MAR 2 4 2017

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Scott L. Campbell, ISB No. 2251 Campbell Law, Chartered Post Office Box 170538 Boise, Idaho 83717 Telephone (208) 949-0599 scott@slclexh2o.com

Matthew J. McGee, ISB No. 7979
MOFFATT, THOMAS, BARRETT, ROCK & FIELDS, CHARTERED
101 S. Capitol Blvd., 10th Floor
Post Office Box 829
Boise, Idaho 83701
Telephone (208) 345-2000
Facsimile (208) 385-5384
mjm@moffatt.com
16845.0032

Attorneys for Sun Valley Company

DEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION FOR ADMINISTRATION BY BIG WOOD & LITTLE WOOD WATER USERS ASSOCIATION

Docket No. CM-DC-2017-001

ANSWER TO PETITION FOR ADMINISTRATION

Respondent Sun Valley Company (the "Company"), by and through undersigned counsel of record, and pursuant to Rule 270 of the Rules of Procedure of the Idaho Department of Water Resources, IDAPA 37.01.01, answers the Big Wood & Little Wood Water Users Association's (the "Association") Petition for Administration as follows:

1. The Petition for Administration should be dismissed for the Association's failure to provide all information, measurements, data or study results available to support a claim for administration.

- 2. The Company admits the allegations set forth in paragraph 1, but denies the Association's status as a single petitioner making a single delivery call.
- 3. The Company is without sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth in paragraphs 2-5, and therefore the Company denies the same.
- 4. With respect to the allegations set forth in paragraph 6, the Company denies the allegation that the boundary of the Wood River Valley Aquifer Model Study area comprises an area of common ground water supply that accurately reflects the geographic and geologic area within which ground water diversions may impact the flow in a surface water source providing water for diversion by the individual members of the Association.
 - 5. The Company admits the allegations set forth in paragraph 7.
- 6. With respect to the allegations set forth in paragraph 8, the Company denies that it is causing material injury to the Association, and also denies that it is causing material injury to any single member of the Association. Furthermore, and to that end, the Company objects to any aggregation or consolidation of evidence of material injury by and among members of the Association.
 - 7. The Company denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 9.
- 8. The Company denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 10, and further objects and moves to strike evidence and opinions developed by Department staff in aid and investigation of the delivery calls designated as Idaho Department of Water Resources Docket No. CM-DC-2015-001.

DEFENSES

The Company states the following defenses, which are not exclusive, separately as to each petitioning member of the Association. The defenses are applicable, where

appropriate, as to each delivery call. The defenses listed are not exhaustive, and the Company reserves the right to assert additional defenses after it has the opportunity to conduct discovery, if allowed, and additional factual investigation. In asserting the following defenses, the Company does not admit that the burden of proof falls upon the Company.

- 1. The Company's water rights are used non-consumptively.
- 2. The Company's use of water does not materially affect the quantity and timing of when water is available to the Association, or any member thereof.
- 3. The Association's Petition for Administration constitutes a delivery call by the Association, and each member thereof, and is futile as to the water rights of the Company.
- 4. Junior-priority water rights (sourced from both surface water and ground water) diverted outside of the alleged area of common ground water supply must be administered to remedy the alleged material injury, if any, to members of the Association.
- 5. The Association's Petition for Administration fails to satisfy the requirements for administration pursuant to Rule 41 of the Conjunctive Management Rules, IDAPA 37.03.11 because, in part, members of the Association are not identified as holders of senior-priority ground water rights.
- 6. Rule 20.11 of the Conjunctive Management Rules is unconstitutional as applied to the Company's water rights in this proceeding, and cannot legally be used in any administration of diversion or use of water in a ground water management area under Rule 41 of the Conjunctive Management Rules.
- 7. The Association, and each member thereof, fails to use water efficiently and without waste.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

The Company respectfully requests that the Director dismiss the Petition for Administration.

DATED this 24th day of March, 2017.

CAMPBELL LAW, CHARTERED

Scott L. Campbell – Of the Firm

Attorneys for Sun Valley Company

Moffatt, Thomas, Barrett, Rock & Fields, Chartered

By

Matthew J. McGee – Of the Firm Attorneys for Sun Valley Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 24th day of March, 2017, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing **ANSWER TO PETITION FOR ADMINISTRATION** to be served by the method indicated below, and addressed to the following:

Joseph F. James	(X) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
Brown & James	() Hand Delivered
130 Fourth Avenue West	() Overnight Mail
Gooding, Idaho 83330	() Facsimile
Facsimile (208) 934-4101	() E-Mail
joe@brownjameslaw.com	
Attorneys for Big Wood & Little Wood Water	
Users Association	

() U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
() Hand Delivered
() Overnight Mail
() Facsimile
★) E-Mail

Matthew J. McGee