RECEIVED

Sep 19, 2023 DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

John K. Simpson, ISB #4242 Travis L. Thompson, ISB #6168 **MARTEN LAW LLP** 163 Second Ave. West P.O. Box 63 Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-0063 Telephone: (208) 733-0700 Email: jsimpson@martenlaw.com tthompson@martenlaw.com

Attorneys for A&B Irrigation District, Burley Irrigation District, Milner Irrigation District, North Side Canal Company, and Twin Falls Canal Company W. Kent Fletcher, ISB #2248 FLETCHER LAW OFFICE P.O. Box 248 Burley, Idaho 83318 Telephone: (208) 678-3250 Email: wkf@pmt.org

Attorneys for American Falls Reservoir District #2 and Minidoka Irrigation District

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOUCES

OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF DISTRIBUTION OF WATER TO VARIOUS WATER RIGHTS HELD BY OR FOR THE BENEFIT OF A&B IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AMERICAN FALLS RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2, BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY, AND TWIN FALLS CANAL COMPANY Docket No. CM-DC-2010-001

SURFACE WATER COALITION'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION AND RECONSIDERATION

COME NOW, A&B IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AMERICAN FALLS RESERVOIR

DISTRICT #2, BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,

MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY, and TWIN FALLS

CANAL COMPANY ("Surface Water Coalition" or "Coalition"), by and through counsel of

record, and hereby respond to the Motion for Clarification and Reconsideration of Denial of

Request for Hearing and to Engage in Discovery ("Motion") filed on September 5, 2023.¹ The Coalition requests the Director to deny the Cities' Motion for the reasons set forth below.

BACKGROUND

The Sixth Methodology Order was issued as a "final" administrative order subject to reconsideration and/or appeal to district court. *See* I.C. §§ 67-5246; 67-5270 to 5272; *see also*, Explanatory Information to Accompany a Final Order (attached to the Director's Sixth Methodology Order). The Cities filed a notice of appeal and petition for judicial review concerning the Director's related *Post-Hearing Order*, another order issued as part of the matter resulting in the Sixth Methodology Order. *See City of Idaho Falls et al. v. IDWR*, Fourth Jud. Dist., Ada County Dist. Ct., Case No. CV01-23-13238 (appeal filed August 16, 2023). IGWA filed a notice of appeal and petition for judicial review of various orders as well, including specifically the Sixth Methodology Order. *See IGWA v. IDWR*, Fourth Jud. Dist., Ada County Dist. Ct., Case No. CV01-23-13173 (appeal filed August 16, 2023). The Coalition of Cities and the City of Pocatello filed notices of appearance in that case. The two appeals are pending in district court and it is anticipated the cases will be consolidated.

ARGUMENT

I. The Director Properly Denied the Cities' Request for Hearing.

The Cities request clarification of the Director's Sixth Methodology Order claiming "no hearing has actually been held on the *Sixth Methodology Order*." Motion at 2-3. Yet, the Cities' feigned ignorance about the order is merely form over substance. The Cities fully participated in the contested case and administrative hearing that resulted in the issuance of the Sixth Methodology Order. They cannot dispute this fact. There is no basis to hold a second hearing

¹ The motion was filed the Coalition of Cities (cities of Bliss et al.), the City of Idaho Falls, and the City of Pocatello.

on the Sixth Methodology Order that fully addressed all of the information either presented at or before the administrative hearing. As such, the Director properly denied the requested hearing. *See Order Denying Request for Hearing and Motion Authorizing Discovery* at 2-3.

Moreover, the Cities have appealed the Director's *Post-Hearing Order* that addresses the issues raised on the Fifth Methodology Order, including the updated data the Director included in the Sixth Methodology Order. Although the Cities had a right to appeal the Sixth Methodology Order they failed to do so. Regardless, all of the Cities except Idaho Falls have appeared in IGWA's appeal of the Sixth Methodology Order.² Consequently, there is nothing to clarify and the petitions for judicial appeal will proceed pursuant to Idaho's civil rules.

II. The Director Properly Denied the Cities' Request for Discovery.

The Cities have also requested the Director to reconsider the denial of their motion to authorize discovery. *See* Motion at 2-3. Since the request for hearing was denied, the request to authorize discovery was properly denied as well. The Cities misconstrue this matter as a "continuing contested case" with no end. Motion at 2. Although the Director's methodology regarding the SWC delivery call may be updated at some point in time, that does not mean the prior contested cases resulting in "final orders" that have been appealed or are currently on appeal to the District Court are continuing *ad infinitum* as the Cities suggest. To find otherwise would keep the parties in a perpetual state of discovery, motion practice, and litigation, without any culminating hearing or end.³ Such a scenario has no basis in statute or rule and would be unduly burdensome and mire the parties in endless litigation expense.

² Whether the City of Idaho Falls will file a notice of appearance is unknown. If the cases are ultimately consolidated it may not matter as all parties will be participating.

³ It is curious why the Cities seem determined to re-litigate prior contested cases and outcomes in the face of their approved mitigation plan for the SWC delivery call and safe harbor from curtailment pursuant to the CM Rules. The request for discovery is unwarranted and would be overly burdensome in the context of what has already

Finally, the Cities' argument that they could not "adequately prepare" for the prior hearing is also erroneous and does not support their present reconsideration request. These arguments have been previously addressed by both the Director and the District Court. The Cities' continued efforts to "redo" prior contested cases is unwarranted and was properly denied by the Director. Since the final agency orders are presently on appeal to the district court, the agency should refrain from restarting contested cases as requested by the Cities.

CONCLUSION

The Cities have pointed to no new authority or information that would warrant reconsideration of the Director's August 23, 2023 order. The Coalition respectfully requests the Director to deny the Cities' Motion accordingly.

DATED this 19th day of September, 2023.

MARTEN LAW LLP

Travis L. Thompson

Attorneys for A&B Irrigation District, Burley Irrigation District, Milner Irrigation District, North Side Canal Company, and Twin Falls Canal Company

FLETCHER LAW OFFICE

for

W. Kent Fletcher

Attorneys for American Falls Reservoir District #2 and Minidoka Irrigation District

preceded in this matter. The Department is right to deny such tactics that would result in wasted time and resources for the various canal companies and irrigation districts.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 19th day of September, 2023, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing on the following by the method indicated:

Director Mat Weaver Garrick Baxter Sarah Tschohl State of Idaho Dept. of Water Resources 322 E Front St. Boise, ID 83720-0098 *** service by electronic mail <u>mat.weaver@idwr.idaho.gov</u> <u>garrick.baxter@idwr.idaho.gov</u> <u>sarah.tschohl@idwr.idaho.gov</u> <u>file@idwr.idaho.gov</u>	Matt Howard U.S. Bureau of Reclamation 1150 N. Curtis Rd. Boise, ID 83706-1234 *** service by electronic mail only <u>mhoward@usbr.gov</u>	Tony Olenichak IDWR – Eastern Region 900 N. Skyline Dr., Ste. A Idaho Falls, ID 83402-1718 **** service by electronic mail only tony.olenichak@idwr.idaho.gov
T.J. Budge Elisheva Patterson Racine Olson P.O. Box 1391 Pocatello, ID 83204-1391 *** service by electronic mail only tj@racineolson.com elisheva@racineolson.com	Sarah A. Klahn Max C. Bricker Diane Thompson Somach Simmons & Dunn 2033 11 th St., Ste. 5 Boulder, CO 80302 *** service by electronic mail only <u>sklahn@somachlaw.com</u> <u>mbricker@somachlaw.com</u> <u>dthompson@somachlaw.com</u>	David Gehlert ENRD – DOJ 999 18 th St. South Terrace, Ste. 370 Denver, CO 80202 *** service by electronic mail only <u>david.gehlert@usdoj.gov</u>
Rich Diehl City of Pocatello P.O. Box 4169 Pocatello, ID 83201 *** service by electronic mail only <u>rdiehl@pocatello.us.</u>	William A. Parsons Parsons, Smith & Stone LLP P.O. Box 910 Burley, ID 83318 *** service by electronic mail only wparsons@pmt.org	Corey Skinner IDWR – Southern Region 650 Addison Ave W, Ste. 500 Twin Falls, ID 83301-5858 *** service by electronic mail only corey.skinner@idwr.idaho.gov
W. Kent Fletcher Fletcher Law Offices P.O. Box 248 Burley, ID 83318 *** service by electronic mail only wkf@pmt.org	Kathleen Carr U.S. Dept. Interior, Office of Solicitor Pacific Northwest Region, Boise 960 Broadway, Ste. 400 Boise, ID 83706 *** service by electronic mail only <u>kathleenmarion.carr@sol.doi.gov</u>	Candice McHugh Chris M. Bromley McHugh Bromley, PLLC 380 South 4 th Street, Ste. 103 Boise, ID 83702 *** service by electronic mail only <u>cbromley@mchughbromley.com</u> <u>cmchugh@mchughbromley.com</u>

Robert E. Williams	Robert L. Harris	Randall D. Fife
Williams, Meservy & Lothspeich,	Holden, Kidwell, Hahn & Crapo,	City Attorney, City of Idaho Falls
LLP	PLLC	P.O. Box 50220
P.O. Box 168	P.O. Box 50130	Idaho Falls, ID 83405
Jerome, ID 83338	Idaho Falls, ID 83405	*** service by electronic mail only
*** service by electronic mail only	*** service by electronic mail only	
		rfife@idahofallsidaho.gov
rewilliams@wmlattys.com	rharris@holdenlegal.com	
Skyler Johns	Dylan Anderson	
Steven Taggart	Dylan Anderson Law PLLC	
Nathan Olsen	P.O. Box 35	
Olsen Taggart PLLC	Rexburg, ID 83440	
P.O. Box 3005	*** service by electronic mail only	
Idaho Falls, ID 83403		
*** service by electronic mail only	dylan@dylanandersonlaw.com	
sjohns@olsentaggart.com		
staggart@olsentaggart.com		
nolsen@olsentaggart.com		

Jessica Nielsen Assistant for Travis L. Thompson