A. Dean Tranmer, I.B. #2793 City of Pocatello P. O. Box 4169 Pocatello, ID 83201 (208) 234-6149 (208) 234-6297 (Fax) dtranmer@pocatello.us

Sarah A. Klahn, I.B. #7928 Mitra M. Pemberton White & Jankowski, LLP 511 Sixteenth Street, Suite 500 Denver, CO 80202 (303) 595-9441 (303) 825-5632 (Fax) sarahk@white-jankowski.com mitrap@white-jankowski.com

Attorneys for the City of Pocatello

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF OF WATER TO VARIOUS WATER RIGHTS HELD BY AND FOR THE BENEFIT OF A&B IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AMERICAN FALLS RESERVIOR DISTRICT #2, BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY, AND TWIN FALLS CANAL COMPANY))))))))))))))))))))	Docket No. CM-MP-2016-001 CITY OF POCATELLO'S PROTEST
IN THE MATTER OF IGWA'S SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT MITIGATION PLAN		

Comes now, the City of Pocatello ("Pocatello") by and through its attorneys, and files this Protest to *Surface Water Coalition's and IGWA's Stipulated Mitigation Plan and Request for Order* ("Mitigation Plan") filed with the Idaho Department of Water Resources ("Department") on March 9, 2016.

The initial bases for Pocatello's Protest are as follows:

- 1. Pocatello is not a member of IGWA or located within a Ground Water District, has filed its own Mitigation Plan in CM-MP-2015-01 and is not participating either actively or passively in IGWA's Mitigation Plan;
- 2. The Mitigation Plan does not meet the requirements of Rule 43.03 of the Rules for Conjunctive Management of Surface and Ground Water Resources ("CMR") (IDAPA 37.03.11.43.03);
- 3. The Mitigation Plan and its published notice does not identify the water rights of junior users who are participating in the Mitigation Plan, making it impossible to determine the adequacy of the plan, including whether the plan will sufficiently "offset the depletive effect of ground water withdrawal on the water available" to the SWC and "[w]hether the mitigation plan reasonably calculates the consumptive use component of ground water diversion and use" (CMR 43.03.b, g);
- 4. IGWA's proposed mitigation activities, including reduced pumping and recharge, are not described with any particularity, i.e. the location of such activities, water rights involved, and the estimated benefits that might accrue from such projects;
- 5. The Mitigation Plan fails to provide any information regarding how the Plan will be implemented to achieve the terms of the Agreement found at Exhibit B to the Mitigation Plan, making it impossible to evaluate under CMR 43.03, including, *inter alia*, whether its technical bases are sound and will in fact result in mitigation for all injury from IGWA's junior pumping;
- 6. The Mitigation Plan does not provide a technical basis to support the contention that the activities described therein will have the effect of "stabiliz[ing] and ultimately revers[ing] the trend of declining ground water levels," (Exhibit B to the Mitigation Plan at 3), and fails to establish "whether such plan uses generally accepted and appropriate engineering and hydrogeologic formulae for calculating the depletive effect of the ground water withdrawal" (CMR 43.03.e);
- 7. The Plan does not describe how reducing "total ground water <u>diversion[s]</u>" by 240,000 acre-feet annually will accomplish a reduction in <u>depletions</u> to the aquifer, or how credits would be determined from reduced groundwater pumping, making it impossible to evaluate the Plan, including whether the plan will sufficiently "offset the depletive effect of ground water withdrawal on the water available" to the SWC (Exhibit B to the Mitigation Plan at 2(emphasis added)); (CMR 43.03.b);
- 8. The Plan does not describe with any particularity how groundwater recharge would be accomplished, including the projected benefits to the SWC from state-sponsored managed recharge, the source of water used for groundwater water recharge, how credit might be obtained from groundwater recharge, or a technical basis to support its conclusion that recharge will mitigate injury to the SWC and achieve agreed-upon ground

- water level benchmarks, making it impossible to determine whether the plan will sufficiently "offset the depletive effect of ground water withdrawal on the water available" to the SWC (CMR 43.03.b);
- 9. The Mitigation Plan fails to establish that it will not "transfer or impose upon [another] junior any portion of the total mitigation obligation attributable to the stipulating junior's diversion." *Memorandum Decision and Order*, Case No. CV-2015-172, at 8 (Fifth Jud. Dist., Minidoka County, Sept. 8, 2015). Further, the Mitigation Plan provides safe harbor to participating ground water users so long as the plan is being "implemented," but such safe harbor is not expressly contingent on success of the plan, calling into question whether this plan will shift a portion of participating juniors' mitigation obligation to other junior water users (Exhibit B to the Mitigation Plan at 5);
- 10. The Mitigation Plan requests the Director require non-participating juniors to "secure Director approval of an individual mitigation plan which complies with CMR 43 and provides adequate mitigation to help achieve the groundwater level goal and benchmarks set forth in the SWC-IGWA Settlement Agreement" (Mitigation Plan at 4 (emphasis added)) which is, *inter alia*:
 - a. inconsistent with the standards applicable to mitigation plans pursuant to CMR 43.03, the doctrine of prior appropriation, and principles of due process; and
 - b. unlawful as it would shift the burden to other junior ground water users to ensure that the IGWA-SWC Settlement Agreement is satisfied. *Memorandum Decision and Order* at 10-11 (Fifth Jud. Dist., Minidoka County, Sept. 8, 2015).
- 11. The Mitigation Plan requests the Director "[t]ake the necessary management actions to address declining ESPA groundwater levels, water supply and sustainability issues in order that the benefits contemplated in the SWC-IGWA Settlement Agreement are realized" (Mitigation Plan at 4).
 - a. This relief is, *inter alia* inconsistent with the Director's current authority under the law of conjunctive management in Idaho, and violates the doctrine of prior appropriation and principles of due process.
 - b. This relief also appears to seek imposition of a Ground Water Management Area ("GWMA") without satisfying the notice and procedural requirements of a GWMA announced in statute and regulation. *See, e.g.*, I.C. §42-233b; CMR 50.01.d.

Pocatello reserves the right to protest the Mitigation Plan on other grounds as issues arise in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted this 4th day of April, 2016.

CITY OF POCATELLO ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

By A. Dean Tranmer

WHITE & JANKOWSKI, LLP

Attorneys for the City of Pocatello

sy______

Mitra M. Pemberton

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 4th day of April, 2016, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing City of Pocatello's Protest in Docket No. CM-MP-2016-001 upon the following by the method indicated:

Sarah Klahn, White & Jankowski, LLP

Gary Spackman, Director	U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
State of Idaho, Dept of Water Resources	Hand Delivery
322 E Front St	X Federal Express (208-287-4942)
P.O. Box 83720	Facsimile 208-287-6700
Boise ID 83720-0098	X Email
deborah.gibson@idwr.idaho.gov	
John K. Simpson	U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
Travis L. Thompson	Hand Delivery
Paul L. Arrington	Federal Express
Barker Rosholt & Simpson	Facsimile 208-735-2444
195 River Vista Place Ste 204	Facsinine 208-733-2444 X Email
Twin Falls ID 83301-3029	X_ Ellian
tlt@idahowaters.com	
iks@idahowaters.com	
pla@idahowaters.com	
W. Kent Fletcher	U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
Fletcher Law Office	Hand Delivery
	Federal Express
P.O. Box 248	Facsimile 208-878-2548
Burley, ID 83318	X Email
wkf@pmt.org	
Garrick L. Baxter	U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
Deputy Attorneys General – IDWR	Hand Delivery
P.O. Box 83720	Federal Express
Boise ID 83720-0098	X Facsimile 208-287-6700
garrick.baxter@idwr.idaho.gov	X Email
Randall C. Budge	U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
Thomas J. Budge	Hand Delivery
Racine Olson Nye Budge & Bailey	Federal Express
201 E Center St / PO Box 1391	Facsimile 208-232-6109
Pocatello ID 83204-1391	X Email
rcb@racinelaw.net	
tjb@racinelaw.net	
Dean Tranmer	U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
City of Pocatello	Hand Delivery
P.O. Box 4169	Federal Express
Pocatello ID 83201	Facsimile 208-234-6297
dtranmer@pocatello.us	X Email
Kathleen Carr	U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
US Dept Interior	Hand Delivery
960 Broadway Ste 400	Federal Express
Boise ID 83706	Facsimile 208-334-1907
kathleenmarion.carr@sol.doi.gov	X Email

David W. Gehlert	U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
Natural Resources Section	Hand Delivery
Environment & Natural Resources Division	Federal Express
US Dept of Justice	Facsimile 303-844-1350
999 18th St, South Terrace Ste 370	X Email
Denver CO 80202	
david.gehlert@usdoj.gov	·
Michael C Creamer	U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
Jeffery C. Fereday	Hand Delivery
Givens Pursley	Federal Express
601 W Bannock St Ste 200	Facsimile 208-388-1300
P.O. Box 2720	X Email
Boise ID 83701-2720	
mcc@givenspursley.com	
jcf@givenspursley.com	
William A. Parsons	U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
Parsons Smith Stone Loveland & Shirley LLP	Hand Delivery
137 West 13 th St	Federal Express
P.O. Box 910	Facsimile 208-878-8382
Burley ID 83318	X Email
wparsons@pmt.org	
Matt Howard	U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
US Bureau of Reclamation	Hand Delivery
1150 N Curtis Road	Federal Express
Boise ID 83706-1234	Facsimile 1
mhoward@usbr.gov	X Email
Lyle Swank	U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
IDWR – Eastern Region	Hand Delivery
900 N Skyline Dr	Federal Express
Idaho Falls ID 83402-6105	Facsimile
lyle.swank@idwr.idaho.gov	X Email
Allen Merritt	U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
Cindy Yenter	Hand Delivery
IDWR – Southern Region	Federal Express
1341 Filmore St Ste 200	Facsimile
Twin Falls ID 83301-3033	X Email
allen.merritt@idwr.idaho.gov	
cindy.yenter@idwr.idaho.gov	· ·