 11	That the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of all testimony given, to the best of my ability;  I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or party, nor am I financially interested in the action.	
12 13 14 15 16 17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I set my hand and seal this 25th day of March, 2024.	
18 19 20 21	ANDREA L. CHECK, CSR No. 748, RPR, CRR	
22 23 24 25	Notary Public P.O. Box 4525 Boise, Idaho 83205 My Commission expires July 20, 2028.	

				Page 193
	45:19	127:14 128:17	133:18 152:16	adopted 17:22
$\frac{A}{A \& B \ 1:7 \ 3:16}$	acknowledgem	176:22	153:10 152:10	40:14 41:18
	46:20	actively 21:10	addressed 17:10	48:1 64:19
12:18 41:11	acknowledging	activities 78:13	57:14 66:18,19	152:20 163:11
106:16 169:18	74:22	118:2 137:15	72:18 85:20	<b>AFRD#2</b> 3:10
169:25 181:14	acquire 144:25	actual 44:6 63:24	94:19,23 104:17	after-the-fact
Aberdeen-Ame	acre 149:4 170:17	82:22 89:13	addressing 31:11	25:7 26:18,24
138:2	acre-feet 68:13	91:11 93:4	33:2 46:12	27:7 159:15,19
ability 62:5 94:4	90:1 91:7,22,24	115:6,6 116:7	64:14 80:23	<b>afternoon</b> 133:7
165:24 169:1	98:18 99:17	136:11 155:16	160:7	169:17
194:8	100:6,13,19	155:18	adequate 59:10	Agency 188:16
able 26:8 33:6	100.6,13,19		60:5 64:11	
144:23 149:11		adapt 153:2		agendas 136:13
absolutely 104:17	110:23,25	adaptive 64:13	87:15,23	<b>ago</b> 23:16 42:15
167:23 168:17	123:14,14,22	64:16 81:24	adequately 69:3	137:8 159:10
accept 91:24	139:16,24	82:1 83:13,14	72:5	174:9
183:25	140:16,21	95:19,23 103:23	adjust 157:25	agree 22:25 57:25
acceptable	141:19 142:4,9	128:18,19,21	adjusted 96:2	66:17 67:3
104:19	143:13 148:14	add 60:8 69:11	adjustments	69:23 70:11,11
accepted 164:3	148:16,17,18,20	69:14,16 73:13	141:22	70:12 83:9,11
access 92:25	148:21,24	73:15 167:25	administrating	83:12 85:1,2
163:7	150:25 151:2,3	addenda 85:19	155:16	92:23 93:13,15
accompanied	151:5,7,8,10,15	addendum 12:16	administration	93:16,18 95:16
160:16	153:8,11 154:1	12:17,18 20:1	52:8	96:5 109:24
accompany	154:4,17,19,21	39:13 41:5 42:2	administrative	110:4 118:4,21
160:22	154:23,25 155:2	42:16,17 47:17	77:16,23	119:1 120:21
accomplish 88:2	155:7 176:7,12	47:25 80:20	admissible 37:9	121:6,9 123:24
accomplished	178:8 180:1	90:4 104:16	admission 33:23	124:13,18,21
76:21	182:4,25	138:18,18 164:1	<b>admit</b> 22:24 79:7	130:21 132:4
account 31:14	acre-foot 184:5	addendums 42:8	184:18,21,22	163:20 173:1
119:24	acres 88:24	<b>adding</b> 99:21	187:3	175:19 176:2,18
accruals 75:10	136:22	100:1	<b>admitted</b> 7:4 8:3	177:2
76:13,15 87:6,7	action 15:14,23	addition 150:21	16:21 18:18	agreed 85:22
126:3,16	16:9 63:2 173:6	189:13	19:19 34:10,11	140:19 182:9
accurate 93:1,1	194:11	additional 70:4	35:16 47:8,11	agreement 2:2
93:22 94:1	actions 55:23	78:19 93:7	47:13 48:6,8,10	11:17 12:15,18
141:24 148:12	56:3 58:15	110:24 172:24	48:14,17 79:13	12:24,24 13:4,7
149:1 151:12	60:22 62:23	172:25 173:23	79:14 164:4	13:11,14 14:2
achieve 165:5	70:13 75:15	191:11	185:12,13	15:1,16,25
achieved 76:24	86:2,8,9,12	address 15:13	186:10,25	17:20,25 19:1
81:5 82:15	87:19 88:3 89:6	17:24,25 19:5	187:16,18	23:19 36:11,14
128:21	89:12,12,13	20:4 22:10 33:1	189:12,17,21,22	36:20 37:25
acknowledge	113:7,20 114:22	80:22 94:5,12	189:22 190:1,5	39:14 40:16
74:21 184:6	115:2,14 117:18	118:3,9 119:10	adopt 39:17,20	41:6 42:8 43:8
acknowledged	119:17 120:11	119:16 133:16	162:22	43:11,13 45:21
acisiio wicugeu				ĺ

53:8 55:19,23   56:3,24 57:2   89:10 141:9   58:23,24 62:18   157:9,13 158:5   66:8 69:18   158:12 180:10   89:16,20,20   allow 14:17 33:14   92:15 93:18   103:21 112:20   81:9,17 82:13   88:23   173:16 179:2   119:3 120:17   132:16 124:1   127:5 129:3,25   138:13   156:21 165:4   133:13 114:25   13					Page 190
60:4 63:12.16					
63:24 64:16	*		· ·		
T1:25 77:5 80:3   80:7,18,19,21   33:19 47:4   103:21 112:20   apologies 77:13   126:17 168:19   113:13 114:25   apologies 38:11   46:9 50:2 69:6   approximately 14:17 33:4   46:9 50:2 69:6   approximately 14:17 33:4   46:9 50:2 69:6   approximately 14:17   approximately 14:18   approximately 14:18   approximately 14:18   approximately 14:19   approximately 14:17   approximately 14:17   approximately 14:17   approximately 14:18   approximately 14:19   appr	*				
80:7,18,19,21   80:23 81:1,4,6,8   52:17 168:19   113:13 114:25   apologize 38:11   apologize 38:11   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   141:7   46:9 50:2 69:6   6:10   40:4 29:2   48:2 63:3 74:10   48					
80:23 81:1,4,6,8 81:9,17 82:13         52:17 168:19 173:16 179:2         113:13 114:25 19:3 120:17 46:9 50:2 69:6 69:10 46:9 50:2 69:6         approving 42:13 approximately 141:7 46:9 50:2 69:6 69:10 46:9 50:2 69:6 69:10 46:9 50:2 69:6 49:10 46:9 50:2 49:10 46:9 50:2 49:10 46:9 50:2 49:10 46:9 50:2 49:10 40:2 11:3 40:10 40:					
81:9,17 82:13         173:16 179:2         119:3 120:17         46:9 50:2 69:6         approximately           82:19,21,23         188:23         124:13 127:11         46:9 50:2 69:6         approximately           83:2,6,7,24         allowed 16:24         130:17 162:2         apparently 64:23         April 18:3,7           84:12 85:9,19         17:14,14 47:5         analyze 65:18         appealed 25:25         21:13 22:18,21           91:4 92:16         156:21 165:4         allowing 33:17         analyze 65:18         appealed 25:25         21:13 22:18,21           103:4 104:6,12         alternate 40:5         48:2 63:3 74:10         analyze 36:20         appication         30:24,25;25           116:18 162:1.1         16:18 163:11         16:18 163:11         16:11,24         4:19 6:11,24         appication         114:21 156:18         40:4 42:9         159:20 190:19         159:20 190:					
82:19,21,23         188:23         124:13 127:11         69:10         141:7         April 18:3,7           83:2,6,7,24         allowed 16:24         130:17 162:2         apparently 64:23         April 18:3,7           84:12 85:9,19         17:14,14 47:5         analyze 65:18         apparently 64:23         April 18:3,7           103:4 104:6,12         21:13 20:16         allowing 33:17         analyzed 56:20         appealed 25:25         appears 57:9         25:13 29:7           110:13 120:16         48:2 63:3 74:10         and/or 19:10 29:2         application         30:24,25,25         application         31:12,12 34:4           127:5 129:3,25         138:13,15,16,23         116:18 163:11         4:19 6:11,24         application         159:20 190:19         aquifer 12:5 52:4           138:23 139:2,5         164:21 167:24         96:13,15,15,19         95:4 62:19 65:6         58:2,16,17         59:4 62:19 65:6         58:2,16,17         69:22 122:25         58:17,22 68:7         58:4 62:19 65:6         69:22 122:25         58:2,16,17         69:22 122:25         58:2,16,17         58:2,16         69:22 122:25         58:2,16,17         69:22 122:25         58:2,16         69:22 122:25         58:2,16         66:22 22:22         66:22 22:22         178:11:15         179:12         179:12,22,24         179:12,22,24					
83:2,6,7,24         allowed 16:24         130:17 162:2 analyze 55:18         apparently 64:23 appealed 25:25         April 18:3,7           91:4 92:16         156:21 165:4         analyzed 56:20 analyzed 56:20 analyzing 78:2 allowing 33:17 alternate 40:5         allowing 33:17 allousing 33:17 alternate 40:5         asciption for point of the point of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
84:12 85:9,19         17:14,14 47:5         analyze 65:18 analyze 65:20 appears 57:9         3ppealed 25:25 appears 57:9 appeirs 16:13 22:18,21 25:13 22:18,21 appears 57:9         21:13 22:18,21 appears 57:9 appears 57:9 application analyzing 78:2 application and/or 19:10 29:2	, ,				
91:4 92:16					_
103:4 104:6,12   100:16   121:10   132:16   124:1   132:16   124:1   127:5 129:3,25   138:13,15,16,23   138:23 139:2,5   139:8,15,21,23   139:8,15,21,23   141:19 151:16   155:8 156:18,20   156:21 159:24   161:18 162:2,8   162:15 165:5,16   180:9 182:7   187:13 188:12   Agreements   12:13   agrees 93:20   American 1:8 5:4   124:20   agricultural amount 15:5,10   20:13 24:18   140:17 189:18   179:24 180:24   141:14 185:24   amounts 24:8,11   136:18 162:16 al 106:17 169:18   144:13 180:12   144:11 185:24   146:31 73:22   allocated 124:12   118:11   90:24 17:8 18 39:1   142:9 15:13   142:11 156:18   140:17 180:15   180:9 182:7   120:9 178:25   178:18 179:19   179:2,37   179:18 181:25   120:15 180:18   179:19   179:2,37   179:18 180:12   179:18 180:21   180:24   180:25 184:14   180:25 184:14   190:21   180:25 184:14   119:25   178:11,15   179:18 180:25   180:24   180:24   180:24   180:25 184:14   190:25 180:24   180:25 184:14   190:25 180:24   180:25 184:14   190:25 180:24   180:25 184:14   190:25 180:24   180:25 184:14   190:25 180:24   180:25 180:25   180:25 184:14   190:25 180:25   178:11,15   180:25   180:25 180:25   180:25 180:25   178:11,15   180:25   180:25 18	,				
110:13 120:16   23:16 124:1   48:2 63:3 74:10   27:5 129:3,25   25:3 25:3 25:3 25:3 25:3 25:3 25:3 25:3			•		
123:16 124:1	,	C	· ·		, ,
127:5 129:3,25   138:13,15,16,23   116:18 163:11   4:19 6:11,24   96:13,15,15,19   59:4 62:19 65:6   58:2,16,17   73:24 83:1   159:20 190:19			· ·		,
138:13,15,16,23					
138:23 139:2,5   164:21 167:24   96:13,15,15,19   97:2 100:4   69:22 122:25   63:17,22 68:7,7     141:9 151:16   amazing 44:14   102:9 178:25   178:8 179:19   73:24 83:1     155:8 156:18,20   ambush 19:9   22:24   180:25 184:14   67:5 70:12,13   98:6     162:15 165:5,16   180:9 182:7   amend 22:20   23:9   194:2,21   annual 41:19   150:10 159:3   158:15 172:5     187:13 188:12   32:24   32:24   45:7   agrees 93:20   12:12 22:5 31:1   agrees 93:20   45:7   agricultural ahead 96:18   73:19 91:7   20:13 24:18   ahead 96:18   73:19 91:7   144:4,10,13,15   171:4   99:18 111:21   aid 14:11,18   144:1 145:24   alto:17 169:18   alto:17 169:18   alto:25:25   alleged 165:16   allocate 25:25   allocate 25:25   allocated 124:12   allocate 25:25   allocated 124:12   allocate 25:25   allocated 124:12   allocate 25:25   allocated 124:12   allocated 124:12   allocate 25:25   allocate 124:12   allocate 25:25   allocate 124:12   allocate 25:25   allocate 25:25   allocate 124:12   allocate 25:25   allocate 124:12   allocate 25:25   allocate 25:25   allocate 25:25   allocate 25:25   allocate 24:12   allocate 25:25   allocate 24:12   allocate 25:25   allocate 24:12   allocate 25:20   allocate 25:25   allo	,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
139:8,15,21,23			,		_
141:9 151:16	,		, , ,		
155:8 156:18,20		, ,			
156:21 159:24		C			
161:18 162:2,8         ambushed 22:20         ANDREA 1:24         111:25         area 134:5,14           162:15 165:5,16         23:9         194:2,21         apply 110:19,20         135:4 149:19           180:9 182:7         amend 22:1         annual 41:19         150:10 159:3         158:15 172:5           187:13 188:12         32:24         55:25 56:4         178:11,15         argued 26:15           Agreements         amended 20:8         64:21 78:21         applying 58:5         argued 26:15           12:13         21:12 22:5 31:1         104:22 111:9         62:24         24:1,22 27:25           agrees 93:20         American 1:8 5:4         114:21 115:13         appreciate 28:2         33:23 49:9 50:4         120:25 121:7           agricultural         20:13 24:18         140:23 143:24         119:13 153:7         28:4           ahead 96:18         73:19 91:7         144:4,10,13,15         192:5,23         arrangements           171:14         99:18 111:21         160:19 170:1,16         approach 55:7         190:12           air 159:24 162:16         146:3 173:22         annually 123:14         approaches 116:1         articulated 63:15           Alex 93:5         24:14 32:15         142:9 145:13         appropriate         55:22,12,42,5	· ·				
162:15 165:5,16         23:9         194:2,21         apply 110:19,20         135:4 149:19           180:9 182:7         amend 22:1         annual 41:19         150:10 159:3         158:15 172:5           187:13 188:12         32:24         55:25 56:4         178:11,15         argued 26:15           Agreements         amended 20:8         64:21 78:21         applying 58:5         argument 17:9           12:13         21:12 22:5 31:1         104:22 111:9         62:24         24:1,22 27:25           agrees 93:20         American 1:8 5:4         114:21 115:13         appreciate 28:2         argument air           124:20         45:7         117:18 128:25         33:23 49:9 50:4         120:25 121:7           agricultural         amount 15:5,10         129:19 131:13         50:18 92:6         arguments 19:5,7           51:13         20:13 24:18         140:23 143:24         119:13 153:7         28:4           ahead 96:18         73:19 91:7         144:4,10,13,15         192:5,23         arrangements           171:4         99:18 111:21         160:19 170:1,16         approach 55:7         190:12           air 159:24 162:16         146:3 173:22         annually 123:14         approaches 116:1         arrive 73:5 191:2           alleged 165:16         ana				,	
180:9 182:7         amend 22:1         annual 41:19         150:10 159:3         158:15 172:5           187:13 188:12         amended 20:8         55:25 56:4         178:11,15         argued 26:15           Agreements         amended 20:8         64:21 78:21         applying 58:5         argument 17:9           12:13         21:12 22:5 31:1         104:22 111:9         62:24         24:1,22 27:25           agrees 93:20         American 1:8 5:4         114:21 115:13         appreciate 28:2         argumentative           124:20         45:7         129:19 131:13         50:18 92:6         arguments 19:5,7           31:13         20:13 24:18         140:23 143:24         199:13 153:7         28:4           ahead 96:18         73:19 91:7         144:4,10,13,15         192:5,23         arrangements           171:4         99:18 111:21         160:19 170:1,16         approach 55:7         190:12           aid 14:11,18         144:1 145:24         171:7 186:21         approach 55:7         190:12           aid 106:17 169:18         amounts 24:8,11         139:17 140:9         16:3         approaches 116:1         arcive 73:5 191:2           Alex 93:5         24:14 32:15         answer 37:18         38:18 39:1         53:2 60:10 69:9           alleged 15:16					
187:13 188:12         32:24         55:25 56:4         178:11,15         argued 26:15           Agreements         amended 20:8         64:21 78:21         applying 58:5         argument 17:9           12:13         21:12 22:5 31:1         104:22 111:9         62:24         24:1,22 27:25           agrees 93:20         American 1:8 5:4         114:21 115:13         appreciate 28:2         argumentative           124:20         45:7         117:18 128:25         33:23 49:9 50:4         argumentative           51:13         20:13 24:18         140:23 143:24         119:13 153:7         arguments 19:5,7           51:13         20:13 24:18         140:23 143:24         192:5,23         arrangements           171:4         99:18 111:21         160:19 170:1,16         approach 55:7         190:12           aid 14:11,18         144:1 145:24         171:7 186:21         96:1         arrive 73:5 191:2           air 159:24 162:16         146:3 173:22         annually 123:14         116:3         asked 13:17,19           Alex 93:5         24:14 32:15         142:9 145:13         appropriate         52:21,24,25           alleged 165:16         analyses 117:19         answer 37:18         38:18 39:1         53:2 60:10 69:9           allocate 25:25         120:17,1	,		· ·		
Agreements         amended 20:8         64:21 78:21         applying 58:5         argument 17:9           12:13         21:12 22:5 31:1         104:22 111:9         62:24         24:1,22 27:25           agrees 93:20         American 1:8 5:4         114:21 115:13         appreciate 28:2         argument ative           124:20         45:7         117:18 128:25         33:23 49:9 50:4         120:25 121:7           agricultural         amount 15:5,10         129:19 131:13         50:18 92:6         120:25 121:7           51:13         20:13 24:18         140:23 143:24         119:13 153:7         28:4           ahead 96:18         73:19 91:7         144:4,10,13,15         192:5,23         arrangements           171:4         99:18 111:21         160:19 170:1,16         approach 55:7         96:1         arrive 73:5 191:2           air 159:24 162:16         146:3 173:22         anually 123:14         116:3         approaches 116:1         articulated 63:15           alleged 165:16         analyses 117:19         answer 37:18         38:18 39:1         52:21,24,25           allegedly 22:16         117:20 118:11         90:24 91:18         40:25 49:7         83:10 85:21           56:6         118:21 120:15         98:10 99:25         60:17 61:20,24         94:12 95:1,22 </th <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
12:13         21:12 22:5 31:1         104:22 111:9         62:24         24:1,22 27:25           agrees 93:20         American 1:8 5:4         114:21 115:13         appreciate 28:2         argumentative           124:20         45:7         117:18 128:25         33:23 49:9 50:4         120:25 121:7           agricultural         amount 15:5,10         129:19 131:13         50:18 92:6         arguments 19:5,7           51:13         20:13 24:18         140:23 143:24         119:13 153:7         28:4           ahead 96:18         73:19 91:7         144:4,10,13,15         192:5,23         arrangements           171:4         99:18 111:21         160:19 170:1,16         approach 55:7         190:12           air 159:24 162:16         146:3 173:22         annually 123:14         approaches 116:1         articulated 63:15           alloe:17 169:18         24:14 32:15         142:9 145:13         appropriate         52:21,24,25           alleged 165:16         analyses 117:19         answer 37:18         38:18 39:1         53:2 60:10 69:9           allegedly 22:16         118:21 120:15         98:10 99:25         60:17 61:20,24         94:12 95:1,22           allocate 25:25         120:17,19,23         100:3 115:18         72:6 78:17         103:3 130:15           a	187:13 188:12	32:24	55:25 56:4	178:11,15	argued 26:15
agrees 93:20         American 1:8 5:4         114:21 115:13         appreciate 28:2         argumentative           124:20         45:7         117:18 128:25         33:23 49:9 50:4         120:25 121:7           agricultural         amount 15:5,10         129:19 131:13         50:18 92:6         arguments 19:5,7           51:13         20:13 24:18         140:23 143:24         119:13 153:7         28:4           ahead 96:18         73:19 91:7         144:4,10,13,15         192:5,23         arrangements           171:4         99:18 111:21         160:19 170:1,16         approach 55:7         190:12           aid 14:11,18         144:1 145:24         171:7 186:21         96:1         arrive 73:5 191:2           air 159:24 162:16         146:3 173:22         anually 123:14         approaches 116:1         arciculated 63:15           allocit7 169:18         amounts 24:8,11         139:17 140:9         116:3         asked 13:17,19           Alex 93:5         24:14 32:15         142:9 145:13         appropriate         52:21,24,25           alleged 165:16         analyses 117:19         answer 37:18         38:18 39:1         53:2 60:10 69:9           alleged 22:16         118:21 120:15         98:10 99:25         60:17 61:20,24         94:12 95:1,22           al	Agreements	amended 20:8		applying 58:5	argument 17:9
124:20         45:7         amount 15:5,10         129:19 131:13         33:23 49:9 50:4         120:25 121:7           agricultural         amount 15:5,10         129:19 131:13         50:18 92:6         arguments 19:5,7           51:13         20:13 24:18         140:23 143:24         119:13 153:7         28:4           ahead 96:18         73:19 91:7         144:4,10,13,15         192:5,23         arrangements           171:4         99:18 111:21         160:19 170:1,16         approach 55:7         190:12           aid 14:11,18         144:1 145:24         171:7 186:21         approach 55:7         190:12           air 159:24 162:16         146:3 173:22         amually 123:14         approaches 116:1         articulated 63:15           alleged 165:16         analyses 117:19         142:9 145:13         appropriate         52:21,24,25           allegedly 22:16         117:20 118:11         90:24 91:18         40:25 49:7         83:10 85:21           56:6         118:21 120:15         98:10 99:25         60:17 61:20,24         94:12 95:1,22           allocated 124:12         121:17         178:16         85:24 162:10         150:7 171:16,20	12:13	21:12 22:5 31:1	104:22 111:9	62:24	24:1,22 27:25
agricultural         amount 15:5,10         129:19 131:13         50:18 92:6         arguments 19:5,7           51:13         20:13 24:18         140:23 143:24         119:13 153:7         28:4           ahead 96:18         73:19 91:7         144:4,10,13,15         192:5,23         arrangements           171:4         99:18 111:21         160:19 170:1,16         approach 55:7         190:12           aid 14:11,18         144:1 145:24         171:7 186:21         96:1         arrive 73:5 191:2           air 159:24 162:16         146:3 173:22         annually 123:14         160:19 170:1,16         approach 55:7         190:12           al 106:17 169:18         146:3 173:22         annually 123:14         16:3         asked 13:17,19           Alex 93:5         24:14 32:15         142:9 145:13         approaches 116:1         asked 13:17,19           alleged 165:16         analyses 117:19         answer 37:18         38:18 39:1         53:2 60:10 69:9           allegedly 22:16         117:20 118:11         90:24 91:18         40:25 49:7         83:10 85:21           56:6         118:21 120:15         98:10 99:25         60:17 61:20,24         94:12 95:1,22           allocate 25:25         120:17,19,23         100:3 115:18         72:6 78:17         103:3 130:15	agrees 93:20	American 1:8 5:4	114:21 115:13	appreciate 28:2	
51:13         20:13 24:18         140:23 143:24         119:13 153:7         28:4           ahead 96:18         73:19 91:7         144:4,10,13,15         192:5,23         arrangements           171:4         99:18 111:21         160:19 170:1,16         approach 55:7         190:12           aid 14:11,18         144:1 145:24         171:7 186:21         96:1         arrive 73:5 191:2           air 159:24 162:16         146:3 173:22         annually 123:14         approaches 116:1         articulated 63:15           al 106:17 169:18         amounts 24:8,11         139:17 140:9         116:3         asked 13:17,19           Alex 93:5         24:14 32:15         142:9 145:13         appropriate         52:21,24,25           alleged 165:16         analyses 117:19         answer 37:18         38:18 39:1         53:2 60:10 69:9           allegedly 22:16         117:20 118:11         90:24 91:18         40:25 49:7         83:10 85:21           56:6         118:21 120:15         98:10 99:25         60:17 61:20,24         94:12 95:1,22           allocate 25:25         120:17,19,23         100:3 115:18         72:6 78:17         103:3 130:15           allocated 124:12         121:17         178:16         85:24 162:10         150:7 171:16,20	124:20	45:7	117:18 128:25	33:23 49:9 50:4	120:25 121:7
ahead 96:1873:19 91:7144:4,10,13,15192:5,23arrangements171:499:18 111:21160:19 170:1,16approach 55:7190:12aid 14:11,18144:1 145:24171:7 186:2196:1arrive 73:5 191:2air 159:24 162:16146:3 173:22annually 123:14approaches 116:1articulated 63:15al 106:17 169:18amounts 24:8,11139:17 140:9116:3asked 13:17,19Alex 93:524:14 32:15142:9 145:13appropriate52:21,24,25alleged 165:16analyses 117:19answer 37:1838:18 39:153:2 60:10 69:9allegedly 22:16117:20 118:1190:24 91:1840:25 49:783:10 85:2156:6118:21 120:1598:10 99:2560:17 61:20,2494:12 95:1,22allocate 25:25120:17,19,23100:3 115:1872:6 78:17103:3 130:15allocated 124:12121:17178:1685:24 162:10150:7 171:16,20	agricultural	<b>amount</b> 15:5,10	129:19 131:13		arguments 19:5,7
171:4       99:18 111:21       160:19 170:1,16       approach 55:7       190:12         aid 14:11,18       144:1 145:24       171:7 186:21       96:1       arrive 73:5 191:2         air 159:24 162:16       146:3 173:22       annually 123:14       approaches 116:1       articulated 63:15         al 106:17 169:18       amounts 24:8,11       139:17 140:9       116:3       asked 13:17,19         Alex 93:5       24:14 32:15       142:9 145:13       appropriate       52:21,24,25         alleged 165:16       analyses 117:19       answer 37:18       38:18 39:1       53:2 60:10 69:9         allegedly 22:16       117:20 118:11       90:24 91:18       40:25 49:7       83:10 85:21         56:6       118:21 120:15       98:10 99:25       60:17 61:20,24       94:12 95:1,22         allocate 25:25       120:17,19,23       100:3 115:18       72:6 78:17       103:3 130:15         allocated 124:12       121:17       178:16       85:24 162:10       150:7 171:16,20	51:13	20:13 24:18	140:23 143:24	119:13 153:7	28:4
aid 14:11,18       144:1 145:24       171:7 186:21       96:1       arrive 73:5 191:2         air 159:24 162:16       146:3 173:22       annually 123:14       approaches 116:1       articulated 63:15         al 106:17 169:18       amounts 24:8,11       139:17 140:9       116:3       asked 13:17,19         Alex 93:5       24:14 32:15       142:9 145:13       appropriate       52:21,24,25         alleged 165:16       analyses 117:19       answer 37:18       38:18 39:1       53:2 60:10 69:9         allegedly 22:16       117:20 118:11       90:24 91:18       40:25 49:7       83:10 85:21         56:6       118:21 120:15       98:10 99:25       60:17 61:20,24       94:12 95:1,22         allocate 25:25       120:17,19,23       100:3 115:18       72:6 78:17       103:3 130:15         allocated 124:12       121:17       178:16       85:24 162:10       150:7 171:16,20	<b>ahead</b> 96:18	73:19 91:7	144:4,10,13,15	192:5,23	arrangements
air 159:24 162:16       146:3 173:22       annually 123:14       approaches 116:1       articulated 63:15         al 106:17 169:18       amounts 24:8,11       139:17 140:9       116:3       asked 13:17,19         Alex 93:5       24:14 32:15       142:9 145:13       appropriate       52:21,24,25         alleged 165:16       analyses 117:19       answer 37:18       38:18 39:1       53:2 60:10 69:9         allegedly 22:16       117:20 118:11       90:24 91:18       40:25 49:7       83:10 85:21         56:6       118:21 120:15       98:10 99:25       60:17 61:20,24       94:12 95:1,22         allocate 25:25       120:17,19,23       100:3 115:18       72:6 78:17       103:3 130:15         allocated 124:12       121:17       178:16       85:24 162:10       150:7 171:16,20	171:4	99:18 111:21	160:19 170:1,16	approach 55:7	190:12
al 106:17 169:18       amounts 24:8,11       139:17 140:9       116:3       asked 13:17,19         Alex 93:5       24:14 32:15       142:9 145:13       appropriate       52:21,24,25         alleged 165:16       analyses 117:19       answer 37:18       38:18 39:1       53:2 60:10 69:9         allegedly 22:16       117:20 118:11       90:24 91:18       40:25 49:7       83:10 85:21         56:6       118:21 120:15       98:10 99:25       60:17 61:20,24       94:12 95:1,22         allocate 25:25       120:17,19,23       100:3 115:18       72:6 78:17       103:3 130:15         allocated 124:12       121:17       178:16       85:24 162:10       150:7 171:16,20	<b>aid</b> 14:11,18	144:1 145:24	171:7 186:21	96:1	<b>arrive</b> 73:5 191:2
Alex 93:5       24:14 32:15       142:9 145:13       appropriate       52:21,24,25         alleged 165:16       analyses 117:19       answer 37:18       38:18 39:1       53:2 60:10 69:9         allegedly 22:16       117:20 118:11       90:24 91:18       40:25 49:7       83:10 85:21         56:6       118:21 120:15       98:10 99:25       60:17 61:20,24       94:12 95:1,22         allocate 25:25       120:17,19,23       100:3 115:18       72:6 78:17       103:3 130:15         allocated 124:12       121:17       178:16       85:24 162:10       150:7 171:16,20	air 159:24 162:16	146:3 173:22	annually 123:14	approaches 116:1	articulated 63:15
alleged 165:16       analyses 117:19       answer 37:18       38:18 39:1       53:2 60:10 69:9         allegedly 22:16       117:20 118:11       90:24 91:18       40:25 49:7       83:10 85:21         56:6       118:21 120:15       98:10 99:25       60:17 61:20,24       94:12 95:1,22         allocate 25:25       120:17,19,23       100:3 115:18       72:6 78:17       103:3 130:15         allocated 124:12       121:17       178:16       85:24 162:10       150:7 171:16,20	<b>al</b> 106:17 169:18	<b>amounts</b> 24:8,11	139:17 140:9	116:3	asked 13:17,19
alleged 165:16       analyses 117:19       answer 37:18       38:18 39:1       53:2 60:10 69:9         allegedly 22:16       117:20 118:11       90:24 91:18       40:25 49:7       83:10 85:21         56:6       118:21 120:15       98:10 99:25       60:17 61:20,24       94:12 95:1,22         allocate 25:25       120:17,19,23       100:3 115:18       72:6 78:17       103:3 130:15         allocated 124:12       121:17       178:16       85:24 162:10       150:7 171:16,20	<b>Alex</b> 93:5	24:14 32:15	142:9 145:13	appropriate	52:21,24,25
allegedly 22:16       117:20 118:11       90:24 91:18       40:25 49:7       83:10 85:21         56:6       118:21 120:15       98:10 99:25       60:17 61:20,24       94:12 95:1,22         allocate 25:25       120:17,19,23       100:3 115:18       72:6 78:17       103:3 130:15         allocated 124:12       121:17       178:16       85:24 162:10       150:7 171:16,20	<b>alleged</b> 165:16	analyses 117:19	<b>answer</b> 37:18		
56:6       118:21 120:15       98:10 99:25       60:17 61:20,24       94:12 95:1,22         allocate 25:25       120:17,19,23       100:3 115:18       72:6 78:17       103:3 130:15         allocated 124:12       121:17       178:16       85:24 162:10       150:7 171:16,20		•	90:24 91:18	40:25 49:7	
allocate 25:25       120:17,19,23       100:3 115:18       72:6 78:17       103:3 130:15         allocated 124:12       121:17       178:16       85:24 162:10       150:7 171:16,20	•	118:21 120:15	98:10 99:25	60:17 61:20,24	94:12 95:1,22
allocated 124:12         121:17         178:16         85:24 162:10         150:7 171:16,20	allocate 25:25		100:3 115:18		
			178:16	85:24 162:10	
	156:19 173:22	analysis 46:21	answered 101:19	191:1	,
		<u> </u>			
	130:19 1/3:22	analysis 40:21	answered 101:19	191:1	1/3:8 1/9:14

				Page 197
101.12.20	04.14.114.6	00.10.21.100.17	70.15.00.6.05	L - L - £ 157.7
181:12,20	84:14 114:6 194:10	99:18,21 100:17 100:20 101:21	78:15 80:6,25 82:5 84:10	belief 157:7
182:12,14,21,23 184:4		116:3,9,22	86:25 132:9	<b>believe</b> 16:3 17:3 17:12 20:24
asking 94:11,20	attorneys 34:23 attributed 73:24	130:17,23 131:9	141:5 142:17,20	40:7 65:24 72:5
94:25 98:9		130:17,25 131.9	143:5,15 158:1	78:25 79:1,15
103:13 171:25	attributing 101:16	157:5 162:20	176:24 183:13	92:3,20 98:17
	audible 51:6	165:3 180:11	184:5	126:7 127:10
aspect 155:21	audio 9:1 190:12	avoid 31:24 46:1	<b>baseline</b> 17:25	129:14 135:21
aspects 137:21	190:13 191:5	50:10	18:25 23:18	149:2 151:13
assess 58:7 65:7	190:13 191:3			
assessment		aware 13:9 14:25	36:6,22,22,22	166:20 170:7
178:12	audit 136:7	15:4 139:14	39:21,22 40:14	176:20 177:5
assessments	August 18:7,8	169:24 170:2	40:19 41:1,3,9	184:7 185:24
136:10 151:22	21:16,22 29:14	181:12	41:17,18,24	believed 153:14
174:10,17,18	30:21 31:19	B	48:2 111:3	155:20 163:6
175:7,8 177:21	32:4,5,7 42:10	<b>b</b> 7:2 8:1 81:20	116:5 141:6	180:11
177:22 178:9	71:15 170:9		143:2,2 147:16	believes 141:25
assign 65:20	181:22 182:24	<b>B-r-y-c-e</b> 51:2 <b>bachelor's</b> 51:13	147:17 161:13	benchmark 83:4
110:10	183:3 188:12,15	back 9:21 24:3	162:4,9 163:3	93:17 173:3
assignment 22:22	authorized 165:1		164:23 165:1	benchmarks
assist 12:6 39:4	automatic 71:18	35:22 41:21	<b>basic</b> 59:12	83:10 110:1
43:6 45:4	available 22:3	60:25 67:2 83:6	basically 19:8	128:20
109:25	29:25 53:15	87:3 105:24	107:1,6,11	beneficial 145:8
assisted 13:22	62:25 87:11,17	106:18 112:22	108:7 111:21	<b>benefit</b> 1:7 60:21
associate's 51:12	127:1 145:7	132:19 156:11	113:13 154:3	90:2,5 93:23
associated 11:16	155:22 168:20	158:11	157:22	94:2 100:18
<b>assume</b> 27:14	177:22	backdoor 183:17	<b>basis</b> 17:5 33:22	101:16,23 102:7
99:23 167:3	<b>Avenue</b> 3:13,21	background	35:13 37:10	102:8 119:25
180:24	average 83:1	10:12 51:11	157:14	120:1 122:4
assumed 97:10	114:25 115:10	77:16	bearing 120:4	180:23
assumption 75:22	115:13,25	backwards 61:2	<b>beauty</b> 101:15	benefits 126:4
102:4 157:5	116:10 123:15	<b>bad</b> 63:9	<b>began</b> 52:4 65:15	<b>benign</b> 188:1,3,5
astray 69:5	123:15,22,25	<b>balance</b> 35:10	141:21 152:13	best 73:23 87:11
attack 21:16	131:11 142:14	36:6 41:21,25	181:10,17	87:17 194:7
32:17,22 185:15	142:14,18 143:3	116:9 153:24	<b>beginning</b> 9:1	<b>bet</b> 80:15 165:12
attempt 24:11	143:12 147:18	154:1,15,17,19	19:15 20:23	<b>better</b> 40:21
41:12 43:19	148:3 149:5	154:21,23,24	40:16 43:21	41:13 95:17
attempted 18:12	162:9 163:2	155:1 161:14	44:24 57:14	141:25
166:17	164:22 165:1	162:5 165:7,9	104:14 151:16	beyond 82:6
attempts 42:23	averaged 114:21	165:10,23	161:9,21	173:6 179:15
attend 11:24	115:4 117:18	bars 62:22	<b>begins</b> 51:19	<b>Big</b> 138:2
attention 30:10	averages 115:1	<b>based</b> 18:1 21:6,8	75:14 122:8	<b>bigger</b> 117:5
31:4 170:5	117:14	33:20 37:6,15	124:17	biggest 46:8
185:23	averaging 23:18	41:16 42:23	<b>behalf</b> 9:4 133:11	101:10
attorney 3:4	25:24 99:15,16	60:3 64:21	138:6,9	<b>bill</b> 49:6 74:13
-	<u> </u>			

132:22 133:7,15   89:18,19,21,25   104:14,16,17,19   25:21 26:17   27:22 28:1,3   30:24 31:21   107:8 108:10   30:24 31:21   46:9 49:4   46:9 49:4   46:9 49:4   46:9 49:4   46:14,15 3:1,15   50   50   54:9 59:23   128:5,24 129:19   15:24 117:16   52:18   106:23 76:23   77:1   176:4,21 181:22   123:10,14 125:6   138:2   179:3,22   179:3,22   106:19 141:18   106:19 141:18   106:19 141:18   106:19 141:18   106:19 141:18   106:19 141:18   106:19 141:18   106:19 141:18   106:19 141:18   106:19 141:18   106:19 141:23   106:10 140:21   106:1					Page 198
134:9 138:12   90:14 91:3,20   104:22 107:2,4   27:22 28:1,3   30:24 31:21   46:9 49:4   49:4   46:9 49:4   49:4   46:9 49:4   49:4   46:9 49:4   49:4   46:9 49:4   49:4   46:9 49:4   46:9 49:4   49:4   46:9 49:4   46:9 49:4   49:4   46:9 49:4   46:9 49:4   49:4   46:9 49:4   46:	122.22 122.7 15	00.10 10 21 25	104.14.16.17.10	25.21.26.17	
139:14 148:6   15:5: 158:17   102:5 113:21   107:8 108:10   102:4 110:17,19   102:11 105:15   118:2 119:8,18   114:5,5,9   118:2 119:8,18   114:5,5,9   118:2 119:8,18   114:5,5,9   118:2 119:13:1   15:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   121:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   121:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   121:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   121:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   121:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   121:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   121:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   121:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   121:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   121:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   121:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   121:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   121:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   121:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   121:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   121:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   121:12,15,16   129:21 130:1   132:19,15,20   132:22 133:7,10   140:17   140:24   120:24   120:2	*	' ' '			
155:5 158:17			,	1	, , ,
160:2 169:11   138:3 178:23   111:22 113:23   102:11 105:15   20:18,19 23:17   65:10 104:21,25   20:18,19 23:17   10:21,10 11   10:11 105:15   10:21,10   10:11 105:15   10:21,10   10:11 105:15   10:21,10   10:11 105:15   10:21,10   10:11 105:15   10:12,10   10:11 105:15   10:10   10:11 105:15   10:10   10:11 105:15   10:10   10:11					
181:5   binder 54:10,11   54:19 59:23   128:5,24 129:19   115:24 117:16   15:10 104:21,25   15:10 10					
binder 54:10,11   54:9 59:23   128:5,24 129:19   115:24 117:16   19:21 37:1     binders 9:22   77:1   176:4,21 181:22   122:10,14 125:6   19:21 37:1     book 54:6,7   breached 15:1   130:15 131:22   130:15 131:22     binding 33:7,10   bottles 9:15   bottom 57:7,8   40:24 46:19   133:10,14 134:3   134:6,9 143:10     bit 9:17 35:18   43:5 44:7   101:11 102:1,8   106:19 141:18   185:16   BJGWD 87:7   black 54:10,11,12 67:25   Blackfoot 81:13   83:20 122:4   125:1   19:14,19,20,21   125:1   19:14,19,20,21   125:1   19:14,19,20,21   20:5,5,11,13,13   150:9 152:10,14   24:5,7,7,13,18   150:9 152:10,14   153:14,17   169:10   Boise 1:21 3:8   42:10 45:12,16   194:24   Bonneville-Jeff   56:4,11 57:16   56:4,11 57:16   50:40 45:15   101:15 57:10   132:12,15   150:04 18:12   138:14   133:10,14 134:3   134:19   133:10,14 134:3   130:14 134:3   130:15 131:22   133:10,14 134:3   133:10,14 1					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
54:12 186:1   62:23 76:23   77:1   176:4,21 181:22   122:10,14 125:6   130:15 131:22   140:17   131:10,14 134:3   179:3,22   68:2 101:7   77:6 93:21   134:6,9 143:10   127:17   101:11 102:1,8   135:16   140:19   141:18   185:16   181:23   151:22   176:24   176:4,21 181:22   138:22   133:7,10   132:1,9,15,20   132:22 133:7,10   133:10,14 134:3   179:3,22   68:2 101:7   77:6 93:21   134:6,9 143:10   134:0,9 143:10   161:4,5 163:24   161:4,5 163			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	' '	,
binders 9:22	*		*		
185:17   binding 33:7,10   Bingham 4:17   96:16 138:2   bottom 57:7,8   179:3,22   68:2 101:7   77:6 93:21   133:10,14 134:3   133:10,14 134:10   133:10,14 134:3   133:10,14 134:3   133:10,14 134:3   133:10,1					
binding 33:7,10         80:10 140:1         90:14 91:3,6         132:1,9,15,20         calculating 116:2         calculation 19:11         73:4 104:19         73:4 104:19         73:4 104:19         73:4 104:19         73:4 104:19         73:4 104:19         73:4 104:19         73:4 104:19         73:4 104:19         73:4 104:19         73:4			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· ·	
Bingham 4:17         bottles 9:15         breaches 28:7         132:22 133:7,10         calculation 19:11           96:16 138:2         68:2 101:7         77:6 93:21         133:10,14 134:3         73:4 104:19           bit 9:17 35:18         129:14 186:3         break 105:19         161:4,5 163:24         127:17           43:5 44:7         Boulder 5:8         119:6 132:2,18         164:9,12,15         127:17           101:11 102:1,8         bound 152:18         Boulevard 5:7         brick 73:13         165:12,14         165:12,14           185:16         Box 4:14,20         bricks 73:12,15         175:22 178:20         110:5 12:10           BJGWD 87:7         194:23         brief 102:16         181:1,4 183:22         181:0,5 12:10           67:25         15:10,16,24         briefing 192:10         185:2,4,6 186:8         132:22 136:17           125:1         19:14,19,20,21         19:14,19,20,21         188:1,6,10,20         19:13           15:29,1 14,13,13         97:8 103:6         190:11,21,24         10:6 6:24           150:9 15:210,14         24:5,7,7,13,18         152:21 170:5         191:34         22:16 23:20           150:9 15:210,14         24:20 28:24         176:3         bridge 15:22         bridge 15:22         bridge 15:22         bridge 15:22		,			
96:16 138:2         bottom 57:7,8         40:24 46:19         133:10,14 134:3         73:4 104:19           bit 9:17 35:18         68:2 101:7         77:6 93:21         134:6,9 143:10         73:4 104:19           43:5 44:7         Boulder 5:8         19:6 132:2,18         164:9,12,15         calculations           106:19 141:18         Boulder 5:7         bound 152:18         BRICKER 5:6         169:11 173:8         122:17           BJGWD 87:7         bound 152:18         Brick 73:12,15         175:22 178:20         12:21         call 10:5 12:10           BJGWD 87:7         194:23         brief 102:16         181:1,4 183:22         181:1,4 183:22         call 10:5 12:10         49:6 58:6           Blackfoot 81:13         16:10,16,23         briefly 51:10 73:7         188:2,4,6 186:8         132:22 136:17           83:20 122:4         18:7 19:10,11         briefly 51:10 73:7         188:8,20 190:9         called 9:10 49:21           Bliss 61:22         20:5,5,11,13,13         97:8 103:6         190:11,21,24         106:6 133:2           block 76:10 77:16         22:16 23:20         bring 30:10         191:24 192:13         134:29         calling 9:4 94:11           150:91 15:10,14         24:20 28:24         176:3         broadly 63:17         155:24 168:8,23         134:23 135:2,5					0
179:3,22   bit 9:17 35:18   43:5 44:7   10:11 102:1,8   106:19 141:18   185:16   Box 4:14,20   194:23   break 105:19   165:12,14   165:12,15   167:20,24   166:24   175:20   175:22 178:20   175:22 178:20   175:20,24   183:20 122:4   161:10,16,23   192:11   192:14,19,20,21   192:12   192:14   192:13   185:61:22   192:15,5,11,13,13   185:61:22   100ck 76:10 77:16   20:17,18 21:1,8   150:9 152:10,14   153:14,17   169:10   190:10   190:12   180:13   190:10   190:12,10   190:10   190:10   190:10   190:10   190:10   190:10   190:10   190:10   190:11,21,24   190:10   190:12,22   136:17   191:4,19,20,21   191:4,19,20,21   191:4,19,20,21   191:4,19,20,21   190:11,21,24   190:11   191:24,192:13   190:22   106:6 133:2   180:14,15   150:9 152:10,14   24:20 28:24   153:14,17   169:10   32:14,15 33:12   42:10 45:12,16   194:24   190:13   185:23   155:21   185:21   175:20   155:21   185:21   175:20   155:21   185:21   175:20   185:21   175:20   185:21   175:20   185:21   175:20   185:21   175:20   185:21   175:20   185:21   185:23   185:24   185:24   183:10   184:49,12,15   184:49,12,15   181:13,14   183:22   186:110:5 12:10   190:11,21,24   190:13   190:11,21,24   190:13   190:11,21,24   190:13   190:11,21,24   190:13   190:14,19,20,21   190:14,19,20,21   190:14,19,20,21   190:14,19,20,21   190:14,19,20,21   190:14,19,20,21   190:14,19,20,21   190:14,19,20,21   190:14,19,20,21   190:14,19,20,21   190:14,19,20,21   190:14,19,20,21   190:14,19,20,21   190:14,19,20,21   190:14,19,20,21   190:14,19,20,21   190:14,19,20,21   190:14,19,20,21				· ·	
bit 9:17 35:18         129:14 186:3         break 105:19         161:4,5 163:24         127:17         127:17           43:5 44:7         Boulder 5:8         Boulevard 5:7         brick 73:13         165:12,14         169:11 173:8         129:12         calculator 99:12         calculator 91:12         19:13         19:13         19:13         19:13         19:13		1		· ·	
A3:5 44:7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			, and the second	
101:11 102:1,8				, and the second	
106:19 141:18			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,	
Box 4:14,20				· ·	
BJGWD 87:7         black 54:10,11,12         breach 15:5,10         brief 102:16         181:1,4 183:22         49:6 58:6         105:20,24           Blackfoot 81:13         15:10,16,24         briefing 192:10         185:2,4,6 186:8         185:2,4,6 186:8         105:20,24           83:20 122:4         18:7 19:10,11         briefly 51:10 73:7         188:1,6,10,20         19:3         called 9:10 49:21           Bliss 61:22         20:5,5,11,13,13         97:8 103:6         190:11,21,24         190:11,21,24         106:6 133:2         called 9:10 49:21           board 136:14,15         24:5,7,7,13,18         152:21 170:5         191:3,9,13,16         22:13 19:22         calling 9:4 94:11           150:9 152:10,14         24:20 28:24         176:3         bring 30:10         191:24 192:13         3:17,18 134:19           169:10         32:14,15 33:12         broadly 63:17         broadly 63:17         brought 31:3         build 75:20         Canyon 5:7         CAPTION 1:16           Boise 1:21 3:8         46:1,6,13 55:24         Bryce 6:8 49:2,20         bulk 158:14         bunch 187:22         card 19:28					
black 54:10,11,12         breach 15:5,10         105:16 186:13         184:2,9,15,16         105:20,24           Blackfoot 81:13         16:10,16,23         192:11         186:24 187:10         152:21 166:24           83:20 122:4         18:7 19:10,11         briefly 51:10 73:7         188:1,6,10,20         191:3           125:1         19:14,19,20,21         78:24 90:11         199:8 103:6         190:11,21,24         191:3           block 76:10 77:16         20:17,18 21:1,8         125:9 156:15         191:39,13,16         called 9:10 49:21           150:9 152:10,14         24:5,7,7,13,18         152:21 170:5         191:24 192:13         canal 1:13,14           153:14,17         30:15 31:12,18         broadly 63:17         broadly 63:17         brought 31:3         build 75:20         Canyon 5:7           Boise 1:21 3:8         42:10 45:12,16         45:2,4         50:21         bulk 158:14         Captures 187:12           Bonneville-Jeff         56:4,11 57:16         51:2,4 52:21         bunch 187:22         card 192:8		*			
67:25         15:10,16,24         briefing 192:10         185:2,4,6 186:8         132:22 136:17           Blackfoot 81:13         16:10,16,23         192:11         186:24 187:10         152:21 166:24           83:20 122:4         18:7 19:10,11         briefly 51:10 73:7         188:1,6,10,20         191:3           Bliss 61:22         20:5,5,11,13,13         97:8 103:6         190:11,21,24         106:6 133:2           block 76:10 77:16         20:17,18 21:1,8         125:9 156:15         191:3,9,13,16         called 9:10 49:21           77:22,24 78:6,7         22:16 23:20         bring 30:10         191:24 192:13         192:22         calling 9:4 94:11           150:9 152:10,14         24:20 28:24         176:3         buffer 153:15,19         134:23 135:2,5           153:14,17         30:15 31:12,18         broadly 63:17         brought 31:3         build 75:20         Captrion 5:7         CAPTION 1:16           Boise 1:21 3:8         46:1,6,13 55:24         Bryce 6:8 49:2,20         bulk 158:14         bunch 187:22         card 192:8           Bonneville-Jeff         56:4,11 57:16         51:2,4 52:21         bunch 187:22         card 192:8				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Blackfoot 81:13         16:10,16,23         192:11         186:24 187:10         152:21 166:24           83:20 122:4         18:7 19:10,11         19:14,19,20,21         188:1,6,10,20         191:3           125:1         19:14,19,20,21         78:24 90:11         189:8,20 190:9         189:8,20 190:9           Bliss 61:22         20:5,5,11,13,13         97:8 103:6         190:11,21,24         106:6 133:2           block 76:10 77:16         20:17,18 21:1,8         125:9 156:15         191:3,9,13,16         106:6 133:2           77:22,24 78:6,7         22:16 23:20         bring 30:10         191:24 192:13         123:17,18 134:19           150:9 152:10,14         24:57,7,13,18         152:21 170:5         192:22         176:3         buffer 153:15,19         134:23 135:2,5           153:14,17         30:15 31:12,18         30:15 31:12,18         30:15 31:12,18         30:15 31:12,18         155:24 168:8,23         135:7,10,13,16           Boise 1:21 3:8         42:10 45:12,16         45:14,15 35:24         185:23         155:21         155:21         155:21           Bonneville-Jeff         56:4,11 57:16         51:2,4 52:21         51:2,4 52:21         51:2,4 52:21         51:2,4 52:21         51:2,4 52:21         20:17,18 21:10         20:17,18 21:10         20:17,18 21:10         20:17,18 21:10<		, '			· ·
83:20 122:4       18:7 19:10,11       briefly 51:10 73:7       188:1,6,10,20       191:3         Bliss 61:22       20:5,5,11,13,13       97:8 103:6       190:11,21,24       106:6 133:2         block 76:10 77:16       20:17,18 21:1,8       125:9 156:15       191:3,9,13,16       191:3,9,13,16       106:6 133:2         board 136:14,15       24:5,7,7,13,18       152:21 170:5       192:22       calling 9:4 94:11         150:9 152:10,14       24:20 28:24       176:3       buffer 153:15,19       134:23 135:2,5         153:14,17       30:15 31:12,18       broadly 63:17       broadly 63:17       brought 31:3       build 75:20       Canyon 5:7         Boise 1:21 3:8       42:10 45:12,16       46:1,6,13 55:24       Bryce 6:8 49:2,20       bulk 158:14       captures 187:12         Bonneville-Jeff       56:4,11 57:16       51:2,4 52:21       bunch 187:22       card 192:8		, ,	$\mathbf{c}$		
125:1       19:14,19,20,21       78:24 90:11       189:8,20 190:9       called 9:10 49:21         Bliss 61:22       20:5,5,11,13,13       97:8 103:6       190:11,21,24       106:6 133:2         block 76:10 77:16       20:17,18 21:1,8       125:9 156:15       191:3,9,13,16       calling 9:4 94:11         77:22,24 78:6,7       22:16 23:20       bring 30:10       191:24 192:13       canal 1:13,14         board 136:14,15       24:5,7,7,13,18       152:21 170:5       192:22       3:17,18 134:19         153:14,17       30:15 31:12,18       broadly 63:17       buffer 153:15,19       134:23 135:2,5         169:10       32:14,15 33:12       brought 31:3       build 75:20       Canyon 5:7         Boise 1:21 3:8       46:1,6,13 55:24       Bryce 6:8 49:2,20       bulk 158:14       captures 187:12         Bonneville-Jeff       56:4,11 57:16       51:2,4 52:21       bunch 187:22       card 192:8					
Bliss 61:22         20:5,5,11,13,13         97:8 103:6         190:11,21,24         106:6 133:2           block 76:10 77:16         20:17,18 21:1,8         125:9 156:15         191:3,9,13,16         calling 9:4 94:11           77:22,24 78:6,7         22:16 23:20         bring 30:10         191:24 192:13         canal 1:13,14           board 136:14,15         24:5,7,7,13,18         152:21 170:5         buffer 153:15,19         134:23 135:2,5           153:14,17         30:15 31:12,18         broadly 63:17         brought 31:3         build 75:20         Canyon 5:7           Boise 1:21 3:8         42:10 45:12,16         46:1,6,13 55:24         Bryce 6:8 49:2,20         bulk 158:14         captures 187:12           Bonneville-Jeff         56:4,11 57:16         51:2,4 52:21         Dunch 187:22         card 192:8			•		
block 76:10 77:16         20:17,18 21:1,8         125:9 156:15         191:3,9,13,16         calling 9:4 94:11           77:22,24 78:6,7         22:16 23:20         bring 30:10         191:24 192:13         3:17,18 134:19           150:9 152:10,14         24:20 28:24         176:3         buffer 153:15,19         134:23 135:2,5           153:14,17         30:15 31:12,18         broadly 63:17         155:24 168:8,23         135:7,10,13,16           169:10         32:14,15 33:12         brought 31:3         build 75:20         Canyon 5:7           Boise 1:21 3:8         42:10 45:12,16         185:23         155:21         bulk 158:14           194:24         46:1,6,13 55:24         56:4,11 57:16         51:2,4 52:21         bunch 187:22         card 192:8				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
77:22,24 78:6,7 board 136:14,15 150:9 152:10,14 153:14,17 169:10 Boise 1:21 3:8 194:24 Bonneville-Jeff  22:16 23:20 24:5,7,7,13,18 152:21 170:5 152:21 170:5 152:21 170:5 152:21 170:5 152:21 170:5 152:21 170:5 152:21 170:5 152:21 170:5 152:21 170:5 152:21 170:5 155:24 168:8,23 135:7,10,13,16 Canal 1:13,14 3:17,18 134:19 134:23 135:2,5 135:7,10,13,16 Canyon 5:7 CAPTION 1:16 captures 187:12 card 192:8				, ,	
board 136:14,15         24:5,7,7,13,18         152:21 170:5         192:22         3:17,18 134:19           150:9 152:10,14         24:20 28:24         176:3         buffer 153:15,19         134:23 135:2,5           153:14,17         30:15 31:12,18         broadly 63:17         155:24 168:8,23         135:7,10,13,16           169:10         32:14,15 33:12         brought 31:3         build 75:20         Canyon 5:7           Boise 1:21 3:8         42:10 45:12,16         185:23         155:21         bulk 158:14           194:24         46:1,6,13 55:24         Bryce 6:8 49:2,20         bulk 158:14         captures 187:12           Bonneville-Jeff         56:4,11 57:16         51:2,4 52:21         bunch 187:22         card 192:8		, , ,			
150:9 152:10,14       24:20 28:24       176:3       buffer 153:15,19       134:23 135:2,5         153:14,17       30:15 31:12,18       broadly 63:17       155:24 168:8,23       135:7,10,13,16         169:10       32:14,15 33:12       brought 31:3       build 75:20       Canyon 5:7         Boise 1:21 3:8       42:10 45:12,16       46:1,6,13 55:24       Bryce 6:8 49:2,20       bulk 158:14       captures 187:12         Bonneville-Jeff       56:4,11 57:16       51:2,4 52:21       bunch 187:22       card 192:8	*		O		· ·
153:14,17 169:10 30:15 31:12,18 32:14,15 33:12 broadly 63:17 brought 31:3 155:24 168:8,23 build 75:20 155:21 Captures 187:12 Bonneville-Jeff  153:14,17 169:10 30:15 31:12,18 32:14,15 33:12 42:10 45:12,16 46:1,6,13 55:24 Bryce 6:8 49:2,20 51:2,4 52:21 51:2,4 52:21 Bunch 187:22 card 192:8	,				*
169:10       32:14,15 33:12       brought 31:3       build 75:20       Canyon 5:7         Boise 1:21 3:8       42:10 45:12,16       185:23       155:21       CAPTION 1:16         194:24       46:1,6,13 55:24       Bryce 6:8 49:2,20       bulk 158:14       captures 187:12         Bonneville-Jeff       56:4,11 57:16       51:2,4 52:21       bunch 187:22       card 192:8				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
Boise 1:21 3:8       42:10 45:12,16       185:23       155:21       CAPTION 1:16         194:24       46:1,6,13 55:24       Bryce 6:8 49:2,20       bulk 158:14       captures 187:12         Bonneville-Jeff       56:4,11 57:16       51:2,4 52:21       bunch 187:22       card 192:8		7		,	
194:24			_		· ·
Bonneville-Jeff 56:4,11 57:16 51:2,4 52:21 bunch 187:22 card 192:8					
4.11.45 0.40.1 50.17.10.c0.10 50.24.54.15 B. W.L.1.10					_
	4:11 45:9 49:1	59:17,18 60:18	53:24 54:15	Burdick 1:18	care 176:21
49:15,21 52:22 60:19 62:1 64:5 97:3 105:23 120:24 career 52:1					
53:4 58:22 59:6 64:15,20 65:2 125:22 126:14 186:16 Carey 138:1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
59:13 62:20 72:24,24 78:22 <b>bucket</b> 71:6,7,10 <b>Burley</b> 1:10 3:14 <b>carried</b> 116:9					•
63:2 75:12 80:21 82:2 <b>Budge</b> 4:5 6:16 3:16 178:15	63:2 75:12	*	<b>Budge</b> 4:5 6:16	•	
76:14,20 77:21 85:20,25 86:25 6:21,25 22:11 <b>burn</b> 73:18 <b>carry</b> 157:6	76:14,20 77:21	85:20,25 86:25	O	<b>burn</b> 73:18	
77:22 78:16 89:7 92:11 94:5 22:12,14 23:4 <b>business</b> 10:8 168:14			*	business 10:8	
88:9,14,20,22 94:7,8 95:19 23:12 24:22 <b>buy</b> 144:18,18,20 <b>carry-forward</b>	88:9,14,20,22	94:7,8 95:19		<b>buy</b> 144:18,18,20	
88:22,25 89:4 96:1,3 103:22 25:5,11,13,18 <b>buys</b> 173:23 144:9		96:1,3 103:22	25:5,11,13,18	<b>buys</b> 173:23	•
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>

_				Page 199
202222222222222222222222222222222222222	100.17 142.1	150.4 165.0	164.25 165.20	0.7 10.16
carryover 163:7	108:17 142:1	158:4 165:8 167:5 170:17	164:25 165:20 167:24 169:21	<b>come</b> 9:7 19:16 26:24 41:12
carryovers 168:19	157:13 158:11 161:24 162:21	176:7 179:15		
case 13:18,19	161:24 162:21	181:8 182:4,9	<b>Coalition's</b> 97:4 169:24	45:21 68:16 85:21 111:23
′		182:25 183:12		132:23 145:24
15:9,19 25:10 26:6,12 30:15	<b>changed</b> 41:24,25 45:20 52:5	Clark's 139:7,11	<b>coefficient</b> 146:10 146:22	comes 17:16
39:24 53:7		142:3 145:3	Coleman 125:16	20:25 131:10
64:11 73:21	72:11 80:3			20:25 131:10 174:25
	141:20 170:18	149:4,10 153:10 176:11	<b>collateral</b> 32:16 32:22	
87:22,24,25 90:10 108:19,22	<b>changes</b> 35:2 42:2 68:7 95:18		collect 146:25	<b>coming</b> 42:19 129:22
· ·		cleanup 185:18 clear 28:22 63:14		
111:20 112:7	95:23 149:17 <b>characterize</b> 80:1		147:4 177:21,21	Commencing 83:5
120:5,23 138:17		65:13 67:9,24	collectively	
cash 74:15	107:16 109:4	69:7 103:25	138:22 147:22	commensurate
cause 9:11 21:25	charge 187:24	117:16 119:1	Colorado 5:8	61:16
49:23 66:24 106:8 133:3	192:8	157:16 177:11	<b>column</b> 35:10,11 41:15 140:12	comment 125:19
	chart 93:14	183:24		comments 113:10
caused 58:15	check 1:24	clearly 64:16 98:1	147:12 148:1	Commission
74:1	191:16 194:2,21	clerk 50:3 74:16	153:24 170:12	194:25
causes 70:19	checked 123:5	client 20:15	Colvin 6:14 53:1	committed 75:18
<b>caution</b> 33:13	<b>chop</b> 44:25	clients 75:17	53:22 55:11,16	<b>Committee</b> 20:2
<b>cease</b> 167:2	circumstances	191:22 192:6	57:13 58:5	34:21 51:24
Center 4:7	41:1 46:15	clock 61:11 94:4	60:16 65:6,14	<b>common</b> 103:9
centered 85:8	152:23	closely 112:25	65:17,25 67:14	103:10 126:22
certain 66:24	<b>claim</b> 15:11	clouding 24:16	69:3 74:21	140:1 156:5
77:20	clarification	CM-MP-2016	85:16,22 91:12	185:23 186:17
certainly 70:20	48:13 53:19	1:6	91:19 92:21	187:11
96:21 100:24	clarified 70:24	Coalition 3:18	95:24 98:4	company 1:13,14
103:17 137:18	clarify 47:16 52:2	11:20 22:20	105:9,24 106:5	3:17,18 10:11
CERTIFICATE	99:2 103:6,19	25:6,19 35:12	106:14 114:1,10	134:19,23 135:2
194:1	117:10 192:17	36:10 59:9,16	120:13 121:16	135:5,7,11,13
Certified 194:2	clarifying 92:6	59:25 60:20	125:16	135:16 146:5
certify 194:3,9	clarity 37:12	61:23 62:22	Colvin's 53:14,21	compare 41:3,19
cetera 187:23	Clark 89:17	63:4 75:19	53:23,24 54:24	88:21
challenge 25:1	134:22,24	76:19 77:11	55:8 57:9,10	<b>compared</b> 123:15
92:2	135:17,23 136:1	78:6 85:15 86:1	58:24 62:10,14	compares 121:2
challenged 27:6	138:3,10 139:4	86:6 87:14,18	62:24 66:8,16	146:10 162:8
challenging 57:8	140:20,25	87:21 88:8,11	66:20 67:12	comparing 68:6,6
<b>change</b> 15:9,11	141:17 142:8	88:16,19 89:2	68:6 69:15,20	comparison
18:13,21,23	143:13,23 144:1	89:22 90:2,5	72:5 74:24 75:6	111:3 118:13
24:11 25:17	148:13 150:25	95:6 101:17	85:11,12 87:10	competently 59:3
28:13 33:6	151:14 153:8,12	114:12 126:3	96:6 104:9,25	compile 11:17
41:16,23 42:17	154:1,11,17,18	130:11 138:13	121:1 184:22	136:9,10 146:7
44:17 45:17	154:20,22,24	145:14 154:8,10	combine 45:2	147:3
61:11 97:15	155:1,6,14	160:15 161:17	combined 142:19	compiling 13:22
	<u> </u>	1	1	1

				rage 200
complete 53:2	108:18 118:4,8	169:5 170:13,16	146:22	149:15
112:17	118:10,24 119:3	171:7 172:11,24	contacting	convince 27:1
completed 25:22	119:11 121:10	173:1 176:24	174:22	corner 149:16
complex 137:19	123:21 130:23	179:16,24 180:6	contain 36:5	corporate 22:16
complexity 153:7	conclusions 50:17	182:2,15,25	161:12 162:3	correct 12:17
compliance 21:21	56:17,20 62:19	conservative	contained 54:25	15:2,6 29:23
30:18 31:2 32:2	108:6 121:5	168:22	55:7 108:8	34:1 35:5,6
36:7,8,10 38:1	123:12 131:6	conserve 11:9	129:3	47:6,14 48:10
38:19 39:2,18	conclusive 162:7	36:14 123:13	contemplated	48:12 53:20
40:5 41:13	conduct 43:11	142:9 143:20	60:21	58:5,25 60:7
42:18,22,23	94:9	142.9 143.20	context 67:7 86:6	64:20 68:22,24
116:19 136:6,16	confines 23:3	179:9	86:7	72:3 77:6 80:7
155:18,21 156:7	113:15	conserved 59:13	continually 28:3	80:18 81:1 82:9
161:15,15,18,23	<b>confirm</b> 148:11	88:9,10 123:22	continue 17:14	83:23 91:4,5,7,8
161:25 162:1,7	159:9 186:9	123:25 168:13	61:1 75:20 170:25	91:16 92:5,13
162:11,23 163:4	confirmation	consider 26:13		93:11 98:16,24
163:9,11 164:22	191:22	27:12,12 67:17	<b>continued</b> 1:16	99:1,6 100:19
167:21 168:18	confirming 172:3	77:18 95:22	4:1 5:1 6:6 8:1	100:21 101:5,6
171:22 173:9	<b>conflating</b> 67:15	112:14	34:16 79:5	101:19,22 102:3
174:25 175:1,15	conflict 127:11	considerable	179:25	102:4,6 109:3
176:4 182:13,15	<b>confusion</b> 31:24	152:9	continuing 36:25	111:15,20 112:2
183:10,13,14,17	64:12 81:25	consideration	37:5,6 122:16	115:8 125:4,5
184:5,7 188:13	connection 13:17	31:7	168:11	127:7 128:12
<b>complied</b> 150:7	conservation	considered 20:7	<b>continuous</b> 76:16	129:10 131:22
150:16	36:6 41:16,23	23:23 32:13,20	87:8 168:9	135:12 136:23
comply 43:11	42:1 45:5 64:23	90:23 165:19	Contor 6:8 49:2,6	139:13 140:22
139:8 155:19	72:17 73:4,6,8	<b>consistent</b> 65:10	49:20 50:25	141:1,11 142:25
166:14 172:7	73:11,20 74:17	69:22 77:19	51:2,8 79:8,23	143:17,22 144:3
176:18	101:2 102:1	111:5,19 150:5	102:25 106:22	144:12 145:15
components	109:5,12,19,25	152:2 155:10	112:10,12	145:20 146:8,23
118:15	110:3,5 111:4	189:8	113:11 118:22	147:20 148:5,22
comprehensive	113:7,20 115:6	constitute 127:5	125:22 129:24	150:22 151:17
112:19 113:1	116:2,6,7	consultant 11:8	Contor's 53:24	152:1 154:2,9
comprised 12:14	119:20,25 122:5	consultants 10:10	113:19 125:20	155:3,9 156:24
conceptually	124:8,12,23,24	10:19 108:4	127:11	157:3,24 158:7
57:24 75:11	131:10,11 137:2	114:20 115:20	contract 12:3	159:11,12
101:15	140:12,18,24	115:25 116:14	46:17	160:18,23
<b>concerning</b> 16:9	141:17 145:2	116:20 117:19	contrast 98:13	162:24 166:12
29:3 36:17	147:16 152:8	consultation	controller's 136:7	166:13,16
92:17 186:14	153:10,13	119:5	convenience	169:22 172:10
concerns 27:20	154:12 155:7	consulted 43:7	63:23	172:15,17
concluded 193:4	157:1,6 158:11	consulting 11:21	conversations	173:15 174:19
<b>conclusion</b> 76:18	161:13 162:5	consumed 56:6	180:4	175:9,11 176:22
89:14 104:7	167:22,25 168:1	consumption	conversions	177:13,14,17,18
	ı		ı	1

				rage 201
178:11 179:13	cramming 43:25	135:25 137:13	<b>Dave</b> 53:24 55:11	declining 82:24
179:16,17 180:2	create 73:10	137:24 182:14	100:25 105:24	dedicated 77:17
180:3 181:19	created 34:23	182:17,18	130:15 184:21	deemed 87:11
182:5,22 183:5	44:5	curtail 165:21,24	<b>DAVID</b> 6:14	92:4
183:8,11 187:10	creating 146:13	166:7,15,17	106:5	<b>defer</b> 126:9
189:8 194:6	credit 99:19	167:9 176:3	day 50:16 79:2	deficiencies 55:7
corrected 59:3	144:6,9 155:23	177:25	137:7 153:1	96:2 110:10
correction 148:25	163:4 180:11	curtailing 167:21	194:15	deficiency 59:4
corrections	credits 144:20,21	175:23 182:20	days 191:4	102:2 109:19
141:23	163:8	curtailment	192:12,18	110:19 112:1,13
correctly 58:24	criticized 112:12	166:12 174:1,16	deal 24:18 136:16	113:6 175:24
65:6 66:2,21	<b>crop</b> 44:19	177:13,16,19,23	152:10 155:18	176:6
69:4 97:10	cropping 149:17	177:23 178:7,12	173:19	deficient 74:24
123:19	crops 44:20 51:12	182:19	dealing 81:1	75:1 99:18
correspond 73:19	149:17	customarily	dealt 12:12 20:17	100:12,17
cost 144:19	cross 46:24 79:19	191:24	debated 73:22	deficit 144:23
191:11	114:4 130:6	cuttings 149:18	decades 112:22	167:8 169:5
costs 192:4	Cross-Exam	149:20	December 146:6	182:25
coughing 9:16	169:13		146:6	deficits 167:25
counsel 17:9	cross-examinat	D	decide 22:15	<b>define</b> 81:24 85:5
63:15 119:5	6:10,11,16,17	<b>D</b> 6:1 7:1	120:22 121:3	85:7
170:5 184:3	6:22,24 14:21	damages 20:5	decided 73:3	defined 83:15
191:2	16:6 79:21 97:1	45:18	78:21 183:10	127:14
Counselor 52:16	114:8 125:14	<b>damn</b> 95:20	decides 94:6	definition 84:11
<b>couple</b> 55:13	169:15 178:21	<b>dance</b> 185:16	deciding 164:18	<b>degree</b> 10:13,14
103:1 174:9	179:6	danger 75:24	<b>decision</b> 17:10,22	51:12,13,14
187:2	crosstalk 84:2	dashed 68:2	18:6,10,22 21:7	<b>delay</b> 58:15
course 94:9	<b>CRR</b> 1:24 194:21	data 36:5 62:25	21:20,22,23	delivery 59:23
<b>court</b> 14:9 22:10	<b>CSR</b> 1:24 194:2	65:9 66:6,11	22:8 24:23,25	77:3,5,22
31:25 39:16	194:21	68:8,9,19,20	25:2,24 26:3,10	demonstrate
42:25 50:3	cumulative 70:15	69:22 72:10	26:19 28:21,21	162:1
132:16 183:16	76:22	77:18 112:14,16	31.20 30.20,21	demonstration
188:4 192:15,25	cumulatively	115:4 122:19	38:22,22 118:23	113:14
courtesy 49:9	155:7	123:17 136:10	120:19,22	Department 1:1
courts 150:4	<b>cure</b> 15:15,24	145:24 146:25	156:22 158:9	1:20 3:3,5
152:4 155:12	16:10,23 19:14	160:21 161:12	159:9,18 162:11	18:19 20:3 23:8
<b>cover</b> 76:2,2	19:18 20:17	database 136:12	162:20 164:17	26:22 30:3
covered 62:15	21:1 24:20 28:7	date 1:19 142:21	164:18	31:22 34:20
69:3,17 70:6	28:24 46:18	143:15 156:10	decisions 21:11	35:12,17 40:22
75:8 78:25,25	56:4 86:24	156:25 192:11	26:23 39:16	42:11,11 52:12
79:1	92:10 110:18	192:12,18,18	157:25	116:16 145:14
covers 84:22	113:22 119:17	dated 32:3	decline 66:24	150:4 152:4
craft 56:11 71:4	current 41:4 51:7	dates 142:18	75:14,21	154:8,10 155:12
crafted 76:12	currently 51:15	143:6,6	declined 101:12	160:15 165:21
	<u> </u>		l	

				rage 202
166:15,17 167:6	142:11	directly 68:6	66:17 122:18,21	3:16,17 4:11,17
167:15,20,25	developing 12:7	149:24	122:24 123:1	5:4 35:13 36:14
169:21 174:5,13	45:5 51:21 85:3	Director 10:23	129:24	39:16 41:11,11
174:20 175:16	139:7	14:25 15:4,12	disagreed 75:23	42:25 43:18
176:2 177:13,16	development	17:21 18:5,17	disagreement	45:7,7,8,9 49:15
177:25 178:14	52:1	18:22 20:18	57:23 62:14,15	49:22 52:22
182:3,19 192:20	deviation 86:3	21:6,21 22:2	discarded 59:22	55:24 62:20
Department's	devise 152:10	23:22 24:7,7,12	disclose 16:8	69:20 74:6
174:14 176:6	devised 152:14	24:21 25:16	discounting	76:15 95:10
186:21,25	dictate 55:23	26:2,11,12,16	120:18	96:16 102:6
187:12	dictating 163:2	26:22 27:5 28:7	discuss 11:7	106:17 110:14
depending	differ 41:22	28:10 29:14,21	13:19 22:17	113:7,22 134:18
161:24	differed 23:14	30:1,3,10,14	37:25 75:8	134:22,24
depends 43:18	difference 69:1	31:1,4,8,11,16	90:18 137:16	134.22,24
44:22	147:15	31:22 32:12,12	152:25 186:19	136:1,18,25
describe 51:10	differences	32:25 33:21	discussed 40:3	138:1,4,5,10
64:8 73:7	137:16	38:21 39:16	81:7,8 145:12	139:5,17 141:8
106:23 108:5	different 18:4	41:14,17 42:9	153:9 186:24	142:1,12,24
140:5 142:10	19:11 20:6,10	42:14,15,22,25	188:7	144:17 145:1,24
156:15	20:11 23:17,22	45:10 47:4 56:4	discusses 20:6	· ·
described 71:25	24:19 27:18	78:21 86:9 91:3		146:11,17,24
			discussing 9:21	147:22 148:2,13
147:19	39:17,20 63:24	91:25 94:6,15	17:4 59:17 72:6	149:22 150:19
deserves 33:20	67:16 69:19	95:2 110:18,23	103:7 122:2	151:1,3,5,6,8,10
destroy 73:10	104:8 106:20	111:17 118:14	138:12	151:15,18,21,22
detail 51:18	116:1,24 118:18	119:16 131:12	<b>discussion</b> 50:18	151:24 152:6
56:25 110:13	122:11 125:18	156:17 158:9	80:24 134:8	153:5,22 154:3
<b>detailed</b> 69:20,21	137:9 142:12	160:10 164:25	138:24 168:2	156:2,25 157:8
determination	149:13,14,17	170:15 171:2,9	186:17	165:18,22 166:3
15:10 20:12	155:16 158:21	171:11 176:10	dispute 17:24	166:18 167:2,3
<b>determine</b> 38:18	158:24 164:21	177:5 183:4	55:10 64:22	167:4 168:5
40:18 71:4	180:15	188:15	69:15 123:17	169:18,20 170:1
87:17 142:22	differently 35:19	<b>Director's</b> 15:9	125:4 169:24	171:6,8,14
162:10	<b>difficult</b> 44:12	17:10 20:12	170:6 181:13	172:7,16 173:2
determined 15:1	45:3	21:16 24:23	<b>distinction</b> 99:14	173:10,16,17,23
15:4 23:20	difficulty 63:7	38:19 40:6	101:21	174:1,2,3
32:14,14 40:23	72:9	41:10 42:12	distributed 160:9	175:14 176:17
74:20 141:2	<b>Dire</b> 6:5 14:23	45:19 90:13	180:10	176:19 179:4,23
determining	direct 6:4,6,9,15	107:3 111:23	distribution 1:4	180:22 182:21
64:10 89:6	6:21 10:1 34:16	129:22 159:3,9	58:16 61:14	183:13
95:17 129:18	43:5 50:23 77:2	161:24 162:11	97:12,17 101:5	district's 111:14
develop 43:16	77:22 90:19	162:20 170:9	143:18 152:12	137:2,21 139:24
83:19 152:7	104:13 106:12	181:21 182:24	167:13	140:16 150:11
166:10	133:13 152:17	188:12,23	district 1:8,9,10	162:7 166:7,10
developed 142:8	direction 194:5	<b>disagree</b> 57:21,22	1:11,12 3:10,16	167:22 168:1,13
				l

				Page 203
171:24 172:19	149:4	<b>duties</b> 136:4	<b>effects</b> 67:4 70:10	164:17
	149.4 divided 141:6	166:23	70:13,25 71:9	entire 17:6
	divided 141.0 dividing 99:22	duty 177:21	97:12,21 99:15	112:20 125:21
district-wide	100:2	<b>Dylan</b> 4:18,19	104:25 124:21	entirely 101:13
	DOCKET 1:5	96:15 179:3	124:22	<b>entities</b> 137:10
′ ′			efficiencies	
districts 11:14	document 65:1	dylan@dylana 4:22		141:6 173:18,20
	90:3 107:23 156:6 160:2	4.22	149:25 <b>effort</b> 50:10 59:23	173:21 entitles 25:1
12:2,4,6 13:8,12		E	77:2 113:2	
, , ,	documents 12:14 12:20 25:9 54:1	E 3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1		<b>entity</b> 150:11 173:18
16:10,22 32:15		5:1 6:1,2,2 7:1	152:6,9 154:11	
34:25 43:6,10	127:4 138:14,20	7:2 8:1 82:8,22	efforts 50:19	Environmental
43:16 44:3,5	138:22 180:16	85:1,3	58:17 59:21	60:13 112:5
45:4 46:1 74:9	180:16 187:5	earlier 67:22	76:15,23 77:1	equal 56:5 82:25
74:10 95:13	188:11 189:24	145:12,17 153:9	87:7,23 126:4	111:22
	doing 92:14	159:6 168:2	172:23	equally 94:2
110:6 111:25	95:13 153:14	earliest 143:18	eight 43:24	equitable 165:4
123:24 124:9,11	154:12 169:8,8	early 13:15 44:25	either 125:4	equities 46:14,16
124:25 137:17	192:18	172:22,25	132:3	46:20 152:11
	door 184:3	east 3:7 4:7	elaborate 85:23	erroneous 114:21
	double 59:12	133:19,22	elaborated 60:16	error 26:11
149:14 152:3	191:13	,	elicited 45:16	119:17
	double-check	Eastern 51:23	<b>Elisheva</b> 4:6 9:3	<b>ESPA</b> 139:18
160:9 162:22	123:18	52:5	9:15 102:11	<b>ESPAM</b> 51:21
I	dramatically 93:6	economics 51:14	elisheva@racin	52:1,2 58:6,6
′	draw 98:13	education 44:3	4:10	66:23
166:2,21 172:1	167:18	educational	<b>email</b> 190:15	especially 14:14
I	drawing 155:17	10:12 51:11	192:20	44:7
	drill 83:14	Edward 133:17	employee 60:14	<b>ESQ</b> 3:6,12,20
	<b>Driver's</b> 51:16	effect 58:20 61:22	194:10	4:5,6,13,19 5:6
	<b>drops</b> 61:18	65:7,24 66:1,3	enclosed 161:25	essence 62:18
142:14 143:2,3	<b>dry</b> 145:9 149:8	67:18 71:5,7,14	encourages	essentially 73:11
147:1,13,15,21	153:15,19	71:18 72:8	168:21	186:18
148:1,8,14	155:24,25	98:11,12,19,25	<b>ended</b> 67:23	establish 14:15
	<b>dry-ups</b> 149:16	101:2 109:20	141:7	estimate 73:23
161:12 166:8	149:21	119:20 124:14	enforce 166:8	85:13
	due 24:25 35:21	190:15	173:10,14	estimated 58:25
61:21 95:6	40:20 41:9	effective 57:16	<b>English</b> 84:14,16	109:9
110:6 114:25	141:23 157:15	156:1 166:19	Enhanced 52:4	estimating 71:9
123:16 142:2	159:19 166:25	167:10 168:4	<b>ensure</b> 60:20	et 106:17 169:18
143:7,12 145:11	174:9,16	169:3,8	168:18	187:23
	duly 9:10 49:22	effectively 45:20	<b>enter</b> 21:23	evaluate 87:13
155:23 162:8	106:7 133:2	166:21	191:19	88:5 104:13
diverted 144:2	Dunn 5:5	effectiveness	entered 20:23	107:2,3
147:23,23 148:3	duplicity 50:10	167:2	39:24 90:10	evaluating 39:20

				Page 204
100.15 164.21	110.0	100.2 4	161:19	00.12 05.12
109:15 164:21 <b>evaluation</b> 29:3	110:9 <b>exhibit</b> 9:25	190:3,4 <b>exist</b> 112:25		90:12 95:12 121:21 133:20
52:8	12:15,15,16,19	167:3	explaining 92:2 explanation	134:4 137:14,18
everybody 44:10	14:8 18:15 34:5	existed 100:6	119:24	137:20,20
141:25 186:9	34:6,10 35:15			137.20,20
evidence 9:20	35:22 38:12	expand 65:8	explanations 108:12	140:8 145:15
16:25 18:9 19:9		<b>expect</b> 180:20 <b>expected</b> 188:21		
	39:9,10 41:7	-	explicitly 70:17 expound 58:12	148:10 156:13 165:18 171:23
19:16,20 21:17	42:5 47:18,22	expedite 33:22	_	
24:15 28:14,17	48:6,8,9,16	<b>expedited</b> 191:7	<b>extent</b> 52:9 61:12	fancy 192:8
29:12 31:4	53:14,20,24	191:10,18 192:4	96:4	far 58:10 107:17
32:22 33:5 47:5	54:3,7,10,17	<b>experience</b> 22:15	extra 155:22	126:15 137:19
117:6,8,15	55:4 57:6 76:5	51:18,19 150:5	extrapolate 58:10	173:14 174:24
120:3 132:10	79:7,14 80:9,11	<b>expert</b> 9:4 10:23	68:14	176:10 178:15
164:4 184:11	80:18 97:3	11:23 15:19	extrapolated	farm 51:12 99:5
185:10	103:3,8,9	20:6 27:21 39:6	40:23	134:12,15
exactly 59:13,14	107:21 114:12	39:8 47:4,5,8,11	$oxed{\mathbf{F}}$	farmer 134:10
76:17 86:3	121:21,25,25	47:12 48:9 49:6	facilitate 71:17	<b>farmers</b> 153:21
88:23 126:6,8	122:10,11,16	50:17 52:11,12	fact 26:25 27:4	168:25
192:21	123:9 124:4,5	52:17,25 54:25	42:21 44:9 72:9	farming 134:16
Examination 6:4	127:14,21 129:5	57:6 79:7 84:21		136:21 168:24
6:5,6,9,12,15,18	131:1,5 138:17	97:4 105:9	74:20 101:23	fashioned 120:7
6:21,23,25 10:1	138:18,19 140:2	108:2,3,4,15	141:23 163:11	fashioning 46:15
14:23 34:16	141:12 148:15	114:19 116:17	169:9 182:21	<b>fault</b> 128:15
50:23 102:23	148:16,17,19,20	116:19 117:13	factors 40:14	170:24
106:12 130:13	148:22 151:1,3	118:18 119:2	113:5	feasible 45:25
133:13 177:9	151:4,6,8,9,11	120:16	factual 81:22	February 22:7
181:3	153:25 154:18	expertise 10:22	<b>failed</b> 74:21	30:21 31:12
<b>example</b> 107:16	154:20,22,23,25	experts 63:13	184:19	39:15 146:8
110:22 143:11	155:2 156:4	72:18 113:3	fair 20:15 80:1	158:6,8 159:16
179:22	158:16 160:1	expires 194:25	100:4 104:7	<b>feel</b> 21:15 76:2
exceeded 63:2	163:25 164:1	explain 16:5	107:16 109:4	<b>feet</b> 67:7,8,9,10
excess 109:12,24	165:6 170:8	18:12 22:22	113:4,19 126:18	68:16 79:4 93:7
110:3 154:12	179:10 181:20	23:4,12,14	126:20 129:23	149:5 170:17
176:24 180:1,5	181:24 184:18	25:15 26:9	130:3 146:3	<b>felt</b> 40:25 165:3
180:18,22	184:21,23	30:12 33:8	165:4	ferreting 165:15
excluded 16:24	185:11,13,24,25	39:12 40:13	fairly 12:21	<b>fifth</b> 124:7
74:4,5,6,8 117:2	187:11 188:8,17	43:13 89:15	<b>fall</b> 71:16	<b>figure</b> 13:13
163:18	189:21,22	103:18 116:22	falls 1:8,14 3:18	44:11 62:21,21
<b>excuse</b> 30:16 47:4	<b>exhibits</b> 8:9 9:24	134:23 141:4	3:22 4:15 138:2	67:21,23,25
64:4 77:8 80:2	103:10 126:23	145:3 158:24	146:1	69:1 75:10,25
89:19 94:13,21	140:2 156:5	160:4 162:25	Falls-Aberdeen	93:5 142:4
128:7 132:17	186:10,14,17	164:9,16	5:4 45:8	182:9 183:3
184:20 188:13	187:13 188:6	explained 36:12	familiar 12:20,21	figured 80:16
exercise 106:24	189:11,17,20	69:4 81:23 88:6	36:19 53:6	figures 123:2
	l	<u> </u>	l	

Ţ				Page 205
154:15 157:1	77:9 80:15	flow 44:11 81:12	46:19 86:9 90:5	85:13 88:10
file 42:17 193:6	82:18 83:7	136:20 145:25	91:3,6 170:16	93:21 95:2
<b>filed</b> 13:21,21	84:12 101:9,12	focus 63:22,23	171:2 176:10	109:21 124:22
34:4 164:25	114:18 133:2	64:1,9 65:4	181:22	124:23 161:19
filing 25:10	138:17 150:8	focused 69:19	foundation 21:6	180:23
filled 120:14	158.17 150.8	96:3	22:23 24:6	160.23
filling 11:23	157:15 158:20	<b>focusing</b> 127:16	34:12 52:10,13	G
71:20	161:6 173:16,19	folder 156:5	95:25	gain 63:21 82:15
final 18:6 30:17	186:2	folks 16:15	foundational	83:20 99:15
31:1 32:1 36:7	fit 113:17	186:13	90:20	104:2 127:16
38:20,22 45:19	five 41:2 73:12	follow 172:1	<b>four</b> 12:14 15:1	gains 58:4,21
156:6 160:10	five-year 36:21	follow-up 181:5	43:25 75:25	59:11 63:23
161:14 162:12	162:9	followed 87:19	98:19 118:3	64:9 65:1,3,5,7
finally 71:22	Fletcher 3:11,12	following 85:11	183:16	72:1 81:1,5,10
72:15	6:5,10 10:24	U	<b>fourth</b> 38:16	82:11,20 85:4
financially	14:10,19,22,24	85:18 87:10 145:18,22	161:21	104:2 106:25
194:10	16:8,14 19:5,8	143:18,22	frame 188:24	107:5,10 112:17
find 18:7 27:13	20:9 24:2,3	follows 9:11	framed 14:14	120:1 125:1
33:22 55:22	28:3 31:24 32:5	49:24 106:8		gas 132:4
	32:8,10,18 33:4	133:3	16:20 28:4,23 37:15	gears 43:5 182:11
56:1,2,8 70:16	, ,	font 192:13,14	framework 44:5	geeky 98:4
81:9,15 87:5	34:2,3,6,9 36:24	, and the second		general 3:4 11:21
90:3,6,7 91:13 95:24	37:7,13,14	foregoing 194:6 Fork 138:4	113:18 120:8	113:12 150:10
	39:23 42:20		front 3:7 55:4	187:3
<b>finding</b> 24:6,13 30:15 42:10	45:10 46:5,25	form 11:18 formal 30:10	97:13 114:13 147:8 179:24	generally 108:5
90:13 187:25	46:25 47:3,8,12 47:20 48:8,16		full 66:10 71:12	136:4,17 137:16
	48:20 49:11	formally 31:23 format 34:19	76:14 87:6	137:20 157:14
<b>findings</b> 18:1 25:9 28:5,10	52:9 79:22,23	formation 135:18	99:19 100:18	generate 122:19
finds 61:22	80:17 84:5,21	formed 135:20	107:18 112:16	geologist 10:16
fine 11:5 52:14	86:17,23 90:20	former 60:14	functions 166:23	geology 10:13
61:3 77:14	91:2 92:5 94:17	formulated 54:24	further 19:6	getting 37:1
86:21 96:21	94:19,23 95:3,5	forth 80:6,25	27:25 34:12	53:17,18 94:7
99:12 178:18	96:8 103:2,12	82:8 151:1,2,4,5	46:23 66:12	180:23 185:14
188:9,19	105:8,12 115:15	151:7,9,11	102:9 125:6,8	give 23:7 33:15
<b>finish</b> 86:16	132:3,5 150:2	154:18,19,21,23	130:5 132:21	33:20 40:10
fire 73:17	163:16,21 164:2	154:25 155:2	164:5 167:1	98:3,8 99:19
firm 192:8	171:25 183:15	forward 9:7	169:12 184:9,11	143:10,23 144:6
<b>first</b> 9:10 12:16	183:21 185:9	132:24 157:6	185:7,9 186:7,8	given 14:1 17:5
12:17 20:1	187:7,15,18	168:15 186:23	189:18 192:24	18:24 29:21
26:23 27:2 41:5	190:3,5 191:21	forward-looking	194:9	40:25 78:12
41:15 43:9 44:4	190.3,3 191.21	96:5	<b>future</b> 33:6,7	102:2 113:5
44:9 47:17,25	Fletcher's 152:2	<b>found</b> 20:17 21:8	36:11 58:11	180:17,17 194:7
49:22 50:7 51:2	155:10 163:25	24:7,7,12,20	65:16 67:1,3,4	gives 26:23
57:6 61:9 74:13	flexibility 44:23	28:7 42:22	70:11 75:15	giving 69:8
J1.0 01.7 /4.13	incamility 74.23	20.7 <del>1</del> 2.22	/0.11 /3.13	9 9 9 7 7 7

glass 192:16         55:13 56:22         11:7,14 12:2,4,6         130:2,21 131:20         112:12 182:1           globally 60:11         73:15 80:10,22         13:8,12 15:15         131:21 169:9         188:3           go 14:8 33:14         82:6 85:5,6         15:23 16:10,22         187:23 188:24         hearing 1:18 6           38:16 46:16,20         86:19 90:16,16         34:25 36:4 38:1         guessing 44:10         9:6,13,18 10:14,9 14:10           56:25 57:7         90:17 94:10,14         43:6,10,10 44:3         65:1 104:18         14:14,17,20           74:12 96:18         126:25 129:8         51:19 55:24         gun 149:16         15:14 16:6,13           106:18 117:2         132:7,7 137:7         61:9 62:7,20         guy 74:13,14,15         16:20 17:1,2           121:9,11,19         141:16 148:9,10         73:25,25 74:1,3         guys 74:12         17:17,18 18:5
globally 60:11         73:15 80:10,22         13:8,12 15:15         131:21 169:9         188:3           go 14:8 33:14         82:6 85:5,6         15:23 16:10,22         187:23 188:24         hearing 1:18 6           38:16 46:16,20         86:19 90:16,16         34:25 36:4 38:1         guessing 44:10         9:6,13,18 10:           56:25 57:7         90:17 94:10,14         43:6,10,10 44:3         guidance 56:13         11:4,9 14:10,           60:25 62:12         116:25 121:9         45:6 46:1 51:18         65:1 104:18         14:14,17,20           74:12 96:18         126:25 129:8         51:19 55:24         gun 149:16         15:14 16:6,1:           106:18 117:2         132:7,7 137:7         61:9 62:7,20         guy 74:13,14,15         16:20 17:1,2,           121:9,11,19         141:16 148:9,10         73:25,25 74:1,3         guys 74:12         17:17,18 18:9
go 14:8 33:14       82:6 85:5,6       15:23 16:10,22       187:23 188:24       hearing 1:18 6         38:16 46:16,20       86:19 90:16,16       34:25 36:4 38:1       guessing 44:10       9:6,13,18 10:10,10         56:25 57:7       90:17 94:10,14       43:6,10,10 44:3       guidance 56:13       11:4,9 14:10,10         60:25 62:12       116:25 121:9       45:6 46:1 51:18       65:1 104:18       14:14,17,20         74:12 96:18       126:25 129:8       51:19 55:24       gun 149:16       15:14 16:6,12         106:18 117:2       132:7,7 137:7       61:9 62:7,20       guy 74:13,14,15       16:20 17:1,2,         121:9,11,19       141:16 148:9,10       73:25,25 74:1,3       guys 74:12       17:17,18 18:9
38:16 46:16,20       86:19 90:16,16       34:25 36:4 38:1       guessing 44:10       9:6,13,18 10:10         56:25 57:7       90:17 94:10,14       43:6,10,10 44:3       guidance 56:13       11:4,9 14:10         60:25 62:12       116:25 121:9       45:6 46:1 51:18       65:1 104:18       14:14,17,20         74:12 96:18       126:25 129:8       51:19 55:24       gun 149:16       15:14 16:6,13         106:18 117:2       132:7,7 137:7       61:9 62:7,20       guy 74:13,14,15       16:20 17:1,2,         121:9,11,19       141:16 148:9,10       73:25,25 74:1,3       guys 74:12       17:17,18 18:9
56:25 57:7       90:17 94:10,14       43:6,10,10 44:3       guidance 56:13       11:4,9 14:10, 65:1 104:18         60:25 62:12       116:25 121:9       45:6 46:1 51:18       65:1 104:18       14:14,17,20         74:12 96:18       126:25 129:8       51:19 55:24       gun 149:16       15:14 16:6,1:         106:18 117:2       132:7,7 137:7       61:9 62:7,20       guy 74:13,14,15       16:20 17:1,2.         121:9,11,19       141:16 148:9,10       73:25,25 74:1,3       guys 74:12       17:17,18 18:9
60:25 62:12       116:25 121:9       45:6 46:1 51:18       65:1 104:18       14:14,17,20         74:12 96:18       126:25 129:8       51:19 55:24       gun 149:16       15:14 16:6,19         106:18 117:2       132:7,7 137:7       61:9 62:7,20       guy 74:13,14,15       16:20 17:1,2,         121:9,11,19       141:16 148:9,10       73:25,25 74:1,3       guys 74:12       17:17,18 18:9
74:12 96:18       126:25 129:8       51:19 55:24       gun 149:16       15:14 16:6,13         106:18 117:2       132:7,7 137:7       61:9 62:7,20       guy 74:13,14,15       16:20 17:1,2,         121:9,11,19       141:16 148:9,10       73:25,25 74:1,3       guys 74:12       17:17,18 18:9
106:18 117:2 132:7,7 137:7 61:9 62:7,20 <b>guy</b> 74:13,14,15 16:20 17:1,2, 121:9,11,19 141:16 148:9,10 73:25,25 74:1,3 <b>guys</b> 74:12 17:17,18 18:9
121:9,11,19
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
132:13 134:6   159:3 160:8   74:6,9,10 82:24
148:9 158:11
171:4 179:15 182:20 84:7 92:17,19 <b>H</b> 7:2 8:1 19:24 20:16,
goal 58:20 59:10   183:15,25 190:7   92:22 93:24   half 187:15   20:21,23 21:3
59:18 63:8,10 <b>good</b> 10:3,4 37:17 94:2 95:10,13 <b>hand</b> 42:10 21:15,18 22:4
63:16,21 76:18 38:13 49:16 98:25 109:6,23 194:14 22:12,23,25
76:24 82:14,22
83:2,4,6,24 84:7 93:21 95:25 113:7 115:6 <b>happen</b> 107:17 24:24 25:1,2,
84:11,11 85:8,8   101:22 106:14   123:24 124:9,11   110:15 168:12   25:8,12,16,18
93:17 111:4   106:15 111:12   124:21,23,24,24   <b>happened</b> 44:14   25:20 26:15,
128:21 145:5   122:13 123:6   125:2 128:20   61:11 86:12   26:18,19,20
173:3 133:7 147:7 134:18 135:8,9 101:17 181:13 27:7,11,19,24
goalposts 67:16   169:17 172:23   135:14 137:25   happens 58:20   28:4,5,16,22
goals 60:4 65:11   gosh 105:17   138:4,14 139:16   71:18 87:23   29:1,5,9,11,1
80:6,25 81:22   grain 45:1 71:16   141:10 144:2   happy 164:12   29:17,18,20,2
81:24 82:3,4,8
83:19 84:23   graph 97:13   150:3,11 153:21   hard 100:2   30:5,6,9,11,1
92:16 110:1 <b>great</b> 33:17 161:11 162:14 <b>harder</b> 145:9 30:16,21 31:3
<b>God</b> 95:20 <b>grind</b> 73:13 163:10 164:16 <b>hardworking</b> 31:17,18,25
goes 24:3 64:18 ground 4:3,11,17 165:18 166:21 193:1 32:3,7,9,16,2
67:23 74:15 5:4 44:20 45:7 167:2,4 169:20 <b>harvested</b> 71:15 33:2,4,13,24,
83:6 112:21 45:8,9 49:15,22 179:19 180:5,15 <b>harvesting</b> 45:1 34:5,8,11 35:
143:17 146:11   52:22 76:14   180:19,21,22   <b>hat</b> 179:9   36:16 37:2,4,
153:18 77:10 96:16 183:9 <b>hay</b> 44:25 149:18 37:16,17,19
going 13:10 14:12   102:6 107:17   group 56:24   149:20   38:7,10,13
16:14 17:17   113:22 134:20   <b>groups</b> 11:23   <b>head</b> 99:7,10   39:25 40:9 42
19:9 20:10   134:22,24   <b>grow</b> 134:12   <b>headgate</b> 71:15   42:20 43:2
25:15 27:5,7,12   135:17,23 136:1   grower 44:7,13   heading 82:14   45:10,13 46:2
27:17,18 29:1 138:10 139:4 44:16,22 114:16 161:7 46:6,7,16,24
33:6,9,13,19   148:13 149:21   growers 44:17   hear 23:8,24   47:5,9,14,22,
35:22 36:24   153:18 179:3,23   guess 40:20 84:9   27:22 94:21   48:3,5,11,22
39:10 40:10
43:2 44:11 <b>grounded</b> 120:17 109:8,19 110:9 171:18 49:18 50:1,8,
45:11 46:7,8 <b>grounds</b> 37:14 112:15 116:25 <b>heard</b> 23:10,24 52:9,15,17
50:12,16 53:13 <b>groundwater</b> 118:6 121:1 28:18 108:25 53:25 54:2,4,

				Page 207
54.20.22.75.22	105.47.11.14	highly 17:5 01:5	77.10 122.10 21	145.12 150.24
54:20,22 75:23	185:4,7,11,14 185:20 186:5,12	<b>highly</b> 17:5 21:5 45:24	77:10 133:19,21 133:23 194:24	145:13 158:24
77:8,12,14	185:20 186:5,12	- '		160:13,14,19
78:24 79:6,9,11	,	hired 11:15 137:7 historical 92:22	idea 28:18 93:22 94:15	161:3,17 162:9 164:25 169:25
79:17,19 84:3,9	187:9,17,19	92:24 93:1	ideal 132:2	
84:18 86:15,21	188:3,8,9,19,21		identified 52:12	178:4 179:9,19
90:24 91:17 92:8,10 94:14	189:3,6,13,18	historically 23:18		180:20 181:10
,	190:2,4,6,17,23 190:25 191:10	<b>history</b> 42:21 76:14 87:6	90:4 91:12 92:1 109:5 112:1	181:18 184:16
94:18,21 95:1,4 96:10,14,18,21			128:22 170:12	<b>IGWA's</b> 26:11,13 35:24 36:3
99:11,24 102:11	191:12,18,23,25 192:3,9,14,23	<b>hold</b> 33:5 134:25 137:12	187:11	40:14 45:20
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		holder 135:7		
102:15,17,20	193:2,4,4		<b>identify</b> 49:12 55:6 107:23	70:15 111:9
105:3,4,7,11,12	hearings 26:23	holders 135:3,6		114:20,22
105:14,17,20,25	26:24 94:7	<b>Honor</b> 18:11 21:19 22:6	127:3,9	123:13,21
106:2,10 108:10	164:11 174:23		idiotic 68:17	130:23 131:9
111:7,10,12	178:2	29:24 30:7 46:3	IDWR 1:5 11:19	137:10 140:6
113:3 114:3	hearsay 187:22	178:6	41:15 52:7	158:17 161:10
115:17 117:4,12	held 1:6 21:18	hooked 149:24	67:20 93:5	186:21
118:3,7,9,23	30:21 134:8	hope 158:2	124:12 136:11	IGWA'S 2:1
119:11 120:20	159:15	hopes 168:22	136:19 141:24	<b>III</b> 129:11,16
120:22 121:6,8	help 11:15,21	hoping 132:5	146:11 147:3	illustrates 63:7
121:13,14 122:9	12:9 95:21	host 136:24	170:12 174:1	immediate 102:7
122:13 125:7,9	145:1 155:15	hot 145:9 155:24	IDWR's 41:22	immediately 74:4
125:11 126:12	173:2	155:24	ignore 150:3	74:6
127:20,22 128:7	helpful 84:18	hour 132:8	152:3 155:11	impact 36:17
128:11,13,14,15	132:11	huge 152:12	ignores 28:3	65:19,21,22
130:5,7,9	helping 155:18	hydrogeologist	IGWA 9:4,10	66:1 71:13,14
131:17,20,23	Henry's 138:4	10:10	11:6,13,15,22	72:8 107:2,4,13
132:3,9,13,16	Hey 181:5	hydrologic 51:23	12:2,4 13:12,22	109:20 110:5
132:17,19,23	Higgs 6:3 9:5,9	112:24 119:8	17:22 18:13	118:1
133:5,24 134:2	10:3,9 14:25	hydrologist 10:20	20:7 21:9 22:18	impacted 92:16
142:23 143:8	17:7,14 19:17	51:8 68:21	30:20 33:16	impacts 13:11
150:2 159:13,15	19:25 22:17,24	84:15 104:21	34:19 35:9 36:9	17:8 33:11
159:19 161:3	23:13,16 25:15	<b>hydrology</b> 10:14	38:18,24 39:15	66:25 67:2
163:16,20,22,24	26:8 27:16 29:4	51:14	40:5,7,25 41:18	70:15,20,25
164:8,10,14	37:18 43:4	hypothetical	42:7 48:1,25	72:3 104:21
165:11,13	45:24 46:25	94:11	49:4,5 58:10	105:1 107:12
169:13 170:21	48:23 56:15	Hypothetically	68:15 77:6	109:9 112:17
170:24 171:18 171:21 172:4	77:19 118:22 159:5	143:11	79:12 108:3	113:14
177:10 178:19		I	111:1 114:4,6 124:12 130:18	imperfect 87:11
178:22,24 179:1	<b>Higgs'</b> 18:24 24:24 77:19	Idaho 1:2,20,21	132:22 133:2,11	<b>implement</b> 13:14 17:20 44:12
181:1 183:20,23	117:1,3	3:5,8,14,22 4:3	137:4,6,8,9,12	150:13 152:7
184:8,10,13,14	higher 124:10	4:8,15,15,21	137:4,0,8,9,12	implementation
184:17,20,24	highlight 67:24	10:17 51:21	137.13,13,23	44:6 68:3
104.17,20,24	mgmgnt 07.24	10.1, 01.21	130./ 140.7	<del>11.</del> 0 00.3
	-	-	-	•

				1490 200
152:15,16,18	120:10	61:24 104:21	76:25	30:14,16,19
implemented	incorrect 58:7,9	107:14	involved 13:3,6	31:1 36:8 158:9
43:7 124:11	58:13,14 68:23	informal 29:20	13:13 51:22,22	159:10,13
128:22 149:21	68:25	information	64:6 135:22	161:16 183:6,9
150:16	increase 125:1,2	11:18 13:20,23	137:1,4,6,10	issues 16:16
implementing	149:25	17:8,16 21:9	139:1,4,7 142:7	19:22 24:16
137:2 139:11	increases 62:5	22:2 23:24	involvement 58:3	103:7 108:8
142:8	increasing 168:7	29:21 33:11	139:10	118:3,5 136:8
implicit 70:16	independent	34:25 37:20	involves 136:20	136:17 192:9
implicitly 70:17	74:19 92:18	60:8 70:4	involving 181:13	item 185:18
important 67:17	independently	104:13 136:13	irrelevant 40:1	
76:4 82:19	77:23	142:1 146:5,7	62:3 94:3 118:2	J
importantly	index 66:25 67:19	informational	118:12,19	<b>J</b> 4:5
67:14 93:4	68:7,13,15	136:18 162:6	163:23	January 146:6
<b>impose</b> 27:6	106:24 107:5,6	informed 55:20	irrigation 1:7,10	157:18,21 158:8
imposed 120:5	107:8 110:1	informs 61:20	1:11,12 3:10,16	181:9
182:3	120:1 125:3	inhibit 44:21	3:16,17 41:10	<b>Jaxon</b> 6:3 9:5,9
impossible 31:15	indicate 37:5	initial 22:8 30:15	41:11 45:23	10:5,9 34:18
65:17,23 70:20	56:23 57:1	159:9	57:20 71:16	36:19 56:15
improve 68:15	66:17 70:4 91:9	injured 72:1	74:9 106:17	Jefferson 89:17
inaccurate	128:5	injury 65:15,18	138:1,3 145:19	134:22,24
115:14	indicated 25:10	65:19 66:1	145:22 146:18	135:17,22,25
inadequate 87:20	29:4 63:5 66:3	71:17,21 81:11	147:1 157:23	138:2,10 139:4
incentive 156:1	75:13 77:20	86:5	158:3,10,15	139:7,11 140:20
include 57:16	163:22 177:12	inquiry 103:2	169:18,25	140:24 141:17
65:8 66:6 72:10	178:10 184:24	inserted 119:4	isolating 68:18	142:3,8 143:13
89:11 104:15,16	190:18	instance 97:16	<b>isolation</b> 58:18,19	143:23 144:1
111:2 112:20	indicates 164:10	instruction 56:11	77:1,3 78:10,12	145:3 148:13
114:20 115:23	individual 13:12	intended 107:14	issue 14:14 15:14	149:3,10 150:25
116:3 130:22	35:13 37:10	interest 56:21	16:1,19 17:10	151:14 153:8,9
included 34:24	111:14,15	interested 194:11	19:23 20:4,20	153:12,25
100:20 108:3,13	142:15 145:2	internally 44:6	21:21,25 24:4,5	154:11,17,18,20
109:17 116:9	167:16 173:9	interpretation	24:9 25:24 27:4	154:22,24 155:1
118:15 188:18	174:23 175:23	23:19 84:10	27:11,12 28:4,6	155:6,14 158:4
includes 111:14	176:3 177:25	85:9 129:25	28:11,12,17,23	165:8 167:5
119:8 131:9	individuals 50:2	interpreted 46:18	31:10,11 32:18	169:10 170:16
165:6	142:19,19	interrupting	33:16 37:2,15	176:7,11 179:14
including 11:22	144:21	46:10	45:13 64:23	181:8 182:4,9
104:3 169:25	inequities 152:11	intimately 165:18	92:9 108:9	182:24 183:12
incompetence	inescapable 71:5	intriguing 57:25	119:10 130:16	Jefferson-Clark
50:4,6	inevitable 153:20	63:1	136:20 163:17	135:4
inconsistencies	<b>influx</b> 159:3	introduced 60:16	<b>issued</b> 18:5,6	<b>job</b> 123:6 136:2
17:24	160:7	101:1	21:21 24:23	166:7,10
inconsistent	<b>inform</b> 60:10	invalid 68:10,17	26:19 29:19	<b>Johns</b> 4:13 6:9,12

6:17 48:25 49:14,14 50:7 50:21,24 52:16 152:23 169:7 152:23 169:7 152:	
49:14,14 50:7       128:7 149:15       known 47:1       39:8 57:5 60:25       141:18 142:1         50:21,24 52:16       152:23 169:7       79:23       82:21 83:16       149:13 150:8	
50:21,24 52:16	2
52:19,20 53:21   179:8,13 185:18   <b>knows</b> 28:11   91:13 98:13,16   150:18 153:6	-
53:23 54:3,9,12	
53.23 54.3,9,12 190.14 30.24 98.21 100.3,9 <b>iive</b> 51.10 <b>know</b> 9:22 16:14 ————————————————————————————————————	
77:13 78:1,23   20:19 24:5 26:1   L   level 44:7,13,16   LLP 3:19	
79:1,6,15,18   26:7,25 27:5,18   L1:24 3:20 194:2   Rever 44.7,13,10   LET 3.19   location 1:20	
81:7,23 83:10 31:16 33:1 194:21 84:7 93:12,13 58:18 95:8	
88:6 90:16 36:21 39:19 <b>labeled</b> 140:1,12 10:14 128:21 102:5	
94:10 102:14,16  40:20 42:16  147:12  152:23 <b>locations</b> 57:17	7
102:18,21,24   43:24 44:4,17   lack 40:20 92:15   levels 63:17,22   lockstep 86:3	
102.18,21,24 43.24 44.4,17 105:2 125:8,9 44:19,25 45:1 laid 20:20 95:25 82:25,25 83:1 logic 179:18	
103.2 123.8,9 44.19,23 43.1 Lake 71:22 134:3 85:2 92:17,19 long 43:16 63:1	17
125.12,13   01.9 07.10   2date 71.22 15   85.2 92.17,19   101ig 45.10 05.1   127:21,23,25   70:20 72:23   134:5   92:22 107:9   111:21 132:6	
127:21,23,25 70:20 72:25 13 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	
128.2,10,12,14	
178:23 184:13 90:22 95:14,15 larger 72:10 license 51:16 long-term 70:1	5
judge 21:20,22 97:16,17 99:8 late 13:14 145:25 licensed 10:16 83:5 84:8	3
22:7,8 25:25 99:11,20 100:1 146:6 licenses 10:15 120:11 127:1	2
26:2,10 79:10   100:2 101:6,7   latest 146:2   51:15   127:17	3
164:17   101:13,24,25   law 3:11,19 4:18   life 134:16   longer 44:8 163	5.2
judgment 28:6,21 112:15 118:7,9 73:3,8 121:11 light 48:20 look 39:1 58:9,	
90:10,18   119:4 121:20,21   laws 136:8   limit 143:24   58:18 61:5	1 /
July 42:12 194:25   129:2 132:6   lawyer 119:13   144:5,14,15   66:20 68:11,1	12
jump 26:1 135:20 136:7,20 lawyers 193:1 limited 53:1 68:20 75:13	12
junior 70:16   141:2,20 145:21   lay 49:4,5 52:10   110:15 132:10   81:19 82:21	
143:20	3
justified 60:6 149:23 150:8,10 131:22 line 38:17 46:13 98:21 101:6	,
150:14,15 152:9   laying 52:13   67:25 68:2   104:8 122:3	
<b>K</b> 152:10,12,17,22 <b>lead</b> 10:20 85:11 75:13 103:2 123:20 124:7	
<b>K</b> 4:19 152:24 153:1,4 85:12,18 87:10 117:1 120:25 126:1,18 127	
<b>KAYLEEN</b> 3:6 153:15,17 104:25 <b>lined</b> 25:4 129:5,15,18	
<b>kayleen.richter</b> 155:15,16,17 <b>leadership lines</b> 67:25 75:20 148:9 150:24	
3:9   159:23 163:2,4   137:12   <b>list</b> 91:21,22   152:15 153:2	4
keep 11:16 23:5   167:8 168:10,17   leave 169:1   listed 82:18 98:25   154:14 155:3	
58:4 63:21   168:18,20 169:6   <b>leaves</b> 74:14   141:8   180:15	
75:19 76:19   169:7,9 173:17   <b>left</b> 140:12   <b>listen</b> 26:4   <b>looked</b> 59:5,21	
keeps 144:1   174:9 175:20   legal 73:2 75:3   litigate 42:16   60:4 65:15	
<b>Kent</b> 3:12 46:25   176:5 177:15   118:4,8,10,24   <b>litigated</b> 21:10   90:11 112:13	
79:23   178:3,5,11,14   119:3,5,11   <b>litigation</b> 26:1,22   126:1 167:7,1	15
key 62:21   187:22 189:14   120:14,15 121:4   little 9:14,16 11:7   looking 13:10	
<b>kind</b> 13:10 44:10   191:15 192:18   <b>legally</b> 73:2 74:20   35:18 43:5 66:2   39:14 40:5	
101:1 105:9 <b>knowing</b> 160:8 92:4 72:8 101:11 46:14 54:1 58	3:8

				Page 210
50.4.24.60.1	106.6	151.14.155.5	164.21	(5.10 (6.7 (0.6
59:4,24 60:1 68:19 72:2 78:4	186:6	151:14 155:5	164:21	65:10 66:7 68:6
	madam 19:24	matter 1:4 2:1	meet 71:24 145:1	83:20 96:2
78:10,11 88:3	32:17 46:17	18:6 20:3 21:10	145:9 163:9	161:23 162:10
89:6 97:11	47:24 48:11	30:20 31:6,17	171:14 172:9	162:11,22
101:3 109:23	Madison 138:4	33:7 38:22	meeting 109:25	163:11 165:8
110:12 112:16	Magic 45:7 138:1	45:16 52:21	136:8 171:20	183:10,14,17
113:6 117:23	magnifying	88:3 89:5	173:2 180:12	methodology
122:1 126:4,12	192:16	matters 51:18,19	meetings 11:25	55:7 60:15,17
126:15 129:11	magnitude 44:14	MAXIMILIAN	136:14,15 137:8	80:2 85:3
130:23 131:8	main 145:5	5:6	137:9,10 152:25	164:18
147:10	major 85:3	mbricker@som	member 51:23	methods 20:6
looks 32:1 93:3	making 47:20	5:9	134:18,19,20,23	116:18 164:21
156:2 158:20	120:18 136:21	mean 19:13 63:10	135:16,24	168:17
180:15	187:25	73:8 89:19	173:17	metric 18:1 36:23
loss 20:14	manage 153:22	113:12 148:2	members 12:2	39:20 41:3 63:3
Lost 138:2	168:25	168:6 178:6,7	123:13,21	64:19 78:18,20
lot 12:12 44:23	management	meaning 65:20	130:24 134:25	82:9
102:8 125:17,17	12:5 51:13	98:7	135:1,3 136:17	metrics 63:24
146:4 152:24,25	64:14,17 81:24	means 40:5	136:20 137:23	metropolitan
160:12 169:2	82:1 83:13,15	147:22 156:15	139:18 146:8	134:5
177:20	94:3 95:19,23	175:21 177:22	151:23 155:19	microphone
<b>lower</b> 93:2,7	103:23	meant 23:19 66:1	163:7 166:18	22:13 54:16
101:11	manager 135:25	measure 38:1	167:4	<b>middle</b> 124:17
lowered 107:8	136:5 139:12	42:18 83:20	memorandum	132:2
lowest 93:4,6,13	152:14 157:16	129:17 146:12	21:23	miles 133:22
152:22	165:17 181:7,9	160:8	memory 57:12	<b>million</b> 151:20
lows 92:22,24	managing 168:24	measured 36:11	men 44:4	<b>Milner</b> 1:11 3:17
93:1	<b>March</b> 1:19	116:6 125:2	mention 29:16	81:13 83:21
lunch 131:24	146:8 157:17	161:18	128:23	mind 51:4 66:22
132:1,13,18	194:15	measurement	mentioned 117:4	82:19 84:20
184:25	mark 144:7	41:18 82:9,14	144:25 145:17	96:17 125:10
<b>Lynker</b> 108:3	marked 7:4 8:3,9	93:22 94:24	146:9 159:22	186:12
118:14 119:16	48:6 55:3 186:2	96:5 146:21	162:14 189:14	mine 69:19,19,20
119:19 121:17	Marten 3:19	measurements	merit 61:12,13	137:17,20
<b>Lynker's</b> 117:20	mass 64:23 72:17	94:1 136:22	68:8	Minidoka 1:12
118:1,12 119:7	73:4,6,8,11,20	141:22 147:4	met 35:13 111:4	3:10 122:5
119:23 120:19	74:17	measurers 103:23	171:17	125:1
	massively 168:6	measures 128:18	meter 136:11,20	<b>minimum</b> 152:23
<u>M</u>	master's 10:13	128:19,21	146:12	minus 67:8,9
<b>M</b> 4:6 191:3	51:14	146:11	meters 44:12	68:1,1,4,4
<b>M&amp;M</b> 190:21	material 81:11	measuring 38:19	145:25 146:18	<b>minutes</b> 136:16
191:3	math 99:7,10	39:2 40:18	method 21:7,21	misinterpreting
ma'am 46:10	100:15,16	116:19 161:23	38:19 39:1,17	75:24
47:15 184:14	123:19 124:2	162:10,22	40:14,22 41:17	missed 125:23
	<u> </u>	l		<u> </u>

misstated 91:15	57:25 58:24	move 11:5 39:8	needed 89:21	<b>Nos</b> 187:11
92:6	59:2,5 60:19	50:6 79:7	170:22	Notary 1:25
misstates 115:15	62:24 63:5 65:7	119:14 184:18	needs 26:8 40:10	194:22
mistake 186:2	65:14 75:16	184:21,22	45:18 87:1	<b>note</b> 184:3
mitigate 20:5	85:10,13 86:25	moving 52:20	120:7 152:19	<b>noted</b> 40:9
81:11	87:22 97:9,9	63:8,10 65:16	186:3	notes 78:23 189:9
mitigated 59:19	104:25 106:20	70:2	negative 98:7,9	<b>notice</b> 32:25
mitigating 169:4	106:21,21,22,23	<b>Mud</b> 71:22 134:3	148:2 155:1	37:19 189:25
mitigation 2:3	107:1 110:8,8	134:4	165:9,22	<b>noticed</b> 141:16
12:14 15:16,24	110:16 112:20	multiple 115:10	negotiated 139:5	November 21:23
30:18 32:1	113:2,13 114:25	multitude 113:5	negotiating 13:3	51:3 135:21
35:10 36:6,8	115:5,11 118:1	multiyear 114:21	139:1	146:2 164:19
41:21,25 42:12	118:12,14,17	130:17	negotiations 13:9	<b>nuance</b> 65:21
67:1,3,5 70:14	119:7,9,19		43:19 58:3	99:20
93:9 110:10,12	120:10 122:18	N	63:14,15 67:15	nuances 65:20
113:15,18	122:21 123:6,7	N 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1	net 119:25 120:1	<b>number</b> 59:14
114:22,22	modified 17:23	6:2 7:1 51:3	122:4 124:25	60:2 77:25
115:13 117:18	<b>moment</b> 71:14	name 10:7 49:14	125:2 142:23	82:16 85:1,10
120:8,11 127:15	75:5 125:24	50:25 51:2,3	never 23:23	88:23 91:8,10
135:14 137:15	134:7 159:10	133:16,17 135:1	40:17 74:11	91:12,22 101:10
137:17,21	175:17 182:11	190:22	88:9,20 89:1,1	101:10,12 108:7
150:10 153:24	<b>Monday</b> 61:18	<b>narrative</b> 160:17	178:15	108:12 122:10
154:15 156:7	money 151:18	160:21,24	new 144:8 159:4	141:2 143:2
161:14,15 162:5	154:11 173:5	narrow 66:3	162:22 165:8	147:22 148:2
165:7,9,10,22	monitor 62:5	natural 81:12	190:22 191:15	149:18 169:19
166:10,24	monitored 95:7,8	near 61:8,15	<b>night</b> 63:9	170:16,23 171:2
167:14 175:10	95:9	81:13 92:22	nine 43:24	171:3,7,14
175:12,15	monitoring 95:11	93:1,1,12,13,16	noncompliance	172:7,9,11
188:14	Monteview	93:22 94:1 95:7	165:16 166:18	176:7,14,16
model 51:23,25	133:19,21	95:9,11 125:1	172:16,20	182:6 184:5
52:5,6,6 65:18	months 43:24,25	158:10	175:10 176:11	188:8,17
65:22,23,24	146:25 187:2	nearly 59:12	177:3	numbers 11:16
66:2 69:2 71:8	<b>Moody</b> 93:5	necessary 75:18	noncompliant	17:23 20:11
78:4,5 85:6	<b>morning</b> 10:3,4	85:25 87:20	174:4,8,11	21:5 41:9,20,22
87:10 88:11,15	106:14,15	necessitates 36:9	noncomplying	42:1 73:5 91:23
89:23 97:25	132:10 184:18	161:16	177:12	92:6,25 99:11
98:3,5 101:8,15	188:4	need 11:9 12:16	<b>nonflow</b> 146:12	107:2,4 110:17
107:3 112:21	motion 186:14	14:7 19:6 34:12	nonpayment	111:22 116:2,7
113:1 118:13	189:24 190:7	56:25 57:4	174:18 175:8	118:13 123:18
<b>modeled</b> 75:10	<b>Mountain</b> 60:13	69:14 72:2 76:1	noon 131:20	127:10 131:11
78:8 109:8	63:4 112:4,7	76:2 89:23	normally 68:20	141:23 142:14
123:4	147:5	94:16 136:13	North 1:13 3:17	146:4 149:1
modelers 51:24	Mountain's	153:2,18 177:11	45:6 133:18	151:12 155:3
modeling 57:24	60:12	184:17	138:1	158:12 172:6
	I	I	1	1

				1490 212
179:23 188:20	153:13 166:22	26:15 27:19,24	132:13,16,19,23	27:23 29:20
188:25	168:1,7 171:7	28:5,5,23 29:1,5	133:5,24 134:2	32:9,10 34:8,14
numeric 98:18	obligations 45:21	29:9,11,16,20	142:23 143:8	35:20 36:1,18
123:2	114:23 145:2	29:25 30:4,6,9	150:2 161:3	37:21 38:5
numerical 82:16	167:1,14 168:9	30:13 31:3,25	163:17,20,22,25	39:17 40:11
98:3,8	168:10 171:24	32:3,7,9,16,20	164:8,10,14	43:23 44:2 45:4
	obviously 44:22	33:13,24,25	165:11,13	50:21 51:7 52:2
0	158:20	34:5,8,11 35:4,7	169:13 170:21	53:3,6,10,13
o-r 51:3	occur 57:20	36:16 37:4,8,16	170:24 171:18	54:7,8,14,19,20
oath 106:1,2	75:21 86:9	37:17,19 38:7	172:4 177:10	54:22,23 55:3,6
<b>object</b> 36:24 37:5	128:5	38:10,13 39:25	178:19,22,24	55:10,13,18,22
37:9 42:19	occurred 22:17	40:9 42:4 43:2	179:1 181:1	56:9,14,14,17
45:11 90:17	71:22 78:7,15	45:11,13 46:2,4	183:20,23 184:8	56:21 57:5,21
94:10 115:15	86:12 104:14	46:7,16,24 47:5	184:10,13,14,17	60:23,25 62:7,9
116:25 121:18	115:7 124:10	47:9,14,22,24	184:20,24 185:4	62:12 65:12
183:15	occurring 43:20	48:3,5,11,22	185:7,11,14,20	66:12,15,22
objected 47:20	88:4	49:1,3,9,12,16	186:5,12 187:5	69:7,11,14,23
objecting 28:20	occurs 128:24	49:18 50:1,8,18	187:9,17,19	70:2,24 72:12
objection 10:24	<b>October</b> 146:2	52:9,15,17	188:3,9,19,21	72:15 74:18,22
14:11,18 16:7	odd 88:24	53:25 54:2,4,18	189:3,6,13,18	75:4 76:1,5,11
17:3,15 29:2,12	offer 8:6 19:20	54:20,22 77:8	190:2,4,6,17,23	77:4 78:1,14,23
36:25 37:6,11	27:20 29:3 47:9	77:12,14 78:24	190:25 191:10	79:15 80:5,9,17
37:11 39:23	47:17,21 48:3,7	79:6,9,11,17,19	191:12,18,23,25	81:3,16,18,21
40:9 43:3 46:8	48:9 96:4 164:3	84:3,18 86:15	192:3,9,14,23	82:17,21 83:8
46:12 49:11	164:5,6,7	86:21 90:24	193:4	83:18 84:25
79:9,9 94:15	183:25 189:23	91:17 92:8	officer's 84:9	87:5,5 89:16
105:15,21,25	offered 66:13	94:14,18,21	120:22 132:9	90:9 91:2,20
121:9 163:16	113:11 189:25	95:1,4 96:10,14	official 189:25	94:17 97:4,7
171:16 185:1,2	offering 121:4	96:18,21 99:11	offset 110:5	99:6 100:8,9,11
185:3,4,6	offers 164:11	99:24 102:11,15	144:20 145:11	100:14 101:23
objections 105:14	office 3:4,11	102:17,20 105:3	173:17,19,24	102:9,18 103:5
183:18	136:7	105:4,7,11,13	oh 25:11 39:3	103:15,19 104:6
objective 58:4	officer 1:18 6:23	105:14,17,20,25	47:10 53:22	104:11 105:11
64:25 65:3 81:4	9:6,13,18 10:21	106:10 111:7,10	58:5 80:10	105:12 107:22
81:11 82:14,18	11:9 14:10,14	111:12 114:3	86:17 98:21	114:17 115:5
84:12,23 104:24	14:17,20 16:6	115:17 117:4,12	101:22 105:17	117:24 118:25
objectives 63:20	16:15,20 17:1,2	118:23 120:20	105:17 128:1,1	119:1,13 121:24
63:20 103:16	17:17 18:9,12	121:6,8,13,14	128:11 132:5	122:23 123:1,8
104:1 165:5	18:16,20 19:2,6	122:9,13 125:7	170:24 185:11	123:10,20 124:3
obligation 35:14	19:22,24 20:16	125:9,11 127:20	191:12	124:6 125:25
56:5 107:19	20:21 21:3,15	127:22 128:7,11	oil 73:14,16,18,19	126:8,18,21
131:14 140:24	22:4,9,12,25	128:13,14,15	okay 10:7 11:5	127:2,18 128:2
141:17 142:4	23:10 24:1,8	130:5,9 131:17	12:11,23 13:2	128:3,11,16,23
145:10 153:10	25:3,8,12,16,20	131:20,23 132:3	13:16,22 14:7	129:2,5,6,7,14
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
		<del></del>	<del> </del>	

				Page 213
	l	l	l	l
129:23 134:9	62:9,12,14,18	163:9 170:9,10	123:8,11 124:5	party 105:22
135:22 137:1,14	66:9,15,16,18	176:18 181:21	124:16 129:8,9	189:19 194:10
137:23 138:6,25	66:20 67:12,13	183:4,6 188:13	129:10,17	passed 31:7
139:10,14 140:8	68:18,21,25	188:15	130:21 131:1,4	<b>passing</b> 157:16
140:20 141:9	69:5,8,12,15	<b>ordered</b> 41:14,17	131:5 158:20	passion 64:6
143:20 144:10	70:10,14 72:15	56:3 90:7 171:9	161:7,20 170:11	patience 50:4
144:25 145:12	72:19,22,23	171:11 176:17	181:25 186:2	<b>patron</b> 143:11,23
147:7,12,17	73:2 74:23 75:3	190:11	pages 192:12	144:2,4,13
148:6 149:10	75:3 76:20	orders 40:21	pagination	167:17 174:24
150:1 157:19	78:14 88:2	41:12 42:13	192:15	patron's 143:15
158:16 159:22	90:21,23 91:19	origin 77:23	paid 151:21,24	patrons 144:11
161:20 163:10	92:2 119:9	original 29:21	<b>pair</b> 68:9	144:25 147:22
164:12 169:11	169:6	34:3 73:15	<b>paper</b> 71:12	148:2,18,19,21
170:24 171:5	opinion's 57:7	74:11 108:15	89:12	149:4,10,13
173:16 174:20	opinions 21:1	130:22 139:9	paradigm 62:24	150:6,17 151:24
178:16 182:1	54:23 56:23	outcome 161:24	paragraph 35:23	157:10,21
189:18 190:2,7	57:10,13 62:9	outside 28:15	38:17 81:19,20	158:13 165:25
190:17,23,25	66:12 70:3,8	74:4 90:17	82:8,22 114:18	166:5,8,11,14
192:9	72:13 75:7 80:6	103:25 171:20	115:21 117:25	167:9,21 168:12
olive 73:14,16,17	96:4 108:14	overages 40:24	124:8,17 161:9	173:9,11 174:4
73:19	113:10	overcomes 72:8	161:21	175:1,14 182:14
<b>Olsen</b> 4:12	opportunity 28:1	Overland 3:13	paragraphs	182:20
<b>Olson</b> 4:4	31:5	overperformance	161:6	patterns 149:17
<b>Olson</b> 4:4 <b>omitted</b> 74:21		overperformance 67:18	161:6 <b>Pardon</b> 80:13	patterns 149:17 Patterson 4:6 6:4
omitted 74:21	<b>opposed</b> 110:11	67:18	<b>Pardon</b> 80:13	Patterson 4:6 6:4
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3	67:18 overruled 115:17	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12 owner 10:10,20	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11 190:13	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20 order 15:3 17:23	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13 85:19,22 104:11	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11 18:18,23 19:3
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11 190:13 ones 12:8 27:10	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20 order 15:3 17:23 20:23 21:15,23	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12 owner 10:10,20	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13 85:19,22 104:11 104:15 114:25	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11 18:18,23 19:3 19:25 21:4,19
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11 190:13 ones 12:8 27:10 116:13,15	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20 order 15:3 17:23 20:23 21:15,23 30:15,17 31:1,2	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12 owner 10:10,20 P	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13 85:19,22 104:11 104:15 114:25 118:6,15 120:6	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11 18:18,23 19:3 19:25 21:4,19 22:6 29:5,10,15
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11 190:13 ones 12:8 27:10 116:13,15 189:13	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20 order 15:3 17:23 20:23 21:15,23 30:15,17 31:1,2 32:1,3 36:7,8	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12 owner 10:10,20  P P 3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13 85:19,22 104:11 104:15 114:25 118:6,15 120:6 120:13 131:6	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11 18:18,23 19:3 19:25 21:4,19 22:6 29:5,10,15 29:18,24 30:2,5
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11 190:13 ones 12:8 27:10 116:13,15 189:13 open 24:10	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20 order 15:3 17:23 20:23 21:15,23 30:15,17 31:1,2 32:1,3 36:7,8 39:24 40:6	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12 owner 10:10,20  P P3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1 5:1	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13 85:19,22 104:11 104:15 114:25 118:6,15 120:6 120:13 131:6 149:21 150:6,16	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11 18:18,23 19:3 19:25 21:4,19 22:6 29:5,10,15 29:18,24 30:2,5 30:7,12,14,25
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11 190:13 ones 12:8 27:10 116:13,15 189:13 open 24:10 115:20 136:8	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20 order 15:3 17:23 20:23 21:15,23 30:15,17 31:1,2 32:1,3 36:7,8 39:24 40:6 41:10,22 42:10	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12 owner 10:10,20  P P3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1 5:1 P.C 5:5	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13 85:19,22 104:11 104:15 114:25 118:6,15 120:6 120:13 131:6 149:21 150:6,16 163:3 164:24	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11 18:18,23 19:3 19:25 21:4,19 22:6 29:5,10,15 29:18,24 30:2,5 30:7,12,14,25 31:5 32:19,23
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11 190:13 ones 12:8 27:10 116:13,15 189:13 open 24:10 115:20 136:8 179:10 181:21	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20 order 15:3 17:23 20:23 21:15,23 30:15,17 31:1,2 32:1,3 36:7,8 39:24 40:6 41:10,22 42:10 45:19 56:8,12	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12 owner 10:10,20  P P3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1 5:1 P.C 5:5 P.O 4:14,20	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13 85:19,22 104:11 104:15 114:25 118:6,15 120:6 120:13 131:6 149:21 150:6,16 163:3 164:24 188:15	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11 18:18,23 19:3 19:25 21:4,19 22:6 29:5,10,15 29:18,24 30:2,5 30:7,12,14,25 31:5 32:19,23 33:9,24 34:7,14
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11 190:13 ones 12:8 27:10 116:13,15 189:13 open 24:10 115:20 136:8 179:10 181:21 opened 184:3	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20 order 15:3 17:23 20:23 21:15,23 30:15,17 31:1,2 32:1,3 36:7,8 39:24 40:6 41:10,22 42:10 45:19 56:8,12 88:2 89:13 90:9	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12 owner 10:10,20  P P3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1 5:1 P.C 5:5 P.O 4:14,20 194:23	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13 85:19,22 104:11 104:15 114:25 118:6,15 120:6 120:13 131:6 149:21 150:6,16 163:3 164:24 188:15 particular 56:6	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11 18:18,23 19:3 19:25 21:4,19 22:6 29:5,10,15 29:18,24 30:2,5 30:7,12,14,25 31:5 32:19,23 33:9,24 34:7,14 34:17 35:6,8
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11 190:13 ones 12:8 27:10 116:13,15 189:13 open 24:10 115:20 136:8 179:10 181:21 opened 184:3 operating 157:4	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20 order 15:3 17:23 20:23 21:15,23 30:15,17 31:1,2 32:1,3 36:7,8 39:24 40:6 41:10,22 42:10 45:19 56:8,12 88:2 89:13 90:9 90:13,18 91:2	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12 owner 10:10,20  P P3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1 5:1 P.C 5:5 P.O 4:14,20 194:23 page 1:16 6:3 8:6	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13 85:19,22 104:11 104:15 114:25 118:6,15 120:6 120:13 131:6 149:21 150:6,16 163:3 164:24 188:15 particular 56:6 65:21 85:19	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11 18:18,23 19:3 19:25 21:4,19 22:6 29:5,10,15 29:18,24 30:2,5 30:7,12,14,25 31:5 32:19,23 33:9,24 34:7,14 34:17 35:6,8 36:18,19 37:18
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11 190:13 ones 12:8 27:10 116:13,15 189:13 open 24:10 115:20 136:8 179:10 181:21 opened 184:3 operating 157:4 186:11	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20 order 15:3 17:23 20:23 21:15,23 30:15,17 31:1,2 32:1,3 36:7,8 39:24 40:6 41:10,22 42:10 45:19 56:8,12 88:2 89:13 90:9 90:13,18 91:2 93:21 94:9	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12 owner 10:10,20  P P3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1 5:1 P.C 5:5 P.O 4:14,20 194:23 page 1:16 6:3 8:6 35:23 38:3,15	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13 85:19,22 104:11 104:15 114:25 118:6,15 120:6 120:13 131:6 149:21 150:6,16 163:3 164:24 188:15 particular 56:6 65:21 85:19 90:8 110:22	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11 18:18,23 19:3 19:25 21:4,19 22:6 29:5,10,15 29:18,24 30:2,5 30:7,12,14,25 31:5 32:19,23 33:9,24 34:7,14 34:17 35:6,8 36:18,19 37:18 37:21,24 38:9
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11 190:13 ones 12:8 27:10 116:13,15 189:13 open 24:10 115:20 136:8 179:10 181:21 opened 184:3 operating 157:4 186:11 opinion 16:9,21	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20 order 15:3 17:23 20:23 21:15,23 30:15,17 31:1,2 32:1,3 36:7,8 39:24 40:6 41:10,22 42:10 45:19 56:8,12 88:2 89:13 90:9 90:13,18 91:2 93:21 94:9 105:10,21 107:3	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12 owner 10:10,20  P P 3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1 5:1 P.C 5:5 P.O 4:14,20 194:23 page 1:16 6:3 8:6 35:23 38:3,15 41:5 57:15	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13 85:19,22 104:11 104:15 114:25 118:6,15 120:6 120:13 131:6 149:21 150:6,16 163:3 164:24 188:15 particular 56:6 65:21 85:19 90:8 110:22 112:18 179:22	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11 18:18,23 19:3 19:25 21:4,19 22:6 29:5,10,15 29:18,24 30:2,5 30:7,12,14,25 31:5 32:19,23 33:9,24 34:7,14 34:17 35:6,8 36:18,19 37:18 37:21,24 38:9 38:11,14,15
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11 190:13 ones 12:8 27:10 116:13,15 189:13 open 24:10 115:20 136:8 179:10 181:21 opened 184:3 operating 157:4 186:11 opinion 16:9,21 21:17 27:21	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20 order 15:3 17:23 20:23 21:15,23 30:15,17 31:1,2 32:1,3 36:7,8 39:24 40:6 41:10,22 42:10 45:19 56:8,12 88:2 89:13 90:9 90:13,18 91:2 93:21 94:9 105:10,21 107:3 110:18,24	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12 owner 10:10,20  P P3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1 5:1 P.C 5:5 P.O 4:14,20 194:23 page 1:16 6:3 8:6 35:23 38:3,15 41:5 57:15 68:11 76:7,8	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13 85:19,22 104:11 104:15 114:25 118:6,15 120:6 120:13 131:6 149:21 150:6,16 163:3 164:24 188:15 particular 56:6 65:21 85:19 90:8 110:22 112:18 179:22 parties 10:21	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11 18:18,23 19:3 19:25 21:4,19 22:6 29:5,10,15 29:18,24 30:2,5 30:7,12,14,25 31:5 32:19,23 33:9,24 34:7,14 34:17 35:6,8 36:18,19 37:18 37:21,24 38:9 38:11,14,15 40:2,13 43:4
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11 190:13 ones 12:8 27:10 116:13,15 189:13 open 24:10 115:20 136:8 179:10 181:21 opened 184:3 operating 157:4 186:11 opinion 16:9,21 21:17 27:21 32:21 40:24	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20 order 15:3 17:23 20:23 21:15,23 30:15,17 31:1,2 32:1,3 36:7,8 39:24 40:6 41:10,22 42:10 45:19 56:8,12 88:2 89:13 90:9 90:13,18 91:2 93:21 94:9 105:10,21 107:3 110:18,24 111:24 118:7,14	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12 owner 10:10,20  P P3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1 5:1 P.C 5:5 P.O 4:14,20 194:23 page 1:16 6:3 8:6 35:23 38:3,15 41:5 57:15 68:11 76:7,8 81:16,18 84:13	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13 85:19,22 104:11 104:15 114:25 118:6,15 120:6 120:13 131:6 149:21 150:6,16 163:3 164:24 188:15 particular 56:6 65:21 85:19 90:8 110:22 112:18 179:22 parties 10:21 25:1 26:23	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11 18:18,23 19:3 19:25 21:4,19 22:6 29:5,10,15 29:18,24 30:2,5 30:7,12,14,25 31:5 32:19,23 33:9,24 34:7,14 34:17 35:6,8 36:18,19 37:18 37:21,24 38:9 38:11,14,15 40:2,13 43:4 45:14 46:3,11
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11 190:13 ones 12:8 27:10 116:13,15 189:13 open 24:10 115:20 136:8 179:10 181:21 opened 184:3 operating 157:4 186:11 opinion 16:9,21 21:17 27:21 32:21 40:24 56:10 57:8,9,15	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20 order 15:3 17:23 20:23 21:15,23 30:15,17 31:1,2 32:1,3 36:7,8 39:24 40:6 41:10,22 42:10 45:19 56:8,12 88:2 89:13 90:9 90:13,18 91:2 93:21 94:9 105:10,21 107:3 110:18,24 111:24 118:7,14 118:16 129:22	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12 owner 10:10,20  P P 3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1 5:1 P.C 5:5 P.O 4:14,20 194:23 page 1:16 6:3 8:6 35:23 38:3,15 41:5 57:15 68:11 76:7,8 81:16,18 84:13 92:20 93:20	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13 85:19,22 104:11 104:15 114:25 118:6,15 120:6 120:13 131:6 149:21 150:6,16 163:3 164:24 188:15 particular 56:6 65:21 85:19 90:8 110:22 112:18 179:22 parties 10:21 25:1 26:23 29:19 31:21	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11 18:18,23 19:3 19:25 21:4,19 22:6 29:5,10,15 29:18,24 30:2,5 30:7,12,14,25 31:5 32:19,23 33:9,24 34:7,14 34:17 35:6,8 36:18,19 37:18 37:21,24 38:9 38:11,14,15 40:2,13 43:4 45:14 46:3,11 46:23 47:10,16
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11 190:13 ones 12:8 27:10 116:13,15 189:13 open 24:10 115:20 136:8 179:10 181:21 opened 184:3 operating 157:4 186:11 opinion 16:9,21 21:17 27:21 32:21 40:24 56:10 57:8,9,15 57:18,21,22	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20 order 15:3 17:23 20:23 21:15,23 30:15,17 31:1,2 32:1,3 36:7,8 39:24 40:6 41:10,22 42:10 45:19 56:8,12 88:2 89:13 90:9 90:13,18 91:2 93:21 94:9 105:10,21 107:3 110:18,24 111:24 118:7,14 118:16 129:22 153:20 156:6,13	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12 owner 10:10,20  P P3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1 5:1 P.C 5:5 P.O 4:14,20 194:23 page 1:16 6:3 8:6 35:23 38:3,15 41:5 57:15 68:11 76:7,8 81:16,18 84:13 92:20 93:20 97:6,7 114:15 117:22 121:25	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13 85:19,22 104:11 104:15 114:25 118:6,15 120:6 120:13 131:6 149:21 150:6,16 163:3 164:24 188:15 particular 56:6 65:21 85:19 90:8 110:22 112:18 179:22 parties 10:21 25:1 26:23 29:19 31:21 53:6 96:12	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11 18:18,23 19:3 19:25 21:4,19 22:6 29:5,10,15 29:18,24 30:2,5 30:7,12,14,25 31:5 32:19,23 33:9,24 34:7,14 34:17 35:6,8 36:18,19 37:18 37:21,24 38:9 38:11,14,15 40:2,13 43:4 45:14 46:3,11 46:23 47:10,16 47:23,25 48:4
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11 190:13 ones 12:8 27:10 116:13,15 189:13 open 24:10 115:20 136:8 179:10 181:21 opened 184:3 operating 157:4 186:11 opinion 16:9,21 21:17 27:21 32:21 40:24 56:10 57:8,9,15 57:18,21,22 59:1 60:9,9,11	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20 order 15:3 17:23 20:23 21:15,23 30:15,17 31:1,2 32:1,3 36:7,8 39:24 40:6 41:10,22 42:10 45:19 56:8,12 88:2 89:13 90:9 90:13,18 91:2 93:21 94:9 105:10,21 107:3 110:18,24 111:24 118:7,14 118:16 129:22 153:20 156:6,13 156:15,17	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12 owner 10:10,20  P P 3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1 5:1 P.C 5:5 P.O 4:14,20 194:23 page 1:16 6:3 8:6 35:23 38:3,15 41:5 57:15 68:11 76:7,8 81:16,18 84:13 92:20 93:20 97:6,7 114:15 117:22 121:25 122:1,7,8,9,11	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13 85:19,22 104:11 104:15 114:25 118:6,15 120:6 120:13 131:6 149:21 150:6,16 163:3 164:24 188:15 particular 56:6 65:21 85:19 90:8 110:22 112:18 179:22 parties 10:21 25:1 26:23 29:19 31:21 53:6 96:12 184:12 186:18	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11 18:18,23 19:3 19:25 21:4,19 22:6 29:5,10,15 29:18,24 30:2,5 30:7,12,14,25 31:5 32:19,23 33:9,24 34:7,14 34:17 35:6,8 36:18,19 37:18 37:21,24 38:9 38:11,14,15 40:2,13 43:4 45:14 46:3,11 46:23 47:10,16 47:23,25 48:4 48:12 54:17
omitted 74:21 once 17:15 28:20 28:21 38:19 162:11 190:11 190:13 ones 12:8 27:10 116:13,15 189:13 open 24:10 115:20 136:8 179:10 181:21 opened 184:3 operating 157:4 186:11 opinion 16:9,21 21:17 27:21 32:21 40:24 56:10 57:8,9,15 57:18,21,22	opposed 110:11 opposing 184:3 options 169:2 177:20 order 15:3 17:23 20:23 21:15,23 30:15,17 31:1,2 32:1,3 36:7,8 39:24 40:6 41:10,22 42:10 45:19 56:8,12 88:2 89:13 90:9 90:13,18 91:2 93:21 94:9 105:10,21 107:3 110:18,24 111:24 118:7,14 118:16 129:22 153:20 156:6,13	67:18 overruled 115:17 overturn 46:12 owner 10:10,20  P P3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1 5:1 P.C 5:5 P.O 4:14,20 194:23 page 1:16 6:3 8:6 35:23 38:3,15 41:5 57:15 68:11 76:7,8 81:16,18 84:13 92:20 93:20 97:6,7 114:15 117:22 121:25	Pardon 80:13 part 26:21 29:17 65:7 74:3,7,8,9 76:4 81:13 85:19,22 104:11 104:15 114:25 118:6,15 120:6 120:13 131:6 149:21 150:6,16 163:3 164:24 188:15 particular 56:6 65:21 85:19 90:8 110:22 112:18 179:22 parties 10:21 25:1 26:23 29:19 31:21 53:6 96:12	Patterson 4:6 6:4 6:6 9:3,3,16,20 10:2 11:1,11,12 17:2,21 18:11 18:18,23 19:3 19:25 21:4,19 22:6 29:5,10,15 29:18,24 30:2,5 30:7,12,14,25 31:5 32:19,23 33:9,24 34:7,14 34:17 35:6,8 36:18,19 37:18 37:21,24 38:9 38:11,14,15 40:2,13 43:4 45:14 46:3,11 46:23 47:10,16 47:23,25 48:4

				Page 214
. 155 5	147.0140.7	02.14	126 22 127 2 2	14600147.56
payment 175:7	147:9 148:7	83:14	126:22 127:3,9	146:22 147:5,6
191:19	150:20 154:15	<b>plain</b> 52:4,6	127:18 132:17	178:2
pays 74:14	158:18 160:6,20	84:15	132:23 133:5,15	<b>practices</b> 83:5
<b>PCC</b> 146:4,9	161:8,10 164:2	plan 2:3 12:9,14	134:7 140:5	84:8 127:13,17
peel 88:6	167:7,16 169:19	30:18 32:1 36:8	141:4 142:10	pre-Settlement
<b>people</b> 65:20 73:9	170:1 179:12	42:12 58:1,4,20	164:14 179:1	123:15
149:24 166:15	183:7 185:24	59:10,19 64:25	189:3	precedent 165:2
168:22 174:15	186:21,23	65:3,11 68:3	plentiful 153:17	precise 124:11
perceived 96:2	187:12,19	70:14 76:19	plenty 145:6	predict 65:22,24
percent 99:8,9	188:23	77:17 78:18	PLLC 4:12	predicting 105:1
101:4,24,25	performed 58:10	86:8,8 87:19	PLLP 4:4	<b>prefer</b> 36:25
141:5,8 142:20	59:3 107:1	93:6 104:24	<b>plus</b> 78:7	prehearing 24:25
142:20,24 143:5	122:5,22 166:23	110:12 113:16	Pocatello 4:8	174:24
143:14,14,17	166:25 167:13	113:18 114:22	point 13:6 21:9	preliminarily
percentage 101:3	176:25	120:8,11 127:15	42:5 65:13 70:1	55:14
142:23,24	performing	139:9 150:10,16	80:17 81:3	preliminary 50:7
percentages	107:18	152:10,15,16,18	120:18 121:13	premature 17:4
143:19	<b>period</b> 25:3 44:15	152:21 153:1,3	142:16	17:12
perform 58:23	63:17 100:20	155:16,17,19	pointed 164:2	preparation
67:20 173:6	112:21 122:6	156:7 161:15	188:7	53:10
performance	123:25 131:10	166:11 174:12	<b>points</b> 56:24	prepare 20:19
13:23 14:5,9	146:13 155:6	175:10,13,15	143:3	52:21
17:22 18:2,4,13	191:1	188:14	policies 191:15	prepared 22:17
18:14 20:1,8	permanent	planning 52:8	<b>portion</b> 39:7 41:1	23:13 53:1 79:2
21:12 22:1,18	178:12	<b>plans</b> 12:10,10	position 10:18	122:3 145:18,21
22:21 23:1,2,5,7	permanently	44:6 74:11	20:24 51:7	preparing 103:20
23:14 24:6	178:13,13	135:14 137:15	137:12 180:20	prescribe 37:25
25:14,14,23	Persian 84:17	137:17,22	positive 98:6	prescribed 18:25
26:8,12,13 28:9	perspective	planting 157:25	147:21 154:17	60:21 63:2
29:3,13 30:22	165:17	pleadings 164:24	154:19,20,22,24	182:6
30:23 31:8,13	pertained 140:24	<b>please</b> 9:7,13	165:9	prescribes 36:21
32:10,13,24	pertaining 104:1	22:13 30:13	possible 17:8	<b>present</b> 5:11 19:9
34:1,18,24 35:9	pertains 58:22	33:8 35:22,25	112:25 179:15	28:14,15 30:1
35:24 36:3	64:10	36:13 38:16	<b>post</b> 144:8	33:5 49:2 55:15
37:24 38:8,14	petitioned 30:20	39:8,11,12 46:9	<b>posts</b> 63:8,10	56:14 63:13
38:16 39:14	phonetic 187:20	49:12 50:1,25	posturing 17:9	67:15 112:9
40:3 41:6 42:7	physical 58:19	51:10,17 52:2	<b>pot</b> 73:12	125:21,25
48:1 59:12,18	61:17	54:16 56:23	<b>potato</b> 149:19	136:13 152:11
64:21 78:21	pick 96:22	58:12 61:5	potential 175:18	presentation
87:14 91:9,10	piggybacking	62:13,13 64:8	potentially 24:10	33:14 50:9,11
91:11,25 92:15	101:1	66:15,16 70:3,8	62:4	presented 11:19
111:1,10,11,13	<b>pile</b> 102:7	72:21 73:7	<b>powder</b> 73:13	30:2 45:12 57:1
116:11 140:6	pipelines 149:23	102:20 103:8	<b>power</b> 132:6	59:8 116:8,14
141:13 145:12	<b>place</b> 43:21 44:12	105:4 114:15	146:5,10,12,14	120:4 125:18

_				Page 215
preserve 18:21	64:22 72:10	189:23,25	139:15	186:15
58:21	117:5 145:5	proofing 190:14	<b>providing</b> 155:21	putting 13:23
preserved 21:12	192:1	propagate 67:1,2	provision 127:10	97:21
pretend 98:13	problematic	67:4 70:11,21	provisions 55:19	97.21
pretty 28:22	168:16	85:14 124:22,23	55:22 56:2	Q
44:14 81:8	<b>proceed</b> 29:6	propagating	104:3 127:4	qualitatively 59:7
136:19,24	37:12 40:12	71:23	128:6	quantified 72:24
180:18 188:1	54:4,22 106:10	proper 165:15	<b>public</b> 1:25 136:9	quarter 32:12
prevent 93:21	165:13 173:20	proper 103.13 proportional	194:22	question 19:4
94:8	184:1,8	160:9	publish 187:1	37:10,22 82:6
preventative 62:4	proceeded 167:9	proportionate	pull 76:25 130:25	84:3,6 86:22
previous 33:21	174:22	139:19,24	181:21	97:11 98:23
52:12 101:2	proceeding 40:1	140:16	pump 68:15	101:14,20
116:10 157:16	60:10 64:13	proposal 60:15	135:10 143:4	112:15 119:14
previously 8:9	82:1 83:13	85:21,22,23,24	144:15 149:11	128:8 129:23
10:22 17:19	160:13 165:14	86:14,23,25	pumped 146:16	130:8 174:16
21:18 35:15	proceedings 1:12	87:16 127:16	146:19	175:12
46:19 59:22	33:7,21 52:13	proposals 127:11	pumping 43:10	questioning
66:13 106:7	185:22 194:4	165:20	57:17 70:16	117:1 120:25
147:19 163:22	proceeds 182:19	proposed 48:6	71:21 73:25,25	questions 10:2
189:14 190:18	process 24:25	55:11 57:24	74:2,3 107:4,7	14:11,16,18,24
primarily 9:25	58:19 164:20	60:6 63:4	110:17 111:2,3	34:17 36:17
57:23	173:14	107:14 108:10	110:17 111:2,3	48:21 50:11,24
primary 54:1	produce 43:10	113:14 116:13	139:18 143:24	55:14 79:16,22
104:24	production	167:24	145:11 169:4	94:25 96:9,11
principal 51:8	107:18	<b>proposes</b> 57:19	pumps 144:4,13	96:17 97:2
prior 23:15 29:14	professional	protect 166:11	purchase 173:21	102:10,24 103:1
34:18 35:9	10:15,16	protected 166:24	purport 20:4	103:13 106:13
50:13 77:6 88:4	<b>professor</b> 84:15	<b>provide</b> 17:7 31:6	162:1	106:19 113:25
89:6 112:23	program 43:9	35:11 41:7	purpose 15:8	114:9,11 117:2
119:21 130:24	137:2 139:8,11	56:12 72:1	22:15 24:17	125:6,8,15
144:22 147:1	142:8,10 145:4	78:20 81:13	58:1 78:18	130:14,15
156:25 162:4	145:6 152:7,8	86:11 153:15,19	purposes 92:9	133:14 136:18
164:5 167:18	167:22 168:13	153:20 155:15	95:18 106:23	169:12,16
183:6 184:25	168:19 182:16	159:1 166:22	107:25 131:13	171:25 173:8
priority 142:18	programs 43:17	175:24	162:6	177:6,10 179:7
142:21 143:5,6	44:2 45:5	provided 21:9	pursuant 77:5	179:14 180:25
143:15 152:12	prohibited	29:19 30:3	81:5 188:22	181:4,6 182:12
privately 12:9	131:13	35:16 42:12	put 11:18 12:9	184:9
13:9	project 75:15	60:5 77:18 78:4	24:15 29:2	quibble 121:3
probably 132:5	<b>proof</b> 8:6 27:20	93:9 155:24	73:12 97:23	quick 96:16 99:7
156:11	29:3 47:9,17	162:4,6 166:22	98:6 99:16	130:25
problem 25:8	48:3,7,9 164:3,6	172:22 186:1	101:8 119:9	<b>quickly</b> 44:17,20
26:21 46:8	164:7,11 183:25	provides 57:18	136:11 179:8	91:14 178:25
			<u> </u>	

				Page ZI6
quito 44.7 47.1	189:1 192:16	126:14 130:19	108:18 111:19	90:8 104:22
<b>quite</b> 44:7 47:1 63:5 68:1 79:24	reading 146:15	175:25	113:17	128:25 129:19
102:1 106:19	146:18	receive 56:10	reconsideration	139:16 147:13
102.1 100:19		59:9 71:12 78:8	24:13,14 161:25	147:21 148:1,8
R	readings 136:11 147:5	90:2,5	record 20:10	148:14 153:16
<b>R</b> 3:1,6 4:1 5:1	reads 114:19	received 59:16,20	24:16 27:17,20	158:12 171:24
<b>Racine</b> 4:4	118:1 123:12	,		
raise 17:15 21:25	124:8	59:25,25 62:23	28:11 35:5	173:10,19
63:16 110:2		63:5 78:6,14	36:25 37:12	reductions
raised 27:4 170:6	ready 96:1	86:2 87:14,18	42:6 51:1 66:3	142:19 150:22
ran 65:14	185:14	88:8,12,16,19	67:6,8 70:9,22	166:8 172:24
range 142:20	real 95:7,9,11	89:22 126:3	93:7 101:11	180:12
ranged 67:8	130:25	191:5 192:19	106:16 107:23	refer 12:23 50:13
rate 73:15	real-time 61:8,8	receives 60:21	114:5 122:6	51:4 53:13 87:3
ratio 146:13	61:16,21 93:22	86:1	132:19 133:10	117:17 138:16
reach 56:17 58:4	94:1,24	recess 105:16	134:6,8 136:9	138:22
58:21 59:11,20	realistic 158:11	132:17 186:13	136:16 148:11	reference 53:16
61:23 63:21,23	reality 75:17,20	recharge 36:5	183:18 186:4,15	53:25 57:11
64:9,25 65:3,5,7	112:25	43:11 99:17	188:16 189:20	61:4 76:5
, , ,	realize 95:5	101:2 102:1	192:21	115:13,21
65:16 72:1,2	reallocated	115:6 144:18,20	recorded 126:11	121:20 130:25
76:19 81:1,5,10	162:21	145:1,3,5,6	136:23	referenced 32:11
81:13 82:11,15	really 13:12	150:19,20,25	recording 190:12	72:16 159:10
82:20 83:20,21	40:17 44:9	151:2,4,5,7,9,10	190:13 194:4	186:20 188:7,17
85:4 99:5,15	68:14 75:2	151:15,19,25	records 136:11	references 129:21
101:8 104:2,2	95:17 167:12	152:7 153:9,12	red 75:13	referred 53:7
106:25 107:5,10	reason 24:10,12	155:22 161:12	<b>redirect</b> 6:12,18	67:22 83:10
107:13 109:9,20	42:20 76:18	172:25 173:24	6:25 102:16,23	128:8,9 187:2
112:17 113:4	reasonable 65:4	179:15 180:1,5	105:3 125:23	referring 32:11
118:13,16 120:1	82:5 85:12,18	180:18,22	130:8,9,13	63:11 65:14
122:5 125:1	86:10 191:17	recognize 140:3	178:19 181:3	77:4,25 114:19
126:3 127:16	reasons 64:18	158:17 160:2	<b>reduce</b> 20:11	115:19 116:5,11
reaches 71:14	reassigned 182:2	170:15 171:1,6	89:25 110:24	116:18 117:18
reactions 95:2	rebuttal 6:8,14	171:10 175:18	142:17 143:4,14	163:25
read 11:2 12:21	49:2,21 105:9	175:20 176:6,8	reduced 74:11	<b>refers</b> 75:12
15:3 35:23 36:2	105:24 106:6	176:13,16	148:15,17,18,19	reflect 58:19
36:13,16 38:17	107:24 108:6,21	182:23	148:21 149:19	167:17 186:4
65:21 76:3 81:9	112:5,6 114:11	recognizes 70:15	179:25	refreshes 57:11
90:9,13,21,25	117:5,17 121:18	171:8 184:4	reducing 57:17	<b>refuse</b> 173:25
91:1 104:6	121:22 124:4	recollections 58:2	139:19	<b>regard</b> 34:13
121:22 145:25	130:7,16 184:22	recommendation	reduction 12:10	37:20 50:5
146:1 148:11	185:1,5	104:22	43:17 55:25	54:24 63:9
154:16 161:2,5	recall 55:18	recommendati	56:4 57:19 60:1	66:19 69:8
161:8 171:4	103:12,15,18	95:17	73:18 76:17	72:18 74:25
182:3 186:3	116:24 122:14	recommending	77:20 78:6 87:9	75:1 126:12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

-				Page 217
191:2,20 192:5	remaining 28:17	replaces 86:11	111:9,10,11,13	116:23 130:18
191.2,20 192.3	28:23	report 11:18	111:23 112:6,12	136:10 145:18
regarding 29:6	remarkably 18:4	13:20,24 14:5	113:11,19	145:21 148:7
30:17 31:2 32:1	remedied 65:2	15:8,13,17,18	114:11,16	150:20,24
34:18 35:8 36:7	169:7 177:4	15:19 16:12,17	115:14,20	154:16 157:9,12
55:19 64:13,14	remedies 76:12	16:19,22,24	116:11,17,19	158:5,5 161:10
81:10 82:1,2	165:19	17:4,22 18:2,4	117:1,3,5,6,7,17	169:20 170:1
83:13 103:3,16	remedy 17:8	18:14,15 19:18	117:1,5,5,6,7,17	179:11,14
156:6 161:14	22:16,23 27:4,6	20:1,6,8 21:2,12	120:14 121:1,4	186:23 187:12
188:13	27:8,8,11 33:12	21:13,14 22:2,4	121:10,18,20,22	187:20 188:1
register 74:15	45:18 46:15	22:5,10,18,21	122:1,9,12	represent 49:15
rehash 45:11	55:10 56:11	22:22 23:1,2,5	123:11 124:4	112:24 115:2
rehearing 30:20	57:16 58:25	23:14 24:6	127:12 130:16	147:14
reiterate 103:17	60:5,11 61:10	25:14,23 26:6	130:22 140:8	representation
reiterated 108:14	62:1 64:11 65:4	26:12,13 28:9	144:8,10 145:13	110:16
rejected 42:24,25	65:10 71:4 72:6	29:2,4,7,13	147:9 157:9,21	representative
relating 9:11	77:6 78:18,20	30:22,23 31:8	158:25 159:2,19	120:9
49:23 106:7	80:20 85:6,7,24	31:14 32:10,11	160:5,5,7,17,20	represents 112:22
133:3 189:24	86:10 107:14	32:13,23,24	160:21,24 161:6	134:20
<b>relation</b> 167:12	108:10,14,16,19	33:23 34:1,3,24	162:13,19	reproduced
relationship	111:6,19 113:15	35:10 36:3 37:1	163:12,18 164:2	67:20
107:7	113:16 120:4,7	37:25 38:4,7,8	167:7,16 179:12	request 46:11
relative 75:8	165:15 166:19	38:14,16,23,25	183:7 184:22	178:7
194:9	167:11 168:4	39:6,8,14 40:3	185:1,5 186:22	requested 25:2
relevance 16:4	169:3 175:18,22	41:6 42:8 47:4	187:1 188:23	25:18 27:10
22:9 23:2,4	175:24 176:17	47:5,8,11,12	reported 23:7	requests 136:9
29:13 120:19	176:21 182:20	48:1,9 50:15	26:9 48:2 140:6	require 56:3
relevant 17:5,7	<b>remember</b> 117:14	52:21,25 53:15	141:24 154:7	143:13 148:9
21:5 26:5,6	126:17 132:20	53:21,23,24,25	163:5 191:4	required 41:22
27:8 33:10 37:2	147:9 181:15	54:24,25 55:3,6	reporter 190:16	42:8 76:17 87:9
37:15 40:8	183:1	55:8,10 56:22	194:3	89:25 104:18
45:13,15,25	remind 57:4	57:6,9,11,14,15	REPORTER'S	123:23 124:1
65:9 66:6 68:19	181:7	60:25 64:18	194:1	144:16 153:16
108:9,13,15	reminder 62:17	69:15 72:5,9	reporting 14:2	154:4 155:8
112:14,16	removals 149:16	73:1 74:24 75:6	40:15 41:13	159:1,23 177:3
118:22 119:7	removed 41:10	75:8 76:2,4	61:8,8,16,21,25	requirement
120:15,23	rendered 16:21	79:7,11,13 80:1	93:23 94:1,24	55:25 63:3
reliable 83:19	38:21	80:23,24 87:3	136:7 157:12	78:19 100:5
reliance 168:13	repeat 59:2 83:11	88:5 92:20	160:6	104:23 128:25
relied 26:11	170:19,22 176:8	93:20 94:19,24	reports 23:15	129:19
relies 113:19	repeated 57:14	94:25 96:6 97:3	34:19 35:9,24	requirements
rely 81:12	91:12	97:6 107:15,24	40:23 108:2,8	162:15
relying 74:10	replacements	108:1,4,4,6,15	108:17 111:1	requires 36:14
remained 142:4	136:21	108:22 111:7,8	114:19 115:21	120:16
	I .	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

				Page 218
reserved 18:13	108:2	152:11 153:4	190:14 191:2	goometerwy 126:15
22:1 32:24	reviewing 160:24	165:25 166:2	saying 12:25 20:9	secretary 136:15 section 83:17
RESERVOIR	revised 42:24	risk 153:23	20:14 23:9	103:13,16,22,25
1:9	revisit 14:7 16:15	risks 168:24,25	33:17 86:25	103.13,10,22,23
Resources 1:1,20	36:10 161:17	river 61:18 71:6,7	98:2 126:17	104.1,2,3
3:3,5	rewind 61:11	71:11,23 95:6	171:25 177:24	117:17,23 122:2
respect 50:17	94:4	109:9 112:17	187:23	124:7 127:10,14
106:24 110:9	94:4 rework 97:9	149:15	says 22:20 57:15	124:7 127:10,14
			•	, ,
respectfully 17:3 17:13 21:4 40:2	reworked 132:11	road 98:20	63:20 70:19	128:17,22,24
	rewrite 42:21	Roberts 71:20	74:16 81:22	129:7,15 139:14
45:14	<b>Rexburg</b> 4:21	Rocky 60:12,13	82:22 83:3	see 9:15 22:9 26:3
respond 52:25	133:23	63:3 112:4,7	88:11 91:20	26:5 41:24
84:24	RICHTER 3:6	147:5	114:20 115:16	46:18 59:12
responded 70:23	ridgetop 74:2,2	<b>ROGER</b> 1:18	123:21	61:10 63:21,25
response 17:1	<b>right</b> 9:6 10:3	roles 71:24	scan 75:5	66:10 80:19
51:6 61:17	18:13,21 19:2	rolling 44:2	scant 81:8	91:13 97:13,14
66:13,21 70:3	20:14 21:12	116:10	scattered 68:8	98:16 128:1
72:12,15,19,22	22:1 32:24 70:2	roughly 99:9	schedule 86:3	129:11,16,20
92:2 94:11,22	71:13 72:25	rounding 73:22	scheduling 118:7	140:13 141:14
174:14 184:6	78:3,17 79:5	<b>RPR</b> 1:24 194:21	science 87:12,17	146:19 156:8,10
188:23	84:25 85:16,17	<b>rule</b> 74:4 164:10	scientific 73:23	168:16 170:11
responses 75:6	93:12 96:20	192:15	scientifically 65:9	179:23
76:1	97:24 98:15,22	ruled 19:15,22	scope 28:16 53:1	seeking 18:23
responsible	99:13,19 100:23	23:23 24:8 27:5	90:17 171:21	selected 44:19
139:18	100:25 105:4	ruler 92:25 93:3	screenshot 186:1	164:22,23
restaurant 74:12	107:20 109:13	<b>rules</b> 150:11	<b>seal</b> 194:14	self-reporting
restraints 50:9	109:18 112:3	<b>ruling</b> 159:4	season 13:21	146:4
restricted 20:22	113:9 115:8,12	160:10 182:24	43:22 44:23	<b>sell</b> 144:19 173:24
result 62:23	116:21 117:21	<b>rulings</b> 132:10	45:3,23 57:20	semantics 72:11
190:19	124:17 134:3	150:3 152:3	76:21 145:19,22	<b>send</b> 136:9,12
resulted 76:16	135:3,6 143:18	155:11	146:19 147:2	157:9,12 192:19
87:8 124:9,25	145:19 147:24	run 173:18	157:23 158:4,10	<b>senior</b> 81:14
results 59:7 66:23	149:6 151:14,16		158:15	143:21
69:22 76:22	154:1 155:5,8	S	seasons 44:24	sense 115:4
109:8 122:24	156:23 158:22	s 3:1 5:1 6:2,2,2	<b>seated</b> 9:13 50:2	191:17
retired 60:14	160:17 163:17	7:2 8:1 77:25	105:5 131:18	sensitive 50:8
<b>return</b> 82:25	165:21 179:24	188:24	133:6	<b>sent</b> 144:10 158:4
reverse 82:24	184:24 189:6	S-t-o-d-d-a-r-t	<b>second</b> 12:18	sentence 76:4
<b>review</b> 34:24	190:25 191:23	133:18	74:14 75:2	114:18 117:25
42:11 53:10	192:3	safe 56:9 127:24	86:15 91:17,21	119:2,6,15
107:25 108:23	<b>rights</b> 1:6 81:12	sake 57:3	91:22 101:10	123:20 130:16
112:6 159:8	81:14 135:1,8,8	sandbags 71:20	114:18 117:25	sentinel 63:25
186:21 187:1,13	135:9 142:18	Sarah 5:12 22:14	117:25 138:18	64:1,9,17 66:24
reviewed 42:10	143:6,16,20,21	188:7,25 189:15	161:8,20 170:21	67:19 106:24
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 219
107.5 6 9	120.24 140.16	C: J. 1.12 2.17	162.9 102.12	56.15.60.16
107:5,6,8 109:25 119:25	139:24 140:16 160:9	Side 1:13 3:17 sifting 187:24	162:8 192:13 sir 9:6 16:7 40:12	56:15 62:16 122:19
		_		
124:10 125:3 173:2	shareholder	<b>sign</b> 98:9	46:4 48:23	Sophia's 23:11
	135:11	signatories 33:16	49:10,13 50:20	35:5 121:20
separate 104:2	shares 135:2	signatory 56:6	54:5 62:11	122:1
<b>September</b> 30:16	<b>sharing</b> 192:4	signature 76:9	69:13,18 74:16	sorry 9:23 12:16
30:19 36:9	she'll 190:15	signees 156:20	79:17,25 80:4,8	32:19 37:23
45:22 156:12,22	sheer 145:24	significance 71:3	80:16 84:3 86:7	47:10 48:16
158:10 159:4,11	shiniest 71:9	significant 64:10	86:22 96:14	54:13 82:7 84:4
161:16 188:13	shiny 71:8	66:25 67:7,10	97:14 102:13	86:18 89:15
series 68:9 76:17	<b>short</b> 44:15 61:19	67:11,13 71:1	105:5 106:3	94:23 97:22
87:8	71:7 110:23	significantly	114:3 115:18	105:17 126:13
serves 22:22	161:6	158:21	122:13 125:7,8	128:10,15 130:9
serving 11:22	shortchange	Sigstedt 11:24	128:13 131:17	134:2 170:24
set 18:10 67:25	50:14	14:5 26:14	131:21 132:14	171:19 178:6,17
73:17 80:6,25	shortcomings	56:15 59:8	132:21 133:5	sort 17:9 36:22
112:16 146:13	152:17 153:3	60:16 62:16	171:18 178:22	61:24 125:17
150:25 151:2,4	shortening 41:2	66:18 67:22	178:24 184:14	157:5 159:2
151:5,7,9,10	shortfall 67:18	69:2,17 70:5,12	184:20	168:9 180:9,11
154:18,19,21,23	73:23,24 74:1	70:22 75:11	<b>sit</b> 121:3	191:19
154:25 155:2	85:14 91:25	106:21 108:21	situation 71:11	<b>sound</b> 66:7 96:1
192:4 194:14	92:3	108:25 109:5	six 136:3 137:8	sounded 83:25
sets 67:16 82:8	Shorthand 194:3	114:24 115:5	sjohns@olsent	sounds 73:1
173:11	<b>show</b> 20:10 42:23	117:13 118:22	4:16	137:1
setting 30:11	66:23 87:23	121:2 122:14	skeleton 43:21	<b>source</b> 61:14
<b>settled</b> 172:16,19	107:6,12 119:20	123:4 124:20	skimming 78:23	sources 61:15
settlement 2:2	122:4 150:20	Sigstedt's 62:19	<b>Skyler</b> 4:13 49:14	Southwest 41:10
11:17 12:13,15	162:4	69:21,23 93:18	<b>slice</b> 66:3	138:3 169:25
12:24 18:25	showed 66:2	119:23 124:3,13	<b>slight</b> 35:2 141:21	181:14
36:20 39:13	showing 36:5	130:22	slightly 69:18	<b>spaced</b> 192:13
41:6 43:8 53:7	100:25 107:11	similar 45:15	142:1	spacing 192:14
55:19,23 56:2	161:13	50:16 56:17,20	<b>small</b> 101:3,10	Spanish 84:16
60:4 80:3,7,18	<b>shown</b> 148:19,20	59:7 61:14	161:2	spatial 58:16
80:19 81:4	148:21	62:18 75:11	<b>smoke</b> 73:20	61:14 110:13
103:4 138:12,15	shows 32:23	98:12 180:21	<b>Snake</b> 45:6 51:23	<b>speak</b> 84:15,16
138:23 139:1,8	35:16 36:4	Simmons 5:5	52:4,6 71:6,6	84:16 180:16
139:15,23	113:4 140:20	simply 65:8 66:5	95:6 109:9	SPEAKER 32:4
156:18 160:5	144:11 147:21	simultaneous	112:17 138:1	47:7 48:13,18
162:15 165:5,16	148:1 153:25	192:11	snow 146:1	49:17 79:10
182:6 188:11	161:11 165:6	simultaneously	Somach 5:5	95:20 171:16,19
seven 93:10	182:2	193:3	somebody 176:3	185:3 190:9
<b>shake</b> 40:21	<b>shut</b> 61:22 178:13	<b>single</b> 31:9 58:8	190:8	speaking 193:3
<b>shakes</b> 193:1	sic 69:21 89:17	58:17,18 68:9	soon 157:15	<b>speaks</b> 61:10
<b>share</b> 75:7 139:19	170:10	95:8 152:18	<b>Sophia</b> 11:23	specific 13:20
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 220
52 2 02 0 07 22	74.22	4.1. 62.10	20.25.42.7	
53:3 82:8 87:22	74:23	<b>stinking</b> 63:19 64:1 89:23	38:25 42:7	summarize 57:10
87:24,25 110:11	stands 187:24		submits 140:9	61:6 62:13,13
110:20 111:18	start 10:7 75:3	stipulate 10:21	145:13 160:14	66:15 70:3,8 72:21 146:24
111:25 113:16	97:16 150:14	28:8,9 52:11	160:19,20	
115:22 127:3,9	started 13:10,13	120:24 187:13	submitted 14:1	summary 28:6,20
150:12 175:12	43:19 71:16	stipulated 33:25	17:23 18:2,3,5	34:23 36:4
179:14	137:7 150:9	40:17 186:18	20:2 21:11,14	90:10,18 131:6
specifically 12:3	starting 35:23	189:7	22:7,18,21 23:6	140:7 159:2
40:4 117:19	67:2 122:15	stipulation	23:23 26:5	161:11
186:20 187:3	142:16	188:22	28:10 29:7	<b>summer</b> 170:9
specified 57:17	starts 35:24	<b>Stoddart</b> 6:20	30:22 31:8,13	sums 78:9
63:24 86:2,8	98:14	49:6 132:22,23	31:21,22 32:6	superfluous
87:19 118:3	state 1:2 19:18	133:1,17,17	34:22 35:18	28:25
119:10	50:25 113:21	164:7 165:14	38:23 39:15,24	supplemental
specify 85:20	119:16 128:17	169:17 184:4	40:4,7 42:9,11	183:7
104:12 139:23	128:18 133:15	Stoddart's	42:14 47:17	supplemented
specifying 110:14	stated 42:20 58:4	132:12 164:15	54:25 108:3,21	25:22
119:17	58:20 64:25	171:23	112:6 116:15	<b>supply</b> 60:5 71:11
speculating 89:9	65:3,11 70:17	<b>storage</b> 68:7,12	160:25 162:14	81:14
<b>speed</b> 50:15	76:18 78:18	<b>Street</b> 3:7 4:7	169:20 189:23	supplying 20:7
<b>spell</b> 51:1	82:3 83:7,12	stress 98:4,6,7	subsection 84:7	<b>support</b> 118:11
spending 50:16	84:11,12 85:8	<b>stretch</b> 107:12	85:1,2 103:21	164:5,7
<b>spent</b> 151:18	92:21 94:3	stricken 183:24	103:22 104:4	supports 160:21
<b>split</b> 191:24	104:1 176:16	strictly 135:9	127:18 128:4,4	suppose 71:13
spreadsheet	statement 92:23	167:7	128:10,16	supposed 150:12
140:11 141:13	95:16 118:12	strong 79:4	129:10,16	<b>Supreme</b> 192:15
158:18 160:20	150:2 152:3	struggle 120:6	subsequent 187:1	192:25
162:1,3 185:25	155:11	<b>stuff</b> 12:5 24:11	<b>subset</b> 56:19	<b>sure</b> 46:19 49:18
spreadsheets	statements	28:15,20,25	186:19	50:5 71:25
111:14 116:12	120:15 126:11	135:2 136:18	substantial 35:3	78:24 87:4,20
160:13,14,16	states 18:14	137:11 149:23	substitute 72:7	99:20 100:4
<b>spring</b> 71:20	19:17 82:23	160:11	subtracted 91:11	101:14,16,20
162:13,17,18	124:20 139:17	<b>sub</b> 81:20 82:22	suburbs 134:5	102:17 125:11
170:6 181:13	162:19	83:16	<b>sue</b> 167:4	126:6,8 136:21
springboards	<b>stating</b> 127:10	subcommittee	suggesting 85:7	145:6 146:1,7
57:23	statistically 67:11	152:14,20	suggestion 86:24	153:18 185:20
stability 153:21	68:10,17	subcontractor	87:2 92:8	186:10
stabilize 82:23	<b>status</b> 159:2	60:13,14	suggestions 80:2	surface 3:18
stack 189:24	<b>statute</b> 173:24	subheading 83:4	<b>suit</b> 79:4	11:19 35:12
<b>stand</b> 26:3 113:12	stay 125:10	<b>subject</b> 39:6 64:6	<b>suite</b> 3:7 5:7	36:10 59:9,16
144:11	Steering 20:2	135:13	66:10	59:24 60:20
standard 34:19	34:20	subjective 67:13	<b>suited</b> 95:17	61:18,21,23
81:4 191:8,9	step 83:16 125:24	<b>submit</b> 21:17	<b>sum</b> 76:15 98:19	62:7,22 63:4
standpoint 56:10	Stigland 187:20	23:21 34:20	98:25	75:19 76:19
			l	l

_				Page ZZI
77.11.70.5	4-1-1-26.5 41.7 0	162.10 171.21	4 40.21 00.5	122.12 150.5
77:11 78:5	<b>table</b> 36:5 41:7,8 68:12 140:7	163:19 171:21 178:9	<b>term</b> 40:21 98:5 98:11 139:20	132:12 159:5
81:12,14 85:14	161:13 162:3,5	talks 69:1 80:20	terms 45:18 81:5	164:6,9,15 172:22 180:21
86:1,6 87:13,18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			183:23 188:4
87:21 88:7,11	165:6,8 170:12	85:2,3	95:24 124:1	
88:15,18 89:2	181:24	tally 111:2	130:6	194:7
89:22 90:1,4 93:24 94:2 95:6	tables 109:17	Tango 51:3	testified 9:11	testimony's 20:22 thank 9:20 12:11
	116:8 122:2,4	target 36:6 41:15	10:22 17:19	13:16 14:19
97:4 101:17	122:15,19,20	41:23 140:12,18	23:16 49:23	
114:12 126:2	tablespoons 73:14	141:17 147:16	106:8,22 117:12	17:2 22:12 28:1
130:11 135:6,7		157:1 161:13	117:13 125:22	29:5 33:24
138:13 145:13	tabulated 107:11	162:4 170:12	125:25 126:2	34:14 37:17,21
154:7 161:17	111:23 148:7	172:11 179:16	133:3 142:7	40:12 42:3 43:1
surplus 109:5,25	<b>Taggart</b> 4:12	179:24	172:2 175:23	46:22 48:5,23
110:4 113:20	take 9:18 37:19	targets 124:12	180:14	50:20 52:16
119:20,24 124:8	38:3 39:10	182:3	testify 14:12	54:4,16,19
124:24 144:22	43:16 44:3 68:9	team 51:25	15:22 17:15	60:24 62:17
157:6 167:17	71:5,10 75:5	technical 11:22	19:25 20:25	77:14 79:17,18
168:14 172:23	98:7 105:15	55:6,20 56:9,12	27:16 33:18	86:22 95:4 96:9
173:1	129:15 132:7,7	64:18 68:19	49:8 105:9	96:10 102:25
surprise 20:25	142:13 143:1,2	73:1 74:20,23	182:16	105:5,6,23
sustain 29:1 43:2	168:15 186:12	75:2 103:21	testifying 16:4	111:12 114:1,3
46:7 58:1 59:11	189:24 191:4	104:13,17 108:9	45:24 80:24	114:10 121:12
94:14 121:8	takeaway 68:4,5	118:5,11 119:3	138:6,9	121:14,15
183:20	taken 15:15,23	120:16,17	testimonies 56:19	122:13 125:7,12
sustained 29:11	16:9 55:24 86:2	technically 58:7,9	testimony 6:3,8	125:16 126:21
46:22 47:20	105:19 113:7	58:13,14 68:21	6:14,20 15:9	128:13 130:4,5
95:4 163:20	132:18 152:24	73:3 74:24 75:1	16:24 17:17	131:16,17
183:18	176:21	76:25 78:2	18:21,24,24	132:14 133:5,9
<b>SWC</b> 3:10 20:2,3	takes 9:22 135:11	87:13 104:8,8	23:11 24:17,24	142:3 143:8
60:6 72:2 77:5	146:24 147:4	130:1 147:3	29:6 33:19 35:5	148:24 153:4
106:6 126:16	talk 26:7 27:7	technological	37:9 45:15	161:4 162:18
185:8 191:19	43:6 46:9 82:11	96:1	46:13 47:19	163:21,24
<b>switch</b> 43:5	117:7 128:18	tell 9:10 11:12	50:13 51:5	165:11 169:11
182:11	talked 14:4 23:17	49:23 106:7	52:18 53:11	172:9 183:21
sworn 9:10 49:22	58:1 67:16	133:2 136:4	55:15,18 56:15	184:9,10,16
106:7 133:2	105:8 110:8	154:10	60:3 69:4,8,24	185:7 186:5
synonyms 84:23	130:17 175:22	tells 129:17,25	77:19 80:5 82:4	189:10
system 50:3	176:20	157:22	84:10,22 97:8	<b>Thanks</b> 22:14
163:5	talking 9:25	temporal 58:15	102:25 103:6,15	114:2 131:19
T	15:17,21 23:21	61:15	103:20 104:12	133:7
	24:4,16 44:16	ten 83:21 137:25	106:19 108:25	theirs 144:20
T 6:2 7:2 8:1 51:3	87:25 89:13	191:4 192:12	109:14 112:9	theory 42:24
tab 36:4 161:11	99:14 100:22,24	tens 149:4	118:17 125:17	thereof 103:22
162:3	117:8 160:12	tentatively 192:4	125:18,20	<b>they'd</b> 89:9
	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

				Page 222
4h: ~ 62.10 92.7	169.6 160.2 21	4:No 20 71.17	90.24 02.10	4
thing 63:19 83:7 things 11:22,25	168:6 169:3,21 176:20 182:16	tillage 71:17 time 9:18,22	80:24 93:10 112:10 138:6	trepidation 33:17 tried 21:16
16:3,18 23:17	183:24 186:24	11:10 17:12	159:6 171:10	183:16
27:18 45:2,17	187:2 190:13,24	22:3 25:3,22,23	175:2,3 188:8	tries 20:25
50:15 52:14	191:13,25 192:2	26:16 27:2	,	152:10
	*		today's 22:23 told 19:13 20:15	
84:19,22 108:13	thinking 79:3	28:19 30:9		trigger 128:17
120:9 125:17	thinks 42:15	33:19 44:15	20:16 50:12	triggered 103:23
136:6,22 144:7	third 35:23 74:15	49:8 50:8 56:21	63:22,23 64:1	trouble 9:14
149:23 160:7	101:11	63:18 68:9	75:17 163:6	99:10 120:13
192:25	Thirdly 173:23	76:17 87:8 95:7	166:22	150:15
think 14:12,15	THOMAS 4:5	95:9,11 101:8	tool 87:11	true 77:3 194:6
16:20 31:25	<b>Thompson</b> 3:20	117:9 125:22	topics 118:8	trust 59:2 123:6
32:5,6 34:12	6:15,18,22 42:4	139:5 140:17,25	total 20:25 42:1	123:19 124:2
37:11 44:17	42:6 50:12,19	141:21,24	101:7 110:5	truth 9:11 49:23
46:16 47:1	105:20,23	145:23 146:7,13	123:13 139:15	106:7 133:3
48:14 53:14	106:13,16	152:24 157:8	139:19 153:10	<b>try</b> 19:19 24:15
54:6,10 60:25	111:13 113:25	159:1,19,22	153:13	27:1 50:10,15
61:13 62:21	116:25 120:24	164:4 174:24	totally 39:25	94:8 98:12
63:13 64:20	121:7 130:8,10	179:9 180:17	<b>totals</b> 101:7	149:25 153:2,22
65:6,9,12,21	130:10,14	183:19 191:1	<b>touch</b> 125:19	155:15 157:17
66:14 67:12,13	169:16,18 171:1	193:2	touched 97:8	168:8 177:20
67:17,19,24	171:19,23 172:6	timeframe 129:21	toy 71:9	<b>trying</b> 13:13 19:9
68:4 69:2,3,4,18	177:6 181:12,20	174:23	track 11:16 62:5	23:5 28:19
69:21 70:16,17	182:12,23	timeline 30:12	144:1	42:21 44:10
70:22,24 72:7	184:17,21	159:8	transcribe 190:20	50:14 71:3,24
72:16 79:3	186:16 187:8	times 142:2 146:5	transcribed 1:23	99:6 103:18
90:17 91:12,13	189:10	183:16	190:13,16 194:5	163:17 190:18
92:25 93:25	thoroughly 123:5	timing 61:15,20	TRANSCRIBE	Tschohl 5:12
94:18 98:2,9	thought 26:2	61:24	1:11	53:19,22 54:15
99:4 101:13,14	29:11 49:7	<b>tired</b> 94:7	transcript 1:11	80:11,14 96:23
101:19 105:8	85:12 86:17	titillating 105:18	11:2 190:8	189:1,4,16
106:18,21 111:1	92:1,23 95:23	<b>Title</b> 136:8	191:2 192:10,19	191:7,11,15
113:12,16	153:17 188:17	titled 83:5 156:6	192:19	192:17
115:19 118:5	thoughts 50:5	<b>TJ</b> 114:5 133:10	transcription	<b>TSCHOL</b> 22:13
119:7,11 120:9	thousands 149:4	179:11,13	191:5 194:7	tthompson@m
121:7 125:23	threat 177:23	tj@racineolson	transitive 58:15	3:23
126:20,22	three 41:2 42:14	4:9	<b>Travis</b> 3:20 42:6	Tuesday 61:19
127:13,16 132:1	43:24 67:16	today 9:15,21	50:12 106:16	turn 44:25 54:15
132:11 135:5,5	74:12 138:14	15:21,22 19:12	130:10 169:17	57:5 80:9 97:6
137:19 138:5	149:20 183:16	19:14,20 23:21	192:7	103:8 107:21
145:17 153:6	three-year 36:22	23:25 24:5,15	treasurer 137:13	112:4 114:15
159:8 164:10	41:1,17,24	25:6 28:9 31:18	178:4	117:22 123:8
166:19,20	164:22 165:1	39:25 50:19	trend 82:24	124:3,5,16
167:10 168:2,4	tied 64:17	55:1 75:14 80:5	trends 83:20	140:1 141:12
10,110,100,2,1	3-3-3-0 /			

				rage 223
156:4 158:16	19:3 29:8 45:20	<b>unique</b> 150:11	variation 57:2	131:23 150:4
160:1 170:8,11	48:19 83:15	University 51:21	varied 180:10	151.25 150.4
174:1 177:12,19	89:24 98:23	unknown 160:10	various 1:5 32:15	161:8 165:17
181:24	103:18,24 120:7	<b>upcoming</b> 157:23	165:19	166:15 184:2
turned 174:4,8	131:12,15 150:9	upcoming 157.25 updated 41:7	vastly 58:10	186:19 189:1
174:12,15	179:19 180:6,8	163:18	vasuy 38.10 verbal 164:11	wanted 101:20
174.12,13	understood 73:1	usage 11:16,18	version 52:5	106:18 107:6,12
turning 81:16	78:2 103:20	31:14 34:25	67:22 69:2	125:19,20 186:4
twice 59:17	123:23	41:4,19 44:18	versus 64:9 70:25	wants 94:8
Twin 1:14 3:18	undertakes	136:10 144:8,11	view 33:10 62:1	<b>warrant</b> 67:1,3
3:22	137:15	146:12 152:23	169:7,10 183:12	wasn't 20:20 27:5
two 114:16	undertook	157:9,11,12,14	viewed 163:1	66:8 67:14 75:2
115:21 149:20	164:20	158:5 162:2		
	unfounded 17:11	use 23:18 41:1	violates 73:5 Voir 6:5 14:23	90:23 94:12 107:13 115:4
161:2,6	UNIDENTIFIED			
two-thirds 45:22	32:4 47:7 48:13	43:10 85:13	<b>volume</b> 56:5 77:20 80:12	waste 28:19 173:5
type 11:6 168:18		87:16 93:23		
typically 104:20	48:18 49:17	94:2 95:20	90:8 110:19	wasting 154:11
U	79:10 95:20	114:24 142:15	146:13,15	water 1:1,5,5,20
uh-huh 11:15	171:16,19 185:3	144:23 145:8,10	volumes 57:18	3:3,5,18 4:3,11
40:16 52:23	190:9	157:5,22 163:3	112:1 147:1	4:17 5:4 9:14
115:3	uniform 43:9	165:1 177:22	148:8	10:9,18 11:19
ultimate 108:18	unintelligible	user 61:19 110:23	volunteering	35:12 36:10
ultimately 82:24	9:17,21,24,25	110:24 174:11	192:5	45:7,8,9 49:15
109:20 120:21	10:25 12:7 14:2	174:12 177:12	$\mathbf{W}$	49:22 51:8
uncertainty	18:16 21:5	177:25 180:21	$\overline{\mathbf{W}}$ 3:12 6:2	52:22 56:5 59:9
35:21	22:25 23:3 24:1	users 38:1 93:24	wait 84:3 86:15	59:16,24,24
undefined 85:10	25:24 27:19	93:24 150:3	91:17 99:24	60:5,20 61:13
underperforma	30:18 47:7	152:22 162:14	126:13 170:21	61:19,19,21,23
66:23 70:19	48:14,15 49:17	176:3 180:15,19	waited 164:16	62:5,22 63:4,16
understand 11:1	51:9 52:6,7	usual 192:14	waiting 145:23	71:6,7,10,11,13
11:11 12:13,25	53:17 54:14,16	usually 50:3	160:10	71:19 72:1
14:22 23:1	59:18 69:25	174:24	walk 17:11	73:10,23 75:19
25:20 27:24	74:13 80:11,14	utilize 166:11	want 11:6 16:15	76:14,19 77:2,4
33:16 37:11	84:2,21 85:23	utilized 115:25	17:15 23:8	77:10,11,16,24
47:3 64:5 73:2	96:13,23 127:4	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	27:13,14 28:8	78:2,6,7,12,15
77:15 97:20	159:23 162:20	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ 103:22	28:13,13,14	78:19 81:12,14
99:7 101:14	163:23 170:17	valid 65:10 67:19	39:19 53:15	81:14 85:2,14
114:24 115:5	172:1,4 173:19	Valley 45:7 138:1	57:7 68:14 75:5	86:1,6 87:13,18
119:19,23 120:3	174:2 175:6	value 98:3,8,18	91:15 98:12	87:21,21 88:8
128:8 134:9	181:22 186:13	values 150:21	99:13 103:1,6	88:11,11,15,18
140:15,23	190:10 192:7,8	variables 112:25	103:19 112:4	89:2,22 90:1,4 93:23,24 94:1,2
147:17 178:16	192:20,21	119:8	114:10 121:16	95:25,24 94:1,2
understanding	Unintelligible] 21:3	variances 152:19	121:20 129:15	
	21.3	, 411411000 102.17	121.20 127.13	98:6,7 101:17
	•	•	1	1

				raye 224
102:6,8 108:4	132:16 190:15	179:13	133:9 134:1	11:15 12:3,21
110:2 113:22	190:16	weren't 67:4	143:1,9 170:22	13:1 19:8 25:5
110.2 113.22	we're 9:14 20:9	108:9,13 118:15	194:14	25:21 30:6
115:24 116:13	24:4 25:6 26:7	186:20	wkf@pmt.org	34:22 35:11,18
116:20 117:6,7	27:3,7,11 33:2,5	west 3:21 133:22	3:15	37:7 39:13 41:8
117:14,19 126:2	42:16 45:10,11	wet 59:24 77:2,4	word 65:18,19,21	44:5 46:5 53:23
130:11 134:22	46:14 47:20	78:7 145:7	65:25 72:7 98:4	53:23 58:6 61:7
134:24 135:1,3	50:12,16 53:13	168:19	103:17,17 161:9	64:12 68:24
135:6,8,10,11	63:22,22 64:1	wettest 168:21	161:21 190:24	71:2,5 72:4,23
135:17,23 136:1	69:7 70:2 76:5	wheels 63:8	190:24	73:10 80:22
136:10 138:10	87:25 100:22	WHEREOF	words 63:9 121:4	83:16 84:20
138:13 139:5	101:16 105:9	194:14	124:18	89:24 91:19
142:18 143:6,15	107:11 117:7	white 62:22	work 10:9 11:6,7	92:5 98:21,22
144:8,11 145:13	149:13,15 160:8	whoa 99:24,24	11:13,13 12:1,3	99:3 105:17
147:23 148:3,13	171:21 185:21	whod 33.24,24 why'd 177:19	12:8,12 13:9	107:1 116:13
152:11,23 153:4	186:11 192:18	widely 68:8	51:25 56:21	126:10 127:6,8
153:17 154:7	we've 26:4 27:12	wife 51:9	61:1 92:14,18	127:23 129:10
155:22 157:11	47:1 68:20	Wildman 21:20	97:25 136:19	132:15 164:15
157:11,14,22	79:23 138:12	21:22 22:8 26:1	153:16 165:19	183:22 184:2
161:17 165:21	149:21 150:7	26:2 164:17	190:16	187:19 192:22
165:25 166:2	160:12 178:15	Wildman's 22:8	workbook 14:9	year 17:25 18:25
168:13 172:23	186:1,9 190:12	26:10 28:21	185:25	21:24 22:7
173:21 178:14	191:24	William 6:20	workbooks 14:5	23:16 31:9 44:9
179:3,23 183:13	weeks 42:15	133:1,17	worked 50:9	44:10 56:7 58:8
188:5	174:9	willing 17:11	51:20 136:19	58:18 59:4,15
way 20:10 21:16	weigh 73:14	winter 145:18,22	working 11:22	60:19,20 68:11
33:22 37:12	weight 33:15,20	wish 22:10 190:8	51:24 153:1	76:13,13,16,23
40:17 45:22	40:10 73:14,16	wishes 186:15	181:10,17	77:3,17 78:7,8
50:14 61:1,23	73:18	witness 9:4 14:12	worry 9:19	78:10,22 85:13
67:19,20 71:23	weighted 142:17	33:14,18 37:22	worse 93:10	87:6,6,8 89:10
87:12 95:15	142:21 143:6	47:2 48:24 49:4	wouldn't 158:14	89:11 90:1,2
97:20 105:1	well's 111:2	49:5,7,21 54:6	173:5,7	93:2 94:7 97:15
112:22 117:11	well-by-well	54:11,14,19	written 63:20	98:14,14,14
135:23 146:14	111:2	77:15 79:16	92:16 126:11	100:10 101:3,9
155:20 163:1	wells 63:25 64:2,9	80:13,15 84:4	wrong 27:2 54:6	101:10,11,18,18
165:4	64:17 110:11,20	84:20 86:19	85:17,17	101:24 102:2,7
ways 20:19 28:14	111:15,18	91:1,19 94:12	wrote 15:19	104:14 112:18
97:25 144:17	135:10 142:15	95:22 100:1	<b>T</b> 7	113:5,22 115:2
153:22	146:17,21	105:6,7,10,21	$\frac{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot$	115:7 126:1,2
<b>we'll</b> 9:20,23 26:3	149:24 167:8,16	106:6 111:9,11	<b>X</b> 6:1 7:1,2 8:1	126:15 129:17
37:12 49:5 50:6	175:24	114:2 115:19	192:10	144:8,16,22
52:11 54:1 61:1	went 16:16 35:1	117:10 127:24	Y	145:25 146:14
61:1 90:22	68:12 92:21	128:1 131:19,21	yeah 10:6,16 11:3	146:16,19 147:9
121:11 132:13	108:7,12 169:19	131:22 132:21	, <b>can</b> 10.0,10 11.5	147:23 148:3
			l	

149:3,12 153:13       106:1,3 109:1       1155 5:7       7:16,17,18,19       2015 12:15 13:14         157:20 160:14       2       12 123:11       7:20,21,22,23       138:16 149:3         160:16,19 163:5       163:8 167:8,18       168:23 179:22       12 123:11       7:24,25 8:4       2016 12:13 13:15         168:23 179:22       180:13,17       13 67:9 122:16       13 81:11       15:16,24 43:21         157:12,14 162:8       13 (68:13)       13 (68:15)       14 (68:15)       14 (68:15)       <
154:5 157:8,15 157:20 160:14 160:16,19 163:5 163:8 167:8,18 168:23 179:22 180:13,17 year's 41:4 89:6 157:12,14 162:8 yearly 115:1 years 35:2,24 36:3,11 41:2 50:2 58:9 59:5 64:7 67:5 70:21 71:22 83:21 72:22,23 91:19 138:16 149:3 7:20,21,22,23 7:24,25 8:4 1913 143:17 1997 71:20 118:1 1 1997 71:20 118:1 1997 71:20 118:1 1997 71:20 118:1 1997 71:20 118:1 1997 71:20 118:1 1997 71:20 118:1 1997 71:20 118:1 1997 71:20 118:1 1997 71:20 118:1 1997 71:20 118:1 1997 71:20 118:1 1997 71:20 118:1 1997 71:20 118:1 1997 71:20 118:1 1997 71:20 118:1 1997 71:20 118:1 1997 71:20 118:1 19:1 19:20 118:1 19:1 19:20 118:1 19:1 19:20 118:1 19:1 19:20 118:1 19:1 19:20 118:1 19:1 19:20 118:1 19:1 19:20 118:1 19:1 19:20 118:1 19:1 19:20 118:1 19:1 19:20 118:1 19:1 1
157:20 160:14   160:16,19 163:5   163:8 167:8,18   168:23 179:22   180:13,17   157:12,14 162:8   161:21 162:8   163:3,11 41:2   162:3 5:23   163:3,11 41:2   164:7 67:5 70:21   17:22 83:21   17:22 83:21   17:22 83:21   180:13,10   180:13   1997 71:20   157:24,25 8:4   1997 71:20   146:5 123:8   1997 71:20   146:5 123:8   1997 71:20   146:5 123:8   1200 3:13   1997 71:20   146:5 123:8   1200 3:13   1997 71:20   144:9 53:7 83:5   144:9 53:7 83:5   144:9 53:7 83:5   144:9 53:7 83:5   144:9 53:7 83:5   144:9 53:7 83:5   145:9 122:16   130:12 112:23   130:13 124:9,25
160:16,19 163:5         163:8 167:8,18       168:23 179:22       180:13,17       12.35 141:8       1997 71:20       44:9 53:7 83:5         180:13,17       183:22:21 29:7       183:67:9 122:16       184:11       184:21       185:16,24 43:21       44:9 53:7 83:5         180:44:4 89:6       181:2 2:18 25:13       181:11       181:12:23       181:21:159:20       181:8,15,18         181:2 35:23       181:2 35:23       181:2 35:23       181:7 47:18,22       2       2       181:3 12:6       181:10:12 112:23         131 8:7 39:10       41:7 47:18,22       48:8 164:1       38:3,15 57:15       140:6 141:20       148:7 150:24       151:16 154:16       155:6 156:18         182 7 93:10       72:22,23 91:19       146:5 123:8       181:24 184:18       157:2 158:21       157:2 158:21
163:8 167:8,18 168:23 179:22 180:13,17         year's 41:4 89:6 157:12,14 162:8 yearly 115:1 years 35:2,24 36:3,11 41:2 50:2 58:9 59:5 64:7 67:5 70:21 71:22 83:21 71:22 83:21 71:22 83:21 89:7 03:10       123:3 141:8 1997 71:20 14:9 53:7 83:5 1200 3:13 120:8 122:16 13.084 154:25
168:23 179:22         180:13,17         year's 41:4 89:6         157:12,14 162:8       1         yearly 115:1       30:21,24,25         36:3,11 41:2       31:12 35:23         50:2 58:9 59:5       31:12 35:23         64:7 67:5 70:21       54:17 68:12         71:22 83:21       69:1 72:16,19         72:22,23 91:19            125 6:17         13 67:9 122:16       1st 22:18 25:13       42:9,12 159:20       113:8,15,18         130 6:18       123:13 124:9,25         131 8:7 39:10       41:7 47:18,22       48:8 164:1       107:21 114:12       140:6 141:20         148:7 150:24       155:6 156:18         157:2158:21
180:13,17       year's 41:4 89:6     1       157:12,14 162:8     1       yearly 115:1     30:21,24,25       36:3,11 41:2     31:12 35:23       50:2 58:9 59:5     53:14,24 54:3,7       54:17 68:12     48:8 164:1       71:22 83:21     69:1 72:16,19       72:22,23 91:19     72:22,23 91:19       13 67:9 122:16     1st 22:18 25:13       13 67:9 122:16     42:9,12 159:20       13 67:9 122:16     13.084 154:25       13 67:9 122:16     42:9,12 159:20       13 67:9 122:16     42:9,12 159:20       13 67:9 122:16     42:9,12 159:20       13 67:9 122:16     42:9,12 159:20       13 67:9 122:16     42:9,12 159:20       13 67:9 122:16     42:9,12 159:20       13 67:9 122:16     42:9,12 159:20       13 67:9 122:16     42:9,12 159:20       13 68:4     120:8 122:6       12 12:3 13:12:13:12:13:13:14:9,25       13 67:9 122:16     42:9,12 159:20       13 8:7 39:10     41:7 47:18,22       48:8 164:1     165:6 189:22       13 6:21     155:6 156:18       157:2 158:21
180:13,17       year's 41:4 89:6     157:12,14 162:8       yearly 115:1     30:21,24,25       years 35:2,24     31:12 35:23       36:3,11 41:2     31:12 35:23       50:2 58:9 59:5     54:17 68:12       64:7 67:5 70:21     69:1 72:16,19       71:22 83:21     72:22,23 91:19       13 67:9 122:10     18t 22:18 23:13       42:9,12 159:20     113:8,15,18       42:9,12 159:20     113:8,15,18       42:9,12 159:20     123:13 124:9,25       38:3,15 57:15     140:6 141:20       10:12 112:25       13.084 154:25       13.084 154:25       13.2 68:4
157:12,14 162:8       yearly 115:1     118:3 22:21 29:7       years 35:2,24     30:21,24,25       36:3,11 41:2     31:12 35:23       50:2 58:9 59:5     53:14,24 54:3,7       54:17 68:12     48:8 164:1       71:22 83:21     69:1 72:16,19       72:22,23 91:19     72:22,23 91:19       13.2 68:4       130 6:18       2       2       2       2       38:3,15 57:15       107:21 114:12       17:17 124:7       161:20 170:12       155:6 156:18       157:2 158:21
yearly 115:1     30:21,24,25     130 6:18       years 35:2,24     36:3,11 41:2     31:12 35:23     33:14,24 54:3,7     33:14,24 54:3,7     33:14,24 54:3,7     41:7 47:18,22     38:3,15 57:15     140:6 141:20       64:7 67:5 70:21     48:8 164:1     165:6 189:22     17:17 124:7     151:16 154:16       71:22 83:21     72:22,23 91:19     72:22,23 91:19     146:5 123:8     181:24 184:18     157:2 158:21
yeary 113.1     30:21,24,25     131 8:7 39:10       36:3,11 41:2     31:12 35:23     41:7 47:18,22       50:2 58:9 59:5     53:14,24 54:3,7     48:8 164:1       64:7 67:5 70:21     69:1 72:16,19     165:6 189:22       71:22 83:21     72:22,23 91:19       72:22,23 91:19     130 0.18       131 8:7 39:10     38:3,15 57:15       48:8 164:1     107:21 114:12       17:17 124:7     151:16 154:16       155:6 156:18       157:2 158:21
31:12 35:23 50:2 58:9 59:5 64:7 67:5 70:21 71:22 83:21 98:7 93:10 31:12 35:23 53:14,24 54:3,7 54:17 68:12 69:1 72:16,19 72:22,23 91:19  31:12 35:23 41:7 47:18,22 48:8 164:1 165:6 189:22 133 6:21 140:6 141:20 148:7 150:24 151:16 154:16 155:6 156:18
50:2 58:9 59:5 64:7 67:5 70:21 71:22 83:21 88:7 03:10 53:14,24 54:3,7 54:17 68:12 69:1 72:16,19 72:22,23 91:19 72:22,23 91:19 63:14,24 54:3,7 54:8 164:1 165:6 189:22 133 6:21 161:20 170:12 181:24 184:18 157:2 158:21
54:17 68:12 64:7 67:5 70:21 71:22 83:21 69:1 72:16,19 72:22,23 91:19  54:17 68:12 69:1 72:16,19 72:22,23 91:19  165:6 189:22 117:17 124:7 161:20 170:12 181:24 184:18  157:2 158:21
71:22 83:21 69:1 72:16,19 72:22,23 91:19 133 6:21 161:20 170:12 155:6 156:18 157:2 159:21
72:22,23 91:19 133 0.21 181.24 184.18 157.2 159.21
1 00.7 (12.11) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
92.7 97.3 161.7 140.3 123.0
100.21 100.25
107:12 109:21   1(a) 103:16.25   192:12,18   190:3,4   187:6
109:24 113:20   1 000 143:13   141 48:14,16   2(c)3(m) 129.7   2016-2021 118:1
115:11 116:4,10   178:12   142 9:25 39:9   2,195,103 123.14   2017 141:12,20
119:20 129:18
136:3 137:8
143:4 144:18
145:7,8,10   1800 153:6   143 121:22 124:4   20 70:21 97:17   164:25 170:6
148:8 149:8 1.0 51:21 189:21 174.9 100.12 181:13
152:12 153:15   1 151:21   15 1:19   134:25   2018 68:12 97:16
153:16,20   1.2 52:1   154,000 151:15   2000 97:17   98:14 99:17
155:22,25 157:6   1 85 152·21   16 187:8,9   2001 51:20,20   100:5 101:4
157:17 161:11   10 6·4 57·9 18   163 3:21   85:1 145:18   148:16 151:3
161:19 162:4 60:9 93:20 169 6:22 2003 170.9 154:20 167:16
163:2 164:23   101·25 110·23   17 142:20 143:5   2009 135:21   181:9
168:19,21   110·25 178·8   143:14 157:2   <b>201</b> 4:7   <b>2019</b> 68:12 97:17
172:13,23,25   100 142:24   17,101 151:3   2010 51:20   148:18 151:4
173:6 174:7,9   102 6:12   177 6:23   123:10 141:3,22   154:22 179:12
176:25   105 12:15   179 6:24   142:13 143:12   185:24
<b>Yep</b> 14:3 47:2 <b>106</b> 6:15 <b>18,000</b> 184:5 <b>14/:18,24</b> 148:4 <b>2020</b> 14:9 100:9
54:3 126:24   108 586 123:22   <b>18,605</b> 176:7,12   149:5   109:6 124:9,25
128:4   11.76.7 8 121.25   182:25   <b>2011</b> 31:20   148:19 151:6
<b>yes-or-no</b> 84:5   122.8 0 11 15   <b>181</b> 6:25   <b>2012</b> 104.25   154:24
yesterday 11:24   11.626 155.2   184 100:15   2014 125.10   2021 11:2 16:16
14:4 27:25 35:1 1105.7   <b>1849</b> 133:18   141.3,22 142.13   17:18,22 18:1,5
48:15 59:8   112 000 155:7   <b>185</b> 7:5   143:12 147:16   18:20 21:7
63:15 64:12   114.6:16   189 /:/,8,9,10,11   147.24 146.4   23:22 26:12
81:25 82:4 7:12,13,14,15 149:5 164:23 30:5,15 31:2,6

				Page 226
21 11 17 45 12	110 16 10 22	70.11	107 14 100 0 10	100 4 4 0 10 16
31:11,17 45:12	110:16,19,23	78:11	127:14 129:9,10	128:4,4,9,10,16
45:16 61:22	111:22 112:13	<b>21,000</b> 90:1	129:17 142:20	<b>5,204</b> 91:22,24
109:15,20 148:7	113:6,22 114:22	<b>21,1</b> 88:23,23	143:5,14,17	<b>5,382</b> 154:23
148:21 149:8	116:2 118:2,15	<b>21,100</b> 88:24	<b>3(a)</b> 139:14	<b>5,600</b> 99:9
151:8 155:1,6	119:7,17,21	<b>21,134</b> 59:14	<b>3(e)</b> 103:13,22	<b>5,881</b> 151:8
158:21 172:10	122:6 123:13	78:11	104:1,2 127:19	<b>50</b> 6:9 74:4
172:14,17	126:1,2,4,12,15	<b>21,341</b> 91:7	127:25 128:6,9	<b>500</b> 7:7 12:15
188:14	126:19 130:24	<b>22</b> 41:21 42:7	128:10,11,17	80:9,18 103:3,8
<b>2021-2022</b> 67:23	131:10 151:9	65:15 160:6,6	<b>3.1</b> 122:2	126:25 127:14
<b>2022</b> 13:21,23	156:12,23 158:3	162:13 181:23	<b>30</b> 103:9	127:21,22
15:2,15,24	158:3,17,25	183:13 187:7,8	<b>30,000</b> 68:13	138:17 188:10
16:10,23 17:4	159:11 160:25	187:9	<b>300</b> 7:6 53:20,25	189:16,17
18:3,7,13,14	161:7,16,18	<b>22,000</b> 180:1	54:3,10 55:4	<b>500s</b> 9:23
19:14,19 20:1	162:2 164:1	<b>22,574</b> 148:14	57:6 76:6 79:7	<b>501</b> 7:8 12:17
21:1,7,14 22:17	165:17 166:18	<b>23</b> 40:4 97:12	79:14 189:21	126:25 127:20
22:19,21 23:6	167:6,18,25	159:16 162:17	<b>300,000</b> 68:16	138:18
23:14,22,24	169:4,5,22	162:18 171:17	<b>3005</b> 4:14	<b>502</b> 7:9 12:16,18
24:5 25:13,14	171:21 172:10	181:22 182:24	<b>313,586</b> 123:14	126:25 129:5
25:23 26:5,6,8,9	172:14,20	<b>24</b> 97:12	<b>32,193</b> 150:25	138:19
26:13 27:9,13	175:24 176:7,11	<b>240</b> 25:25 74:11	<b>32,283</b> 154:21	<b>503</b> 7:10 12:19
27:14,17 28:9	177:2,4 184:5	74:13,16 100:5	<b>322</b> 3:7	188:10 189:16
29:7,13 30:17	187:6,7 188:13	100:9 156:19	<b>34</b> 6:6	189:17
30:19,22 31:14	<b>2023</b> 13:21 14:1	162:21 173:6	<b>35</b> 4:20	<b>510</b> 7:11 156:4
31:18 32:1,13	18:3,8 21:13,16	<b>240,000</b> 73:21,21	<b>35,000</b> 179:25	188:12 189:16
33:12 34:1 35:3	21:22 28:11	107:18 131:13	<b>36,838</b> 148:21	189:17
35:9,17,18 36:9	29:7,14 30:21	139:16,24	<b>36,856</b> 148:23,24	<b>512</b> 7:12 170:8
36:11 37:1	30:22 31:12,12	140:16 141:7		181:20 188:14
38:10,12 40:3	31:13,19 32:7	<b>24th</b> 30:25	4	189:16,17
41:6 45:22 46:2	34:4 42:9 63:1	<b>25th</b> 194:15	<b>4</b> 16:1,1 62:21	<b>517</b> 7:13 187:11
47:10 48:1	67:24 93:6,16	<b>26,000</b> 101:9	68:16 73:13	187:14 189:4,11
57:16 59:10,16	164:19 171:14	<b>26,213</b> 151:7	75:10 124:16	<b>518</b> 140:2 148:15
59:21,23,24	171:20 172:7	<b>29</b> 67:7,10	128:22 131:6	151:1 154:18
60:1 61:11	183:3 187:6	<b>2nd</b> 3:21 21:16	190:24	<b>519</b> 7:14 189:4,11
70:19 76:21,24	188:15	32:7 42:10	<b>40</b> 50:2 71:22	<b>52,922</b> 148:18
77:1,21 78:13	<b>2024</b> 1:19 57:17	170:9 188:15	<b>41,244</b> 148:20	<b>520</b> 7:15 189:4,11
88:4,7,10,12,14	57:20 110:25	170.7 100.13	<b>44,000</b> 179:25	<b>521</b> 141:12
88:16,17,19,20	168:1,7 187:6	3	<b>45</b> 133:22	148:16 151:3
88:25 89:7	194:15	<b>3</b> 41:5 67:8,21	<b>4525</b> 194:23	153:25 154:20
90:14 92:10,15	<b>2026</b> 93:3,17	70:3,10 72:13	<b>48</b> 8:7	<b>522</b> 7:16 189:4,11
94:4 95:19	98:15	81:16,18 83:5		<b>523</b> 7:17 189:5,11
97:12 98:15	<b>2028</b> 194:25	83:16 84:8 85:3	5	<b>524</b> 148:17 151:4
99:18 100:12,18	<b>2026</b> 194.23 <b>2035</b> 61:23	93:5 99:8,9	<b>5</b> 66:16,20 69:5,8	<b>525</b> 7:18 189:5,11
107:2,19 108:11	<b>2035</b> 61:23 <b>21</b> 21:13 27:15	101:4,24 108:14	69:12 103:21	<b>526</b> 7:18 189:3,11 <b>526</b> 7:19 154:22
109:21 110:11	59:14 60:1	114:15 124:5	104:4 127:18	189:5,11
109.41 110.11	J7.14 UU.1	11 12		107.3,11
	•	•	•	•

			Page 227
<b>537</b> 149,10 151.6	<b>67 979</b> 1/10.16	 	
<b>527</b> 148:19 151:6	<b>67,878</b> 148:16		
154:23 179:10 179:10 185:24	<b>69,555</b> 148:17		
186:4	7		
<b>528</b> 7:20 186:3	<b>7</b> 70:4,14 72:13		
	92:20 93:7		
189:5,12	<b>7,647</b> 151:10		
<b>529</b> 7:21 189:5,12	<b>702</b> 52:17		
<b>530</b> 14:8 148:20	<b>72,000</b> 154:4		
151:8 154:25	<b>72,383</b> 154:1,19		
<b>530s</b> 9:24	<b>74</b> 136:8		
<b>531</b> 7:22 189:5,12	<b>748</b> 1:24 194:2,21		
<b>532</b> 7:23 189:5,12	<b>79</b> 6:10 7:6		
<b>533</b> 148:22 151:9	790.10 7.0		
155:2	8		
<b>534</b> 7:24 189:5,12	8 61:5,7 62:10		
<b>535</b> 7:25 34:7,9	170:11 181:25		
34:10 35:22	<b>8-2-23</b> 32:21		
38:12 160:1	<b>8-point</b> 192:13		
<b>536</b> 35:15 151:11	<b>8.8</b> 68:1		
158:16	<b>80</b> 74:15		
<b>537</b> 8:4 187:11,14	<b>800</b> 133:18		
189:5,12	<b>80302</b> 5:8		
<b>54,178</b> 140:21	<b>83201</b> 4:8		
<b>54,373</b> 141:19	<b>83205</b> 194:24		
142:4,9 153:11	<b>83301</b> 3:22		
<b>545</b> 18:15	<b>83318-0248</b> 3:14		
<b>56,000</b> 98:18,24	<b>83403</b> 4:15		
99:4,17,19	<b>83440</b> 4:21		
100:6,13,19	<b>83720-0098</b> 3:8		
<b>58,878</b> 151:2 153:8	<b>8th</b> 36:9 156:12		
<b>589</b> 154:17	156:22 159:11		
309 134.17	161:16		
6			
6 62:12,18 66:13	9		
68:11 69:9,15	<b>9</b> 57:8,15 60:9		
97:6,7 123:12	68:1 122:1,12		
123:21 131:8,9	<b>91</b> 83:1		
<b>6,833</b> 151:5	<b>92</b> 17:18		
<b>60</b> 170:18	<b>97</b> 6:11		
<b>63,530</b> 170:20	<b>9th</b> 181:9		
<b>63,533</b> 171:5			
182:4			
<b>648</b> 3:7			