BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF DISTRIBUTION OF WATER TO WATER RIGHTS HELD BY MEMBERS OF THE BIG WOOD & LITTLE WOOD WATER USERS ASSOCIATION DIVERTING FROM THE BIG WOOD RIVER

Docket No. CM-DC-2015-001

IN THE MATTER OF DISTRIBUTION OF WATER TO WATER RIGHTS HELD BY MEMBERS OF THE BIG WOOD & LITTLE WOOD WATER USERS ASSOCIATION DIVERTING FROM THE LITTLE WOOD RIVER

Docket No. CM-DC-2015-002

ORDER DENYING JOINT MOTION TO DESIGNATE ACGWS BY RULEMAKING AND TO DISMISS DELIVERY CALLS

BACKGROUND

On February 24, 2015, the Director ("Director") of the Idaho Department of Water Resources ("Department") received two conjunctive management water delivery call letters from counsel for members of the Big Wood & Little Wood Water Users Association. The letters allege senior surface water users on the Big Wood and Little Wood Rivers are being injured by water users diverting ground water hydraulically connected to the Big Wood and Little Wood Rivers. The letters request the Director regulate junior ground water users consistent with the prior appropriation doctrine.

The Director initiated new contested case proceedings and assigned each delivery call letter its own docket number. The Big Wood Delivery Call was assigned docket no. CM-DC-2015-001. The Little Wood Delivery Call was assigned docket no. CM-DC-2015-002.

On March 20, 2015, the Department sent letters to ground water users the Department identified as potentially affected by one or both of the above-described delivery calls. The purpose of the letters was to inform the water users of the delivery calls and notify them of a planned status conference. The letters invited the water users to file a written notice with the Department if they planned to participate in delivery call proceedings. The Department received over 100 notices of intent to participate, including notices filed by the City of Hailey and the City of Bellevue ("Hailey and Bellevue").

The Department also published general notice of the delivery calls and the status conference in the Idaho Mountain Express and Camas Courier on March 25th, 2015 & April 1st, 2015; and the Times News on March 26th, 2015 & April 2nd, 2015. The Director held a status conference on May 4, 2015, and a pre-hearing conference on June 3, 2015.

ORDER DENYING JOINT MOTION TO DESIGNATED ACGWS BY RULEMAKING AND TO DISMISS DELIVERY CALLS- Page 1

On June 26, 2015, Hailey and Bellevue filed a Joint Motion to Designate ACGWS by Rulemaking and to Dismiss Delivery Calls ("Motion to Dismiss"), Memorandum in Support of Joint Motion to Designate ACGWS by Rulemaking and to Dismiss Delivery Calls ("Memorandum"), and the Affidavit of Chris M. Bromley ("Affidavit"). Joinders in support of the Motion to Dismiss were filed by attorney James P. Speck on behalf of multiple respondents, the City of Ketchum and City of Fairfield, and the Water District 37-B Groundwater Group.

ANALYSIS

Hailey and Bellevue argue the Director must "initiate rulemaking in accordance with the Idaho Administrative Procedure Act, I.C. § 67-5201" to designate an area of common ground water supply ("ACGWS") before proceeding with the Big and Little Wood Delivery Calls pursuant to Rule 40 of the Department's Rules of Conjunctive Management of Surface and Ground Water Resources ("CM Rules"). *Memorandum* at 1.

CM Rule 40 governs the Director's response to the Big and Little Wood Delivery Calls because it applies "[w]hen a delivery call is made by the holder of a senior-priority water right (petitioner) alleging that by reason of diversion of water by the holders of one (1) or more junior-priority ground water rights (respondents) from an area having a common ground water supply in an organized water district the petitioner is suffering material injury. . . ." IDAPA 37.03.11.040.01. Hailey and Bellevue argue "the plain language of [CM] Rule 40" requires "that an ACGWS exist before the Director may respond to a delivery call." *Memorandum* at 8-9 (emphasis in original).

Contrary to Hailey and Bellevue's argument, CM Rule 40 does not require the Director establish an ACGWS by rulemaking before the Director can move forward with the Big and Little Wood Delivery Calls. While the Director has authority to establish an ACGWS by rule (and in fact did for the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer ("ESPA")), the CM Rules do not mandate that the Director go through the rulemaking process to establish an ACGWS. CM Rule 10.01 defines "Area Having a Common Ground Water Supply" as:

A ground water source within which the diversion and use of ground water or changes in ground water recharge affect the flow of water in a surface water source or within which the diversion and use of water by a holder of a ground water right affects the ground water supply available to the holders of other ground water rights. (Section 42-237a.g., Idaho Code)

¹ On April 22, 2015, the Water District 37-B Groundwater Group filed a notice of intent to participate only in proceedings related to the Big Wood Delivery Call. On July 10, 2015, counsel Dylan B. Lawrence, of the law firm Varin Wardwell LLC, filed a *Notice of Appearance* and *Joinder in Support of Motion to Dismiss Contested Case Proceedings* on behalf of the Water District 37-B Groundwater Group in both the Big and Little Wood Delivery Calls. The Water District 37-B Groundwater Group did not file a notice of intent to participate in the Little Wood Delivery Call in compliance with the Director's May 13, 2015, *Order Governing Participation*. Therefore, the Director will not consider filings by the Water District 37-B Groundwater Group in Little Wood Delivery Call proceedings.

IDAPA 37.03.11.010.01. The ACGWS for the Big and Little Wood Delivery Calls is a factual question that can be established based upon information presented at hearing applying the definition set forth in CM Rule 10.01.

Hailey and Bellevue also argue that, because CM Rule 31 establishes a process for determining an ACGWS in a CM Rule 30 delivery call, the lack of a process in CM Rule 40 means that the Director *must* follow the rulemaking process to establish an ACGWS in a CM Rule 40 delivery call. *See Memorandum* at 9-10. The Director disagrees. While CM Rule 31 requires the Director consider certain information and establishes criteria for determining an ACGWS in a CM Rule 30 delivery call, the absence of specific processes in the CM Rules to establish an ACGWS for a CM Rule 40 delivery call does not dictate that the Director must establish an ACGWS by rule in a CM Rule 40 delivery call. CM Rule 30 and 31 do not limit the Director's ability to determine an ACGWS in the Big and Little Wood Delivery Calls under CM Rule 40. As discussed above, the ACGWS is a factual question that can be established based upon information presented at hearing applying the definition set forth in CM Rule 10.01.

The Idaho Legislature has given the Director "broad powers to direct and control distribution of water from all natural water sources within water districts." *In re SRBA*, 157 Idaho at 393, 336 P.3d at 800; Idaho Code § 42-602. "In connection with his supervision and control of the exercise of ground water rights the [Director] shall also have the power to determine what areas of the state have a common ground water supply. . . ." Idaho Code § 42-237a(g). In addition, a timely response is required when a delivery call is made. *Am. Falls Reservoir Dist. No. 2 v. Idaho Dep't of Water Res.*, 143 Idaho 862, 874, 154 P.3d 433, 445 (2007). A requirement that the Director must initiate rulemaking to designate an ACGWS prior to responding to every CM Rule 40 delivery call against junior-priority ground water rights outside the ESPA would result in lengthy delay and run afoul of the Director's mandatory duty to "distribute water in water districts in accordance with the prior appropriation doctrine." Idaho Code § 42-602; *In re SRBA*, 157 Idaho 385, 393, 336 P.3d 792, 800 (2014); *Musser v. Higginson*, 125 Idaho 392, 395, 871 P.2d 809, 812 (1994) (*abrogated on other grounds by Rincover v. State, Dep't of Fin., Sec. Bureau*, 132 Idaho 547, 976 P.2d 473 (1999)).

The Director will not dismiss the Big and Little Wood Delivery Calls to designate an ACGWS through rulemaking before responding to the delivery calls. The Director will determine the ACGWS in the Big and Little Wood Delivery Calls based upon information presented at hearing applying the definition set forth in CM Rule 10.01.²

Hailey and Bellevue reference statements of the Director and District Court in the Surface Water Coalition and Rangen, Inc., delivery calls. *Memorandum* at 4-6. These statements recognize the Director may only curtail junior ground water rights within the ACGWS defined by CM Rule 50.01 in the context of delivery calls by holders of senior water

² Hailey and Bellevue assert it is "apparent that the information to determine an ACGWS in the Big Wood River basin, and many other tributary basins, does not exist." *Memorandum* at 14. Again, the Director has a mandatory legal duty to distribute water in water districts in accordance with the prior appropriation doctrine. The Director must meet this duty in the Big and Little Wood Delivery Calls by designating an ACGWS through administrative hearings and deliberations associated with those delivery calls. Uncertainty cannot operate in favor of junior ground water right holders. *See Memorandum Decision and Order on Petitions for Judicial Review* at 40, Case No. CV-2014-1338 (Consolidated Gooding County Case No. Cv-2014-179) (Oct, 24, 2014).

rights against junior-priority ground water rights within the ESPA ACGWS. *See id.* Hailey and Bellevue argue the statements demonstrate the Director may *only* administer junior ground water rights in a CM Rule 40 delivery call within an already designated ACGWS. *Id.* at 4.

The statements relied upon by Hailey and Bellevue are specific to the Director's authority to curtail junior ground water rights within the ACGWS defined by CM Rule 50.01. The statements are irrelevant to the Director's authority to curtail junior ground water rights in response to a CM Rule 40 delivery call by senior water right holders against junior ground water rights outside the ESPA ACGWS, such as the Big and Little Wood Delivery Calls. Statements of the Director and District Court related to prior delivery call proceedings factually and legally distinct from the Big and Little Wood Delivery Calls are not a basis for dismissal of the delivery calls.

Hailey and Bellevue also reference the Idaho Legislature's rejection of the Director's repeal of CM Rule 50. *Memorandum* at 10-14. In the *Final Order* issued In the Matter of Petition to Amend Rule 50, the Director found:

12. The Director is able to administer a delivery call under the [CM] Rules without having a fixed ACGWS defined for the ESPA. Eliminating [CM] Rule 50 addresses the disparate treatment concern... The administrative hearings and deliberations associated with delivery calls is the proper venue to address which ground water rights should be subject to administration.

Affidavit Ex. G at 4. The Director concluded "[CM] Rule 50 should be repealed because the administrative hearings and deliberations associated with individual delivery calls is the proper venue to address which ground water rights should be subject to administration under a delivery call." *Id.* at 6. The Director repeated this conclusion in testimony before the Idaho Legislature.³ The Idaho Legislature's *Statement of Purpose* related to rejection of the Director's repeal of CM Rule 50 explains:

This rule was rejected in committee because it eliminated the current boundary lines of the [ESPA], and not enough technical data was available at the present time for the [Department] to accurately evaluate the underground water sources available in the additional territory to the ESPA to define the effects on the various sections of the Aquifer.

Affidavit Ex. K. Hailey and Bellevue cite the above-described testimony and Statement of Purpose to support the argument "that the Legislature does not want the Director to make ad hoc determinations within [CM] Rule 40 delivery call proceedings." Memorandum at 14.

³ The Director testified: "Ultimately, we felt that the fairest approach was to simply repeal the Rule and then in every delivery call I would then be responsible for taking evidence in a contested case hearing from all of the parties and then determining what the individual area of common groundwater supply was for each delivery call." Affidavit Ex. H at 2. The Director also testified: "[W]hat we are proposing is to repeal the Rule, which results in no definition of a boundary for the [ACGWS] for the [ESPA]. And it will require me in every single delivery call now to determine based on evidence that's presented in a contested case hearing what the boundary should be. So, there will not be any hard-wire boundary in the Rules for the [ACGWS] for the [ESPA]." Affidavit Ex. E at 6.

The Director's testimony and *Statement of Purpose* cited by Hailey and Bellevue are specific to the effect of the repeal of CM Rule 50 on ESPA delivery calls. The Director's testimony and *Statement of Purpose* are irrelevant to CM Rule 40 delivery calls initiated by holders of senior water rights against junior ground water rights outside the ESPA ACGWS and, therefore, not a basis to dismiss the Big and Little Wood Delivery Calls.

ORDER

Based upon and consistent with the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is DENIED.

DATED this 22nd day of July 2015.

GARY SPACKMAN

Director

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that, on this 22nd day of July 2015, I served a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document by placing a copy of the same in the United States mail, postage prepaid and properly addressed and by e-mail to participants who have provided e-mail addresses to the Department for service to the following:

BIG WOOD WATER USERS ASSN
LITTLE WOOD WATER USERS ASSN
C/O JOSEPH F. JAMES
BROWN & JAMES
130 FOURTH AVENUE WEST
GOODING ID 83330
joe@brownjameslaw.com
dana@brownjameslaw.com

SOUTH VALLEY GROUNDWATER
DISTRICT
C/O ALBERT BARKER
BARKER ROSHOLT & SIMPSON LLP
PO BOX 2139
BOISE ID 83701-2139
apb@idahowaters.com

sle@idahowaters.com

jgb@racinelaw.net

HAILEY CEMETERY MAINTENCE DIST JACOB & RUTH BLOOM C/O PATRICK D BROWN PATRICK D BROWN PC PO BOX 125 TWIN FALLS ID 83303 pat@pblaw.co

IDAHO GROUND WATER APPROPRIATORS
INC
C/O RANDALL C BUDGE
THOMAS J BUDGE
JOSEPH G BALLSTAEDT
RACINE OLSON
PO BOX 1391
POCATELLO ID 83204-1391
rcb@racinelaw.net
tjb@racinelaw.net

CITY OF FAIRFIELD
CITY OF KETCHUM
C/O SUSAN E BUXTON
CHERESE D MCLAIN
MOORE SMITH BUXTON & TURCKE
950 W BANNOCK ST STE 520
BOISE ID 83702
seb@msbtlaw.com
cdm@msbtlaw.com

SINCLAIR OIL CORPORATION DBA SUN VALLEY COMPANY C/O SCOTT L CAMPBELL MATTHEW J MCGEE MOFFATT THOMAS PO BOX 829 BOISE ID 83701-0829 slc@moffatt.com

CITY OF HAILEY
VALLEY CLUB INC
C/O MICHAEL C CREAMER
MICHAEL P LAWRENCE
GIVENS PURLSEY LLP
PO BOX 2720
BOISE ID 83701-2720
mcc@givenspursley.com
mpl@givenspursley.com
lisahughes@givenspursley.com
lorigibson@givenspursley.com

STROM RANCHES INC
DENNIS STROM
C/O S BRYCE FARRIS
SAWTOOTH LAW OFFICES PLLC
PO BOX 7985
BOISE ID 83707-7985
bryce@sawtoothlaw.com

AF 2014 TRUST
GEOFFREY SMITH LLC
MARIANA S PAEN TRUST
C/O FRITZ HAEMMERLE
HAEMMERLE & HAMMERLE PLLC
PO BOX 1800
HAILEY ID 83333
fxh@haemlaw.com

ANIMAL SHELTER OF WOOD RIVER VALLEY DENNIS J CARD & MAUREEN M MCCANTY **EDWARD A LAWSON** FLYING HEART RANCH II SUBDIVISION **OWNERS ASSN** HELIOS DEVELOPMENT LLC SOUTHERN COMFORT HOMEOWNERS **ASSN** THE VILLAGE GREEN VCHOA C/O JAMES R LASKI HEATHER O'LEARY LAWSON LASKI CLARK & POGUE PLLC PO BOX 3310 KETCHUM ID 83340 heo@lawsonlaski.com jrl@lawsonlaski.com

WATER DISTRICT 37-B GROUNDWATER GROUP C/O DYLAN B LAWRENCE VARIN WARDWELL LLC PO BOX 1676 BOISE ID 83701-1676 dylanlawrence@varinwardwell.com

IDAHO FOUNDATION FOR PARKS AND LANDS INC C/O CHAS MCDEVITT MCDEVITT & MILLER LLP PO BOX 2564 BOISE ID 83701 chas@mcdevitt-miller.com

C/O EILEEN MCDEVITT 732 FALLS VIEW DR TWIN FALLS ID 83301 emcdevitt1 @msn.com CITY OF BELLEVUE
MICHELLE WOLF
C/O CANDICE MCHUGH
CHRIS BROMLEY
MCHUGH BROMLEY PLLC
380 S 4TH STREET STE 103
BOISE ID 83702
cmchugh@mchughbromley.com
cbromley@mchughbromley.com

ECCLES FLYING HAT RANCH LLC
ECCLES WINDOW ROCK RANCH LLC
HOLLY FARMS LTD
J EVAN ROBERTSON
SUN VALLEY WATER & SEWER DISTRICT
C/O J EVAN ROBERTSON
ROBERTSON & SLETTE PLLC
PO BOX 1906
TWIN FALLS ID 83303-1906
erobertson@rsidaholaw.com

IDAHO POWER COMPANY
C/O JOHN K SIMPSON
BARKER ROSHOLT & SIMPSON LLP
PO BOX 2139
BOISE ID 83701-2139
jks@idahowaters.com
jlw@idahowaters.com

AIRPORT WEST BUSINESS PARK OWNERS **ASSN INC AOUARIUS SAW LLC** ASPEN HOLLOW HOMEOWNERS ASSN (ALLAN PATZER & WILLIAM LEHMAN) B LAMBERT TRUST (TOBY B LAMBERT) BARBER FAMILY ASSOCIATES LP **BARRIE FAMILY PARTNERS** BELLEUE FARMS LANDOWNERS ASSN BLAINE COUNTY RECREATION DISTRICT BLAINE COUNTY SCHOOL DIST NO. 61 CAROL BURDZY THIELEN CHANEY CREEK RANCH LLC **CHARLES & COLLEEN WEAVER** CHARLES L MATTHIESEN CLEAR CREEK LLC CLIFFSIDE HOMEOWNERS ASSN INC COMMUNITY SCHOOL (JANELL L GODDARD) DAN S FAIRMAN MD & MELYNDA KIM STANDLEE FAIRMAN DEER CREEK FARM (LYNN CAMPION) DON R & JUDY H ATKINSON DONNA F TUTTLE TRUST ELIZABETH K GRAY F ALFREDO REGO FLOWERS BENCH LLC GOLDEN EAGLE RANCH HOA INC GREENHORN HOMEOWNERS ASSN (JEFFREY T SEELY) GRIFFIN RANCH PUD SUBDIVISION HOA (CHERI HICKS) **GRIFFIN RANCH SUBDIVISION HOA** (CHERI HICKS) GULCH TRUST (TERESA L MASON) HEATHERLANDS HOMEOWNERS ASSN **HENRY & JANNE BURDICK** IDAHO RANCH LLC JAMES K & SANDRA FIGGE JAMES P & JOAN CONGER KIRIL SOKOLOFF LAURA L LUCERE LINDA WOODCOCK LOUISA JANE H JUDGE MARGO PECK MARION R & ROBERT M ROSENTHAL MATS & SONYA WILANDER MICHAEL E WILLARD MID-VALLEY WATER CO LLC PIONEER RESIDENTIAL & RECREATIONAL PROPERTIES LLC

R THOMAS GOODRICH & REBECCA LEA

PATTON

RALPH R LAPHAM RED CLIFFS HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION REDCLIFF PARTNERS LP (RANNEY E DRAPER) RHYTHM RANCH HOMEOWNERS ASSN RIVER ROCK RANCH LP (SHEILA WITMER) ROBERT ROHE SAGEWILLOW LLC SALIGAO LLC SANDOR & TERI SZOMBATHY SCI PROPERTIES LLC (BRENDA A LEVINE) STARLITE HOMEOWNERS ASSN STONEGATE HOMEOWNERS ASSN LLC THE ANNE L WINGATE TRUST THE BARKER LIVING TRUST THE DANIEL T MONOOGIAN REVOCABLE TRUST THE JONES TRUST THE RALPH W & KANDI L GIRTON 1999 REVOCABLE TRUST THE RESTATED MCMAHAN 1986 **REVOCABLE TRUST** THE VERNOY IRREVOCABLE TRUST THOMAS W WEISEL TIMBERVIEW TERRACE HOA INC WEBB LANDSCAPE INC (MARK PALMER) C/O JAMES P SPECK SPECK & AANESTAD PO BOX 987 KETCHUM ID 83340 jim@speckandaanestad.com

DEAN R ROGERS INC (DEAN R ROGERS III) C/O LAIRD B STONE STEPHAN KVANVIG STONE & TRAINOR PO BOX 83 TWIN FALLS ID 83303-0083 sks&t@idaho-law.com

CATHERINE S DAWSON REVOCABLE
TRUST
DEER CREEK RANCH INC
ROBERT L BAKER REVOCABLE TRUST
SYRINGA RANCH LLC
C/O TRAVIS L THOMPSON
BARKER ROSHOLT & SIMPSON LLP
195 RIVER VISTA PL STE 204
TWIN FALLS ID 83301-3029
tlt@idahowaters.com

ORDER DENYING JOINT MOTION TO DESIGNATED ACGWS BY RULEMAKING AND TO DISMISS DELIVERY CALLS- Page 8

ANTHONY & JUDY D ANGELO PO BOX 3267 KETCHUM ID 83340 judydangelore@gmail.com

BARBARA CALL PO BOX 4 ROSS CA 94957 barbcall@sbcglobal.net

BERNARD I FRIEDLANDER PHD 116 VALLEY CLUB DRIVE HAILEY ID 83333

BLUEGROUSE RIDGE HOA C/O BRIAN MCCOY PO BOX 3510 KETCHUM ID 83340 brian@seabrd.net

BRIAN L SMITH & DIANE STEFFEY-SMITH PO BOX 629 BELLEVUE ID 83313 brianlamarsmith@me.com

BRITTA S HUBBARD PO BOX 1167 KETCHUM ID 83340 brittahubbard@gmail.com

BRUCE & KAREN TRUXAL PO BOX 431 BELLEVUE ID 83313 btruxal@powereng.com

CANADIAN CLUB HOMEOWNERS ASSN PO BOX 4041 KETCHUM ID 83340

COLD SPRINGS WATER COMPANY PO BOX 254 KETCHUM ID 83340 DAVID BERMAN PO BOX 1738 CAVE CREEK AZ 85327 berman.dlb@gmail.com

DOUGLAS C WALTON DIANA L WHITING 109 RIVER GROVE LN HAILEY ID 83333

ERNEST & JUDITH GETTO TRUST ERNEST J GETTO 417 ENNISBROOK DR SANTA BARBARA CA 93108

GARY HOFFMAN PO BOX 1529 KETCHUM ID 83340

GREGORY R BLOOMFIELD REVOCABLE TRUST PO BOX 757 HAILEY ID 83333

HARRY S RINKER 949 SOUTH COAST DR STE 500 COSTA MESA CA 92626 hrinker@rinkercompany.com

HARRY S RINKER PO BOX 7250 NEWPORT BEACH CA 92658 toni@rinkercompany.com

HULEN MEADOWS WATER COMPANY AND ASSN INC PO BOX 254 KETCHUM ID 83340

INNOVATIVE MITIGATION SOLUTIONS LLC 2918 N EL RANCHO PL BOISE ID 83704 JAMES D WHITE PO BOX 367 BELLEVUE ID 83313 jdwhite@q.com

JARED R WILLIAMS REVOCABLE TRUST PO BOX 99658 SEATTLE WA 98139

JIM W KOONCE PO BOX 2015 HAILEY ID 83333

KATHERINE BRECKENRIDGE B BAR B INC PO BOX 685 PICABO ID 83348

KEN SANGHA ASAM TRUST PO BOX 9200 KETCHUM ID 83340 kensangha@gmail.com

KEVIN D LAKEY WATER DISTRICT 37 107 W 1ST SHOSHONE ID 83352 watermanager@cableone.net

LAWRENCE SCHOEN 18351 US HWY 20 BELLEVUE ID 83313

LUBOFF SENAVSKY & CHARLES TIMOTHY FLOYD PO BOX 1240 EAGLE ID 83616 bsfloyd@mac.com

MARLYS J SCHMIDT 10901 HWY 75 BELLEVUE ID 83313 mischmidt49@msn.com NANCIE C TATUM & THOMAS F HENNIG PO BOX 1365 SUN VALLEY ID 83353

PAUL & POLLY CARNEY LLOYD & DEANN RICHINS MARK & SUSAN WILLIAMS FISH CREEK RESERVOIR RANCH, LLC 384 2 2900 E PAUL ID 83347

PAUL & TANA DEAN 40 FREEDOM LOOP BELLEVUE ID 83313

PETER ZACH SEWELL LORI SEWELL PO BOX 3175 HAILEY ID 83333 zlsewell@gmail.com

PHILIP J VANDERHOEF KATHLEEN MCKAY 5069 HAROLD PL NE SEATTLE WA 98105

POPPY ENGLEHARDT 10965 HIGHWAY 75 BELLEVUE ID 83313

ROBERT BOUTTIER PO BOX 476 BELLEVUE ID 83313

ROBERT & JUDITH PITTMAN 121 LOWER BROADFORD RD BELLEVUE ID 83313

ROBERT J STRUTHERS 762 ROBERT ST PICABO ROUTE BELLEVUE ID 83313 RUSTY KRAMER
WATER DISTRICT 37B
PO BOX 591
FAIRFIELD ID 83327
waterdistrict37b@outlook.com

SAGE SPRINGS HOMEOWNERS ASSN INC PO BOX 254 KETCHUM ID 83340

SILVER SAGE OWNERS ASSN INC C/O CAROL'S BOOKKEEPING PO BOX 1702 KETCHUM ID 83340

STARWEATHER OWNERS ASSN INC PO BOX 254 KETCHUM ID 83340

STEVEN C FUNK 90 FREEDOM LOOP BELLEVUE ID 83313

SV RANCH LLC PO BOX 333 FAIRFIELD ID 83327 camascreek@hughes.net THOMAS & AMY MISTICK 149 ASPEN LAKES DR HAILEY ID 83333

USDA FOREST SERVICE ATTN JAMIE GOUGH 324 25TH ST OGDEN UT 84401 jgough@fs.fed.us

VALLEY CLUB OWNERS ASSN INC PO BOX 254 KETCHUM ID 83340

WILLIAM R & KATHRYN L RATLIFFE 206 BAYHORSE RD BELLEVUE ID 83313

WOOD RIVER LAND TRUST 119 E BULLION ST HAILEY ID 83333

COURTESEY COPY TO:

ED REAGAN COURIER NEWS PO BOX 339 FAIRFIELD ID 83327 news@highway46.org

Emalee Rushing Administrative Assistant