

**BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES  
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO**

IN THE MATTER OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF  
WATER TO VARIOUS WATER RIGHTS HELD  
BY AND FOR THE BENEFIT OF A&B  
IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AMERICAN FALLS  
RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2, BURLEY  
IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MILNER IRRIGATION  
DISTRICT, MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT,  
NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY, AND TWIN  
FALLS CANAL COMPANY

IN THE MATTER OF IGWA'S SETTLEMENT  
AGREEMENT MITIGATION PLAN

Docket No. CM-MP-2016-001

**FINAL ORDER APPROVING  
STIPULATED MITIGATION  
PLAN**

The Director ("Director") of the Idaho Department of Water Resources ("Department") finds, concludes and orders as follows:

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. On March 9, 2016, the Idaho Ground Water Appropriator's Inc. ("IGWA"), and A&B Irrigation District, American Falls Reservoir District No. 2, Burley Irrigation District, Milner Irrigation District, Minidoka Irrigation District, North Side Canal Company, and Twin Falls Canal Company (collectively referred to herein as "Surface Water Coalition" or "SWC"), submitted to the Department the *Surface Water Coalition's and IGWA's Stipulated Mitigation Plan and Request for Order* ("Request for Order").
2. Attached to the Request for Order as Exhibits B and C respectively are the *Settlement Agreement Entered Into June 30, 2015, Between Participating Members of the Surface Water Coalition and Participating Members of the Idaho Ground Water Appropriator's, Inc.* and the *Addendum to Settlement Agreement* (collectively the "SWC-IGWA Settlement Agreement"). Attached to the Request for Order as Exhibit D is the October 7, 2015, *Agreement* between A&B Irrigation District and the IGWA members who entered into the SWC-IGWA Settlement Agreement (the "A&B-IGWA Agreement"). The SWC and IGWA submitted the SWC-IGWA Settlement Agreement and the A&B-IGWA Agreement (collectively, the "Mitigation Plan") as a stipulated mitigation plan in response to the SWC delivery call. *Request for Order* at 3.

3. The Department published notice of the Mitigation Plan in the Idaho Mountain Express and Mountain Home News on March 16<sup>th</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2016; and the Times News, Post Register, Idaho State Journal, and Idaho Statesman on March 17<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup>, 2016.

4. The SWC “stipulates that the mitigation provided by participating IGWA members under the [Mitigation Plan] is, provided the [Mitigation Plan is] implemented, sufficient to mitigate for any material injury caused by the groundwater users who belong to, and are in good standing with, a participating IGWA member.” *Request for Order* at 3. The SWC and IGWA agree that “[n]o ground water user participating in the [Mitigation Plan] will be subject to a delivery call by the SWC members as long as the provisions of the [Mitigation Plan] are being implemented.” *Mitigation Plan* at Exhibit B, p. 5.

5. Through the Mitigation Plan, the SWC and IGWA members agree to: (a) a total ground water diversion reduction of 240,000 acre-feet annually, (b) annual delivery of 50,000 acre-feet “of storage water through private lease(s) of water from the Upper Snake Reservoir system, delivered to the SWC 21 days after the date of allocation,” (c) IGWA using “its best efforts to continue existing conversions in Water Districts 130 and 140,” (d) ground water users not irrigating sooner than April 1 or later than October 31, (e) installation of approved closed conduit flow meters on all remaining unmeasured and power consumption coefficient measured ground water diversions by the beginning of the 2018 irrigation season, (f) establishment of a ground water level goal and benchmarks to “[s]tabilize and ultimately reverse the trend of declining ground water levels,” (g) development of a method “to measure reach gain trends in the Blackfoot to Milner reach,” (h) contributions by the SWC and IGWA to the State sponsored managed recharge program, (i) support by the SWC and IGWA of “NRCS funded permanent water conservation programs,” (j) IGWA undertaking “additional targeted ground water to surface water conversions and/or fallow land projects above American Falls,” and (k) “[i]f any of the benchmarks or ground water level goal is not met, additional recharge, consumptive use reductions, or other measures as recommended by” a steering committee established by the SWC and IGWA. *Mitigation Plan* at Exhibit B, p. 2-5.

6. On April 4, 2016, the City of Pocatello (“Pocatello”) and the City of Idaho Falls (“Idaho Falls”) protested the Mitigation Plan.

7. On April 22, 2016, Pocatello and Idaho Falls filed a *Motion for Order Approving Stipulation to Conditionally Withdraw Protests* (“Motion”). Pocatello and Idaho Falls agreed to withdraw their protests to the Mitigation Plan if the Director includes provisions in an order approving the Mitigation Plan: (a) clarifying that the parties to the Mitigation Plan are responsible for the ongoing activities and ground water level goal and benchmarks identified in the Mitigation Plan, and (b) that approval of the plan does not create a ground water management area pursuant to Idaho Code § 42-233b.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Idaho Code § 42-602 authorizes the Director to supervise water distribution within water districts:

The director of the department of water resources shall have direction and control of the distribution of water from all natural water sources within a water district to the canals, ditches, pumps and other facilities diverting therefrom. Distribution of water within water districts created pursuant to section 42-604, Idaho Code, shall be accomplished by watermasters as provided in this chapter and supervised by the director. The director of the department of water resources shall distribute water in water districts in accordance with the prior appropriation doctrine. The provisions of chapter 6, title 42, Idaho Code, shall apply only to distribution of water within a water district.

2. Idaho Code § 42-1805(8) authorizes the Director to “promulgate, adopt, modify, repeal and enforce rules implementing or effectuating the powers and duties of the department.”

3. Idaho Code § 42-603 grants the Director authority to adopt rules governing water distribution.

4. Pursuant to Chapter 52, Title 67, Idaho Code, and Sections 42-603 & 42-1805(8), Idaho Code, the Department promulgated the Rules for Conjunctive Management of Surface and Ground Water Resources (“CM Rules”), effective October 7, 1994. IDAPA 37.03.11.000-001.

5. The CM Rules “prescribe procedures for responding to a delivery call made by the holder of a senior-priority surface or ground water right against the holder of a junior-priority ground water right in an area having a common ground water supply.” IDAPA 37.03.11.001.

6. CM Rule 42.02 states: “The holder of a senior-priority surface or ground water right will be prevented from making a delivery call for curtailment of pumping of any well used by the holder of a junior-priority ground water right where use of water under the junior-priority right is covered by an approved and effectively operating mitigation plan.” IDAPA 37.03.11.042.02.

7. CM Rule 43.03 establishes factors the Director may consider “in determining whether a proposed mitigation plan will prevent injury to senior rights.” IDAPA 37.03.11.043.03(a-o).

8. CM Rule 43.03(o) states: “Whether the petitioners and respondents have entered into an agreement on an acceptable mitigation plan even though such plan may not otherwise be fully in compliance with these provisions.” IDAPA 37.03.11.043.03(o).

9. The SWC and IGWA “have entered into an agreement on [a] . . . mitigation plan” in accordance with CM Rule 43.03(o). The SWC “stipulates that the mitigation provided by participating IGWA members under the [Mitigation Plan] is, provided the [Mitigation Plan] is implemented, sufficient to mitigate for any material injury caused by the groundwater users who belong to, and are in good standing with, a participating IGWA member.” *Request for Order* at 3. The SWC and IGWA agree that “[n]o ground water user participating in the [Mitigation Plan] will be subject to a delivery call by the SWC members as long as the provisions of the [Mitigation Plan] are being implemented.” *Mitigation Plan* at Exhibit B, p. 5.

10. As discussed above, the Mitigation Plan requires numerous ongoing activities, including: (a) annual ground water diversion reductions and storage water deliveries, (b) irrigation season reduction, (c) installation of measurement devices, (d) support of the State sponsored managed recharge program and NRCS funded permanent water conservation programs, (e) efforts to continue existing conversions, (f) additional conversions and/or fallow land projects, and (g) establishment of and oversight by a steering committee and technical work group. *Mitigation Plan* at Exhibit B, p. 2-5. The Mitigation Plan also references a ground water level goal and benchmarks, development of a method “to measure reach gain trends in the Blackfoot to Milner reach,” and additional recharge, consumptive use reductions, or other measures should any of the benchmarks or the ground water level goal not be met. *Id.* at Exhibit B, p. 3-5. The parties to the Mitigation Plan should be responsible for these activities and the ground water level goal and benchmarks should only be applicable to the parties to the Mitigation Plan as specified in the Mitigation Plan.

11. Having reviewed the Mitigation Plan, the CM Rules, and the proceedings herein, the Director will approve the Mitigation Plan.

### ORDER

Based upon and consistent with the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Mitigation Plan submitted by the SWC and IGWA is APPROVED with the following conditions:

- a. All ongoing activities required pursuant to the Mitigation Plan are the responsibility of the parties to the Mitigation Plan.
- b. The ground water level goal and benchmarks referenced in the Mitigation Plan are applicable only to the parties to the Mitigation Plan.
- c. Approval of the Mitigation Plan does not create a ground water management area pursuant to Idaho Code § 42-233b.

DATED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of May 2016.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gary Spackman  
Director



## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of May 2016, the above and foregoing was served on the following by the method(s) indicated below:

John K. Simpson  
Travis L. Thompson  
Paul L. Arrington  
BARKER ROSHOLT & SIMPSON, LLP  
195 River Vista Place, Ste 204  
Twin Falls, ID 83301-3029  
[jks@idahowaters.com](mailto:jks@idahowaters.com)  
[tlr@idahowaters.com](mailto:tlr@idahowaters.com)  
[pla@idahowaters.com](mailto:pla@idahowaters.com)

- ☒ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid
- ☐ Hand Delivery
- ☐ Overnight Mail
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ Email

W. Kent Fletcher  
FLETCHER LAW OFFICE  
P.O. Box 248  
Burley, ID 83318  
[wkf@pmt.org](mailto:wkf@pmt.org)

- ☒ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid
- ☐ Hand Delivery
- ☐ Overnight Mail
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ Email

Randall C. Budge  
Thomas J. Budge  
RACINE OLSON NYE BUDGE &  
BAILEY, CHTD.  
201 East Center Street  
P.O. Box 1391  
Pocatello, ID 83207-1391  
Telephone: (208) 395-0011  
Facsimile: (208) 232-6109  
[rcb@racinelaw.net](mailto:rcb@racinelaw.net)  
[tjb@racinelaw.net](mailto:tjb@racinelaw.net)

- ☒ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid
- ☐ Hand Delivery
- ☐ Overnight Mail
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ Email

William A. Parson  
PARSONS SMITH & STONE  
P.O. Box 910  
Burley, ID 83318  
[wparson@pmt.org](mailto:wparson@pmt.org)

- ☒ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid
- ☐ Hand Delivery
- ☐ Overnight Mail
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ Email

Matt Howard  
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION  
1150 N. Curtis Rd.  
Boise, ID 83706  
[mhoward@pn.usbr.gov](mailto:mhoward@pn.usbr.gov)  
[emcgarry@pn.usbr.gov](mailto:emcgarry@pn.usbr.gov)

- ☐ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid
- ☐ Hand Delivery
- ☐ Overnight Mail
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ Email

Kathleen Marion Carr  
US DEPT OF INTERIOR  
Office of Solicitor, PNW Region  
960 Broadway, Ste 400  
Boise, ID 83706  
[Kathleenmarion.carr@sol.doi.gov](mailto:Kathleenmarion.carr@sol.doi.gov)

- ☒ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid
- ☐ Hand Delivery
- ☐ Overnight Mail
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ Email

David W. Gehlert  
Natural Resources Section  
US DEPT OF JUSTICE  
999 18<sup>TH</sup> Street  
South Terrace, Ste 370  
Denver, CO 80202  
[David.gehlert@usdoj.gov](mailto:David.gehlert@usdoj.gov)

- ☒ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid
- ☐ Hand Delivery
- ☐ Overnight Mail
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ Email

A. Dean Tranmer  
City Attorney  
CITY OF POCATELLO  
P.O. Box 4169  
Pocatello, ID 83205  
[dtranmer@pocatello.us](mailto:dtranmer@pocatello.us)

- ☒ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid
- ☐ Hand Delivery
- ☐ Overnight Mail
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ Email

Sarah Klahn  
Mitra Pemberton  
WHITE JANKOWSKI  
511 16<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste 500  
Denver, CO 80202  
[sarahk@white-jankowski.com](mailto:sarahk@white-jankowski.com)  
[mitrap@white-jankowski.com](mailto:mitrap@white-jankowski.com)

- ☒ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid
- ☐ Hand Delivery
- ☐ Overnight Mail
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ Email

Robert L. Harris  
Holden, Kidwell, Hahn & Crapo, PLLC  
P.O. Box 50130  
1000 Riverwalk Drive, Ste. 200  
Idaho Falls, ID 83405  
[rharris@holdenlegal.com](mailto:rharris@holdenlegal.com)

- ☒ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid
- ☐ Hand Delivery
- ☐ Overnight Mail
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ Email

Randall D. Fife  
City Attorney  
City of Idaho Falls  
P.O. Box 50220  
Idaho Falls, ID 83405  
[rfife@idahofallidaho.gov](mailto:rfife@idahofallidaho.gov)

- ☒ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid
- ☐ Hand Delivery
- ☐ Overnight Mail
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ Email

IDWR-Eastern Region  
900 N. Skyline Dr., Ste A  
Idaho Falls, ID 83402-1718  
[lyle.swank@idwr.idaho.gov](mailto:lyle.swank@idwr.idaho.gov)

- ☐ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid
- ☐ Hand Delivery
- ☐ Overnight Mail
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ Email

IDWR-Southern Region  
1341 Fillmore St., Ste 200  
Twin Falls, ID 83301-3380  
[allen.merriitt@idwr.idaho.gov](mailto:allen.merriitt@idwr.idaho.gov)  
[cindy.yenter@idwr.idaho.gov](mailto:cindy.yenter@idwr.idaho.gov)

- ☐ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid
- ☐ Hand Delivery
- ☐ Overnight Mail
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ Email



Kimi White



## **EXPLANATORY INFORMATION TO ACCOMPANY A FINAL ORDER**

(To be used in connection with actions when a hearing was not held)

(Required by Rule of Procedure 740.02)

The accompanying order is a "Final Order" issued by the department pursuant to section 67-5246, Idaho Code.

### **PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Any party may file a petition for reconsideration of a final order within fourteen (14) days of the service date of this order as shown on the certificate of service. **Note: The petition must be received by the Department within this fourteen (14) day period.** The department will act on a petition for reconsideration within twenty-one (21) days of its receipt, or the petition will be considered denied by operation of law. See section 67-5246(4), Idaho Code.

### **REQUEST FOR HEARING**

Unless the right to a hearing before the director or the water resource board is otherwise provided by statute, any person who is aggrieved by the action of the director, and who has not previously been afforded an opportunity for a hearing on the matter shall be entitled to a hearing before the director to contest the action. The person shall file with the director, within fifteen (15) days after receipt of written notice of the action issued by the director, or receipt of actual notice, a written petition stating the grounds for contesting the action by the director and requesting a hearing. See section 42-1701A(3), Idaho Code. **Note: The request must be received by the Department within this fifteen (15) day period.**

### **APPEAL OF FINAL ORDER TO DISTRICT COURT**

Pursuant to sections 67-5270 and 67-5272, Idaho Code, any party aggrieved by a final order or orders previously issued in a matter before the department may appeal the final order and all previously issued orders in the matter to district court by filing a petition in the district court of the county in which:

- i. A hearing was held,
- ii. The final agency action was taken,
- iii. The party seeking review of the order resides, or
- iv. The real property or personal property that was the subject of the agency action is located.

The appeal must be filed within twenty-eight (28) days of: a) the service date of the final order, b) the service date of an order denying petition for reconsideration, or c) the failure within twenty-one (21) days to grant or deny a petition for reconsideration, whichever is later. See section 67-5273, Idaho Code. The filing of an appeal to district court does not in itself stay the effectiveness or enforcement of the order under appeal.