RECEIVED

Nov 17, 2023

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Albert P. Barker, ISB #2867 Abigail R. Bitzenburg, ISB #12198 MARTEN LAW LLP

101 S. Capitol Blvd. Suite 305

P.O. Box 2139

Boise, Idaho 83701-2139 Telephone: (208) 336-0700 Email: abarker@martenlaw.com

abitzenburg@martenlaw.com

James R. Laski, ISB #2248 Heather E. O'Leary, ISB #8693 **LAWSON LASKI CLARK, PLLC** 675 Sun Valley Rd., Ste. A

P.O. Box 3310

Ketchum, Idaho 83340 Telephone: (208) 725-0055 Email: jrl@lawsonlaski.com

> <u>heo@lawsonlaski.com</u> <u>efile@lawsonlaski.com</u>

Attorneys for South Valley Ground Water District

Attorneys for Galena Ground Water District

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF THE BIG WOOD GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT AREA

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATIONS FOR PERMITS FOR THE DIVERSION AND USE OF SURFACE AND GROUND WATER WITHIN THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN SOUTH VALLEY GROUND WATER DISTRICT AND GALENA GROUND WATER DISTRICT'S POST-HEARING BRIEF

COMES NOW, South Valley Ground Water District (South Valley) and Galena Ground Water District (Galena), by and through their counsel of record, and hereby submits their post-hearing brief in response to the Director's instructions and request at the close of the administrative hearing held October 16-18, 2023.

The Director held an administrative hearing on the Big Wood and Snake River Consolidated Moratorium ("Hearing") on October 16-18, 2023. Certain parties filed objections to the Moratorium Orders and requests for hearing. Those parties raised statements of issues to be addressed. *Order Consolidating Proceedings for Hearing*. The Hearing was divided into two phases—phase one addressed the objections by certain municipalities and community water systems to the requirement in the Orders that those municipal and community rights be deemed fully consumptive for purposes of the moratorium,

because the municipal right holders have the ability to fully consume their municipal water rights. And this right to fully consume the municipal right exists outside the four corners of the water right. The second phase addressed the assertion by certain Wood River Valley municipalities that ground water pumping above Glendale Bridge in the Big Wood had no significant hydrologic effect on flows in Silver Creek.

The 2022 Moratorium Order stated:

"Hydrogeologic analysis and modeling since implementation of the Management Policy confirm significant interaction between surface water and ground water in the BWRGWMA. Pumping ground water from within the BWRGWMA affects surface water flows in the Big Wood River drainage upstream from Magic Reservoir and in Silver Creek, a key tributary of the Little Wood River. Lower ground water levels would result in less aquifer discharge to surface water. New development of consumptive ground water use would reduce the quantity of water available to fill senior surface water rights during times when administration by priority is necessary." 2022 Moratorium Order at 3.

The City of Bellevue objected to the Director's language in the 2022 Moratorium Order, and requested that specific changes be made, including the following:

"The second sentence should be modified to state that "Pumping ground water from within the BWRGWMA can affect surface water flows" The third sentence should be modified to read "Lower ground water levels could result in less aquifer discharge" Finally, the fourth sentence should be modified to state "New development of consumptive ground water use could reduce the quantity" Motion for Clarification and Reconsideration and Request for Hearing at 3.

The Director responded to these objections by identifying Issue 2 for the Hearing as, "[w]hether all pumping in the BWGWMA has an impact on all surface water sources upstream from Magic Reservoir, including Silver Creek." *Order Consolidating Proceedings for Hearing* at 2. The Cities then requested that the following language be added to the Order to address the connection to Silver Creek:

"Silver Creek is materially impacted only by groundwater pumping within the Bellevue Triangle south of the Glendale Bridge. Absent offsets or mitigation, groundwater pumping from the alluvial aquifer of the Big Wood River and its tributaries north of the Glendale Bridge materially impacts the flow of the Big Wood River, and has no material impact on the flow of Silver Creek." *Sullivan Report* at 4. (Ex. 314).

In this post-hearing memorandum, South Valley and Galena address only the second issue. With respect to the first issue, South Valley and Galena rely on, and incorporate by reference herein, the Surface Water Coalition's post-hearing memorandum.

INTRODUCTION

In June of 1991, the Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources ("IDWR") issued an order designating the Big Wood River Ground Water Management Area ("BWRGWMA")—an area extending upstream from Magic Reservoir and including the Camas Prairie and Silver Creek. *Order Designating Big Wood River Ground Water Management Area* ("1991 Moratorium Order"). (Ex. 200). The *1991 Moratorium Order* established that the surface and ground waters of the Big Wood River Drainage are interconnected. *1991 Moratorium Order* at 1. (Ex. 200). Concurrently, IDWR enacted a management policy for the BWRGWMA that allowed approval of water right permit applications for consumptive use, provided that the applicant could show that there was no injury or that the applicant demonstrated mitigation for the new proposed water right. *Management Plan for the Big Wood River Ground Water Management Area* ("1991 Management Policy") at 3. (Ex. 200). The policy also allowed for approval of water right permit applications for non-consumptive, municipal, stockwater, or domestic uses. *1991 Management Policy* at 3. (Ex. 200).

In March 2022, the Director adopted a ground water management plan for the Big Wood basin at the request of water users in the Big Wood basin (including the objector municipalities here) that is separate from the 1991 Management Policy (Ex. 200). 2022 Big Wood River Ground Water Management Plan. ("2022 Management Plan"). (Ex. 245). The 2022 Management Plan (Ex. 245) also included an agreed-upon provision stating that the parties would petition the Director to establish a moratorium for the BWRGWMA. 2022 Management Plan at 12 (Ex. 245). That petition was filed, and the Director thereafter issued the 2022 Moratorium Order.

The 2022 Management Plan (Ex. 245) is designed to control and reduce effects on surface water rights from ground water pumping within the BWRGWMA. Zach Hill-Technical Memorandum—

Summary of Ground Water Use Data. (Ex. 206). The main goal of this Management Plan is "to manage the effects of ground water withdrawals on the aquifers from which the withdrawals are made and any other hydraulically connected sources of water." IDAHO CODE § 42-233b; (Ex. 245). The 2022

Management Plan (Ex. 245) concluded that, "hydrogeologic analysis and modeling since implementation of the initial management policy confirm significant interaction between surface water and ground water in the BWRGWMA." 2022 Management Plan at 3. (Ex. 245). The Director also noted that, "development of consumptive ground water use would reduce the quantity of water available to fill senior surface water rights during times when administration by priority is necessary." 2022 Management Plan at 3. (Ex. 245).

South Valley and Galena both have immediate and direct interests in the Order because their members hold ground water rights that are all located within the BWRGWMA, which is impacted by the 2022 Management Plan. (Ex. 245). South Valley and Galena intervened and participated in the Hearing before the Director.

I. All groundwater pumping within the BWRGWMA above Magic has an impact on flows in the Big Wood and on Silver Creek.

Per the *Order Consolidating Proceedings for Hearing*, the second issue ("Issue 2") for the Hearing was defined as, "[w]hether all pumping in the BWRGWMA has an impact on all surface water sources upstream from Magic Reservoir including Silver Creek." *Order Consolidating Proceedings for Hearing* at 2. The answer to this question is an unqualified "yes."

II. The testimony on Issue 2

Greg Sullivan, P.E., Spronk Water Engineers, Expert for Cities

Mr. Sullivan proposed that the following language be included in the Moratorium Order:

"Silver Creek is materially impacted only by groundwater pumping within the Bellevue Triangle south of the Glendale Bridge. Absent offsets or mitigation, groundwater pumping from the alluvial aquifer of the Big Wood River and its tributaries north of the Glendale Bridge materially impacts the flow of the Big Wood River, and has no material impact on the flow of Silver Creek." *Sullivan Report* at 5. (Ex. 314).

He argued that there were no material impacts from pumping on the Big Wood and its tributaries above Glendale Bridge on the flow in Silver Creek. *Transcript of Hearing* at 575. (Ex. 314). Mr. Sullivan's testimony was based entirely on his reading of Ms. Sukow's report (Ex. 202) from the 2021 Contested Case Hearing—he did no modeling or analysis of his own to determine the full extent of the impacts to Silver Creek. *Transcript of Hearing* at 558. Rather, he relied on the Department's decision to

limit the scope of the 2021 Contested Case to in-season pumping in the Triangle area for the remainder of the 2021 irrigation season. Mr. Sullivan testified that Ms. Sukow's report was predicated on the impacts of one year of pumping, when in fact, her report involved a three-month impact analysis. *Transcript of Hearing* at 576 and at 599. He offered an opinion that there would be no significant impact to Silver Creek if mitigation water is provided to offset the impacts to the Big Wood. *Transcript of Hearing* at 555 and at 573. But this statement assumed that there were no direct impacts to Silver Creek from pumping above Glendale Bridge. Mr. Sullivan admitted that ground water pumping above Glendale Bridge affects the flows in the Big Wood and that there is a substantial hydrological connection between the Big Wood and Silver Creek, as a general matter. *Transcript of Hearing* at 589 and at 552. Mr. Sullivan was asked how full mitigation would occur and replied that the Cities "haven't gotten that far." *Transcript of Hearing* at 573. In other words, even he conceded that there is a hydrologic impact to the Big Wood that would in turn affect Silver Creek from upstream pumping, and that impact would have to be mitigated.

Jennifer Sukow, Hydrology Section Modeler, IDWR, Expert for IDWR

Ms. Sukow described her modeling runs and flow models that were based on the USGS Big

Wood ground water model that she prepared for the 2021 Contested Case Hearing. *Predicted hydrologic*response in Silver Creek and the Little Wood River to curtailment of ground water use in 2021. (Ex. 202).

She disagreed with Mr. Sullivan's interpretation of her 2021 report on impacts to Silver Creek, explaining that pumping on the Big Wood impacts Silver Creek and pumping upstream from Magic Reservoir impacts the Big Wood. She testified that, over one year, the direct impact of pumping from above the Glendale Bridge to Silver Creek was 8% of the pumped volume while 92% were impacts to the Big Wood. This 8% direct impact on Silver Creek was an average of the impacts from all the areas above Glendale Bridge with some areas having greater impacts than others. She clarified that her testimony in the 2021 Contested Case Hearing was predicated on a three-month model run, not a one-year time period, and concluded that, in her view, the model demonstrates that there are significant direct impacts to Silver Creek from pumping above Glendale Bridge over that longer time frame. She also testified that there were indirect impacts to Silver Creek from reduced flows into the Big Wood leaving

reduced recharge and increased pumping in the Bellevue Triangle that had not been quantified. Ms. Sukow agreed with Sean Vincent's testimony in the 2021 Contested Case that there is a strong correlation between Big Wood flows at Hailey and flow in Silver Creek. (Ex. 203).

Zach Hill, Ecosystem Sciences, Expert for Galena

Mr. Hill explained the tables of ground water pumping analysis that he provided for the Hearing. His data was collected from ground water use measurements by flow meters installed at each well pump location. *Technical Memorandum—Summary of Ground Water Use Data*. (Ex. 206). The data is monitored and reported annually as a total volume to IDWR and is then verified and recorded in the Water Measurement Information System ("WMIS"). *Technical Memorandum—Summary of Ground Water Use Data*. (Ex. 206). Mr. Hill reviewed the WMIS data provided by IDWR to determine the Water District 37 ground water use volumes. *Technical Memorandum—Summary of Ground Water Use Data*. (Ex. 206).

"Baseline ground water use data was developed from a 5-year average of historic ground water use for entities in the BWRGWMA. The concept of the baseline average was developed by the BWRGWMA Advisory Committee and utilizes the water years of 2015, 2016, 2018, 2019, and 2020 from which the 5-year baseline average is calculated. The baseline volumes of ground water use were refined through discussions and reviews with IDWR and ultimately agreed to at the March 28, 2023, BWRGWMA Advisory Committee meeting." *Technical Memorandum—Summary of Ground Water Use Data*. (Ex. 206).

Mr. Hill's tables showed that municipal pumping in the Big Wood above Glendale Bridge constituted almost one third of the total pumping in the basin in the year after the *2022 Management Plan* (Ex. 245) went into effect.

Dr. Kendra Kaiser, Research Assistant Professor, Expert for South Valley

Dr. Kaiser has a B.S. in Soil and Water Science & Environmental Biology, and a Ph.D. in Watershed Hydrology & Biogeochemistry. She works primarily in co-production of research with water resource stewards and managers and uses hydrologic and ecological knowledge to select appropriate statistical, physical, and machine learning models to understand and predict stream flows. She has worked extensively in the Big Wood drainage for the Wood River Collaborative. She collected all available data in the Big Wood basin in order to create the statistical models that formed the basis for her expert report.

Technical Memorandum: Statistical Modeling of Irrigation Season Streamflow. (Ex. 205). She testified that all data that she used had to be available in real-time—including SNOTEL data, AgriMet data, United States Geological Survey data, and SNODAS data. Dr. Kaiser described the statistical flow models that she compiled for the Wood River Collaborative that predicted the delayed impacts of snow melt flowing down through the Wood River Valley and eventually reaching Silver Creek. She described the process as often taking at least six months—the "lag component." Her models show the impacts to Silver Creek from pumping. Technical Memorandum: Statistical Modeling of Irrigation Season Streamflow. (Ex. 205). The statistical models cover the time frame from 2004 to the present and are confirmed by observations. Technical Memorandum: Statistical Modeling of Irrigation Season Streamflow. (Ex. 205). She testified that Mr. Sullivan's conclusions failed to capture that full scope of impact of the effects of pumping over time.

Erick Powell, Project Manager, Brockway Engineering, Expert for Galena

Mr. Powell testified that terminology used in the *Sullivan Report* (Ex. 314)—"material hydraulic impact"—is not an accepted industry term and therefore he did not agree with Mr. Sullivan's contention that there would be no material hydraulic impact to Silver Creek from pumping on the Big Wood above the Glendale Bridge. Mr. Powell explained his own analysis, including an independent model run from the Wood River ground water model that he conducted for this hearing, that showed a direct in-season impact from a randomly selected City of Bellevue well. *Technical Memo: Joint Expert Witness Report*. (Ex. 204). He stated that it is appropriate and necessary to analyze a longer time period for impacts from pumping than the three-month time period used by Mr. Sullivan. Figure 2 of Mr. Powell's expert report simulated the impact of the City of Bellevue well and the response of pumping on Silver Creek. *Technical Memo: Joint Expert Witness Report*. (Ex. 204). The model predicted that ground water pumping will impact Silver Creek, and the impacts occur over time. *Technical Memo: Joint Expert Witness Report*. (Ex. 204). He noted that, while it is difficult to quantify impacts from pumping, that should not relieve the need to mitigate impacts. Mr. Powell testified that he was unaware of any mitigation measures undertaken by the Cities. Finally, he explained that the *2022 Management Plan* (Ex. 245) should not be amended to

Mr. Sullivan's mitigation measure proposal because the approach is too simplistic, Glendale Bridge is not a cut-off line, and the "material impact" analysis by Mr. Sullivan is still unclear as to its meaning.

Dave Shaw, ERO Resources, Expert for South Valley

Mr. Shaw testified that there are significant impacts to Silver Creek resulting from depletions to the Big Wood, because those depletions to the Big Wood River would reduce diversions from the Big Wood to surface water canals in the Bellevue Triangle. *Transcript of Hearing* at 603. That resulting reduction in diversions would decrease seepage to the aquifer and increase the amount of time when supplemental ground water rights would be employed by surface water users. He explained that 90% of the water users in the Triangle have both surface water and supplemental wells. *Transcript of Hearing* at 598. Reduction in seepage losses and increased pumping from the supplemental wells both would impact Silver Creek. *Transcript of Hearing* at 601.

III. The Cities argue that mitigation is sufficient to redress any potential injuries to Silver Creek.

The Cities claim that locations upstream from Magic Reservoir and Silver Creek will not be "materially impacted" by ground water pumping on the Big Wood River. Mr. Sullivan, the Cities' expert witness, testified that he believed there would be a finding of "no impact," but if there were any impacts, they could be fully mitigated by mitigating the impacts of pumping above Glendale Bridge directly on the Big Wood, thereby preventing any injury to flows in Silver Creek. *Transcript of Hearing* at 588. As noted above, this argument does not demonstrate that there is no material connection between pumping above Glendale Bridge and flows in Silver Creek, but essentially admits that there is a connection between pumping above Glendale Bridge and flows in Silver Creek that must be mitigated.

IV. South Valley, Galena, and IDWR expert testimony conclusively demonstrates that impacts will occur on Silver Creek and the Big Wood from pumping above Glendale Bridge.

Mr. Shaw addressed the impacts of ground water pumping on the Big Wood River and concluded that impacts would definitely occur upstream of Magic Reservoir and on Silver Creek. *Transcript of Hearing* at 603. Mr. Shaw explained the complex interrelationship between upstream pumping, flows in the Big Wood, diversions into the canals in the Triangle and increased supplemental pumping, all of which

would impact Silver Creek. Ultimately, the burden of trying to calculate mitigation and monitor the process would fall on the Director. *Transcript of Hearing* at 606. The burden of determining the amount of mitigation required would be triggered by new applications. *Transcript of Hearing* at 606. Ms. Sukow and Dr. Kaiser both noted the strong correlation between Big Wood flows in Hailey and Silver Creek, using different analytical tools. Mr. Powell's model run showed that, using the IDWR/USGS Big Wood Model that the Department relies upon in the basin, there is a direct hydrologic connection between the City of Bellevue's wells and Silver Creek. Further, he explained that the *Sullivan Report* (Ex. 314) is too simplistic—much more data is necessary to understand the full extent of impacts than what Mr. Sullivan utilized.

ARGUMENT

The data provided by IDWR, South Valley, and Galena is abundantly clear—pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge shows both direct and indirect negative effects on Silver Creek from ground water pumping on the Big Wood River. For those reasons, and as explained below, the Director should not accept the Cities' invitation to modify the order.

I. The priority doctrine of Idaho establishes that junior appropriators must not injure the rights of senior users and bear the burden of proof to show that no such injury has, or will, occur.

The Cities, as junior water users, failed to meet the required burden of proof. "First in time, is first in right" is a foundational principle of the prior appropriation doctrine in Idaho. *In the Matter of Distribution of Water to Various Water Rts. Held By or For Ben of A&B Irrigation Dist.*, 155 Idaho 640, 315 P.3d 828, 838 (2013). Once an initial determination is made that material injury is occurring, or will occur, the junior user bears the burden of proving that the call would be futile or to challenge that determination. *American Falls Reservoir District. No. 2 v. IDWR*, 143 Idaho 862, 878 (2007). Junior appropriators who claim their diversions will not injure senior appropriators must establish that claim by "clear and convincing evidence." *A&B Irr. Dist. v. IDWR*, 153 Idaho 500, 241 (2012). While this Moratorium proceeding does not involve a delivery call, it is still based on the fundamentals of Idaho water law. By the proposed language Mr. Sullivan proposes to add to the Moratorium Order, the Cities

seek to circumvent any possible claim that there ever could be a material injury to downstream users from pumping above Glendale Bridge, no matter how large the new development—a fantastical argument.

As stated in the 2022 Management Plan (Ex. 245), "New development of consumptive ground water use would reduce the quantity of water available to fill senior surface water rights during times when administration by priority is necessary." That initial determination in the 2022 Management Plan (Ex. 245) established that material injury was likely to occur if a broader moratorium was not promulgated, thereby placing the burden of proof on the junior appropriators—the Cities.

The Cities failed to meet the necessary burden of proof—that of clear and convincing—because they offered no evidence to support that notion and only an argument that was founded on data analysis by the Department that was conducted for an entirely different purpose, i.e., the 2021 mid-season curtailment scenario. Mr. Sullivan's report claimed that "Based on the proximity of the ground water wells of Bellevue and Hailey to the Big Wood River, it is logical and reasonable that pumping of these wells would hydraulically impact the flow of the Big Wood River and not the flow of Silver Creek." *Sullivan Report.* (Ex. 314). However, Mr. Sullivan's conclusion was based on just three months of data, none of which he personally collected. An abundance of other data has been produced by IDWR, South Valley, and Galena explaining the interconnectivity of ground water pumping within the BWRGWMA and impacts to Silver Creek. It is well-documented that impacts from pumping within the BWRGWMA can occur in Silver Creek—a fact supported by IDWR, and the reports and testimony from South Valley and Galena's experts. Mr. Sullivan's report based on IDWR's data over a three-month period hardly meets the requisite clear and convincing standard to be satisfied by junior water right holders. *Sullivan Report*. (Ex. 314).

Contrary to the scant evidence presented by Cities, the interconnectivity of ground water pumping on the Big Wood and the resulting impacts to upstream uses and Silver Creek, was identified when the original 1991 Moratorium Order (Ex. 200) was promulgated. With modern data techniques now available, evidence presented by the experts at the Hearing further enforces that conclusion. Dr. Kaiser testified that, "connection between Big Wood River streamflow and Silver Creek occurs through surface

water losses from the stream channel into ground water." *Kaiser Memo* at 2. (Ex. 205). She further noted that pumping to the north of the Glendale Bridge would have a delayed response time in Silver Creek that would occur outside of the time frame Sullivan relied on. *Kaiser Memo* at 2. (Ex. 205). Mr. Sullivan erroneously concluded that pumping north of Glendale Bridge results in "insignificant hydraulic impacts on the flow of Silver Creek." *Sullivan Memo* at 4. (Ex. 314). Based upon Ms. Sukow's 2021 expert report, as well as her own statistical modeling, Dr. Kaiser determined that the changes to the *Moratorium Order* (Ex. 300) proposed by Sullivan are not appropriate as written, due to the reality that impacts to Silver Creek that originate from pumping north of Glendale Bridge take longer than the three-month modeling period Mr. Sullivan utilized. The Cities failed to meet their necessary burden due to their inability to present clear and convincing evidence showing that there would not be an impact on Silver Creek, in the face of a plethora of evidence that impacts will occur.

II. The 2022 Management Plan language should not be modified because it is appropriately designed to protect against potential injury to senior surface and ground water rights.

The 1991 Management Policy established that "most consumptive use applications will be denied unless the applicants can demonstrate that there will be no injury or can provide acceptable mitigation to prior rights." 1991 Management Policy. (Ex. 200). The 1991 Moratorium Order stated that,

"surface and ground waters of the Big Wood River drainage are interconnected. Diversion of ground water from wells can deplete the surface water flow in streams and rivers. New ground water uses can also deplete available supplies for other users and affect basin underflow which presently accumulates in Magic Reservoir." 1991 Moratorium Order at 1. (Ex. 200).

Further, "injury could occur to prior surface and ground water rights, including the storage right in Magic Reservoir, if the flows of streams, rivers, and ground water underflow in the Big Wood River Basin are intercepted by junior priority ground water diversions." 1991 Moratorium Order at 1. (Ex. 200). Since 1991, conditions have not substantially changed, and a moratorium remains necessary. The 2022 Management Order (Ex. 245) describes water rights developed prior to the 1991 Management Policy (Ex. 200) as exacerbating short-term water level declines. The 2022 Management Plan (Ex. 245) goes on to explain that, if allowed to resume, new development of consumptive ground water use will likely cause

long-term ground water declines, resulting in less recovery during wet years, and even lower ground water levels in dry years.

The 2022 Management Plan (Ex. 245) concludes that analysis and modeling done since implementation of the 1991 Management Policy (Ex. 200) confirms significant interaction between surface and ground water in the BWRGWMA, and pumping of ground water from within the BWRGWMA affects surface water flows in the Big Wood River drainage upstream from Magic Reservoir and Silver Creek. Lower ground water levels lead to less aquifer discharge to surface water, therefore, any new development on consumptive ground water reduces the quantity of water available to fulfill senior surface water rights. 2022 Moratorium Order (Ex. 245). The 1991 Moratorium Order (Ex. 200) was promulgated for a reason—the basis of which has not faded but has instead worsened.

IDAHO CODE § 42-1805(7) authorizes the Director to suspend the issuance or further action on applications to appropriate water as necessary to protect existing water rights. Further, Rule 55 of the Department's Water Appropriation Rules (IDAPA 37.03.08) states that the Director may establish moratoriums, as necessary, to protect existing water rights. IDAHO CODE §§ 42-101, -103, -226 declare all surface water and all ground water within the state of Idaho to be the property of the state, whose duty it is to supervise the appropriation and allotment of the water to those diverting the same for beneficial use. The Director, acting on behalf of the state of Idaho, has the statutory authority to control the appropriation and use of all surface and ground waters within the state in accordance with, but not limited to, IDAHO CODE § 42-101, 42-103, 42-202(1), 42-220, 42-226, 42-237a.g., 42351, and 42-602. IDAHO CODE § 42-229 prescribes "the application permit and license procedure" as the method of appropriating ground water. IDAHO CODE § 42-1805 grants the Director limited authority to prohibit appropriation of water. The Director is only authorized to "suspend the issuance or further action on permits or applications..." Idaho Code § 42-1805.

IDAPA Rule 37.03.08.055 (Water Appropriation Rule 55) states that the Director may establish moratoriums, as necessary, to protect existing water rights. The Cities do not argue that the Moratorium Order is not necessary to protect existing rights. Rather they argue that the Director either exceeded his

authority or abused his discretion in not excluding certain future water users or applicants from the Moratorium on the grounds that over a three-month period their future pumping wouldn't affect a small portion of the Moratorium area. That claim, as shown by the hearing testimony, is simply unsupported.

The Director is required to conduct oversight and management of water rights in Idaho in accordance with "first in time, first in right" and ensure that existing water rights are protected. The Director is statutorily responsible for ensuring that beneficial use is being made of the surface and ground water in the state of Idaho. Within the realm of those duties is the need to issue Moratoriums, as necessary. Therefore, under Idaho law, the Director has the authority to establish a Moratorium that protects both the flows in Silver Creek and the Silver Creek users, but also to protect surface water users in the Big Wood, including the Triangle, as well as South Valley and Galena's existing senior ground water rights from any future junior applications from above Glendale Bridge.

The 2022 *Moratorium Order* (Ex. 300) was required because of impacts on senior water use and created under the established principles of Idaho water law—that of first in time, first in right—and is intended to ensure that senior water rights are protected. Therefore, the language in the *Moratorium Order* should not be changed, as the Cities request, to find no impact on the flow of Silver Creek. The original intent of the *1991 Moratorium Order* (Ex. 200) remains valid, and the Cities were unable to present proof to the contrary.

III. The Director has determined that senior water users would be affected by future applications.

In determining that pumping within the BWRGWMA impacts Silver Creek, thereby necessitating a moratorium, and in adopting the updated management plan, the Director acted within his discretion. "Somewhere between the absolute right to use a decreed water right and an obligation not to waste it and to protect the public's interest in this valuable commodity, lies an area for the exercise of discretion by the Director." Clear Springs Foods, Inc. v. Spackman, 150 Idaho 790, 819 (2011) quoting American Falls Reservoir Dist. No. 2 v. Idaho Dept. of Water Resources, 143 Idaho 862 (2007). Idaho Code empowers the Director to take certain actions "in effectuation of the policy of this state to conserve its groundwater

resources." IDAHO CODE § 42-237a. One of those actions is "to supervise and control the exercise and administration of all rights to the use of groundwater." IDAHO CODE § 42-237a. While not absolute, the Director has discretion to balance the many considerations necessary when issuing a moratorium. The Cities have argued that there is not enough impact from pumping on the Big Wood for there to be harm to Silver Creek. In doing so, they hope to shift the burden from future pumping to other water users, including South Valley and Galena. However, the evidence is undisputed that, over a period of time exceeding the three-month model run from the 2021 in-season curtailment order, there is at least an 8% direct effect on Silver Creek from pumping on the Big Wood above Glendale Bridge. Mitigation is exactly what the 2022 Moratorium Order requires. Under the established discretion of the Director, the 2022 Moratorium Order (Ex. 300) is a proper exercise of discretion and exempting the Cities from mitigating impacts to Silver Creek is simply not justifiable.

CONCLUSION

The Cities did not carry the burden necessary to show that negative impacts will not occur to flows in the Big Wood and Silver Creek due to future ground water pumping on the Big Wood River above Glendale Bridge. Their claim based on a truncated three-month model run is not sufficient to support the broad-brush exemption from the Moratorium Order that they request. South Valley and Galena respectfully ask the Director to approve the Moratorium Order as written and reject the proposed amendment offered by the Cities.

DATED this 17th day of November, 2023.

MARTEN LAW LLP

LAWSON LASKI CLARK, PLLC

/S/ Albert P. Barker

Albert P. Barker

/S/ Heather E. O'Leary Heather E. O'Leary

Attorney for South Valley Ground Water

Attorney for Galena Ground Water District

District

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 17th day of November, 2023, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing **SOUTH VALLEY AND GALENA'S POST-HEARING BRIEF** by the method indicated below, and addressed to each of the following:

Director Mat Weaver	Candice McHugh	Michael P. Lawrence
IDWR	Chris Bromley	Charlie S. Baser
322 E Front St	McHugh Bromley, PLLC	Givens Pursley, LLP
Boise, ID 83720-0098	380 S. 4th St., Ste. 103	P.O. Box 2720
*** service by U.S. Mail and	Boise, ID 83702	Boise, ID 83701-2720
electronic mail		
	cmchugh@mchughbromley.com	mpl@givenspursley.com
file@idwr.idaho.gov	cbromley@mchughbromley.com	csb@givenspursley.com
mat.weaver@idwr.idaho.gov		
garrick.baxter@idwr.idaho.gov		
Jerry R. Rigby	James R. Laski	Robert L. Harris
Chase T. Hendricks	Heather E. O'Leary	Holden, Kidwell, Hahn &
Rigby, Andrus & Rigby,	Lawson Laski Clark, PLLC	Crapo
Chartered	675 Sun Valley Road, Suite A	P.O. Box 50130
25 North Second East	P.O. Box 3310	Idaho Falls, ID 83405
Rexburg, ID 83440	Ketchum, ID 83340	
		rharris@holdenlegal.com
<u>irigby@rex-law.com</u>	<u>jrl@lawsonlaski.com</u>	
chendricks@rex-law.com	heo@lawsonlaski.com	
G 1 4 171 1	TI I D 1	N. C. 1
Sarah A. Klahn	Thomas J. Budge	Norman M. Semanko
Maximilian Bricker	Elisheva M. Patterson	Payton G. Hampton
Somach Simmons & Dunn	Racine Olson PLLP	Parsons Bahle & Latimer
2033 11th Street Suite 5	P.O. Box 1391	800 W. Main St., Ste. 1300
Boulder, CO 80302	Pocatello, ID 83204-1391	Boise, ID 83702
sklahn@somachlaw.com	tj@racineolson.com	nsemanko@parsonsbehle.com
mbricker@somachlaw.com	elisheva@racineolson.com	phampton@parsonsbehle.com
dthompson@somachlaw.com	CHSHC va(w)racincorson.com	phampton(a/parsonsocine.com
utilompson(a)somacmaw.com		
Dylan B. Lawrence	Kent Fletcher	
Varin Thomas LLC	Fletcher Law Office	
242 N. 8th Street, Suite 220	P.O. Box 248	
P.O. Box 1676	Burley, ID 83318	
Boise, ID 83701-1676	wkf@pmt.org	
dylan@varinthomas.com		

/s/ Abigail R. Bitzenburg
Abigail R. Bitzenburg

1	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
2	OF THE STATE OF IDAHO
3	
4	IN THE MATTER OF BIG WOOD RIVER)
5	GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT AREA)
6)
7	IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATIONS FOR)
8	PERMIT FOR THE DIVERSION AND USE)
9	OF SURFACE AND GROUND WATER WITHIN) VOLUME II
10	THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN) (Pages 315-615)
11)
12	
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
15	
16	BEFORE
17	HEARING OFFICER: MAT WEAVER
18	Date: October 17, 2023; 9:00 a.m.
19	Location: Idaho Department of Water Resources
20	322 East Front Street
21	Boise, Idaho
22	
23	REPORTED BY:
24	JEFF LaMAR, C.S.R. No. 640
25	Notary Public
	Page 315

1	APPEARANCES:	1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2		2	
3		3	
4		4	
5		5	For City of Pocatello:
6	For Idaho Department of Water Resources:	6	SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN
7	OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL	7	BY MAXIMILIAN C. BRICKER
8	IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES	8	MS. SARAH A. KLAHN (Present Remotely)
9	BY MR. GARRICK BAXTER	9	1155 Canyon Boulevard, Suite 110
10	322 East Front Street	10	Boulder, Colorado 80302
11	Boise, Idaho 83720	11	mbricker@somachlaw.com
12	garrick.baxter@idwr.idaho.gov	12	sklahn@somachlaw.com
13	For South Valley Ground Water District, A&B Irrigation	13	
14	District, Burley Irrigation District, Milner Irrigation	14	
15	District, North Side Canal Company, and Twin Falls	15	FLETCHER LAW OFFICE
16	Canal Company:	16	BY MR. W. KENT FLETCHER
17	MARTEN LAW LLP	17	Post Office Box 248
18	BY MR. ALBERT P. BARKER	18	Burley, Idaho 83318
19	MS. ABIGAIL R. BITZENBURG	19	wkf@pmt.org
20	1010 West Jefferson, Suite 102	20	
21	Post Office Box 2139	21	GIVENS PURSLEY LLP
22	Boise, Idaho 83701-2139	22	BY MR. MICHAEL P. LAWRENCE
23	abarker@martenlaw.com	23	Post Office Box 2720
24	abitzenburg@martenlaw.com	24	Boise, Idaho 83701-2720
25	-and-	25	mpl@givenspursley.com
	Page 316	23	Page 318
1	APPEARANCES (Continued):	1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2	, ,	2	,
3		3	For Upper Valley Districts and Big Wood & Little Wood
4	MARTEN LAW LLP	4	
5	BY MR. TRAVIS L. THOMPSON	5	RIGBY, ANDRUS & RIGBY LAW, PLLC
6	Post Office Box 63	6	BY MR. CHASE T. HENDRICKS
7	Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-0063	7	MR. JERRY R. RIGBY (Present Remotely)
8	tthompson@martenlaw.com	8	25 North Second East
9	For the City of Ammon, the City of Idaho Falls, and	9	Rexburg, Idaho 83440
10	Falls Water Co., Inc.:	10	jrigby@rex-law.com
11	HOLDEN, KIDWELL, HAHN & CRAPO, P.L.L.C.	11	For Galena Ground Water District:
12	BY MR. ROBERT L. HARRIS	12	LAWSON LASKI CLARK, PLLC
13	Post Office Box 50130	13	BY MS. HEATHER E. O'LEARY
14	Idaho Falls, Idaho 83405	14	Post Office Box 3310
15	rharris@holdenlegal.com	15	Ketchum, Idaho 83340
16	For Wellsprings Group, LLC, the City of Bellevue, the	16	heo@lawsonlaski.com
17	Coalition of Cities, the City of Meridian, the City of	17	
18	Boise, and the City of Post Falls:	18	(Present Remotely)
19	McHUGH BROMLEY, PLLC	19	PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER
20	BY MS. CANDICE M. McHUGH	20	BY NORMAN M. SEMANKO
21	MR. CHRIS M. BROMLEY	21	800 West Main Street, Suite 1300
22	380 South Fourth Street, Suite 103	22	Boise, Idaho 83702
23	Boise, Idaho 83702	23	nsemanko@parsonsbehle.com
24	cmchugh@mchughbromley.com	24	•
25	cbromley@mchughbromley.com	25	
	Page 317	1	Page 319

1	INDEX		1		INDEX (Continued)		
2	WATEN F. G. G. F. G.		2				
3	WITNESSES		3		WITNESSES		
4 5	TESTIMONY OF DAVID SHAW	PAGE	4	трет	IMONY OF CUDIC EDEDEDICACI	2NI	DACE
5 6	Direct Examination by Mr. Thompson	325	5		IMONY OF CHRIS FREDERICKSE	501	PAGE
7	Cross-Examination by Ms. McHugh	328			Examination by Mr. Harris ination by the Hearing Officer	521	
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Bricker	331	8	Lam	madon by the Hearing Officer	321	
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Bromley	334	9	TEST	IMONY OF GREGORY K. SULLIV	ΔN	
10	Redirect Examination by Mr. Barker	338	_		Examination by Ms. McHugh	533	
11	reducet Examination by Mr. Burker	330	11		Examination by Mr. Barker	550	
12	TESTIMONY OF DAVE COLVIN	PAGE			Examination by Mr. Fletcher	585	
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Thompson	340			ect Examination by Ms. McHugh	590	
14	Cross-Examination by Mr. Bricker	349	14				
15	Cross-Examination by Mr. Harris	355		TEST	IMONY OF DAVID SHAW		
16	Cross-Examination by Ms. McHugh	362			Examination by Mr. Barker	594	
17	Cross-Examination by Mr. Lawrence	364	17		Examination by Ms. McHugh	604	
18	•		18		Examination by Mr. Lawrence	606	
19	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JAMES CE	EFALO	19		•		
20	Direct Examination by Mr. Baxter	368	20		EXHIBITS		
21	Cross-Examination by Mr. Harris	379	21	NO.	MARKED ADI	MITTED	
22	Cross-Examination by Mr. Lawrence	399	22	1	*** 367		
23	Cross-Examination by Mr. Bromley	402	23	3	*** 367		
24	Cross-Examination by Mr. Barker	410	24	202	*** 610		
25	Redirect Examination by Mr. Baxter	412	25	204	*** 607		
		Page 320					Page 322
1	INDEX (Continued)		1				
2			2		INDEX (Continued)		
3	WITNESSES		3				
4			4		EXHIBITS		
5	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF GREGORY K. SULLIV.	AN PAGE	5	NO.	MARK	ED ADI	MITTED
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Bricker 414		6	314	***	608	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Harris 430		7	326	***	410	
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Fletcher 433		8	328	***	525	
9	Redirect Examination by Mr. Bricker 439		9	330	***	525	
10			10		***	525	
11	TESTIMONY OF CHRIS JOHNSON PAGE	GE	11	346	***	526	
12	Direct Examination by Ms. McHugh 445		12		***	441	
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Fletcher 453		13		***	441	
14	Cross-Examination by Mr. Barker 455		14		***	441	
15	Cross-Examination by Mr. Baxter 459		15	352	444	444	
16	Redirect Examination by Ms. McHugh 461		16				
17			17				
18	REMOTE TESTIMONY OF LEVI ADAMS		18				
19	Direct Examination by Mr. Bricker 470		19				
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Fletcher 484		20				
21			21				
22	TESTIMONY OF BRIAN YEAGER		22				
	Direct Examination by Mr. Lawrence 487		23				
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Thompson 498		24 25				
25		Page 321	23				Page 323
		1 450 321					1 1150 020

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: So good morning. This is	1	our exhibit binder back there. It should be labeled
2	a reminder, my name's Mat Weaver. I'm the Hearing	2	"Surface Water Coalition Exhibits."
3	Officer in this matter. We're meeting today on	3	And is your CV attached to Exhibit 1? Is
4	October 17th for the second day of a consolidated	4	it
5	hearing scheduled in response of petitions for hearing	5	A. It is, yes.
6	filed in I'm sorry, filed in response to the	6	Q. And does that generally describe your
7	Department's issuance of its order establishing	7	education and work history?
8	moratorium for the ground moratorium for the Big	8	A. It does.
9	Wood River Groundwater Management Area and its order on	9	Q. And have you been qualified as an expert
10	the Amended Snake River Basin Moratorium Order.	10	witness before IDWR?
11	Looking back on my notes, I believe we	11	A. I have.
12	concluded all direct and cross-examination of Terry	12	Q. And I think we stipulated yesterday that
13	Scanlan. So yesterday we got through three experts:	13	you would be qualified to offer testimony as an expert
14	Greg Sullivan, Terry Scanlan, Chuck Brockway.	14	witness. So I just want to put that on the record.
15	And so today I think we're up with either	15	THE HEARING OFFICER: That's my understanding.
16	Dave Colvin or Dave Shaw.	16	Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON): Can you please describe
17	Is that the case? All right.	17	what Exhibit 1 is.
18	Who would you like to call first,	18	A. Exhibit 1 is a report prepared by Dave
19	Mr. Thompson?	19	Colvin and myself at the request of Surface Water
20	MR. THOMPSON: Dave Shaw.	20	Coalition. It has some attachments from the Riverside
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Come on up.	21	case, information provided by the cities in that in
22	Morning, Dave.	22	that case.
23	DAVE SHAW: Morning.	23	Q. And can you describe what you were asked to
24	///	24	do in that report.
25		25	A. I was asked to look at the cities' current
	Page 324		Page 326
1	DAVID SHAW,	1	status and what their intent, as could be determined,
1 2	DAVID SHAW, having been called as a witness by the Surface Water	1 2	status and what their intent, as could be determined, would be for future disposal of wastewater.
	·		
2	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water	2	would be for future disposal of wastewater.
2 3	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water	2 3	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at
2 3 4	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows:	2 3 4	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report.
2 3 4 5	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat.	2 3 4 5	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did.
2 3 4 5 6	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record.	2 3 4 5 6	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those
2 3 4 5 6 7	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is David Shaw. 802 South	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those opinions that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is David Shaw. 802 South Plaza	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those opinions that you A. Well, based on the current law, a city or a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is David Shaw. 802 South Plaza SARAH TSCHOHL: David, will you hit the button.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those opinions that you A. Well, based on the current law, a city or a municipal provider can use their water supply to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is David Shaw. 802 South Plaza SARAH TSCHOHL: David, will you hit the button. There you go.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those opinions that you A. Well, based on the current law, a city or a municipal provider can use their water supply to extinction. They're not obligated to provide any return flow. And there seems to be a trend, an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is David Shaw. 802 South Plaza SARAH TSCHOHL: David, will you hit the button. There you go. MR. BAXTER: And pull it closer to you, too, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those opinions that you A. Well, based on the current law, a city or a municipal provider can use their water supply to extinction. They're not obligated to provide any return flow. And there seems to be a trend, an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is David Shaw. 802 South Plaza SARAH TSCHOHL: David, will you hit the button. There you go. MR. BAXTER: And pull it closer to you, too, if you don't mind. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those opinions that you A. Well, based on the current law, a city or a municipal provider can use their water supply to extinction. They're not obligated to provide any return flow. And there seems to be a trend, an indication from the cities, that they desire to continue to change their disposal methods, primarily
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is David Shaw. 802 South Plaza SARAH TSCHOHL: David, will you hit the button. There you go. MR. BAXTER: And pull it closer to you, too, if you don't mind. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Dave Shaw. 802 South Plaza Road	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those opinions that you A. Well, based on the current law, a city or a municipal provider can use their water supply to extinction. They're not obligated to provide any return flow. And there seems to be a trend, an indication from the cities, that they desire to continue to change their disposal methods, primarily
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is David Shaw. 802 South Plaza SARAH TSCHOHL: David, will you hit the button. There you go. MR. BAXTER: And pull it closer to you, too, if you don't mind. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Dave Shaw. 802 South Plaza Road	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those opinions that you A. Well, based on the current law, a city or a municipal provider can use their water supply to extinction. They're not obligated to provide any return flow. And there seems to be a trend, an indication from the cities, that they desire to continue to change their disposal methods, primarily because of the cost of treatment if they're going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is David Shaw. 802 South Plaza SARAH TSCHOHL: David, will you hit the button. There you go. MR. BAXTER: And pull it closer to you, too, if you don't mind. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Dave Shaw. 802 South Plaza Road in Emmett, Idaho.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those opinions that you A. Well, based on the current law, a city or a municipal provider can use their water supply to extinction. They're not obligated to provide any return flow. And there seems to be a trend, an indication from the cities, that they desire to continue to change their disposal methods, primarily because of the cost of treatment if they're going to dispose of the water.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is David Shaw. 802 South Plaza SARAH TSCHOHL: David, will you hit the button. There you go. MR. BAXTER: And pull it closer to you, too, if you don't mind. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Dave Shaw. 802 South Plaza Road in Emmett, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those opinions that you A. Well, based on the current law, a city or a municipal provider can use their water supply to extinction. They're not obligated to provide any return flow. And there seems to be a trend, an indication from the cities, that they desire to continue to change their disposal methods, primarily because of the cost of treatment if they're going to dispose of the water. And the testimony submitted in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is David Shaw. 802 South Plaza SARAH TSCHOHL: David, will you hit the button. There you go. MR. BAXTER: And pull it closer to you, too, if you don't mind. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Dave Shaw. 802 South Plaza Road in Emmett, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those opinions that you A. Well, based on the current law, a city or a municipal provider can use their water supply to extinction. They're not obligated to provide any return flow. And there seems to be a trend, an indication from the cities, that they desire to continue to change their disposal methods, primarily because of the cost of treatment if they're going to dispose of the water. And the testimony submitted in the Riverside case supports that trend. Q. So several cities in that case represented that they were exploring alternative uses of their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is David Shaw. 802 South Plaza SARAH TSCHOHL: David, will you hit the button. There you go. MR. BAXTER: And pull it closer to you, too, if you don't mind. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Dave Shaw. 802 South Plaza Road in Emmett, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON: Q. Morning, Mr. Shaw.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those opinions that you A. Well, based on the current law, a city or a municipal provider can use their water supply to extinction. They're not obligated to provide any return flow. And there seems to be a trend, an indication from the cities, that they desire to continue to change their disposal methods, primarily because of the cost of treatment if they're going to dispose of the water. And the testimony submitted in the Riverside case supports that trend. Q. So several cities in that case represented
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is David Shaw. 802 South Plaza SARAH TSCHOHL: David, will you hit the button. There you go. MR. BAXTER: And pull it closer to you, too, if you don't mind. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Dave Shaw. 802 South Plaza Road in Emmett, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON: Q. Morning, Mr. Shaw. Can you please describe where you currently	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those opinions that you A. Well, based on the current law, a city or a municipal provider can use their water supply to extinction. They're not obligated to provide any return flow. And there seems to be a trend, an indication from the cities, that they desire to continue to change their disposal methods, primarily because of the cost of treatment if they're going to dispose of the water. And the testimony submitted in the Riverside case supports that trend. Q. So several cities in that case represented that they were exploring alternative uses of their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is David Shaw. 802 South Plaza SARAH TSCHOHL: David, will you hit the button. There you go. MR. BAXTER: And pull it closer to you, too, if you don't mind. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Dave Shaw. 802 South Plaza Road in Emmett, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON: Q. Morning, Mr. Shaw. Can you please describe where you currently work.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those opinions that you A. Well, based on the current law, a city or a municipal provider can use their water supply to extinction. They're not obligated to provide any return flow. And there seems to be a trend, an indication from the cities, that they desire to continue to change their disposal methods, primarily because of the cost of treatment if they're going to dispose of the water. And the testimony submitted in the Riverside case supports that trend. Q. So several cities in that case represented that they were exploring alternative uses of their treated wastewater discharge; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Any reason to dispute those representations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is David Shaw. 802 South Plaza SARAH TSCHOHL: David, will you hit the button. There you go. MR. BAXTER: And pull it closer to you, too, if you don't mind. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Dave Shaw. 802 South Plaza Road in Emmett, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON: Q. Morning, Mr. Shaw. Can you please describe where you currently work. A. I currently work for ERO Resources. It's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those opinions that you A. Well, based on the current law, a city or a municipal provider can use their water supply to extinction. They're not obligated to provide any return flow. And there seems to be a trend, an indication from the cities, that they desire to continue to change their disposal methods, primarily because of the cost of treatment if they're going to dispose of the water. And the testimony submitted in the Riverside case supports that trend. Q. So several cities in that case represented that they were exploring alternative uses of their treated wastewater discharge; is that correct? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is David Shaw. 802 South Plaza SARAH TSCHOHL: David, will you hit the button. There you go. MR. BAXTER: And pull it closer to you, too, if you don't mind. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Dave Shaw. 802 South Plaza Road in Emmett, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON: Q. Morning, Mr. Shaw. Can you please describe where you currently work. A. I currently work for ERO Resources. It's a natural resource consulting firm. Home office is in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those opinions that you A. Well, based on the current law, a city or a municipal provider can use their water supply to extinction. They're not obligated to provide any return flow. And there seems to be a trend, an indication from the cities, that they desire to continue to change their disposal methods, primarily because of the cost of treatment if they're going to dispose of the water. And the testimony submitted in the Riverside case supports that trend. Q. So several cities in that case represented that they were exploring alternative uses of their treated wastewater discharge; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Any reason to dispute those representations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is David Shaw. 802 South Plaza SARAH TSCHOHL: David, will you hit the button. There you go. MR. BAXTER: And pull it closer to you, too, if you don't mind. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Dave Shaw. 802 South Plaza Road in Emmett, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON: Q. Morning, Mr. Shaw. Can you please describe where you currently work. A. I currently work for ERO Resources. It's a natural resource consulting firm. Home office is in Denver. We've had an Idaho office since 1996, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	would be for future disposal of wastewater. Q. And did you author the opinions offered at 1 and 2? I guess labeled part 2.1 in that report. A. I did. Q. And can you generally describe those opinions that you A. Well, based on the current law, a city or a municipal provider can use their water supply to extinction. They're not obligated to provide any return flow. And there seems to be a trend, an indication from the cities, that they desire to continue to change their disposal methods, primarily because of the cost of treatment if they're going to dispose of the water. And the testimony submitted in the Riverside case supports that trend. Q. So several cities in that case represented that they were exploring alternative uses of their treated wastewater discharge; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Any reason to dispute those representations that were made?

1 processes like land application increase the 1 Q. Fair enough. Are you aware of any cities 2 consumptive use of a municipal water right? 2 in the state of Idaho that use their water to extinction? 3 A. They certainly can, yes. 4 Q. And is that what happened in the Riverside A. Pardon me? 5 case with the City of Nampa's change in what they did 5 Q. Are you aware of any cities in the state of with their treated discharge? Idaho that use their water to extinction? 7 7 A. The Riverside case was a case where the A. I still didn't hear the last part. I'm 8 City of Nampa had been disposing of their wastewater to 8 sorry. a natural water source, and they chose to put it in a 9 Q. Are you aware of any cities within the 10 Pioneer Irrigation District canal. So it was a 10 state of Idaho that use their water to extinction? 11 100 percent depletion, compared to where they had 11 A. McCall does part of the time. They have a 12 previously disposed of their water. 12 large evap pond. I believe it was originally designed MR. THOMPSON: That's all the questions I have. 13 13 to capture water that they could then release for 14 Thanks. irrigation purposes. But I'm not sure they produce enough 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead when you're 15 wastewater under current conditions to overcome the 16 ready. 16 17 evaporation from the pond. I've never seen enough 17 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION 18 water in the pond to be reused. 19 BY MS. McHUGH: 19 Q. And have you measured McCall's -- do you 20 Q. Good morning, Mr. Shaw. 20 work for McCall, the City of McCall, in their 21 I wanted you to look at Exhibit 1, just so 21 wastewater treatment? 22 that we had a little bit of an understanding of what 22 A. We did some work for them a long time ago, portions of this exhibit contain your opinions and 23 but I haven't worked for them recently. stuff that you compiled and those that -- it's fairly 24 Q. And you've done measurements and that kind 25 short, of Mr. Colvin, just so that we know kind of who 25 of thing to base your opinion that McCall is Page 328 Page 330 1 to focus what questions. 1 100 percent consumptive? 2 A. I was primarily responsible for 2.1. 2 A. Mostly observations. We did seepage tests 3 Q. Okay. 3 on their pond. 4 A. And 2.2 and 2.3 -- no, 2.3 was kind of both 4 MS. McHUGH: No more questions. Thank you. 5 of us, but 2.2 was Mr. Colvin. 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: When you're ready, Q. Okay. And then did you -- in preparing 6 Mr. Bricker. this report, did you have any discussions with any 7 MR. BRICKER: Thank you. cities or municipal providers as part of the background 8 or information? 9 9 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 10 10 BY MR. BRICKER: A. I did not. 11 Q. Okay. And do you work with municipalities 11 Q. Good morning, Mr. Shaw. 12 12 and municipal providers on a regular basis? A. Good morning. 13 A. I've done some work for municipalities. I 13 O. Just because cities have indicated in other 14 wouldn't say it's a regular basis, yes. 14 proceedings that they may change the method by which 15 Q. Okay. Is most of your work done for 15 they dispose of their wastewater does not mean that 16 irrigation entities? Irrigation, agricultural. they will or must make those changes; correct? 17 A. A large part of it is. I can't tell you if A. Well, it's not a commitment, but the trend 17 is certainly to continue to reduce the amount of 18 it's most or not. 19 Q. Okay. Are you currently still working full 19 wastewater they release, simply for economics. 20 time, or are you retired mostly? 20 Q. So there are limitations that may hinder 21 A. I'm trying to retire. 21 cities from making these changes; correct? Q. You're trying to retire, but we keep 22 A. There may be. I'm not aware of any. 23 dragging you into these things? Or I should say these 23 Q. The net amount that municipal water users 24 guys do. 24 consume from their gross diversions can be calculated; A. Yes. 25 25 right? Page 329 Page 331

		_	
1	A. Sometimes.	1	permit; correct?
2	Q. Can engineers calculate those values to a	2	A. Anyone can protest applications.
3	reasonable degree of professional certainty?	3	Q. So long as municipal water users mitigate
4	A. It's possible.	4	the amount that they are consuming under their new
5	Q. Requiring a water user to mitigate	5	appropriations, then there is no net increase in
6	100 percent of its diversions when there are some	6	consumptive use across the Snake River moratorium area;
7	amount of return flows effectively means that the water	7	correct?
8	user is putting more back into the river system than	8	A. No, that's not correct.
9	they're taking out; correct?	9	Q. How so?
10	A. Possibly.	10	A. For example, a well in Jerome diverts Trust
11	Q. And isn't it true that ESPA is	11	Water, but if they return their discharge to North Side
12	hydraulically connected to surface water sources,	12	Canal, they've moved Trust Water to Non-Trust Water.
13	including the Snake River?	13	Q. But I'm talking about the entire moratorium
14	A. It is at various locations, yes.	14	area as a whole.
15	Q. And isn't it true that all water	15	A. I'm talking about reality.
16	adjudicated in the SRBA, unless proved otherwise, is	16	MR. BRICKER: Okay. I think that's all the
17	from the same source?	17	questions I have for Mr. Shaw.
18	A. No.	18	Thanks.
19	Q. That's not true?	19	
20	A. No.	20	CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	Q. Are you familiar with the order on the SRBA	21	BY MR. BROMLEY:
22	Basinwide Issue 5?	22	Q. Good morning, Dave.
23	A. I was at one time, yes.	23	A. Good morning.
24	Q. Well, I'll represent to you that the quote	24	Q. Just a couple of questions.
25	"all water adjudicated in the SRBA, unless proved	25	Mr. Shaw, the report that you were
	Page 332		Page 334
1	otherwise, is from the same source," end quote, is from	1	discussing and if I turn to page 22, which is the
2	that order.	2	.pdf, just four pages from the end of that report.
			.par, just rour pages from the end of that report.
3	Does that sound right?	3	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1,
3 4	Does that sound right? A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston,	3 4	
l .		l .	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1,
4	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston,	4	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley?
5	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the	4 5	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct.
4 5 6	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the	4 5 6	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in the .pdf, the top of page it says, "Statements by
4 5 6 7	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the Snake River at Twin Falls.	4 5 6 7 8	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in
4 5 6 7 8	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the Snake River at Twin Falls. Q. Isn't it also true that all water under the	4 5 6 7 8	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in the .pdf, the top of page it says, "Statements by Municipalities Regarding Reuse in Riverside versus
4 5 6 7 8 9	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the Snake River at Twin Falls. Q. Isn't it also true that all water under the jurisdiction of the SRBA Court is interconnected unless	4 5 6 7 8 9	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in the .pdf, the top of page it says, "Statements by Municipalities Regarding Reuse in Riverside versus IDWR."
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the Snake River at Twin Falls. Q. Isn't it also true that all water under the jurisdiction of the SRBA Court is interconnected unless the party claiming otherwise proves by a preponderance	4 5 6 7 8 9	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in the .pdf, the top of page it says, "Statements by Municipalities Regarding Reuse in Riverside versus IDWR." Do you see that page?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the Snake River at Twin Falls. Q. Isn't it also true that all water under the jurisdiction of the SRBA Court is interconnected unless the party claiming otherwise proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the water is from a separate	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in the .pdf, the top of page it says, "Statements by Municipalities Regarding Reuse in Riverside versus IDWR." Do you see that page? A. I do.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the Snake River at Twin Falls. Q. Isn't it also true that all water under the jurisdiction of the SRBA Court is interconnected unless the party claiming otherwise proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the water is from a separate source?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in the .pdf, the top of page it says, "Statements by Municipalities Regarding Reuse in Riverside versus IDWR." Do you see that page? A. I do. Q. Okay. Great. So the questions that I
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the Snake River at Twin Falls. Q. Isn't it also true that all water under the jurisdiction of the SRBA Court is interconnected unless the party claiming otherwise proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the water is from a separate source? A. Once again, if you're looking at the Snake	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in the .pdf, the top of page it says, "Statements by Municipalities Regarding Reuse in Riverside versus IDWR." Do you see that page? A. I do. Q. Okay. Great. So the questions that I have: Mr. Shaw, did you summarize these yourself, the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the Snake River at Twin Falls. Q. Isn't it also true that all water under the jurisdiction of the SRBA Court is interconnected unless the party claiming otherwise proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the water is from a separate source? A. Once again, if you're looking at the Snake River at Lewiston, that's true. If you're looking at	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in the .pdf, the top of page it says, "Statements by Municipalities Regarding Reuse in Riverside versus IDWR." Do you see that page? A. I do. Q. Okay. Great. So the questions that I have: Mr. Shaw, did you summarize these yourself, the statements that are in here, or did you cut and paste
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the Snake River at Twin Falls. Q. Isn't it also true that all water under the jurisdiction of the SRBA Court is interconnected unless the party claiming otherwise proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the water is from a separate source? A. Once again, if you're looking at the Snake River at Lewiston, that's true. If you're looking at the Snake River at Twin Falls and the Salmon River at	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in the .pdf, the top of page it says, "Statements by Municipalities Regarding Reuse in Riverside versus IDWR." Do you see that page? A. I do. Q. Okay. Great. So the questions that I have: Mr. Shaw, did you summarize these yourself, the statements that are in here, or did you cut and paste them from other documents?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the Snake River at Twin Falls. Q. Isn't it also true that all water under the jurisdiction of the SRBA Court is interconnected unless the party claiming otherwise proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the water is from a separate source? A. Once again, if you're looking at the Snake River at Lewiston, that's true. If you're looking at the Snake River at Twin Falls and the Salmon River at Salmon, they are different.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in the .pdf, the top of page it says, "Statements by Municipalities Regarding Reuse in Riverside versus IDWR." Do you see that page? A. I do. Q. Okay. Great. So the questions that I have: Mr. Shaw, did you summarize these yourself, the statements that are in here, or did you cut and paste them from other documents? A. These were provided by Mr. Barker's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the Snake River at Twin Falls. Q. Isn't it also true that all water under the jurisdiction of the SRBA Court is interconnected unless the party claiming otherwise proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the water is from a separate source? A. Once again, if you're looking at the Snake River at Lewiston, that's true. If you're looking at the Snake River at Twin Falls and the Salmon River at Salmon, they are different. Q. To protect a senior from injury, a junior	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in the .pdf, the top of page it says, "Statements by Municipalities Regarding Reuse in Riverside versus IDWR." Do you see that page? A. I do. Q. Okay. Great. So the questions that I have: Mr. Shaw, did you summarize these yourself, the statements that are in here, or did you cut and paste them from other documents? A. These were provided by Mr. Barker's paralegal.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the Snake River at Twin Falls. Q. Isn't it also true that all water under the jurisdiction of the SRBA Court is interconnected unless the party claiming otherwise proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the water is from a separate source? A. Once again, if you're looking at the Snake River at Lewiston, that's true. If you're looking at the Snake River at Twin Falls and the Salmon River at Salmon, they are different. Q. To protect a senior from injury, a junior user must ensure that mitigation supplies are delivered	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in the .pdf, the top of page it says, "Statements by Municipalities Regarding Reuse in Riverside versus IDWR." Do you see that page? A. I do. Q. Okay. Great. So the questions that I have: Mr. Shaw, did you summarize these yourself, the statements that are in here, or did you cut and paste them from other documents? A. These were provided by Mr. Barker's paralegal. Q. Okay. So you didn't prepare the language
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the Snake River at Twin Falls. Q. Isn't it also true that all water under the jurisdiction of the SRBA Court is interconnected unless the party claiming otherwise proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the water is from a separate source? A. Once again, if you're looking at the Snake River at Lewiston, that's true. If you're looking at the Snake River at Twin Falls and the Salmon River at Salmon, they are different. Q. To protect a senior from injury, a junior user must ensure that mitigation supplies are delivered at the right time, place, and amount; correct?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in the .pdf, the top of page it says, "Statements by Municipalities Regarding Reuse in Riverside versus IDWR." Do you see that page? A. I do. Q. Okay. Great. So the questions that I have: Mr. Shaw, did you summarize these yourself, the statements that are in here, or did you cut and paste them from other documents? A. These were provided by Mr. Barker's paralegal. Q. Okay. So you didn't prepare the language that's in these statements?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the Snake River at Twin Falls. Q. Isn't it also true that all water under the jurisdiction of the SRBA Court is interconnected unless the party claiming otherwise proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the water is from a separate source? A. Once again, if you're looking at the Snake River at Lewiston, that's true. If you're looking at the Snake River at Twin Falls and the Salmon River at Salmon, they are different. Q. To protect a senior from injury, a junior user must ensure that mitigation supplies are delivered at the right time, place, and amount; correct? A. That's true.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in the .pdf, the top of page it says, "Statements by Municipalities Regarding Reuse in Riverside versus IDWR." Do you see that page? A. I do. Q. Okay. Great. So the questions that I have: Mr. Shaw, did you summarize these yourself, the statements that are in here, or did you cut and paste them from other documents? A. These were provided by Mr. Barker's paralegal. Q. Okay. So you didn't prepare the language that's in these statements? A. I did not prepare the language.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the Snake River at Twin Falls. Q. Isn't it also true that all water under the jurisdiction of the SRBA Court is interconnected unless the party claiming otherwise proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the water is from a separate source? A. Once again, if you're looking at the Snake River at Lewiston, that's true. If you're looking at the Snake River at Twin Falls and the Salmon River at Salmon, they are different. Q. To protect a senior from injury, a junior user must ensure that mitigation supplies are delivered at the right time, place, and amount; correct? A. That's true. Q. And the Department could ensure that senior	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in the .pdf, the top of page it says, "Statements by Municipalities Regarding Reuse in Riverside versus IDWR." Do you see that page? A. I do. Q. Okay. Great. So the questions that I have: Mr. Shaw, did you summarize these yourself, the statements that are in here, or did you cut and paste them from other documents? A. These were provided by Mr. Barker's paralegal. Q. Okay. So you didn't prepare the language that's in these statements? A. I did not prepare the language. Q. Okay. Have you reviewed the language?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the Snake River at Twin Falls. Q. Isn't it also true that all water under the jurisdiction of the SRBA Court is interconnected unless the party claiming otherwise proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the water is from a separate source? A. Once again, if you're looking at the Snake River at Lewiston, that's true. If you're looking at the Snake River at Twin Falls and the Salmon River at Salmon, they are different. Q. To protect a senior from injury, a junior user must ensure that mitigation supplies are delivered at the right time, place, and amount; correct? A. That's true. Q. And the Department could ensure that senior users are protected from injury by imposing appropriate	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in the .pdf, the top of page it says, "Statements by Municipalities Regarding Reuse in Riverside versus IDWR." Do you see that page? A. I do. Q. Okay. Great. So the questions that I have: Mr. Shaw, did you summarize these yourself, the statements that are in here, or did you cut and paste them from other documents? A. These were provided by Mr. Barker's paralegal. Q. Okay. So you didn't prepare the language that's in these statements? A. I did not prepare the language. Q. Okay. Have you reviewed the language? A. I have.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. If you look at the Snake River at Lewiston, that would be the case. Certainly the water in the Salmon River at Salmon is not the same water as in the Snake River at Twin Falls. Q. Isn't it also true that all water under the jurisdiction of the SRBA Court is interconnected unless the party claiming otherwise proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the water is from a separate source? A. Once again, if you're looking at the Snake River at Lewiston, that's true. If you're looking at the Snake River at Twin Falls and the Salmon River at Salmon, they are different. Q. To protect a senior from injury, a junior user must ensure that mitigation supplies are delivered at the right time, place, and amount; correct? A. That's true. Q. And the Department could ensure that senior users are protected from injury by imposing appropriate conditions to water permits; correct?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're in Exhibit 1, Mr. Bromley? MR. BROMLEY: Correct. Q. I'm four pages from the end, at least in the .pdf, the top of page it says, "Statements by Municipalities Regarding Reuse in Riverside versus IDWR." Do you see that page? A. I do. Q. Okay. Great. So the questions that I have: Mr. Shaw, did you summarize these yourself, the statements that are in here, or did you cut and paste them from other documents? A. These were provided by Mr. Barker's paralegal. Q. Okay. So you didn't prepare the language that's in these statements? A. I did not prepare the language. Q. Okay. Have you reviewed the language? A. I have. Q. Great. Let's talk about City of Caldwell,

1	Q. Do you see that?	1	up?
2	A. I do.	2	MR. FLETCHER: Well, there was no redirect, so I
3	Q. And it says, "Caldwell currently does not	3	don't think there's recross, is there, if there's no
4	deliver treated effluent in any end user. It has,	4	redirect?
5	however, engaged in discussion with other entities,	5	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Come on up,
6	including Riverside Irrigation District, to find ways	6	Mr. Barker.
7	in which it can deliver such effluent for use by those	7	MR. BARKER: Thank you, Mr. Director.
8	entities."	8	
9	Are you aware of the conversations between	9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
10	the City of Caldwell and Riverside Irrigation District	10	BY MR. BARKER:
11	for this practice?	11	Q. Albert Barker on behalf of South Valley
12	A. I am not.	12	Ground Water District, Mr. Shaw. Good morning.
13	Q. But is it your understanding that Riverside	13	A. Good morning.
14	Irrigation District was the primary opponent against	14	Q. Just a couple questions about Mr. Bromley's
15	the City of Nampa in the Riverside case?	15	examination. The statements by the municipalities that
16	A. I do understand that, yes.	16	are in Attachment C, are these statements that were
17	Q. Okay. So it's apparent, then, that	17	taken by or taken from what the municipalities
18	Riverside Irrigation District is engaging in	18	advised the Court and the Director in that Riverside
19	conversations with the City of Caldwell to use treated	19	proceeding?
20	effluent; correct?	20	A. That's my understanding, yes.
21	A. That's what this statement would indicate,	21	Q. Okay. And with respect to the Riverside
l .	yes.	22	comment from the City of Caldwell, that then would have
23	Q. Okay. Let's turn, then, to if you'll go	23	been the City of Caldwell's statement about what they
24	two pages further in. And we have City of Jerome.	24	were attempting?
25	A. Yes. Page 336	25	A. That's my understanding, yes. Page 338
	1 age 330		1 age 336
1	Q. This discussion I assume the same holds	1	Q. And do you have any understanding about
1 2	true for this discussion.	1 2	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed
	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was	_	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated
2	true for this discussion.	2	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent?
2 3	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct.	2 3	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information.
2 3 4	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language?	2 3 4	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions.
2 3 4 5	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have.	2 3 4 5	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City	2 3 4 5 6	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further
2 3 4 5 6 7	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City of Jerome discharges treated effluent into North Side	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further examination?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City of Jerome discharges treated effluent into North Side Canal Company's J8 Canal?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further examination? Thank you, Mr. Shaw.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City of Jerome discharges treated effluent into North Side Canal Company's J8 Canal? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further examination? Thank you, Mr. Shaw. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Director.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City of Jerome discharges treated effluent into North Side Canal Company's J8 Canal? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware if the Surface Water	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further examination? Thank you, Mr. Shaw. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Director. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Morning,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City of Jerome discharges treated effluent into North Side Canal Company's J8 Canal? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware if the Surface Water Coalition has any problem with that practice?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further examination? Thank you, Mr. Shaw. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Director. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Morning, Mr. Colvin.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City of Jerome discharges treated effluent into North Side Canal Company's J8 Canal? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware if the Surface Water Coalition has any problem with that practice? A. Not that I'm aware of.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further examination? Thank you, Mr. Shaw. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Director. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Morning, Mr. Colvin. DAVE COLVIN: Morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City of Jerome discharges treated effluent into North Side Canal Company's J8 Canal? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware if the Surface Water Coalition has any problem with that practice? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. BROMLEY: Nothing further. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further examination? Thank you, Mr. Shaw. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Director. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Morning, Mr. Colvin.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City of Jerome discharges treated effluent into North Side Canal Company's J8 Canal? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware if the Surface Water Coalition has any problem with that practice? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. BROMLEY: Nothing further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Any is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further examination? Thank you, Mr. Shaw. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Director. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Morning, Mr. Colvin. DAVE COLVIN: Morning. THE HEARING OFFICER: Before you sit down.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City of Jerome discharges treated effluent into North Side Canal Company's J8 Canal? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware if the Surface Water Coalition has any problem with that practice? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. BROMLEY: Nothing further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Any is there going to be redirect on this side? Candice asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further examination? Thank you, Mr. Shaw. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Director. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Morning, Mr. Colvin. DAVE COLVIN: Morning. THE HEARING OFFICER: Before you sit down. DAVE COLVIN,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City of Jerome discharges treated effluent into North Side Canal Company's J8 Canal? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware if the Surface Water Coalition has any problem with that practice? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. BROMLEY: Nothing further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Any is there going to be redirect on this side? Candice asked for one minute, then we'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further examination? Thank you, Mr. Shaw. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Director. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Morning, Mr. Colvin. DAVE COLVIN: Morning. THE HEARING OFFICER: Before you sit down. DAVE COLVIN, having been called as a witness by the Surface Water
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City of Jerome discharges treated effluent into North Side Canal Company's J8 Canal? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware if the Surface Water Coalition has any problem with that practice? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. BROMLEY: Nothing further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Any is there going to be redirect on this side? Candice asked for one minute, then we'll MR. FLETCHER: I don't have any. Were you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further examination? Thank you, Mr. Shaw. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Director. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Morning, Mr. Colvin. DAVE COLVIN: Morning. THE HEARING OFFICER: Before you sit down. DAVE COLVIN,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City of Jerome discharges treated effluent into North Side Canal Company's J8 Canal? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware if the Surface Water Coalition has any problem with that practice? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. BROMLEY: Nothing further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Any is there going to be redirect on this side? Candice asked for one minute, then we'll MR. FLETCHER: I don't have any. Were you asking me?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further examination? Thank you, Mr. Shaw. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Director. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Morning, Mr. Colvin. DAVE COLVIN: Morning. THE HEARING OFFICER: Before you sit down. DAVE COLVIN, having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City of Jerome discharges treated effluent into North Side Canal Company's J8 Canal? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware if the Surface Water Coalition has any problem with that practice? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. BROMLEY: Nothing further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Any is there going to be redirect on this side? Candice asked for one minute, then we'll MR. FLETCHER: I don't have any. Were you asking me? THE HEARING OFFICER: No. Al indicated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further examination? Thank you, Mr. Shaw. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Director. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Morning, Mr. Colvin. DAVE COLVIN: Morning. THE HEARING OFFICER: Before you sit down. DAVE COLVIN, having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Please be seated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City of Jerome discharges treated effluent into North Side Canal Company's J8 Canal? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware if the Surface Water Coalition has any problem with that practice? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. BROMLEY: Nothing further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Any is there going to be redirect on this side? Candice asked for one minute, then we'll MR. FLETCHER: I don't have any. Were you asking me? THE HEARING OFFICER: No. Al indicated MR. FLETCHER: Oh, okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further examination? Thank you, Mr. Shaw. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Director. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Morning, Mr. Colvin. DAVE COLVIN: Morning. THE HEARING OFFICER: Before you sit down. DAVE COLVIN, having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Please be seated. State your name and address for the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City of Jerome discharges treated effluent into North Side Canal Company's J8 Canal? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware if the Surface Water Coalition has any problem with that practice? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. BROMLEY: Nothing further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Any is there going to be redirect on this side? Candice asked for one minute, then we'll MR. FLETCHER: I don't have any. Were you asking me? THE HEARING OFFICER: No. Al indicated MR. FLETCHER: Oh, okay. THE HEARING OFFICER: that he has some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further examination? Thank you, Mr. Shaw. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Director. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Morning, Mr. Colvin. DAVE COLVIN: Morning. THE HEARING OFFICER: Before you sit down. DAVE COLVIN, having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Please be seated. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is Dave Colvin. My
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City of Jerome discharges treated effluent into North Side Canal Company's J8 Canal? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware if the Surface Water Coalition has any problem with that practice? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. BROMLEY: Nothing further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Any is there going to be redirect on this side? Candice asked for one minute, then we'll MR. FLETCHER: I don't have any. Were you asking me? THE HEARING OFFICER: No. Al indicated MR. FLETCHER: Oh, okay. THE HEARING OFFICER: that he has some questions. Sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further examination? Thank you, Mr. Shaw. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Director. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Morning, Mr. Colvin. DAVE COLVIN: Morning. THE HEARING OFFICER: Before you sit down. DAVE COLVIN, having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Please be seated. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is Dave Colvin. My address is 1221 Auraria Parkway, Denver, Colorado
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	true for this discussion. You didn't write this language, it was given to you by Mr. Barker's paralegal? A. That's correct. Q. Have you reviewed this language? A. I have. Q. Okay. Are you aware, then, that the City of Jerome discharges treated effluent into North Side Canal Company's J8 Canal? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware if the Surface Water Coalition has any problem with that practice? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. BROMLEY: Nothing further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Any is there going to be redirect on this side? Candice asked for one minute, then we'll MR. FLETCHER: I don't have any. Were you asking me? THE HEARING OFFICER: No. Al indicated MR. FLETCHER: Oh, okay. THE HEARING OFFICER: that he has some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	whether or not Riverside Irrigation District has agreed with the City of Caldwell to take any of its treated effluent? A. I have no information. MR. BARKER: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. No further examination? Thank you, Mr. Shaw. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Director. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Morning, Mr. Colvin. DAVE COLVIN: Morning. THE HEARING OFFICER: Before you sit down. DAVE COLVIN, having been called as a witness by the Surface Water Coalition and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Please be seated. State your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is Dave Colvin. My address is 1221 Auraria Parkway, Denver, Colorado

1 municipal water rights and systems in Colorado? 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 A. Most of my clients in Colorado are 2 BY MR. THOMPSON: 3 Q. Morning, Dave. Can you tell the Hearing 3 municipalities that have various types of water rights. 4 Officer where you currently work. The fully consumable water rights that I typically deal with are nontributary groundwater that is disconnected A. I work with LRE Water, a water consulting 5 5 6 firm in Denver. from surface water systems or imported water that has 7 the ability to be fully consumed and used to Q. And what's your current occupation? 8 extinction. A. I'm the groundwater team leader. 9 Q. And is it fair to say that Colorado has Q. And is your CV attached to what's been 10 marked as Exhibit 1? 10 some different sort of tracking and cataloging of 11 11 information regarding those type of water rights? A. It is. 12 Q. And does that document generally describe 12 A. Yeah. There's a lot of reporting that's 13 your education and work history? 13 based on field measurements and then reporting of various aspects of water management that are reported A. It does. Q. Have you been qualified as an expert 15 to the State and available for review by other parties 16 witness before the Department? 16 as well. 17 A. I have. 17 Q. I guess what was your purpose in describing MR. THOMPSON: Same comment from Mr. Shaw, we 18 that comparison to that Colorado type of 18 19 administration? 19 had a stipulation yesterday with all parties that 20 stipulated to Mr. Colvin's qualifications as an expert 20 A. Well, the Colorado system has evolved over 21 witness? 21 decades and, in my opinion, is overly complicated and THE HEARING OFFICER: That's my understanding. requires a great deal of input from engineers 22 23 MR. THOMPSON: Okay. representing their municipal clients, either the users 24 Q. Mr. Colvin, can you again describe of the water or those who might oppose various aspects 25 Exhibit 1 for the record. of water use. And so there's a lot of effort on both Page 340 Page 342 1 A. This is the expert report that I prepared 1 sides of a case there. with Dave Shaw to provide opinions on this moratorium 2 And it also has a lot of State employees 3 issue, particularly the municipal fully consumptive 3 for the Division of Water Resources that need to review references in it, in the moratoriums. all of the information, manage it, and sometimes 5 Q. And can you generally describe what you 5 administer water rights. 6 were asked to do in this report. 6 Q. And do some other entities, water users, 7 A. I was asked to review the other expert end up with the burden of verifying and ensuring 8 reports that were provided in opposition to the compliance with some of those reporting requirements? 9 Director's orders and develop opinions about those A. Yeah. Right from the beginning for what 10 reports and the context of the moratorium language. 10 Colorado would call augmentation plan applications, 11 Q. Can you turn to page 4. And were you the 11 many of them are contested cases and end up in a hearing situation, take many years to resolve, and end 12 author of Opinion 3 identified in part 2.2? 12 13 A. Yes. up with a lot of litigation. So there's the burden 13 14 Q. Can you generally describe that opinion there on the front end. 14 offered in that section. 15 And then as those augmentation plans are 16 A. Yeah. There was quite a bit of reference approved, there's an ongoing burden for both the users 17 to Colorado procedures for augmentation plan reporting and the State to monitor the reporting that users presented as a way to consider documentation and 18 are -- that they have to submit. 18 19 measurement of water systems that could be used to, I Q. Do you see any benefits for how Idaho, at suppose, go into some sort of management or 20 least in this moratorium order, has this policy of 21 administration of water rights here in Idaho. 21 considering new municipal rights of 100 percent 22 And so I went through and found differences 22 consumptive? between the situation now here in Idaho and how the 23 A. Yeah, I think that there's an opportunity 24 systems have evolved in Colorado. 24 to basically simplify the system or address the current 25 state of affairs in Idaho in terms of using the 25 Q. And what's your experience with the Page 341 Page 343

1 available data and using that as justification for 1 of balance and in decline since sometime around the 2 administration of these water rights. 2 '70s. 3 3 Q. And do you have an opinion on that fully Q. And can you look at Exhibit 3. Could you 4 consumptive conclusion identified in the order? describe that for the record. 5 A. Well, it seems to be based on the Riverside 5 A. Exhibit 3 is the 2023 sentinel well index case and issues that were discussed and, I guess, calculation that I prepared with Jaxon Higgs and Sophia legally resolved in that case. Sigstedt to review the 2023 update to the sentinel well 8 water-level data. And so moving from there and having fully O. And those are the sentinel wells referenced 9 consumptive municipal water rights appears to be a 10 benefit and something that municipalities would want to 10 in the Surface Water Coalition-IGWA agreement; is that 11 protect. 11 correct? 12 12 And so in order for IDWR to administer A. That's right. 13 13 those water rights as such, it would seem to be a Q. And those are reviewed every year; is that simplified, streamlined approach as it presented in the 14 true? moratorium order now. 15 A. Yeah, they're reviewed every spring after a 16 Q. And you're familiar with the ESPA being 16 water-level measurement. 17 designated as a groundwater management area? 17 Q. And does that trend generally follow what 18 A. Yes, I am. 18 was presented in Mike McVay's report, the graph you 19 Q. And is this type of conclusion or policy 19 were just referencing? 20 warranted in that type of aquifer designation? 20 A. It does. And this one only goes back to 21 A. It is. The aguifer as a resource is not 21 1980, but shows the overall decline with 2023 values 22 only stressed but declining. And I think any being just a couple hundredths of a foot higher than additional withdrawals from the aquifer are bound to 23 the lowest point ever for the sentinel well index. injure existing water rights. 24 Q. Turning back to Exhibit 1, page 5. 25 25 Q. Have you reviewed the information that's A. Okay. Page 344 Page 346 1 provided in Exhibit 4? Why don't you take a look at 1 Q. Could you generally describe the -- what's 2 that first. 2 labeled as Opinion 4 in part 2.3. 3 A. Yes, I have. This was an Idaho Water 3 A. So this is some general comments about water reuse, starting with a review of water reuse 4 Resource Board Aquifer Stabilization Committee meeting 5 from July 25th. permits that are held by various cities on the ESPA, 6 Q. Are you familiar with the Department's and then some more global or nationwide information annual groundwater synoptic measurements they make? about water reuse and the trends in that technology. 7 8 8 Q. Do you know what some of the demands are 9 Q. I guess any reason to dispute the 9 that would drive reuse of existing supplies? 10 information presented by Mike McVay in that report --10 A. Yeah. I think in general, particularly in 11 or in that presentation? 11 the West where water supplies are stressed and there's, 12 A. No. in many cases, overappropriated systems, there's going 13 Q. And can you generally describe, I guess, to be more and more interest in reusing water as a 14 what the aquifer conditions are currently compared to 14 management system that will ultimately increase 15 at the time of the designation of the groundwater 15 supplies through water reuse. 16 management area? 16 Q. And what can happen to a municipality's wastewater discharge when that water is reused? 17 A. Well, as shown in some of the graphs that 17 18 were pointed out yesterday -- I'll try and find the 18 A. Well, the net impact would be increased 19 exact one -- the aquifer is in a state of decline. 19 consumptive use and reduced return flows. 20 And there's no page numbers on this. This 20 Q. Have you seen a trend of that type of 21 is the graph that the title of the graph is "ESPA 21 program in the West where you've worked? 22 Change in Volume of Water in Thousand Springs 22 A. Yeah. I've worked quite a bit on the City 23 Discharge" showing those values from 1912 to 2023. of Aurora's Prairie Waters System, and that's the whole 24 And it just shows that basically from a 24 intent of that system is to basically recover their 25 water balance perspective across the ESPA it's been out 25 fully consumable water rights as effluent out of the Page 345 Page 347

1	South Platte River that then is put into what would be	1	Q. And the purpose of those monthly water
2	characterized as an indirect potable reuse system so	2	right summary resumes, excuse me, is to notify other
3	that the water rights are recovered and put into	3	users of applications; correct?
4	treatment and conveyance to be redelivered as water	4	A. Right. Yes.
5	supply.	5	Q. And doesn't Idaho also have a notice or
6	Q. And were you present yesterday for the	6	publication requirement?
7	testimony of Greg Sullivan and Terry Scanlan?	7	A. Yes.
8	A. I was.	8	Q. Isn't it true that the Department has
9	Q. And do you have any comments on any of the	9	approved other water permit applications with
10	testimony provided yesterday or anything in particular?	10	conditions requiring accounting mitigation reporting
11	A. Just generally that going through	11	requirements within the moratorium area?
12	Mr. Sullivan's report trying to identify the data	12	A. Yes. It, I guess at this point, would have
13	sources that were used for water balance it seemed that	13	been prior to the moratoriums, but yes.
14	a lot of the inputs on the water balance were	14	Q. You were here yesterday; right?
15	estimated.	15	A. Yes.
16	And that maybe just indicates the current	16	Q. Do you remember our discussion of the
17	level of measurement and reporting of those water	17	Rexburg permit?
18	inputs that would be necessary for consumptive use	18	A. Yes.
19	calculation.	19	Q. Isn't that an example of a permit with such
20	And then I guess the only comment on	20	conditions?
21	Mr. Scanlan's testimony would be that I in no way	21	A. I haven't reviewed that permit
22	implied that Colorado is doing this better than Idaho	22	specifically.
23	and that there's anything wrong with the way that Idaho	23	Q. And isn't it true that the holders of such
24	is doing things right now. It was just to point out	24	permits already report their accounting to the
25	that there are differences in Colorado that maybe Page 348	25	Department? Page 350
	1 450 3 10		1 uge 330
1	aren't applicable here for this issue.	1	A. I don't know.
1 2	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and	2	Q. Are you an attorney?
	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't	2 3	Q. Are you an attorney? A. No.
2	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho?	2	Q. Are you an attorney?A. No.Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913
2 3 4 5	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of.	2 3 4 5	Q. Are you an attorney?A. No.Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right?
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Are you an attorney?A. No.Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right?A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Any other direct examination from Kent or	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the single-use requirements in Colorado that have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Any other direct examination from Kent or Mr. Barker?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the single-use requirements in Colorado that have return-flow requirements that we have to meet when we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Any other direct examination from Kent or Mr. Barker? MR. BARKER: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the single-use requirements in Colorado that have return-flow requirements that we have to meet when we manage water rights.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Any other direct examination from Kent or Mr. Barker? MR. BARKER: No. MR. FLETCHER: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the single-use requirements in Colorado that have return-flow requirements that we have to meet when we manage water rights. Q. Let me read an excerpt from that case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Any other direct examination from Kent or Mr. Barker? MR. BARKER: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the single-use requirements in Colorado that have return-flow requirements that we have to meet when we manage water rights. Q. Let me read an excerpt from that case. Quote, "We are rather of opinion that when such waters
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Any other direct examination from Kent or Mr. Barker? MR. BARKER: No. MR. FLETCHER: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the single-use requirements in Colorado that have return-flow requirements that we have to meet when we manage water rights. Q. Let me read an excerpt from that case. Quote, "We are rather of opinion that when such waters leave the control of the original appropriator, having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Any other direct examination from Kent or Mr. Barker? MR. BARKER: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Cross-examination?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the single-use requirements in Colorado that have return-flow requirements that we have to meet when we manage water rights. Q. Let me read an excerpt from that case. Quote, "We are rather of opinion that when such waters leave the control of the original appropriator, having been used either for direct irrigation or reservoir
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Any other direct examination from Kent or Mr. Barker? MR. BARKER: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Cross-examination? CROSS-EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the single-use requirements in Colorado that have return-flow requirements that we have to meet when we manage water rights. Q. Let me read an excerpt from that case. Quote, "We are rather of opinion that when such waters leave the control of the original appropriator, having been used either for direct irrigation or reservoir purposes, without intention of recapture or further use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Any other direct examination from Kent or Mr. Barker? MR. BARKER: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Cross-examination? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BRICKER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the single-use requirements in Colorado that have return-flow requirements that we have to meet when we manage water rights. Q. Let me read an excerpt from that case. Quote, "We are rather of opinion that when such waters leave the control of the original appropriator, having been used either for direct irrigation or reservoir purposes, without intention of recapture or further use by him, they immediately become a component of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Any other direct examination from Kent or Mr. Barker? MR. BARKER: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Cross-examination? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BRICKER: Q. Morning, Mr. Colvin.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the single-use requirements in Colorado that have return-flow requirements that we have to meet when we manage water rights. Q. Let me read an excerpt from that case. Quote, "We are rather of opinion that when such waters leave the control of the original appropriator, having been used either for direct irrigation or reservoir purposes, without intention of recapture or further use by him, they immediately become a component of the river and cannot be lawfully diverted from their course
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Any other direct examination from Kent or Mr. Barker? MR. BARKER: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Cross-examination? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BRICKER: Q. Morning, Mr. Colvin. A. Morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the single-use requirements in Colorado that have return-flow requirements that we have to meet when we manage water rights. Q. Let me read an excerpt from that case. Quote, "We are rather of opinion that when such waters leave the control of the original appropriator, having been used either for direct irrigation or reservoir purposes, without intention of recapture or further use by him, they immediately become a component of the river and cannot be lawfully diverted from their course to it by independent appropriation to the injury of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Any other direct examination from Kent or Mr. Barker? MR. BARKER: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Cross-examination? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BRICKER: Q. Morning, Mr. Colvin. A. Morning. Q. I'm Max Bricker. I represent the City of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the single-use requirements in Colorado that have return-flow requirements that we have to meet when we manage water rights. Q. Let me read an excerpt from that case. Quote, "We are rather of opinion that when such waters leave the control of the original appropriator, having been used either for direct irrigation or reservoir purposes, without intention of recapture or further use by him, they immediately become a component of the river and cannot be lawfully diverted from their course to it by independent appropriation to the injury of those having decreed priorities therefrom."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Any other direct examination from Kent or Mr. Barker? MR. BARKER: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Cross-examination? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BRICKER: Q. Morning, Mr. Colvin. A. Morning. Q. I'm Max Bricker. I represent the City of Pocatello. I have a few questions about your expert	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the single-use requirements in Colorado that have return-flow requirements that we have to meet when we manage water rights. Q. Let me read an excerpt from that case. Quote, "We are rather of opinion that when such waters leave the control of the original appropriator, having been used either for direct irrigation or reservoir purposes, without intention of recapture or further use by him, they immediately become a component of the river and cannot be lawfully diverted from their course to it by independent appropriation to the injury of those having decreed priorities therefrom." Do you see how it requires that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Any other direct examination from Kent or Mr. Barker? MR. BARKER: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Cross-examination? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BRICKER: Q. Morning, Mr. Colvin. A. Morning. Q. I'm Max Bricker. I represent the City of Pocatello. I have a few questions about your expert report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the single-use requirements in Colorado that have return-flow requirements that we have to meet when we manage water rights. Q. Let me read an excerpt from that case. Quote, "We are rather of opinion that when such waters leave the control of the original appropriator, having been used either for direct irrigation or reservoir purposes, without intention of recapture or further use by him, they immediately become a component of the river and cannot be lawfully diverted from their course to it by independent appropriation to the injury of those having decreed priorities therefrom." Do you see how it requires that the proposition you're supporting requires that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Any other direct examination from Kent or Mr. Barker? MR. BARKER: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Cross-examination? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BRICKER: Q. Morning, Mr. Colvin. A. Morning. Q. I'm Max Bricker. I represent the City of Pocatello. I have a few questions about your expert report. On page 4 you discuss the resume system in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the single-use requirements in Colorado that have return-flow requirements that we have to meet when we manage water rights. Q. Let me read an excerpt from that case. Quote, "We are rather of opinion that when such waters leave the control of the original appropriator, having been used either for direct irrigation or reservoir purposes, without intention of recapture or further use by him, they immediately become a component of the river and cannot be lawfully diverted from their course to it by independent appropriation to the injury of those having decreed priorities therefrom." Do you see how it requires that the proposition you're supporting requires that the appropriator did not have the intention to recapture
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Any other direct examination from Kent or Mr. Barker? MR. BARKER: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Cross-examination? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BRICKER: Q. Morning, Mr. Colvin. A. Morning. Q. I'm Max Bricker. I represent the City of Pocatello. I have a few questions about your expert report. On page 4 you discuss the resume system in Colorado; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the single-use requirements in Colorado that have return-flow requirements that we have to meet when we manage water rights. Q. Let me read an excerpt from that case. Quote, "We are rather of opinion that when such waters leave the control of the original appropriator, having been used either for direct irrigation or reservoir purposes, without intention of recapture or further use by him, they immediately become a component of the river and cannot be lawfully diverted from their course to it by independent appropriation to the injury of those having decreed priorities therefrom." Do you see how it requires that the proposition you're supporting requires that the appropriator did not have the intention to recapture the return flows?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Fair to say that some of those inputs and data collection that you see in Colorado aren't currently kept by cities, municipal providers in Idaho? A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the questions I have. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Any other direct examination from Kent or Mr. Barker? MR. BARKER: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Cross-examination? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BRICKER: Q. Morning, Mr. Colvin. A. Morning. Q. I'm Max Bricker. I represent the City of Pocatello. I have a few questions about your expert report. On page 4 you discuss the resume system in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Are you an attorney? A. No. Q. In your expert report you cited the 1913 Colorado Comstock versus Ramsey decision; right? A. Yes. Q. For what purpose did you cite that case? A. That was just what really established the single-use requirements in Colorado that have return-flow requirements that we have to meet when we manage water rights. Q. Let me read an excerpt from that case. Quote, "We are rather of opinion that when such waters leave the control of the original appropriator, having been used either for direct irrigation or reservoir purposes, without intention of recapture or further use by him, they immediately become a component of the river and cannot be lawfully diverted from their course to it by independent appropriation to the injury of those having decreed priorities therefrom." Do you see how it requires that the proposition you're supporting requires that the appropriator did not have the intention to recapture

1 except that, you know, I think that I was trying to 1 equivalent of the Idaho mitigation plan, more or less? 2 point out that that's a difference from what we're 2 A. Yes, roughly. 3 talking about with the fully consumable aspects of 3 Q. And entities like the City of Aurora municipal water rights under the moratorium. 4 provide their accounting of their diversions and Q. But in Colorado a water user can acquire a depletions to the Division of Water Resources in 5 6 right to reuse and successive use if they claim those Colorado; right? 7 7 uses in their original appropriation; correct? A. Yes. 8 8 A. If those water rights are available. In an Q. And the Colorado Division of Water 9 overappropriated system they wouldn't be able to do Resources is able to administer those water rights; 10 that with water rights that -- they would have to have 10 correct? 11 a junior water right that makes that claim of reuse. 11 A. Most of the time. I would imagine there 12 Q. So if that's the case, then it's inaccurate 12 are times when things slip by. 13 that all Colorado water rights are subject to single 13 Q. And is it your testimony that the Idaho use with return-flow requirements; correct? 14 Department of Water Resources is uncapable of 15 A. I guess the -- it wouldn't be all, because 15 performing such administration? 16 there are fully consumable water rights. So perhaps I 16 A. No. misspoke if that's what I said. 17 Q. And you mentioned that the accounting and 18 Q. Okay. 18 reporting requirements in Colorado have evolved; right? 19 A. And I guess -- you know, I mean they're 19 A. Yes. 20 subject to -- to those issues. They might not be 20 Q. Could those same requirements not evolve in 21 required to be single use with return flow, but those 21 Idaho? 22 are semantics. I think I was just trying to point out 22 A. Oh, they could, absolutely. 23 the difference between those aspects of Colorado water 23 Q. But you are advocating that there's no need 24 rights management and the specific issue in this 24 for the evolution because we can take the simple 25 hearing, being fully consumable municipal water rights. 25 approach; right? Page 352 Page 354 1 Q. Okay. And you discussed the Prairie Waters 1 A. No. System in your report; right? 2 MR. BRICKER: No further questions. Thanks. 3 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. You represent the City of Aurora? 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION 5 A. I have worked for the City of Aurora for 20 5 BY MR. HARRIS: 6 years, but I don't represent them in water rights 6 Q. Mr. Colvin, good morning. Rob Harris here matters. Or I haven't in the past ten years. on behalf of the City of Idaho Falls and City of Ammon Q. And under this Prairie Waters System, when and Falls Water Company. Aurora replaces or mitigates out-of-priority diversions 9 A. Morning. 10 it only replaces the depletions; correct? 10 Q. Just as a follow-up for what Mr. Bricker 11 A. That would be right. 11 indicated, I understood your testimony that your 12 Q. And -testimony is that Idaho shouldn't do what Colorado does 13 A. Although they do have -- they have water 13 in tracking consumption for municipal entities. 14 rights that are fully consumable water rights that are 14 Did I misunderstand your testimony? A. Yeah, I wouldn't say I meant that to be so 15 lost from their control. And so with that loss there 15 16 are water rights that they return to the system that absolute. I think that there's a lot of similarities 17 ultimately they don't recover and reuse in this in water rights administration at a general level and 18 indirect potable reuse system. Those water rights go that, you know, there are certainly things that could 18 19 19 be applicable in both situations. downstream to other water users. 20 Q. But for the purposes of their augmentation 20 I just think that in my opinion, I guess, 21 plans, they are only obligated to replace 21 I'm deferring to the Department's planning for 22 out-of-priority diversions, they're only required to administration of these water rights. And comparing 23 replace the depletions; correct? that to the Colorado methodology and procedures, I 24 24 just -- I saw a lot of differences. 25 Q. And the Colorado augmentation plan is the 25 Q. Okay. And what are those differences Page 353 Page 355

1 again? 1 indicated, they don't have responsibility to measure 2 2 return flows from cities; correct? A. Well, just, you know, the treatment here is 3 specific to fully consumptive municipal water rights. 3 A. That's -- that's what he stated in his 4 And if you -- if you look at the Colorado systems that deposition. 5 Q. Right. And then there's a sentence that at 5 have evolved, a lot of times they began decades ago when technology and data availability was very the end of quoting from his deposition it says, 7 different. "Without well established and reliable return-flow data 8 available in Idaho, it is reasonable for IDWR to And so there's a lot that goes into kind of administer new municipal water rights as fully 9 the legal precedent that has led to where Colorado is 10 now with the level of communication and the frequency 10 consumable." 11 of reporting and a lot of things that just make it 11 Do you see that sentence? 12 12 different than 2023 in Idaho. A. I do. 13 Q. Would the opposite be true in your view 13 Q. In what way? Are you saying that Idaho 14 doesn't have the capacity to use technology to collect that with well established and reliable return-flow 14 data that it would be unreasonable for then IDWR to 15 water-use data or flow data? administer new municipal rights as fully consumable? 16 A. No, no. I think absolutely I'm sure 17 that -- I don't know this exactly, but I'm sure that 17 A. Not necessarily. 18 there are entities that do and can and will. I think 18 Q. Okay. Explain that to me. 19 A. Just that, you know, it's got to be 19 that's -- generally, you know, the movement in water management is towards more data collection and informed 20 reliable data and reliably reported. And I think that 21 decision-making based on that data. one of the differences that's kind of come up over the 22 So absolutely, I think Idaho is doing that 22 past couple of days would be the approach to water 23 now and will continue to do that in the future and rights administration would have to be adaptive to the data that's reported in -- if it was to be based on 24 improve along the way. 25 Q. And overall isn't the intent in Colorado 25 kind of water balance data, like we looked at Page 356 Page 358 1 simply to account for diversions, depletions, impacts 1 yesterday. 2 to other water users? 2 Q. But that's more of an administrative 3 A. Yes. 3 question. I'm just asking about data. If there's 4 Q. Okay. Can you open up your expert report, 4 reliable data. I understand your argument that there may 5 if you have it in front of you. It's Surface Water 5 Coalition Exhibit 1. And turn to page 5. 6 be some administrative work that has to be done. 7 A. Yes. A. Okav. Q. The third full paragraph down there's a Q. But as far as the data goes, if there was statement that begins "When asked about Water reliable data, then would it be -- still be reasonable 9 10 District 1." to assume that municipal pumping under new water rights 11 Do you see that? as fully consumable, in your opinion? 11 12 A. Yes. 12 A. I'm sorry, can you state the question 13 Q. Can you explain to me who or what Water 13 again? I just want to make sure that I got the 14 District 1 is. 14 reasonable part --15 A. Water District 1 administers the Snake 15 Q. Well --16 River water -- I'm not sure exactly where the 16 A. -- applied correctly. 17 boundaries of the district are. But through basically 17 Q. -- there's no statement in your opinion 18 the east and south side of the Snake River and the about some of the administrative work that the 18 19 Eastern Snake Plain, rather. Department may have to conduct. This just focuses 20 Q. I think you indicated that they distribute 20 strictly on available data and seems to indicate that 21 water according to water rights; is that right? Was your opinion is that because we don't have reliable 21 22 that --22 data it's reasonable for this 100 percent consumptive 23 23 A. That's my understanding, yes. assumption. 24 Q. Okay. So at this point their job is to 24 I'm simply asking if there was reliable 25 administer recent water rights, and as Mr. Cefalo 25 data would the opposite be true, in your opinion? Page 357 Page 359

		_	
1	A. Possibly, but I guess not necessarily.	1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	Q. Okay. Okay. You mentioned that you do	2	BY MS. McHUGH:
3	work for the City of Aurora?	3	Q. Good morning. I just had a couple of
4	A. Yes.	4	clarifying questions.
5	Q. Does Aurora have an NPDES permit or some	5	First of all, what cities in Idaho do you
6	equivalent that Colorado issues for discharge of its	6	represent or have you worked with?
7	effluent?	7	A. I haven't worked with any cities.
8	A. I'm sure they do, but I oh, actually,	8	Q. Okay. And you said something about that
9	I'm not sure that they do. They do for one of their	9	and I'm trying to just clarify this, there were
10	water reclamation facilities, but the ones that are on	10	specific inputs that you were testifying about that
11	the South Platte are operated by a metro water	11	cities or municipal providers or municipalities in
12	wastewater district that would probably hold those	12	Colorado measure, but you weren't sure they were kept
13	permits.	13	by Idaho cities.
14	Q. Is it fair to assume they probably have an	14	What were those specific inputs or data?
15	NPDES permit or some equivalent?	15	Do you recall that testimony?
16	A. Who? Aurora or the Metro District.	16	A. I think I was referring to the table that
17	Q. The District that treats	17	Mr. Sullivan presented yesterday.
18	A. Yeah, I'm sure they would have to. Yeah.	18	Is that is that what you're talking
19	Q. Okay. Could you turn around. There's an	19	about?
20	exhibit binder, the municipal providers. It's	20	Q. Yeah. I just recall hearing your testimony
21	Exhibit 346. It has a blue cover sheet on the cover.	21	saying that you weren't sure if those specific inputs
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Right there.	22	or data were kept by Idaho cities. So I was just
23	THE WITNESS: 346, you say?	23	trying to clarify what you might have meant by that
24	Q. (BY MR. HARRIS): Yeah, 346.	24	testimony.
25	A. Okay.	25	A. Well, I just I assume that he went to
	Page 360		Page 362
1	Q. This is the City of Idaho Falls NPDES	1	find that data, and if he didn't find it, he had to use
1 2	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6.	1 2	find that data, and if he didn't find it, he had to use assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance
l .	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay.		assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to
2	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that	2	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them.
2 3	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with	2 3 4 5	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific
2 3 4	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits.	2 3 4	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were?
2 3 4 5	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list?	2 3 4 5 6 7	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities
2 3 4 5 6 7	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes. Q. What is that, the very first thing that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities have those specific inputs?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes. Q. What is that, the very first thing that they have to report?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities have those specific inputs? A. I don't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes. Q. What is that, the very first thing that they have to report? A. It's flow.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities have those specific inputs? A. I don't. Q. Okay. So you haven't checked with whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes. Q. What is that, the very first thing that they have to report? A. It's flow. Q. Okay. And over to the right side the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities have those specific inputs? A. I don't. Q. Okay. So you haven't checked with whether or not those were actual necessary assumptions or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes. Q. What is that, the very first thing that they have to report? A. It's flow. Q. Okay. And over to the right side the sample frequency is what?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities have those specific inputs? A. I don't. Q. Okay. So you haven't checked with whether or not those were actual necessary assumptions or whether the data could have been included?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes. Q. What is that, the very first thing that they have to report? A. It's flow. Q. Okay. And over to the right side the sample frequency is what? A. Continuous.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities have those specific inputs? A. I don't. Q. Okay. So you haven't checked with whether or not those were actual necessary assumptions or whether the data could have been included? A. No, I haven't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes. Q. What is that, the very first thing that they have to report? A. It's flow. Q. Okay. And over to the right side the sample frequency is what? A. Continuous. Q. And the sample type is what?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities have those specific inputs? A. I don't. Q. Okay. So you haven't checked with whether or not those were actual necessary assumptions or whether the data could have been included? A. No, I haven't. Q. Okay. And when Mr. Bricker asked you the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes. Q. What is that, the very first thing that they have to report? A. It's flow. Q. Okay. And over to the right side the sample frequency is what? A. Continuous. Q. And the sample type is what? A. Recording.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities have those specific inputs? A. I don't. Q. Okay. So you haven't checked with whether or not those were actual necessary assumptions or whether the data could have been included? A. No, I haven't. Q. Okay. And when Mr. Bricker asked you the question about so in Idaho you're kind of advocating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes. Q. What is that, the very first thing that they have to report? A. It's flow. Q. Okay. And over to the right side the sample frequency is what? A. Continuous. Q. And the sample type is what? A. Recording. Q. So it's continuously recorded; correct? Do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities have those specific inputs? A. I don't. Q. Okay. So you haven't checked with whether or not those were actual necessary assumptions or whether the data could have been included? A. No, I haven't. Q. Okay. And when Mr. Bricker asked you the question about so in Idaho you're kind of advocating for a simpler approach, that you're just advocating for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes. Q. What is that, the very first thing that they have to report? A. It's flow. Q. Okay. And over to the right side the sample frequency is what? A. Continuous. Q. And the sample type is what? A. Recording. Q. So it's continuously recorded; correct? Do you think that could be characterized as reasonable and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities have those specific inputs? A. I don't. Q. Okay. So you haven't checked with whether or not those were actual necessary assumptions or whether the data could have been included? A. No, I haven't. Q. Okay. And when Mr. Bricker asked you the question about so in Idaho you're kind of advocating for a simpler approach, that you're just advocating for a if there's an assumption that all municipalities
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes. Q. What is that, the very first thing that they have to report? A. It's flow. Q. Okay. And over to the right side the sample frequency is what? A. Continuous. Q. And the sample type is what? A. Recording. Q. So it's continuously recorded; correct? Do you think that could be characterized as reasonable and reliable information on wastewater return flows?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities have those specific inputs? A. I don't. Q. Okay. So you haven't checked with whether or not those were actual necessary assumptions or whether the data could have been included? A. No, I haven't. Q. Okay. And when Mr. Bricker asked you the question about so in Idaho you're kind of advocating for a simpler approach, that you're just advocating for a if there's an assumption that all municipalities are fully consumptive, is that not a simpler approach
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes. Q. What is that, the very first thing that they have to report? A. It's flow. Q. Okay. And over to the right side the sample frequency is what? A. Continuous. Q. And the sample type is what? A. Recording. Q. So it's continuously recorded; correct? Do you think that could be characterized as reasonable and reliable information on wastewater return flows? A. If it was available for review, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities have those specific inputs? A. I don't. Q. Okay. So you haven't checked with whether or not those were actual necessary assumptions or whether the data could have been included? A. No, I haven't. Q. Okay. And when Mr. Bricker asked you the question about so in Idaho you're kind of advocating for a simpler approach, that you're just advocating for a if there's an assumption that all municipalities are fully consumptive, is that not a simpler approach than having municipalities having to do reporting?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes. Q. What is that, the very first thing that they have to report? A. It's flow. Q. Okay. And over to the right side the sample frequency is what? A. Continuous. Q. And the sample type is what? A. Recording. Q. So it's continuously recorded; correct? Do you think that could be characterized as reasonable and reliable information on wastewater return flows? A. If it was available for review, yes. MR. HARRIS: That's all the questions I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities have those specific inputs? A. I don't. Q. Okay. So you haven't checked with whether or not those were actual necessary assumptions or whether the data could have been included? A. No, I haven't. Q. Okay. And when Mr. Bricker asked you the question about so in Idaho you're kind of advocating for a simpler approach, that you're just advocating for a if there's an assumption that all municipalities are fully consumptive, is that not a simpler approach than having municipalities having to do reporting? A. Well, I think what I'm advocating for is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes. Q. What is that, the very first thing that they have to report? A. It's flow. Q. Okay. And over to the right side the sample frequency is what? A. Continuous. Q. And the sample type is what? A. Recording. Q. So it's continuously recorded; correct? Do you think that could be characterized as reasonable and reliable information on wastewater return flows? A. If it was available for review, yes. MR. HARRIS: That's all the questions I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities have those specific inputs? A. I don't. Q. Okay. So you haven't checked with whether or not those were actual necessary assumptions or whether the data could have been included? A. No, I haven't. Q. Okay. And when Mr. Bricker asked you the question about so in Idaho you're kind of advocating for a simpler approach, that you're just advocating for a if there's an assumption that all municipalities are fully consumptive, is that not a simpler approach than having municipalities having to do reporting? A. Well, I think what I'm advocating for is basically deferring to the the Department and their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes. Q. What is that, the very first thing that they have to report? A. It's flow. Q. Okay. And over to the right side the sample frequency is what? A. Continuous. Q. And the sample type is what? A. Recording. Q. So it's continuously recorded; correct? Do you think that could be characterized as reasonable and reliable information on wastewater return flows? A. If it was available for review, yes. MR. HARRIS: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities have those specific inputs? A. I don't. Q. Okay. So you haven't checked with whether or not those were actual necessary assumptions or whether the data could have been included? A. No, I haven't. Q. Okay. And when Mr. Bricker asked you the question about so in Idaho you're kind of advocating for a simpler approach, that you're just advocating for a if there's an assumption that all municipalities are fully consumptive, is that not a simpler approach than having municipalities having to do reporting? A. Well, I think what I'm advocating for is basically deferring to the the Department and their knowledge of administration. And and their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes. Q. What is that, the very first thing that they have to report? A. It's flow. Q. Okay. And over to the right side the sample frequency is what? A. Continuous. Q. And the sample type is what? A. Recording. Q. So it's continuously recorded; correct? Do you think that could be characterized as reasonable and reliable information on wastewater return flows? A. If it was available for review, yes. MR. HARRIS: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Harris.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities have those specific inputs? A. I don't. Q. Okay. So you haven't checked with whether or not those were actual necessary assumptions or whether the data could have been included? A. No, I haven't. Q. Okay. And when Mr. Bricker asked you the question about so in Idaho you're kind of advocating for a simpler approach, that you're just advocating for a if there's an assumption that all municipalities are fully consumptive, is that not a simpler approach than having municipalities having to do reporting? A. Well, I think what I'm advocating for is basically deferring to the the Department and their knowledge of administration. And and their approach, as it's presented in the moratorium, is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	permit. I'm going to have you turn to page 6. A. Okay. Q. And under paragraph B there's a table that describes the monitoring requirements for a city with one of these permits. Do you see the very first one on that list? A. Yes. Q. What is that, the very first thing that they have to report? A. It's flow. Q. Okay. And over to the right side the sample frequency is what? A. Continuous. Q. And the sample type is what? A. Recording. Q. So it's continuously recorded; correct? Do you think that could be characterized as reasonable and reliable information on wastewater return flows? A. If it was available for review, yes. MR. HARRIS: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	assumptions for those inputs on the water-balance calculations. And there were several items that had to be assumed, the majority of them. Q. And so do you remember what those specific inputs were? A. I don't. Q. Okay. And do you know whether Idaho cities have those specific inputs? A. I don't. Q. Okay. So you haven't checked with whether or not those were actual necessary assumptions or whether the data could have been included? A. No, I haven't. Q. Okay. And when Mr. Bricker asked you the question about so in Idaho you're kind of advocating for a simpler approach, that you're just advocating for a if there's an assumption that all municipalities are fully consumptive, is that not a simpler approach than having municipalities having to do reporting? A. Well, I think what I'm advocating for is basically deferring to the the Department and their knowledge of administration. And and their

1	Q. Okay. So your testimony is you're	1	about Mr. Sullivan's analysis. And I'm paraphrasing.
2	advocating for deference to the Department?	2	I hope I don't and correct me if I paraphrase this
3	A. Yes.	3	wrong.
4	MS. McHUGH: Nothing further.	4	A. Okay.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Doesn't look like we have	5	Q. But I believe you said that Mr. Sullivan
6	any more cross.	6	could only rely upon assumptions in his analysis of
7	Oh, yep. Come on up, Mr. Lawrence.	7	municipal consumptive use; is that right?
8		8	A. No. There were data in there that were
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION	9	based on reporting of, I believe it was diversions at
10	BY MR. LAWRENCE:	10	least.
11	Q. Morning, Mr. Colvin. My name is Mike	11	Q. Is it your position that the a similar
12	Lawrence. I'm an attorney with Givens Pursley here in	12	analysis could be done with actual data, rather than
13	Boise. I represent the City of Hailey and Veolia Water	13	assumptions?
14	Idaho, Inc.	14	A. It could be done with actual data, yes.
15	A. Morning.	15	Q. Do you recall Mr. Sullivan testifying that
16	Q. I just have a couple of questions. I'll	16	he could do the same analysis using actual data instead
17	try to be brief.	17	of the assumptions that were used?
18	Mr. Thompson was asking you about the	18	A. I don't remember the exact verbiage that he
19	water-level declines in the ESPA.	19	used to describe that, but generally, yes.
20	Do you recall that?	20	Q. But you agree that he could?
21	A. Yes.	21	A. If the data were available.
22	Q. Is it your opinion that the cause of	22	MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you.
23	declines in the ESPA are attributable to increased	23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do we have any redirect?
24	municipal consumptive use?	24	MR. THOMPSON: I don't have any.
25	A. That wouldn't be the only cause for	25	MR. BARKER: No.
	Page 364		Page 366
1	decline. It would be a component of it.	1	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. I think that
1 2	decline. It would be a component of it. Q. And do you have evidence that there has	1 2	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. I think that concludes our examination.
		l .	_
2	Q. And do you have evidence that there has	2	concludes our examination.
2 3	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use?	2 3	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin.
2 3 4	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use?A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that	2 3 4	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use?A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue.	2 3 4 5	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the 	2 3 4 5 6	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the ESPA is roughly 3 percent of the groundwater use in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit did we admit Exhibit 4 yesterday?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the ESPA is roughly 3 percent of the groundwater use in the ESPA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit did we admit Exhibit 4 yesterday? MR. THOMPSON: I think so. I've got it written
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the ESPA is roughly 3 percent of the groundwater use in the ESPA? A. I don't know the exact number, but that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit did we admit Exhibit 4 yesterday? MR. THOMPSON: I think so. I've got it written down.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the ESPA is roughly 3 percent of the groundwater use in the ESPA? A. I don't know the exact number, but that sounds about right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit did we admit Exhibit 4 yesterday? MR. THOMPSON: I think so. I've got it written down. THE HEARING OFFICER: We did. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the ESPA is roughly 3 percent of the groundwater use in the ESPA? A. I don't know the exact number, but that sounds about right. Q. In your expert report, section 2.3,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit did we admit Exhibit 4 yesterday? MR. THOMPSON: I think so. I've got it written down. THE HEARING OFFICER: We did. Okay. SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the ESPA is roughly 3 percent of the groundwater use in the ESPA? A. I don't know the exact number, but that sounds about right. Q. In your expert report, section 2.3, discussing water reuse increasing in Idaho and across	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit did we admit Exhibit 4 yesterday? MR. THOMPSON: I think so. I've got it written down. THE HEARING OFFICER: We did. Okay. SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the ESPA is roughly 3 percent of the groundwater use in the ESPA? A. I don't know the exact number, but that sounds about right. Q. In your expert report, section 2.3, discussing water reuse increasing in Idaho and across the world, is it your opinion that every municipal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit did we admit Exhibit 4 yesterday? MR. THOMPSON: I think so. I've got it written down. THE HEARING OFFICER: We did. Okay. SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to admit Exhibits 1 and 3 into the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the ESPA is roughly 3 percent of the groundwater use in the ESPA? A. I don't know the exact number, but that sounds about right. Q. In your expert report, section 2.3, discussing water reuse increasing in Idaho and across the world, is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho can increase their water reuse?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit did we admit Exhibit 4 yesterday? MR. THOMPSON: I think so. I've got it written down. THE HEARING OFFICER: We did. Okay. SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to admit Exhibits 1 and 3 into the record. Any objection?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the ESPA is roughly 3 percent of the groundwater use in the ESPA? A. I don't know the exact number, but that sounds about right. Q. In your expert report, section 2.3, discussing water reuse increasing in Idaho and across the world, is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho can increase their water reuse? A. I don't know that exactly, but probably.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit did we admit Exhibit 4 yesterday? MR. THOMPSON: I think so. I've got it written down. THE HEARING OFFICER: We did. Okay. SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to admit Exhibits 1 and 3 into the record. Any objection? Seeing no objection, we'll bring those in. (Exhibits 1 and 3 admitted.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the ESPA is roughly 3 percent of the groundwater use in the ESPA? A. I don't know the exact number, but that sounds about right. Q. In your expert report, section 2.3, discussing water reuse increasing in Idaho and across the world, is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho can increase their water reuse? A. I don't know that exactly, but probably. Q. Is it your opinion that every municipal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit did we admit Exhibit 4 yesterday? MR. THOMPSON: I think so. I've got it written down. THE HEARING OFFICER: We did. Okay. SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to admit Exhibits 1 and 3 into the record. Any objection? Seeing no objection, we'll bring those in. (Exhibits 1 and 3 admitted.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the ESPA is roughly 3 percent of the groundwater use in the ESPA? A. I don't know the exact number, but that sounds about right. Q. In your expert report, section 2.3, discussing water reuse increasing in Idaho and across the world, is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho can increase their water reuse? A. I don't know that exactly, but probably. Q. Is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho will increase their water reuse?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit did we admit Exhibit 4 yesterday? MR. THOMPSON: I think so. I've got it written down. THE HEARING OFFICER: We did. Okay. SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to admit Exhibits 1 and 3 into the record. Any objection? Seeing no objection, we'll bring those in. (Exhibits 1 and 3 admitted.) THE HEARING OFFICER: So we've been all the way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the ESPA is roughly 3 percent of the groundwater use in the ESPA? A. I don't know the exact number, but that sounds about right. Q. In your expert report, section 2.3, discussing water reuse increasing in Idaho and across the world, is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho can increase their water reuse? A. I don't know that exactly, but probably. Q. Is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho will increase their water reuse? A. I can't speak to that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit did we admit Exhibit 4 yesterday? MR. THOMPSON: I think so. I've got it written down. THE HEARING OFFICER: We did. Okay. SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to admit Exhibits 1 and 3 into the record. Any objection? Seeing no objection, we'll bring those in. (Exhibits 1 and 3 admitted.) THE HEARING OFFICER: So we've been all the way through examination of the expert witnesses round one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the ESPA is roughly 3 percent of the groundwater use in the ESPA? A. I don't know the exact number, but that sounds about right. Q. In your expert report, section 2.3, discussing water reuse increasing in Idaho and across the world, is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho can increase their water reuse? A. I don't know that exactly, but probably. Q. Is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho will increase their water reuse? A. I can't speak to that. Q. Is it your opinion that every municipal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit did we admit Exhibit 4 yesterday? MR. THOMPSON: I think so. I've got it written down. THE HEARING OFFICER: We did. Okay. SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to admit Exhibits 1 and 3 into the record. Any objection? Seeing no objection, we'll bring those in. (Exhibits 1 and 3 admitted.) THE HEARING OFFICER: So we've been all the way through examination of the expert witnesses round one. Do we need to call experts to rebut the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the ESPA is roughly 3 percent of the groundwater use in the ESPA? A. I don't know the exact number, but that sounds about right. Q. In your expert report, section 2.3, discussing water reuse increasing in Idaho and across the world, is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho can increase their water reuse? A. I don't know that exactly, but probably. Q. Is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho will increase their water reuse? A. I can't speak to that. Q. Is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho can reuse their water to extinction?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit did we admit Exhibit 4 yesterday? MR. THOMPSON: I think so. I've got it written down. THE HEARING OFFICER: We did. Okay. SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to admit Exhibits 1 and 3 into the record. Any objection? Seeing no objection, we'll bring those in. (Exhibits 1 and 3 admitted.) THE HEARING OFFICER: So we've been all the way through examination of the expert witnesses round one. Do we need to call experts to rebut the first round of examination?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the ESPA is roughly 3 percent of the groundwater use in the ESPA? A. I don't know the exact number, but that sounds about right. Q. In your expert report, section 2.3, discussing water reuse increasing in Idaho and across the world, is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho can increase their water reuse? A. I don't know that exactly, but probably. Q. Is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho will increase their water reuse? A. I can't speak to that. Q. Is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho can reuse their water to extinction? A. I suppose they could.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit did we admit Exhibit 4 yesterday? MR. THOMPSON: I think so. I've got it written down. THE HEARING OFFICER: We did. Okay. SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to admit Exhibits 1 and 3 into the record. Any objection? Seeing no objection, we'll bring those in. (Exhibits 1 and 3 admitted.) THE HEARING OFFICER: So we've been all the way through examination of the expert witnesses round one. Do we need to call experts to rebut the first round of examination? MR. BRICKER: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the ESPA is roughly 3 percent of the groundwater use in the ESPA? A. I don't know the exact number, but that sounds about right. Q. In your expert report, section 2.3, discussing water reuse increasing in Idaho and across the world, is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho can increase their water reuse? A. I don't know that exactly, but probably. Q. Is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho will increase their water reuse? A. I can't speak to that. Q. Is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho can reuse their water to extinction? A. I suppose they could. Q. And is it your opinion that every municipal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit did we admit Exhibit 4 yesterday? MR. THOMPSON: I think so. I've got it written down. THE HEARING OFFICER: We did. Okay. SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to admit Exhibits 1 and 3 into the record. Any objection? Seeing no objection, we'll bring those in. (Exhibits 1 and 3 admitted.) THE HEARING OFFICER: So we've been all the way through examination of the expert witnesses round one. Do we need to call experts to rebut the first round of examination? MR. BRICKER: Yes. MR. BAXTER: I would like to call James Cefalo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And do you have evidence that there has been an increase in municipal consumptive use? A. Not directly. I haven't looked into that issue. Q. Do you understand that municipal use in the ESPA is roughly 3 percent of the groundwater use in the ESPA? A. I don't know the exact number, but that sounds about right. Q. In your expert report, section 2.3, discussing water reuse increasing in Idaho and across the world, is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho can increase their water reuse? A. I don't know that exactly, but probably. Q. Is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho will increase their water reuse? A. I can't speak to that. Q. Is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho can reuse their water to extinction? A. I suppose they could. Q. And is it your opinion that every municipal provider in Idaho will reuse their water to extinction?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	concludes our examination. Thank you, Mr. Colvin. THE WITNESS: Thanks. MR. THOMPSON: Move to admit Exhibits 1 and 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we admit Exhibit did we admit Exhibit 4 yesterday? MR. THOMPSON: I think so. I've got it written down. THE HEARING OFFICER: We did. Okay. SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to admit Exhibits 1 and 3 into the record. Any objection? Seeing no objection, we'll bring those in. (Exhibits 1 and 3 admitted.) THE HEARING OFFICER: So we've been all the way through examination of the expert witnesses round one. Do we need to call experts to rebut the first round of examination? MR. BRICKER: Yes. MR. BAXTER: I would like to call James Cefalo back up for some rebuttal testimony. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Go ahead.

1	JAMES CEFALO,	1	through or adjust a water right through hearing, are
2	having been called as a rebuttal witness by the	2	you concerned about the burden this would have on IDWR?
3	Department of Water Resources and duly sworn, testified	3	A. I am concerned.
4	as follows:	4	Q. Why?
5		5	A. There would be there would be an
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.	6	additional burden, not only on the water rights
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And just for	7	processing side, meaning preparing additional public
8	completeness, will you again state your name and	8	notices, but then if the original application resulted
9	address for the record.	9	in a contested case. It's highly likely that any
10	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't think I did it the	10	change to that water right would result in another
11	first time, so I'm sorry.	11	contested case.
12	James Cefalo. I live in Idaho Falls.	12	And so it would be an additional burden on
13	320 Stillwater Circle.	13	those Department staff who handle contested cases, both
14		14	our hearing officers, but our administrative assistants
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION	15	too.
16	BY MR. BAXTER:	16	Q. Would there be burdens to protestants as
17	Q. Morning. Welcome back, Mr. Cefalo.	17	well?
18	A. Thanks.	18	A. There could be. Like I said, if there were
19	Q. Were you here for the testimony of Greg	19	original protestants, they would likely jump in as
20	Sullivan yesterday?	20	protestants to any changes to the water rights, and
21	A. I was.	21	there could be additional protestants too.
22	Q. Okay. Did Mr. Sullivan make two	22	Q. Now, Mr. Sullivan had a second suggestion
23	suggestions for how IDWR could condition future	23	related to kind of a variable mitigation proposal.
24	municipal water right applications to prevent injury?	24	Were you here when he discussed that?
25	A. He did.	25	A. That's right. His suggestion was that then
	Page 368	23	Page 370
1	O Ol D	1	as consumptive use changed even time the mitigation
1	Q. Okay. Do you recall what his first	1	, , , , ,
2	suggestion was?	2	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I
2 3	suggestion was? A. My recollection is that that first	2 3	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional
2 3 4	suggestion was? A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on	2 3 4	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use.
2 3 4 5	suggestion was? A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current	2 3 4 5	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation
2 3 4 5 6	suggestion was? A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that	2 3 4 5 6	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR?
2 3 4 5 6 7	suggestion was? A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right.	2 3 4 5	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying that if the consumptive use amount changes in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any significant change to a mitigation plan would require
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying that if the consumptive use amount changes in the future that that condition could be adjusted?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any significant change to a mitigation plan would require public notice through due process and could result in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying that if the consumptive use amount changes in the future that that condition could be adjusted? A. I do recall that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any significant change to a mitigation plan would require public notice through due process and could result in an additional contested case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying that if the consumptive use amount changes in the future that that condition could be adjusted? A. I do recall that. Q. Can IDWR on its own change the condition on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any significant change to a mitigation plan would require public notice through due process and could result in an additional contested case. Q. And is that an easy process, in your mind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying that if the consumptive use amount changes in the future that that condition could be adjusted? A. I do recall that. Q. Can IDWR on its own change the condition on the face of a water right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any significant change to a mitigation plan would require public notice through due process and could result in an additional contested case. Q. And is that an easy process, in your mind and your experience?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying that if the consumptive use amount changes in the future that that condition could be adjusted? A. I do recall that. Q. Can IDWR on its own change the condition on the face of a water right? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any significant change to a mitigation plan would require public notice through due process and could result in an additional contested case. Q. And is that an easy process, in your mind and your experience? A. In my experience, it is not easy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying that if the consumptive use amount changes in the future that that condition could be adjusted? A. I do recall that. Q. Can IDWR on its own change the condition on the face of a water right? A. No. Q. Does IDWR issue permits or licenses as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any significant change to a mitigation plan would require public notice through due process and could result in an additional contested case. Q. And is that an easy process, in your mind and your experience? A. In my experience, it is not easy. Q. Are you familiar with the Rexburg water
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying that if the consumptive use amount changes in the future that that condition could be adjusted? A. I do recall that. Q. Can IDWR on its own change the condition on the face of a water right? A. No. Q. Does IDWR issue permits or licenses as preliminary orders?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any significant change to a mitigation plan would require public notice through due process and could result in an additional contested case. Q. And is that an easy process, in your mind and your experience? A. In my experience, it is not easy. Q. Are you familiar with the Rexburg water right discussed by Mr. Sullivan yesterday?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying that if the consumptive use amount changes in the future that that condition could be adjusted? A. I do recall that. Q. Can IDWR on its own change the condition on the face of a water right? A. No. Q. Does IDWR issue permits or licenses as preliminary orders? A. Generally that's that's how those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any significant change to a mitigation plan would require public notice through due process and could result in an additional contested case. Q. And is that an easy process, in your mind and your experience? A. In my experience, it is not easy. Q. Are you familiar with the Rexburg water right discussed by Mr. Sullivan yesterday? A. Like as I testified yesterday, I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying that if the consumptive use amount changes in the future that that condition could be adjusted? A. I do recall that. Q. Can IDWR on its own change the condition on the face of a water right? A. No. Q. Does IDWR issue permits or licenses as preliminary orders? A. Generally that's that's how those approvals are handled is preliminary orders.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any significant change to a mitigation plan would require public notice through due process and could result in an additional contested case. Q. And is that an easy process, in your mind and your experience? A. In my experience, it is not easy. Q. Are you familiar with the Rexburg water right discussed by Mr. Sullivan yesterday? A. Like as I testified yesterday, I am familiar with it. I took some time after the after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying that if the consumptive use amount changes in the future that that condition could be adjusted? A. I do recall that. Q. Can IDWR on its own change the condition on the face of a water right? A. No. Q. Does IDWR issue permits or licenses as preliminary orders? A. Generally that's that's how those approvals are handled is preliminary orders. Q. And those orders then become final orders;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any significant change to a mitigation plan would require public notice through due process and could result in an additional contested case. Q. And is that an easy process, in your mind and your experience? A. In my experience, it is not easy. Q. Are you familiar with the Rexburg water right discussed by Mr. Sullivan yesterday? A. Like as I testified yesterday, I am familiar with it. I took some time after the after we ended yesterday and read through the conditions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying that if the consumptive use amount changes in the future that that condition could be adjusted? A. I do recall that. Q. Can IDWR on its own change the condition on the face of a water right? A. No. Q. Does IDWR issue permits or licenses as preliminary orders? A. Generally that's that's how those approvals are handled is preliminary orders.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any significant change to a mitigation plan would require public notice through due process and could result in an additional contested case. Q. And is that an easy process, in your mind and your experience? A. In my experience, it is not easy. Q. Are you familiar with the Rexburg water right discussed by Mr. Sullivan yesterday? A. Like as I testified yesterday, I am familiar with it. I took some time after the after we ended yesterday and read through the conditions again on that Rexburg water right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying that if the consumptive use amount changes in the future that that condition could be adjusted? A. I do recall that. Q. Can IDWR on its own change the condition on the face of a water right? A. No. Q. Does IDWR issue permits or licenses as preliminary orders? A. Generally that's that's how those approvals are handled is preliminary orders. Q. And those orders then become final orders;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any significant change to a mitigation plan would require public notice through due process and could result in an additional contested case. Q. And is that an easy process, in your mind and your experience? A. In my experience, it is not easy. Q. Are you familiar with the Rexburg water right discussed by Mr. Sullivan yesterday? A. Like as I testified yesterday, I am familiar with it. I took some time after the after we ended yesterday and read through the conditions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying that if the consumptive use amount changes in the future that that condition could be adjusted? A. I do recall that. Q. Can IDWR on its own change the condition on the face of a water right? A. No. Q. Does IDWR issue permits or licenses as preliminary orders? A. Generally that's that's how those approvals are handled is preliminary orders. Q. And those orders then become final orders; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any significant change to a mitigation plan would require public notice through due process and could result in an additional contested case. Q. And is that an easy process, in your mind and your experience? A. In my experience, it is not easy. Q. Are you familiar with the Rexburg water right discussed by Mr. Sullivan yesterday? A. Like as I testified yesterday, I am familiar with it. I took some time after the after we ended yesterday and read through the conditions again on that Rexburg water right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying that if the consumptive use amount changes in the future that that condition could be adjusted? A. I do recall that. Q. Can IDWR on its own change the condition on the face of a water right? A. No. Q. Does IDWR issue permits or licenses as preliminary orders? A. Generally that's that's how those approvals are handled is preliminary orders. Q. And those orders then become final orders; correct? A. They do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any significant change to a mitigation plan would require public notice through due process and could result in an additional contested case. Q. And is that an easy process, in your mind and your experience? A. In my experience, it is not easy. Q. Are you familiar with the Rexburg water right discussed by Mr. Sullivan yesterday? A. Like as I testified yesterday, I am familiar with it. I took some time after the after we ended yesterday and read through the conditions again on that Rexburg water right. Q. What do you think about those conditions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying that if the consumptive use amount changes in the future that that condition could be adjusted? A. I do recall that. Q. Can IDWR on its own change the condition on the face of a water right? A. No. Q. Does IDWR issue permits or licenses as preliminary orders? A. Generally that's that's how those approvals are handled is preliminary orders. Q. And those orders then become final orders; correct? A. They do. Q. Now, Mr. Sullivan also seemed to suggest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any significant change to a mitigation plan would require public notice through due process and could result in an additional contested case. Q. And is that an easy process, in your mind and your experience? A. In my experience, it is not easy. Q. Are you familiar with the Rexburg water right discussed by Mr. Sullivan yesterday? A. Like as I testified yesterday, I am familiar with it. I took some time after the after we ended yesterday and read through the conditions again on that Rexburg water right. Q. What do you think about those conditions? A. They're pretty complicated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. My recollection is that that first suggestion was to add a condition or, as an element on the water right, some indication of what the current consumptive or expected consumptive use was under that water right. Q. And do you recall Mr. Sullivan then saying that if the consumptive use amount changes in the future that that condition could be adjusted? A. I do recall that. Q. Can IDWR on its own change the condition on the face of a water right? A. No. Q. Does IDWR issue permits or licenses as preliminary orders? A. Generally that's that's how those approvals are handled is preliminary orders. Q. And those orders then become final orders; correct? A. They do. Q. Now, Mr. Sullivan also seemed to suggest that IDWR could adjust the condition through some sort	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	plan would change to match that consumptive use, I guess either for additional or for additional consumptive use or for less consumptive use. Q. What burden would that variable mitigation scheme put on IDWR? A. It would be very similar to making any change to the face of the water right, meaning that any significant change to a mitigation plan would require public notice through due process and could result in an additional contested case. Q. And is that an easy process, in your mind and your experience? A. In my experience, it is not easy. Q. Are you familiar with the Rexburg water right discussed by Mr. Sullivan yesterday? A. Like as I testified yesterday, I am familiar with it. I took some time after the after we ended yesterday and read through the conditions again on that Rexburg water right. Q. What do you think about those conditions? A. They're pretty complicated. Q. Would you care

1 and depending on different thresholds they may require, 1 right? 2 even rentals through the Water District 1 rental pool. 2 A. I would be very hesitant to approve that Q. Are those conditions -- let me say it this 3 3 complex of a mitigation plan. 4 way. Q. Why? 5 A. Just because I -- having served also as a 5 Do those conditions impose a burden on IDWR 6 staff? watermaster in particular for the ground water 7 A. Yes. Not only to administer the water districts across the ESPA, I know how difficult it is 8 right realtime, but of course also to review any annual to administer complex water rights and -- and how difficult it is for a watermaster to then know all of reports that are submitted by the permit holder. 10 Q. I think one of the proposals was, well, those specific provisions and nuances by heart to then 10 11 IDWR could just adopt the same approach as the Rexburg 11 employ those or make sure that those are being followed 12 water right for future applications. 12 on a day -- day-by-day basis. 13 13 So talking about that future -- or excuse Q. So you talked a little bit about 14 me, that Rexburg approach, is that a scalable solution 14 watermasters. 15 for IDWR? And what I mean by that is, is that a 15 Does IDWR staff sometimes struggle with 16 solution that IDWR could adopt widespread for future those conditions as well? 16 17 A. Oh, also. You bet. 17 applications? 18 A. At this point I don't see how IDWR could 18 Q. You were here yesterday for Mr. Scanlan's 19 19 testimony about the Dry Creek water right; correct? adopt that as a widespread solution. As I mentioned during my earlier testimony, we provide watermaster 20 A. I was. services for a number of water districts out of our 21 Q. Now, like Mr. Sullivan, Mr. Scanlan 22 office. 22 suggested that IDWR could impose monitoring and 23 23 And in addition to that, we correspond and reporting conditions on water rights. 24 work with watermasters throughout eastern Idaho. From 24 Is that your understanding of Mr. Scanlan's 25 what I've observed, watermasters struggle even to 25 testimony yesterday? Page 372 Page 374 1 implement what we would call very standard conditions, 1 A. That is my understanding. And we have 2 meaning combined rate limits, combined volume limits, 2 imposed those type of conditions in the past. 3 combined acre limits. Between water rights from 3 Q. Can you think of examples where IDWR has different sources, that can be very difficult for 4 struggled with tracking conditions on existing water 5 5 rights? watermasters already. 6 But then to expect watermasters to be able 6 A. Sure. In the early 2010s the then to administer two-or-three-page water rights, meaning 7 Director, maybe an interim Director, Gary Spackman, had that the conditions extend onto two or three pages, and asked Department staff to make a push to eliminate a licensing backlog. And in that process, out of our that's just one water right. And we've got some 10 watermasters who are responsible for administering Eastern Regional Office at least, we then had an 11 thousands of water rights within their water district. opportunity to review a number of permits where proof 12 I just don't see how this type of a solution could 12 of beneficial use had already been filed and they were 13 scale or could be a widespread solution. 13 just sitting there waiting to be licensed. 14 Q. I can't recall exactly. How long did you 14 And I can recall coming across a number of 15 say you've worked for IDWR? those permits that had mitigation requirements, some, 16 A. I've worked for over 15 years for IDWR. for example, that required the right holder, the permit Q. You're currently the regional 17 17 holder to come and rent water through the Water District 1 rental pool on an annual basis. administrator; correct? 18 18 19 19 A. I'm a regional manager, yes. And picking those permits up and finding 20 Q. And in that role you approve permits that that permit holder could come in on the first 21 licensed -- permits and licenses for Department? year, and they'd come in on the second year, but then 21 22 A. I do. they never showed up and rented water again. And 15 23 Q. Based on what you've learned from your years later they had gone, you know, without fulfilling 24 experience here at IDWR, would you approve another the requirements under their mitigation plan, but also 25 permit with conditions like those in the Rexburg water 25 recognizing that IDWR staff did not have the capacity Page 373 Page 375

1 to be tracking all of those to ensure that those uses can be. But they also oftentimes are not. mitigation plans were being followed. 2 And my observations as regional manager in 3 And it was only through the licensing 3 dealing with applications that are filed in eastern process that we discovered, Hey, wait, this mitigation Idaho, most of the industrial and commercial water plan hasn't been followed. rights or applications that come in the door are for 5 Q. Does that create a burden on IDWR staff 6 very specific, defined uses that are known up front, 7 7 when the applicant doesn't comply with those and they do not change over time. 8 conditions? You know, we're talking about one office 9 A. Well, it did. It did. As we discovered 9 building that might be diverting water for a commercial 10 that those permits that were out of compliance, we then 10 use inside that office building. It might be for a 11 initiated formal proceedings, you know, issued orders gravel-washing facility, and that that gravel-washing 12 proposing to void the permits, then the permit holders facility remains or that use remains the same and 13 came in and had revised mitigation plans. And we had 13 constant throughout the lifespan of that water right. to then work through that process of evaluating and 14 O. So municipal uses don't -- those uses don't 15 adopting revised mitigation plans. 15 remain as constant? 16 16 A. As we discussed yesterday, municipal uses Q. Switching gears a bit, do you recall 17 Mr. Sullivan and Mr. Scanlan testifying yesterday about 17 change on a day-to-day basis, depending on what 18 how IDWR is treating municipal and certain domestic 18 building is torn down and turned into a park or what uses differently from other uses? 19 office -- office building is torn down and turned into 20 A. I do. 20 an apartment building or what apartment building is 21 O. Is that correct? 21 torn down and turned into a car wash. There's a lot of 22 A. In my opinion, no. variability in the day-to-day consumptive use 23 O. Why? 23 associated with municipal water rights. A. I -- I feel like this order treats 24 24 Q. Is there also a difference between the 25 municipal uses very similar to irrigation uses, in 25 scope of an individual industrial consumptive water Page 376 Page 378 1 fact. 1 right and municipal water right? 2 When an applicant comes in and proposes to 2 A. Of course. Individual, commercial, or 3 divert water for irrigation purposes, IDWR would 3 industrial water rights would be very small in comparison to municipal rights. And the place of use 4 require that applicant to provide mitigation for the maximum expected -- maximum potential consumptive use 5 would be much smaller than, of course, the service area 5 We don't make inquiries about "Are you for a municipality. 7 MR. BAXTER: No further questions. 7 going to grow barley this year? Are you going to grow corn next year?" We wouldn't let an applicant THE HEARING OFFICER: So I'm having some proposing irrigation use promise to only irrigate 9 computer issues here. I'd like to take a break now so 10 barley for the rest of the lifespan of that -- of that 10 that I can reboot this and get my machine so that it 11 doesn't have a 10-second lag when I type. 11 irrigation right. 12 12 We would say, "What is the maximum expected So let's go on a ten-minute break right now 13 potential" -- I'm sorry, "the maximum potential 13 and come back in and start with cross-examination. 14 consumptive use for that region?" And we would require (Recess.) mitigation up to that amount. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Sorry for that 16 And municipal rights we're dealing with --16 inconvenience. 17 or handling that the same way. We're saying, "What is 17 Mr. Harris, go ahead and start the maximum potential consumptive use that could occur cross-examination when you're ready. 18 19 under this application?" And requiring the applicant 20 to mitigate for that full amount up front. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION 21 Q. How about for industrial and commercial 21 BY MR. HARRIS: Q. James, good morning. Again, we talked 22 uses, does IDWR -- or let me say it this way. 22 23 Why didn't IDWR treat industrial and yesterday, and we'll talk more today, probably on 24 commercial uses as fully consumptive? 24 similar topics. But I just want to start out by -- I'm 25 going to try and go in order that Mr. Baxter asked you A. They can be. Commercial and industrial Page 379 Page 377

1 questions, but I may jump around a little bit. 1 a lease contract, then the amount of water authorized 2 The first item that Mr. Baxter asked you 2 for diversion under this right shall be reduced by the 3 about, he represented that Mr. Sullivan testified that same proportion as the reduction to the mitigation 4 there could be a condition adjusted as part of a new rights." Are you familiar with that sort of a 5 5 municipal water right permit. I didn't understand his testimony to be an amendment to the actual language in 6 condition? the condition. A. That's a standard condition that 8 Was that your understanding of what he essentially declares that if the mitigation right ever becomes unavailable that the right relying on that 9 proposed? 10 A. That was my understanding, that there would 10 mitigation right also would be unavailable. 11 be a condition declaring some amount of consumptive use 11 Q. Precisely. And so that is 12 and that that could be adjusted through the lifespan of 12 self-effectuating; correct? It wouldn't require 13 the water right. 13 another hearing for the Department --14 O. And maybe we're -- we got to make sure we 14 A. It wouldn't. 15 aren't tripping over semantics, but the -- I don't 15 Q. -- if the mitigation --16 think he suggested that the condition would be amended, A. You are -- I agree. It would not require 16 17 but the condition would be drafted with some level of another hearing. 17 18 flexibility. 18 Q. Okay. And so if the Department included a 19 19 condition that said "Here's how we evaluate the amount MR. BAXTER: Objection. I think it 20 mischaracterizes Mr. Sullivan's testimony. And if 20 of consumption, here's how we calculate the amount of 21 Mr. Sullivan were -- or Mr. Cefalo has testified as to 21 mitigation, and if those numbers change the mitigation 22 Mr. Sullivan's testimony -- what he understood 22 amount either increases or decreases," wouldn't that 23 Mr. Sullivan's testimony to be, if that was incorrect, 23 same concept, could it apply in a municipal context? 24 then counsel can call Mr. Sullivan and clarify that 24 A. Possibly. 25 25 issue. Q. Okay. Mr. Baxter asked you a little bit Page 380 Page 382 1 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. There's an 1 about just the administrative burden that you believe 2 objection. Sustained. this would impose on the Department. I started 3 Q. (BY MR. HARRIS): Okay. The Department has 3 practicing law in 2004 in Idaho Falls. You started in 2007. I think we both agree there was a pretty 4 issued water right approvals with some flexibility built into the condition; correct? 5 significant licensing backlog in eastern region. A. Not that I'm aware of declaring consumptive 6 Is that a fair --7 use. But I do I know that there are conditions that 7 A. That's right. have some flexibility. Q. Do you know approximately how many water Q. Well, the condition would say if there's a rights, how big that backlog was? 9 10 change in some aspect, then the amount of beneficial A. Hundreds, just in eastern Idaho that we 10 11 worked through. I can't remember exact numbers. It 11 use authorized would change as well; correct? 12 A. I think we discussed one of those yesterday 12 was -- it was over 200. 13 with this -- and again, Basin 63 isn't -- isn't in 13 Q. And I worked with your office quite a bit 14 14 eastern Idaho, so I'm not super familiar with that. on addressing some of those. 15 But there was testimony about as domestic use, I 15 A. Uh-huh. 16 believe, is developed that an irrigation right is -- is 16 Q. And we'll just say, for the record, you guys did a remarkable job removing that backlog. 17 reduced at the same time, commensurate with the 17 18 increase in domestic development. I have seen those. 18 What is that current backlog? Do you know? 19 19 A. Because we still have areas in our region Q. There's that example. Another example is 20 you had testified about the Funk permits. So this is 20 that are open for new appropriations, mainly the Salmon 21 Water Right 35-14240. There's a condition in that 21 River drainage, that backlog for our region is about 22 permit that provides, "If specified mitigation rights, 22 150, and remains kind of in that area year to year. 23 or a portion thereof, are sold, transferred, leased, 23 Q. But it was hundreds before then; right? 24 24 used on any place of use or are not deliverable due to 25 a shortage of water, priority call, or a termination of 25 Q. Okay. And so with dedicated time and Page 381 Page 383

1 resources the Department was able to tackle that issue? 1 happening from the Teton River, and that source water 2 A. We were. 2 rights are administered by Water District 1. And the 3 Q. I'm going to have you open to Exhibit 312. groundwater diversion, which is what this is for 4 And you're -- it looks like you're already there. And municipal use, is regulated by Water District 100. And 5 Mr. Baxter asked you some questions about this permit the Water District 100 doesn't deliver water rights in in particular, and you testified that at several levels priority per se. That hasn't been a requirement. there's some challenges, both at the watermaster level There have been curtailment orders -and the Department level. I first just want to ask you 8 Q. Right. about the Department level. 9 A. -- where there's been expectations to 10 As I understood your testimony yesterday, 10 curtail certain water rights that are junior to a 11 at least in eastern, there were six to eight mitigation 11 specific date. But the watermaster for Water 12 reports that the Department in your office has to District 100 isn't making an evaluation on a day-by-day review each year; is that correct? 13 basis who's in priority and who's not. 14 A. Those are the ones that I'm aware of. 14 It's different for the Snake River, of 15 There could be more --15 course. Water District 1, the watermaster is doing --16 Q. Okay. is -- is conducting daily administration of water 17 A. -- that are coming in and filed directly rights, evaluating how much natural flow is available, 18 with the State office. distributing that natural flow to the senior water 19 Q. Okay. As the eastern region manager, would 19 rights, and then also tracking storage use throughout 20 you be aware of all of those or --20 the system. 21 A. Not necessarily. 21 Q. So short version is they measure water from 22 Q. Okay. But is that -- you know, even after 22 the river? 23 this hearing, is that a number you could try to 23 Water District 1 would. ascertain with some specificity with your other staff? 24 Q. Water District 1. And so as I look at 25 A. Possibly. But in our database we don't 25 condition 14, there's a notification to the Page 384 Page 386 1 have any marker to note which permits or which water 1 watermasters at the start of each year; right? 2 rights require an annual report. And so there could be 2 A. Correct. water rights out there that require some sort of annual Q. What other responsibilities do the 4 reporting that no report is being filed, and so we watermasters have during the year that would be 5 don't -- we don't know about that. Right? 5 different than their typical responsibilities to 6 I mean I can speak to the reports that I measure and count the water? know that come in through our office on an annual A. Well, condition No. 8, for example, which basis, but again, there may be many other permits that is the primary mitigation condition, describes some required annual reporting that those annual reports are contingent rental transactions that must occur and that 10 not being filed. water be delivered to a specific recharge facility in 11 Q. Within your office? 11 New Sweden on some years, but not in every year. 12 12 A. Within the eastern region. And the watermaster for Water District 1 13 Q. Is that -- is that something that would be would have to make an annual evaluation about whether 13 14 difficult to determine if there's some reporting 14 that was required in the first place and whether that 15 requirement? 15 water was actually delivered. 16 A. Again, because that's not flagged through a 16 Q. And the watermaster does that for all 17 standard condition or through some other means in our 17 diversions up and down the Snake River? 18 database, I think it would be difficult to quantify. 18 A. But it would require that watermaster to 19 Q. And then as far as the watermaster burden, 19 know that these conditions exist and to be tracking 20 just in looking through the conditions -- well, let me 20 these for every water right that has those type of 21 ask it this way. 21 unique conditions, yes. 22 What is the watermaster's primary 22 Q. Doesn't Water District 1 deal with variable 23 responsibility on the ground? 23 delivers of storage water and the moving of storage 24 A. For -- this permit involves two 24 water up and down the Snake? 25 watermasters, because we've got -- the mitigation is 25 A. It does. Page 385 Page 387

1 Q. Okay. Q. You don't recall the Cook transfers that 2 A. And I don't know -- you mentioned this 2 you were Hearing Officer -- or the Cook application that you were the Hearing Officer on? 3 condition 14. I don't know whether that condition is 4 being followed or not. A. That limit was imposed, yeah, by the -- by Q. So there's a notification, but then going 5 5 the Hearing Officer. to conditions 15 and 16, who has the primary burden 6 Q. That's right. So there was a base right 7 under those conditions? and a new right and an overall volume limit based on 8 8 historical pumping? A. For preparing an annual report, so 9 condition 15 requires an accounting be done by the 9 A. To prevent enlargement. 10 right holder, and No. 16 requires an annual report from 10 Q. You know, and --11 the right holder. And I know that the City of Rexburg 11 A. Which is a transfer application. 12 does provide an annual report. 12 Q. Well, no. There was a transfer on the base 13 Q. Right. And so -- and again, we may just 13 right, but an Application for Permit for the additional 14 have to agree to disagree, but I think your testimony right; correct? 15 is that this is burdensome on the watermaster to be 15 A. Correct. 16 notified, burdensome for Water District 1 to account Q. And the condition limited the amount of 16 17 for the storage simply because it's in this sort of a volume based on the historic pumping of the base right? 17 18 permit. And from my view, they -- that's what their 18 A. Correct. 19 role is. 19 Q. And so to ensure compliance the State, 20 So what I'm trying to understand, what's 20 through the water district, receives a report on annual 21 the additional burden? Is it just that there's more 21 volume that's pumped from those wells; correct? 22 22 work to do? Or is it a different type of work that A. I agree. creates the administrative burden within the 23 Q. And if there's an excess amount of water 24 Department? 24 that's diverted above the volume amount, what happens 25 A. It's additional work, and it's complexity 25 within -- from the Department? Page 388 Page 390 1 that is above and beyond a standard water right. 1 A. The water user should be curtailed at the 2 Q. There was also some testimony about moment that they hit that volume limit. 3 Q. And if they're not curtailed, the 3 limitation on consumptive use, and that that is 4 something that the Department has not done; in other 4 Department has a violation process; correct? words, they would not put as a condition that the water 5 A. It does. user can only irrigate a certain crop. 6 Q. Okay. And so the water user would first 7 Do you recall that testimony? 7 receive a notice of unauthorized water use, so A. Is that my testimony? essentially a warning, and if it rose to the extent of 9 to the point the Department felt that a Notice of Q. Yeah. 10 Violation should be issued, the Department would issue A. That I just barely testified to? 11 Q. Uh-huh. a Notice of Violation; correct? 12 12 A. That's right. We don't -- we don't A. That's been our practice. 13 establish irrigation water rights based on a promise to 13 Q. And what typically happens in that only plant one type of crop versus another. 14 violation process? 15 Q. But the Department can issue water right 15 A. We generally hold a conference, a 16 permits that limit the amount of volume that's 16 compliance conference with the water user and sometimes 17 authorized under the water right; correct? their representative and try to find a path forward to 18 A. Correct. bring the water user into compliance and then possibly 19 Q. So if a water user came in and said "I'd to pay a penalty for whatever overpumping that has 19 20 like an irrigation right, but I only want 2 acre-feet 20 occurred. 21 per acre," and here it's mitigated over here, isn't the 21 Q. And by statute, the current rate is up to 22 volume that they would pump, isn't that recorded and 22 \$300 per acre; correct? reported to the Department? 23 A. Correct. 24 A. It is. I've never seen such an 24 Q. And so has the Department had to use that 25 application. 25 process on existing water rights within the state? Page 389 Page 391

1 A. Of course. operate independent. 2 Q. Okay. And so this is not unusual, even 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Objection's overruled. 3 amongst existing water users, where there's some 3 THE WITNESS: I don't know. The governor's violation of existing water rights; correct? office hasn't spoken to me. That might be a question 5 A. That's not unusual. 5 for the Director. Q. (BY MR. HARRIS): Sure. And so when you 6 Q. Okay. Have -- to your knowledge, has a municipality ever been subject to an NOV proceeding in run into situations where you feel like the Department your office? was understaffed, what is the typical process back to 9 A. Not that I can remember. 9 the State to request additional resources? 10 10 Q. And in terms of the -- I guess the teeth of A. I don't know that either. That's not been 11 the conditions for noncompliance, you had testified 11 part of my job responsibilities as eastern regional 12 before that the Department went through a -- or your 12 manager. 13 office went through that licensing process and found 13 Q. So and again, I think a lot of difference several permits that were out of compliance; correct? 14 with how maybe we view the world is the work that we're asked to do. 15 A. That's right. 15 16 Q. And isn't it true that your office voided 16 But if you represented a municipality that 17 some of those permits because of noncompliance? needed additional water for growth, what would you 17 18 A. We did. And some permit holders came into 18 advise them to do? What are their options to secure 19 compliance through alternative mitigation plans. additional water rights for their city? 19 20 O. But for those that didn't what happened? 20 A. In eastern Idaho the cities have primarily 21 A. Again, the water rights -- the permits were 21 used the transfer process. There are hundreds, if not 22 voided. thousands, of groundwater irrigation water rights, 23 Q. They were rescinded; right? existing water rights that are available. That's --24 A. Yes. that's one of the beauties of living in eastern Idaho 25 Q. So the Department effectuated that is that there are a lot of existing groundwater rights Page 392 Page 394 1 condition that we've talked about that's in the Rexburg 1 available. Now, they come at a cost. But it would be permit that if you don't follow it it can be rescinded? converting that irrigation use to municipal use. 3 A. That's right. 3 Q. Some of those rights aren't fully available 4 Q. And would you expect anything any different 4 for transfer because they have overlapping surface 5 if there were that same condition included in a 5 water entitlements; correct? Or at least it makes the 6 municipal water right application? transfer more challenging? 7 7 A. No. A. Correct, it makes the transfer more Q. Okay. Does the Department receive challenging, but not impossible. It would just require that owner to hold -- the seller of the water rights to direction or guidance from the governor's office or any 10 other entity on policy for growth in the state of 10 hold those, say, canal company shares unused or convey 11 Idaho? 11 those canal company shares with the groundwater. 12 MR. BAXTER: Objection. I think that's outside 12 Q. And currently the Department requires a 13 the scope of my questions for Mr. Cefalo. transfer applicant to use the groundwater model to 13 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Can you repeat the determine whether there's --14 15 question, Mr. Harris? 15 A. Correct. 16 MR. HARRIS: Yeah. 16 Q. -- an increased injury? Q. Does the Department receive any sort of 17 17 A. Correct. direction from the governor's office or elsewhere for 18 Q. And would you agree with me that moving 19 growth policy within the state of Idaho? 19 water west of the Snake River generally east of the 20 I do think that's within the scope of what 20 Snake River presents some challenges with that model? 21 we talked about because he's saying that this will 21 A. I know that there are some areas in eastern 22 create additional burdens for the Department, but my Idaho where it's difficult to move water to because of 23 point will be that the State can provide resources to how the model -- because of the model results, the 24 address these situations. So I'm just asking if 24 impacts to the Snake River. 25 25 there's direction that they receive, or do they just Q. So if water rights are unavailable to Page 393 Page 395

1 purchase and transfer, what other options would you 1 course we have clerical and administrative staff too. 2 advise the City to undergo to obtain water for 2 Q. How many staff members process or review additional growth? 3 3 permit applications and transfers? 4 A. Obtaining a new water right through an A. Two people that do that full time, and then Application for Permit doesn't circumvent or address maybe two other or three other people that do that as 5 the modeling concerns, because ultimately if you're maybe 50 percent of their time. 7 holding an existing water right unused, that still has Q. Do you have an estimate on how many permit 8 to be modeled. And so those same issues exist whether applications your office receives in a year, we're talking about applications for permit or approximately? 10 10 applications for transfer. A. I -- I don't. It can be -- it can be 15 11 Q. But for applications for transfer, say they 11 per month. And like I said earlier, a lot of that 12 have some surface water available to mitigate for what permitting work is actually happening out of the Salmon 13 they're doing, under this current policy there would be River drainage, because those basins are still open for 13 14 an assumption that everything they're proposing to pump 14 new appropriations. would be fully consumptive? 15 15 Q. So then the Snake, any estimate on how many A. Correct. actual permit applications you receive in this area? 16 16 A. I don't. We -- that's something that could 17 Q. And so they would essentially overmitigate 17 18 for their actual diversions at their proposed place of 18 be found in our database. But I don't off the top of 19 use? 19 my head have an estimate. 20 A. They would not overmitigate for the 20 Q. Do you think at least about half of those 21 authority sought in the application, though. 21 15 a month are in the Salmon drainage? 22 Q. Understand your position on the authority 22 A. It would be. 23 side. 23 O. At least half? 24 A. Right. 24 A. Uh-huh. 25 25 Q. But certainly actual consumptive use they MR. HARRIS: I have no further questions. Thank Page 398 Page 396 1 would be able to mitigate? 1 you. A. I don't know. 2 MR. LAWRENCE: Mr. Director. 3 3 Q. How many staff members do you have in 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 eastern? 5 A. We have 20. 5 BY MR. LAWRENCE: 6 Q. 20 --6 Q. Hello again, Mr. Cefalo. 7 A. 20 people, including myself. 7 A. Hi. 8 Q. I'm sorry. I interrupted you. Q. Mr. Cefalo, would you agree that municipal 9 9 water rights can be fully consumptive but often are A. 20 people, including myself. 10 10 not? Q. And generally speaking, what are their --11 what are the different divisions of responsibilities 11 A. I agree. 12 there? 12 Q. Is it your testimony -- earlier you were 13 A. Again, we, out of a regional office, handle 13 talking about the difference in size or scope of 14 all of the programs of the Department. So we have industrial uses versus municipal uses. Is it your testimony that there are no 15 somebody who spends their time processing and reviewing 15 16 stream channel alteration permits. We have an engineer 16 industrial water rights in Idaho that are larger than any municipal water rights? 17 who's assigned to the dam safety program. We have 17 18 18 somebody who handles well drilling permits. We have a A. No. 19 19 number of people who are assigned to work for specific Q. When analyzing an application for a new 20 water districts, such as Water District 1 or the Ground 20 irrigation use, the Department does not assume that the 21 Water Districts 100, 110, 120. 21 full diversion rate will be fully consumed; is that 22 Q. Do you have staff that review permit 22 correct? 23 applications, transfer applications? 23 A. There's a field headgate requirement and a 24 A. Correct. And then in addition to that we 24 maximum potential consumptive use, and those are two 25 have people that process water right filings, and of 25 different things. Page 397 Page 399

	0 17 0 01 0 11 11		an one man in man
1	Q. Mr. Cefalo, are you familiar with the	1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	policy of the law of Idaho is to secure the maximum use	2	BY MR. BROMLEY:
3	of benefit of its water resources? Are you familiar	3	Q. (BY MR. BROMLEY): Hi, James.
4	with that policy?	4	A. Hello.
5	A. I am.	5	Q. Chris Bromley.
6	Q. And to achieve that policy, sometimes it	6	I'd like to turn to Exhibit 326. I'm not
7	takes some creativity in developing the water rights or	7	sure which binder that one's in.
8	permitting the water rights or administering the water	8	A. It's the first exhibit in the second
9	rights; would you agree?	9	binder.
10	MR. BAXTER: Objection. Calls for a legal	10	Q. Oh, that's easy. Okay. This is a transfer
11	conclusion and speculation.	11	of water right in the name of Sun Valley Water & Sewer
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Restate the question,	12	District; would you agree with that?
13	please.	13	A. That's what shows on the face. Transfer
14	Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): Mr. Cefalo, to achieve	14	No. 84041.
15	the maximum use and benefit of the State's water	15	Q. Right. And what I wanted to talk with you
16	resources, does the Department and water users take	16	about, James, is just evolution and conditioning
17	do they take creative approaches in developing their	17	associated with this transfer.
18	water rights and administrative water rights?	18	All right?
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Is there still an	19	A. Okay.
20	objection?	20	Q. So if you go three pages in, you'll see
21	MR. BAXTER: Withdraw the objection.	21	Water Right No. 37-7102.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Can you read back that	22	Do you see that?
23	question for me.	23	A. I see that.
24	THE WITNESS: I've got it.	24	Q. I'm just there are a whole bunch of them
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: I think I need to hear it	25	in here, but I'm just going to the first one.
	Page 400		Page 402
1	one more time.	1	And what's the priority date?
1 2	one more time. THE WITNESS: I've got it.	1 2	And what's the priority date? A. May 25th, 1971.
2	THE WITNESS: I've got it.	2	A. May 25th, 1971.
2 3	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.	2 3	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest.	2 3 4	A. May 25th, 1971.Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water	2 3 4 5	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes	2 3 4 5 6	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the permit approved; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions of approval pretty standard conditioning. Condition 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the permit approved; correct? A. I've observed that there have been creative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions of approval pretty standard conditioning. Condition 1 is regulation of the right by the watermaster,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the permit approved; correct? A. I've observed that there have been creative approaches proposed. Sometimes the Department has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions of approval pretty standard conditioning. Condition 1 is regulation of the right by the watermaster, condition 2 is installation of a measuring device,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the permit approved; correct? A. I've observed that there have been creative approaches proposed. Sometimes the Department has rejected settlements because they are proposing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions of approval pretty standard conditioning. Condition 1 is regulation of the right by the watermaster, condition 2 is installation of a measuring device, condition 3 is the place of use is within the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the permit approved; correct? A. I've observed that there have been creative approaches proposed. Sometimes the Department has rejected settlements because they are proposing conditions that we feel like we can't administer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions of approval pretty standard conditioning. Condition 1 is regulation of the right by the watermaster, condition 2 is installation of a measuring device, condition 3 is the place of use is within the boundaries of the Sun Valley Water & Sewer District.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the permit approved; correct? A. I've observed that there have been creative approaches proposed. Sometimes the Department has rejected settlements because they are proposing conditions that we feel like we can't administer. But yes, I agree with your overall your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions of approval pretty standard conditioning. Condition 1 is regulation of the right by the watermaster, condition 2 is installation of a measuring device, condition 3 is the place of use is within the boundaries of the Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. And then condition 4, have you seen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the permit approved; correct? A. I've observed that there have been creative approaches proposed. Sometimes the Department has rejected settlements because they are proposing conditions that we feel like we can't administer. But yes, I agree with your overall your broader premise, which is there have been some unique	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions of approval pretty standard conditioning. Condition 1 is regulation of the right by the watermaster, condition 2 is installation of a measuring device, condition 3 is the place of use is within the boundaries of the Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. And then condition 4, have you seen condition 4 before in municipal water rights?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the permit approved; correct? A. I've observed that there have been creative approaches proposed. Sometimes the Department has rejected settlements because they are proposing conditions that we feel like we can't administer. But yes, I agree with your overall your broader premise, which is there have been some unique approaches used over the years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions of approval pretty standard conditioning. Condition 1 is regulation of the right by the watermaster, condition 2 is installation of a measuring device, condition 3 is the place of use is within the boundaries of the Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. And then condition 4, have you seen condition 4 before in municipal water rights? A. I have. And I know that's that's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the permit approved; correct? A. I've observed that there have been creative approaches proposed. Sometimes the Department has rejected settlements because they are proposing conditions that we feel like we can't administer. But yes, I agree with your overall your broader premise, which is there have been some unique approaches used over the years. Q. And is it your testimony that the maximum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions of approval pretty standard conditioning. Condition 1 is regulation of the right by the watermaster, condition 2 is installation of a measuring device, condition 3 is the place of use is within the boundaries of the Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. And then condition 4, have you seen condition 4 before in municipal water rights? A. I have. And I know that's that's the alternative point of diversion condition, I believe,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the permit approved; correct? A. I've observed that there have been creative approaches proposed. Sometimes the Department has rejected settlements because they are proposing conditions that we feel like we can't administer. But yes, I agree with your overall your broader premise, which is there have been some unique approaches used over the years. Q. And is it your testimony that the maximum use and benefit of the State's water resources should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions of approval pretty standard conditioning. Condition 1 is regulation of the right by the watermaster, condition 2 is installation of a measuring device, condition 3 is the place of use is within the boundaries of the Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. And then condition 4, have you seen condition 4 before in municipal water rights? A. I have. And I know that's that's the alternative point of diversion condition, I believe, that that came from, I believe, some City of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the permit approved; correct? A. I've observed that there have been creative approaches proposed. Sometimes the Department has rejected settlements because they are proposing conditions that we feel like we can't administer. But yes, I agree with your overall your broader premise, which is there have been some unique approaches used over the years. Q. And is it your testimony that the maximum use and benefit of the State's water resources should occur only if it does not impose an administrative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions of approval pretty standard conditioning. Condition 1 is regulation of the right by the watermaster, condition 2 is installation of a measuring device, condition 3 is the place of use is within the boundaries of the Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. And then condition 4, have you seen condition 4 before in municipal water rights? A. I have. And I know that's that's the alternative point of diversion condition, I believe, that that came from, I believe, some City of Pocatello case or City of Pocatello was involved in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the permit approved; correct? A. I've observed that there have been creative approaches proposed. Sometimes the Department has rejected settlements because they are proposing conditions that we feel like we can't administer. But yes, I agree with your overall your broader premise, which is there have been some unique approaches used over the years. Q. And is it your testimony that the maximum use and benefit of the State's water resources should occur only if it does not impose an administrative burden on the Department?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions of approval pretty standard conditioning. Condition 1 is regulation of the right by the watermaster, condition 2 is installation of a measuring device, condition 3 is the place of use is within the boundaries of the Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. And then condition 4, have you seen condition 4 before in municipal water rights? A. I have. And I know that's that's the alternative point of diversion condition, I believe, that that came from, I believe, some City of Pocatello case or City of Pocatello was involved in some way with that. I don't know all of the details
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the permit approved; correct? A. I've observed that there have been creative approaches proposed. Sometimes the Department has rejected settlements because they are proposing conditions that we feel like we can't administer. But yes, I agree with your overall your broader premise, which is there have been some unique approaches used over the years. Q. And is it your testimony that the maximum use and benefit of the State's water resources should occur only if it does not impose an administrative burden on the Department? A. That's not my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions of approval pretty standard conditioning. Condition 1 is regulation of the right by the watermaster, condition 2 is installation of a measuring device, condition 3 is the place of use is within the boundaries of the Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. And then condition 4, have you seen condition 4 before in municipal water rights? A. I have. And I know that's that's the alternative point of diversion condition, I believe, that that came from, I believe, some City of Pocatello case or City of Pocatello was involved in some way with that. I don't know all of the details because I wasn't involved.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the permit approved; correct? A. I've observed that there have been creative approaches proposed. Sometimes the Department has rejected settlements because they are proposing conditions that we feel like we can't administer. But yes, I agree with your overall your broader premise, which is there have been some unique approaches used over the years. Q. And is it your testimony that the maximum use and benefit of the State's water resources should occur only if it does not impose an administrative burden on the Department? A. That's not my testimony. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Cefalo. Thank	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions of approval pretty standard conditioning. Condition 1 is regulation of the right by the watermaster, condition 2 is installation of a measuring device, condition 3 is the place of use is within the boundaries of the Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. And then condition 4, have you seen condition 4 before in municipal water rights? A. I have. And I know that's that's the alternative point of diversion condition, I believe, that that came from, I believe, some City of Pocatello case or City of Pocatello was involved in some way with that. I don't know all of the details because I wasn't involved. Q. No, that's good recollection, because that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the permit approved; correct? A. I've observed that there have been creative approaches proposed. Sometimes the Department has rejected settlements because they are proposing conditions that we feel like we can't administer. But yes, I agree with your overall your broader premise, which is there have been some unique approaches used over the years. Q. And is it your testimony that the maximum use and benefit of the State's water resources should occur only if it does not impose an administrative burden on the Department? A. That's not my testimony. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Cefalo. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions of approval pretty standard conditioning. Condition 1 is regulation of the right by the watermaster, condition 2 is installation of a measuring device, condition 3 is the place of use is within the boundaries of the Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. And then condition 4, have you seen condition 4 before in municipal water rights? A. I have. And I know that's that's the alternative point of diversion condition, I believe, that that came from, I believe, some City of Pocatello case or City of Pocatello was involved in some way with that. I don't know all of the details because I wasn't involved. Q. No, that's good recollection, because that case then came out of the SRBA in how to properly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the permit approved; correct? A. I've observed that there have been creative approaches proposed. Sometimes the Department has rejected settlements because they are proposing conditions that we feel like we can't administer. But yes, I agree with your overall your broader premise, which is there have been some unique approaches used over the years. Q. And is it your testimony that the maximum use and benefit of the State's water resources should occur only if it does not impose an administrative burden on the Department? A. That's not my testimony. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Cefalo. Thank you. MR. HARRIS: I'm not coming back up. I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions of approval pretty standard conditioning. Condition 1 is regulation of the right by the watermaster, condition 2 is installation of a measuring device, condition 3 is the place of use is within the boundaries of the Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. And then condition 4, have you seen condition 4 before in municipal water rights? A. I have. And I know that's that's the alternative point of diversion condition, I believe, that that came from, I believe, some City of Pocatello case or City of Pocatello was involved in some way with that. I don't know all of the details because I wasn't involved. Q. No, that's good recollection, because that case then came out of the SRBA in how to properly condition alternative points of diversion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: I've got it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've seen creative approaches in trying to address issues of protest. Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): And so not every water right is a standard water right, sometimes it takes creative approaches conditioning in order to get the permit approved; correct? A. I've observed that there have been creative approaches proposed. Sometimes the Department has rejected settlements because they are proposing conditions that we feel like we can't administer. But yes, I agree with your overall your broader premise, which is there have been some unique approaches used over the years. Q. And is it your testimony that the maximum use and benefit of the State's water resources should occur only if it does not impose an administrative burden on the Department? A. That's not my testimony. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Cefalo. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. May 25th, 1971. Q. Okay. So that predates the moratorium, the original management plan, I should say, and moratorium in the Big Wood River Basin; correct? A. I think that's correct. I think there was evidence in the record about 1991, if I recall, yes. Q. Good recollection. So we see in conditions of approval pretty standard conditioning. Condition 1 is regulation of the right by the watermaster, condition 2 is installation of a measuring device, condition 3 is the place of use is within the boundaries of the Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. And then condition 4, have you seen condition 4 before in municipal water rights? A. I have. And I know that's that's the alternative point of diversion condition, I believe, that that came from, I believe, some City of Pocatello case or City of Pocatello was involved in some way with that. I don't know all of the details because I wasn't involved. Q. No, that's good recollection, because that case then came out of the SRBA in how to properly

1	Q. No. 5, then, is, you know, the easements	1	prior to groundwater.
2	and you don't get a right-of-way and so on and so	2	Q. Sometimes I think referred to as the
3	forth. The rest of the conditions, I think, are pretty	3	surface water first condition?
4	self-explanatory.	4	A. That's okay. I agree.
5	If you could then go 13 pages in. And we	5	Q. Okay. No. 12, then, have you seen this
6	should then be looking at Water Right No. 37-21151.	. 6	condition put onto municipal water rights before?
7	A. I have that.	7	A. I have. These points of diversion must be
8	Q. Great. What's the priority date of that	8	within the Trust Water area.
9	water right?	9	Q. Provides the Department authority to
10	A. September 10th, 2003.	10	re-evaluate water use if it's in the public interest?
11	Q. Okay. And if we look at condition of	11	A. As a Trust Water a water right relying
12	approval No. 4, that, to me, looks like it's a	12	on Trust Water provisions, yeah.
13	similar condition with a different priority date from	13	Q. Okay. And then condition of approval
14	the one we were looking at above; is that correct?	14	No. 14, have you seen a condition like this before on a
15	A. Correct.	15	municipal water right?
16	Q. Okay. Okay. So standard language with a	16	A. Yes.
17	different priority date to determine the priority date	17	Q. And that, again, is if the holder, in this
18	of the well.	18	case Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, fails to comply
19	Then if we flip to the next page, I see a	19	with the terms of the transfer the Director may rescind
20	bunch of conditions that I didn't see before in the	20	approval?
21	37-7102 water right. I'd like to start with condition	21	A. Uh-huh.
22	of approval No. 8.	22	Q. In other words, might curtail the water
23	Have you seen that condition before?	23	right; correct?
24	A. I have.	24	A. Correct.
25	Q. Okay. And that, you would agree, was not	25	Q. What I see in these water rights, James, is
	Page 404		Page 406
1	on the prior water right that we were looking at?	1	an evolution of conditions that have taken some amount
1 2	on the prior water right that we were looking at? A. Correct.	1 2	an evolution of conditions that have taken some amount of creativity to come up with as the Department has
2	A. Correct.	1 2 3	of creativity to come up with as the Department has
2 3	A. Correct.Q. And again, the priority date on this water	2 3	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you
2 3 4	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it		of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement?
2 3	A. Correct.Q. And again, the priority date on this water	2 3 4	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to
2 3 4 5	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium.
2 3 4 5 6	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct?	2 3 4 5 6	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Then we see condition of approval No. 9. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, I'll represent to you, takes their treated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Then we see condition of approval	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, I'll represent to you, takes their treated takes their effluent, treats it in a wastewater plant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Then we see condition of approval No. 9. Have you seen a condition like that before?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, I'll represent to you, takes their treated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Then we see condition of approval No. 9. Have you seen a condition like that before? A. I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, I'll represent to you, takes their treated takes their effluent, treats it in a wastewater plant along with the City of Ketchum, and then it discharges
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Then we see condition of approval No. 9. Have you seen a condition like that before? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's that you can't exceed a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, I'll represent to you, takes their treated takes their effluent, treats it in a wastewater plant along with the City of Ketchum, and then it discharges into the Big Wood River.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Then we see condition of approval No. 9. Have you seen a condition like that before? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's that you can't exceed a half acre of irrigation on that particular parcel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, I'll represent to you, takes their treated takes their effluent, treats it in a wastewater plant along with the City of Ketchum, and then it discharges into the Big Wood River. Would that surprise you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Then we see condition of approval No. 9. Have you seen a condition like that before? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's that you can't exceed a half acre of irrigation on that particular parcel within Sun Valley Water & Sewer District.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, I'll represent to you, takes their treated takes their effluent, treats it in a wastewater plant along with the City of Ketchum, and then it discharges into the Big Wood River. Would that surprise you? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Then we see condition of approval No. 9. Have you seen a condition like that before? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's that you can't exceed a half acre of irrigation on that particular parcel within Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. No. 10, have you seen this condition before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, I'll represent to you, takes their treated takes their effluent, treats it in a wastewater plant along with the City of Ketchum, and then it discharges into the Big Wood River. Would that surprise you? A. No. Q. And they have an NPDES permit or an IPDES
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Then we see condition of approval No. 9. Have you seen a condition like that before? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's that you can't exceed a half acre of irrigation on that particular parcel within Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. No. 10, have you seen this condition before on a use of a water right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, I'll represent to you, takes their treated takes their effluent, treats it in a wastewater plant along with the City of Ketchum, and then it discharges into the Big Wood River. Would that surprise you? A. No. Q. And they have an NPDES permit or an IPDES permit that authorizes that discharge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Then we see condition of approval No. 9. Have you seen a condition like that before? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's that you can't exceed a half acre of irrigation on that particular parcel within Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. No. 10, have you seen this condition before on a use of a water right? A. I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, I'll represent to you, takes their treated takes their effluent, treats it in a wastewater plant along with the City of Ketchum, and then it discharges into the Big Wood River. Would that surprise you? A. No. Q. And they have an NPDES permit or an IPDES permit that authorizes that discharge. Would that surprise you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Then we see condition of approval No. 9. Have you seen a condition like that before? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's that you can't exceed a half acre of irrigation on that particular parcel within Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. No. 10, have you seen this condition before on a use of a water right? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's ensuring that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, I'll represent to you, takes their treated takes their effluent, treats it in a wastewater plant along with the City of Ketchum, and then it discharges into the Big Wood River. Would that surprise you? A. No. Q. And they have an NPDES permit or an IPDES permit that authorizes that discharge. Would that surprise you? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Then we see condition of approval No. 9. Have you seen a condition like that before? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's that you can't exceed a half acre of irrigation on that particular parcel within Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. No. 10, have you seen this condition before on a use of a water right? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's ensuring thatbasically my interpretation of that is compliance with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, I'll represent to you, takes their treated takes their effluent, treats it in a wastewater plant along with the City of Ketchum, and then it discharges into the Big Wood River. Would that surprise you? A. No. Q. And they have an NPDES permit or an IPDES permit that authorizes that discharge. Would that surprise you? A. No. Q. And my question, then, James, is, why is it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Then we see condition of approval No. 9. Have you seen a condition like that before? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's that you can't exceed a half acre of irrigation on that particular parcel within Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. No. 10, have you seen this condition before on a use of a water right? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's ensuring that basically my interpretation of that is compliance with 42-111 within you know, between homes and businesses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, I'll represent to you, takes their treated takes their effluent, treats it in a wastewater plant along with the City of Ketchum, and then it discharges into the Big Wood River. Would that surprise you? A. No. Q. And they have an NPDES permit or an IPDES permit that authorizes that discharge. Would that surprise you? A. No. Q. And my question, then, James, is, why is it not reasonable, then, to include another condition on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Then we see condition of approval No. 9. Have you seen a condition like that before? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's that you can't exceed a half acre of irrigation on that particular parcel within Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. No. 10, have you seen this condition before on a use of a water right? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's ensuring thatbasically my interpretation of that is compliance with 42-111 within you know, between homes and businesses and the like; would you agree with that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, I'll represent to you, takes their treated takes their effluent, treats it in a wastewater plant along with the City of Ketchum, and then it discharges into the Big Wood River. Would that surprise you? A. No. Q. And they have an NPDES permit or an IPDES permit that authorizes that discharge. Would that surprise you? A. No. Q. And my question, then, James, is, why is it not reasonable, then, to include another condition on these water rights to explain the treated wastewater is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Then we see condition of approval No. 9. Have you seen a condition like that before? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's that you can't exceed a half acre of irrigation on that particular parcel within Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. No. 10, have you seen this condition before on a use of a water right? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's ensuring thatbasically my interpretation of that is compliance with 42-111 within you know, between homes and businesses and the like; would you agree with that? A. I would.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, I'll represent to you, takes their treated takes their effluent, treats it in a wastewater plant along with the City of Ketchum, and then it discharges into the Big Wood River. Would that surprise you? A. No. Q. And they have an NPDES permit or an IPDES permit that authorizes that discharge. Would that surprise you? A. No. Q. And my question, then, James, is, why is it not reasonable, then, to include another condition on these water rights to explain the treated wastewater is discharged into the Big Wood River under NPDES permit,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Then we see condition of approval No. 9. Have you seen a condition like that before? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's that you can't exceed a half acre of irrigation on that particular parcel within Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. No. 10, have you seen this condition before on a use of a water right? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's ensuring thatbasically my interpretation of that is compliance with 42-111 within you know, between homes and businesses and the like; would you agree with that? A. I would. Q. Okay. No. 11, have you seen this condition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, I'll represent to you, takes their treated takes their effluent, treats it in a wastewater plant along with the City of Ketchum, and then it discharges into the Big Wood River. Would that surprise you? A. No. Q. And they have an NPDES permit or an IPDES permit that authorizes that discharge. Would that surprise you? A. No. Q. And my question, then, James, is, why is it not reasonable, then, to include another condition on these water rights to explain the treated wastewater is discharged into the Big Wood River under NPDES permit, IPDES permit XYZ, and that any changes to that permit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Correct. Q. And again, the priority date on this water right is September 10, 2003, which would mean that it postdated the 1990s moratorium; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Then we see condition of approval No. 9. Have you seen a condition like that before? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's that you can't exceed a half acre of irrigation on that particular parcel within Sun Valley Water & Sewer District. No. 10, have you seen this condition before on a use of a water right? A. I have. Q. Okay. And that's ensuring that basically my interpretation of that is compliance with 42-111 within you know, between homes and businesses and the like; would you agree with that? A. I would. Q. Okay. No. 11, have you seen this condition before?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of creativity to come up with as the Department has become more sophisticated with water rights; would you agree with that statement? A. I view these conditions as a response to the limits set by the moratorium. Q. Right. And so Sun Valley Water & Sewer District, I'll represent to you, takes their treated takes their effluent, treats it in a wastewater plant along with the City of Ketchum, and then it discharges into the Big Wood River. Would that surprise you? A. No. Q. And they have an NPDES permit or an IPDES permit that authorizes that discharge. Would that surprise you? A. No. Q. And my question, then, James, is, why is it not reasonable, then, to include another condition on these water rights to explain the treated wastewater is discharged into the Big Wood River under NPDES permit, IPDES permit XYZ, and that any changes to that permit require the filing of a transfer of the Department of Water Resources to update any conditions, and the

1 in the City of Rexburg permit of what -- what 1 water right similar to what we see in condition 14? 2 Why is that not a reasonable approach here? mitigation plans really look like as they're implemented, and variable mitigation plans in 3 A. I guess I'm struggling to understand. What particular. 4 does that question -- how does that pertain to my previous testimony? I'm not -- I guess -- I'm not Q. So the Department is not looking to try and evolve with the change in water resources; is that understanding, I guess, what you're -- what you're 6 7 7 correct? asking. 8 8 Q. Well, I think I asked a bit of a compound A. That's not my testimony. question. So you've been talking about administrative 9 MR. BROMLEY: Thank you. 10 I'm going to move to admit Exhibit 326. 10 burden, it's too difficult, it's too difficult for the THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? 11 Department to work through these questions that, in 11 12 your counsel's words, was a variable mitigation scheme 12 We'll bring Exhibit 326 into the record. 13 (Exhibit 326 admitted.) 13 that Mr. Sullivan is testifying to about. 14 14 My question is this: Why is it MR. BROMLEY: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any additional 15 15 unreasonable -- or would it be unreasonable for the 16 Department to craft a condition -- and we see this 16 cross-examination of Mr. Cefalo? 17 Any redirect? 17 evolution of water right conditions; correct? We've 18 talked about these two water rights? 18 MR. BAXTER: Yeah. 19 19 A. Yeah. This second water right was -- was MR. BARKER: No, go ahead. 20 MR. BAXTER: Go ahead, Al. You first. 20 structured in a way that it could fit within the 21 moratorium that existed at the time. 22 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 22 Q. And now we have another moratorium. 23 23 BY MR. BARKER: A. Uh-huh. 24 Q. Morning, Mr. Cefalo. Albert Barker on 24 Q. And my question is this: If we know how 25 behalf of the South Valley Ground Water District. 25 wastewater is discharged back into the waters of the Page 408 1 State through an NPDES permit, why can't that permit 1 Are you familiar with the terms of an NPDES 2 number be written into the water right as a condition or an IPDES permit? A. I am not. We don't administer those out of 3 of approval that if there are changes to the permit a 3 4 transfer must be filed to update the water right, 4 our office. 5 otherwise the water right may be cancelled by the 5 Q. So do you know if when somebody -- when a 6 Director, the water right may be curtailed by the 6 discharger from this waste discharge location into the 7 Director? Why is that not a reasonable approach? 7 Big Wood discharges into the Big Wood River, if they A. It could be written in. And we could issue have to change their permit every time they change 9 hundreds of permits like the one we looked at for the their amount of daily discharge? A. Meaning their NPDES permit? 10 City of Rexburg. It's my position, having worked in 10 11 the day-to-day administration of water rights within 11 Q. Yes. 12 12 the eastern region, and as a watermaster, and in A. I don't know. 13 working with watermasters, that there is not the 13 Q. All right. And are you familiar with the 14 capacity to implement and administer those complex 14 fact that the Sun Valley Water & Sewer District also 15 water rights. land-applies its water rights on the golf course? 16 Q. And in your opinion, it would be complex to 16 A. That's a -- that area is served out of the 17 cite to the NPDES permit number, and that if there are 17 Twin Falls office, and I'm just not familiar with those 18 changes to that permit that the right holder must file 18 water rights. 19 a transfer; is that what you're testifying to? 19 Q. All right. And so if you had two different 20 facilities discharging -- or two different entities, 20 A. I mean you can characterize that that's 21 what the permit would look like coming out; right? 21 municipalities, discharging to a single wastewater 22 That the approval would just have one simple condition treatment plant, how would you know which -- how much referring to an NPDES permit. And that might be your 23 water came from one facility versus another? 24 24 vision. A. We've had some testimony already in this 25 25 hearing about the holders of the water rights who are But I think the reality is reflected more Page 409 Page 411

		Т	
1	diverting the water are different from the entities	1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And the risk of
2	ultimately that are treating the water and discharging	2	being redundant, could you again please state your full
3	into the rivers. And I agree that would that would	3	name and address for the record.
4	add some complexity.	4	THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Gregory K.
5	Q. It adds additional complexity beyond what	5	Sullivan. Business address is 1000 Logan Street,
6	you had described in your direct examination?	6	Denver, Colorado 80203.
7	A. That's right.	7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead.
8	MR. BARKER: All right. Thank you.	8	MR. BRICKER: Thank you.
9	Nothing further, Mr. Director.	9	
10		10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	11	BY MR. BRICKER:
12	BY MR. BAXTER:	12	Q. Mr. Sullivan, did you hear Mr. Cefalo's
13	Q. Mr. Cefalo, Mr. Harris talked with you	13	rebuttal testimony this morning that he remains
14	about IDWR's enforcement process; correct?	14	concerned about administering complex water rights?
15	A. Right.	15	A. Yes, I did.
16	Q. The NOV process, the compliance conference?	16	Q. What is your experience with the Colorado
17	A. Correct.	17	Division of Water Resources administration of water
18	Q. And you recall that?	18	rights as they've evolved into being more complex?
19	A. Yes.	19	A. Yeah. Water rights administration in
20	Q. He suggested that IDWR can enforce against	20	Colorado has evolved through time. And it used to be
21	a water user if they can't comply with these complex	21	very simple, just reporting of diversions for the most
22	conditions.	22	part and just, you know, the administration involved,
23	Do you think that is a good strategy for	23	making sure the entities weren't diverting in excess of
24	IDWR to impose conditions and then simply rely upon the	24	their water rights.
25	enforcement process to ensure compliance with those	25	But over time the administration and the
	Page 412		Page 414
_			
1	conditions?	1	water rights themselves have evolved and become
1 2	conditions? A. I don't. It still requires us to find that	1 2	water rights themselves have evolved and become somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort
2	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that	2	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort
2 3	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water	3	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated
2 3 4	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts,	2 3 4	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly
2 3 4 5	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You	2 3 4 5	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable.
2 3 4 5 6	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address	2 3 4 5 6	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address those as we find them, but I don't know that relying on	2 3 4 5 6 7	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more expensive and more precious then, you know, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address those as we find them, but I don't know that relying on the enforcement program to clean up all of those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more expensive and more precious then, you know, it's expected. And you see that water users are seeking to,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address those as we find them, but I don't know that relying on the enforcement program to clean up all of those possible violations, I don't know that that's a good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more expensive and more precious then, you know, it's expected. And you see that water users are seeking to, you know, maximize the use of their rights, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address those as we find them, but I don't know that relying on the enforcement program to clean up all of those possible violations, I don't know that that's a good strategy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more expensive and more precious then, you know, it's expected. And you see that water users are seeking to, you know, maximize the use of their rights, you know, existing rights and new rights to the extent allowed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address those as we find them, but I don't know that relying on the enforcement program to clean up all of those possible violations, I don't know that that's a good strategy. MR. BAXTER: Thank you. No questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more expensive and more precious then, you know, it's expected. And you see that water users are seeking to, you know, maximize the use of their rights, you know, existing rights and new rights to the extent allowed under the law and without injury to others.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address those as we find them, but I don't know that relying on the enforcement program to clean up all of those possible violations, I don't know that that's a good strategy. MR. BAXTER: Thank you. No questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any recross?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more expensive and more precious then, you know, it's expected. And you see that water users are seeking to, you know, maximize the use of their rights, you know, existing rights and new rights to the extent allowed under the law and without injury to others. And sometimes that requires creative
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address those as we find them, but I don't know that relying on the enforcement program to clean up all of those possible violations, I don't know that that's a good strategy. MR. BAXTER: Thank you. No questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any recross? All right. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more expensive and more precious then, you know, it's expected. And you see that water users are seeking to, you know, maximize the use of their rights, you know, existing rights and new rights to the extent allowed under the law and without injury to others. And sometimes that requires creative approaches to accounting and mitigation or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address those as we find them, but I don't know that relying on the enforcement program to clean up all of those possible violations, I don't know that that's a good strategy. MR. BAXTER: Thank you. No questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any recross? All right. Thank you. I guess I would assume we're bringing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more expensive and more precious then, you know, it's expected. And you see that water users are seeking to, you know, maximize the use of their rights, you know, existing rights and new rights to the extent allowed under the law and without injury to others. And sometimes that requires creative approaches to accounting and mitigation or augmentation. And that's just that's that's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address those as we find them, but I don't know that relying on the enforcement program to clean up all of those possible violations, I don't know that that's a good strategy. MR. BAXTER: Thank you. No questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any recross? All right. Thank you. I guess I would assume we're bringing Mr. Sullivan up?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more expensive and more precious then, you know, it's expected. And you see that water users are seeking to, you know, maximize the use of their rights, you know, existing rights and new rights to the extent allowed under the law and without injury to others. And sometimes that requires creative approaches to accounting and mitigation or augmentation. And that's just that's that's the process and the landscape that we live in. And in my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address those as we find them, but I don't know that relying on the enforcement program to clean up all of those possible violations, I don't know that that's a good strategy. MR. BAXTER: Thank you. No questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any recross? All right. Thank you. I guess I would assume we're bringing Mr. Sullivan up? MR. BRICKER: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more expensive and more precious then, you know, it's expected. And you see that water users are seeking to, you know, maximize the use of their rights, you know, existing rights and new rights to the extent allowed under the law and without injury to others. And sometimes that requires creative approaches to accounting and mitigation or augmentation. And that's just that's that's the process and the landscape that we live in. And in my experience in Colorado and other Western states, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address those as we find them, but I don't know that relying on the enforcement program to clean up all of those possible violations, I don't know that that's a good strategy. MR. BAXTER: Thank you. No questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any recross? All right. Thank you. I guess I would assume we're bringing Mr. Sullivan up? MR. BRICKER: Correct. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Come on up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more expensive and more precious then, you know, it's expected. And you see that water users are seeking to, you know, maximize the use of their rights, you know, existing rights and new rights to the extent allowed under the law and without injury to others. And sometimes that requires creative approaches to accounting and mitigation or augmentation. And that's just that's that's the process and the landscape that we live in. And in my experience in Colorado and other Western states, the administrator evolves to and the administration
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address those as we find them, but I don't know that relying on the enforcement program to clean up all of those possible violations, I don't know that that's a good strategy. MR. BAXTER: Thank you. No questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any recross? All right. Thank you. I guess I would assume we're bringing Mr. Sullivan up? MR. BRICKER: Correct. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Come on up. Morning again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more expensive and more precious then, you know, it's expected. And you see that water users are seeking to, you know, maximize the use of their rights, you know, existing rights and new rights to the extent allowed under the law and without injury to others. And sometimes that requires creative approaches to accounting and mitigation or augmentation. And that's just that's that's the process and the landscape that we live in. And in my experience in Colorado and other Western states, the administrator evolves to and the administration evolves to deal with that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address those as we find them, but I don't know that relying on the enforcement program to clean up all of those possible violations, I don't know that that's a good strategy. MR. BAXTER: Thank you. No questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any recross? All right. Thank you. I guess I would assume we're bringing Mr. Sullivan up? MR. BRICKER: Correct. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Come on up. Morning again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more expensive and more precious then, you know, it's expected. And you see that water users are seeking to, you know, maximize the use of their rights, you know, existing rights and new rights to the extent allowed under the law and without injury to others. And sometimes that requires creative approaches to accounting and mitigation or augmentation. And that's just that's that's the process and the landscape that we live in. And in my experience in Colorado and other Western states, the administrator evolves to and the administration evolves to deal with that. And I mean it's a common lament that I've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address those as we find them, but I don't know that relying on the enforcement program to clean up all of those possible violations, I don't know that that's a good strategy. MR. BAXTER: Thank you. No questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any recross? All right. Thank you. I guess I would assume we're bringing Mr. Sullivan up? MR. BRICKER: Correct. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Come on up. Morning again. GREGORY K. SULLIVAN: Morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more expensive and more precious then, you know, it's expected. And you see that water users are seeking to, you know, maximize the use of their rights, you know, existing rights and new rights to the extent allowed under the law and without injury to others. And sometimes that requires creative approaches to accounting and mitigation or augmentation. And that's just that's that's the process and the landscape that we live in. And in my experience in Colorado and other Western states, the administrator evolves to and the administration evolves to deal with that. And I mean it's a common lament that I've heard in Colorado and other places that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address those as we find them, but I don't know that relying on the enforcement program to clean up all of those possible violations, I don't know that that's a good strategy. MR. BAXTER: Thank you. No questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any recross? All right. Thank you. I guess I would assume we're bringing Mr. Sullivan up? MR. BRICKER: Correct. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Come on up. Morning again. GREGORY K. SULLIVAN: Morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more expensive and more precious then, you know, it's expected. And you see that water users are seeking to, you know, maximize the use of their rights, you know, existing rights and new rights to the extent allowed under the law and without injury to others. And sometimes that requires creative approaches to accounting and mitigation or augmentation. And that's just that's that's the process and the landscape that we live in. And in my experience in Colorado and other Western states, the administrator evolves to and the administration evolves to deal with that. And I mean it's a common lament that I've heard in Colorado and other places that administration's becoming more difficult. But I mean,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address those as we find them, but I don't know that relying on the enforcement program to clean up all of those possible violations, I don't know that that's a good strategy. MR. BAXTER: Thank you. No questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any recross? All right. Thank you. I guess I would assume we're bringing Mr. Sullivan up? MR. BRICKER: Correct. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Come on up. Morning again. GREGORY K. SULLIVAN: Morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more expensive and more precious then, you know, it's expected. And you see that water users are seeking to, you know, maximize the use of their rights, you know, existing rights and new rights to the extent allowed under the law and without injury to others. And sometimes that requires creative approaches to accounting and mitigation or augmentation. And that's just that's that's the process and the landscape that we live in. And in my experience in Colorado and other Western states, the administrator evolves to and the administration evolves to deal with that. And I mean it's a common lament that I've heard in Colorado and other places that administration's becoming more difficult. But I mean, you know, a lot of things are hard. And if more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I don't. It still requires us to find that noncompliance. Because we have thousands of water rights that are handled within some water districts, oftentimes noncompliance isn't isn't found. You know, if might be stumbled across and we try to address those as we find them, but I don't know that relying on the enforcement program to clean up all of those possible violations, I don't know that that's a good strategy. MR. BAXTER: Thank you. No questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any recross? All right. Thank you. I guess I would assume we're bringing Mr. Sullivan up? MR. BRICKER: Correct. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Come on up. Morning again. GREGORY K. SULLIVAN: Morning. GREGORY K. SULLIVAN, having been called as a rebuttal witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	somewhat more complex. And to me, I mean that's sort of a natural response to increasingly overappropriated system and in a situation where water is increasingly more and more valuable. And so, you know, as water becomes more expensive and more precious then, you know, it's expected. And you see that water users are seeking to, you know, maximize the use of their rights, you know, existing rights and new rights to the extent allowed under the law and without injury to others. And sometimes that requires creative approaches to accounting and mitigation or augmentation. And that's just that's that's the process and the landscape that we live in. And in my experience in Colorado and other Western states, the administrator evolves to and the administration evolves to deal with that. And I mean it's a common lament that I've heard in Colorado and other places that administration's becoming more difficult. But I mean, you know, a lot of things are hard. And if more staffing is needed, then you know, like water is the

1 administer it, then I think the legislature should make 1 some reason to sort of trust and rely on the entity 2 it a priority to make more appropriations to increase 2 that's reporting the information. 3 the staffing if that's needed. 3 And also in my experience in Colorado that 4 So, you know, water has -- water rights --4 there's like an initial process of getting agreement on 5 you know, just to come back to summarize your question, 5 the accounting forms. So like the accounting forms 6 you know, it's natural for the complexity of water are -- as part of the process are submitted to the rights administration to evolve. And I would expect agency, the Division of Water Resources, and there's, that to happen in Idaho too. you know, some process for getting agreement on how the Q. And yesterday we ran through the methods accounting's to occur, then that's done, and then it's 10 that you propose or included in your report on how 10 just routine that those reports are just submitted. And if there's -- you know, there's a line 11 these water rights could be administered through 11 12 accounting. 12 item to show, you know, is the mitigation -- you know, 13 Do you think that this accounting that we 13 does it equal or exceed the consumptive use or, you 14 presented yesterday is complex? know, whatever the condition is? And you can see, you 15 A. No, it's not very complex at all. I mean know, easily whether that's achieved or not. So I 16 what I've talked about is, you know, measuring don't think it's a problem. 17 diversions, which already happens. And, you know, the 17 You know, in Colorado there is a 18 additional additions to facilitate some of the 18 requirement in these -- in obtaining a decree or a 19 accounting I talked about is perhaps, you know, 19 water right that the conditions be determined by the 20 measuring returns, like wastewater returns, which 20 Department to be administrable. And that happens. And they're already measured, so just reporting that, and 21 in Colorado the agency has determined that these 22 then in some cases applying some simple factors, 22 conditions are administrable. 23 23 consumptive use factors, to the usage. And if they're too complicated, well then 24 And as I showed yesterday in that 24 they can be, you know worked on to make them 25 spreadsheet, I mean that is -- is a very simple 25 administrable. So I think there's a process for all of Page 416 Page 418 1 spreadsheet and illustrative of the sort of accounting 1 this to work. 2 that could be done in order to track the consumptive 2 Q. And it doesn't necessarily mean that the 3 use water rights. And it doesn't require -- there's no 3 day-to-day work of the watermasters or the boots-on-the-ground personnel changes significantly; 4 additional day-to-day administration requirement that 5 comes out of that. 5 right? In my experience, that sort of accounting 6 A. No. I mean they're out there, you know, measuring, setting headgates and the like, and, you 7 and administration is based on -- it's based on 8 measurement, accounting, and reporting and, you know -know, determining priority cuts and whatever. 9 and the reports that are put in monthly or even And this other, you know, monitor -- or 10 annually. And then the reports just checked to make 10 accounting and reporting of return flows and all that, sure that the accounting is consistent with the 11 that happens behind the scene and is just a reporting 12 conditions. 12 requirement. And yes. 13 And if it's not -- you know, if they're 13 Q. Okay. Mr. Cefalo suggested that transfers 14 not, then, you know, then there's a follow-up, as 14 are a good mechanism for municipalities to increase 15 Mr. Cefalo talked about, you know, to -- you know, to 15 their water supplies. 16 bring them into compliance or even to cancel the water 16 Do you agree? 17 right if the user isn't complying. 17 A. Well, it is a mechanism that has been used. 18 And those reports can be crafted in such a But, you know, water is getting increasingly expensive 18 19 way that, you know, there's -- that the administrator in Idaho, and water prices are, you know, going through 19 20 doesn't necessarily have to wade through pages and 20 the roof, is my understanding. And to, you know, just pages and pages of accounting, that it all gets 21 you know, presume that well, cities, you know, we can 22 distilled down, you know, into some figures. just -- making them, you know, assume that all of their 23 And the person submitting the accounting water use is fully consumed and therefore they have to 24 also is representing that it's -- you know, that it's 24 acquire and transfer more water at greater cost is no 25 correct. And, you know, if the, you know -- so there's 25 big deal, well, it is a big deal. Page 417 Page 419

1 And, you know, again, coming back to, you 1 flows not being put back in a place where they will 2 benefit the senior water right that's being impacted by 2 know, there's no reason to make cities overmitigate. 3 And that's another reason to not make them overmitigate 3 the original diversion, then yeah, it might be 4 if it means they have to go acquire more and more necessary to mitigate the full amount of that diversion, because the return's not coming back in a expensive -- increasingly expensive water. O. So let's move over to the Surface Water place that benefits the senior. 7 Coalition's expert report. Will you please turn to But again, case-by-case, if that situation Exhibit 1. exists and that -- you know, there's a protest, and 9 that particular circumstance can be dealt with. But I THE HEARING OFFICER: It's the skinny one. 10 Sorry. This one [indicating]. don't think it -- just because that kind of situation 10 11 Q. (BY MR. BRICKER): Let's start on page 3. 11 can happen that therefore universally you should assume that municipal use is 100 percent consumptive. That 12 Do you see the sentence at the beginning of 12 13 the second paragraph that says, quote, "As wastewater 13 doesn't track. treatment requirements become more stringent and 14 O. And looking to the next paragraph, second sentence is, quote, "A diversion of Trust Water for 15 expensive, it becomes less likely a new municipal use 15 will discharge wastewater back to any natural water municipal purposes with treated return flow, if any, 16 source," end quote? 17 returning to the Non-Trust Water area results in full 17 18 A. Yes. 18 depletion of the Trust Water source by the total amount 19 19 of the diversion," end quote. Q. How do you respond to that statement? 20 20 A. Yeah, I think the implication here is that How do you respond to that statement? 21 there will be a natural evolution towards less direct 21 A. Yeah, I'm not exactly sure, you know, the 22 discharge of wastewater to the stream as, yeah, 22 entirety of what they're getting at here. But in my treatment requirements and -- become more stringent and 23 opinion, you know, in terms of the depletions to the expensive. And that can be true. Snake River caused by pumping, the existence of the 25 But I've seen the opposite as well. And, 25 Trust line is immaterial to that. Page 420 Page 422 1 you know, in the case where -- you know, I have clients 1 And you know, the Trust line is somewhat of 2 that are doing reuse and reuse of -- through -- through a relic of a -- or misinformed idea that pumping 3 application of treated effluent to like golf courses impacts can't cross a flow -- a groundwater flow line. 4 and parks and, you know, urban areas, and actually they 4 And as we know, you know, pumping on either 5 have a situation like their treatment requirements are 5 side of the Trust line can affect the nearby Snake actually more stringent for that kind of reuse because River; and therefore, you know, it doesn't matter on of the potential for human contact and the like. what side of the line you're necessarily on in terms of 8 And so it's actually more expensive and the depletions. You know, depletions can affect the 9 there's more regulation to jump through in that kind of river there, and replacements would need to be made, 10 land application compared to the direct discharge to you know, to prevent injury there. And I'm not sure, 11 the stream. So it's -- you know, there could be trends 11 you know, being on one side of the line or the other 12 either way. 12 has any effect on that. 13 And again, as I talked -- mentioned 13 Q. And turning to the next page, page 4. As 14 yesterday, you know, these accounting procedures that I 14 Mr. Colvin also discussed this morning, there's a talked about are adaptable to changes in wastewater 15 statement in the second paragraph under section 2.2 16 that says, "All Colorado water rights are subject to 17 single use, with return-flow requirements, as set forth Q. Okay. Do you also see on page 3 two 17 sentences later in that same paragraph, beginning with in the 1913 Colorado Supreme Court's Comstock versus 18 18 "Further," it says, quote, "Further, even if the 19 Ramsay decision," end quote. discharge is returned to the source at some distant 20 How do you respond to that? 21 location, the impacts locally will be the same as if A. Well, that statement reflects a fundamental the diversions were fully consumed," end quote. misunderstanding of water law in Colorado. There are 23 How do you respond to that? single-use water rights in Colorado. And but, you 24 A. I think that can be true. And if -- if know -- but also water users are able to appropriate 25 that is -- is significant as to, you know, the return 25 fully consumptive water rights if, when they make the Page 423 Page 421

1 application for the right, they demonstrate an intent 1 And that undoubtedly happened with Aurora's 2 and ability to reuse the water. 2 accounting for the Prairie Water System. 3 3 So basically they have to claim, you know, Q. So could the Department in Idaho ensure 4 a right of reuse, and show that they have a mechanism that any accounting related to consumptive use be to do that. And if they can show the Court that, then administrable or require that they be satisfactory to 5 5 they can get a water right, a new water right that's the Department? 7 fully consumptive. A. Sure. I don't see why not. 8 8 Q. Now, looking in the third full paragraph on And so therefore, you know, Mr. Colvin's page 5, the last sentence beginning with "Without," 9 statement that fully consumptive water rights in 10 Colorado are only nontributary water or changed to 10 states, "Without well-established and reliable 11 consumptive use is just wrong. 11 return-flow data available in Idaho, it is reasonable 12 Q. And how do you respond to his testimony for IDWR to administer new municipal water rights as 13 about Colorado having a monthly resume and Idaho not 13 fully consumable," end quote. having a similar arrangement here? 14 How do you respond to that? 15 15 A. Well, the resume system in Colorado is A. In my understanding, the return-flow data 16 something that was just instituted with a 1969 act that 16 available in Idaho is similar to the return-flow data 17 just provided a supplemental or an additional way to in Colorado already. And for the most part, we're 18 notify water users of water rights applications that 18 talking about either measurements of discharges back to 19 were made to the Court. But it was -- it was just an 19 the stream from wastewater treatment plants or in some 20 additional notification process, because there already 20 cases, if there's land application, it would be a existed, you know, from the beginning a requirement 21 requirement to measure the water that's put out for that water rights application notices be published in 22 land application. And if that's not a requirement, 23 23 the local newspapers, just like they are in Colorado. that's an easy requirement to add to measure that. 24 So the resume as notice procedure is just 24 And then the rest of the accounting that I 25 simply an additional process for notification. And 25 talked about is just, you know, simple water balances, Page 424 Page 426 1 it's -- I don't think it's really material to this --1 tabulating diversions and return flows, and maybe 2 you know, creates a significant difference about how applying a consumptive use factor that's reasonable and 3 water is administered or even appropriated in Colorado 3 reliable. And that's it. So --Q. In -versus Idaho. 5 5 A. I think the -- it's -- it's doable --Q. And on page 5 of the report, Mr. Colvin 6 discusses the Prairie Waters System owned and operated 6 easily doable to compute for municipal consumptive use by the City of Aurora. in Idaho. 8 Is that an example of that all water rights 8 Q. And that's something that you think the 9 municipal water users have the capacity to perform 9 are complex if they involve these conditions? 10 A. No. I mean there's simple accounting in 10 those calculations? 11 Colorado and there's complex accounting. And I think 11 A. Yes. And yeah, as I mentioned, I think in 12 there's a general desire of everyone that the 12 my testimony before, this is not something --13 accounting be made, you know, as simple as possible. accounting that the Department or the watermaster has 13 14 But again, sometimes it's necessary to get creative to 14 to do. The users can do the accounting and just report 15 account for the concerns of particular opposers in a 15 it. water case and other challenges, but that doesn't 16 Q. Now, turning to section 2.3. The first 17 necessarily mean that it all needs to be complex. 17 sentence says, quote, "Sullivan describes the water 18 And as I mentioned earlier, there is -- you management" -- excuse me, strike that. 18 19 know, even though there is complicated accounting at 19 The next sentence where it says, quote, "He 20 times in Colorado, there is -- there have been 20 failed to mention that the cities of Bellevue, Carey, 21 determinations made by the agency that it's -- that 21 Hazelton, Paul, Richfield, Rupert, and Wendell all have 22 these conditions are administrable. And there's -- and Idaho Department of Environmental Quality permits that 23 the applicants are working with the agency to develop allow for reuse of their treated municipal wastewater accounting that works for both the water right holder 24 effluent," end quote, how do you respond to that? 25 and for the agency. A. Well, I think it mischaracterizes my Page 425 Page 427

1 report, because in that Table 2-1 that we were looking 1 break. 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: So we'll wait for that 2 at yesterday, there's a column in there indicating what 3 3 type of wastewater disposal they use. And for the admission. 4 cities that he lists, I've appropriately characterized 4 MR. BRICKER: Yeah. I'll go ahead and mark 5 what that is, you know, in terms of whether land 5 these and then distribute them. 6 application or evaporation ponds, and also I have 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 7 identified in that manner that reuse is occurring by those cities. 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 9 Q. That following sentence, quote, "Changes in BY MR. HARRIS: 10 10 wastewater management and increases in water reuse Q. Rob Harris again on behalf of the City of 11 further justify IDWR treating municipal use as fully 11 Idaho Falls and Ammon and the Falls Water Company. 12 consumptive," end quote, how do you respond to that? 12 You were here during the presentation of 13 A. Well, again, you know, that something can 13 the James Cefalo's rebuttal testimony; correct? 14 happen doesn't mean you need to treat it that way now. 14 A. Yes. 15 And if those changes do occur, then -- then the 15 Q. And there was some discussion between 16 accounting of the consumptive use can adjust for that. 16 Mr. Cefalo and Department of counsel about some of the 17 Q. And finally, in the next paragraph, second suggestions you had on how IDWR could condition future 18 sentence, quote, "Indirect potable reuse is becoming 18 water right applications? A. Yes. 19 increasingly common in the Western U.S. where municipal 19 20 systems are being designed to recover wastewater 20 Q. And there was an objection to how I 21 effluent after it passes through an environmental 21 characterized what your suggestions were. 22 buffer such as a wetland, aquifer, or surface water 22 Do you recall that? 23 channel," end quote, is that something that could also 23 A. Yes. 24 be adjusted with your accounting? 24 Q. As I understood how your testimony was 25 A. Well, I'm not exactly sure what this 25 characterized is that if the consumptive use amount Page 428 Page 430 1 indirect reuse is talking about. But yeah. And I 1 changes, then the condition, the actual text of the condition, could be adjusted in a water right. 2 don't see any indication that that is occurring in 3 Is that your prior system? Or could you 3 Idaho. 4 clarify that. 4 But yeah, if that somehow was reflecting a 5 A. I think I testified about two -- two 5 consumption of a -- you know, additional consumptive alternative sort of overarching mechanisms. One would 6 use of a municipality's water supply or return flows 6 be that the condition and the accounting be specified 7 that they were responsible for somehow that -- yeah, then I guess they should get credit for that. in such a way that it was dynamic, that the consumptive use amounts could change -- or computed amounts would MR. BRICKER: All right. I have no further 10 questions. change based on changes in certain of the inputs to 11 that calculation. 11 And at this time I'll move to admit the 12 Alternatively, I talked about a possibility 12 .pdf copies of the spreadsheets we showed on the screen that if there was something more rigid in the 13 yesterday, as we discussed. So I have copies of them 13 14 that I can distribute. 14 condition, that it could potentially be changed later. 15 MR. BAXTER: Director, I propose giving the 15 And --16 Q. Through a transfer or --16 parties the opportunity to review those first before 17 they're admitted into the record. 17 A. Through some process. And whether -- and 18 I'm not going to presume to know all the processes. 18 MR. BRICKER: Okay. 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Do you mind handing But some, yeah, transfer or amendment or what have you. 20 So that's what I intended my testimony to say. I 20 those out, Mr. Bricker? 21 21 apologize if it didn't come out that way. MR. BRICKER: Sure. 22 MR. BAXTER: Is the original --22 Q. The first part would essentially be the condition provides essentially the structure of how the 23 MR. BRICKER: So alternative --24 MR. BAXTER: Why don't we have you mark them. values are calculated and then some adjustment based on those numbers; correct? 25 MR. BRICKER: Yeah, I can do that on the next 25 Page 429 Page 431

MR. BAXTER: Objection. I don't believe that A. No. 1 1 2 Q. Well, in your tables yesterday, we went 2 was Mr. Sullivan's testimony. 3 MR. HARRIS: I'll strike it, then. 3 through this yesterday, most cities, I believe you 4 Q. Could you state your testimony again. testified, were not collecting system-loss data; is that correct? 5 A. Yeah. I hope I didn't say "adjustment to 6 the condition." But the condition itself and the A. I don't think I said that. 7 accounting requirement has some dynamic capability to 7 Q. Are they? 8 it, just like the spreadsheet I showed yesterday, so 8 A. I haven't studied all of them, but I'm 9 aware that many of those cities have performed audits 9 that, you know, if water is put to land application, 10 for example, instead of direct discharge, then the 10 of their systems. And it's a prudent thing for cities 11 computation process can accommodate that. 11 to do to get a handle on what their losses are. 12 Q. And then there will be an adjustment in the 12 And so yeah, in my experience a lot of them 13 mitigation obligation based on those numbers? 13 do, but I have not gone through to see if all of those 14 A. Right. Like the mitigation obligation is cities have done that. 15 keyed towards the computed consumptive use. If 15 Q. Well, on the tables you prepared yesterday, 16 consumptive use goes up, the mitigation amount goes up, you said you didn't have that data. 16 and vice versa. 17 17 And so you had to estimate that data; 18 MR. HARRIS: Thank you. I have no further 18 correct? 19 19 A. I didn't try to go seek that data out. The questions. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. It doesn't 20 purpose of that table that I presented vesterday was --21 look like there's any further examination. 21 was to -- to illustrate the approximate average and 22 Cross-examination, come on up, 22 range of consumptive use that is occurring by my 23 Mr. Fletcher. 23 clients. And I think that table accomplishes that. 24 /// But I didn't mean to say that I went and 24 25 /// 25 tried to get all of the data that was potentially Page 432 Page 434 1 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 1 available and to make as precise a calculation of BY MR. FLETCHER: consumptive use as I could, because that's not -- that was not the purpose of that table. 3 Q. Mr. Sullivan, based upon all of the 4 testimony you've heard today and yesterday, including Q. But didn't you also testify later that if 5 that of James Cefalo, is it your opinion that I -- the 5 they had -- if they needed to collect that data they Idaho Department of Water Resources is currently 6 could? staffed in such a way to implement this condition-based 7 A. Which data? regimen that you're talking about in order to deal with Q. Well, you mentioned at least four different a consumptive use calculation on a water right? factors on your table. System loss, indoor use 9 10 consumptive use, outdoor use consumptive use, and A. I haven't studied their staffing. 11 Q. Okay. So you have no opinion on that? 11 treated effluent consumptive use. 12 12 A. No. You estimated those numbers on your table; 13 Q. You just testified that along the lines, 13 correct? 14 well, if they need more staff, then the legislature 14 A. For purposes -- for the purpose that I just 15 just needs to make more appropriations; correct? 15 described. 16 A. Yes. 16 Q. Right. And for that table to work 17 properly, to function properly, they would have to Q. Correct? 17 18 A. Yes. 18 actually start collecting those numbers; correct? 19 Q. Does the Department control whether or not 19 A. Well, as an example, if a city wanted to 20 they receive more appropriations? 20 claim credit for its system losses, that yes, I think a 21 A. No. I think the legislature does. 21 reasonable requirement would be that it do some Q. I believe you have testified as well that 22 analysis of its system to figure out what that system 23 this condition-based regimen that you are proposing 23 loss percentage is. 24 would also require cities to collect much more data If a city decided it didn't want to go than they are currently collecting; correct? 25 through that effort to come up with a percentage, then Page 433 Page 435

1	they don't have to claim that, and they cannot claim a	1	A. Yes.
2	system-loss return.	2	Q. Do you know if that's occurred?
3	Q. And then I believe you've well, let me	3	A. I don't know.
4	clarify.	4	Q. You don't know if it's occurred?
5	When you say, "Well, the cities or whatever	5	A. No.
6	the entity is just has to do more reporting, it's	6	Q. So all of these things, when you start
7	really it will not burden the Department to do	7	reporting and having conditions, require someone at the
8	that," what happens with those reports? What does a	8	Department to review those and verify that the
9	city do with this report that you're talking about?	9	conditions are being satisfied; correct?
10	A. They file them with the State, and the	10	A. Yes.
11	State has to review them. Similar to like the Surface	11	Q. And if they're not being satisfied, then it
12	Water Coalition filing its reports in the delivery call	12	requires enforcement; correct?
13	of irrigated area and the like. I mean it's	13	A. Yeah. We've heard Mr. Cefalo talk about
14	reporting requirements for water rights is common and	14	how that happens.
15	widespread. This would be of that nature.	15	MR. FLETCHER: I have no further questions.
16	Q. Do you well, that's a good example.	16	Thank you.
17	Do you have any idea how many staff members	17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Thompson or
18	and how many hours of Department time are spent	18	Mr. Barker?
19	reviewing the information in the surface water call	19	MR. THOMPSON: None.
20	every year?	20	MR. BARKER: Mr. Director, I think we've heard
21	A. I don't.	21	enough from Mr. Sullivan today.
22	Q. You heard the testimony yesterday on Dry	22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Garrick?
23	Creek, correct, the Dry Creek application that requires	23	MR. BAXTER: No questions.
24	a change in irrigated acres as development occurs?	24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Come on up,
25	A. Yes. Page 436	25	Mr. Bricker, for some redirect?
	1 uge 130		1 450 150
1	Q. And you heard the testimony that under that	1	MR. BRICKER: No. Just the proposed exhibits.
2	water rights, that as those changes occur, those water	2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
1 2	rights are supposed to be changed annually?	3	THE WITNESS: Were you going to ask me to
3			
4	A. Yes.	4	explain those?
5	Q. And you heard the testimony that that	5	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on.
4 5 6	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you?	5 6	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions.
4 5 6 7	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone	5 6 7	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again
4 5 6 7 8	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you?A. Well, just because someoneQ. No. Answer my question.	5 6 7 8	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet
4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone Q. No. Answer my question. A. Yes, I heard that. 	5 6 7 8 9	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet open. We worked through that. Mr. Sullivan, you
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone Q. No. Answer my question. A. Yes, I heard that. Q. Okay. So just because a condition is on a 	5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet open. We worked through that. Mr. Sullivan, you showed how you could change inputs into that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone Q. No. Answer my question. A. Yes, I heard that. Q. Okay. So just because a condition is on a water right doesn't mean necessarily that it solves the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet open. We worked through that. Mr. Sullivan, you showed how you could change inputs into that spreadsheet and calculate changes in consumptive use.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone Q. No. Answer my question. A. Yes, I heard that. Q. Okay. So just because a condition is on a water right doesn't mean necessarily that it solves the problem to the aquifer; correct?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet open. We worked through that. Mr. Sullivan, you showed how you could change inputs into that spreadsheet and calculate changes in consumptive use. We agreed at that time that you'd prepare three .pdf
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone Q. No. Answer my question. A. Yes, I heard that. Q. Okay. So just because a condition is on a water right doesn't mean necessarily that it solves the problem to the aquifer; correct? A. Well, the conditions need to be adhered to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet open. We worked through that. Mr. Sullivan, you showed how you could change inputs into that spreadsheet and calculate changes in consumptive use. We agreed at that time that you'd prepare three .pdf documents for consideration for admission into the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone Q. No. Answer my question. A. Yes, I heard that. Q. Okay. So just because a condition is on a water right doesn't mean necessarily that it solves the problem to the aquifer; correct? A. Well, the conditions need to be adhered to and they need to be administrable.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet open. We worked through that. Mr. Sullivan, you showed how you could change inputs into that spreadsheet and calculate changes in consumptive use. We agreed at that time that you'd prepare three .pdf documents for consideration for admission into the record.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone Q. No. Answer my question. A. Yes, I heard that. Q. Okay. So just because a condition is on a water right doesn't mean necessarily that it solves the problem to the aquifer; correct? A. Well, the conditions need to be adhered to and they need to be administrable. Q. Okay. And you mentioned this Trust line.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet open. We worked through that. Mr. Sullivan, you showed how you could change inputs into that spreadsheet and calculate changes in consumptive use. We agreed at that time that you'd prepare three .pdf documents for consideration for admission into the record. And why don't you describe these a little
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone Q. No. Answer my question. A. Yes, I heard that. Q. Okay. So just because a condition is on a water right doesn't mean necessarily that it solves the problem to the aquifer; correct? A. Well, the conditions need to be adhered to and they need to be administrable. Q. Okay. And you mentioned this Trust line. Do you understand what the Trust line is	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet open. We worked through that. Mr. Sullivan, you showed how you could change inputs into that spreadsheet and calculate changes in consumptive use. We agreed at that time that you'd prepare three .pdf documents for consideration for admission into the record. And why don't you describe these a little bit about, Mr. Bricker.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone Q. No. Answer my question. A. Yes, I heard that. Q. Okay. So just because a condition is on a water right doesn't mean necessarily that it solves the problem to the aquifer; correct? A. Well, the conditions need to be adhered to and they need to be administrable. Q. Okay. And you mentioned this Trust line. Do you understand what the Trust line is all about, what a Trust Water right is?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet open. We worked through that. Mr. Sullivan, you showed how you could change inputs into that spreadsheet and calculate changes in consumptive use. We agreed at that time that you'd prepare three .pdf documents for consideration for admission into the record. And why don't you describe these a little
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone Q. No. Answer my question. A. Yes, I heard that. Q. Okay. So just because a condition is on a water right doesn't mean necessarily that it solves the problem to the aquifer; correct? A. Well, the conditions need to be adhered to and they need to be administrable. Q. Okay. And you mentioned this Trust line. Do you understand what the Trust line is all about, what a Trust Water right is? A. Yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet open. We worked through that. Mr. Sullivan, you showed how you could change inputs into that spreadsheet and calculate changes in consumptive use. We agreed at that time that you'd prepare three .pdf documents for consideration for admission into the record. And why don't you describe these a little bit about, Mr. Bricker. MR. BRICKER: Sure.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone Q. No. Answer my question. A. Yes, I heard that. Q. Okay. So just because a condition is on a water right doesn't mean necessarily that it solves the problem to the aquifer; correct? A. Well, the conditions need to be adhered to and they need to be administrable. Q. Okay. And you mentioned this Trust line. Do you understand what the Trust line is all about, what a Trust Water right is? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand the Swan Falls agreement?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet open. We worked through that. Mr. Sullivan, you showed how you could change inputs into that spreadsheet and calculate changes in consumptive use. We agreed at that time that you'd prepare three .pdf documents for consideration for admission into the record. And why don't you describe these a little bit about, Mr. Bricker. MR. BRICKER: Sure. REDIRECT EXAMINATION
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone Q. No. Answer my question. A. Yes, I heard that. Q. Okay. So just because a condition is on a water right doesn't mean necessarily that it solves the problem to the aquifer; correct? A. Well, the conditions need to be adhered to and they need to be administrable. Q. Okay. And you mentioned this Trust line. Do you understand what the Trust line is all about, what a Trust Water right is? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand the Swan Falls agreement? A. Yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet open. We worked through that. Mr. Sullivan, you showed how you could change inputs into that spreadsheet and calculate changes in consumptive use. We agreed at that time that you'd prepare three .pdf documents for consideration for admission into the record. And why don't you describe these a little bit about, Mr. Bricker. MR. BRICKER: Sure. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BRICKER:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone Q. No. Answer my question. A. Yes, I heard that. Q. Okay. So just because a condition is on a water right doesn't mean necessarily that it solves the problem to the aquifer; correct? A. Well, the conditions need to be adhered to and they need to be administrable. Q. Okay. And you mentioned this Trust line. Do you understand what the Trust line is all about, what a Trust Water right is? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand the Swan Falls agreement? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you understand the review	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet open. We worked through that. Mr. Sullivan, you showed how you could change inputs into that spreadsheet and calculate changes in consumptive use. We agreed at that time that you'd prepare three .pdf documents for consideration for admission into the record. And why don't you describe these a little bit about, Mr. Bricker. MR. BRICKER: Sure. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BRICKER: Q. So, Mr. Sullivan, in front of you you have
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone Q. No. Answer my question. A. Yes, I heard that. Q. Okay. So just because a condition is on a water right doesn't mean necessarily that it solves the problem to the aquifer; correct? A. Well, the conditions need to be adhered to and they need to be administrable. Q. Okay. And you mentioned this Trust line. Do you understand what the Trust line is all about, what a Trust Water right is? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand the Swan Falls agreement? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you understand the review requirements of the Swan Falls agreement?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet open. We worked through that. Mr. Sullivan, you showed how you could change inputs into that spreadsheet and calculate changes in consumptive use. We agreed at that time that you'd prepare three .pdf documents for consideration for admission into the record. And why don't you describe these a little bit about, Mr. Bricker. MR. BRICKER: Sure. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BRICKER: Q. So, Mr. Sullivan, in front of you you have proposed Exhibits 349, 350, and 351.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone Q. No. Answer my question. A. Yes, I heard that. Q. Okay. So just because a condition is on a water right doesn't mean necessarily that it solves the problem to the aquifer; correct? A. Well, the conditions need to be adhered to and they need to be administrable. Q. Okay. And you mentioned this Trust line. Do you understand what the Trust line is all about, what a Trust Water right is? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand the Swan Falls agreement? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you understand the review requirements of the Swan Falls agreement? A. Generally.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet open. We worked through that. Mr. Sullivan, you showed how you could change inputs into that spreadsheet and calculate changes in consumptive use. We agreed at that time that you'd prepare three .pdf documents for consideration for admission into the record. And why don't you describe these a little bit about, Mr. Bricker. MR. BRICKER: Sure. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BRICKER: Q. So, Mr. Sullivan, in front of you you have proposed Exhibits 349, 350, and 351. Can you describe what these are.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone Q. No. Answer my question. A. Yes, I heard that. Q. Okay. So just because a condition is on a water right doesn't mean necessarily that it solves the problem to the aquifer; correct? A. Well, the conditions need to be adhered to and they need to be administrable. Q. Okay. And you mentioned this Trust line. Do you understand what the Trust line is all about, what a Trust Water right is? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand the Swan Falls agreement? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you understand the review requirements of the Swan Falls agreement? A. Generally. Q. Do you understand that the Trust Water	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet open. We worked through that. Mr. Sullivan, you showed how you could change inputs into that spreadsheet and calculate changes in consumptive use. We agreed at that time that you'd prepare three .pdf documents for consideration for admission into the record. And why don't you describe these a little bit about, Mr. Bricker. MR. BRICKER: Sure. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BRICKER: Q. So, Mr. Sullivan, in front of you you have proposed Exhibits 349, 350, and 351. Can you describe what these are. A. Yes. These are .pdf outputs from that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. And you heard the testimony that that hasn't been occurring, didn't you? A. Well, just because someone Q. No. Answer my question. A. Yes, I heard that. Q. Okay. So just because a condition is on a water right doesn't mean necessarily that it solves the problem to the aquifer; correct? A. Well, the conditions need to be adhered to and they need to be administrable. Q. Okay. And you mentioned this Trust line. Do you understand what the Trust line is all about, what a Trust Water right is? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand the Swan Falls agreement? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you understand the review requirements of the Swan Falls agreement? A. Generally.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BRICKER: Oh, I can. Hold on. Sure. Yeah, I'll have questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So taking up again the matter of the so yesterday we had a spreadsheet open. We worked through that. Mr. Sullivan, you showed how you could change inputs into that spreadsheet and calculate changes in consumptive use. We agreed at that time that you'd prepare three .pdf documents for consideration for admission into the record. And why don't you describe these a little bit about, Mr. Bricker. MR. BRICKER: Sure. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BRICKER: Q. So, Mr. Sullivan, in front of you you have proposed Exhibits 349, 350, and 351. Can you describe what these are.

1	different conditions of treated effluent disposal.	1	All right. Thanks, Mr. Sullivan.
2	So Exhibit 349 is and let me back up.	2	I guess, Chris, I don't see that you have
3	So I added a two-line title to each of	3	your other experts here today.
4	these to distinguish them.	4	MR. BROMLEY: Me "Chris"?
5	So Exhibit 349 is entitled "Base Condition	5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Sorry. Mr. Bromley.
6	Treated Effluent Disposal: Land Application & RIB."	6	Yeah.
7	And so this is the same as the Table 5-2 in and I	7	MR. BROMLEY: No. Charles Brockway. No. No.
8	forget the exhibit number, that was in my report.	8	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we won't be hearing any
9	And then Exhibit 350 is titled	9	further testimony from Mr. Sullivan or Mr. Brockway?
10	"Alternative 1. Treated Effluent Disposal: Discharge	10	MR. BROMLEY: No. No.
11	to Stream." So in this example I what I've done is	11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So next up are we
12	down in rows and this is what I did yesterday too.	12	going to have another round of testimony from your
13	Down in rows 7 and 8 I set the CU percentages over	13	experts, Kent and Travis?
14	there on the right-hand column in the yellow to	14	MR. THOMPSON: I don't think so.
15	0 percent. So in effect, there's zero there's no	15	MR. FLETCHER: I don't believe we need it.
16	consumption of any of the effluent through land	16	THE HEARING OFFICER: So that would conclude all
17	application or rapid infiltration basin. So in effect,	17	of the expert testimony, then, for the first issue in
18	there's no consumption of the effluent. And so that	18	this hearing?
19	results in a reduction of the consumptive use to 178	19	Then next up we'd be working through the
20	acre-feet.	20	lay witnesses. And we're at 11:55 now.
21	And then up in the middle left you see the	21	Should we take lunch now and then resume
22	consumptive use percentage has gone down to 47 percent.	22	that at one o'clock?
23	Those are the numbers we talked about yesterday.	23	MS. McHUGH: Can I talk to my witness?
24	And then finally Exhibit 351, this is	24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead, Ms. McHugh.
25	titled "Alternative 2. Treated Effluent Disposal: Page 440	25	We'll pause just a minute. Page 442
	1 agc ++0		1 agc 442
1	Discharge to Evaporation Ponds."	1	MR. HARRIS: Director, while she's doing that,
2	And so in this example what I've done down	2	could I take care of one exhibit question?
3	in those rows 7 and 8 is I've set the consumptive use	3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, please.
4	percentages to 100 percent so that, in effect, all of	4	MR. HARRIS: Exhibit 303 was admitted in the
5	the effluent is consumed, and therefore the total	5	record. It was the deposition transcript of James
6	consumptive use has gone up to 297 acre-feet in this	6	Cefalo. It dawned on me yesterday that I think
7	example, and the consumptive use percentage has gone up	7	
8			everyone's aware the deponent is able to go through and
	to 79 percent. I think those are the numbers I	8	correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript.
9	testified to yesterday as well.	9	correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript. That's not included in there. But there is a page.
10	testified to yesterday as well. Q. Yes. So just to summarize, these three	9 10	correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript. That's not included in there. But there is a page. Just to make sure the records complete, I think it
10 11	testified to yesterday as well. Q. Yes. So just to summarize, these three exhibits are .pdf copies of the three scenarios we ran	9 10 11	Correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript. That's not included in there. But there is a page. Just to make sure the records complete, I think it would be appropriate to include that.
10 11 12	testified to yesterday as well. Q. Yes. So just to summarize, these three exhibits are .pdf copies of the three scenarios we ran through in Excel on the screen yesterday, and they	9 10 11 12	Correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript. That's not included in there. But there is a page. Just to make sure the records complete, I think it would be appropriate to include that. We could either just add it as page 42 to
10 11 12 13	testified to yesterday as well. Q. Yes. So just to summarize, these three exhibits are .pdf copies of the three scenarios we ran through in Excel on the screen yesterday, and they illustrate how consumptive use changes based upon a	9 10 11	correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript. That's not included in there. But there is a page. Just to make sure the records complete, I think it would be appropriate to include that. We could either just add it as page 42 to Exhibit 303 or we could mark it as a separate exhibit.
10 11 12 13 14	testified to yesterday as well. Q. Yes. So just to summarize, these three exhibits are .pdf copies of the three scenarios we ran through in Excel on the screen yesterday, and they illustrate how consumptive use changes based upon a change in the wastewater disposal method; right?	9 10 11 12 13 14	correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript. That's not included in there. But there is a page. Just to make sure the records complete, I think it would be appropriate to include that. We could either just add it as page 42 to Exhibit 303 or we could mark it as a separate exhibit. I'm not sure I care either way, if you have a
10 11 12 13 14 15	testified to yesterday as well. Q. Yes. So just to summarize, these three exhibits are .pdf copies of the three scenarios we ran through in Excel on the screen yesterday, and they illustrate how consumptive use changes based upon a change in the wastewater disposal method; right? A. Yes, that's correct.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript. That's not included in there. But there is a page. Just to make sure the records complete, I think it would be appropriate to include that. We could either just add it as page 42 to Exhibit 303 or we could mark it as a separate exhibit. I'm not sure I care either way, if you have a preference one way or the other.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	testified to yesterday as well. Q. Yes. So just to summarize, these three exhibits are .pdf copies of the three scenarios we ran through in Excel on the screen yesterday, and they illustrate how consumptive use changes based upon a change in the wastewater disposal method; right? A. Yes, that's correct. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibit 349,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript. That's not included in there. But there is a page. Just to make sure the records complete, I think it would be appropriate to include that. We could either just add it as page 42 to Exhibit 303 or we could mark it as a separate exhibit. I'm not sure I care either way, if you have a preference one way or the other. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection to bringing
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	testified to yesterday as well. Q. Yes. So just to summarize, these three exhibits are .pdf copies of the three scenarios we ran through in Excel on the screen yesterday, and they illustrate how consumptive use changes based upon a change in the wastewater disposal method; right? A. Yes, that's correct. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibit 349, 350, and 351 into the record.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript. That's not included in there. But there is a page. Just to make sure the records complete, I think it would be appropriate to include that. We could either just add it as page 42 to Exhibit 303 or we could mark it as a separate exhibit. I'm not sure I care either way, if you have a preference one way or the other. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection to bringing that into the record?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	testified to yesterday as well. Q. Yes. So just to summarize, these three exhibits are .pdf copies of the three scenarios we ran through in Excel on the screen yesterday, and they illustrate how consumptive use changes based upon a change in the wastewater disposal method; right? A. Yes, that's correct. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibit 349, 350, and 351 into the record. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript. That's not included in there. But there is a page. Just to make sure the records complete, I think it would be appropriate to include that. We could either just add it as page 42 to Exhibit 303 or we could mark it as a separate exhibit. I'm not sure I care either way, if you have a preference one way or the other. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection to bringing that into the record? Okay. Not seeing any objection, what would
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	testified to yesterday as well. Q. Yes. So just to summarize, these three exhibits are .pdf copies of the three scenarios we ran through in Excel on the screen yesterday, and they illustrate how consumptive use changes based upon a change in the wastewater disposal method; right? A. Yes, that's correct. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibit 349, 350, and 351 into the record. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? MR. FLETCHER: We have no objection to the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript. That's not included in there. But there is a page. Just to make sure the records complete, I think it would be appropriate to include that. We could either just add it as page 42 to Exhibit 303 or we could mark it as a separate exhibit. I'm not sure I care either way, if you have a preference one way or the other. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection to bringing that into the record? Okay. Not seeing any objection, what would be the preference for bringing that in?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	testified to yesterday as well. Q. Yes. So just to summarize, these three exhibits are .pdf copies of the three scenarios we ran through in Excel on the screen yesterday, and they illustrate how consumptive use changes based upon a change in the wastewater disposal method; right? A. Yes, that's correct. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibit 349, 350, and 351 into the record. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? MR. FLETCHER: We have no objection to the extent they were illustrative exhibits.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript. That's not included in there. But there is a page. Just to make sure the records complete, I think it would be appropriate to include that. We could either just add it as page 42 to Exhibit 303 or we could mark it as a separate exhibit. I'm not sure I care either way, if you have a preference one way or the other. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection to bringing that into the record? Okay. Not seeing any objection, what would be the preference for bringing that in? MR. BAXTER: Make it a separate exhibit to be
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	testified to yesterday as well. Q. Yes. So just to summarize, these three exhibits are .pdf copies of the three scenarios we ran through in Excel on the screen yesterday, and they illustrate how consumptive use changes based upon a change in the wastewater disposal method; right? A. Yes, that's correct. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibit 349, 350, and 351 into the record. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? MR. FLETCHER: We have no objection to the extent they were illustrative exhibits. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Seeing no	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript. That's not included in there. But there is a page. Just to make sure the records complete, I think it would be appropriate to include that. We could either just add it as page 42 to Exhibit 303 or we could mark it as a separate exhibit. I'm not sure I care either way, if you have a preference one way or the other. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection to bringing that into the record? Okay. Not seeing any objection, what would be the preference for bringing that in? MR. BAXTER: Make it a separate exhibit to be clear.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	testified to yesterday as well. Q. Yes. So just to summarize, these three exhibits are .pdf copies of the three scenarios we ran through in Excel on the screen yesterday, and they illustrate how consumptive use changes based upon a change in the wastewater disposal method; right? A. Yes, that's correct. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibit 349, 350, and 351 into the record. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? MR. FLETCHER: We have no objection to the extent they were illustrative exhibits. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Seeing no objection, we'll bring them into the record.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript. That's not included in there. But there is a page. Just to make sure the records complete, I think it would be appropriate to include that. We could either just add it as page 42 to Exhibit 303 or we could mark it as a separate exhibit. I'm not sure I care either way, if you have a preference one way or the other. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection to bringing that into the record? Okay. Not seeing any objection, what would be the preference for bringing that in? MR. BAXTER: Make it a separate exhibit to be clear. THE HEARING OFFICER: Make it a separate
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	testified to yesterday as well. Q. Yes. So just to summarize, these three exhibits are .pdf copies of the three scenarios we ran through in Excel on the screen yesterday, and they illustrate how consumptive use changes based upon a change in the wastewater disposal method; right? A. Yes, that's correct. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibit 349, 350, and 351 into the record. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? MR. FLETCHER: We have no objection to the extent they were illustrative exhibits. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Seeing no objection, we'll bring them into the record. (Exhibits 349, 350, and 351 admitted.)	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript. That's not included in there. But there is a page. Just to make sure the records complete, I think it would be appropriate to include that. We could either just add it as page 42 to Exhibit 303 or we could mark it as a separate exhibit. I'm not sure I care either way, if you have a preference one way or the other. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection to bringing that into the record? Okay. Not seeing any objection, what would be the preference for bringing that in? MR. BAXTER: Make it a separate exhibit to be clear. THE HEARING OFFICER: Make it a separate exhibit.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	testified to yesterday as well. Q. Yes. So just to summarize, these three exhibits are .pdf copies of the three scenarios we ran through in Excel on the screen yesterday, and they illustrate how consumptive use changes based upon a change in the wastewater disposal method; right? A. Yes, that's correct. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibit 349, 350, and 351 into the record. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? MR. FLETCHER: We have no objection to the extent they were illustrative exhibits. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Seeing no objection, we'll bring them into the record. (Exhibits 349, 350, and 351 admitted.) MR. BRICKER: That's all the questions I have.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript. That's not included in there. But there is a page. Just to make sure the records complete, I think it would be appropriate to include that. We could either just add it as page 42 to Exhibit 303 or we could mark it as a separate exhibit. I'm not sure I care either way, if you have a preference one way or the other. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection to bringing that into the record? Okay. Not seeing any objection, what would be the preference for bringing that in? MR. BAXTER: Make it a separate exhibit to be clear. THE HEARING OFFICER: Make it a separate exhibit. So it would be Exhibit number?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	testified to yesterday as well. Q. Yes. So just to summarize, these three exhibits are .pdf copies of the three scenarios we ran through in Excel on the screen yesterday, and they illustrate how consumptive use changes based upon a change in the wastewater disposal method; right? A. Yes, that's correct. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibit 349, 350, and 351 into the record. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? MR. FLETCHER: We have no objection to the extent they were illustrative exhibits. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Seeing no objection, we'll bring them into the record. (Exhibits 349, 350, and 351 admitted.)	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	correct any inaccuracies in the deposition transcript. That's not included in there. But there is a page. Just to make sure the records complete, I think it would be appropriate to include that. We could either just add it as page 42 to Exhibit 303 or we could mark it as a separate exhibit. I'm not sure I care either way, if you have a preference one way or the other. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection to bringing that into the record? Okay. Not seeing any objection, what would be the preference for bringing that in? MR. BAXTER: Make it a separate exhibit to be clear. THE HEARING OFFICER: Make it a separate exhibit.

		_	
1	SARAH TSCHOHL: 352.	1	springs. Then we have two wells.
2	(Exhibit 352 marked.)	2	Q. And are you familiar with the City's
3	MR. HARRIS: Okay.	3	wastewater treatment system and discharge system?
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Then since there was no	4	A. Yes, I am.
5	objection, we'll bring that in.	5	Q. Okay. And does the City measure the amount
6	(Exhibit 352 admitted.)	6	of water that goes into its wastewater treatment
7	MR. HARRIS: Thank you.	7	system?
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Ms. McHugh.	8	A. Yes, it does.
9	MS. McHUGH: Yeah, Mr. Director. If we might,	9	Q. And does the City measure the amount of
10	my lay witness, Chris Johnson, who's the mayor of the	10	
11	City of Bellevue, is here. I think our preference	11	system?
12	would be to have him on now before we break for lunch.	12	A. Yes, it does.
13	His testimony is pretty straightforward and won't take	13	Q. And what does it do with the discharge from
14	very long, and so that way he can get back to his	14	its wastewater treatment.
15	duties.	15	A. We have two options: Rapid infiltration
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Can you give me an	16	basins and then land application.
17	estimate about how long you plan to question him?	17	Q. Okay. And then do you have an IPDES
18	MS. McHUGH: I estimate that my direct	18	permit?
19	examination will be ten minutes.	19	A. Yes, we do.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Well, unless	20	Q. And do you comply with its reporting
21	there's a strong objection to that and I don't see	21	requirements?
22	any I think that's a good proposal.	22	A. Yes, we do.
23	Good morning. Before you sit down.	23	Q. And on your land applications do you have a
24		24	water reuse permit?
25	///	25	A. Yes, we do.
	Page 444		Page 446
1	CHRIS JOHNSON,	1	Q. Okay. And under that water reuse permit,
1 2	CHRIS JOHNSON, having been called as a witness by the municipal	1 2	Q. Okay. And under that water reuse permit, what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under
2	having been called as a witness by the municipal	2	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under
2 3	having been called as a witness by the municipal	2 3	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that?
2 3 4	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows:	2 3 4	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then
2 3 4 5	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have	2 3 4 5	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration.
2 3 4 5 6	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat.	2 3 4 5 6	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do
2 3 4 5 6 7	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat. And state your name and address for the	2 3 4 5 6 7	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do with that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat. And state your name and address for the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do with that. Land application, meaning that it goes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat. And state your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: Chris Johnson. 210 Parkside	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do with that. Land application, meaning that it goes can you explain what that is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat. And state your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: Chris Johnson. 210 Parkside	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do with that. Land application, meaning that it goes can you explain what that is? A. We send it to a farmer. And during short
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat. And state your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: Chris Johnson. 210 Parkside Drive, Bellevue, Idaho.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do with that. Land application, meaning that it goes can you explain what that is? A. We send it to a farmer. And during short water years, he can use that to supplement his water
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat. And state your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: Chris Johnson. 210 Parkside Drive, Bellevue, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do with that. Land application, meaning that it goes can you explain what that is? A. We send it to a farmer. And during short water years, he can use that to supplement his water supply. This year it was not needed so it just went
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat. And state your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: Chris Johnson. 210 Parkside Drive, Bellevue, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. McHUGH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do with that. Land application, meaning that it goes can you explain what that is? A. We send it to a farmer. And during short water years, he can use that to supplement his water supply. This year it was not needed so it just went into our rapid infiltration basins.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat. And state your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: Chris Johnson. 210 Parkside Drive, Bellevue, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. McHUGH: Q. Hello, Mr. Johnson. Could you state your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do with that. Land application, meaning that it goes can you explain what that is? A. We send it to a farmer. And during short water years, he can use that to supplement his water supply. This year it was not needed so it just went into our rapid infiltration basins. Q. So under the water reuse permit, there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat. And state your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: Chris Johnson. 210 Parkside Drive, Bellevue, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. McHUGH: Q. Hello, Mr. Johnson. Could you state your position.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do with that. Land application, meaning that it goes can you explain what that is? A. We send it to a farmer. And during short water years, he can use that to supplement his water supply. This year it was not needed so it just went into our rapid infiltration basins. Q. So under the water reuse permit, there's two applications: One is traditional irrigation, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat. And state your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: Chris Johnson. 210 Parkside Drive, Bellevue, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. McHUGH: Q. Hello, Mr. Johnson. Could you state your position. A. Mayor, City of Bellevue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do with that. Land application, meaning that it goes can you explain what that is? A. We send it to a farmer. And during short water years, he can use that to supplement his water supply. This year it was not needed so it just went into our rapid infiltration basins. Q. So under the water reuse permit, there's two applications: One is traditional irrigation, what looks like traditional irrigation, and one is what is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat. And state your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: Chris Johnson. 210 Parkside Drive, Bellevue, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. McHUGH: Q. Hello, Mr. Johnson. Could you state your position. A. Mayor, City of Bellevue. Q. Okay. And are you familiar with Bellevue's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do with that. Land application, meaning that it goes can you explain what that is? A. We send it to a farmer. And during short water years, he can use that to supplement his water supply. This year it was not needed so it just went into our rapid infiltration basins. Q. So under the water reuse permit, there's two applications: One is traditional irrigation, what looks like traditional irrigation, and one is what is rapid infiltration, which is akin to recharge; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat. And state your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: Chris Johnson. 210 Parkside Drive, Bellevue, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. McHUGH: Q. Hello, Mr. Johnson. Could you state your position. A. Mayor, City of Bellevue. Q. Okay. And are you familiar with Bellevue's water rights and use generally?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do with that. Land application, meaning that it goes can you explain what that is? A. We send it to a farmer. And during short water years, he can use that to supplement his water supply. This year it was not needed so it just went into our rapid infiltration basins. Q. So under the water reuse permit, there's two applications: One is traditional irrigation, what looks like traditional irrigation, and one is what is rapid infiltration, which is akin to recharge; correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat. And state your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: Chris Johnson. 210 Parkside Drive, Bellevue, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. McHUGH: Q. Hello, Mr. Johnson. Could you state your position. A. Mayor, City of Bellevue. Q. Okay. And are you familiar with Bellevue's water rights and use generally? A. I am.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do with that. Land application, meaning that it goes can you explain what that is? A. We send it to a farmer. And during short water years, he can use that to supplement his water supply. This year it was not needed so it just went into our rapid infiltration basins. Q. So under the water reuse permit, there's two applications: One is traditional irrigation, what looks like traditional irrigation, and one is what is rapid infiltration, which is akin to recharge; correct? A. Correct. Q. And does the City measure the amount of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat. And state your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: Chris Johnson. 210 Parkside Drive, Bellevue, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. McHUGH: Q. Hello, Mr. Johnson. Could you state your position. A. Mayor, City of Bellevue. Q. Okay. And are you familiar with Bellevue's water rights and use generally? A. I am. Q. Okay. And does the City measure its water	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do with that. Land application, meaning that it goes can you explain what that is? A. We send it to a farmer. And during short water years, he can use that to supplement his water supply. This year it was not needed so it just went into our rapid infiltration basins. Q. So under the water reuse permit, there's two applications: One is traditional irrigation, what looks like traditional irrigation, and one is what is rapid infiltration, which is akin to recharge; correct? A. Correct. Q. And does the City measure the amount of water that it uses for under its reuse permit?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat. And state your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: Chris Johnson. 210 Parkside Drive, Bellevue, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. McHUGH: Q. Hello, Mr. Johnson. Could you state your position. A. Mayor, City of Bellevue. Q. Okay. And are you familiar with Bellevue's water rights and use generally? A. I am. Q. Okay. And does the City measure its water diversions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do with that. Land application, meaning that it goes can you explain what that is? A. We send it to a farmer. And during short water years, he can use that to supplement his water supply. This year it was not needed so it just went into our rapid infiltration basins. Q. So under the water reuse permit, there's two applications: One is traditional irrigation, what looks like traditional irrigation, and one is what is rapid infiltration, which is akin to recharge; correct? A. Correct. Q. And does the City measure the amount of water that it uses for under its reuse permit? A. Yes. We have a flow meter that monitors
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat. And state your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: Chris Johnson. 210 Parkside Drive, Bellevue, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. McHUGH: Q. Hello, Mr. Johnson. Could you state your position. A. Mayor, City of Bellevue. Q. Okay. And are you familiar with Bellevue's water rights and use generally? A. I am. Q. Okay. And does the City measure its water diversions? A. Yes, it does.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do with that. Land application, meaning that it goes can you explain what that is? A. We send it to a farmer. And during short water years, he can use that to supplement his water supply. This year it was not needed so it just went into our rapid infiltration basins. Q. So under the water reuse permit, there's two applications: One is traditional irrigation, what looks like traditional irrigation, and one is what is rapid infiltration, which is akin to recharge; correct? A. Correct. Q. And does the City measure the amount of water that it uses for under its reuse permit? A. Yes. We have a flow meter that monitors what goes out onto the land app and another one that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. Have you seat. And state your name and address for the record. THE WITNESS: Chris Johnson. 210 Parkside Drive, Bellevue, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. McHUGH: Q. Hello, Mr. Johnson. Could you state your position. A. Mayor, City of Bellevue. Q. Okay. And are you familiar with Bellevue's water rights and use generally? A. I am. Q. Okay. And does the City measure its water diversions? A. Yes, it does. Q. And what is the source of supply for its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what is authorized for the City of Bellevue to do under that? A. Provide it for land application and then rapid infiltration. Q. Okay. So there's two things that you do with that. Land application, meaning that it goes can you explain what that is? A. We send it to a farmer. And during short water years, he can use that to supplement his water supply. This year it was not needed so it just went into our rapid infiltration basins. Q. So under the water reuse permit, there's two applications: One is traditional irrigation, what looks like traditional irrigation, and one is what is rapid infiltration, which is akin to recharge; correct? A. Correct. Q. And does the City measure the amount of water that it uses for under its reuse permit? A. Yes. We have a flow meter that monitors what goes out onto the land app and another one that would go into the RI basins.

1 residence or -- is that what it's called, "residence"? 1 A. Yes, I have. 2 2 Q. Okay. And in there it says that the -- and A. Correct. We've been trying to do a 3 metering program for several years. We've got about 3 I'm looking at the last sentence. It says, "The City's 4 85 percent of our meters in. And I'm pushing very hard use of its treated municipal wastewater is critical to its operation and will likely only increase in 5 to bring our Caselle, which is the program that runs it and monitors it, and get our system up and running here importance when environmental concerns increase or 7 in the next year. groundwater levels decline." Q. And so with installation of those meters, 8 8 As far as your reuse system, you were 9 talking about the fact that part of your reuse goes to 9 you'll have even more data that you'll be able to 10 collect and report --10 a rapid infiltration system. 11 A. Yes, that is correct. 11 So as far as that sentence goes, what is your understanding of what the City, if they were to 12 Q. -- to understand your water use? 12 13 Yeah. And that would include evaluation of increase their reuse and land application or -- what 13 14 system losses? would -- what would be your anticipation that the City 15 A. Correct. 15 would be doing? 16 Q. That will also inform the City on how much 16 A. If we're going to increase, it depends on 17 water it is using within the City's system and how much the land use opportunities we have. We are limited by 17 18 water it is using for household use? 18 field size. But if it were to increase, it would be 19 A. Correct. 19 going more into the RI basins where it would percolate 20 Q. If the City were to change its wastewater 20 back into the aquifer. 21 treatment method, like to go to a fully consumptive 21 Q. Okay. Because if the City has to offset 22 method or a 100 percent consumptive method, I think you 22 your groundwater pumping, your option might be to use 23 heard a little bit of testimony this morning about 23 some of your wastewater discharge for recharge 24 purposes? 24 that, is that a process that would happen overnight? 25 25 Is that something the City is planning to do? Can you A. Correct. Page 448 Page 450 1 Q. To mitigate for your current groundwater 1 explain about that. pumping? A. We actually have a fairly extensive system. 2 3 3 And it -- we would have to degrade to go into a fully A. Correct. 4 consumptive. So it would make no sense for the City to 4 Q. And in fact, that water would be coming 5 5 from a surface water source, so it actually would be a change. 6 But for an example, we are currently doing benefit to the aquifer; correct? 7 some repairs on it, so we do have a lagoon-based A. Correct. 8 system. But we're still processing it through the RI Q. Has the City of Bellevue ever required 9 limitations on outdoor water use? basin, so nothing is changing in our consumptive use. 10 10 A. Through our ordinances, we have actually Q. Okay. 11 A. So -- but it would take -- it would take 11 several in place. One is limited to 10,000 square feet of per -- per lot that can be irrigated. We do an 12 time and several million dollars to change our system 13 if we were to leave the membrane-based plant. 13 odd-even watering cycle, depending on your address. 14 Q. Okay. Could I have you pull up Exhibit 1. 14 And then we also require it to be hours between 8:00 p.m. and 10:00 a.m. are the allowable 15 I think it might be in one of those binders up there. 15 water irrigation times periods. And beyond that, the 16 And this is the Surface Water Coalition expert report. mayor would have executive privilege to institute 17 I don't think you've seen the full expert report 17 18 further measures if needed. 18 before. But if you could turn to the third to the last 19 Q. Okay. So the City of Bellevue has the 19 page. 20 A. Okay. 20 authority to require residential compliance with 21 restrictions on outdoor water use if you needed it? 21 Q. Does that -- at the very bottom it says 22 "City of Bellevue." A. Yes, we do. 23 23 Do you see that paragraph? Q. And in fact, you've actually implemented a voluntary program in recent -- in the recent past. 24 24 A. Yes, I do. 25 Could you explain that. 25 Q. Have you seen that paragraph before? Page 449 Page 451

1	A. Yes. So what I mentioned earlier are all	1	Q. And also the you measure the land
2	on our ordinances. But in 2000 it was last year, so	2	application component of that
3	when we had the dry season, we did have to further	3	A. Yes.
4	execute where we put the City into a quarter system,	4	Q and the rapid infiltration component of
5	where one quarter could operate their odd day, and in	5	that?
6	the morning the other one would be the odd day at	6	A. Yes, sir.
7	night, and then the next day even in the morning, even	7	Q. Do you report those measurements to anyone?
8	in the night. That reduced the strain on our holding	8	A. As far as our IPDES permit, we are required
9	tank so we were sure we had adequate supply for fire	9	to.
10	mitigation or anything like that.	10	Q. And who do you report to?
11	Q. Okay. And when you had the voluntary	11	A. The Department of Environmental Quality.
12	that was a voluntary request by the residents?	12	Q. Okay. So you don't report those numbers to
13	A. Correct.	13	the Idaho Department of Water Resources?
14	Q. And what was your roughly your	14	A. There's no requirement for me to do so.
15	compliance rate when you implemented just on a	15	Q. Okay. And in the expert report, Exhibit 1
16	voluntary basis?	16	that you just read dealing with the statement
17	A. I would say 85, 90 percent. We always have	17	pertaining to the City of Bellevue, is that statement
18	a few outliers. But most people were in compliance.	18	accurate, an accurate representation of what Bellevue
19	Q. Okay. And if the City of Bellevue causes	19	stated in the Riverside case?
20	injury to other water users or there's a curtailment	20	A. Yes, sir, I believe it is.
1	ordered by the Department of Water Resources, what is	21	
21		22	MR. FLETCHER: I don't have any further
22	your understanding would happen to the City's water	23	questions. Thank you.
	rights?		THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Any other
24	A. As of right now, with our agreement with	24	cross-examination?
25	the Big Wood Groundwater Management Plan, we are Page 452	25	Oh, hold on. After Al. But just making Page 454
1	mitigating so we should not have any curtailments	1	cure you had come
1	mitigating so we should not have any curtailments.	1	sure you had some.
2	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of	2	
2 3	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in	2 3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2 3 4	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan?	2 3 4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER:
2 3 4 5	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan?A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged	2 3 4 5	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already?
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. 	2 3 4 5 6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well	2 3 4 5 6 7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that happened?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District. Just a couple quick questions about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that happened? A. We have full control of our wells, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District. Just a couple quick questions about the why is it that City of Bellevue asked to intervene in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that happened? A. We have full control of our wells, yes. MS. McHUGH: Okay. Nothing further.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District. Just a couple quick questions about the why is it that City of Bellevue asked to intervene in the Riverside lawsuit that involved this consumptive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that happened? A. We have full control of our wells, yes. MS. McHUGH: Okay. Nothing further. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other direct	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District. Just a couple quick questions about the why is it that City of Bellevue asked to intervene in the Riverside lawsuit that involved this consumptive use question? What was your reason?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that happened? A. We have full control of our wells, yes. MS. McHUGH: Okay. Nothing further. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other direct examination? I don't see any.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District. Just a couple quick questions about the why is it that City of Bellevue asked to intervene in the Riverside lawsuit that involved this consumptive use question? What was your reason? A. I believe the Council, it was for our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that happened? A. We have full control of our wells, yes. MS. McHUGH: Okay. Nothing further. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other direct examination? I don't see any. Any cross-examination?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District. Just a couple quick questions about the why is it that City of Bellevue asked to intervene in the Riverside lawsuit that involved this consumptive use question? What was your reason? A. I believe the Council, it was for our future use and future expansion. If we do need another
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that happened? A. We have full control of our wells, yes. MS. McHUGH: Okay. Nothing further. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other direct examination? I don't see any. Any cross-examination? Come up, Mr. Fletcher.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District. Just a couple quick questions about the why is it that City of Bellevue asked to intervene in the Riverside lawsuit that involved this consumptive use question? What was your reason? A. I believe the Council, it was for our future use and future expansion. If we do need another water right in the future, we want to ensure that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that happened? A. We have full control of our wells, yes. MS. McHUGH: Okay. Nothing further. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other direct examination? I don't see any. Any cross-examination? Come up, Mr. Fletcher. MR. FLETCHER: Just briefly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District. Just a couple quick questions about the why is it that City of Bellevue asked to intervene in the Riverside lawsuit that involved this consumptive use question? What was your reason? A. I believe the Council, it was for our future use and future expansion. If we do need another water right in the future, we want to ensure that we have that availability. And we don't feel that it is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that happened? A. We have full control of our wells, yes. MS. McHUGH: Okay. Nothing further. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other direct examination? I don't see any. Any cross-examination? Come up, Mr. Fletcher.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District. Just a couple quick questions about the why is it that City of Bellevue asked to intervene in the Riverside lawsuit that involved this consumptive use question? What was your reason? A. I believe the Council, it was for our future use and future expansion. If we do need another water right in the future, we want to ensure that we have that availability. And we don't feel that it is a municipal use that's 100 percent consumptive.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that happened? A. We have full control of our wells, yes. MS. McHUGH: Okay. Nothing further. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other direct examination? I don't see any. Any cross-examination? Come up, Mr. Fletcher. MR. FLETCHER: Just briefly. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District. Just a couple quick questions about the why is it that City of Bellevue asked to intervene in the Riverside lawsuit that involved this consumptive use question? What was your reason? A. I believe the Council, it was for our future use and future expansion. If we do need another water right in the future, we want to ensure that we have that availability. And we don't feel that it is a municipal use that's 100 percent consumptive. Q. So do you have any applications pending for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that happened? A. We have full control of our wells, yes. MS. McHUGH: Okay. Nothing further. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other direct examination? I don't see any. Any cross-examination? Come up, Mr. Fletcher. MR. FLETCHER: Just briefly. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District. Just a couple quick questions about the why is it that City of Bellevue asked to intervene in the Riverside lawsuit that involved this consumptive use question? What was your reason? A. I believe the Council, it was for our future use and future expansion. If we do need another water right in the future, we want to ensure that we have that availability. And we don't feel that it is a municipal use that's 100 percent consumptive. Q. So do you have any applications pending for any new water rights?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that happened? A. We have full control of our wells, yes. MS. McHUGH: Okay. Nothing further. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other direct examination? I don't see any. Any cross-examination? Come up, Mr. Fletcher. MR. FLETCHER: Just briefly. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FLETCHER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District. Just a couple quick questions about the why is it that City of Bellevue asked to intervene in the Riverside lawsuit that involved this consumptive use question? What was your reason? A. I believe the Council, it was for our future use and future expansion. If we do need another water right in the future, we want to ensure that we have that availability. And we don't feel that it is a municipal use that's 100 percent consumptive. Q. So do you have any applications pending for any new water rights? A. No, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that happened? A. We have full control of our wells, yes. MS. McHUGH: Okay. Nothing further. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other direct examination? I don't see any. Any cross-examination? Come up, Mr. Fletcher. MR. FLETCHER: Just briefly. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FLETCHER: Q. Mr. Johnson, I'm Kent Fletcher.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District. Just a couple quick questions about the why is it that City of Bellevue asked to intervene in the Riverside lawsuit that involved this consumptive use question? What was your reason? A. I believe the Council, it was for our future use and future expansion. If we do need another water right in the future, we want to ensure that we have that availability. And we don't feel that it is a municipal use that's 100 percent consumptive. Q. So do you have any applications pending for any new water rights? A. No, sir. Q. Do you have any plans to apply for new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that happened? A. We have full control of our wells, yes. MS. McHUGH: Okay. Nothing further. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other direct examination? I don't see any. Any cross-examination? Come up, Mr. Fletcher. MR. FLETCHER: Just briefly. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FLETCHER: Q. Mr. Johnson, I'm Kent Fletcher. You mentioned that you measure discharge	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District. Just a couple quick questions about the why is it that City of Bellevue asked to intervene in the Riverside lawsuit that involved this consumptive use question? What was your reason? A. I believe the Council, it was for our future use and future expansion. If we do need another water right in the future, we want to ensure that we have that availability. And we don't feel that it is a municipal use that's 100 percent consumptive. Q. So do you have any applications pending for any new water rights? A. No, sir. Q. Do you have any plans to apply for new water rights?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that happened? A. We have full control of our wells, yes. MS. McHUGH: Okay. Nothing further. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other direct examination? I don't see any. Any cross-examination? Come up, Mr. Fletcher. MR. FLETCHER: Just briefly. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FLETCHER: Q. Mr. Johnson, I'm Kent Fletcher. You mentioned that you measure discharge from your sewer treatment plant and water going into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District. Just a couple quick questions about the why is it that City of Bellevue asked to intervene in the Riverside lawsuit that involved this consumptive use question? What was your reason? A. I believe the Council, it was for our future use and future expansion. If we do need another water right in the future, we want to ensure that we have that availability. And we don't feel that it is a municipal use that's 100 percent consumptive. Q. So do you have any applications pending for any new water rights? A. No, sir. Q. Do you have any plans to apply for new water rights? A. Potentially.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that happened? A. We have full control of our wells, yes. MS. McHUGH: Okay. Nothing further. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other direct examination? I don't see any. Any cross-examination? Come up, Mr. Fletcher. MR. FLETCHER: Just briefly. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FLETCHER: Q. Mr. Johnson, I'm Kent Fletcher. You mentioned that you measure discharge from your sewer treatment plant and water going into the plant; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District. Just a couple quick questions about the why is it that City of Bellevue asked to intervene in the Riverside lawsuit that involved this consumptive use question? What was your reason? A. I believe the Council, it was for our future use and future expansion. If we do need another water right in the future, we want to ensure that we have that availability. And we don't feel that it is a municipal use that's 100 percent consumptive. Q. So do you have any applications pending for any new water rights? A. No, sir. Q. Do you have any plans to apply for new water rights? A. Potentially. Q. What are those plans?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of what would happen if you violated your requirements in your mitigation plan? A. Our groundwater rights could be challenged and curtailed. Q. Would the City be able to shut off its well and comply with any orders from the Department if that happened? A. We have full control of our wells, yes. MS. McHUGH: Okay. Nothing further. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other direct examination? I don't see any. Any cross-examination? Come up, Mr. Fletcher. MR. FLETCHER: Just briefly. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FLETCHER: Q. Mr. Johnson, I'm Kent Fletcher. You mentioned that you measure discharge from your sewer treatment plant and water going into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARKER: Q. Good afternoon is it afternoon already? A. It is now. Q Mr. Johnson. Albert Barker for the South Valley Ground Water District. Just a couple quick questions about the why is it that City of Bellevue asked to intervene in the Riverside lawsuit that involved this consumptive use question? What was your reason? A. I believe the Council, it was for our future use and future expansion. If we do need another water right in the future, we want to ensure that we have that availability. And we don't feel that it is a municipal use that's 100 percent consumptive. Q. So do you have any applications pending for any new water rights? A. No, sir. Q. Do you have any plans to apply for new water rights? A. Potentially.

		Т	
1	Probably will see the applications within the next	1	A. So environmental
2	year. And then those processes, so, you know, five,	2	Q that you're discussing?
3	ten years out.	3	A concerns would be, you know, the
4	Q. So those new annexations are land that are	4	degradation of the aquifer, so having that recharge
5	already irrigated?	5	opportunity, overall drier, arid land, which could be
6	A. Yes, sir.	6	fire risk. So if we can mitigate that.
7	Q. Right. So you would require those water	7	Q. So are you concerned about degrading the
8	users or the landowners to bring that water to the	8	aquifer by your rapid infiltration basin discharges?
9	City?	9	A. No. I want to improve the aquifer with my
10	A. There will be a discussion on how best to	10	rapid infiltration basins.
11	use those and appropriate those water rights, correct.	11	Q. What about the constituents in your
12	Q. Aren't you required under law to take that	12	discharge, can you contaminate the aquifer through
13	water and apply it to the land?	13	that?
14	MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusions.	14	A. No, sir. By the time we do our treatment
15	Q. (BY MR. BARKER): Do you know if you're	15	and disinfection, our IPDES permit, we are monitoring
16	required?	16	nitrate and ammonia levels to ensure that we're in
17	A. I do not know 100 percent. I believe that	17	compliance.
18	there is a point in the annexation negotiations that	18	Q. And you are a class A discharge?
19	can be used to discuss what the full use of the water	19	A. Actually, I believe we are still a class B.
20	rights go to.	20	MR. BARKER: No further questions, Mr. Director.
21	Q. Does the City have any rules, guidance,	21	Thank you.
22	ordinances that require people when you annex land into	22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Come on up.
23	the City to bring the water with the land?	23	///
24	A. I'm not 100 percent expert on our	24	///
25	annexations. I don't have an answer for you, sir.	25	///
	Page 456		Page 458
1	Q. So you'd have to ask.	1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
1 2	Q. So you'd have to ask. Who would you have to ask?	1 2	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BAXTER:
2	Who would you have to ask?	2	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon,
2 3	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my	2 3	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon,
2 3 4	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning.	2 3 4	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson.
2 3 4 5	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law	2 3 4 5	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon.
2 3 4 5 6	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not?	2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not? MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusion.	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the attorneys here for the Department of Water Resources.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not? MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusion. THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the attorneys here for the Department of Water Resources. And I just want to I have a few questions about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not? MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusion. THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): Okay. And so in your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the attorneys here for the Department of Water Resources. And I just want to I have a few questions about domestic use there in the city of Bellevue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not? MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusion. THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): Okay. And so in your statement to in the City of Riverside case you say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the attorneys here for the Department of Water Resources. And I just want to I have a few questions about domestic use there in the city of Bellevue. Ms. McHugh asked you certain questions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not? MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusion. THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): Okay. And so in your statement to in the City of Riverside case you say that "The City's use of municipal wastewater of its treated municipal wastewater is critical to its operations, will likely only increase in importance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the attorneys here for the Department of Water Resources. And I just want to I have a few questions about domestic use there in the city of Bellevue. Ms. McHugh asked you certain questions about curtailments of water rights.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not? MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusion. THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): Okay. And so in your statement to in the City of Riverside case you say that "The City's use of municipal wastewater of its treated municipal wastewater is critical to its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the attorneys here for the Department of Water Resources. And I just want to I have a few questions about domestic use there in the city of Bellevue. Ms. McHugh asked you certain questions about curtailments of water rights. And I believe your testimony was that if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not? MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusion. THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): Okay. And so in your statement to in the City of Riverside case you say that "The City's use of municipal wastewater of its treated municipal wastewater is critical to its operations, will likely only increase in importance when environmental concerns increase." So what do you mean about environmental	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the attorneys here for the Department of Water Resources. And I just want to I have a few questions about domestic use there in the city of Bellevue. Ms. McHugh asked you certain questions about curtailments of water rights. And I believe your testimony was that if necessary Bellevue could curtail their water rights; is that correct? A. To meet our daily demand, we could have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not? MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusion. THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): Okay. And so in your statement to in the City of Riverside case you say that "The City's use of municipal wastewater of its treated municipal wastewater is critical to its operations, will likely only increase in importance when environmental concerns increase." So what do you mean about environmental concerns increasing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the attorneys here for the Department of Water Resources. And I just want to I have a few questions about domestic use there in the city of Bellevue. Ms. McHugh asked you certain questions about curtailments of water rights. And I believe your testimony was that if necessary Bellevue could curtail their water rights; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not? MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusion. THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): Okay. And so in your statement to in the City of Riverside case you say that "The City's use of municipal wastewater of its treated municipal wastewater is critical to its operations, will likely only increase in importance when environmental concerns increase." So what do you mean about environmental concerns increasing? A. If we have a if we have effluent that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the attorneys here for the Department of Water Resources. And I just want to I have a few questions about domestic use there in the city of Bellevue. Ms. McHugh asked you certain questions about curtailments of water rights. And I believe your testimony was that if necessary Bellevue could curtail their water rights; is that correct? A. To meet our daily demand, we could have some curtailment, yes. Q. Some curtailment. What would that look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not? MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusion. THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): Okay. And so in your statement to in the City of Riverside case you say that "The City's use of municipal wastewater of its treated municipal wastewater is critical to its operations, will likely only increase in importance when environmental concerns increase." So what do you mean about environmental concerns increasing? A. If we have a if we have effluent that can be used to promote and improve the current water	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the attorneys here for the Department of Water Resources. And I just want to I have a few questions about domestic use there in the city of Bellevue. Ms. McHugh asked you certain questions about curtailments of water rights. And I believe your testimony was that if necessary Bellevue could curtail their water rights; is that correct? A. To meet our daily demand, we could have some curtailment, yes. Q. Some curtailment. What would that look like?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not? MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusion. THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): Okay. And so in your statement to in the City of Riverside case you say that "The City's use of municipal wastewater of its treated municipal wastewater is critical to its operations, will likely only increase in importance when environmental concerns increase." So what do you mean about environmental concerns increasing? A. If we have a if we have effluent that can be used to promote and improve the current water situation and we're putting it into an RI basin or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the attorneys here for the Department of Water Resources. And I just want to I have a few questions about domestic use there in the city of Bellevue. Ms. McHugh asked you certain questions about curtailments of water rights. And I believe your testimony was that if necessary Bellevue could curtail their water rights; is that correct? A. To meet our daily demand, we could have some curtailment, yes. Q. Some curtailment. What would that look like? A. I am not 100 percent sure. I'd have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not? MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusion. THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): Okay. And so in your statement to in the City of Riverside case you say that "The City's use of municipal wastewater of its treated municipal wastewater is critical to its operations, will likely only increase in importance when environmental concerns increase." So what do you mean about environmental concerns increasing? A. If we have a if we have effluent that can be used to promote and improve the current water situation and we're putting it into an RI basin or allowing that to be land app, it provides recharge and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the attorneys here for the Department of Water Resources. And I just want to I have a few questions about domestic use there in the city of Bellevue. Ms. McHugh asked you certain questions about curtailments of water rights. And I believe your testimony was that if necessary Bellevue could curtail their water rights; is that correct? A. To meet our daily demand, we could have some curtailment, yes. Q. Some curtailment. What would that look like? A. I am not 100 percent sure. I'd have to look at our maximum daily demand, and it would depend
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not? MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusion. THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): Okay. And so in your statement to in the City of Riverside case you say that "The City's use of municipal wastewater of its treated municipal wastewater is critical to its operations, will likely only increase in importance when environmental concerns increase." So what do you mean about environmental concerns increasing? A. If we have a if we have effluent that can be used to promote and improve the current water situation and we're putting it into an RI basin or allowing that to be land app, it provides recharge and opportunities for other users.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the attorneys here for the Department of Water Resources. And I just want to I have a few questions about domestic use there in the city of Bellevue. Ms. McHugh asked you certain questions about curtailments of water rights. And I believe your testimony was that if necessary Bellevue could curtail their water rights; is that correct? A. To meet our daily demand, we could have some curtailment, yes. Q. Some curtailment. What would that look like? A. I am not 100 percent sure. I'd have to look at our maximum daily demand, and it would depend on late season and our flows at the spring.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not? MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusion. THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): Okay. And so in your statement to in the City of Riverside case you say that "The City's use of municipal wastewater of its treated municipal wastewater is critical to its operations, will likely only increase in importance when environmental concerns increase." So what do you mean about environmental concerns increasing? A. If we have a if we have effluent that can be used to promote and improve the current water situation and we're putting it into an RI basin or allowing that to be land app, it provides recharge and opportunities for other users. Q. But you also say ask about groundwater	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the attorneys here for the Department of Water Resources. And I just want to I have a few questions about domestic use there in the city of Bellevue. Ms. McHugh asked you certain questions about curtailments of water rights. And I believe your testimony was that if necessary Bellevue could curtail their water rights; is that correct? A. To meet our daily demand, we could have some curtailment, yes. Q. Some curtailment. What would that look like? A. I am not 100 percent sure. I'd have to look at our maximum daily demand, and it would depend on late season and our flows at the spring. Q. So there's some question as to whether or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not? MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusion. THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): Okay. And so in your statement to in the City of Riverside case you say that "The City's use of municipal wastewater of its treated municipal wastewater is critical to its operations, will likely only increase in importance when environmental concerns increase." So what do you mean about environmental concerns increasing? A. If we have a if we have effluent that can be used to promote and improve the current water situation and we're putting it into an RI basin or allowing that to be land app, it provides recharge and opportunities for other users. Q. But you also say ask about groundwater levels decline.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the attorneys here for the Department of Water Resources. And I just want to I have a few questions about domestic use there in the city of Bellevue. Ms. McHugh asked you certain questions about curtailments of water rights. And I believe your testimony was that if necessary Bellevue could curtail their water rights; is that correct? A. To meet our daily demand, we could have some curtailment, yes. Q. Some curtailment. What would that look like? A. I am not 100 percent sure. I'd have to look at our maximum daily demand, and it would depend on late season and our flows at the spring. Q. So there's some question as to whether or not the City of Bellevue could curtail their water
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not? MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusion. THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): Okay. And so in your statement to in the City of Riverside case you say that "The City's use of municipal wastewater of its treated municipal wastewater is critical to its operations, will likely only increase in importance when environmental concerns increase." So what do you mean about environmental concerns increasing? A. If we have a if we have effluent that can be used to promote and improve the current water situation and we're putting it into an RI basin or allowing that to be land app, it provides recharge and opportunities for other users. Q. But you also say ask about groundwater	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the attorneys here for the Department of Water Resources. And I just want to I have a few questions about domestic use there in the city of Bellevue. Ms. McHugh asked you certain questions about curtailments of water rights. And I believe your testimony was that if necessary Bellevue could curtail their water rights; is that correct? A. To meet our daily demand, we could have some curtailment, yes. Q. Some curtailment. What would that look like? A. I am not 100 percent sure. I'd have to look at our maximum daily demand, and it would depend on late season and our flows at the spring. Q. So there's some question as to whether or not the City of Bellevue could curtail their water rights?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Who would you have to ask? A. I would ask my planning and zoning or my engineering counsel that assist my planning and zoning. Q. And you're certain whether or not the law requires you to do that or not? MS. McHUGH: Objection. Legal conclusion. THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): Okay. And so in your statement to in the City of Riverside case you say that "The City's use of municipal wastewater of its treated municipal wastewater is critical to its operations, will likely only increase in importance when environmental concerns increase." So what do you mean about environmental concerns increasing? A. If we have a if we have effluent that can be used to promote and improve the current water situation and we're putting it into an RI basin or allowing that to be land app, it provides recharge and opportunities for other users. Q. But you also say ask about groundwater levels decline.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. BAXTER: Q. It is afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. A. Good afternoon. Q. I'm Garrick Baxter. I'm one of the attorneys here for the Department of Water Resources. And I just want to I have a few questions about domestic use there in the city of Bellevue. Ms. McHugh asked you certain questions about curtailments of water rights. And I believe your testimony was that if necessary Bellevue could curtail their water rights; is that correct? A. To meet our daily demand, we could have some curtailment, yes. Q. Some curtailment. What would that look like? A. I am not 100 percent sure. I'd have to look at our maximum daily demand, and it would depend on late season and our flows at the spring. Q. So there's some question as to whether or not the City of Bellevue could curtail their water

1	Q. Okay. Are you aware of IDWR's policy that	1	like shut off its groundwater well if that was
2	they do not require curtailment of in-house culinary	2	determined to be causing injury to junior users, that
3	uses in a delivery call proceeding?	3	you couldn't physically shut off your well? Or what
4	A. Yes, sir.	4	did you understand that question to mean?
5	Q. Okay. Plumbing systems set up at homes	5	A. If I'm the question I'm yes, we can
6	within the community of Bellevue to ensure that outside	6	control the point of diversion at the wells. We can
7	domestic use could be curtailed while still allowing	7	turn our wells on and off whenever we need to. That's
8	in-house culinary domestic use?	8	not a problem.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Was that a question?	9	I was thinking the question was more of
10	MR. BAXTER: Yes.	10	he said culinary use and then outside domestic use. So
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Can you restate it.	11	we understand that it won't be curtailed in the home,
12	Q. (BY MR. BAXTER): Are plumbing systems in	12	but outside use we could control through resolution.
13	the homes in the City of Bellevue set up to ensure that	13	Q. Okay. And then your spring source if I
14	outside domestic use could be curtailed?	14	understand it right, your spring source is a fairly
15	A. I would say no. They're all plumbed	15	senior water right; correct?
16	into they come from a meter box into the homeowner,	16	A. Correct.
17	or they may have a diversion and a check valve to	17	Q. And so you haven't been subject to yearly
18	prevent backflow from their irrigation systems. But	18	or seasonal curtailment of your spring right because
19	they still could do from their home systems irrigate	19	it's never it's not really been out of priority
20	with hose and sprinkler.	20	A. Correct.
21	MR. BAXTER: No further questions. Thank you,	21	Q or causing injury; right?
22	sir.	22	A. Correct.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Redirect?	23	Q. Okay. But you have reduced the amount of
24	Come on up, Ms. McHugh.	24	pumping you're pumping out of your groundwater when you
25		25	need to, either with regards to voluntary conservation
	Page 460		Page 462
1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	1	of groundwater pumping or this voluntary or necessary
1 2	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. McHUGH:	1 2	of groundwater pumping or this voluntary or necessary curtailment or I should call it restriction of
2	BY MS. McHUGH:	2	curtailment or I should call it restriction of
2 3	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions.	2 3	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use?
2 3 4	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last	2 3 4	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system
2 3 4 5	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question.	2 3 4 5	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best
2 3 4 5 6	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not	2 3 4 5 6	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not the City could enforce water restrictions to individual	2 3 4 5 6 7	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper for us. And they primarily maintain our fire
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not the City could enforce water restrictions to individual residents so that they didn't irrigate outside their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper for us. And they primarily maintain our fire prevention and mitigation opportunities through the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not the City could enforce water restrictions to individual residents so that they didn't irrigate outside their home.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper for us. And they primarily maintain our fire prevention and mitigation opportunities through the summer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not the City could enforce water restrictions to individual residents so that they didn't irrigate outside their home. A. Yes, we could enforce it. And we could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper for us. And they primarily maintain our fire prevention and mitigation opportunities through the summer. MS. McHUGH: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not the City could enforce water restrictions to individual residents so that they didn't irrigate outside their home. A. Yes, we could enforce it. And we could it would be a civil or misdemeanor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper for us. And they primarily maintain our fire prevention and mitigation opportunities through the summer. MS. McHUGH: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not the City could enforce water restrictions to individual residents so that they didn't irrigate outside their home. A. Yes, we could enforce it. And we could it would be a civil or misdemeanor. Q. And you have an ordinance in place that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper for us. And they primarily maintain our fire prevention and mitigation opportunities through the summer. MS. McHUGH: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Any other redirect? I don't see any.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not the City could enforce water restrictions to individual residents so that they didn't irrigate outside their home. A. Yes, we could enforce it. And we could it would be a civil or misdemeanor. Q. And you have an ordinance in place that does that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper for us. And they primarily maintain our fire prevention and mitigation opportunities through the summer. MS. McHUGH: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Any other redirect? I don't see any. Any recross?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not the City could enforce water restrictions to individual residents so that they didn't irrigate outside their home. A. Yes, we could enforce it. And we could it would be a civil or misdemeanor. Q. And you have an ordinance in place that does that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper for us. And they primarily maintain our fire prevention and mitigation opportunities through the summer. MS. McHUGH: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Any other redirect? I don't see any. Any recross? MR. FLETCHER: I don't have anything.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not the City could enforce water restrictions to individual residents so that they didn't irrigate outside their home. A. Yes, we could enforce it. And we could it would be a civil or misdemeanor. Q. And you have an ordinance in place that does that? A. Yes. Q. And in fact, you have a rotation system	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper for us. And they primarily maintain our fire prevention and mitigation opportunities through the summer. MS. McHUGH: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Any other redirect? I don't see any. Any recross? MR. FLETCHER: I don't have anything. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not the City could enforce water restrictions to individual residents so that they didn't irrigate outside their home. A. Yes, we could enforce it. And we could it would be a civil or misdemeanor. Q. And you have an ordinance in place that does that? A. Yes. Q. And in fact, you have a rotation system right now where people restrict their outside water	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper for us. And they primarily maintain our fire prevention and mitigation opportunities through the summer. MS. McHUGH: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Any other redirect? I don't see any. Any recross? MR. FLETCHER: I don't have anything. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you, Mayor. It sounds like you have a good understanding of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not the City could enforce water restrictions to individual residents so that they didn't irrigate outside their home. A. Yes, we could enforce it. And we could it would be a civil or misdemeanor. Q. And you have an ordinance in place that does that? A. Yes. Q. And in fact, you have a rotation system right now where people restrict their outside water use?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper for us. And they primarily maintain our fire prevention and mitigation opportunities through the summer. MS. McHUGH: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Any other redirect? I don't see any. Any recross? MR. FLETCHER: I don't have anything. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you, Mayor. It sounds like you have a good understanding of your public works.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not the City could enforce water restrictions to individual residents so that they didn't irrigate outside their home. A. Yes, we could enforce it. And we could it would be a civil or misdemeanor. Q. And you have an ordinance in place that does that? A. Yes. Q. And in fact, you have a rotation system right now where people restrict their outside water use? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper for us. And they primarily maintain our fire prevention and mitigation opportunities through the summer. MS. McHUGH: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Any other redirect? I don't see any. Any recross? MR. FLETCHER: I don't have anything. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you, Mayor. It sounds like you have a good understanding of your public works. THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not the City could enforce water restrictions to individual residents so that they didn't irrigate outside their home. A. Yes, we could enforce it. And we could it would be a civil or misdemeanor. Q. And you have an ordinance in place that does that? A. Yes. Q. And in fact, you have a rotation system right now where people restrict their outside water use? A. Correct. Q. So it has nothing to do with the plumbing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper for us. And they primarily maintain our fire prevention and mitigation opportunities through the summer. MS. McHUGH: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Any other redirect? I don't see any. Any recross? MR. FLETCHER: I don't have anything. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you, Mayor. It sounds like you have a good understanding of your public works. THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Now we're yeah, go ahead, Mr. Bromley.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not the City could enforce water restrictions to individual residents so that they didn't irrigate outside their home. A. Yes, we could enforce it. And we could it would be a civil or misdemeanor. Q. And you have an ordinance in place that does that? A. Yes. Q. And in fact, you have a rotation system right now where people restrict their outside water use? A. Correct. Q. So it has nothing to do with the plumbing in their home? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper for us. And they primarily maintain our fire prevention and mitigation opportunities through the summer. MS. McHUGH: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Any other redirect? I don't see any. Any recross? MR. FLETCHER: I don't have anything. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you, Mayor. It sounds like you have a good understanding of your public works. THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Now we're yeah, go ahead, Mr. Bromley. MR. BROMLEY: Well, I was just going to ask for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not the City could enforce water restrictions to individual residents so that they didn't irrigate outside their home. A. Yes, we could enforce it. And we could it would be a civil or misdemeanor. Q. And you have an ordinance in place that does that? A. Yes. Q. And in fact, you have a rotation system right now where people restrict their outside water use? A. Correct. Q. So it has nothing to do with the plumbing in their home? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And then the second piece of it, did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper for us. And they primarily maintain our fire prevention and mitigation opportunities through the summer. MS. McHUGH: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Any other redirect? I don't see any. Any recross? MR. FLETCHER: I don't have anything. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you, Mayor. It sounds like you have a good understanding of your public works. THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Now we'reyeah, go ahead, Mr. Bromley. MR. BROMLEY: Well, I was just going to ask for a housekeeping clarification when you're all finished.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not the City could enforce water restrictions to individual residents so that they didn't irrigate outside their home. A. Yes, we could enforce it. And we could it would be a civil or misdemeanor. Q. And you have an ordinance in place that does that? A. Yes. Q. And in fact, you have a rotation system right now where people restrict their outside water use? A. Correct. Q. So it has nothing to do with the plumbing in their home? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And then the second piece of it, did you understand Mr. Baxter when he was asking you about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper for us. And they primarily maintain our fire prevention and mitigation opportunities through the summer. MS. McHUGH: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Any other redirect? I don't see any. Any recross? MR. FLETCHER: I don't have anything. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you, Mayor. It sounds like you have a good understanding of your public works. THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Now we're yeah, go ahead, Mr. Bromley. MR. BROMLEY: Well, I was just going to ask for a housekeeping clarification when you're all finished. THE HEARING OFFICER: I was just going to say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MS. McHUGH: Q. I wanted to clarify a couple questions. First about following up on Mr. Baxter's last question. What he was talking about is whether or not the City could enforce water restrictions to individual residents so that they didn't irrigate outside their home. A. Yes, we could enforce it. And we could it would be a civil or misdemeanor. Q. And you have an ordinance in place that does that? A. Yes. Q. And in fact, you have a rotation system right now where people restrict their outside water use? A. Correct. Q. So it has nothing to do with the plumbing in their home? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And then the second piece of it, did you understand Mr. Baxter when he was asking you about curtailment of your water rights, did you understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	curtailment or I should call it restriction of outside water use? A. Correct. The spring's our primary system and that's a gravity fed. So it's in the City's best interest to keep the wells turned off. It's cheaper for us. And they primarily maintain our fire prevention and mitigation opportunities through the summer. MS. McHUGH: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Any other redirect? I don't see any. Any recross? MR. FLETCHER: I don't have anything. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you, Mayor. It sounds like you have a good understanding of your public works. THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Now we'reyeah, go ahead, Mr. Bromley. MR. BROMLEY: Well, I was just going to ask for a housekeeping clarification when you're all finished.

1 1 Jeff? Okay. So on to housekeeping. 2 MR. BROMLEY: Just, yeah, quickly onto 2 THE COURT REPORTER: Yeah. 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Go ahead, 3 housekeeping. 4 I think you said at the beginning that you 4 Mr. Bromley. would take official notice of the records of the 5 5 MR. BROMLEY: I wasn't trying to cut you off, Department; is that correct? Al. I just thought you were done. 7 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: I believe what I said is So when I did a search in the Department's 8 through the course of the hearing if I thought of 8 database, what I pulled up were -- there are five of anything that I wanted to take official notice of I them. 22-13886 in the name of Sugar City, 25-14193 in 10 would let all of the parties know by the end of the the name of the City of Iona, 27-12091 in the name of 11 hearing what those would be. City of Blackfoot, 29-8236 in the name of City of 12 MR. BROMLEY: Okay. 12 American Falls. We heard Mr. Cefalo talk about that THE HEARING OFFICER: And if I didn't say that, one. And 36-16592 in the City of Twin Falls, name City 13 14 that's my position now. 14 of Twin Falls. 15 Those are just the ones that I pulled up MR. BROMLEY: So I think it would be helpful if 15 16 you would take official notice of the pending 16 when I did a search for from 2000 until present, so 17 application, municipal applications within the over the last 23 years. 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: So I'm going to repeat 18 moratorium area, because we've heard a lot about 18 19 burdens on the Department. those numbers, and you tell me if I wrote them down 19 20 When I look in the Department's database, correctly. 22-13886, 25-14193, 27-12091, 29-8236, and 21 what I'm seeing is that there are five pending 2.1 then 36-16592. 22 applications within the moratorium area. So I'd just 22 MR. BROMLEY: That's correct. 23 ask you to take official notice of the pending 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, I'll commit to you applications within the moratorium areas. 24 to take a look at those water rights records and review 25 THE HEARING OFFICER: That's all pending 25 them and respond to this by the end of the hearing on Page 466 Page 464 1 applications, or just municipal pending applications? 1 issue one. MR. BROMLEY: That's just municipal. 2. MR. BROMLEY: Thank you. 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Any discussion? 4 MR. BROMLEY: Just municipal to the municipal 4 Yeah. Go ahead, Mr. Fletcher. 5 question. 5 MR. FLETCHER: I just had a question. On the 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: And that's within both 6 first one you read, the 13886, which city was that? 7 moratorium boundaries? 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Sugar City, I believe. 8 MR. BROMLEY: Correct. 8 MR. BROMLEY: Sugar City, yes. 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll take that under 9 MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. 10 consideration. 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: So when we do come back 11 MR. BROMLEY: Okay. 11 from break, are we then going to follow the order of 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other discussion on 12 lay witnesses that was submitted through that e-mail 13 that topic? 13 thread, which would be Levi Adams with the City of 14 Yeah. 14 Pocatello. That's my notes. Yeah. 15 MR. BARKER: It would be appropriate for 15 MR. BRICKER: I believe so. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And then so the 16 Mr. Bromley to identify what those are, rather than 16 17 just arm waiving and saying "I want you to take notice 17 list of lay witnesses I have, and I'll just work 18 of all pending applications." We need to know what the through this. It's not very long. I'd like to confirm 19 water right numbers are, application numbers are. 19 that's all of them. But Mr. Levi Adams with Pocatello. 20 MR. BROMLEY: So --20 Ms. Cathy Cooper with Veolia. Boise, there has not 21 MR. BARKER: So if we could have that 2.1 been anyone identified at this time. 22 information, it would be a lot easier for us to respond 22 Do we anticipate, Mr. Lawrence, that anyone 23 to that request. 23 from the City of Boise will be here? 24 MR. BROMLEY: When --24 MR. LAWRENCE: We will not be calling anyone 25 THE HEARING OFFICER: Did you hear all of that, 25 from the City of Boise or from Veolia. So Ms. Cooper Page 467 Page 465

		_	
1	will not testify.	1	LEVI ADAMS,
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Mr. Brian Yeager	2	having been called as a witness by the municipal
3	with City of Hailey?	3	providers and duly sworn, testified remotely as
4	MR. LAWRENCE: Yes.	4	follows:
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: We've heard from	5	
6	Mr. Johnson.	6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Can you please
7	Mr. Chris Fredericksen with City of Idaho	7	state your full name and address for the record.
8	Falls?	8	THE WITNESS: Levi Adams. My work address is
9	MR. HARRIS: Yes, he will testify at this	9	10733 North Rio Vista Road in Pocatello, Idaho.
10	hearing.	10	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Are you ready,
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Ivan McCracken, Cit	y11	Mr. Adams?
12	of Heyburn?	12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	MR. BROMLEY: He will not be testifying.	13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And I think you	14	
15	already said; is that right?	15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	MR. BROMLEY: I did. That's correct.	16	BY MR. BRICKER:
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Devin Mackey with	17	Q. All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Adams.
18	Wellsprings.	18	Can you please tell us where you currently
19	MR. BROMLEY: Will also not be testifying.	19	work.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. That's everyone or	20	A. I currently work for the City of Pocatello
21	my list.	21	wastewater treatment plant.
22	Am I missing anyone?	22	Q. And what is your position there?
23	All right. So we'll pick up with those lay	23	A. I am the superintendent of the treatment
24	witnesses this afternoon. Let's try to be back here at	24	plant.
25	1:30, let's say.	25	Q. How long have you worked for the City of
	Page 468		Page 470
1	MD FLETCHED D.C. 1		T
1	MR. FLETCHER: Before we leave.	1	Pocatello?
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Pocatello? A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.	2	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for
2 3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done	2 3	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years.
2 3 4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there?	2 3 4	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years.Q. And how long have you worked with
2 3 4 5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues.	2 3 4 5	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater?
2 3 4 5 6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues.	2 3 4 5 6	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years.
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues. We're starting with Greg Sullivan on the second issue.	2 3 4 5 6	 A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years. Q. Can you describe your education and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues. We're starting with Greg Sullivan on the second issue. MR. FLETCHER: So is everybody on board with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years. Q. Can you describe your education and training for your position.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues. We're starting with Greg Sullivan on the second issue. MR. FLETCHER: So is everybody on board with that, then?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years. Q. Can you describe your education and training for your position. A. I have a high school diploma and some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues. We're starting with Greg Sullivan on the second issue. MR. FLETCHER: So is everybody on board with that, then? MR. BARKER: With what? Starting with Sullivan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years. Q. Can you describe your education and training for your position. A. I have a high school diploma and some college credits, focusing mainly on engineering, and then the 22 years of experience, along with all of the continuing education that goes along with that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues. We're starting with Greg Sullivan on the second issue. MR. FLETCHER: So is everybody on board with that, then? MR. BARKER: With what? Starting with Sullivan this afternoon?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years. Q. Can you describe your education and training for your position. A. I have a high school diploma and some college credits, focusing mainly on engineering, and then the 22 years of experience, along with all of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues. We're starting with Greg Sullivan on the second issue. MR. FLETCHER: So is everybody on board with that, then? MR. BARKER: With what? Starting with Sullivan this afternoon? THE WITNESS: I think we can go off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years. Q. Can you describe your education and training for your position. A. I have a high school diploma and some college credits, focusing mainly on engineering, and then the 22 years of experience, along with all of the continuing education that goes along with that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues. We're starting with Greg Sullivan on the second issue. MR. FLETCHER: So is everybody on board with that, then? MR. BARKER: With what? Starting with Sullivan this afternoon? THE WITNESS: I think we can go off the record. (Lunch recess.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years. Q. Can you describe your education and training for your position. A. I have a high school diploma and some college credits, focusing mainly on engineering, and then the 22 years of experience, along with all of the continuing education that goes along with that. Q. So do you have any credentials or licenses?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues. We're starting with Greg Sullivan on the second issue. MR. FLETCHER: So is everybody on board with that, then? MR. BARKER: With what? Starting with Sullivan this afternoon? THE WITNESS: I think we can go off the record. (Lunch recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Good afternoon. It is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years. Q. Can you describe your education and training for your position. A. I have a high school diploma and some college credits, focusing mainly on engineering, and then the 22 years of experience, along with all of the continuing education that goes along with that. Q. So do you have any credentials or licenses? A. I do. I have a class four wastewater
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues. We're starting with Greg Sullivan on the second issue. MR. FLETCHER: So is everybody on board with that, then? MR. BARKER: With what? Starting with Sullivan this afternoon? THE WITNESS: I think we can go off the record. (Lunch recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Good afternoon. It is 1:33 p.m. on October 17th. We're going to continue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years. Q. Can you describe your education and training for your position. A. I have a high school diploma and some college credits, focusing mainly on engineering, and then the 22 years of experience, along with all of the continuing education that goes along with that. Q. So do you have any credentials or licenses? A. I do. I have a class four wastewater treatment license from the State of Idaho.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues. We're starting with Greg Sullivan on the second issue. MR. FLETCHER: So is everybody on board with that, then? MR. BARKER: With what? Starting with Sullivan this afternoon? THE WITNESS: I think we can go off the record. (Lunch recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Good afternoon. It is 1:33 p.m. on October 17th. We're going to continue with examination of our lay witnesses.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years. Q. Can you describe your education and training for your position. A. I have a high school diploma and some college credits, focusing mainly on engineering, and then the 22 years of experience, along with all of the continuing education that goes along with that. Q. So do you have any credentials or licenses? A. I do. I have a class four wastewater treatment license from the State of Idaho. Q. How long have you been in your current
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues. We're starting with Greg Sullivan on the second issue. MR. FLETCHER: So is everybody on board with that, then? MR. BARKER: With what? Starting with Sullivan this afternoon? THE WITNESS: I think we can go off the record. (Lunch recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Good afternoon. It is 1:33 p.m. on October 17th. We're going to continue with examination of our lay witnesses. Mr. Levi Adams, thanks for joining us in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years. Q. Can you describe your education and training for your position. A. I have a high school diploma and some college credits, focusing mainly on engineering, and then the 22 years of experience, along with all of the continuing education that goes along with that. Q. So do you have any credentials or licenses? A. I do. I have a class four wastewater treatment license from the State of Idaho. Q. How long have you been in your current position?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues. We're starting with Greg Sullivan on the second issue. MR. FLETCHER: So is everybody on board with that, then? MR. BARKER: With what? Starting with Sullivan this afternoon? THE WITNESS: I think we can go off the record. (Lunch recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Good afternoon. It is 1:33 p.m. on October 17th. We're going to continue with examination of our lay witnesses. Mr. Levi Adams, thanks for joining us in this hearing. Can you hear me okay? I'm the presiding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years. Q. Can you describe your education and training for your position. A. I have a high school diploma and some college credits, focusing mainly on engineering, and then the 22 years of experience, along with all of the continuing education that goes along with that. Q. So do you have any credentials or licenses? A. I do. I have a class four wastewater treatment license from the State of Idaho. Q. How long have you been in your current position? A. Five years.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues. We're starting with Greg Sullivan on the second issue. MR. FLETCHER: So is everybody on board with that, then? MR. BARKER: With what? Starting with Sullivan this afternoon? THE WITNESS: I think we can go off the record. (Lunch recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Good afternoon. It is 1:33 p.m. on October 17th. We're going to continue with examination of our lay witnesses. Mr. Levi Adams, thanks for joining us in this hearing. Can you hear me okay? I'm the presiding officer, Mr. Weaver?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years. Q. Can you describe your education and training for your position. A. I have a high school diploma and some college credits, focusing mainly on engineering, and then the 22 years of experience, along with all of the continuing education that goes along with that. Q. So do you have any credentials or licenses? A. I do. I have a class four wastewater treatment license from the State of Idaho. Q. How long have you been in your current position? A. Five years. Q. And what are the duties and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues. We're starting with Greg Sullivan on the second issue. MR. FLETCHER: So is everybody on board with that, then? MR. BARKER: With what? Starting with Sullivan this afternoon? THE WITNESS: I think we can go off the record. (Lunch recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Good afternoon. It is 1:33 p.m. on October 17th. We're going to continue with examination of our lay witnesses. Mr. Levi Adams, thanks for joining us in this hearing. Can you hear me okay? I'm the presiding officer, Mr. Weaver? LEVI ADAMS: Yes, I can.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years. Q. Can you describe your education and training for your position. A. I have a high school diploma and some college credits, focusing mainly on engineering, and then the 22 years of experience, along with all of the continuing education that goes along with that. Q. So do you have any credentials or licenses? A. I do. I have a class four wastewater treatment license from the State of Idaho. Q. How long have you been in your current position? A. Five years. Q. And what are the duties and responsibilities of that position?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues. We're starting with Greg Sullivan on the second issue. MR. FLETCHER: So is everybody on board with that, then? MR. BARKER: With what? Starting with Sullivan this afternoon? THE WITNESS: I think we can go off the record. (Lunch recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Good afternoon. It is 1:33 p.m. on October 17th. We're going to continue with examination of our lay witnesses. Mr. Levi Adams, thanks for joining us in this hearing. Can you hear me okay? I'm the presiding officer, Mr. Weaver? LEVI ADAMS: Yes, I can. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Before I turn you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years. Q. Can you describe your education and training for your position. A. I have a high school diploma and some college credits, focusing mainly on engineering, and then the 22 years of experience, along with all of the continuing education that goes along with that. Q. So do you have any credentials or licenses? A. I do. I have a class four wastewater treatment license from the State of Idaho. Q. How long have you been in your current position? A. Five years. Q. And what are the duties and responsibilities of that position? A. My duties are involved with the supervisory
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues. We're starting with Greg Sullivan on the second issue. MR. FLETCHER: So is everybody on board with that, then? MR. BARKER: With what? Starting with Sullivan this afternoon? THE WITNESS: I think we can go off the record. (Lunch recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Good afternoon. It is 1:33 p.m. on October 17th. We're going to continue with examination of our lay witnesses. Mr. Levi Adams, thanks for joining us in this hearing. Can you hear me okay? I'm the presiding officer, Mr. Weaver? LEVI ADAMS: Yes, I can. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Before I turn you over to Mr. Bricker for examination. ////	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years. Q. Can you describe your education and training for your position. A. I have a high school diploma and some college credits, focusing mainly on engineering, and then the 22 years of experience, along with all of the continuing education that goes along with that. Q. So do you have any credentials or licenses? A. I do. I have a class four wastewater treatment license from the State of Idaho. Q. How long have you been in your current position? A. Five years. Q. And what are the duties and responsibilities of that position? A. My duties are involved with the supervisory of all of the all of the activities of the plant,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. FLETCHER: If those lay witnesses get done midafternoon or whatever, where do we go from there? Are you going to put on Jennifer, then, start the MR. BAXTER: Well, if we're switching issues. We're starting with Greg Sullivan on the second issue. MR. FLETCHER: So is everybody on board with that, then? MR. BARKER: With what? Starting with Sullivan this afternoon? THE WITNESS: I think we can go off the record. (Lunch recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Good afternoon. It is 1:33 p.m. on October 17th. We're going to continue with examination of our lay witnesses. Mr. Levi Adams, thanks for joining us in this hearing. Can you hear me okay? I'm the presiding officer, Mr. Weaver? LEVI ADAMS: Yes, I can. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Before I turn you over to Mr. Bricker for examination. /// ////	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I have worked for the City of Pocatello for 24 years. Q. And how long have you worked with wastewater? A. Probably 22 of those 24 years. Q. Can you describe your education and training for your position. A. I have a high school diploma and some college credits, focusing mainly on engineering, and then the 22 years of experience, along with all of the continuing education that goes along with that. Q. So do you have any credentials or licenses? A. I do. I have a class four wastewater treatment license from the State of Idaho. Q. How long have you been in your current position? A. Five years. Q. And what are the duties and responsibilities of that position? A. My duties are involved with the supervisory of all of the all of the activities of the plant, that is all the wastewater treatment, as long as

1 Q. What has your involvement been in this 1 commercial users? 2 proceeding? 2 A. All of that water is then gathered into our 3 collection systems and delivered out here to the 3 A. I've had a few meetings that started with 4 the attorneys with the City of Pocatello. And mostly treatment plant. with gathering of information for them. 5 Q. Can you describe generally what the Q. And did that -- when did that begin, more 6 6 collection process entails, how big of an area that it 7 7 or less? covers. 8 A. That began approximately April of this 8 A. Yeah. The City of Pocatello's collection 9 year. system is throughout the boundaries of the city of 10 Q. Great. Now, can you please turn to Pocatello. The water then is collected through a 11 Exhibit 301, which is the Amended Snake River Basin series of pipes and lift stations where it's 12 Order? 12 transferred out here to the treatment plant. 13 13 A. Okay. Q. And does the treatment plant collect 14 O. Have you seen this document before? 14 wastewater from any other sources? 15 A. I have. 15 A. We do. We collect water from the City of 16 Q. Please turn to page 28. 16 Chubbuck, as well as Great Western Mulching, which has 17 A. Okay. a culinary well that they use for their process water, 18 Q. Do you see the sentence at the top of the but the City does provide some water for its -- I 19 page that says, quote, "Applications for municipal believe its bathrooms and other facilities. 20 water use and for domestic use from community water 20 Q. Great. Now, please turn to Exhibit 328. 21 systems shall be considered fully consumptive," end 21 A. Okav. 22 quote? 22 Q. Have you seen this document before? 23 23 A. I do. Yes, I have. Q. Does Pocatello fully consume the water that 24 24 Q. Can you describe what it is. 25 it diverts? 25 A. This is our NPDES permit. That is the Page 472 Page 474 1 A. No, it doesn't. 1 permit that allows us to discharge water from the City 2 Q. Why not? 2 of Pocatello into the Portneuf River. 3 3 A. Because the water that is not used is Q. Is that the exclusive way that the City of 4 discharged to the wastewater treatment plant. And of 4 Pocatello disposes of its treated wastewater? course, then, we treat that water and discharge it to 5 A. Yes. It's the -- we are approved to the Portneuf River. discharge water at one point into the Portneuf River. 7 Q. And where does Pocatello's water supply And that's how we discharge all of the effluent that come from, to your knowledge? comes through the treatment plant. 9 A. To my knowledge, the -- I believe all of Q. What does the NPDES permit require the 10 the water comes from groundwater wells. 10 wastewater treatment plant to do? 11 Q. That are operated by the City of Pocatello? 11 A. The permit requires us to treat the 12 A. Yes. All of the City of Pocatello's 12 wastewater to a certain set of standards that meet both 13 municipal water supply is all operated by the City of nutrient and suspended, as well as bacterial content 13 14 Pocatello. 14 that have to reach a certain level before we can 15 Q. Great. And to whom does the City of 15 discharge it to the City -- or to the Portneuf River. 16 Pocatello deliver that water? 16 Q. So that is the water quality aspect of it. 17 A. The City of Pocatello delivers water to all 17 Are there any water quantity aspects of the 18 of its residents, as well as its commercial and 18 permit? 19 industrial users. 19 A. There is no quantity aspects for the 20 Q. As well as parks, perhaps? permit. There is a design capacity built into it, A. It does. As well as irrigation for parks 21 which is how a lot of the monthly and weekly lows are 22 and golf courses, which are owned by the City of 22 calculated, based off of that design capacity. But 23 Pocatello as well. there is no limit to how much water we can discharge to 24 Q. Great. So what happens to the water that 24 the Portneuf. 25 is delivered but not consumed by those residential and 25 Q. What is that design capacity? Page 473 Page 475

measurements. We gather both daily, weekly, and 1 A. Right now in this permit the design 2 capacity is at 12 million gallons per day. 2 monthly calculations from that, or instantaneous flow 3 3 as well. Q. Great. Can you describe what the treatment 4 Q. Great. Now, please turn to Exhibit 330. 4 process entails. 5 5 A. Yes. The City of Pocatello treatment plant A. Okay. Q. Have you seen this document before? 6 is an enhanced biological nutrient removal plant. We 6 7 A. Yes. This is the monthly total flow 7 start at the beginning of the plant where there is some calculations that I had some staff gather for City of 8 physical removal of all of the debris, followed by 9 primary clarifications where the majority of the solids 9 Pocatello attorneys. 10 Q. Say that again, please. Can you say that 10 are removed and sent to a sidestream process of 11 anaerobic digestion. 11 again, please. Can you hear me? 12 A. For me? I'm sorry. I heard a person in 12 The water then goes through our secondary the background. I thought you were asking him. 13 13 treatment, which is the enhanced biological removal. O. No. no. 14 Following that we go to some multimedia 14 15 A. No, I apologize. These are the monthly 15 filtration where alum and palmer [phonetic] is added to 16 totalizer flows from 2018 to 2023 that I had staff 16 help us meet the phosphorus limits of our permit, gather for the City of Pocatello's attorneys. 17 followed by UV disinfection where it's then discharged 17 18 into the Portneuf River. 18 Q. So it was prepared under your direction? 19 19 Q. Are there any uses of the water that comes A. Yes. 20 Q. Great. And how much water does the 20 into the treatment plant aside from what is discharged 21 to the river? 21 wastewater treatment plant discharge to the Portneuf River on average? 22 A. We do. There is some minor uses for 22 23 A. On a daily average it's between 6 1/2 and 23 irrigation of the plant grass, as well as some small 24 7 million gallons. amounts used for like seal water on some of the pumps. 25 25 Q. How do those volumes of water compare to Q. Has that amount changed in your tenure? Page 476 Page 478 1 the volumes of water that are discharged to the 1 A. It really hasn't. It's been a fairly 2 Portneuf? 2 consistent flow over the last 20 years. A. Very minuscule. It's a very small 3 Q. Has there been any noticeable trends in the 3 volumes discharged? percentage, if you could even calculate it. 4 4 5 Q. So you said that the City discharges into 5 A. No, it hasn't. The population has gone up, 6 the Portneuf River. but the volumes have stayed the same, mainly due to, 7 you know, the assumptions of the low-flow toilets or Can you describe what the structure looks 8 like. the low-flow showerheads and things like that. But 9 it's been a fairly consistent flow for the last 20-plus A. It is. There's -- it's called a step area 9 10 of the flume down -- or step area down at the end of 10 years. 11 the pipe, and it is -- that point in the river is 11 Q. How about any fluctuations throughout the 12 called out within our NPDES permit. 12 year? 13 Q. Has that discharge point or the method by 13 A. We do have some occasional high points in 14 flow. A lot of that is due to severe rain events where 14 which you dispose or discharge of that treated 15 wastewater changed in your tenure? the streets get flooded and you get infiltration of 16 A. No. It's always been at that same point. that rainwater in through the manholes themselves. We 17 Q. And the discharges can be measured; will see very high spikes, but it's rare occasions. 18 correct? You know, two, three times a year maybe at the most. 18 19 A. Correct. After the UV disinfection we have 19 Q. And outside of those few events, is the 20 a Parshall flume which measures what we are discharging 20 daily discharge volumes pretty consistent? 21 21 to the Portneuf River, and those are the numbers that A. Very consistent, yes. 22 we report back to DEQ, as far as our flow. 22 Q. If requested, could the wastewater 23 Q. And does it have the ability to measure 23 treatment plant provide discharge data? 24 continuously? 24 A. Yes, we can. It would just all be a matter 25 25 of what data you wanted and how much. A. It does. We do take continuous Page 477 Page 479

1 Q. And that data could be at multiple time as where the wastewater could go? 2 steps? 2 A. Yeah. There's always -- there's a lot of 3 3 different options. But it all depends on the time and A. It can be. It's whatever you like. We can put it in math data. We can graph it for you. I mean 4 the dedication to do that, as well as how you would the data is right there. It's grabbed -- it's grabbed 5 finance these particular options. by an operator daily, but it is kept continuously. The O. Yeah. What are the key limitations that 7 flow meter readings on the Parshall flume are taken are hindering such a change? 8 every five seconds, so it's a very consistent or 8 A. The first would be you'd have to get the continuous process. 9 correct permitting through DEQ and the approval of DEQ 10 in and of itself. They would add much stricter limits Q. Could you turn around that data rather 11 quickly? to what we were treating, and as well as the cost to do A. Yes. It would just be a matter of getting 12 12 all those things. 13 13 it off the computer. There is the cost of treating the water to 14 O. Great. And Pocatello also has a biosolids 14 those stricter limits. There's the cost of conveying the water to wherever the final use would be. We are 15 management program; right? 16 A. We do. We land-apply our biosolids at outside of the city, so it would be a fairly lengthy 17 several pieces of farmland that the City of Pocatello pipeline to move that water back into the city to have 18 owns. 18 a beneficial use. 19 Q. How does the biosolids program work in 19 It all can be done, but it would take a lot 20 conjunction with the discharge of the wastewater? 20 of time and a lot of money to get all of that taken 21 A. It is a separate process. The biosolids --21 22 or the solids are treated in anaerobic digesters, and 22 Q. And how long of a process do you think that then that gets discharged into a storage holding lagoon 23 would be from start to finish? where the solids are continually to -- concentrated. 24 A. If we had a plan right now. It would be --25 And the water itself then comes off of the 25 you know, it would probably be between five and seven Page 480 Page 482 1 top and goes back to the head of the treatment plant 1 years before we ever started implementing, actually where it is treated and then discharged to the Portneuf 2 discharging the water in that sort of beneficial use. 3 River. 3 You have get -- like I said, you have to 4 At certain times of the year after harvest, get the permitting approved through DEQ. You would we dredge those solids off of the bottom of the storage have to get the engineering done to finalize whatever lagoon and land-apply them in a liquid form, which is sort of treatment process to meet those new 7 about the consistency of 4 1/2 to 5 percent solids. regulations. And then of course construction of the Q. Great. Now, looking forward, does building and then being able to finance it. You have to find the money to be able to do these projects. 9 Pocatello currently have any formal plans to change the 9 10 MR. BRICKER: Okay. I think that's all the 10 method by which it disposes of its wastewater? 11 A. We have no formal plans right now to change 11 questions I have for Mr. Adams. 12 12 the way we discharge our effluent. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Any 13 Q. Are there any plans to recycle and reuse 13 cross-examination of Mr. Adams? 14 Mr. Adams, unfortunately, I think based on 14 wastewater? 15 A. There's no formal plans right now. the configuration of the technology, you're not going 16 Q. What are some of the reasons why Pocatello to be able to view the other witnesses [sic] that will 17 would make any changes to its wastewater operations? be questioning you. 17 18 A. There's a couple different drivers, whether 18 THE WITNESS: That's fine. I'll do my best 19 that is a City Council recommendation, if that's 19 here. 20 something that they would like to do, whether it's --20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Go ahead, 21 the water becomes valuable enough that it would justify 21 Mr. Fletcher. 22 the cost to reuse that water within the city, whether 22 MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. 23 it's through irrigation or through land application on 23 /// 24 /// 24 farm fields or back to direct culinary use. 25 25 /// Q. So there's a few different options as far Page 483 Page 481

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION	1	MR. FLETCHER: Okay. Thank you.
2	BY MR. FLETCHER:	2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Mr. Thompson?
3	Q. Mr. Adams, I'm Kent Fletcher. I represent	3	MR. THOMPSON: Nothing.
4	Minidoka Irrigation District and American Falls	4	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Nothing from
5	Reservoir District 2. I just have a couple of	5	Mr. Baxter.
6	questions.	6	Any redirect?
7	You had mentioned that you do some	7	MR. BRICKER: No, no redirect.
8	measuring.	8	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
9	Can you explain again what measurements you	9	MR. BRICKER: Thank you, Mr. Adams.
10	take concerning water coming out of your wastewater	10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, thank you for
11	treatment plant.	11	joining us. I think you're free to go.
12	A. Yeah. It's more than some measuring. It's	12	THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you.
13	a we have a Parshall flume at the end of the	13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Next up would be Mr. Brian
14	after the UV disinfection, which is the final step	14	Yeager with the City of Hailey.
15	before it's discharged into the Portneuf River. That	15	Good afternoon, sir.
16	Parshall flume is a very accurate measurement, and	16	BRIAN YEAGER: Good afternoon.
17	that's what we use to report all of those numbers to	17	
18	DEQ.	18	BRIAN YEAGER,
19	Q. Okay. And do you report anything any of	19	having been called as a witness by the municipal
20	those numbers to the Idaho Department of Water	20	providers and duly sworn, testified as follows:
21	Resources?	21	
22	A. No.	22	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Please be
23	Q. Were you involved at all in the Riverside	23	seated.
24	case? Are you familiar with that?	24	State your full name and address for the
25	A. I am not familiar with that.	25	record.
	Page 484		Page 486
1	Q. Okay. I'm going to read you a quote that	1	And then you can get going.
1 2	Q. Okay. I'm going to read you a quote that was contained in our expert report that was under the	1 2	And then you can get going. THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works
			THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works
2	was contained in our expert report that was under the	2	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main
2 3	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City	2 3	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main
2 3 4	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho.
2 3 4 5	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho.
2 3 4 5 6 7	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director.
2 3 4 5 6 7	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other arrangements with nearby water users that would allow	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director. DIRECT EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other arrangements with nearby water users that would allow it to avoid expensive new treatment technologies."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWRENCE Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Yeager. Could you please I know you just stated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other arrangements with nearby water users that would allow it to avoid expensive new treatment technologies." Does that sound accurate to you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWRENCE Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Yeager. Could you please I know you just stated your name and position. Could you please tell us, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other arrangements with nearby water users that would allow it to avoid expensive new treatment technologies." Does that sound accurate to you? A. Anything in wastewater is expensive.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWRENCE Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Yeager. Could you please I know you just stated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other arrangements with nearby water users that would allow it to avoid expensive new treatment technologies." Does that sound accurate to you? A. Anything in wastewater is expensive. Whether we're treating to what the standards are to discharge to the river or whether we're treating it to reuse. It's all a matter of what decisions need to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWRENCE Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Yeager. Could you please I know you just stated your name and position. Could you please tell us, you know, what you do for the City of Hailey. A. Sure. I'm the public works director for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other arrangements with nearby water users that would allow it to avoid expensive new treatment technologies." Does that sound accurate to you? A. Anything in wastewater is expensive. Whether we're treating to what the standards are to discharge to the river or whether we're treating it to reuse. It's all a matter of what decisions need to be made.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWRENCE Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Yeager. Could you please I know you just stated your name and position. Could you please tell us, you know, what you do for the City of Hailey. A. Sure. I'm the public works director for the City of Hailey. I oversee the water, wastewater,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other arrangements with nearby water users that would allow it to avoid expensive new treatment technologies." Does that sound accurate to you? A. Anything in wastewater is expensive. Whether we're treating to what the standards are to discharge to the river or whether we're treating it to reuse. It's all a matter of what decisions need to be made. I can give my expert input to the City	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWRENCE Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Yeager. Could you please I know you just stated your name and position. Could you please tell us, you know, what you do for the City of Hailey. A. Sure. I'm the public works director for the City of Hailey. I oversee the water, wastewater, streets, parks and facilities divisions. I've been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other arrangements with nearby water users that would allow it to avoid expensive new treatment technologies." Does that sound accurate to you? A. Anything in wastewater is expensive. Whether we're treating to what the standards are to discharge to the river or whether we're treating it to reuse. It's all a matter of what decisions need to be made. I can give my expert input to the City Council, but if the City Council does not want to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWRENCE Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Yeager. Could you please I know you just stated your name and position. Could you please tell us, you know, what you do for the City of Hailey. A. Sure. I'm the public works director for the City of Hailey. I oversee the water, wastewater, streets, parks and facilities divisions. I've been with the City of Hailey for approximately five years.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other arrangements with nearby water users that would allow it to avoid expensive new treatment technologies." Does that sound accurate to you? A. Anything in wastewater is expensive. Whether we're treating to what the standards are to discharge to the river or whether we're treating it to reuse. It's all a matter of what decisions need to be made. I can give my expert input to the City Council, but if the City Council does not want to do reuse, well, then we'll pay to go to the river. If	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWRENCE Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Yeager. Could you please I know you just stated your name and position. Could you please tell us, you know, what you do for the City of Hailey. A. Sure. I'm the public works director for the City of Hailey. I oversee the water, wastewater, streets, parks and facilities divisions. I've been with the City of Hailey for approximately five years. Prior to that I was a consulting engineer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other arrangements with nearby water users that would allow it to avoid expensive new treatment technologies." Does that sound accurate to you? A. Anything in wastewater is expensive. Whether we're treating to what the standards are to discharge to the river or whether we're treating it to reuse. It's all a matter of what decisions need to be made. I can give my expert input to the City Council, but if the City Council does not want to do reuse, well, then we'll pay to go to the river. If they decided they want to spend the money to do reuse,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWRENCE Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Yeager. Could you please I know you just stated your name and position. Could you please tell us, you know, what you do for the City of Hailey. A. Sure. I'm the public works director for the City of Hailey. I oversee the water, wastewater, streets, parks and facilities divisions. I've been with the City of Hailey for approximately five years. Prior to that I was a consulting engineer for the City of Hailey for approximately 23 years. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other arrangements with nearby water users that would allow it to avoid expensive new treatment technologies." Does that sound accurate to you? A. Anything in wastewater is expensive. Whether we're treating to what the standards are to discharge to the river or whether we're treating it to reuse. It's all a matter of what decisions need to be made. I can give my expert input to the City Council, but if the City Council does not want to do reuse, well, then we'll pay to go to the river. If they decided they want to spend the money to do reuse, we'll we'll go that direction. Wastewater is an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWRENCE Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Yeager. Could you please I know you just stated your name and position. Could you please tell us, you know, what you do for the City of Hailey. A. Sure. I'm the public works director for the City of Hailey. I oversee the water, wastewater, streets, parks and facilities divisions. I've been with the City of Hailey for approximately five years. Prior to that I was a consulting engineer for the City of Hailey for approximately 23 years. I'm licensed as both a professional engineer and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other arrangements with nearby water users that would allow it to avoid expensive new treatment technologies." Does that sound accurate to you? A. Anything in wastewater is expensive. Whether we're treating to what the standards are to discharge to the river or whether we're treating it to reuse. It's all a matter of what decisions need to be made. I can give my expert input to the City Council, but if the City Council does not want to do reuse, well, then we'll pay to go to the river. If they decided they want to spend the money to do reuse, we'll we'll go that direction. Wastewater is an expensive industry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWRENCE Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Yeager. Could you please I know you just stated your name and position. Could you please tell us, you know, what you do for the City of Hailey. A. Sure. I'm the public works director for the City of Hailey. I oversee the water, wastewater, streets, parks and facilities divisions. I've been with the City of Hailey for approximately five years. Prior to that I was a consulting engineer for the City of Hailey for approximately 23 years. I'm licensed as both a professional engineer and professional land surveyor, although my testimony today
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other arrangements with nearby water users that would allow it to avoid expensive new treatment technologies." Does that sound accurate to you? A. Anything in wastewater is expensive. Whether we're treating to what the standards are to discharge to the river or whether we're treating it to reuse. It's all a matter of what decisions need to be made. I can give my expert input to the City Council, but if the City Council does not want to do reuse, we'll, then we'll pay to go to the river. If they decided they want to spend the money to do reuse, we'll we'll go that direction. Wastewater is an expensive industry. Q. Is the City currently looking at reuse	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWRENCE Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Yeager. Could you please I know you just stated your name and position. Could you please tell us, you know, what you do for the City of Hailey. A. Sure. I'm the public works director for the City of Hailey. I oversee the water, wastewater, streets, parks and facilities divisions. I've been with the City of Hailey for approximately five years. Prior to that I was a consulting engineer for the City of Hailey for approximately 23 years. I'm licensed as both a professional engineer and professional land surveyor, although my testimony today is as a lay witness.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other arrangements with nearby water users that would allow it to avoid expensive new treatment technologies." Does that sound accurate to you? A. Anything in wastewater is expensive. Whether we're treating to what the standards are to discharge to the river or whether we're treating it to reuse. It's all a matter of what decisions need to be made. I can give my expert input to the City Council, but if the City Council does not want to do reuse, well, then we'll pay to go to the river. If they decided they want to spend the money to do reuse, we'll we'll go that direction. Wastewater is an expensive industry. Q. Is the City currently looking at reuse options with nearby water users?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWRENCE Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Yeager. Could you please I know you just stated your name and position. Could you please tell us, you know, what you do for the City of Hailey. A. Sure. I'm the public works director for the City of Hailey. I oversee the water, wastewater, streets, parks and facilities divisions. I've been with the City of Hailey for approximately five years. Prior to that I was a consulting engineer for the City of Hailey for approximately 23 years. I'm licensed as both a professional engineer and professional land surveyor, although my testimony today is as a lay witness. Q. Can you describe what your duties and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other arrangements with nearby water users that would allow it to avoid expensive new treatment technologies." Does that sound accurate to you? A. Anything in wastewater is expensive. Whether we're treating to what the standards are to discharge to the river or whether we're treating it to reuse. It's all a matter of what decisions need to be made. I can give my expert input to the City Council, but if the City Council does not want to do reuse, well, then we'll pay to go to the river. If they decided they want to spend the money to do reuse, we'll we'll go that direction. Wastewater is an expensive industry. Q. Is the City currently looking at reuse options with nearby water users? A. Nothing formal. There's always, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWRENCE Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Yeager. Could you please I know you just stated your name and position. Could you please tell us, you know, what you do for the City of Hailey. A. Sure. I'm the public works director for the City of Hailey. I oversee the water, wastewater, streets, parks and facilities divisions. I've been with the City of Hailey for approximately five years. Prior to that I was a consulting engineer for the City of Hailey for approximately 23 years. I'm licensed as both a professional engineer and professional land surveyor, although my testimony today is as a lay witness. Q. Can you describe what your duties and responsibilities with respect to water production
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other arrangements with nearby water users that would allow it to avoid expensive new treatment technologies." Does that sound accurate to you? A. Anything in wastewater is expensive. Whether we're treating to what the standards are to discharge to the river or whether we're treating it to reuse. It's all a matter of what decisions need to be made. I can give my expert input to the City Council, but if the City Council does not want to do reuse, well, then we'll pay to go to the river. If they decided they want to spend the money to do reuse, we'll we'll go that direction. Wastewater is an expensive industry. Q. Is the City currently looking at reuse options with nearby water users? A. Nothing formal. There's always, you know, discussions and ideas. But nothing of any substance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWRENCE Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Yeager. Could you please I know you just stated your name and position. Could you please tell us, you know, what you do for the City of Hailey. A. Sure. I'm the public works director for the City of Hailey. I oversee the water, wastewater, streets, parks and facilities divisions. I've been with the City of Hailey for approximately five years. Prior to that I was a consulting engineer for the City of Hailey for approximately 23 years. I'm licensed as both a professional engineer and professional land surveyor, although my testimony today is as a lay witness. Q. Can you describe what your duties and responsibilities with respect to water production municipal water production and wastewater treatment and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was contained in our expert report that was under the heading of "City of Pocatello." And it says, "The City anticipate it will be faced with additional and expensive treatment requirements in the future. It has begun to consider land application or other arrangements with nearby water users that would allow it to avoid expensive new treatment technologies." Does that sound accurate to you? A. Anything in wastewater is expensive. Whether we're treating to what the standards are to discharge to the river or whether we're treating it to reuse. It's all a matter of what decisions need to be made. I can give my expert input to the City Council, but if the City Council does not want to do reuse, well, then we'll pay to go to the river. If they decided they want to spend the money to do reuse, we'll we'll go that direction. Wastewater is an expensive industry. Q. Is the City currently looking at reuse options with nearby water users? A. Nothing formal. There's always, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Brian Yeager, public works director, City of Hailey. Work address, 115 South Main Street, Hailey, Idaho. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Director. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWRENCE Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Yeager. Could you please I know you just stated your name and position. Could you please tell us, you know, what you do for the City of Hailey. A. Sure. I'm the public works director for the City of Hailey. I oversee the water, wastewater, streets, parks and facilities divisions. I've been with the City of Hailey for approximately five years. Prior to that I was a consulting engineer for the City of Hailey for approximately 23 years. I'm licensed as both a professional engineer and professional land surveyor, although my testimony today is as a lay witness. Q. Can you describe what your duties and responsibilities with respect to water production municipal water production and wastewater treatment and

1	A. I oversee each one of my division managers.	1	And then we flip on the wells as needed to
2	One is within water. One is within wastewater. I	2	augment that water supply.
3	provide them guidance on how to operate or, you know,	3	Q. And you're also I think you testified
4	make sure the City Council's goals are met and the	4	already you're familiar with Hailey's wastewater
5	product is adequately delivered to the City, although	5	treatment and disposal system; is that correct?
6	I'm not intimately familiar with the very fine nuances	6	A. Yes.
7	of some of their individual workday efforts.	7	Q. Are there any other inputs to that
8	Q. Just for, you know, everyone's edification,	8	wastewater treatment facility, other than from City of
9	how large is the City of Hailey? What's the	9	Hailey's municipal use?
10	population?	10	A. With the exception of major storm
11	A. It's just under 10,000 people and just	11	infiltration during spring storms, which we try to keep
12	under 4 square miles.	12	out of our system, the City of the potable water is
13	Q. Okay. Could you please turn and there	13	the only input into that wastewater system.
14	should be an exhibit binder in front of you, or	14	Q. And do you track the inflows into that
15	several to Exhibit 337.	15	wastewater treatment facility?
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: I think it's going to be	16	A. Certainly.
17	in this one [indicating].	17	Q. And also the discharge?
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you. Okay.	18	A. Yes.
19	Q. (BY MR. LAWRENCE): Have you seen this	19	Q. And where does the facility discharge to?
20	before?	20	A. The Big Wood River.
21	A. Yes.	21	Q. And when you track the inflow and discharge
22	Q. Can you tell us what this is?	22	quantities, are those on a daily basis, monthly basis,
23	A. It's a list of the water rights held within	23	or continuous?
24	the City of Hailey for municipal water rights.	24	A. Continuous.
25	Q. These are just municipal water rights for	25	Q. And do you currently does the City of
	Page 488		Page 490
1	the City; correct?	1	Hailey currently land-apply any of its treated
1 2	A. That's correct.	1 2	Hailey currently land-apply any of its treated effluent?
	A. That's correct.Q. And the City does have additional water		effluent? A. No.
2	A. That's correct.	2	effluent?
2 3	A. That's correct.Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal?A. That is correct.	2 3	effluent? A. No.
2 3 4	 A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water 	2 3 4	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No.
2 3 4 5	A. That's correct.Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal?A. That is correct.	2 3 4 5	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to
2 3 4 5 6	 A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? A. Yes. Q. From all sources? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to the treatment plant is currently discharged to the Big Wood River? A. Correct. 100 percent of our discharge goes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? A. Yes. Q. From all sources? A. Yes. Q. And is that done on a daily basis? hourly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to the treatment plant is currently discharged to the Big Wood River? A. Correct. 100 percent of our discharge goes to the Big Wood River.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? A. Yes. Q. From all sources? A. Yes. Q. And is that done on a daily basis? hourly? monthly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to the treatment plant is currently discharged to the Big Wood River? A. Correct. 100 percent of our discharge goes to the Big Wood River. Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit 344.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? A. Yes. Q. From all sources? A. Yes. Q. And is that done on a daily basis? hourly? monthly? A. Basically on a continuous basis, and then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to the treatment plant is currently discharged to the Big Wood River? A. Correct. 100 percent of our discharge goes to the Big Wood River. Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit 344. A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? A. Yes. Q. From all sources? A. Yes. Q. And is that done on a daily basis? hourly? monthly? A. Basically on a continuous basis, and then reported quarterly to IDWR.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to the treatment plant is currently discharged to the Big Wood River? A. Correct. 100 percent of our discharge goes to the Big Wood River. Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit 344. A. Okay. Q. And can you please describe what this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? A. Yes. Q. From all sources? A. Yes. Q. And is that done on a daily basis? hourly? monthly? A. Basically on a continuous basis, and then reported quarterly to IDWR. Q. And you have several sources of supply.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to the treatment plant is currently discharged to the Big Wood River? A. Correct. 100 percent of our discharge goes to the Big Wood River. Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit 344. A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? A. Yes. Q. From all sources? A. Yes. Q. And is that done on a daily basis? hourly? monthly? A. Basically on a continuous basis, and then reported quarterly to IDWR. Q. And you have several sources of supply. Can you please describe those.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to the treatment plant is currently discharged to the Big Wood River? A. Correct. 100 percent of our discharge goes to the Big Wood River. Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit 344. A. Okay. Q. And can you please describe what this exhibit shows. A. This is a tabulation spreadsheet that shows
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? A. Yes. Q. From all sources? A. Yes. Q. And is that done on a daily basis? hourly? monthly? A. Basically on a continuous basis, and then reported quarterly to IDWR. Q. And you have several sources of supply. Can you please describe those. A. The City supply system is primarily	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to the treatment plant is currently discharged to the Big Wood River? A. Correct. 100 percent of our discharge goes to the Big Wood River. Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit 344. A. Okay. Q. And can you please describe what this exhibit shows. A. This is a tabulation spreadsheet that shows production of all City sources combined for each month
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? A. Yes. Q. From all sources? A. Yes. Q. And is that done on a daily basis? hourly? monthly? A. Basically on a continuous basis, and then reported quarterly to IDWR. Q. And you have several sources of supply. Can you please describe those. A. The City supply system is primarily composed of either a spring house or municipal wells.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to the treatment plant is currently discharged to the Big Wood River? A. Correct. 100 percent of our discharge goes to the Big Wood River. Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit 344. A. Okay. Q. And can you please describe what this exhibit shows. A. This is a tabulation spreadsheet that shows production of all City sources combined for each month for five years. And it also has the monthly discharge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? A. Yes. Q. From all sources? A. Yes. Q. And is that done on a daily basis? hourly? monthly? A. Basically on a continuous basis, and then reported quarterly to IDWR. Q. And you have several sources of supply. Can you please describe those. A. The City supply system is primarily composed of either a spring house or municipal wells. So we have several wells spread around the city that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to the treatment plant is currently discharged to the Big Wood River? A. Correct. 100 percent of our discharge goes to the Big Wood River. Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit 344. A. Okay. Q. And can you please describe what this exhibit shows. A. This is a tabulation spreadsheet that shows production of all City sources combined for each month for five years. And it also has the monthly discharge to the river from the wastewater treatment plant for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? A. Yes. Q. From all sources? A. Yes. Q. And is that done on a daily basis? hourly? monthly? A. Basically on a continuous basis, and then reported quarterly to IDWR. Q. And you have several sources of supply. Can you please describe those. A. The City supply system is primarily composed of either a spring house or municipal wells. So we have several wells spread around the city that we pump out of whenever we need to. But our primary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to the treatment plant is currently discharged to the Big Wood River? A. Correct. 100 percent of our discharge goes to the Big Wood River. Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit 344. A. Okay. Q. And can you please describe what this exhibit shows. A. This is a tabulation spreadsheet that shows production of all City sources combined for each month for five years. And it also has the monthly discharge to the river from the wastewater treatment plant for each month for five years, and a comparison of the net
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? A. Yes. Q. From all sources? A. Yes. Q. And is that done on a daily basis? hourly? monthly? A. Basically on a continuous basis, and then reported quarterly to IDWR. Q. And you have several sources of supply. Can you please describe those. A. The City supply system is primarily composed of either a spring house or municipal wells. So we have several wells spread around the city that we pump out of whenever we need to. But our primary source of water is Indian Creek Springs, and that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to the treatment plant is currently discharged to the Big Wood River? A. Correct. 100 percent of our discharge goes to the Big Wood River. Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit 344. A. Okay. Q. And can you please describe what this exhibit shows. A. This is a tabulation spreadsheet that shows production of all City sources combined for each month for five years. And it also has the monthly discharge to the river from the wastewater treatment plant for each month for five years, and a comparison of the net difference between those.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? A. Yes. Q. From all sources? A. Yes. Q. And is that done on a daily basis? hourly? monthly? A. Basically on a continuous basis, and then reported quarterly to IDWR. Q. And you have several sources of supply. Can you please describe those. A. The City supply system is primarily composed of either a spring house or municipal wells. So we have several wells spread around the city that we pump out of whenever we need to. But our primary source of water is Indian Creek Springs, and that is the one that we utilize to its full extent because, as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to the treatment plant is currently discharged to the Big Wood River? A. Correct. 100 percent of our discharge goes to the Big Wood River. Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit 344. A. Okay. Q. And can you please describe what this exhibit shows. A. This is a tabulation spreadsheet that shows production of all City sources combined for each month for five years. And it also has the monthly discharge to the river from the wastewater treatment plant for each month for five years, and a comparison of the net
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? A. Yes. Q. From all sources? A. Yes. Q. And is that done on a daily basis? hourly? monthly? A. Basically on a continuous basis, and then reported quarterly to IDWR. Q. And you have several sources of supply. Can you please describe those. A. The City supply system is primarily composed of either a spring house or municipal wells. So we have several wells spread around the city that we pump out of whenever we need to. But our primary source of water is Indian Creek Springs, and that is the one that we utilize to its full extent because, as stated earlier by some of the other cities, spring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to the treatment plant is currently discharged to the Big Wood River? A. Correct. 100 percent of our discharge goes to the Big Wood River. Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit 344. A. Okay. Q. And can you please describe what this exhibit shows. A. This is a tabulation spreadsheet that shows production of all City sources combined for each month for five years. And it also has the monthly discharge to the river from the wastewater treatment plant for each month for five years, and a comparison of the net difference between those. Q. And this table does not account for any system losses; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? A. Yes. Q. From all sources? A. Yes. Q. And is that done on a daily basis? hourly? monthly? A. Basically on a continuous basis, and then reported quarterly to IDWR. Q. And you have several sources of supply. Can you please describe those. A. The City supply system is primarily composed of either a spring house or municipal wells. So we have several wells spread around the city that we pump out of whenever we need to. But our primary source of water is Indian Creek Springs, and that is the one that we utilize to its full extent because, as stated earlier by some of the other cities, spring water is cheaper and more effective to get to the city	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to the treatment plant is currently discharged to the Big Wood River? A. Correct. 100 percent of our discharge goes to the Big Wood River. Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit 344. A. Okay. Q. And can you please describe what this exhibit shows. A. This is a tabulation spreadsheet that shows production of all City sources combined for each month for five years. And it also has the monthly discharge to the river from the wastewater treatment plant for each month for five years, and a comparison of the net difference between those. Q. And this table does not account for any system losses; is that correct? A. That's correct. This table
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. That's correct. Q. And the City does have additional water rights, but these are just municipal? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Do you track the production of water under these water rights? A. Yes. Q. From all sources? A. Yes. Q. And is that done on a daily basis? hourly? monthly? A. Basically on a continuous basis, and then reported quarterly to IDWR. Q. And you have several sources of supply. Can you please describe those. A. The City supply system is primarily composed of either a spring house or municipal wells. So we have several wells spread around the city that we pump out of whenever we need to. But our primary source of water is Indian Creek Springs, and that is the one that we utilize to its full extent because, as stated earlier by some of the other cities, spring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	effluent? A. No. Q. Does it currently have any rapid infiltration basins? A. No. Q. So all of the wastewater that inflows to the treatment plant is currently discharged to the Big Wood River? A. Correct. 100 percent of our discharge goes to the Big Wood River. Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit 344. A. Okay. Q. And can you please describe what this exhibit shows. A. This is a tabulation spreadsheet that shows production of all City sources combined for each month for five years. And it also has the monthly discharge to the river from the wastewater treatment plant for each month for five years, and a comparison of the net difference between those. Q. And this table does not account for any system losses; is that correct?

l .	from production versus discharge. But the system	1	ē ,
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	losses would also be included in consumption.	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	A. On page 1, yes, I have.
3	So you're correct.	3	Q. My binder says "page 2." Oh, I see.
4	Q. Okay.	4	A. Yeah, page 2 of 4 or yeah. Yes, I have.
5	A. There's no specific system loss identified	5	Q. Okay. Great. Do you agree with this
6	in the table.	6	description of the City of Hailey's summary of water
7	Q. Okay. But there are system losses, you	7	use and wastewater effluent disposal?
8	understand?	8	A. Yes.
9	A. For sure.	9	Q. Anything to add orA. No.
10	Q. And those system losses infiltrate back into the ground?	10	Q. Thank you.
11 12	A. Yes.	12	Does Hailey have any plans for changing its
13	Q. Okay. And the quantities for those system	١	wastewater treatments or disposal methods?
14	losses have not been ascertained yet, have they?	13	A. We do have plans for changing our treatment
15	A. Correct.	15	methods. Currently right now the wastewater treatment
16	Q. Is the City of Hailey working on trying to	16	plan is an SDR sequence and batch reactor. And we do
17	figure out what those losses are?	17	plan within the next five years or so to convert it to
18	A. Yes.	18	a membrane bioreactor for better removal of the
19	Q. If you could please turn to Exhibit 308.	19	controlled substances under our NPDES permit.
20	A. Okay.	20	And I believe what was the second
21	Q. Have you seen this before?	21	portion of your question?
22	A. Yes.	22	Q. Disposal. Treatment and disposal.
23	Q. And do you understand that this is	23	A. Disposal is continued to is planned to
24	Mr. Sullivan's summary of average annual water use and	24	continue as is, but we have evaluated trying to do some
25	consumptive use for the municipal providers involved in	25	limited land application for a couple City parks.
	Page 492		Page 494
1	this proceeding?	1	Q. Would that land application eliminate the
2	A. Yes.	2	need to discharge to the river?
3	Q. And in this exhibit it assumes a system	3	A. Not at all. The land application for the
4	loss of 10 percent.	4	City parks that we're currently looking at is minor
5	Do you see that?	5	compared to the total acreage we would need. So we
6	A. Yes.	6	will still be discharging a significant volume to the
7	Q. It's possible, isn't it, that the City of	7	river.
8	Hailey's actual system losses are greater than that?	8	Q. And are there any additional plans to
9	A. Yes.	9	change the disposal methods?
10	Q. Would you expect that they are greater than	10	A. No.
11	that?	11	Q. What's the timing of this potential land
12	A. We're not completely certain what our	12	application for parks and open spaces?
13	system losses are right now. We do believe that	13	A. I would say it's in the two to ten-year
	they're probably greater than 10 percent. But we're		range. Q. And how long have you been working on that?
14			LE And now long have you been working on that'
15	still trying to quantify those. And those system	15	
15 16	still trying to quantify those. And those system losses would be basically within the pipelines,	16	A. Three, maybe four years. We currently have
15 16 17	still trying to quantify those. And those system losses would be basically within the pipelines, fittings, so forth. Basically they don't come	16 17	A. Three, maybe four years. We currently have the treatment ability for class A water that will be
15 16 17 18	still trying to quantify those. And those system losses would be basically within the pipelines, fittings, so forth. Basically they don't come aboveground.	16 17 18	A. Three, maybe four years. We currently have the treatment ability for class A water that will be coming online soon, but we have no distribution system
15 16 17 18 19	still trying to quantify those. And those system losses would be basically within the pipelines, fittings, so forth. Basically they don't come aboveground. Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 311, which	16 17 18 19	A. Three, maybe four years. We currently have the treatment ability for class A water that will be coming online soon, but we have no distribution system in place to send the water out.
15 16 17 18 19 20	still trying to quantify those. And those system losses would be basically within the pipelines, fittings, so forth. Basically they don't come aboveground. Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 311, which should be in the very same binder.	16 17 18 19 20	A. Three, maybe four years. We currently have the treatment ability for class A water that will be coming online soon, but we have no distribution system in place to send the water out. Q. And so constructing a whole separate
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	still trying to quantify those. And those system losses would be basically within the pipelines, fittings, so forth. Basically they don't come aboveground. Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 311, which should be in the very same binder. Have you seen this before?	16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Three, maybe four years. We currently have the treatment ability for class A water that will be coming online soon, but we have no distribution system in place to send the water out. Q. And so constructing a whole separate distribution system will be a
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	still trying to quantify those. And those system losses would be basically within the pipelines, fittings, so forth. Basically they don't come aboveground. Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 311, which should be in the very same binder. Have you seen this before? A. Yes.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Three, maybe four years. We currently have the treatment ability for class A water that will be coming online soon, but we have no distribution system in place to send the water out. Q. And so constructing a whole separate distribution system will be a A. Quite expensive, and it's at the discretion
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	still trying to quantify those. And those system losses would be basically within the pipelines, fittings, so forth. Basically they don't come aboveground. Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 311, which should be in the very same binder. Have you seen this before? A. Yes. Q. And on page 2 of this exhibit there's a	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Three, maybe four years. We currently have the treatment ability for class A water that will be coming online soon, but we have no distribution system in place to send the water out. Q. And so constructing a whole separate distribution system will be a A. Quite expensive, and it's at the discretion of City Council. I'm not sure if it's economically
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	still trying to quantify those. And those system losses would be basically within the pipelines, fittings, so forth. Basically they don't come aboveground. Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 311, which should be in the very same binder. Have you seen this before? A. Yes. Q. And on page 2 of this exhibit there's a heading. It says, "Hailey."	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Three, maybe four years. We currently have the treatment ability for class A water that will be coming online soon, but we have no distribution system in place to send the water out. Q. And so constructing a whole separate distribution system will be a A. Quite expensive, and it's at the discretion of City Council. I'm not sure if it's economically feasible, so we may not actually get there either.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	still trying to quantify those. And those system losses would be basically within the pipelines, fittings, so forth. Basically they don't come aboveground. Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 311, which should be in the very same binder. Have you seen this before? A. Yes. Q. And on page 2 of this exhibit there's a	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Three, maybe four years. We currently have the treatment ability for class A water that will be coming online soon, but we have no distribution system in place to send the water out. Q. And so constructing a whole separate distribution system will be a A. Quite expensive, and it's at the discretion of City Council. I'm not sure if it's economically

1	reuse and recycle their treated wastewater to	1	you, Mr. Yeager.
2	extinction?	2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
3	A. No.	3	
4	Q. Looking back at Exhibit 337, the list of	4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	the City of Hailey municipal water rights.	5	BY MR. THOMPSON:
6	You mentioned that some of the supply's	6	Q. Mr. Yeager, I'm Travis Thompson, an
7	from Indian Creek Spring; is that correct?	7	attorney for A&B Irrigation District and other entities
8	A. That is correct.	8	in this proceeding. I just have a few questions.
9	Q. And Indian Creek Spring is tributary to	9	A. Okay.
10	Indian Creek, which is tributary to the Big Wood River;	10	Q. Could you turn back to Exhibit 308.
11	is that correct?	11	You said you're familiar with that table;
12	A. Correct. There actually is no surface	12	is that correct?
13	connection between Indian Creek and Big Wood River.	13	A. 308?
14	Q. Okay. Does it sink before it gets to the	14	Q. Yes.
15	Big Wood River? How does the	15	A. Okay.
16	A. Yeah, it just sinks, goes into the ground.	16	Q. And you've reviewed this table before?
17	Q. Okay. Has the City ever placed any	17	A. Yes.
18	restrictions on its citizens' water use? For example,	18	Q. Can you generally describe, at least for
19	restriction on residential irrigation.	19	the City of Hailey, what this represents?
20	A. Certainly. Many.	20	A. This represents a calculation of what the
21	Q. Can you describe some or all of them?	21	consumptive use is understood to be based on Spronk
22	A. Similar to Bellevue. I guess within the	22	Water Engineering, Mr. Sullivan.
23	Big Wood River Valley, Hailey has actually been, I	23	Q. And that estimate, is that 47 percent?
24	would say, the lead in trying to address conservative	24	A. I believe that's the case, yes.
25	water use. We have odd-even day restrictions. We have Page 496	25	Q. And you could turn over to Exhibit 344. It Page 498
1	time of day restrictions. We have maximum lot size	1	may be in the other binder.
2	restrictions. We have a very aggressive tiered water	2	A. Okay.
3	rate structure to encourage conservation so that the	3	Q. And was this a table that you created?
4	more water you use the higher the price per gallon is.	4	A. Primarily, yes.
5	Several things along those regards.	5	Q. And it's a summary of monthly water
6	Then we also have where we will go out and	6	production from wells, spring sources.
7	hang door flyers on people's doors if they're violating	7	Is that all City water supply
8	any of those restrictions, and we'll impose fines and	8	A. Yes.
9	penalties if they continue to do so. So we have	9	Q compared to your discharge to the river?
10	restrictions in place, and we police them.	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. So it's not a voluntary program, it's	11	Q. And looking at that, would you agree that
12	enforced?	12	2022 about 20 percent of the water that was pumped or
13	A. It's voluntary if you don't want to get	13	diverted from the spring sources was discharged to the
14	fined.	14	Big Wood River?
15	Q. And so there's probably a pretty good	15	A. Yeah-ish.
16	compliance rate?	16	Q. And what's your estimates on where that
17	A. Yeah, we do pretty good. Obviously	17	other 80 percent goes?
18	policing any community it's never perfect. We do	18	A. We have a we have an we have lost
19	pretty good.	19	water or nonrevenue water, basically water that's not
20	Q. And I think finally is it fair to say that	20	being delivered in the system that we're trying to hunt
21	if the Department of Water Resources ordered	21	down, so we're not sure exactly what that quantity is.
22	curtailment of City water use that you would be able to	22	The other part could be irrigation. And
23	actually physically shut off the water supply?	23	the other part could basically be I mean those would
24	A. Certainly.	24	be the primary two components.
25	MR. LAWRENCE: That's all my questions. Thank Page 497	25	Q. Okay. And you understand the City has the Page 499

1	ability to reuse water and land-apply it; is that	1	A. About ten-and-a-half years with Idaho
2	correct?	2	Falls.
3	A. We are working on the ability to do that.	3	Q. Okay. Prior to your position as the public
4	We have we are finalizing the treatment capacity for	4	works director, did you work for Idaho Falls in another
5	that, but we do not have a distribution system for it	5	position or positions within the City?
6	yet.	6	A. I did. I worked as the City engineer and
7	Q. Do you know how many acres you would	7	also as the assistant public works director.
8	estimate to land-apply to?	8	Q. During your time with the City well, I
9	A. Not very many. 10 to 12, maybe.	9	should ask, so how many years total have you worked for
10	Q. So in your Exhibit 344 all that water, the	10	the City?
11	annual production, is either delivered to residential	11	A. I've been with Idaho Falls for
12	lots, parks, other spaces, it's all for potable,	12	21-and-a-half years.
13	in-house use and outdoor irrigation for the City's	13	Q. Okay. During your time with the City, has
14	needs; is that correct?	14	it been your observation that it's experienced
15	A. Plus system losses, yes.	15	population growth?
16	MR. THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.	16	A. Yes.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Fletcher?	17	Q. Okay. And is the growth in eastern Idaho
18	MR. FLETCHER: No questions.	18	primarily within cities and municipalities?
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any redirect?	19	A. I would say that would be the case.
20	All right. Thank you for coming down.	20	Primarily in the urbanized areas is where we're
21	Appreciate your testimony.	21	experiencing the most growth.
22	THE WITNESS: That was anticlimactic.	22	Q. What are your responsibilities as the
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks for your testimony.	23	public works director?
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	24	A. So I oversee seven divisions. Those
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Next up	25	include an engineering group, our geographical
	Page 500		Page 502
1	Mr. Chris Fredericksen.	1	information systems, sanitation, streets, wastewater,
2	Hello, sir.		·
2 3	Hello, sir. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello.	2	water, and a newly formed division in public transit.
3	Hello, sir. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello.		water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City
3 4	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello.	2 3 4	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and
3 4 5	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN,	2 3 4 5	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City?
3 4 5 6	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal	2 3 4 5 6	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am.
3 4 5 6 7	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN,	2 3 4 5 6 7	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your
3 4 5 6 7 8	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your educational background and then any certifications or
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Have a seat.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your educational background and then any certifications or licenses that you have.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Have a seat. State your name and address for the record,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your educational background and then any certifications or licenses that you have. A. You bet. I'm an ISU graduate. Go Bengals.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Have a seat. State your name and address for the record, and we'll get going.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your educational background and then any certifications or licenses that you have. A. You bet. I'm an ISU graduate. Go Bengals. I also have a professional engineering license with the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Have a seat. State your name and address for the record, and we'll get going. THE WITNESS: My name is Chris Fredericksen. My	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your educational background and then any certifications or licenses that you have. A. You bet. I'm an ISU graduate. Go Bengals. I also have a professional engineering license with the State of Idaho and also with the State of Utah.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Have a seat. State your name and address for the record, and we'll get going. THE WITNESS: My name is Chris Fredericksen. My work address is 380 Constitution Way in Idaho Falls,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your educational background and then any certifications or licenses that you have. A. You bet. I'm an ISU graduate. Go Bengals. I also have a professional engineering license with the State of Idaho and also with the State of Utah. Q. Okay. I'm going to have you turn there
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Have a seat. State your name and address for the record, and we'll get going. THE WITNESS: My name is Chris Fredericksen. My	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your educational background and then any certifications or licenses that you have. A. You bet. I'm an ISU graduate. Go Bengals. I also have a professional engineering license with the State of Idaho and also with the State of Utah. Q. Okay. I'm going to have you turn there should be a white binder to Exhibit 334. Or excuse
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Have a seat. State your name and address for the record, and we'll get going. THE WITNESS: My name is Chris Fredericksen. My work address is 380 Constitution Way in Idaho Falls, Idaho.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your educational background and then any certifications or licenses that you have. A. You bet. I'm an ISU graduate. Go Bengals. I also have a professional engineering license with the State of Idaho and also with the State of Utah. Q. Okay. I'm going to have you turn there should be a white binder to Exhibit 334. Or excuse me, it will have a blue sheet on it.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Have a seat. State your name and address for the record, and we'll get going. THE WITNESS: My name is Chris Fredericksen. My work address is 380 Constitution Way in Idaho Falls, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your educational background and then any certifications or licenses that you have. A. You bet. I'm an ISU graduate. Go Bengals. I also have a professional engineering license with the State of Idaho and also with the State of Utah. Q. Okay. I'm going to have you turn there should be a white binder to Exhibit 334. Or excuse me, it will have a blue sheet on it. A. Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Have a seat. State your name and address for the record, and we'll get going. THE WITNESS: My name is Chris Fredericksen. My work address is 380 Constitution Way in Idaho Falls, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRIS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your educational background and then any certifications or licenses that you have. A. You bet. I'm an ISU graduate. Go Bengals. I also have a professional engineering license with the State of Idaho and also with the State of Utah. Q. Okay. I'm going to have you turn there should be a white binder to Exhibit 334. Or excuse me, it will have a blue sheet on it. A. Okay. Q. That's just kind of an illustrative summary
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Have a seat. State your name and address for the record, and we'll get going. THE WITNESS: My name is Chris Fredericksen. My work address is 380 Constitution Way in Idaho Falls, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRIS: Q. Chris, thanks for being here today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your educational background and then any certifications or licenses that you have. A. You bet. I'm an ISU graduate. Go Bengals. I also have a professional engineering license with the State of Idaho and also with the State of Utah. Q. Okay. I'm going to have you turn there should be a white binder to Exhibit 334. Or excuse me, it will have a blue sheet on it. A. Okay. Q. That's just kind of an illustrative summary of the water rights that the City of Idaho Falls
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Have a seat. State your name and address for the record, and we'll get going. THE WITNESS: My name is Chris Fredericksen. My work address is 380 Constitution Way in Idaho Falls, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRIS: Q. Chris, thanks for being here today. How are you currently employed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your educational background and then any certifications or licenses that you have. A. You bet. I'm an ISU graduate. Go Bengals. I also have a professional engineering license with the State of Idaho and also with the State of Utah. Q. Okay. I'm going to have you turn there should be a white binder to Exhibit 334. Or excuse me, it will have a blue sheet on it. A. Okay. Q. That's just kind of an illustrative summary of the water rights that the City of Idaho Falls possesses. And it includes power and municipal rights.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Have a seat. State your name and address for the record, and we'll get going. THE WITNESS: My name is Chris Fredericksen. My work address is 380 Constitution Way in Idaho Falls, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRIS: Q. Chris, thanks for being here today. How are you currently employed? A. I work for the City of Idaho Falls.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your educational background and then any certifications or licenses that you have. A. You bet. I'm an ISU graduate. Go Bengals. I also have a professional engineering license with the State of Idaho and also with the State of Utah. Q. Okay. I'm going to have you turn there should be a white binder to Exhibit 334. Or excuse me, it will have a blue sheet on it. A. Okay. Q. That's just kind of an illustrative summary of the water rights that the City of Idaho Falls possesses. And it includes power and municipal rights. Do you see that list?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Have a seat. State your name and address for the record, and we'll get going. THE WITNESS: My name is Chris Fredericksen. My work address is 380 Constitution Way in Idaho Falls, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRIS: Q. Chris, thanks for being here today. How are you currently employed? A. I work for the City of Idaho Falls. Q. Okay. And what is your capacity with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your educational background and then any certifications or licenses that you have. A. You bet. I'm an ISU graduate. Go Bengals. I also have a professional engineering license with the State of Idaho and also with the State of Utah. Q. Okay. I'm going to have you turn there should be a white binder to Exhibit 334. Or excuse me, it will have a blue sheet on it. A. Okay. Q. That's just kind of an illustrative summary of the water rights that the City of Idaho Falls possesses. And it includes power and municipal rights. Do you see that list? A. I do.
3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Have a seat. State your name and address for the record, and we'll get going. THE WITNESS: My name is Chris Fredericksen. My work address is 380 Constitution Way in Idaho Falls, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRIS: Q. Chris, thanks for being here today. How are you currently employed? A. I work for the City of Idaho Falls. Q. Okay. And what is your capacity with the City of Idaho Falls?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your educational background and then any certifications or licenses that you have. A. You bet. I'm an ISU graduate. Go Bengals. I also have a professional engineering license with the State of Idaho and also with the State of Utah. Q. Okay. I'm going to have you turn there should be a white binder to Exhibit 334. Or excuse me, it will have a blue sheet on it. A. Okay. Q. That's just kind of an illustrative summary of the water rights that the City of Idaho Falls possesses. And it includes power and municipal rights. Do you see that list? A. I do. Q. The City's culinary water system is
3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Have a seat. State your name and address for the record, and we'll get going. THE WITNESS: My name is Chris Fredericksen. My work address is 380 Constitution Way in Idaho Falls, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRIS: Q. Chris, thanks for being here today. How are you currently employed? A. I work for the City of Idaho Falls. Q. Okay. And what is your capacity with the City of Idaho Falls? A. I'm the public works director.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your educational background and then any certifications or licenses that you have. A. You bet. I'm an ISU graduate. Go Bengals. I also have a professional engineering license with the State of Idaho and also with the State of Utah. Q. Okay. I'm going to have you turn there should be a white binder to Exhibit 334. Or excuse me, it will have a blue sheet on it. A. Okay. Q. That's just kind of an illustrative summary of the water rights that the City of Idaho Falls possesses. And it includes power and municipal rights. Do you see that list? A. I do. Q. The City's culinary water system is provided water pursuant to the municipal groundwater
3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHRIS FREDERICKSEN: Hello. CHRIS FREDERICKSEN, having been called as a witness by the municipal providers and duly sworn, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks. Have a seat. State your name and address for the record, and we'll get going. THE WITNESS: My name is Chris Fredericksen. My work address is 380 Constitution Way in Idaho Falls, Idaho. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRIS: Q. Chris, thanks for being here today. How are you currently employed? A. I work for the City of Idaho Falls. Q. Okay. And what is your capacity with the City of Idaho Falls?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	water, and a newly formed division in public transit. Q. Okay. And so are you essentially the City official who is responsible for the water and wastewater systems in the City? A. I am. Q. Could you please just describe briefly your educational background and then any certifications or licenses that you have. A. You bet. I'm an ISU graduate. Go Bengals. I also have a professional engineering license with the State of Idaho and also with the State of Utah. Q. Okay. I'm going to have you turn there should be a white binder to Exhibit 334. Or excuse me, it will have a blue sheet on it. A. Okay. Q. That's just kind of an illustrative summary of the water rights that the City of Idaho Falls possesses. And it includes power and municipal rights. Do you see that list? A. I do. Q. The City's culinary water system is

1 page there's a paragraph that begins "When asked about 1 Q. And approximately 128 cfs of groundwater 2 rights for municipal purposes; correct? Water District 1 accounting." 3 3 A. That's right. Do you see that? 4 Q. Okay. Could you generally describe how the 4 A. Yeah. 5 City collects and treats its wastewater effluent. Q. And there's a sentence in there that --A. Yeah. For all residential, commercial, and this is in Mr. Colvin and Mr. Shaw's expert report. 7 industrial uses, they generally have sewer connections, And it says, "Without well-established and reliable which they tie to our public system, which consists of return-flow data available in Idaho, it is reasonable around 300 miles of water -- or wastewater collection for IDWR to administer new municipal water rights as 10 piping. I believe we have 40-some lift stations 10 fully consumptive." 11 associated with that as well. 11 So that statement uses the phrase "Without 12 That conveyance system flows that 12 well-established and reliable return-flow data." 13 wastewater to our wastewater treatment plant located 13 Do you know how long Idaho Falls has 14 immediately adjacent to the Snake River at 4055 Glen 14 measured its effluent outflow? 15 Koester Way. Once it reaches our plant, that runs 15 A. So I think our wastewater treatment plant, 16 through primary and secondary treatment, followed by 16 the primary system was constructed in 1958, followed by 17 disinfection, before being discharged into the Snake secondary improvements in 1971. But we have tracked those outflows as required based our NPDES permits. 18 River. 18 19 Q. Okay. And approximately how much 19 And so I believe we would have data that goes well back wastewater does the City of Idaho Falls treat and 20 into the past. discharge each day? 21 Q. Okay. And how do they actually measure? 22 A. Approximately 9.7 million gallons per day. 22 What device measures the outflow into the river? 23 Q. Is that a fairly consistent flow? 23 A. So we have meters on our inflow and also 24 the outflow to the river, just to check what those 24 A. It is, we're pretty similar to the system 25 that was described by Pocatello earlier. We do see 25 differences are. We do use some water similar to Page 504 Page 506 1 some influxes during major storm events in eastern 1 Pocatello for our process water in the plant, whether 2 Idaho. But being in the high desert those are very that's cleaning up or those types of things. 3 infrequent. 3 And we do have irrigation of about 6 acres of landscaping around the wastewater treatment plant as 4 Q. And so you're able to essentially parse out what comes through the stormwater system versus what's 5 well. 5 coming from culinary uses in the city? 6 Q. Okay. And relatively speaking, the vast 7 A. Yeah, based on those average recordings of majority of your water is treated and then discharged 8 our flow. into the Snake River? 9 9 Q. So if I do my conversion right, 9.7 million A. Yes. 10 gallons is approximately 30 acre-feet per day, correct, 10 Q. And do you believe that that system you 11 have in place is well established and reliable in terms 11 that's discharged into the river? 12 12 of calculating or recording the amount of water you A. I'm going to trust your calculation there. 13 Q. So over a year, 365 days, that's about 13 discharge to the Snake River? 14 A. We do. 14 11,000 acre-feet that's discharged into the river? 15 A. That sounds right. I know usually we're 15 Q. Okay. And is that information easily 16 reporting in gallons. What sticks out in my mind is accessible by you or others within the City? 16 A. Yes, it is. It is part of the reporting 17 3-and-a-half-billion gallons is the flow that would 17 come in annually into the Snake River. required through our NPDES permit. 18 18 19 19 I will mention the City of Idaho Falls' Q. Okay. I'm going to have you turn to --20 Is the Surface Water Coalition's exhibit 20 current NPDES permit was issued by EPA. However, as 21 binder up there, Exhibit 1? 21 has probably been mentioned previous to me being here, 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: I think it's the small one 22 the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality now has 23 underneath the one on your right. 23 primacy over these types of issues. And so all of our 24 24 Q. (BY MR. HARRIS): So if you could look at reporting actually goes to DEQ. 25 25 Exhibit 1 and then turn to page 5. And midway down the So part of our discharge monthly reporting Page 507 Page 505

1 is completed online. And so all of that information 1 is included in the permit. 2 should be available in a database that's accessible by 2 Q. Okay. And just in looking at the permit, 3 State of Idaho employees. 3 if you could turn to the exhibit's page 6. But the 4 Q. So I'm actually going to have you turn to very first thing that the monitoring requirement is 5 your NPDES permit. Look at Exhibit 346. So yeah, put 5 6 the small bound binder to the side. And then 6 And that's a reference to the flow -- the Exhibit 346. measurement of flow from the wastewater treatment 8 8 plant; correct? And you recognize that document; right, 9 9 A. That is correct. Chris. 10 10 Q. And it indicates that it has to be done A. I do. 11 Q. Okay. And so the date on this permit is 11 continuously and recorded; is that right? 12 September 20th, 2012. 12 A. That's right. 13 And so how long is an NPDES permit allowed 13 Q. So how does that measuring device that the 14 for, initially? 14 City has, is it continuously recording the discharge? 15 A. My experience has been generally five 15 A. It is. Very similar to what was described 16 years. 16 earlier by the City of Pocatello. 17 Q. Okay. And since -- well, how long ago did 17 Q. Okay. What other testing and other 18 DEQ take over primacy for wastewater treatment in Idaho 18 requirements does the City have to undertake as part of from EPA? 19 its NPDES approvals? 20 A. So they've taken over a number of different 20 A. So as part of this, all these parameters 21 processes from EPA, whether that be administration of 21 that are listed on this particular table, they're 22 the stormwater program, and then also wastewater as listed as areas that we do want to have data that is well. So that's been phased probably over the last actually sampled and tested for. I believe there's 24 four or five years, is my understanding. over 30 different items here in which we're looking for 25 But in this particular situation I should 25 some of those are very frequent. As mentioned, the Page 508 Page 510 1 point out this permit was issued in 2012 from EPA. It 1 flow is a continuous logging of that data. 2 expired in 2017. We've been operating on an 2 Other of these items, some of these metals 3 administratively extended permit. 3 and whatnot, they're grab samples that are looked at 4 4 twice a year. So the frequency that's required in some EPA had, I'm going to say, a huge number of permits that needed to be reissued, and unfortunately of those testing for these particular parameters or 6 for DEQ, as they took that over now they're working to wastewater constituents vary but are detailed within try to bring those existing permits back up to date. this permit. Q. Great. So what work does Idaho Falls have 8 Q. And how many dedicated staff from the City to do in order to receive the NPDES permit approval or of Idaho Falls work for the wastewater treatment plant? 9 10 10 approval from DEQ? A. So our wastewater division that handles 11 A. So generally as we go through this 11 both wastewater treatment and the collection side, we 12 particular process, we work with a permit writer that 12 have 36 full-time employees. 13 would develop those criteria that are really 13 Q. Okay. And they assist in the reporting 14 requirements that are required under this permit; 14 independent to us as a municipality that discharges to 15 the Snake River. 15 correct? 16 Every discharge point is really different 16 A. They do. 17 in that the water body that they're actually sending Q. And does the City even have its own testing 17 18 their wastewater to, whether that be a storage pond, an 18 lab for some of this water quality? 19 evaporative pond, a small creek. So that all weighs 19 A. We do. In order to get a -- ensure that 20 into what is actually required so that there's no 20 our plant runs effectively and in conformance with this 21 degradation to the receiving body. 21 permit, we have a number of items that are tested for So that permit writer actually works with internally. And then we send -- those items that we 23 the entity that is seeking the permit. There's public can't do internally, we send those out to outside labs 24 comment involved with that. So that works through that 24 25 particular process to establish the criteria in which 25 Q. So is it fair to say that Idaho Falls is

Page 511

Page 509

1 used to complying with administrative oversight and 1 A. We really have not. As I mentioned 2 reporting requirements? 2 earlier, Idaho Falls is pretty unique and blessed with 3 A. I would say yes. So it seems like there's a very large river that's very clean that runs by it. 4 a -- there's kind of an uptake, if you will, in the To this point it's been the most cost-effective means 5 issuance of a new permit as spreadsheets and databases or us to dispose of our wastewater. I don't know what are established, enter that data into. But once that's would make that less so. 7 complete, that's a fairly straightforward process in We are also unique in the fact that any of that water that we put in the river, we also generate that recording. 9 Q. And one thing I should ask as well: The power that also helps serve our residents in Idaho 10 City treats its effluent, but does it also collect 10 Falls as well. 11 effluent from other entities, local entities? 11 Q. Okay. If for some reason the City were to 12 ever directly discharge its effluent into like a 12 A. We do. Q. Okay. What are those entities? recharge site, would that be an expensive proposition? 13 13 14 A. We collect wastewater from the City of What approvals would you have to get in order to make 15 Yukon to the north of Idaho falls and also the 15 that happen? 16 Iona-Bonneville Sewer District that exists immediately 16 A. My understanding would be, as we work with 17 to our east. consultants on these types of issues, is a permit 17 18 Q. And does the City measure how much effluent 18 similar to what we have as far as that would deal with 19 it receives from those entities? 19 land application. 20 20 A. We do. Where their wastewater enters our But I do believe you're going to be 21 system, we have meters. And that's actually how 21 treating that closer to potable water standards than 22 they're billed for that service. what we do today. So it's much more cost effective for 23 23 Q. Okay. So you're motivated for that to be us to have that discharge continue to the Snake River. 24 accurate because that's how they're billed for the 24 Q. And if the City were to land-apply, for 25 service; correct? 25 example, would it also have to treat that water to a Page 512 Page 514 1 A. We are indeed. 1 higher water-quality standard? 2 Q. Okay. What would happen if Idaho Falls 2 A. That's my understanding as well. 3 wastewater was determined to be out of compliance with 3 Q. And so based on the City's established the NPDES permit? Could you just describe the process 4 infrastructure and the way it currently treats its 5 that would happen in that case. wastewater, do you anticipate the City ever changing 6 A. Yeah. Generally that happens with from its current methodology of treating and direct self-reporting. We have 24-hour notification to DEO if discharge water into the Snake River? 8 we are out of compliance. So that would really come 8 A. I suppose -- I suppose "ever" is a long 9 from staff, whether that be from a phone call or 9 time. But I will mention the City of Idaho Falls is in 10 e-mail. And then that would be followed up in the the middle of a process of updating our wastewater 11 discharge monitoring reports that are completed facility plan. This is a planning document that guides 12 monthly. 12 our wastewater treatment. It looks a short-term, be 13 So there's a whole section within the that five years, all the way out to a 20-year horizon. And that's not part of that study or that evaluation. 14 permit that deals with compliance. And so depending on 14 15 what those violations may be, different means to, I 15 Q. Great. And as far as the NPDES permit guess, try to address those would be sought. 16 renewal, has it been your experience that -- whether 17 there are any significant or material changes to the However, I will just say that it's been my 18 experience with the enforcement agencies their primary permit each time it's renewed? 18 19 concern is water quality, and they want to work with 19 A. I would say that's generally the case. It 20 the entity to resolve the issue and move on, as opposed 20 has a lot to do with what technologies have emerged as 21 to being more punitive in nature with fines and those 21 far as how clean the water can be made as far as some 22 types of the things. 22 of the treatment processes that have came along. Q. Has Idaho Falls looked at alternative 23 And it also takes a look at the receiving 24 effluent treatment processes, such as land application, 24 water body, if there's been any impairments. Let's say 25 as its primary treatment methodology? 25 phosphorus has became an issue, then perhaps there's

Page 515

Page 513

1 greater restrictions on our discharge of phosphorus to 1 originally came out, our determination or, I guess, 2 that site as well. thought process really was that they were referencing 3 Q. Okay. And a couple questions that I think 3 the administrative code and that maybe there was a would be helpful here too that I didn't mention to you reference to those small community-type 25 users or 5 before. less. But we weren't really sure what the correct 5 6 But the City's recently undertaken some definition of that term was. 7 conversion projects within the City conversion of Q. Okay. So as an overall matter, do you groundwater-irrigated golf courses and parks to surface agree with that statement that applications for water; correct? municipal water use are fully consumptive or should be 10 A. That's right. We do utilize surface water 10 treated as fully consumptive? 11 in all three of our municipal golf courses. Also, I 11 A. I don't agree with that, no. 12 12 believe the campus of the College of Idaho has been Q. Okay. Why not? 13 converted to surface water. So we continue to look to 13 A. Well, for one, I think every one of our 14 those types of mechanisms to help, I guess, take some municipal wastewater treatment plants are different. 14 15 of the pressure off our deep well usage and not As we mentioned, some of the -- some of the things that 16 overutilize that potable water source. are similar, but then also that they're different. 16 17 17 Q. And so the water for those facilities is But as we look to the water that's 18 not recycled or reused municipal effluent, it's surface 18 available in those returns, I think it becomes pretty water from various irrigation districts within the 19 evident that the water is not currently being used to 20 City; correct? 20 extinction. 21 A. That's correct. 21 Q. Okay. Would Idaho Falls be satisfied with 22 Q. Okay. I'm going to have you turn to 22 amended language that simply said that municipal 23 Exhibit 301 in the exhibit binder. It might be in the 23 applications should be evaluated on a case-by-case other one. Could you turn to page 28. 24 basis? 25 25 At the top of that page -- and this is A. We would. Page 516 Page 518 1 primarily what this hearing is about -- there's a 1 Q. Okay. If IDWR required Idaho Falls to 2 statement in this order issued by the Director that report information to it as a condition of approval for 3 says, "Applications for municipal water use and for a municipal water right permit, would it be difficult 4 domestic use from community water systems shall be or burdensome, in your opinion, for Idaho Falls to 5 considered fully consumptive. Applications for 5 accomplish that? domestic purposes from non-community water systems 6 A. No, I don't believe it will. We currently shall be evaluated on a case-by-case basis to determine report to the Department our consumption or production whether the proposed use is nonconsumptive." from each of our 21 wells. So that's an annual figure 9 9 In your view, based on your experience and that we provide already. 10 10 familiarity with the City's wastewater treatment So being able to even compare that with our 11 processes, is Idaho Falls treated effluent fully 11 wastewater issue, those are all readily accessible data 12 consumptive? 12 points for the City of Idaho Falls to share with any 13 A. No. 13 State agency. 14 Q. Okay. Why not? Q. Okay. I believe you were here when 14 15 A. So it's not actually evaporated or used to Mr. Cefalo testified earlier this morning about some of 16 extinction. As I mentioned, we have the administrative burdens that IDWR could experience 17 9.7 million gallons that are brought back to the Snake if there had to be some follow-up or enforcement of 17 River on a daily basis. conditions with water right permit approvals. 18 18 19 19 Q. So it's not consumed? Do you recall that testimony? 20 A. Correct. 20 A. I do. 21 Q. And there's some terms in this -- the two 21 Q. When Idaho obtained primacy over wastewater 22 sentences I read, "community" and "non-community." 22 from EPA several years ago, what process did the State 23 Are you familiar with those terms at all as 23 engage in to assist in funding the public drinking 24 they're used in the moratorium order? 24 water program? 25 A. No. I think -- I think when this 25 A. So I saw a number of presentations on this, Page 517 Page 519

1	and I don't remember the exact time frame. But I	1	those violations?
2	believe the Department looked at the potential staffing	2	A. I think it's the entity really that we're
3	increases that were required to bring each of those	3	working together as two entities to try to protect what
4	different primacy issues back to the State. So with	4	I believe is one of Idaho's greatest resources. And
5	that, they had anticipated staff needs year to year for	5	when we've ran into a problem, we want to identify that
6	I believe it was a five-year period.	6	and bring those entities together and propose
7	Those costs were then shared with all of	7	solutions.
8	the users. So currently, as an example with the City	8	We felt that partnership even more so as
9	of Idaho Falls, our water customers pay 25 cents	9	DEQ has taken over primacy, you know, in dealing with
10	additionally per month to support the drinking water	10	the EPA and Region 10 in Seattle. I don't think they
11	program.	11	have a very good understanding, perhaps, of local Idaho
12	And then our wastewater customers actually	12	issues. But I do feel that that's been the case as
13	pay 15 cents per month to help support the wastewater	13	we've worked with our local offices with DEQ.
14	and stormwater work that DEQ now has taken over.	14	Q. I'm aware of recent community systems, I'll
15	Q. In your opinion, has that worked well to	15	call them, community wastewater treatment systems, that
16	help fund that program?	16	haven't self-reported those violations, and they're in
17	A. I believe it has. I think nothing's more	17	your neighborhood of the state.
18	frustrating, at least from my perspective, when we have	18	Are you familiar with any of those
19	unfunded mandates that come. But being able to sit at	19	entities?
20	the table and actually talk about how we'll actually	20	A. I believe Island Park is one of those,
21	stand up these programs and fund them together, I think	21	yeah.
22	that's been a very successful approach that DEQ has	22	Q. And so if, you know, someone operating in
23	undertaken.	23	good faith did self-report those, but obviously there's
24	Q. So if there was an issue with allocation of	24	at least examples of certain entities that don't
25	IDWR staff to address creative new water right permits,	25	operate in good faith, what are the repercussions for
	Page 520		Page 522
_			
1	again, absent appropriation of additional resources	1	that? So not reporting the not self-reporting the
1 2	again, absent appropriation of additional resources from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the	1 2	1 0 1 0
l .	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the	l .	that? So not reporting the not self-reporting the violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been
2	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the	2	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been
2 3	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support?	2 3	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you
2 3 4	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the	2 3 4	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what
2 3 4 5	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add	2 3 4 5	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there?
2 3 4 5	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES,	2 3 4 5 6	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what
2 3 4 5 6 7	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES, whatever we want to refer that to in the future, those	2 3 4 5 6 7	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there? A. Actually, I believe if you look at most of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES, whatever we want to refer that to in the future, those violations are currently self-reported. Right? So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there? A. Actually, I believe if you look at most of the NPDES permits of that as an example, the compliance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES, whatever we want to refer that to in the future, those violations are currently self-reported. Right? So it's our staff that's identifying we've incurred a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there? A. Actually, I believe if you look at most of the NPDES permits of that as an example, the compliance side, some of the criminal, punitive measures that can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES, whatever we want to refer that to in the future, those violations are currently self-reported. Right? So it's our staff that's identifying we've incurred a violation and notifying DEQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there? A. Actually, I believe if you look at most of the NPDES permits of that as an example, the compliance side, some of the criminal, punitive measures that can be undertaken, I mean you're talking about some that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES, whatever we want to refer that to in the future, those violations are currently self-reported. Right? So it's our staff that's identifying we've incurred a violation and notifying DEQ. Something very similar, I imagine, would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there? A. Actually, I believe if you look at most of the NPDES permits of that as an example, the compliance side, some of the criminal, punitive measures that can be undertaken, I mean you're talking about some that are \$37,000 per day or per incident it's \$50,000 per
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES, whatever we want to refer that to in the future, those violations are currently self-reported. Right? So it's our staff that's identifying we've incurred a violation and notifying DEQ. Something very similar, I imagine, would be beneficial in this particular scenario.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there? A. Actually, I believe if you look at most of the NPDES permits of that as an example, the compliance side, some of the criminal, punitive measures that can be undertaken, I mean you're talking about some that are \$37,000 per day or per incident it's \$50,000 per day. And if they're life threatening, I believe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES, whatever we want to refer that to in the future, those violations are currently self-reported. Right? So it's our staff that's identifying we've incurred a violation and notifying DEQ. Something very similar, I imagine, would be beneficial in this particular scenario. MR. HARRIS: Great. I have no further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there? A. Actually, I believe if you look at most of the NPDES permits of that as an example, the compliance side, some of the criminal, punitive measures that can be undertaken, I mean you're talking about some that are \$37,000 per day or per incident it's \$50,000 per day. And if they're life threatening, I believe there's \$1 million and \$2 million punitive damages, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES, whatever we want to refer that to in the future, those violations are currently self-reported. Right? So it's our staff that's identifying we've incurred a violation and notifying DEQ. Something very similar, I imagine, would be beneficial in this particular scenario. MR. HARRIS: Great. I have no further questions. Thank you, Chris. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any cross?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there? A. Actually, I believe if you look at most of the NPDES permits of that as an example, the compliance side, some of the criminal, punitive measures that can be undertaken, I mean you're talking about some that are \$37,000 per day or per incident it's \$50,000 per day. And if they're life threatening, I believe there's \$1 million and \$2 million punitive damages, and also criminal charges that can be brought.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES, whatever we want to refer that to in the future, those violations are currently self-reported. Right? So it's our staff that's identifying we've incurred a violation and notifying DEQ. Something very similar, I imagine, would be beneficial in this particular scenario. MR. HARRIS: Great. I have no further questions. Thank you, Chris.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there? A. Actually, I believe if you look at most of the NPDES permits of that as an example, the compliance side, some of the criminal, punitive measures that can be undertaken, I mean you're talking about some that are \$37,000 per day or per incident it's \$50,000 per day. And if they're life threatening, I believe there's \$1 million and \$2 million punitive damages, and also criminal charges that can be brought. So there's a fairly well-documented means
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES, whatever we want to refer that to in the future, those violations are currently self-reported. Right? So it's our staff that's identifying we've incurred a violation and notifying DEQ. Something very similar, I imagine, would be beneficial in this particular scenario. MR. HARRIS: Great. I have no further questions. Thank you, Chris. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any cross? MR. FLETCHER: I have no questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there? A. Actually, I believe if you look at most of the NPDES permits of that as an example, the compliance side, some of the criminal, punitive measures that can be undertaken, I mean you're talking about some that are \$37,000 per day or per incident it's \$50,000 per day. And if they're life threatening, I believe there's \$1 million and \$2 million punitive damages, and also criminal charges that can be brought. So there's a fairly well-documented means to bring people to the table so that we can cooperate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES, whatever we want to refer that to in the future, those violations are currently self-reported. Right? So it's our staff that's identifying we've incurred a violation and notifying DEQ. Something very similar, I imagine, would be beneficial in this particular scenario. MR. HARRIS: Great. I have no further questions. Thank you, Chris. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any cross? MR. FLETCHER: I have no questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: No questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there? A. Actually, I believe if you look at most of the NPDES permits of that as an example, the compliance side, some of the criminal, punitive measures that can be undertaken, I mean you're talking about some that are \$37,000 per day or per incident it's \$50,000 per day. And if they're life threatening, I believe there's \$1 million and \$2 million punitive damages, and also criminal charges that can be brought. So there's a fairly well-documented means to bring people to the table so that we can cooperate and address those issues.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES, whatever we want to refer that to in the future, those violations are currently self-reported. Right? So it's our staff that's identifying we've incurred a violation and notifying DEQ. Something very similar, I imagine, would be beneficial in this particular scenario. MR. HARRIS: Great. I have no further questions. Thank you, Chris. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any cross? MR. FLETCHER: I have no questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: No questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there? A. Actually, I believe if you look at most of the NPDES permits of that as an example, the compliance side, some of the criminal, punitive measures that can be undertaken, I mean you're talking about some that are \$37,000 per day or per incident it's \$50,000 per day. And if they're life threatening, I believe there's \$1 million and \$2 million punitive damages, and also criminal charges that can be brought. So there's a fairly well-documented means to bring people to the table so that we can cooperate and address those issues. Q. Is one of the outcomes that DEQ ends up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES, whatever we want to refer that to in the future, those violations are currently self-reported. Right? So it's our staff that's identifying we've incurred a violation and notifying DEQ. Something very similar, I imagine, would be beneficial in this particular scenario. MR. HARRIS: Great. I have no further questions. Thank you, Chris. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any cross? MR. FLETCHER: I have no questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: No questions. MR. BAXTER: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there? A. Actually, I believe if you look at most of the NPDES permits of that as an example, the compliance side, some of the criminal, punitive measures that can be undertaken, I mean you're talking about some that are \$37,000 per day or per incident it's \$50,000 per day. And if they're life threatening, I believe there's \$1 million and \$2 million punitive damages, and also criminal charges that can be brought. So there's a fairly well-documented means to bring people to the table so that we can cooperate and address those issues. Q. Is one of the outcomes that DEQ ends up operating that system?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES, whatever we want to refer that to in the future, those violations are currently self-reported. Right? So it's our staff that's identifying we've incurred a violation and notifying DEQ. Something very similar, I imagine, would be beneficial in this particular scenario. MR. HARRIS: Great. I have no further questions. Thank you, Chris. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any cross? MR. FLETCHER: I have no questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: No questions. MR. BAXTER: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there? A. Actually, I believe if you look at most of the NPDES permits of that as an example, the compliance side, some of the criminal, punitive measures that can be undertaken, I mean you're talking about some that are \$37,000 per day or per incident it's \$50,000 per day. And if they're life threatening, I believe there's \$1 million and \$2 million punitive damages, and also criminal charges that can be brought. So there's a fairly well-documented means to bring people to the table so that we can cooperate and address those issues. Q. Is one of the outcomes that DEQ ends up operating that system? A. I believe they have taken over that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES, whatever we want to refer that to in the future, those violations are currently self-reported. Right? So it's our staff that's identifying we've incurred a violation and notifying DEQ. Something very similar, I imagine, would be beneficial in this particular scenario. MR. HARRIS: Great. I have no further questions. Thank you, Chris. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any cross? MR. FLETCHER: I have no questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: No questions. MR. BAXTER: No. EXAMINATION BY THE HEARING OFFICER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there? A. Actually, I believe if you look at most of the NPDES permits of that as an example, the compliance side, some of the criminal, punitive measures that can be undertaken, I mean you're talking about some that are \$37,000 per day or per incident it's \$50,000 per day. And if they're life threatening, I believe there's \$1 million and \$2 million punitive damages, and also criminal charges that can be brought. So there's a fairly well-documented means to bring people to the table so that we can cooperate and address those issues. Q. Is one of the outcomes that DEQ ends up operating that system? A. I believe they have taken over that ownership and are looking for a private entity to help
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES, whatever we want to refer that to in the future, those violations are currently self-reported. Right? So it's our staff that's identifying we've incurred a violation and notifying DEQ. Something very similar, I imagine, would be beneficial in this particular scenario. MR. HARRIS: Great. I have no further questions. Thank you, Chris. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any cross? MR. FLETCHER: I have no questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: No questions. MR. BAXTER: No. EXAMINATION BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. I just have one question for you, just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there? A. Actually, I believe if you look at most of the NPDES permits of that as an example, the compliance side, some of the criminal, punitive measures that can be undertaken, I mean you're talking about some that are \$37,000 per day or per incident it's \$50,000 per day. And if they're life threatening, I believe there's \$1 million and \$2 million punitive damages, and also criminal charges that can be brought. So there's a fairly well-documented means to bring people to the table so that we can cooperate and address those issues. Q. Is one of the outcomes that DEQ ends up operating that system? A. I believe they have taken over that ownership and are looking for a private entity to help participate in the management thereof.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from the legislature, is funding through a fee like the DEQ drinking water program something that you or the City of Idaho Falls would support? A. I think it is. And I also would just add to that in the fact that similar to the NPDES or IPDES, whatever we want to refer that to in the future, those violations are currently self-reported. Right? So it's our staff that's identifying we've incurred a violation and notifying DEQ. Something very similar, I imagine, would be beneficial in this particular scenario. MR. HARRIS: Great. I have no further questions. Thank you, Chris. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any cross? MR. FLETCHER: I have no questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: No questions. MR. BAXTER: No. EXAMINATION BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. I just have one question for you, just based on the last statement you made that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	violations, where does DEQ go? And how do they know that there were violations that have not been self-reported? Do you know? And maybe I'm asking you something outside of your understanding. But what would be the next step there? A. Actually, I believe if you look at most of the NPDES permits of that as an example, the compliance side, some of the criminal, punitive measures that can be undertaken, I mean you're talking about some that are \$37,000 per day or per incident it's \$50,000 per day. And if they're life threatening, I believe there's \$1 million and \$2 million punitive damages, and also criminal charges that can be brought. So there's a fairly well-documented means to bring people to the table so that we can cooperate and address those issues. Q. Is one of the outcomes that DEQ ends up operating that system? A. I believe they have taken over that ownership and are looking for a private entity to help participate in the management thereof. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I don't have

1	MR. BRICKER: Director.	1	the record.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, sir.	2	(Exhibit 346 admitted.)
3	MR. BRICKER: If there's no other questions, I	3	MR. HARRIS: Director, in addition to that, we
4	forgot to move to admit some of the exhibits that I	4	included in our exhibits just several water right
5	discussed.	5	summaries just for a quick-and-ready reference for a
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: I think we have a number	6	number of the municipal entities that are here or that
7	of exhibits that we need to clean up.	7	are being represented.
8	MR. HARRIS: Yeah, I was just going to do that	8	And rather than have them testify
9	after you were done.	9	individually and take up all of our time, I would just
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.	10	move that we admit those. I believe they're did we
11	MR. HARRIS: So I need to move on two of our	11	already admit those?
12	exhibits. But if you want to go ahead first.	12	I'll be quiet now. I missed that request.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: So before we get to that,	13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So then it's my
14	I just want to make sure we're all on the same page.	14	understanding that we're all exhibits that we want
15	That concludes the lay witness testimony	15	to bring into the record have been admitted.
16	for the first issue in the hearing?	16	Okay. And I think there was some
17	MR. HARRIS: I believe so.	17	discussion before the break about taking official
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And so, Sarah, were	18	notice of five municipal water right applications that
19	you keeping track of exhibits that have been discussed	19	are pending inside the in the moratorium area
20	but not admitted.	20	boundaries.
21	SARAH TSCHOHL: Yeah. I've got 328, 330, 344,	21	And I thought about that issue over the
22	and 346.	22	break. And I'm going to decline to take official
23	MR. LAWRENCE: There should also be 337.	23	notice of those.
24	SARAH TSCHOHL: 337 has already been admitted.	24	So with that, are we ready to move on to
25	MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you.	25	issue No. 2?
	Page 524		Page 526
1	MR. HARRIS: 334.	1	MS. McHUGH: Mr. Director, yes. But what I
2	SARAH TSCHOHL: 334 has already been admitted.	2	would like to do, if we could, just because it is a
3	I believe it's just those four that were	3	little bit quicker than we're anticipating, could we
	1:1 1 1 1 1 11		ntile bit quieker than we're anticipating, could we
4	discussed, and there's been no motions or formal	4	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at
5	introductions.	5	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at
	,		take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at
5	introductions.	5 6	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or
5 6	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and	5 6	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then
5 6 7	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and 330?	5 6 7	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then start?
5 6 7 8	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and 330? SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. And City of Hailey is 344,	5 6 7 8	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then start? THE HEARING OFFICER: Is everyone here also
5 6 7 8 9	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and 330? SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. And City of Hailey is 344, and City of Idaho Falls is 346.	5 6 7 8 9	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then start? THE HEARING OFFICER: Is everyone here also participating in issue 2?
5 6 7 8 9 10	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and 330? SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. And City of Hailey is 344, and City of Idaho Falls is 346. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Go ahead.	5 6 7 8 9 10	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then start? THE HEARING OFFICER: Is everyone here also participating in issue 2? MR. HARRIS: No.
5 6 7 8 9 10	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and 330? SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. And City of Hailey is 344, and City of Idaho Falls is 346. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Go ahead. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibits 328	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then start? THE HEARING OFFICER: Is everyone here also participating in issue 2? MR. HARRIS: No. MR. FLETCHER: No.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and 330? SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. And City of Hailey is 344, and City of Idaho Falls is 346. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Go ahead. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibits 328 and 330.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then start? THE HEARING OFFICER: Is everyone here also participating in issue 2? MR. HARRIS: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: And so that might also
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and 330? SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. And City of Hailey is 344, and City of Idaho Falls is 346. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Go ahead. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibits 328 and 330. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then start? THE HEARING OFFICER: Is everyone here also participating in issue 2? MR. HARRIS: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: And so that might also allow a little bit of a break.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and 330? SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. And City of Hailey is 344, and City of Idaho Falls is 346. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Go ahead. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibits 328 and 330. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? All right. We'll bring Exhibits 328 and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then start? THE HEARING OFFICER: Is everyone here also participating in issue 2? MR. HARRIS: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: And so that might also allow a little bit of a break. MR. FLETCHER: We're going to have a mass
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and 330? SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. And City of Hailey is 344, and City of Idaho Falls is 346. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Go ahead. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibits 328 and 330. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? All right. We'll bring Exhibits 328 and 330 into the record.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then start? THE HEARING OFFICER: Is everyone here also participating in issue 2? MR. HARRIS: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: And so that might also allow a little bit of a break. MR. FLETCHER: We're going to have a mass exodus.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and 330? SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. And City of Hailey is 344, and City of Idaho Falls is 346. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Go ahead. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibits 328 and 330. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? All right. We'll bring Exhibits 328 and 330 into the record. (Exhibits 328 and 330 admitted.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then start? THE HEARING OFFICER: Is everyone here also participating in issue 2? MR. HARRIS: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: And so that might also allow a little bit of a break. MR. FLETCHER: We're going to have a mass exodus. THE HEARING OFFICER: For people to leave and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and 330? SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. And City of Hailey is 344, and City of Idaho Falls is 346. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Go ahead. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibits 328 and 330. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? All right. We'll bring Exhibits 328 and 330 into the record. (Exhibits 328 and 330 admitted.) MR. LAWRENCE: I'll move to admit Exhibit 344.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then start? THE HEARING OFFICER: Is everyone here also participating in issue 2? MR. HARRIS: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: And so that might also allow a little bit of a break. MR. FLETCHER: We're going to have a mass exodus. THE HEARING OFFICER: For people to leave and not disrupt the hearing process. So I think that's a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and 330? SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. And City of Hailey is 344, and City of Idaho Falls is 346. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Go ahead. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibits 328 and 330. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? All right. We'll bring Exhibits 328 and 330 into the record. (Exhibits 328 and 330 admitted.) MR. LAWRENCE: I'll move to admit Exhibit 344. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then start? THE HEARING OFFICER: Is everyone here also participating in issue 2? MR. HARRIS: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: And so that might also allow a little bit of a break. MR. FLETCHER: We're going to have a mass exodus. THE HEARING OFFICER: For people to leave and not disrupt the hearing process. So I think that's a good proposal.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and 330? SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. And City of Hailey is 344, and City of Idaho Falls is 346. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Go ahead. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibits 328 and 330. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? All right. We'll bring Exhibits 328 and 330 into the record. (Exhibits 328 and 330 admitted.) MR. LAWRENCE: I'll move to admit Exhibit 344. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? All right. We'll bring Exhibit 344 into	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then start? THE HEARING OFFICER: Is everyone here also participating in issue 2? MR. HARRIS: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: And so that might also allow a little bit of a break. MR. FLETCHER: We're going to have a mass exodus. THE HEARING OFFICER: For people to leave and not disrupt the hearing process. So I think that's a good proposal. So let's reconvene at three o'clock.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and 330? SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. And City of Hailey is 344, and City of Idaho Falls is 346. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Go ahead. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibits 328 and 330. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? All right. We'll bring Exhibits 328 and 330 into the record. (Exhibits 328 and 330 admitted.) MR. LAWRENCE: I'll move to admit Exhibit 344. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? All right. We'll bring Exhibit 344 into the record.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then start? THE HEARING OFFICER: Is everyone here also participating in issue 2? MR. HARRIS: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: And so that might also allow a little bit of a break. MR. FLETCHER: We're going to have a mass exodus. THE HEARING OFFICER: For people to leave and not disrupt the hearing process. So I think that's a good proposal. So let's reconvene at three o'clock. (Discussion.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and 330? SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. And City of Hailey is 344, and City of Idaho Falls is 346. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Go ahead. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibits 328 and 330. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? All right. We'll bring Exhibits 328 and 330 into the record. (Exhibits 328 and 330 admitted.) MR. LAWRENCE: I'll move to admit Exhibit 344. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? All right. We'll bring Exhibit 344 into the record. (Exhibit 344 admitted.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then start? THE HEARING OFFICER: Is everyone here also participating in issue 2? MR. HARRIS: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: And so that might also allow a little bit of a break. MR. FLETCHER: We're going to have a mass exodus. THE HEARING OFFICER: For people to leave and not disrupt the hearing process. So I think that's a good proposal. So let's reconvene at three o'clock. (Discussion.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Back on the record.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and 330? SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. And City of Hailey is 344, and City of Idaho Falls is 346. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Go ahead. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibits 328 and 330. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? All right. We'll bring Exhibits 328 and 330 into the record. (Exhibits 328 and 330 admitted.) MR. LAWRENCE: I'll move to admit Exhibit 344. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? All right. We'll bring Exhibit 344 into the record. (Exhibit 344 admitted.) MR. HARRIS: And I believe 334 is already in.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then start? THE HEARING OFFICER: Is everyone here also participating in issue 2? MR. HARRIS: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: And so that might also allow a little bit of a break. MR. FLETCHER: We're going to have a mass exodus. THE HEARING OFFICER: For people to leave and not disrupt the hearing process. So I think that's a good proposal. So let's reconvene at three o'clock. (Discussion.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Back on the record. So the question is, opportunity for
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	introductions. MR. BRICKER: For Pocatello it was just 328 and 330? SARAH TSCHOHL: Yes. And City of Hailey is 344, and City of Idaho Falls is 346. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Go ahead. MR. BRICKER: I'll move to admit Exhibits 328 and 330. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? All right. We'll bring Exhibits 328 and 330 into the record. (Exhibits 328 and 330 admitted.) MR. LAWRENCE: I'll move to admit Exhibit 344. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? All right. We'll bring Exhibit 344 into the record. (Exhibit 344 admitted.) MR. HARRIS: And I believe 334 is already in. But I would move to admit 346.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	take like a 15, 20-minute break, and maybe start at three o'clock on that so we can get our exhibits or documents together and put that together and then start? THE HEARING OFFICER: Is everyone here also participating in issue 2? MR. HARRIS: No. MR. FLETCHER: No. THE HEARING OFFICER: And so that might also allow a little bit of a break. MR. FLETCHER: We're going to have a mass exodus. THE HEARING OFFICER: For people to leave and not disrupt the hearing process. So I think that's a good proposal. So let's reconvene at three o'clock. (Discussion.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Back on the record. So the question is, opportunity for post-hearing briefing.

1 MR. BRICKER: Well, I think we would like to 1 MS. McHUGH: Oh, interesting. 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: How about close of 2 have the opportunity. 3 MS. McHUGH: Yeah. From our side we'd be in 3 business Friday, November 17th? 4 favor. 4 SARAH TSCHOHL: And I know we're talking about THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Other side? 5 5 issue one. 6 MR. THOMPSON: If they want to write mine, they 6 But to clarify, would this be joint issue 7 can. It doesn't matter. one and two for those of you guys? 8 MS. McHUGH: I'm happy to write yours, Travis. 8 MS. McHUGH: Yes. 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: Doesn't matter. Okay. 9 SARAH TSCHOHL: Okay. 10 10 MS. McHUGH: Am I a surface water representative MS. McHUGH: That's fine with me -- or us. Am I 11 or a groundwater representative? 11 representing -- I'm speaking for us, apparently. I'm 12 MR. THOMPSON: So ... 12 taking charge. 13 13 MS. McHUGH: Okay. MR. LAWRENCE: 15 pages sounds a little small, a 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: So what are typical 14 little light for doing two issues. 15 limitations on briefing that you're familiar with? I'm MS. McHUGH: What about 20 pages? 25 pages? 15 16 MR. FLETCHER: That raises an issue. Should we 16 thinking --17 MS. McHUGH: You mean like page limitations? 17 do separate briefs for each? 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Page count and timing. 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, I think that's a 19 MS. McHUGH: I think it should be simultaneous 19 good suggestion. 20 20 within two weeks? MS. McHUGH: Yeah. 21 MR. BROMLEY: Two weeks. 21 MR. FLETCHER: Because a lot of people that are 22 MS. McHUGH: And -- I don't know -- 15 pages. 22 in 1 --23 THE HEARING OFFICER: And only one round, then, 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yep. 24 of briefing, no responses? MR. FLETCHER: -- aren't going to be involved in 24 25 MS. McHUGH: Correct. 25 2. Page 530 Page 528 1 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. 1 MS. McHUGH: Fair enough. 15 pages each, 2 MR. FLETCHER: We have an oral argument on an 2 separate on the issues, both through the same time, all 3 appeal a week from Monday. So we'd ask for a little 3 simultaneous on November 17th. 4 4 bit more time than that. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So I think I'm 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I can't get my 5 comfortable with the proposal of 15-page limits on 6 calendar up. Part of my IT problems. 6 briefing per issue. All briefing due by Friday, 7 MS. McHUGH: So on the 31st do you have an oral 7 November 17th, 5:00 p.m., close of business. No round 8 argument? of briefing beyond that. 9 9 MR. FLETCHER: Font 16, help you with your glass MR. FLETCHER: 30th. 10 MS. McHUGH: Oh, Monday. Okay. 10 situation. 11 MR. BROMLEY: How about three weeks, then? 11 THE HEARING OFFICER: 12 at least, .12. 12 MS. McHUGH: What about the 7th of November? 12 All right. Thank you. We'll be back here 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Garrick, I'd ask you to --13 in -- how about we say 3:10. 14 okay -- I don't know that it needs to be open in my 14 (Recess.) 15 calendar. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: So maybe just a little 16 What -- so maybe three weeks, then? Or 16 introduction. 17 four weeks? 17 SARAH TSCHOHL: Are we on the record? 18 MR. BAXTER: What was the proposal? 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's go on the record, if 19 MR. HARRIS: Originally it was two. 19 we're not already. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: More than two weeks 20 So we previously agreed to divide the 21 because of upcoming argument. 21 hearing up into two issues in the scheduling order that MR. HARRIS: We can say three now, if that 22 22 went out. Let's see what the date here. Notice of 23 works. 23 Hearing and Scheduling order dated March 31st of this 24 MR. FLETCHER: The appeal and the breach issue 24 year. 25 is October 30th. 25 The second issue was described as whether Page 529 Page 531

1 all pumping in the Big Wood Groundwater Management Area 2 has an impact on all surface water sources upstream 3 from Magic Reservoir, including Silver Creek. 4 So we'll move on that now with expert 5 witness testimony and just a little bit of 6 housekeeping. So looking through the various summaries 7 here at the break, expert witnesses that I've 8 identified, and I'd just like some confirmation that 9 I've got a complete list. Greg Sullivan, Jennifer 10 Sukow, Zach Hill, Kendra Kaiser, Bryce Contor, Eric 11 Miller, Thane Kindred, Dave Shaw, and Erick Powell. 12 Am I is anyone on that list who 13 shouldn't be? And have I forgotten anyone? 14 I don't see anyone indicating one way or 15 the other. So again, just to further my understanding, 16 we'll start with Mr. Sullivan is an expert witness and 6 qualified to give opinions that have been rendered in 7 his expert report. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, at least my 9 understanding is that was certainly true as to issue 10 one. 11 Would we consider to hold that position for 12 issue two? 13 MR. FLETCHER: I thought it was for all 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 15 the other. So again, just to further my understanding, 16 we'll start with Mr. Sullivan is an expert witness and 17 his expert report. 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, at least my 19 understanding is that was certainly true as to issue 10 one. 11 Would we consider to hold that position for 12 issue two? 13 MR. FLETCHER: I thought it was for all 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: So are we stipulate. 15 the expert status of all of the witnesses I just identified? 16 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head no 18 MR. FLETCHER: I would of the witnesses I just identified? 18 MR. FLETCHER: I would of the expert status of all of the witnesses I just identified? 19 MR. FLETCHER: I would of the expert status of all of the witnesses I just identified? 20 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 21 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 22 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 24 MS. McHUGH: I would have to I would stip
3 from Magic Reservoir, including Silver Creek. 4 So we'll move on that now with expert 5 witness testimony and just a little bit of 6 housekeeping. So looking through the various summaries 7 here at the break, expert witnesses that I've 8 identified, and I'd just like some confirmation that 9 I've got a complete list. Greg Sullivan, Jennifer 10 Sukow, Zach Hill, Kendra Kaiser, Bryce Contor, Eric 11 Miller, Thane Kindred, Dave Shaw, and Erick Powell. 12 Am I is anyone on that list who 13 shouldn't be? And have I forgotten anyone? 14 I don't see anyone indicating one way or 15 the other. So again, just to further my understanding, 16 we'll start with Mr. Sullivan. Depending on when we 17 wrap up here, we can go to Jennifer Sukow, but she has 18 a hard out today at five o'clock. And so if that 19 timing doesn't work, perhaps Dave Shaw could go after 20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 MS. McHugh: Thank you. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 26 the City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 27 the City of Bellevue as his expert witness and 28 MS. McHugh: Okay. And I believe, Mr. Hearir 4 Officer, that all the parties have stipulated to the 5 fact that Mr. Sullivan is an expert witness and 6 qualified to give opinions that have been rendered in 7 his expert report. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, at least my 9 understanding is that was certainly true as to issue 10 one. 11 Would we consider to hold that position for 12 issue two? 13 MR. FLETCHER: I thought it was for all 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 15 MR. FLETCHER: I would he witnesses I just 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: So are we stipulating 17 the expert status of all of the witnesses I just 18 identified? 19 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head no 21 from Mr. Barker and Mr. Fletcher. 22 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 24 MS. McHugh: I would have to I would 25 tipulate to those qualifications
4 So we'll move on that now with expert 5 witness testimony and just a little bit of 6 housekeeping. So looking through the various summaries 7 here at the break, expert witnesses that I've 8 identified, and I'd just like some confirmation that 9 I've got a complete list. Greg Sullivan, Jennifer 10 Sukow, Zach Hill, Kendra Kaiser, Bryce Contor, Eric 11 Miller, Thane Kindred, Dave Shaw, and Erick Powell. 12 Am I - is anyone on that list who 13 shouldn't be? And have I forgotten anyone? 14 I don't see anyone indicating one way or 15 the other. So again, just to further my understanding, 16 we'll start with Mr. Sullivan. Depending on when we 17 wrap up here, we can go to Jennifer Sukow, but she has 18 a hard out today at five o'clock. And so if that 19 timing doesn't work, perhaps Dave Shaw could go after 20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 Ms. McHugh, to start. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 2 for both of those entities. 4 Officer, that all the parties have stipulated to the 5 fact that Mr. Sullivan is an expert witness and 6 qualified to give opinions that have been rendered in 7 his expert report. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, at least my 9 understanding is that was certainly true as to issue 10 one. 11 Would we consider to hold that position for 12 issue two? 13 MR. FLETCHER: I thought it was for all 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 15 MR. FLETCHER: both issues. I'd stipulate. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: So are we stipulating 17 the expert status of all of the witnesses I just 18 identified? 19 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head no 21 from Mr. Barker and Mr. Fletcher. 22 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 24 MS. McHugh: I would have to I would 25 stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, 26 Page 27 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would no to review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
5 witness testimony and just a little bit of 6 housekeeping. So looking through the various summaries 7 here at the break, expert witnesses that I've 8 identified, and I'd just like some confirmation that 9 I've got a complete list. Greg Sullivan, Jennifer 10 Sukow, Zach Hill, Kendra Kaiser, Bryce Contor, Eric 11 Miller, Thane Kindred, Dave Shaw, and Erick Powell. 12 Am I is anyone on that list who 13 shouldn't be? And have I forgotten anyone? 14 I don't see anyone indicating one way or 15 the other. So again, just to further my understanding, 16 we'll start with Mr. Sullivan. Depending on when we 17 wrap up here, we can go to Jennifer Sukow, but she has 18 a hard out today at five o'clock. And so if that 19 timing doesn't work, perhaps Dave Shaw could go after 20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 MS. McHugh, to start. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 26 for both of those entities. 5 fact that Mr. Sullivan is an expert witness and 6 qualified to give opinions that have been rendered in 7 his expert report. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, at least my 9 understanding is that was certainly true as to issue 10 one. 11 Would we consider to hold that position for 12 issue two? 13 MR. FLETCHER: I thought it was for all 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 15 MR. FLETCHER: both issues. I'd stipulate. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: So are we stipulating it dentified? 17 the expert status of all of the witnesses I just identified? 18 identified? 19 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head no officentified? 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head no officentified? 22 Ms. McHugh: Thank you. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 24 Ms. McHugh: I would have to I would a stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, Page 532
6 housekeeping. So looking through the various summaries 7 here at the break, expert witnesses that I've 8 identified, and I'd just like some confirmation that 9 I've got a complete list. Greg Sullivan, Jennifer 10 Sukow, Zach Hill, Kendra Kaiser, Bryce Contor, Eric 11 Miller, Thane Kindred, Dave Shaw, and Erick Powell. 12 Am I is anyone on that list who 13 shouldn't be? And have I forgotten anyone? 14 I don't see anyone indicating one way or 15 the other. So again, just to further my understanding, 16 we'll start with Mr. Sullivan. Depending on when we 17 wrap up here, we can go to Jennifer Sukow, but she has 18 a hard out today at five o'clock. And so if that 19 timing doesn't work, perhaps Dave Shaw could go after 20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 MS. McHugh; Thank you. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 26 for both of those entities. 3 dequalified to give opinions that have been rendered in 7 his expert report. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, at least my 9 understanding is that was certainly true as to issue 10 one. 11 Would we consider to hold that position for 12 issue two? 13 MR. FLETCHER: I thought it was for all 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 15 MR. FLETCHER: both issues. I'd stipulate. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: So are we stipulating the expert status of all of the witnesses I just identified? 19 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head no from Mr. Barker and Mr. Fletcher. 22 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 23 MS. McHugh; to start. 24 MS. McHugh: I would have to I would stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, 24 MS. McHugh: I would have to I would stipulate to those qualifications. I just need to
7 here at the break, expert witnesses that I've 8 identified, and I'd just like some confirmation that 9 I've got a complete list. Greg Sullivan, Jennifer 10 Sukow, Zach Hill, Kendra Kaiser, Bryce Contor, Eric 11 Miller, Thane Kindred, Dave Shaw, and Erick Powell. 12 Am I is anyone on that list who 13 shouldn't be? And have I forgotten anyone? 14 I don't see anyone indicating one way or 15 the other. So again, just to further my understanding, 16 we'll start with Mr. Sullivan. Depending on when we 17 wrap up here, we can go to Jennifer Sukow, but she has 18 a hard out today at five o'clock. And so if that 19 timing doesn't work, perhaps Dave Shaw could go after 20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh; to start. 23 MS. McHugh; to start. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 26 for both of those entities. 7 his expert report. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, at least my 9 understanding is that was certainly true as to issue 10 one. 11 Would we consider to hold that position for 12 issue two? 13 MR. FLETCHER: I thought it was for all 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 15 MR. FLETCHER: both issues. I'd stipulate. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: So are we stipulating. 17 the expert status of all of the witnesses I just identified? 19 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head no. 21 From Mr. Barker and Mr. Fletcher. 22 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 24 MS. McHugh: I would have to I would stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, 25 Page 532 1 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would not or review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
8 identified, and I'd just like some confirmation that 9 I've got a complete list. Greg Sullivan, Jennifer 10 Sukow, Zach Hill, Kendra Kaiser, Bryce Contor, Eric 11 Miller, Thane Kindred, Dave Shaw, and Erick Powell. 12 Am I is anyone on that list who 13 shouldn't be? And have I forgotten anyone? 14 I don't see anyone indicating one way or 15 the other. So again, just to further my understanding, 16 we'll start with Mr. Sullivan. Depending on when we 17 wrap up here, we can go to Jennifer Sukow, but she has 18 a hard out today at five o'clock. And so if that 19 timing doesn't work, perhaps Dave Shaw could go after 20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 MS. McHugh, to start. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 26 for both of those entities. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, at least my 9 understanding is that was certainly true as to issue 10 one. 11 Would we consider to hold that position for 12 issue two? 11 Miller, Thane Kindred, Dave Shaw, and Erick Powell. 12 issue two? 13 MR. FLETCHER: I thought it was for all 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 15 MR. FLETCHER: both issues. I'd stipulate. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: So are we stipulating the expert status of all of the witnesses I just identified? 18 identified? 19 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head no THE HEARING OFFICER:
9 I've got a complete list. Greg Sullivan, Jennifer 10 Sukow, Zach Hill, Kendra Kaiser, Bryce Contor, Eric 11 Miller, Thane Kindred, Dave Shaw, and Erick Powell. 12 Am I is anyone on that list who 13 shouldn't be? And have I forgotten anyone? 14 I don't see anyone indicating one way or 15 the other. So again, just to further my understanding, 16 we'll start with Mr. Sullivan. Depending on when we 17 wrap up here, we can go to Jennifer Sukow, but she has 18 a hard out today at five o'clock. And so if that 19 timing doesn't work, perhaps Dave Shaw could go after 20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 MS. McHugh: Thank you. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 26 I the City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 27 I the City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 28 for both of those entities. 9 understanding is that was certainly true as to issue 10 one. 11 Would we consider to hold that position for 12 issue two? 13 MR. FLETCHER: I thought it was for all 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 15 MR. FLETCHER: I obth issues. I'd stipulate. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: So are we stipulating the expert status of all of the witnesses I just identified? 18 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 19 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head no from Mr. Barker and Mr. Fletcher. 21 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 22 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 24 MS. McHugh: I would have to I would stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, 25 Page 532
10 Sukow, Zach Hill, Kendra Kaiser, Bryce Contor, Eric 11 Miller, Thane Kindred, Dave Shaw, and Erick Powell. 12 Am I is anyone on that list who 13 shouldn't be? And have I forgotten anyone? 14 I don't see anyone indicating one way or 15 the other. So again, just to further my understanding, 16 we'll start with Mr. Sullivan. Depending on when we 17 wrap up here, we can go to Jennifer Sukow, but she has 18 a hard out today at five o'clock. And so if that 19 timing doesn't work, perhaps Dave Shaw could go after 20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 MS. McHugh; to start. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 26 The City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 27 I don't see anyone indicating one way or 18 MR. FLETCHER: I thought it was for all 19 MR. FLETCHER: - both issues. I'd stipulate. 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: So are we stipulating identified? 19 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head not all the million of the million of the million one. 21 From Mr. Barker and Mr. Fletcher. 22 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 24 MS. McHUGH: I would have to I would stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, 25 Page 532 1 The City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 2 for both of those entities. 10 one. 11 Would we consider to hold that position for all research of the size two? 12 issue two? 13 MR. FLETCHER: I thought it was for all 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: So are we stipulate. 15 MR. FLETCHER: 1 would. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: May. 17 the expert status of all of the witnesses I just identified? 18 identified? 19 MR. FLETCHER: Under the condition of all research of the expert status of all of the witnesses I just identified? 18 identified? 19 MR. FLETCHER: Under the condition of all research of the expert status of all of the witnesses I just identified? 19 MR. FLETCHER: Under the condition of all research of t
11 Miller, Thane Kindred, Dave Shaw, and Erick Powell. 12 Am I is anyone on that list who 13 shouldn't be? And have I forgotten anyone? 14 I don't see anyone indicating one way or 15 the other. So again, just to further my understanding, 16 we'll start with Mr. Sullivan. Depending on when we 17 wrap up here, we can go to Jennifer Sukow, but she has 18 a hard out today at five o'clock. And so if that 19 timing doesn't work, perhaps Dave Shaw could go after 20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 MS. McHugh; to start. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 26 Page 532 1 the City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 2 for both of those entities. 11 Would we consider to hold that position for 12 issue two? 13 MR. FLETCHER: I thought it was for all 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 15 MR. FLETCHER: both issues. I'd stipulate. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: So are we stipulating the expert status of all of the witnesses I just identified? 18 identified? 19 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head not all of the Witnesses I just identified? 21 from Mr. Barker and Mr. Fletcher. 22 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 24 MS. McHugh: I would have to I would stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, 25 Page 532 1 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would not possible to review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
12 Am I is anyone on that list who 13 shouldn't be? And have I forgotten anyone? 14 I don't see anyone indicating one way or 15 the other. So again, just to further my understanding, 16 we'll start with Mr. Sullivan. Depending on when we 17 wrap up here, we can go to Jennifer Sukow, but she has 18 a hard out today at five o'clock. And so if that 19 timing doesn't work, perhaps Dave Shaw could go after 20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 MS. McHugh; Thank you. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 26 The City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 27 The Hearting Officer: I thought it was for all 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 15 MR. FLETCHER: both issues. I'd stipulate. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: So are we stipulating. 17 the expert status of all of the witnesses I just. 18 identified? 19 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head not from Mr. Barker and Mr. Fletcher. 22 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 24 MS. McHUGH: I would have to I would. 25 Stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, 26 Page 532 1 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would not or review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
13 shouldn't be? And have I forgotten anyone? 14 I don't see anyone indicating one way or 15 the other. So again, just to further my understanding, 16 we'll start with Mr. Sullivan. Depending on when we 17 wrap up here, we can go to Jennifer Sukow, but she has 18 a hard out today at five o'clock. And so if that 19 timing doesn't work, perhaps Dave Shaw could go after 20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 MS. McHugh: Thank you. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and Page 532 1 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would no possible to review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
14 I don't see anyone indicating one way or 15 the other. So again, just to further my understanding, 16 we'll start with Mr. Sullivan. Depending on when we 17 wrap up here, we can go to Jennifer Sukow, but she has 18 a hard out today at five o'clock. And so if that 19 timing doesn't work, perhaps Dave Shaw could go after 20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 Ms. McHugh: Thank you. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 26 The HEARING OFFICER: Would. 27 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head not from Mr. Barker and Mr. Fletcher. 28 Ms. McHugh: Thank you. 29 Ms. McHugh: Thank you. 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head not from Mr. Barker and Mr. Fletcher. 22 Ms. McHugh: Thank you. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 24 Ms. McHugh: I would have to I would stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, 29 Page 532 1 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would not review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
15 the other. So again, just to further my understanding, 16 we'll start with Mr. Sullivan. Depending on when we 17 wrap up here, we can go to Jennifer Sukow, but she has 18 a hard out today at five o'clock. And so if that 19 timing doesn't work, perhaps Dave Shaw could go after 20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 MS. McHugh: Thank you. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 2 for both of those entities. 2 MR. FLETCHER: both issues. I'd stipulate. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: So are we stipulating the call of the witnesses I just identified? 18 identified? 19 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head not from Mr. Barker and Mr. Fletcher. 22 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 24 MS. McHUGH: I would have to I would 25 stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, 26 Page 532 27 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would not review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
16 we'll start with Mr. Sullivan. Depending on when we 17 wrap up here, we can go to Jennifer Sukow, but she has 18 a hard out today at five o'clock. And so if that 19 timing doesn't work, perhaps Dave Shaw could go after 20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 MS. McHugh: Thank you. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 26 Page 532 1 the City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 2 for both of those entities. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: So are we stipulating 17 the expert status of all of the witnesses I just 18 identified? 19 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head not all the expert status of all of the witnesses I just 21 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head not all the expert status of all of the witnesses I just 24 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 25 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 26 MS. McHUGH: I would have to I would 27 Stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, 28 Page 532 1 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would not be review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
17 wrap up here, we can go to Jennifer Sukow, but she has 18 a hard out today at five o'clock. And so if that 19 timing doesn't work, perhaps Dave Shaw could go after 20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 MS. McHuGH: Thank you. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 26 The Heart status of all of the witnesses I just 18 identified? 19 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head not from Mr. Barker and Mr. Fletcher. 22 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 24 MS. McHuGH: I would have to I would stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, 25 Page 532 1 the City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 2 for both of those entities. 21 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would not review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
18 a hard out today at five o'clock. And so if that 19 timing doesn't work, perhaps Dave Shaw could go after 20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 Ms. McHugh: Thank you. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 26 The HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head not the City of Hailey and 27 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 28 Ms. McHugh: Thank you. 29 Ms. McHugh: Thank you. 21 from Mr. Barker and Mr. Fletcher. 22 Ms. HENDRICKS: Yes. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 24 Ms. McHugh: I would have to I would stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, 25 Page 532 26 The City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared for both of those entities. 27 In the City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared for both of those entities. 28 In the City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared for eview Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
19 timing doesn't work, perhaps Dave Shaw could go after 20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 Ms. McHugh: Thank you. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 26 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head not the City of Hailey and Page 532 1 the City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 2 for both of those entities. 19 MR. FLETCHER: I would. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head not the City of Mr. HENDRICKS: Yes. 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 22 MS. McHUGH: I would have to I would stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, 23 Page 532 1 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would not review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
20 that. 21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 Ms. McHugh: Thank you. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 26 Page 532 1 the City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 2 for both of those entities. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I see head not from Mr. Barker and Mr. Fletcher. 22 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 24 MS. McHUGH: I would have to I would stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, Page 532 1 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would not review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
21 And with that, I'll turn it over to you, 22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 Ms. McHugh: Thank you. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 26 Page 532 1 the City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 2 for both of those entities. 21 from Mr. Barker and Mr. Fletcher. 22 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 24 Ms. McHugh: I would have to I would 25 stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, 26 Page 532 1 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would not be review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
22 Ms. McHugh, to start. 23 Ms. McHugh: Thank you. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and 26 Page 532 1 the City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 2 for both of those entities. 22 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 24 MS. McHugh: I would have to I would 25 stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, 26 Page 532 1 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would not be the City of Page 532 and Page 532.
23 MS. McHUGH: Thank you. 24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and Page 532 1 the City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 2 for both of those entities. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 24 MS. McHUGH: I would have to I would 25 stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, Page 1 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would not be the city of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 2 to review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
24 Candice McHugh on behalf of the City of 25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and Page 532 1 the City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 2 for both of those entities. 24 MS. McHUGH: I would have to I would 25 stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, Page 532 1 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would not be review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
25 Bellevue calls Greg Sullivan for the City of Hailey and Page 532 25 stipulate to those qualifications for Erick Powell, Page 532 1 the City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 2 for both of those entities. 1 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would not be review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
Page 532 1 the City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 2 for both of those entities. Page 532 1 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would not be a continuous prepared to review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
1 the City of Bellevue as his expert report was prepared 2 for both of those entities. 1 Dave Shaw, and Eric Miller, Bryce Contor. I would not be the conton of those entities. 2 to review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
2 for both of those entities. 2 to review Ms. Kaiser's qualifications. I just need to
3 Mike Lawrence represents the City of 3 kind of look at what her qualifications are
5 Milli of fook at what her qualifications are.
4 Hailey, may have some follow-up direct questions, but 4 So can we revisit that?
5 generally I'm going to try to be as thorough as I can 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: So revisit only Kend
6 be on that. 6 Kaiser?
7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I think we better 7 MS. McHUGH: Yes.
8 swear you in. 8 MS. O'LEARY: Candice, what about Zach Hill?
9 testified in the Basin 37 hearing as an expert.
10 GREGORY K. SULLIVAN, 10 MS. McHUGH: Yeah, I think Zach Hill is fine
11 having been called as a witness by the City of Bellevue 11 too.
12 and the City of Hailey and duly sworn, testified as 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. All right.
13 follows: 13 MS. McHUGH: All right.
13 follows: 13 MS. McHUGH: All right. 14 Q. Okay. Greg, who are you representing with
13 follows: 13 MS. McHUGH: All right. 14 Q. Okay. Greg, who are you representing with 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. 15 regards to the Silver Creek issue today?
13 follows: 14
13 follows: 14 Q. Okay. Greg, who are you representing with 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. 16 Round three. Go ahead. 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 18 MS. McHUGH: All right. 19 Q. Okay. Greg, who are you representing with 19 regards to the Silver Creek issue today? 10 A. The City of Bellevue and the City of 11 Hailey.
13 follows: 14 Q. Okay. Greg, who are you representing with 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. 16 Round three. Go ahead. 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 18 MS. McHUGH: All right. 19 Q. Okay. Greg, who are you representing with 19 regards to the Silver Creek issue today? 10 A. The City of Bellevue and the City of 11 Hailey.
13 MS. McHUGH: All right. 14 Q. Okay. Greg, who are you representing with 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. 16 Round three. Go ahead. 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 18 Q. And did you prepare a report? 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 MS. McHUGH: All right. 14 Q. Okay. Greg, who are you representing with 15 regards to the Silver Creek issue today? 16 A. The City of Bellevue and the City of 17 Hailey. 18 Q. And did you prepare a report? 19 A. I did.
13 follows: 14 Q. Okay. Greg, who are you representing with 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. 16 Round three. Go ahead. 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 18 Q. And did you prepare a report? 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 A. I did. 20 BY MS. McHUGH: 20 Q. And would you grab Exhibit No. 314.
13 MS. McHUGH: All right. 14 Q. Okay. Greg, who are you representing with 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. 16 Round three. Go ahead. 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 18 Q. And did you prepare a report? 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 A. I did. 20 BY MS. McHUGH: 21 Q. Greg, can you state your name and spell it 21 A. Okay.
13 MS. McHUGH: All right. 14 Q. Okay. Greg, who are you representing with 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. 16 Round three. Go ahead. 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 18 Q. And did you prepare a report? 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MS. McHUGH: 20 Q. And would you grab Exhibit No. 314. 21 Q. Greg, can you state your name and spell it 22 for the record, and give me your general position as 13 MS. McHUGH: All right. 14 Q. Okay. Greg, who are you representing with 15 regards to the Silver Creek issue today? 16 A. The City of Bellevue and the City of 17 Hailey. 18 Q. And did you prepare a report? 19 A. I did. 20 Q. And would you grab Exhibit No. 314. 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. Okay, sorry. And can you identify
13 MS. McHUGH: All right. 14 Q. Okay. Greg, who are you representing with 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. 16 Round three. Go ahead. 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 18 Q. And did you prepare a report? 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MS. McHUGH: 21 Q. Greg, can you state your name and spell it 22 for the record, and give me your general position as 23 you currently are employed. 13 MS. McHUGH: 14 Q. Okay. Greg, who are you representing with 15 regards to the Silver Creek issue today? 16 A. The City of Bellevue and the City of 17 Hailey. 18 Q. And did you prepare a report? 19 A. I did. 20 Q. And would you grab Exhibit No. 314. 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. Okay, sorry. And can you identify 23 Exhibit 314.
13 MS. McHUGH: All right. 14 Q. Okay. Greg, who are you representing with 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks. 16 Round three. Go ahead. 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 18 Q. And did you prepare a report? 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 A. I did. 20 BY MS. McHUGH: 21 Q. Greg, can you state your name and spell it 22 for the record, and give me your general position as 23 you currently are employed. 13 MS. McHUGH: 14 Q. Okay. Greg, who are you representing with 15 regards to the Silver Creek issue today? 16 A. The City of Bellevue and the City of 17 Hailey. 18 Q. And did you prepare a report? 19 A. I did. 20 Q. And would you grab Exhibit No. 314. 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. Okay, sorry. And can you identify 23 Exhibit 314.

1 from Pumping," dated July 11th, 2023. 1 A. Yeah. The analysis that was documented in 2 Q. And can you explain the primary purpose for 2 her staff memorandum that she prepared was an analysis 3 your report. of the transient impacts of pumping during or from 4 A. There -- there was a -- well, the purpose curtailment during the first year of curtailment. of my report was to address issues that were brought 5 And she described a couple of different 5 about by a particular statement in the Big Wood runs whereby she curtailed all of the pumping in the 7 Moratorium Order related to -- to where impacts of whole model, that's in the whole model domain. pumping might manifest. 8 And then she did another run where she Q. Okay. And could you turn to section 1.0 in 9 curtailed only the pumping south of the Glendale 10 your report, please. 10 Bridge, which basically excluded -- she didn't curtail 11 A. Yes. 11 the pumping north of the Glendale Bridge, which is 12 Q. And if you'd look there, is that the along the Big Wood River to the north where Bellevue 13 statement that you were primarily addressing? 13 and Hailey are located. 14 A. Yes. It's the statement that's in italics 14 And then she differenced and compared those 15 in the middle of the page. 15 two runs. And she basically concluded from that Q. Okay. And in particular, can you just comparison that 99 -- I think the words were that 16 17 explain as to why it was -- why this statement in the 99 percent of the impacts to Silver Creek and the 17 18 moratorium order was something that we -- that the City Little Wood River were caused by the pumping south of of Hailey and the City of Bellevue hired you to 19 the Glendale Bridge. And so, you know, conversely, 20 address? 20 like 1 percent or less was caused by pumping north of 21 A. Yeah. In the -- in the 2021 conjunctive 21 the Glendale Bridge. 22 administration matter in the Wood River Basin, there 22 And so that was the basis that IDWR used to 23 was a -- a determination that the administration of exclude that area north of the Glendale Bridge from 23 water rights upstream of the Glendale Bridge on the Big that curtailment order that was made in that matter. 24 25 25 Wood River were exempt from that, any obligation in Q. And the language in the moratorium order, Page 536 Page 538 1 that matter because they had virtually -- their pumping 1 though, is broader and doesn't have any parameters on had virtually no impact on Silver Creek and the Little it; is that correct? 3 Wood River, based on modeling that was performed by 3 A. Right. It basically says pumping anywhere 4 IDWR, Jennifer Sukow, in that matter. can affect any source. 5 5 Q. And can you explain what the model in the Q. Okay. 6 A. And there's now this statement in italics 6 area would show relative -- I mean would it show that that was in my report here, it seems like could be there would be an impact to Silver Creek if you were to interpreted to be counter to that determination in the divert groundwater anywhere within the model domain? 9 2021 matter. 9 A. Well, the nature of these kinds of MODFLOW 10 Q. Okay. And in your report right underneath groundwater models is generally that pumping anywhere 11 that italicized statement, do you explain what the within the model domain will cause impacts everywhere 12 concern of the City of Bellevue and the City of Hailey else to some degree. And then the question is, you 13 is specifically to that italicized statement? know, is that -- is the amount of that impact 13 14 A. Yes. 14 significant enough to give rise to a concern or a need 15 Q. Okay. And so is it your -- the statement for administration or a need for mitigation or 16 that's found in the moratorium order appears to be something like that. 17 broader than what you were explaining that happened in Q. And why was it important to -- in your 17 the 2021 curtailment matter in the Big Wood River; am I opinion, to clarify that the 2021 restriction that was 18 19 understanding that correctly? 19 placed on the curtailment area for the Silver Creek 20 A. Yes, that's correct. 20 matter, why was it important to clarify that or to 21 Q. And in the 2021 can you explain -- you said 21 render an opinion here in the moratorium order? 22 that Ms. Jennifer Sukow performed a curtailment 22 A. Well, I think that Bellevue and Hailey just 23 analysis during that administrative proceeding. 23 wanted some clarification about what that meant. And 24 And can you explain with what that analysis 24 otherwise, if this ambiguous statement could 25 25 potentially be used in a way that was contrary to -- to showed? Page 537 Page 539

1 the determination in the 2021 matter. 1 should be based on the single-year curtailment analysis 2 2 and not the longer-term analysis. Q. And is it akin to establishing a fact about 3 3 the relationship between the pumping above the Glendale So I mean that seemed to me that that's 4 Bridge and the impact thereof? what -- that's what was determined in the Surface Water Coalition matter, that was what was determined in 2021, 5 A. Yes, that would be part of it. Q. Okay. And were you -- have you reviewed and so that -- I was just going with that, that that 7 the general -- have you reviewed the expert reports of was the rules now. 8 South Valley Ground Water District, Galena Ground Water 8 The other experts in the Silver Creek issue 9 District, and the Big Wood Little Wood Water Users' now are pointing to these longer-term runs. And so 10 Association that have been filed in this case? 10 yeah, I mean if that's the rules. You know, if it's 11 A. Yes, I have. the longer-term analysis, it seems like that should be 12 Q. Okay. And can you -- in their reports applied consistently and not this way in one delivery 13 would you explain generally what their discussion is call or one administrative matter and this another way 14 relative to the modeling -- relative to the modeling in another one. So I don't know what the rules are, I 15 and the impacts of curtailing any groundwater pumping 15 guess, at this point. 16 on Silver Creek? Q. And in this particular case it was -- as 16 17 A. Yeah. They -- they point out that the 17 far as the -- your report as it was submitted, you 18 modeling that I was relying on from the 2021 matter 18 focused on Ms. Sukow's transient model run, because 19 was -- was -- dealt with the impacts on -- of pumping 19 that was what was done and relied upon by the 20 during only the first year of curtailment, and that 20 Department in 2021? 21 those -- that run did not consider that the impacts 21 A. Yeah. The transient run of impacts in the 22 that might accrue after the first year of curtailment, 22 year of curtailment. 23 23 assuming there was continued curtailment beyond just Q. And do you have an opinion on whether the 24 one year. 24 long-term impact of what's shown over the four or five 25 Q. Okay. And so if I'm summarizing their 25 year -- well, explain to me, what is the longer-term Page 540 Page 542 1 testimony or their reports properly, their reports 1 model run in Jennifer's analysis or in the expert 2 basically say that over the long term there would be 2 reports from the groundwater districts and the water 3 some potential impacts from pumping on the Big Wood 3 users' association? 4 River to Silver Creek, within the Big Wood River area 4 A. Maybe we should open one of those reports. 5 to Silver Creek over time? 5 Q. Oh, sure. Yeah. If you want to look at --A. Yes. I think they determined, depending on 6 I forgot the exhibit number. 7 how you analyze the results, that the impact could A. What's that? 8 amount to something like 3 or 4 percent of the pumping 8 Q. Is there a binder up here? on the Big Wood River could ultimately affect the flow 9 A. I don't know. 10 10 of Silver Creek was, I think, what they presented. Q. Oh, this is for the South Valley Ground 11 Q. And do you agree with that? 11 Water Districts. 12 12 A. I think that's -- I think that's what those A. What are their numbers? 13 longer-term model runs would show. 13 MR. LAWRENCE: The groundwater districts? 200s. Q. Do you think administration of groundwater 14 THE WITNESS: Here's 200s, yeah. 15 pumping impacts should be based on impacts in the 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: We haven't been in this 16 current year or over the longer term? 16 one yet. 17 A. Well, that's interesting, because, you 17 MS. McHUGH: Yeah. Sorry about that. 18 know, in the recently completed Surface Water Coalition 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Or yeah, we have, I guess. 19 19 methodology I, in fact, provided testimony that in that MS. McHUGH: Do you know what your exhibit 20 matter the curtailment date for pumping ought to be 20 number is? 21 based on steady state groundwater model runs that 21 MS. O'LEARY: For Erick Powell and Dave Shaw? 22 reflected the aggregate effect of pumping in the 22. MS. McHUGH: Yeah. current year and prior years on the system. And that 23 MS. O'LEARY: It's Exhibit 204. 24 was my testimony. 24 MS. McHUGH: Oh, Exhibit 204? Do we have the 25 25 204? And the Director rejected that and said it Page 543 Page 541

1 MS. O'LEARY: Is that our binder? scaling numbers off the graphs in a couple of different 2 MR. LAWRENCE: He's looking for Eric Miller. 2 ways. 3 MS. O'LEARY: Yeah. Oh, Eric Miller? 3 And so I would consider these numbers 4 MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. approximate. And they may differ exactly from the 5 results in her model output, but they're probably 5 MS. O'LEARY: Oh, that's not our exhibit. 6 MS. McHUGH: Well, we're going to do both. close, close enough for what we're talking about. 7 7 MS. O'LEARY: Oh. Erick Powell is 204. And I think what I interpreted from this, 8 MR. HENDRICKS: That would be ours, Eric Miller. 8 then looking at these results, recognizing there's some 9 Let me see. It's probably the thinnest one up there. approximate -- or somewhat approximate, but Table 1 is 10 Or it might be behind Garrick. 10 showing that -- say they have -- there's two different 11 THE HEARING OFFICER: With the Big Wood Little 11 sets of results: One under the banner "Graphical 12 Wood --Integration" and one under "Mechanical Integration." 13 MR. HENDRICKS: Yeah. 13 And that's basically differences in how they scaled and 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: -- Water Users' got the numbers out of Jennifer's graphs. 15 Association? 15 But either way, if you're just focusing on 16 MR. HENDRICKS: Yeah. Exhibit 103. the Silver Creek line, I think they show impacts north 16 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. of Glendale of 418 acre-feet compared to the impacts in 17 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Almost the thinnest one. 18 the whole model boundary of 13,868 acre-feet from 19 Q. (BY MS. McHUGH): Okay, Mr. Sullivan. What 19 curtailment. So that 418 divided by 13,868 is 20 exhibit are you looking at right now? 20 something like 3 or 4 percent. 21 A. Exhibit 103. 21 And then they do it -- and when they do it 22 Q. And that -- can you identify that exhibit, 22 a different method, it's actually based on weighing 23 please. cutouts of the graphs. You get an impact over here of 23 24 A. Pardon? 322 acre-feet to the right under "Mechanical 25 Q. Can you identify that exhibit, please. 25 Integration." And again, that's 3 percent or 4 percent Page 544 Page 546 1 A. It's entitled "Expert Report. Response to 1 or some small percent, 2 percent. Some small 2 'Big Wood Moratorium Silver Creek Impacts from percentage of the overall impacts. Pumping," dated August 11, 2023, prepared by Eric 3 So this is what I say is over the long term Miller, Bryce Contor, and Thane Kindred. the impacts are a little more than the 1 -- less than 4 5 Q. And you've reviewed that report before? 5 1 percent that they found previously in the prior 6 A. Yes. order, but they're still small. 7 O. Okay. And I think the question, if I 7 Q. Okay. And do you consider --8 recall it, was I was asking you about the analysis that 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: And I'm just going to ask they did and what they considered the long-term 9 a clarifying question. 10 analysis or model runs in the Big Wood? 10 MS. McHUGH: Yeah. 11 A. Yes. So that long-term analysis -- or the THE HEARING OFFICER: So the heading of this 11 12 multiyear analysis was presented in Table 1. And then 12 table is "Effects based on curtailment." 13 there's some additional sort of scaling of those 13 Effects as measured when? 14 results in Table 2. 14 THE WITNESS: I think it's in -- it's over 15 Q. And do you have any opinion or -- about the 15 like -- the time for the impacts to reach steady state 16 methodology or the methods that they have reported in that model, it's like three or four years. So it's there and the conclusions? 17 basically over that three to four-year period. 18 A. Sorry. Say that one more time. 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: So this is a summary of 19 Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion on the 19 steady state? 20 methods or the conclusions that are contained in that, 20 THE WITNESS: Well, it is a transient run, but 21 in Table 1? 21 run out to almost all the impacts being realized. A. No. They -- well, as I understand it, they 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 23 didn't -- they didn't have Jennifer's numerical 23 Q. (BY MS. McHUGH): Okay. And do you results, digital results, so they developed some of 24 consider a long-term impact of roughly the -- I think these numbers based on looking at her graphs and 25 the numbers there were 3 or 4 percent or less, at least Page 545 Page 547

1 less than 5 percent, to Silver Creek to be de minimis, 1 for their impacts? 2 or do you have an -- did you render an opinion on that? 2 A. Yes. A. Well, it's small. I don't think it's my 3 3 MS. McHUGH: I don't have any further questions? 4 role to determine whether that's de minimis or not. 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: Any cross-examination? 5 But it's small. And it's within the -- it's within the 5 6 range of smallness that the Department in other matters 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 has made de minimis determinations, like in trim lines BY MR. BARKER: 8 or the 10 percent threshold in transfer procedures, 8 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Sullivan. Albert things like that. So it could be determined to be 9 Barker on behalf of the South Valley Ground Water 10 District. 10 de minimis. 11 Q. Okay. And in what we were talking about 11 A. Good afternoon. 12 here I think a little bit is more akin to a curtailment 12 Q. I notice you spent more time talking about 13 situation as far as in a delivery call situation. And 13 other people's expert reports than your own. 14 this is obviously a hearing on the appropriateness of 14 Is there a reason for that? 15 the finding of facts and findings in a moratorium 15 A. We're just trying to shorten this matter. 16 order. 16 Maybe we can get done soon. 17 Why did you think it was important to bring 17 Q. Well, unfortunately, I'm going to have to 18 up this analysis in your report and render your 18 ask you about your opinions and not what you think opinions as you have in your report and what you've about somebody else's opinions, if you don't mind. 19 20 remarked on Exhibit 103 in a moratorium hearing? 20 A. Great. 21 A. Well, I mean even in the moratorium matter, 21 Q. Okay. So let's start with -- do you have 22 I think we wanted some -- the cities want some your report in front of you? 23 23 clarification that -- whether or not they're going to A. I do. 24 have an obligation for a new water right to Silver 24 Q. What's that exhibit number? 25 Creek or other places where there's small impacts or 25 MR. LAWRENCE: 314. Page 548 Page 550 1 1 not. THE WITNESS: 314. 2 And then further, whether -- you know, 2 Q. (BY MR. BARKER): 314. And the purpose of 3 there's always the possibility that this finding be 3 your report, what -- was to do what? 4 extrapolated in some future matter to apply in a future A. Address or assess this possible, or delivery call or, you know, that this changed what was 5 seemingly possible, change in the Department's decided in the 2021 matter somehow. So we're just representations about what -- what -- where pumping in 7 trying to get that all sorted out. the Big Wood River -- or the Wood River Basin, what Q. Okay. And if a City were to mitigate sources are impacted by that pumping. 9 through either groundwater recharge, for example, Q. Okay. So you've got some italicized 10 its -- the amount that it pumped out of the ground, 10 language on page 1 in 1.0 of your report. 11 would it have an impact to Silver Creek? Would their 11 Is that what you're responding to? 12 diversion of groundwater that they mitigated for, would 12 A. Yes. 13 it have an impact to Silver Creek? 13 Q. Okay. So let's just take this one step at 14 a time. The first sentence says, "Hydrogeologic A. No, not in that case, because if -- you're 14 15 taking a groundwater pumping impact, and then if you're analysis and modeling since implementation of the 16 mitigating, you know, the impact of the groundwater management policy confirms significant interaction between surface water and groundwater in the Big Wood 17 back to the groundwater through say recharge, then 17 18 you've made the groundwater whole, basically, so 18 River Groundwater Management Area." 19 19 there's no groundwater model type impact to Silver Is that a true statement? 20 Creek, because it's been offset at the point of 20 A. Yes. 21 depletion to the aquifer. 21 Q. And why is there a significant interaction? Q. And is it your understanding that the 22 Well, let me just ask it this way. 23 Cities of Hailey and Bellevue, if they were required to 23 That alluvial groundwater in the basin is 24 very closely connected to the rivers and the creeks; 24 mitigate for injury to senior surface water users, that 25 they would be able to do that or willing to mitigate 25 isn't that right? Page 549 Page 551

A. I mean I think the connection varies, but 1 downstream of the dry beds? And how do impacts go 2 across the dry beds? So that's probably an issue for 2 there is -- as a general matter, there is a substantial 3 hydraulic connection between surface streams and another day, but... 4 groundwater as a general matter. Q. Isn't that true that that's included in 5 Q. Okay. So what does "substantial hydrologic 5 Jennifer Sukow's analysis for the 2021 season, the 6 connection" mean? impact above and below dry beds of pumping? 7 7 A. Well, I guess one way to characterize that A. Yeah, but it wasn't -- well, maybe, but it 8 would be that at least in the model, in the model -wasn't the focus of that hearing, so we didn't really 9 the model would simulate that when you pump groundwater 9 get into it. 10 that there's an impact to surface water that accrues 10 Q. But it was addressed in her report? 11 within several years somewhere. 11 A. Well, it's of part of her model output, but 12 Now, the question is, you know, where and 12 we never tried to unpack that. 13 what timing. And it depends on where you're pumping. 13 Q. And so are you suggesting that the 14 It depends on what source you're assessing for being 14 Department should also say that pumping above Big Wood 15 depleted or not. But as a general matter, there's 15 has no effect -- pumping above the dry beds has no 16 connection. significant effect below Stanton Crossing? 17 17 Q. Okay. So the second sentence says, A. No, I'm not saying that here. 18 "Pumping groundwater from within the Big Wood River 18 Q. But you are saying that pumping above Big Groundwater Management Area affects surface water flows 19 Wood has no significant effect on Silver Creek? 20 in the Big Wood River Drainage upstream from Magic 20 A. In the first year of curtailment. Reservoir and in Silver Creek, a key tributary of the 21 Q. Only in the first year of curtailment? 22 Little Wood River." 22 A. Has no significant impact in the first year 23 23 Is that a true statement? of curtailment. A. Well, that's the statement that I would Q. I'm sorry. Would you repeat? I didn't 24 24 25 suggest as is is all encompassing and is the statement 25 hear what you said. Page 552 Page 554 1 that we thought could stand some clarification in this 1 A. Consistent with Jennifer's -- the runs that 2 matter. were relied on in 2021, the pumping upstream of the 3 Q. So are there other parts of the basin where 3 Glendale Bridge has no significant impact on Silver 4 Creek in the first year of curtailment that was used 4 you would want to parse this language and suggest that pumping in the Big Wood Groundwater Management Area as -- that was used in that hearing. 6 affects different surface water areas in different Q. Yeah, we'll talk a little bit more about 7 her model in a bit. 7 manners, or is it just this in Silver Creek? A. Well, I mean now that you bring it up, 8 A. Okay. 9 there's -- you know, there's -- I guess there's -- this 9 Q. I want to talk about this language in the 10 hasn't become an issue yet, but there -- I don't think 10 moratorium order. 11 it was in that 2021 hearing, but it could be because --11 "Lower groundwater levels would result in 12 let me back up. 12 less aquifer discharge to the surface water." 13 The 2021 hearing was only about the impacts 13 Is that a true statement? 14 14 to Silver Creek and the Little Wood River. So the A. Well, it depends on where and whether 15 issue of impacts to the Big Wood River from pumping there's hydraulic connection between the groundwater 16 were not -- not really addressed. 16 and the surface water. 17 17 So there's still unasked, I guess, and Q. But we've already said that there was a 18 unanswered questions about where pumping -- whether -significant connection between groundwater and surface 19 where pumping on the -- in the Big Wood River side, 19 water in the Big Wood River Groundwater Management 20 where that affects the Big Wood River. So pumping 20 Area, didn't we? 21 up- -- you know, is pumping -- I think my opinion would 21 A. Well, in general. But that doesn't mean 22 be pretty clearly that pumping upstream of the Glendale 22 that in particular locations there's disconnects 23 Bridge would affect the Big Wood River up there. 23 between -- you know, hydraulic disconnects between the 24 But then there's questions about, well, groundwater and the surface water such that additional 25 does it affect -- does it affect surface flows 25 pumping doesn't cause any more depletion. Page 553 Page 555

1 Q. Are there determinations in the SRBA about 1 pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge did not have 2 the connection between the groundwater and the surface 2 impacts on Silver Creek determined in 2021 were just 3 seeking, I think, clarification as to whether this may 3 water in the Big Wood Basin? 4 A. I don't know. 4 modify that or not or that -- and then, you know, based 5 Q. Are you aware of whether or not there are on the -- what was done in that case it seems like it 6 certain streams that were considered to be separate could be interpreted to be counter to that. 7 streams in the Big Wood? Q. Okay. So let's talk about the modeling 8 8 A. I don't know. that was done for the 2021 case. 9 Q. Have you examined Big Wood water rights at But before we do that, let's talk about 10 all? 10 this case. Did you do -- run any model runs to make a A. There's Silver Creek. Or not Silver Creek. 11 11 determination of the impact of pumping from Hailey or 12 Bellevue on Silver Creek? 12 Camas Creek. Q. Have you examined water rights in the Big 13 13 A. I did not. 14 Wood above Stanton Crossing? 14 O. Is there a reason you didn't? A. I just relied on the run that Jennifer --15 A. Which water rights? 15 16 16 or the runs that Jennifer made for the 2021 hearing. Q. Any. 17 A. I looked at -- in the 2021 matter, I was That's what I looked at. 17 18 looking at irrigation rights down in that area. 18 Q. So do you have handy Exhibit 2002 in front 19 Q. So did you -- when you were looking at 19 of you or behind you? 20 20 irrigation rights, did you see that there were Do you know where that is, Garrick? irrigation rights or certain parts of the basin that 21 MS. McHUGH: Do you mean 202? were identified as separate streams? 22 MR. BARKER: 202. I like bigger numbers 2000. 23 23 202. A. I don't recall. 24 Q. Do you know that there's a provision in the 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. 25 25 SRBA decree that says that that separate stream Q. (BY MR. BARKER): Do you recognize this Page 556 Page 558 1 document, Exhibit 202? 1 determination does not apply to groundwater in those 2 separate streams? 2 A. I do. 3 Q. Okay. And is that the Jennifer Sukow A. I don't know. 4 report that you're relying on for your analysis in your Q. You wouldn't disagree with me if that were 5 the case? You don't have a basis to disagree with that 5 Exhibit 314? 6 statement? 6 A. Yes. 7 7 Q. Okay. You were present during the Basin 37 A. That's correct. Q. And so -- so it sounds like your position contested case proceeding; right? 9 is -- that you'd like the Department to essentially 9 A. I was. 10 say, well, there may be some connection between some 10 Q. And you understand that the purpose of that 11 surface water and some groundwater, and so therefore we 11 hearing -- I won't call it a delivery call. The purpose of that hearing was to determine the impact in 12 don't want to predetermine anything as part of this 13 moratorium order. 13 the 2021 irrigation season of groundwater pumping; is 14 Is that what you're saying? 14 that correct? 15 A. I don't think so. 15 A. It was focused on a particularly acute 16 Q. Okay. Well, it sounds like it to me. It 16 situation in 2021 of water supply and looking at the -yes, the impacts of pumping on surface water supplies 17 sounds to me like you're saying, well, there are on Silver Creek and the Little Wood River. 18 certain specific things that -- where these statements 18 19 in the moratorium order may not apply, and so we should 19 Q. During the 2021 irrigation season? 20 not have these broad statements in the moratorium order 20 A. Right. 21 because somebody might misconstrue it in a particular 21 Q. Okay. And you understand that Jennifer 22 case. And that particular case we don't have in front 22 Sukow did model runs and that the model run that she 23 of us yet. 23 ultimately relied upon simulated curtailment as of 24 July 1, 2021? 24 A. That's true. But the -- just this more 25 narrow issue about this prior determination that 25 A. Yeah, I think there was -- she did -- there Page 557 Page 559

1 was several runs she did starting curtailment, I 1 Q. Let me ask a different question. 2 recall, at different months, like July 1, June 1, 2 What's the travel time of water that would 3 May 1. But I think ultimately the July 1 run was the 3 have been curtailed -- let's just assume -- let's pick 4 one that she put -- focused on. City of Hailey. What's the travel time of curtailed water from the City of Hailey to the dry beds? O. And that was the run that allowed her to 5 6 conclude that there was no -- there was no reason to 6 A. Well, I don't think -- well, I don't know curtail above the Glendale Bridge? what the travel time is, but I think --8 A. Yes. 8 Q. Is it days? months? 9 9 Q. Okay. And do you know why July 1 was A. Almost -- well, but most of the impact of 10 selected? 10 Hailey's pumping is not going to propagate through the 11 A. Seems like it was because the hearing was 11 underground down to the dry beds. Most of it's going to go directly to the Big Wood River that is very close 12 taking place in early June that year, and assuming an 13 expedited decision was made that the earliest that they 13 by. 14 could actually do any curtailment would have been 14 O. Okay. And so how long does it take for 15 around July 1. 15 that to propagate to the river and get down to the dry 16 Q. So basically her model run was based upon 16 beds? 17 the idea that if we curtailed water rights -- or 17 A. Oh, not very long. 18 curtailed groundwater pumping rights as of July 1 there 18 Okay. would be a certain reaction in Silver Creek and the 19 A. Months probably. 20 Little Wood; right? 20 Q. Not very long in terms of --A. But I don't know. I haven't done that 21 A. That's what her model run would -- or 21 22 that's among the outputs that she tabulated from that 22 analysis. But the distance between Hailey's wells and 23 run. 23 the river is very short. 24 Q. And do you remember what the model run for 24 Q. Okay. So none of the water that's pumped 25 the July 1 cutoff showed about how much curtailed water 25 from Hailey's wells would otherwise stay in the aquifer Page 560 1 would have remained in the aquifer after the irrigation 1 and get down into the Triangle? It would all go back season in October? 2 to the river? Is that your testimony? 3 A. Well, like I said earlier, in these A. Can you restate that? 3 4 Q. Yeah. So her model run demonstrated that groundwater models, you know, you can compute pumping 5 water would remain in the aquifer, the simulated from almost any location on any source to some degree. curtailment water would remain in the aquifer after the 6 But it's a matter of degree. But for that example 7 irrigation season; right? 7 we're talking about Hailey, I would -- almost all of A. Yeah, that seems like another way of saying their impact is going to be directly to the river there's some impacts that aren't realized yet. nearby rather than a groundwater impact propagating 9 miles and miles through the aquifer down to some other 10 Q. Okay. So if you look at Table 1 of her 10 11 report on page 21 of Exhibit 202. 11 location. 12 12 Do you see that? Q. So are there gaining and losing stretches 13 MR. BAXTER: What page was that? 13 of the Big Wood between Ketchum and Glendale? 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: 21. 14 A. There are. 15 MR. BARKER: Page 21. 15 Q. Do you know what they are, where they are? 16 Q. Are you there? 16 A. I think it's gaining upstream. It's losing 17 A. I am. 17 through the -- down to the dry beds. And then it gains 18 Q. So you see that the model run demonstrated again further downstream. 18 19 that 66 percent of the predicted curtailment was still 19 Q. So it's -- the stream is losing water from in the aquifer as of October 1 by curtailing on July 1? 20 Hailey down to the dry beds? 21 A. Yes. 21 A. I don't know all that off the top of my 22. Q. And as you go further north in the basin 22 head. I'd have to go review some data on that. But above Glendale, it will take longer for the water to it's gaining and losing. And it's certainly losing populate down towards the dry beds? 24 24 through the dry beds by -- you know, I know that. 25 25 A. So --Q. But I'm trying to get at is, let's say from Page 561 Page 563

1 Ketchum down to the Glendale Bridge, before you get to 1 A. Yeah. 2 the dry beds, is it gaining or losing in that stretch? 2 Q. And what are the other canals in this area? 3 3 A. It could be gaining or losing, depending on A. There's a Bypass Canal. the season and amount of pumping that's going on and... Okay. And what does the Bypass Canal do? 5 A. Diverts water around the dry beds or parts 5 O. So the answer is you don't know? A. Well, it's -- I would suggest -- I would 6 of it. 7 7 say that it varies, but I don't -- I don't know the Q. And delivers it to where? 8 precise numbers off the top of my head. A. Down -- further downstream. 9 Q. Do you know to what properties that Bypass Q. So would you agree with me that the 10 Jennifer Sukow evaluation where she says that the area 10 Canal delivers? 11 above Glendale where groundwater pumping has minimal 11 A. I remember looking at that in the 2021 12 effect is based upon her July 1 curtailment model run? 12 hearing, but I've forgotten. 13 O. And are there other canals in that area? 13 A. Yeah, I think that's a fair statement. 14 Q. Okay. So you also quote from her report 14 15 about the benefits to Silver Creek. Well, let's go Q. And do you know what they are? 15 A. Not off the top of my head. 16 down to the bottom of section 2.0 of your report. 16 17 Q. Have you heard of the -- have you heard of Do you have that handy? 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Which exhibit number? 18 18 the Baseline Canal? 19 19 A. Yes. MR. BARKER: 134. Or sorry. 314. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: 314. 20 Q. Do you know where that diverts from? 21 MR. BARKER: Dyslexia. 21 A. Not exactly. 22 MR. BAXTER: What page? 22 Q. And do you know where the Baseline Bypass 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: Exhibit 314. 23 Canal is? MR. BARKER: They're not page numbered, so it's 24 24 A. Not exactly. 25 25 the bottom of section 2.0, "Impact of Pumping at Q. Okay. So as I understand the position in Page 564 Page 566 1 Glendale Bridge on Silver Creek." 1 your report is that diversion -- is that if you reduce 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: So exhibit page No. 4 of pumping in the upper part of the basin above Glendale 3 9? 3 Bridge there would be some increase in flows in the Big 4 Wood; is that fair? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 MR. BARKER: Where are you, Mr. Director. I 5 A. Yes. 6 don't have that. Q. And that if you did increase flows in the 7 7 Big Wood, that water would be available to be diverted MR. BAXTER: The exhibits have a page number on 8 into the Triangle; right? 9 A. That's true. 9 MR. BARKER: Okay. It would page 4 of 9 of 10 Exhibit 314. 10 Q. Okay. And have you tried to -- have you 11 tried to quantify the amount of water that could be 11 Q. So you're quoting some discussion from 12 Jennifer Sukow's memo about the impacts of not being 12 diverted into the Big Wood, or have you noticed -- have 13 able to divert water into the canal system. you seen from Jennifer Sukow's model how much 14 So let me ask you, what do you know about 14 additional water could be diverted from the Big Wood 15 the canal systems in the Triangle? Do you know where 15 into those canal systems? 16 the bypass is located? Do you know where --16 A. My recollection is that she acknowledged that that could happen, but she didn't try to analyze 17 A. Generally there's two or three canals that 17 18 divert downstream -- at or downstream of the Glendale 18 it. 19 19 Bridge that divert to the east out over the Triangle. Q. Okay. And did you try to analyze it. 20 Q. Okay. So 45, do you know where that 20 A. In a qualitative way. 21 diverts? 21 Q. Okay. So tell me how you analyzed that 22 A. Generally. 22 phenomenon in a qualitative way. 23 Q. Where is that? 23 A. Well, I discussed that in my report in the 24 A. Well, in that area I just talked about. 24 sense that -- sort of addressing this, what I'll call a 25 25 water rights administration linkage between depletions O. Below Glendale? Page 565 Page 567

1 to the Big Wood River and flows on Silver Creek. 1 Q. Oh, quantify? Okay. 2 And that linkage is such that -- kind of 2 A. She identified the possibility for that. 3 3 going along with what you were just asking me about, if Okay. So let's turn to page 5 of 9 of your 4 you pump on the upper Big Wood River and that causes --4 report. 5 or let's do it the other way. 5 A. Okay. If you curtail pumping upstream on the Big 6 Q. So you've got -- starting with the 7 Wood River, that puts more water into the Big Wood paragraph "Based on proximity," are you there? 8 River that would flow downstream, and part of that 8 A. Yes. 9 could get diverted out into these canals. Q. Just to follow up on what you just said, 10 And so -- but when I say "qualitative," in 10 you got about halfway down through that paragraph, you 11 my -- the analysis I did, what I said is that if said, "The potential amount of the impacts -- of such 12 there's -- if -- if there's a determination made impacts would depend on the frequency and duration that 13 through administration that wells upstream of the the Bypass Canal drying up the Big Wood and the 14 Glendale Bridge were impacting the Big Wood River and operations and consumptive use of the irrigation water 14 15 that resulted in some mitigation obligation to the Big 15 under the Bypass Canal system." 16 Wood River, say for a new water right, and if that So would you explain to me what you mean by 16 17 impact to the Big Wood River was fully offset to the that. What is it that's unique about the bypass that 17 18 Big Wood River, then because you've made the Big Wood 18 would have an effect on flows in Silver Creek? 19 River whole, there could be no -- none of this sort of 19 A. Yeah, that -- I probably used the wrong 20 water -- lingering water right linkage impact over to terminology there. I meant more generally to say 21 Silver Creek, because you've made the Big Wood whole so 21 impacts to canals that -- that extend out into the 22 you couldn't have any of that secondary impact down to Triangle. Probably rather correct that rather than 23 Silver Creek. 23 said Bypass Canal specifically. That's what I meant. 24 Q. But there would be a secondary impact if 24 Any canals that took water out. 25 you didn't make the Big Wood whole? So you would have 25 Q. So is this reference to drying up the Big Page 570 Page 568 1 to mitigate --1 Wood by the Bypass irrelevant to your opinion? 2 A. Well --2 A. I meant drying up the Big Wood River 3 3 through canals that divert out into the Triangle. Q. -- because it would have an effect on Q. And so if you were to -- if you were to 4 Silver Creek as well? 5 A. We'd have to figure out what that was. But have additional water in the canals for a longer period 6 my proposition was that if you made the Big Wood whole, of time, what effect would that have on the ability --7 then there wouldn't be any impact on Silver Creek. If on the need for the water users in the Triangle to turn 8 you didn't make the Big Wood River whole, then there's, on their pumps? 9 I guess, some possibility for this secondary impact to 9 A. So you're going back and saying absent any 10 Silver Creek, although I haven't ever seen that kind of 10 mitigation or water being put into the Big Wood River, 11 impact be part of the Department's administration. But 11 just looking at the impacts of pumping unmitigated? 12 12 it seems like somewhat unplowed ground. Q. That's correct. 13 But it would be -- it would still be -- I 13 A. Okay. So -- sorry, can you state your 14 don't know -- relatively small, because you're talking 14 question again? 15 about an impact to a canal diversion, and then they use 15 Q. Sure. So if there are -- if there is 16 that water, consume much of it, and then there's some reduction in flow into the Big Wood that would reduce the amount of water that's available for the surface 17 return flows that may end up back in Silver Creek. 18 And so that sort of cascade of events may water users in the Triangle to divert and irrigate 19 19 with, what's the implication for their ability -- for potentially result in some impact out on Silver Creek. 20 And that's what Jennifer generally described in her 20 their need to turn on their pumps? 21 report but did not quantify. 21 A. If they were short of water at -- and 22 Q. I'm sorry, you said she did not find any 22 pumping at a particular time, then -- and they were 23 impacts? using their wells as a supplemental source and 24 A. No. She identified, but she didn't intending to use surface water primarily, if they got 25 quantify. more surface water, presumably they would pump less Page 569 Page 571

groundwater. 1 Q. Yes. For your clients. 1 2 2 A. Well, they don't have any mitigation Q. And what would the effect of pumping that 3 groundwater in the Triangle have on the flows in Silver 3 obligations, I don't think. 4 Creek and the Little Wood? Q. Okay. So you are recommending a remark 5 that's added to the Big Wood Moratorium Order; right? 5 A. It could cause a depletion if there's some 6 consumptive use of that. That's on page 5 of 9 of your Exhibit 314? 7 7 Q. So again, on page 5 of 9 of your report, A. Yes. 8 Exhibit 314, you make the statement, "As mentioned in 8 Q. Okay. So what do you mean in that remark by "materially impacted"? What are you asking the 9 the Sukow memo, IDWR did not attempt to undertake this 10 analysis, and there may be legal or policy limitations 10 Department to say? 11 on requiring mitigation for this type of impact." 11 A. Well, just what it says there. 12 Did I read that right? 12 Q. No. I want to know what the words 13 A. Yes. 13 "materially impacted" means to you. 14 O. Okay. So did Sukow's memo say there are 14 A. I'm -- I'm not -- I don't think -- I'm not 15 legal or policy limitations on requiring mitigation, or 15 trying to interpret that. I just -- I think that --16 is that your conclusion? 16 Q. Well, you're the one that asked the 17 A. She said something to that effect. 17 Department to put this language in the order. 18 Q. Okay. Is that your conclusion as well? 18 A. No, I know. 19 19 Q. So what do you mean? A. I haven't really analyzed that issue. So 20 I'm just repeating what -- or paraphrasing what she 20 A. I think "material" can be determined in 21 21 a -- on a case-by-case basis in a -- say in a future 22 Q. So you don't know what the legal and policy mitigation plan. And --23 23 implications are that's referred to in your report? Q. But that's not what you're saying here. 24 A. I think she mentioned something about 24 You're saying as a broad sweep that it's material 25 continuing -- whether there was some obligation to 25 impact only by Bellevue or below the Glendale Bridge. Page 574 Page 572 1 continue some waste of water or something to that 1 You're not saying on a case-by-case determination we're 2 effect. going to term material impact. You're saying there is 3 3 no material impact. Q. But you're relying entirely on what 4 Jennifer Sukow said and not on your own analysis in 4 So what do you mean? 5 5 making that statement? A. I think we're talking past each other. 6 A. Well, it's immaterial to my analysis, 6 Q. Well, I don't think you're answering my because my analysis is if you make the Big Wood whole, 7 question. you don't have to -- none of this stuff even matters. 8 What do you mean that Silver Creek is 9 9 Q. So how do you make the Big Wood whole? materially impacted only by groundwater pumping within 10 A. Mitigate to the Big Wood. 10 the Bellevue Triangle south of the Glendale Bridge? 11 Q. Mitigate what? By doing what? 11 A. For those kinds of hydraulic impacts that 12 A. Well, we haven't gotten that far. But in 12 came out of Jennifer's model runs, I don't -- I think, 13 the context of getting a new water right and if there's based on the model -- well, based on those runs that we 13 an obligation to mitigate for what comes out of this 14 talked about earlier that I think there could be a 15 matter, say to mitigate -- the municipal users have to finding that there's not an impact of pumping on the 16 mitigate their consumptive use, if they are -- or Big Wood River to Silver Creek in the first year of 17 mitigate their depletions to the Big Wood River, if curtailment, if that -- assuming that that's sort of 18 they mitigate those pursuant to some future mitigation the framework that these curtailments are evaluated in 18 plan, then there would be none of this secondary impact 19 19 a one-year curtailment situation. 20 down to Silver Creek. 20 Q. So these curtailments were evaluated. 21 Q. And what mitigation plans are in place to 21 You're talking about the 2021 proceeding, 22 affect those flows in the secondary impacts to Silver 22 which was just intended to evaluate impacts on Silver Creek at the present time? 23 Creek and Little Wood during the 2021 irrigation 24 A. Mitigation plans on the upper Big Wood 24 25 River? 25 Well, it was a one-year type curtailment. Page 573 Page 575

1 It wasn't a multiyear, long-term curtailment? 1 Surface Water Coalition methodology, it seems like the 2 Q. If you look at her model run, it shows 2 Department's saying we should be using one-year 3 impacts over more than one -- more than one irrigation 3 curtailment analyses to evaluate impacts in conjunctive season, doesn't it? administration. A. Yeah. But the run that was -- the runs 5 Q. Okay. So the Big Wood Moratorium Order is 6 that were focused on in that matter, and similarly in 6 not in response to a delivery call or a 237 AG the Surface Water Coalition matter, were based on proceeding, is it? one-year curtailment analyses. 8 A. No, but it's -- it involves a similar kinds Q. And you think that's an appropriate 9 of analyses. 10 10 analysis of a hydrologist? Q. Okay. So similar kind of analysis in that 11 A. A what? 11 the only thing a moratorium area -- a moratorium order Q. You think that one year is all you need to 12 12 looks at is just what happens in one year, and then we 13 analyze when you're looking at making a material impact got to come up with a new moratorium order next year 14 determination? 14 for --15 A. Well, as I -- as I testified earlier, I 15 A. I don't know. 16 tried to argue that you should look at longer term. 16 Q. -- what is material or not? Is that what 17 And my opinion was rejected in the Surface Water 17 you're saying? 18 Coalition methodology matter by the Director. 18 A. I'm just going by what -- the signal that 19 Q. And that was for what kind of replacement 19 I've gotten from the Department in these recent 20 water is needed in the 2021 irrigation season for the 20 matters. surface water users; right? 21 Q. But you don't have a signal from the 22 A. No. I'm talking about the Surface Water Department about moratorium orders except for what's in 23 Coalition. this moratorium order that the Director issued for the Q. That's what I'm talking about too. The 24 24 Eastern Snake Plain and the Big Wood? 25 Methodology Order says you got to -- we'll replace this 25 A. Yeah, I guess we don't have modeling -- I Page 576 Page 578 1 amount of water in this year because this is your 1 mean we don't have a prior moratorium order with 2 impact in this year? modeling. 3 A. No. We were talking about what kind of 3 Q. Okay. So I think your final conclusion is 4 analyses -- analysis you do to determine the unless you mitigate -- or I'll put it in your words. 4 5 5 If you mitigate for impacts to the Big curtailment date. 6 Q. And you disagreed with the Department using 6 Wood, you will also end up mitigating for impacts to 7 the transient model; is that right? Silver Creek; is that right? 8 A. Well --8 A. Correct. 9 9 Q. That's a yes-or-no question. Q. And so in other words, if you mitigate for 10 all your depletions, there won't be any injury, 10 Did you disagree with the Department using 11 the transient model in the Surface Water Coalition regardless of whether it's to the Big Wood or the 12 case? Silver Creek; right? 12 13 A. In the way that they used the transient 13 A. Well, if --14 model. 14 Q. So I mean that's true for any. 15 Q. Yeah. What kind of model did Jennifer use 15 A. No. In this particular situation if -- if 16 in the Big Wood? 16 the rule in determining what you owe and where you owe A. The Wood River groundwater model. 17 it is based on a one-year curtailment run, then I think 18 Q. Is it a transient model or a steady-state that there's -- as Jennifer -- Jennifer's analysis 19 model? showed, there's -- there's virtually no groundwater 20 A. It can do both. 20 impact to Silver Creek from Big Wood pumping. 21 Q. Okay. And her model run, what did she use? 21 Q. Not to beat a dead horse --A. She used a one-year transient run. 22. 22 A. If you do --23 Q. Okay. And you think that's inappropriate? 23 Q. -- but that's from July 1 --THE HEARING OFFICER: Counsel, let's let him 24 A. I was just using the run that she used in 24 25 the 2021 matter. And based on that 2021 matter and the 25 finish his thought --Page 577 Page 579

1 MR. BARKER: Sorry. 1 could make, that this 3 or 4 percent is small enough 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: -- before you ask your 2 that it wouldn't need to be mitigated, that the user 3 could just make all of their mitigation to the Big Wood 3 next question. 4 MR. BARKER: Thank you. rather than parsing 97 percent, for example, to the Big 5 THE WITNESS: If you do -- if the rule is to do 5 Wood and 3 percent to Silver Creek. 6 a longer-term run to figure out impacts, then there Q. So how would you mitigate to Silver Creek 7 would be -- you know, the model would say there's a -if you were Hailey? possibly a 3 or 4 percent impact of Big Wood pumping on A. Well, I guess they could buy up some 8 Silver Creek over the long term. 9 irrigation rights, a little bit of irrigation right in 10 And I guess a determination would need to 10 the Triangle and dry up some land there is one way. 11 be made if that was significant enough to require 11 Q. And you don't think that -- what number did 12 mitigation of that small amount, tiny amount of water 12 you come up with from Mr. Miller's report? 370 13 to Silver Creek or whether using the, you know, 13 acre-feet? You don't think that is something that 14 whatever kinds of thinking that the Department has used should have to be mitigated? 15 before to limit where water is owed that the Big Wood 15 A. Well, that's -- that's the impact of all 16 pumpers could just replace all -- make all their 16 the pumping. So we're not talking about that. We're 17 replacements to the Big Wood and not have to make that talking about mitigating for a new water right. So, 18 small replacement to Silver Creek. But that's a 18 you know, so if the impact is let's say a new water determination for the Department to make. right that's going to pump 100 acre-feet, for example, 19 19 20 Q. (BY MR. BARKER): But if you made the -so if you compute that the impact over four years from 21 your testimony is if you made the mitigation to the Big that pumping is going to be 3 acre-feet to Silver Creek 22 Wood, an amount to mitigate the impact on the Big Wood, over that whole four years, and, you know, what's that? 23 23 you would also cover that, what you call the tiny That's like a garden hose of water. So if that -- but if that's the standard, 24 amount to Silver Creek? 24 25 A. Well, you might. You actually might. You 25 then I guess they could go dry up some land and come up Page 580 Page 582 1 would for sure cover the secondary impact. And because 1 with some water for that. 2 you were, in effect, overmitigating a little to the Big 2 Q. So you don't think they should have to 3 Wood that that sort of secondary, additional mitigation 3 mitigate for their existing impacts? A. Not under this moratorium order. That's 4 to the Big Wood might result in some secondary benefit 5 down on Silver Creek. So --5 not what we're talking about. Q. Why are you overmitigating to the Big Wood? 6 Q. And so if you had one new water right, two 7 A. Well, if the model says 97 percent of the new water rights, ten new water rights, a hundred new 8 impact over the long term is to the Big Wood and municipal water rights, at what point do you start to 9 3 percent is to Silver Creek, if you replace say, well, that's enough, you do need to mitigate, or 10 100 percent to the Big Wood, you've overmitigated by is it just, well, one, we don't worry about? 11 3 acre-feet's not enough? 11 3 percent. 12 Q. But you haven't -- but then you've 12 A. Yeah, that probably could be a 13 mitigated for the impacts, your direct impacts to 13 determination at some level it becomes significant. 14 Silver Creek? 14 But I mean --15 A. Pardon? 15 Q. So are you suggesting in your remarks what 16 Q. So I don't understand how mitigating 16 we should view as significant and not significant? A. No. 17 100 percent of the impacts is overmitigating. 18 Overmitigating to that source, to the Big 18 Q. But you are saying that whatever we do A. 19 Wood. 19 above Glendale is not significant? I mean that's what 20 Q. But not to -- so you're saying if it's 20 your proposal says; right? 21 small enough, in your estimation you don't have to 21 A. I -- no, my -- going back, my proposal was 22 mitigate for 3 or 4 percent of your usage; is that your 22 based on the one-year curtailment run. 23 testimony? 23 Q. I understand. 24 A. Well, that's kind of a separate issue. But 24 A. That's what I understood to be the 25 that is -- that is a determination that the Department 25 framework. Now, if the frame -- if I'm told that the Page 581 Page 583

1 framework is different and we look at multiple years 1 A. I think it was -- if I understand you 2 and it's 3 percent, for example, then there could be a 2 correctly, it was based on the statement by Ms. Sukow 3 determination of whether that's necessary or not. 3 from her modeling that 99 percent of the impact to Q. Okay. I think you're going off on a 4 Silver Creek and the Little Wood River was from pumping 5 tangent from what I was trying to ask about. 5 south of the Glendale Bridge. 6 You were saying that if you have just one O. Isn't it true the Director made no finding new application it's not enough. 3 acre-feet, that's about the impact of pumping above I guess it's Glendale not enough to have to worry about. in the order that was entered? And I'm asking you, at what point when you A. Well, maybe "finding" was the wrong word. 10 start adding up all the new municipal rights does it 10 But I just meant that they were excluded from the 11 become significant enough that it should be evaluated? curtailment order based on that statement from 12 Or are you saying it's never significant enough that 12 Jennifer. 13 these water rights -- new water rights should be 13 Q. They were excluded from the beginning; 14 evaluated? 14 right? When the Director started the proceeding, he A. It might never be significant because the excluded everybody above Glendale Bridge; right? 15 16 percentage is small enough, but -- so I haven't made A. I don't -- I don't recall the details. 16 17 that determination. 17 Q. You don't recall that? 18 Q. But it's possible at some point in time, 18 A. When that exclusion happened exactly. 19 even you would agree, that there's enough of an impact 19 Q. Okay. All right. I just want to briefly 20 that it should be mitigated? 20 address with you, the issue that was framed for issue 21 A. It's possible. 21 two was the impact of pumping on surface water sources 22 MR. BARKER: That's all the questions I have, upstream from Magic Reservoir, including Silver Creek. 23 Mr. Director. 23 You -- did you do an analysis of the impact 24 Thank you, Mr. Sullivan. 24 of pumping on surface water sources upstream from Magic 25 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Are you okay 25 Reservoir? Page 584 Page 586 1 1 to continue? A. On all surface sources? 2 Q. Yeah. That was the issue that was THE WITNESS: Yeah. 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Go ahead when presented by the Director. A. Well, my -- my clients were interested in 4 you're ready, Mr. Fletcher. 5 MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. 5 the impacts on Silver Creek, so that's what I focused 6 6 on. 7 7 Q. So your answer is no, you did not -- you CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. FLETCHER: did not address the issue presented by the Director, Q. Mr. Sullivan, I'm representing Big Wood which is the impact of pumping on surface water sources 10 Canal Company in this part of the proceeding. Excuse 10 upstream from Magic Reservoir? 11 A. Not on the Big Wood side. 11 me. 12 12 I need you to clarify part of your Q. Okay. Cities pump year-round; correct? 13 testimony. Right in the beginning you said that the 13 A. Yes. Q. And so their pumping would impact flows in 14 Director made some kind of finding about the impact of 14 15 the cities' pumping on Silver Creek in the 2021 15 the Big Wood year-round; correct? 16 proceeding. 16 Q. And so during the irrigation season they 17 Do you remember that testimony? 17 18 18 impact natural-flow rights in the river; correct? A. Generally, yes. 19 Q. And what did you say the Director found? 19 A. Which river? 20 A. Well, that they were not included in the 20 Q. The Big Wood River. 21 curtailment order that was involved in that proceeding. 21 A. They can. Q. But didn't you say that the Director made a 22 Q. Well, they do, don't they? 23 specific finding because of some percentage of impact 23 A. Well, but if there's enough water to meet 24 that they weren't involved in the proceeding? Wasn't 24 those irrigation demands --25 that your testimony? 25 Q. I see. Page 585 Page 587

١.		١.	DEDIDECT FIXALCIA TO A
1	A then there's no impact.	1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	Q. So if there's so much water that everybody	2	BY MS. McHUGH:
3	has enough water, then but most of the time in the	3	Q. It's your understanding that in the
4	Big Wood there's not that situation, is there	4	moratorium order, as it's currently issued, the
5	A. I agree with that.	5	Director has determined that municipal pumping is
6	Q during the irrigation season?	6	considered fully consumptive; correct?
7	Now, during the non-irrigation season, Big	7	A. Yes.
8	Wood water flows into Magic Reservoir; correct?	8	Q. And when you were just having your
9	A. Yes.	9	discussion with Mr. Barker about the fact that and
10	Q. And so when they're pumping in the winter,	10	we're talking specifically to the City of Bellevue and
11	that would impact storage in Magic Reservoir as well;	11	the City of Hailey and how mitigating to the Big Wood
12	correct?	12	River and the secondary impacts to Silver Creek, I
13	A. It could.	13	guess I wanted to clarify your testimony on what it
14	Q. Well, how could it not?	14	got a little bit muddled there, in my mind.
15	A. Well, if Magic Reservoir is full, then	15	Would you please clarify what you were
16	there's	16	saying in your report and what you're saying about that
17	Q. Okay. All right. I understand what you're	17	particular thing relative to Bellevue and Hailey.
18	saying. If there's full supplies, nobody has to worry	18	A. If Bellevue and Hailey fully mitigate their
19	about.	19	impacts to the Big Wood River, there would be no
20	But do you know how often Magic Reservoir's	20	secondary impacts or lingering secondary impacts
21	been full in the last ten years?	21	from the Big Wood River over to Silver Creek.
22	A. Well, it fills occasionally.	22	Q. Okay. And then another, I think, question
23	Q. Yeah. Okay. And your focus is and I'm	23	that Al was trying to say is you don't disagree with
l	not going to repeat all the material that Mr. Barker	24	Jennifer Sukow's analysis in her model runs, do you?
24 25	covered, but your focus really was on Silver Creek, and	25	A. No.
23	Page 588	23	A. No. Page 590
	1490000		1490070
1	you're relying solely on Jennifer Sukow's July 1	1	Q. And what they conclude?
1 2	you're relying solely on Jennifer Sukow's July 1 curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions;	1 2	Q. And what they conclude?A. No.
l .			•
2	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions;	2	A. No.
2 3	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct?	2 3	A. No.Q. Okay. So it was just that in this
2 3 4	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to
2 3 4 5	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your	2 3 4 5	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had
2 3 4 5	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge	2 3 4 5 6	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium
2 3 4 5 6 7	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River;	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the Department's attention
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River; correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the Department's attention MR. BAXTER: Objection. Is there a question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River; correct? A. Yes. Q. And that can impact natural flow to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the Department's attention MR. BAXTER: Objection. Is there a question? MS. McHUGH: Yes, there's going to be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River; correct? A. Yes. Q. And that can impact natural flow to the Wood River and it can impact storage to Magic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the Department's attention MR. BAXTER: Objection. Is there a question? MS. McHUGH: Yes, there's going to be a question. I'm trying to set up THE HEARING OFFICER: There's an objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River; correct? A. Yes. Q. And that can impact natural flow to the Wood River and it can impact storage to Magic Reservoir?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the Department's attention MR. BAXTER: Objection. Is there a question? MS. McHUGH: Yes, there's going to be a question. I'm trying to set up THE HEARING OFFICER: There's an objection. I'll sustain the objection and just ask you to restate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River; correct? A. Yes. Q. And that can impact natural flow to the Wood River and it can impact storage to Magic Reservoir? A. Yes. MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. I don't have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the Department's attention MR. BAXTER: Objection. Is there a question? MS. McHUGH: Yes, there's going to be a question. I'm trying to set up THE HEARING OFFICER: There's an objection. I'll sustain the objection and just ask you to restate your question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River; correct? A. Yes. Q. And that can impact natural flow to the Wood River and it can impact storage to Magic Reservoir? A. Yes. MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. I don't have any further questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the Department's attention MR. BAXTER: Objection. Is there a question? MS. McHUGH: Yes, there's going to be a question. I'm trying to set up THE HEARING OFFICER: There's an objection. I'll sustain the objection and just ask you to restate your question. MS. McHUGH: Fair enough.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River; correct? A. Yes. Q. And that can impact natural flow to the Wood River and it can impact storage to Magic Reservoir? A. Yes. MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. I don't have any further questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the Department's attention MR. BAXTER: Objection. Is there a question? MS. McHUGH: Yes, there's going to be a question. I'm trying to set up THE HEARING OFFICER: There's an objection. I'll sustain the objection and just ask you to restate your question. MS. McHUGH: Fair enough. Q. Okay. So if you would return to page 1.0,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River; correct? A. Yes. Q. And that can impact natural flow to the Wood River and it can impact storage to Magic Reservoir? A. Yes. MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. I don't have any further questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. MR. BAXTER: I think everything I would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the Department's attention MR. BAXTER: Objection. Is there a question? MS. McHUGH: Yes, there's going to be a question. I'm trying to set up THE HEARING OFFICER: There's an objection. I'll sustain the objection and just ask you to restate your question. MS. McHUGH: Fair enough. Q. Okay. So if you would return to page 1.0, the introduction to your report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River; correct? A. Yes. Q. And that can impact natural flow to the Wood River and it can impact storage to Magic Reservoir? A. Yes. MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. I don't have any further questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. MR. BAXTER: I think everything I would have covered with this witness has already been covered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the Department's attention MR. BAXTER: Objection. Is there a question? MS. McHUGH: Yes, there's going to be a question. I'm trying to set up THE HEARING OFFICER: There's an objection. I'll sustain the objection and just ask you to restate your question. MS. McHUGH: Fair enough. Q. Okay. So if you would return to page 1.0, the introduction to your report. A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River; correct? A. Yes. Q. And that can impact natural flow to the Wood River and it can impact storage to Magic Reservoir? A. Yes. MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. I don't have any further questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. MR. BAXTER: I think everything I would have covered with this witness has already been covered. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So no more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the Department's attention MR. BAXTER: Objection. Is there a question? MS. McHUGH: Yes, there's going to be a question. I'm trying to set up THE HEARING OFFICER: There's an objection. I'll sustain the objection and just ask you to restate your question. MS. McHUGH: Fair enough. Q. Okay. So if you would return to page 1.0, the introduction to your report. A. Okay. Q. And I just wanted to clarify again that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River; correct? A. Yes. Q. And that can impact natural flow to the Wood River and it can impact storage to Magic Reservoir? A. Yes. MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. I don't have any further questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. MR. BAXTER: I think everything I would have covered with this witness has already been covered. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So no more cross-examination.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the Department's attention MR. BAXTER: Objection. Is there a question? MS. McHUGH: Yes, there's going to be a question. I'm trying to set up THE HEARING OFFICER: There's an objection. I'll sustain the objection and just ask you to restate your question. MS. McHUGH: Fair enough. Q. Okay. So if you would return to page 1.0, the introduction to your report. A. Okay. Q. And I just wanted to clarify again that the Department made a statement in its moratorium order
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River; correct? A. Yes. Q. And that can impact natural flow to the Wood River and it can impact storage to Magic Reservoir? A. Yes. MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. I don't have any further questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. MR. BAXTER: I think everything I would have covered with this witness has already been covered. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So no more cross-examination. Any redirect?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the Department's attention MR. BAXTER: Objection. Is there a question? MS. McHUGH: Yes, there's going to be a question. I'm trying to set up THE HEARING OFFICER: There's an objection. I'll sustain the objection and just ask you to restate your question. MS. McHUGH: Fair enough. Q. Okay. So if you would return to page 1.0, the introduction to your report. A. Okay. Q. And I just wanted to clarify again that the Department made a statement in its moratorium order that I'll try not to be leading that you were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River; correct? A. Yes. Q. And that can impact natural flow to the Wood River and it can impact storage to Magic Reservoir? A. Yes. MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. I don't have any further questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. MR. BAXTER: I think everything I would have covered with this witness has already been covered. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So no more cross-examination. Any redirect? MS. McHUGH: I just wanted to ask a couple	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the Department's attention MR. BAXTER: Objection. Is there a question? MS. McHUGH: Yes, there's going to be a question. I'm trying to set up THE HEARING OFFICER: There's an objection. I'll sustain the objection and just ask you to restate your question. MS. McHUGH: Fair enough. Q. Okay. So if you would return to page 1.0, the introduction to your report. A. Okay. Q. And I just wanted to clarify again that the Department made a statement in its moratorium order that I'll try not to be leading that you were trying to clarify through this report. And I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River; correct? A. Yes. Q. And that can impact natural flow to the Wood River and it can impact storage to Magic Reservoir? A. Yes. MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. I don't have any further questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. MR. BAXTER: I think everything I would have covered with this witness has already been covered. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So no more cross-examination. Any redirect? MS. McHUGH: I just wanted to ask a couple clarifying questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the Department's attention MR. BAXTER: Objection. Is there a question? MS. McHUGH: Yes, there's going to be a question. I'm trying to set up THE HEARING OFFICER: There's an objection. I'll sustain the objection and just ask you to restate your question. MS. McHUGH: Fair enough. Q. Okay. So if you would return to page 1.0, the introduction to your report. A. Okay. Q. And I just wanted to clarify again that the Department made a statement in its moratorium order that I'll try not to be leading that you were trying to clarify through this report. And I think Mr. Barker tried to talk to you about those statements.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River; correct? A. Yes. Q. And that can impact natural flow to the Wood River and it can impact storage to Magic Reservoir? A. Yes. MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. I don't have any further questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. MR. BAXTER: I think everything I would have covered with this witness has already been covered. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So no more cross-examination. Any redirect? MS. McHUGH: I just wanted to ask a couple clarifying questions. ////	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the Department's attention MR. BAXTER: Objection. Is there a question? MS. McHUGH: Yes, there's going to be a question. I'm trying to set up THE HEARING OFFICER: There's an objection. I'll sustain the objection and just ask you to restate your question. MS. McHUGH: Fair enough. Q. Okay. So if you would return to page 1.0, the introduction to your report. A. Okay. Q. And I just wanted to clarify again that the Department made a statement in its moratorium order that I'll try not to be leading that you were trying to clarify through this report. And I think Mr. Barker tried to talk to you about those statements. Could you clarify what the purpose was,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	curtailment scenario in coming to your conclusions; correct? A. Correct. Q. So I think it's fair to say that it is your opinion that pumping upstream of the Glendale Bridge causes impacts to the flow of the Big Wood River; correct? A. Yes. Q. And that can impact natural flow to the Wood River and it can impact storage to Magic Reservoir? A. Yes. MR. FLETCHER: Thank you. I don't have any further questions. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. MR. BAXTER: I think everything I would have covered with this witness has already been covered. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So no more cross-examination. Any redirect? MS. McHUGH: I just wanted to ask a couple clarifying questions. ////	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. Okay. So it was just that in this particular case with the moratorium order it seemed to be a potential departure from how the Department had viewed impacts, which is their words, in the moratorium order. And so the attempt was to bring to the Department's attention MR. BAXTER: Objection. Is there a question? MS. McHUGH: Yes, there's going to be a question. I'm trying to set up THE HEARING OFFICER: There's an objection. I'll sustain the objection and just ask you to restate your question. MS. McHUGH: Fair enough. Q. Okay. So if you would return to page 1.0, the introduction to your report. A. Okay. Q. And I just wanted to clarify again that the Department made a statement in its moratorium order that I'll try not to be leading that you were trying to clarify through this report. And I think Mr. Barker tried to talk to you about those statements.

1	Bellevue and the City of Hailey, with regards to the	1	record.
2	statement that you have listed in paragraph that's the	2	And let's start with the expert testimony
3	italics in 1.0.	3	of Mr. Dave Shaw.
4	A. The main concern was that this statement,	4	
5	and mainly that the second sentence could be	5	DAVID SHAW,
6	interpreted to mean that pumping on the Big Wood River	6	having been called as a witness by the South Valley
7	had a significant impact on Silver Creek.	7	Ground Water District and duly sworn, testified as
8	Q. With no limitations?	8	follows:
9	A. Yes.	9	
10	MS. McHUGH: No further questions.	10	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Any recross?	11	You can sit down.
12	Okay. I think that's everything,	12	Perhaps state your name and address for the
13	Mr. Sullivan.	13	
14	THE WITNESS: Okay.	14	THE WITNESS: David Shaw. 802 South Plaza Road
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.	15	in Emmett.
16	THE WITNESS: Thanks.	16	
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: So it's 4:40. I think, as	17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	we indicated earlier, Ms. Sukow has a hard out at 5:00.	18	BY MR. BARKER:
19	I'm wondering if we could save her for first thing in	19	Q. Dave, you've done this enough times, I
	the morning, or at least at the conclusion of	20	didn't have to get up here. I can just stand back and
21	Mr. Shaw's expert testimony, since he's still here in	21	let you roll.
22	the room, and that we either finish the day off by	22	Mr. Shaw, good afternoon. Albert Barker on
23	concluding with Mr. Shaw or call it a day as we get	23	behalf of the South Valley Ground Water District.
24	close to six o'clock.	24	You authored a portion of an expert witness
25	Any objection to that proposal?	25	report in this proceeding, did you not?
	Page 592		Page 594
1	MR. BAXTER: Director, I would need about five	1	A. I did.
1 2	MR. BAXTER: Director, I would need about five minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so	1 2	
1 .			A. I did.Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you?A. 304?
2	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so	2	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you?
2 3	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal.	2 3	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you?A. 304?
2 3 4	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't	2 3 4	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you?A. 304?THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304?
2 3 4 5	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it.	2 3 4 5	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you?A. 304?THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304?MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204.
2 3 4 5 6	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you?A. 304?THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304?MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204.Is that wrong?
2 3 4 5 6 7	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my head.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you? A. 304? THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304? MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204. Is that wrong? THE HEARING OFFICER: No, I think that's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my head. MS. McHUGH: I understood it. Are we going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you? A. 304? THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304? MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204. Is that wrong? THE HEARING OFFICER: No, I think that's right. MR. BARKER: First number I got right today.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my head. MS. McHUGH: I understood it. Are we going to go with Mr. Shaw or Ms. Sukow.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you? A. 304? THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304? MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204. Is that wrong? THE HEARING OFFICER: No, I think that's right. MR. BARKER: First number I got right today. THE WITNESS: I do have that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my head. MS. McHUGH: I understood it. Are we going to go with Mr. Shaw or Ms. Sukow. THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't think we have time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you? A. 304? THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304? MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204. Is that wrong? THE HEARING OFFICER: No, I think that's right. MR. BARKER: First number I got right today. THE WITNESS: I do have that. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): So before we get started
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my head. MS. McHUGH: I understood it. Are we going to go with Mr. Shaw or Ms. Sukow. THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't think we have time to get all the way through Ms. Sukow, so let's put her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you? A. 304? THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304? MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204. Is that wrong? THE HEARING OFFICER: No, I think that's right. MR. BARKER: First number I got right today. THE WITNESS: I do have that. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): So before we get started on the on your evaluation and opinions, Mr. Shaw,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my head. MS. McHUGH: I understood it. Are we going to go with Mr. Shaw or Ms. Sukow. THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't think we have time to get all the way through Ms. Sukow, so let's put her off.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you? A. 304? THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304? MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204. Is that wrong? THE HEARING OFFICER: No, I think that's right. MR. BARKER: First number I got right today. THE WITNESS: I do have that. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): So before we get started on the on your evaluation and opinions, Mr. Shaw, you've testified before the Department many times; is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my head. MS. McHUGH: I understood it. Are we going to go with Mr. Shaw or Ms. Sukow. THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't think we have time to get all the way through Ms. Sukow, so let's put her off. But because of that, I'd like to still	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you? A. 304? THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304? MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204. Is that wrong? THE HEARING OFFICER: No, I think that's right. MR. BARKER: First number I got right today. THE WITNESS: I do have that. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): So before we get started on the on your evaluation and opinions, Mr. Shaw, you've testified before the Department many times; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my head. MS. McHUGH: I understood it. Are we going to go with Mr. Shaw or Ms. Sukow. THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't think we have time to get all the way through Ms. Sukow, so let's put her off. But because of that, I'd like to still press on today, and I'd like to have Mr. Shaw come up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you? A. 304? THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304? MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204. Is that wrong? THE HEARING OFFICER: No, I think that's right. MR. BARKER: First number I got right today. THE WITNESS: I do have that. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): So before we get started on the on your evaluation and opinions, Mr. Shaw, you've testified before the Department many times; is that right? A. That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my head. MS. McHUGH: I understood it. Are we going to go with Mr. Shaw or Ms. Sukow. THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't think we have time to get all the way through Ms. Sukow, so let's put her off. But because of that, I'd like to still press on today, and I'd like to have Mr. Shaw come up next, since he's present and available.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you? A. 304? THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304? MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204. Is that wrong? THE HEARING OFFICER: No, I think that's right. MR. BARKER: First number I got right today. THE WITNESS: I do have that. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): So before we get started on the on your evaluation and opinions, Mr. Shaw, you've testified before the Department many times; is that right? A. That's right. Q. How familiar are you with the water rights
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my head. MS. McHUGH: I understood it. Are we going to go with Mr. Shaw or Ms. Sukow. THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't think we have time to get all the way through Ms. Sukow, so let's put her off. But because of that, I'd like to still press on today, and I'd like to have Mr. Shaw come up next, since he's present and available. Any opposition to that? And then in response to that, assuming Garrick understood what I said, he would like a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you? A. 304? THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304? MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204. Is that wrong? THE HEARING OFFICER: No, I think that's right. MR. BARKER: First number I got right today. THE WITNESS: I do have that. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): So before we get started on the on your evaluation and opinions, Mr. Shaw, you've testified before the Department many times; is that right? A. That's right. Q. How familiar are you with the water rights and water use in the Big Wood Basin? How long have you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my head. MS. McHUGH: I understood it. Are we going to go with Mr. Shaw or Ms. Sukow. THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't think we have time to get all the way through Ms. Sukow, so let's put her off. But because of that, I'd like to still press on today, and I'd like to have Mr. Shaw come up next, since he's present and available. Any opposition to that? And then in response to that, assuming	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you? A. 304? THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304? MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204. Is that wrong? THE HEARING OFFICER: No, I think that's right. MR. BARKER: First number I got right today. THE WITNESS: I do have that. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): So before we get started on the on your evaluation and opinions, Mr. Shaw, you've testified before the Department many times; is that right? A. That's right. Q. How familiar are you with the water rights and water use in the Big Wood Basin? How long have you been working on that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my head. MS. McHUGH: I understood it. Are we going to go with Mr. Shaw or Ms. Sukow. THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't think we have time to get all the way through Ms. Sukow, so let's put her off. But because of that, I'd like to still press on today, and I'd like to have Mr. Shaw come up next, since he's present and available. Any opposition to that? And then in response to that, assuming Garrick understood what I said, he would like a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you? A. 304? THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304? MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204. Is that wrong? THE HEARING OFFICER: No, I think that's right. MR. BARKER: First number I got right today. THE WITNESS: I do have that. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): So before we get started on the on your evaluation and opinions, Mr. Shaw, you've testified before the Department many times; is that right? A. That's right. Q. How familiar are you with the water rights and water use in the Big Wood Basin? How long have you been working on that? A. I started working for the South Valley
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my head. MS. McHUGH: I understood it. Are we going to go with Mr. Shaw or Ms. Sukow. THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't think we have time to get all the way through Ms. Sukow, so let's put her off. But because of that, I'd like to still press on today, and I'd like to have Mr. Shaw come up next, since he's present and available. Any opposition to that? And then in response to that, assuming Garrick understood what I said, he would like a ten-minute break, which I would also like. And then we'll come back and resume with testimony of Mr. Shaw. Any objection to the break?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you? A. 304? THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304? MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204. Is that wrong? THE HEARING OFFICER: No, I think that's right. MR. BARKER: First number I got right today. THE WITNESS: I do have that. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): So before we get started on the on your evaluation and opinions, Mr. Shaw, you've testified before the Department many times; is that right? A. That's right. Q. How familiar are you with the water rights and water use in the Big Wood Basin? How long have you been working on that? A. I started working for the South Valley Ground Water District in 2015, maybe.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my head. MS. McHUGH: I understood it. Are we going to go with Mr. Shaw or Ms. Sukow. THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't think we have time to get all the way through Ms. Sukow, so let's put her off. But because of that, I'd like to still press on today, and I'd like to have Mr. Shaw come up next, since he's present and available. Any opposition to that? And then in response to that, assuming Garrick understood what I said, he would like a ten-minute break, which I would also like. And then we'll come back and resume with testimony of Mr. Shaw.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you? A. 304? THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304? MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204. Is that wrong? THE HEARING OFFICER: No, I think that's right. MR. BARKER: First number I got right today. THE WITNESS: I do have that. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): So before we get started on the on your evaluation and opinions, Mr. Shaw, you've testified before the Department many times; is that right? A. That's right. Q. How familiar are you with the water rights and water use in the Big Wood Basin? How long have you been working on that? A. I started working for the South Valley Ground Water District in 2015, maybe. Q. And have you worked for surface water users in that same area as the South Valley? A. Yes. Most of the groundwater users are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my head. MS. McHUGH: I understood it. Are we going to go with Mr. Shaw or Ms. Sukow. THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't think we have time to get all the way through Ms. Sukow, so let's put her off. But because of that, I'd like to still press on today, and I'd like to have Mr. Shaw come up next, since he's present and available. Any opposition to that? And then in response to that, assuming Garrick understood what I said, he would like a ten-minute break, which I would also like. And then we'll come back and resume with testimony of Mr. Shaw. Any objection to the break?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you? A. 304? THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304? MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204. Is that wrong? THE HEARING OFFICER: No, I think that's right. MR. BARKER: First number I got right today. THE WITNESS: I do have that. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): So before we get started on the on your evaluation and opinions, Mr. Shaw, you've testified before the Department many times; is that right? A. That's right. Q. How familiar are you with the water rights and water use in the Big Wood Basin? How long have you been working on that? A. I started working for the South Valley Ground Water District in 2015, maybe. Q. And have you worked for surface water users in that same area as the South Valley? A. Yes. Most of the groundwater users are also surface water users in the South Valley Ground
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my head. MS. McHUGH: I understood it. Are we going to go with Mr. Shaw or Ms. Sukow. THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't think we have time to get all the way through Ms. Sukow, so let's put her off. But because of that, I'd like to still press on today, and I'd like to have Mr. Shaw come up next, since he's present and available. Any opposition to that? And then in response to that, assuming Garrick understood what I said, he would like a ten-minute break, which I would also like. And then we'll come back and resume with testimony of Mr. Shaw. Any objection to the break? Seeing none, we'll go on a ten-minute break. (Recess.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you? A. 304? THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304? MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204. Is that wrong? THE HEARING OFFICER: No, I think that's right. MR. BARKER: First number I got right today. THE WITNESS: I do have that. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): So before we get started on the on your evaluation and opinions, Mr. Shaw, you've testified before the Department many times; is that right? A. That's right. Q. How familiar are you with the water rights and water use in the Big Wood Basin? How long have you been working on that? A. I started working for the South Valley Ground Water District in 2015, maybe. Q. And have you worked for surface water users in that same area as the South Valley? A. Yes. Most of the groundwater users are also surface water users in the South Valley Ground Water District.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	minutes to talk to Ms. Sukow in advance, so MR. FLETCHER: I didn't understand the proposal. MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. I agree I didn't understand it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, it's clear in my head. MS. McHUGH: I understood it. Are we going to go with Mr. Shaw or Ms. Sukow. THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't think we have time to get all the way through Ms. Sukow, so let's put her off. But because of that, I'd like to still press on today, and I'd like to have Mr. Shaw come up next, since he's present and available. Any opposition to that? And then in response to that, assuming Garrick understood what I said, he would like a ten-minute break, which I would also like. And then we'll come back and resume with testimony of Mr. Shaw. Any objection to the break? Seeing none, we'll go on a ten-minute	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Do you have Exhibit 204 in front of you? A. 304? THE HEARING OFFICER: 204 or 304? MR. BARKER: I said it should be 204. Is that wrong? THE HEARING OFFICER: No, I think that's right. MR. BARKER: First number I got right today. THE WITNESS: I do have that. Q. (BY MR. BARKER): So before we get started on the on your evaluation and opinions, Mr. Shaw, you've testified before the Department many times; is that right? A. That's right. Q. How familiar are you with the water rights and water use in the Big Wood Basin? How long have you been working on that? A. I started working for the South Valley Ground Water District in 2015, maybe. Q. And have you worked for surface water users in that same area as the South Valley? A. Yes. Most of the groundwater users are also surface water users in the South Valley Ground

1 the Triangle is what? 1 systems, as well as their groundwater rights? 2 A. Most of them, yes. 2 A. It's groundwater. 3 3 Q. Okay. Can you just describe briefly for Q. Okay. Have you looked at the water rights 4 the Director -- and he probably knows most of this, but 4 that are in the -- for the water users in the Triangle? 5 describe the main canals that's in the south Triangle 5 A. I have. area? Q. Can you tell us generally what -- how the 7 A. Sure. On the east side of the river, the water rights are used, stacked? How do they work in 8 D45 -that area? 8 9 9 Q. Yes, sir. A. Most -- most of the surface water users 10 A. The D45 diverts in Bellevue, in the town of 10 have supplemental groundwater. I'd say there was maybe 11 Bellevue. And the bypass --10 percent of the area is covered solely by surface 12 Q. So where does the D45 take water to? 12 water or solely by groundwater. But by and large, A. The D45 takes water all the way to the east everybody has surface water and groundwater. 13 13 14 side of the Bellevue Triangle. 14 O. So for the people, the 90 percent that have 15 Q. Okay. 15 both, they would rely primarily on the Big Wood sources A. And it splits. There's another entity that 16 until they were -- the Big Wood water rights were 16 17 diverts from the D45 that distributes water through the curtailed? 17 18 middle of the Triangle. 18 A. The Big Wood sources and some of the 19 Q. Okay. And then what other canals are there 19 streams on the east side of the triangle, yes. 20 in the area in the southern part of the Blaine County? 20 Q. And then what would they do once they --21 A. Again, on the east side the Bypass Canal 21 the surface water sources decline? 22 diverts just above the Glendale Bridge, and a short 22 A. As soon as the surface water sources 23 distance downstream the Baseline diverts out of the 23 decline, they turn on their wells and start pumping 24 bypass. And then the bypass extends on down to what's 24 groundwater. 25 25 now the Heart Rock Ranch --Q. Okay. So with that general background, did Page 596 Page 598 1 O. Heart Rock. 1 you do some portion of Exhibit 204? I see that you 2 A. -- at the southern end of the Bellevue shared the authorship with Mr. Powell. 3 A. I did. I did section C on page 3. 3 Triangle on the east side. Q. And where does the Baseline deliver water 4 4 Q. Section C. So "Additional Silver Creek 5 to? 5 Impacts from Groundwater Pumping North of Glendale 6 A. The Baseline delivers water to the Bridge"; right? west-southwest portion of the Bellevue Triangle. 7 A. Correct. Q. So are the farms and ranches in the Q. You sat through the hearing, you heard the 9 discussion about that Ms. Sukow's model run in -- for 9 Bellevue Triangle, the surface water sources are 10 primarily the Big Wood through the D45 and Baseline? the May -- I'm sorry, for the 2021 contested case 11 A. The Wood River sources are -- some of the proceeding? 12 12 folks on the east side have tributaries to Silver Creek A. Yeah. 13 that they divert from, and some of them have both Big 13 Q. And you heard it described as a one-year 14 Wood water and Silver Creek tributary water. 14 model run? 15 Q. And how are the tributaries to Silver 15 A. I did. 16 Creek, what's the source of the water for that? 16 Q. Is that correct? 17 A. Groundwater. 17 A. No. 18 Q. Okay. Are there springs over there in that 18 Q. What is it? 19 area as well? 19 A. The model run was July through September. 20 A. There are springs, and some of the streams 20 It was three months. It wasn't a full year. 21 on the east side of the Triangle, they have some 21 Q. Okay. So based upon your evaluation of the 22 surface water from runoff, but that also gets augmented water rights, your familiarity with the Basin 37 23 from shallow groundwater. contested case proceeding and the model run, do you 24 Q. And the source of the groundwater -- or have an opinion about the impact of groundwater pumping 25 sorry, the source of the springs in the east side of 25 north of Glendale Bridge on the Big Wood? Page 597 Page 599

1 A. I do. 1 shows up in the Silver Creek six weeks, two months. So 2 it responds really fast. Q. And what is that opinion? A. If the source -- if Big Wood water is 3 3 Q. You had a -- do you have any -- or you had 4 reduced by groundwater pumping or for any other reason, a comment on Mr. Sullivan's conclusion in his report? 5 it reduces the supply for the D45 and the Baseline. 5 A. Yeah. 6 And --Q. Could you have 314 in front of you. And if 7 Q. So before you go on, I want to just stop you go to page 5 of 9 of Exhibit 314, there's a you right there. 8 proposed additional remark. 9 On page 3 of your report, section C, you Do you see that at the bottom of page 5 of 10 9? 10 reference to Mr. Sullivan's reference to Ms. Sukow's 11 reference of a 10 to 16 cfs depletion in the Big Wood. 11 A. Oh, 5 of 9. Yes, I do. 12 A. Yes. 12 Q. Okay. And would you read that proposed 13 Q. Can you explain what that means. 13 remark to yourself for a minute. 14 A. That was from Ms. Sukow's three-month model A. It says, "Silver Creek" --14 15 run in 2021. And that was her estimated reduction in 15 Q. You don't have to read it out loud. 16 Big Wood discharge from curtailing the groundwater 16 A. Okay. 17 rights north of Glendale. 17 Q. Just -- we've heard it several times 18 Q. Okay. And is that for an entire one-year 18 already. 19 19 A. Okay. All right. period? 20 A. No. That's for three months. 20 Q. So do you agree that this remark should be 21 O. So what would be the impact of curtailment 21 added to the Big Wood Moratorium Order? 22 over an entire one-year period? 22 A. No, I don't. 23 23 A. The aguifer responds pretty fast, but I Q. And why is that? A. The second sentence says, "Absent offsets 24 would think it would be larger. 24 25 Q. Okay. 25 or mitigation, groundwater pumping from the alluvial Page 600 1 A. But I haven't -- I don't have the numbers. 1 aguifer of the Big Wood River and its tributaries north 2 Q. Yeah. Okay. And then if you looked at 2 of the Glendale Bridge material impact -- materially 3 Ms. Sukow's report, you will see that her charts show 3 impacts the flow of the Big Wood River and has no 4 an effect in the year following the curtailment as material impact on the flow in Silver Creek." 5 well; right? 5 And the latter part of that statement I 6 A. Yes, there's some carryover. 6 believe is incorrect. 7 Q. Okay. So -- so we know that if you did Q. So what part is incorrect? 8 curtailment more than just for the three-month period, A. The part about no impact on Silver Creek. you'd have a greater amount of impact on the flows in 9 Q. And why do you think -- why do you 10 the Big Wood. 10 believe -- or let me try it again. 11 And how does that translate into water use 11 Why, in your opinion, is there an impact, a 12 in the Triangle? 12 material impact, on the flow in Silver Creek? 13 A. Well, anytime the Big Wood discharge is 13 A. Because we know when the supply of Big Wood 14 reduced during the irrigation season, more than likely 14 water is reduced, that means there's less water for the 15 the surface water users in the Triangle are going to 15 surface water irrigators in the Triangle. And they'll 16 start pumping groundwater and make up that shortage by 16 replace that shortage with groundwater pumping that has 17 groundwater. 17 an impact on Silver Creek. 18 Q. And so what effect does additional pumping, 18 Q. Okay. And then if I go back to your 19 based on that shortage of groundwater in the Triangle, 19 Exhibit 204. 20 have on flows in Silver Creek? 20 Do you have that in front of you? A. Based on the current version 1.1 of the 21 22 groundwater model, it's almost one-for-one depletion of 22 Q. Go to D, "Summary of Opinions." 23 Silver Creek. It responds pretty fast. 23 Are you there? As I recall, if you do either recharge or 24 25 pumping near the north end of the Triangle, the effect 25 Q. Okay. Which of these opinions in your -Page 601 Page 603

	in this report are based upon your analysis?	1	Q. And that quantification is going to be
2	A. No. 4.	2	difficult?
3	Q. Okay. And would you explain to the	3	A. I think it can it can be agreed to. I
4	Director what your opinion is and why.	4	don't know that any one person would develop a method
5	A. Sure. When Big Wood supply is depleted, it	5	that everyone else would agree to.
6	depletes the amount of water available for diversion in	6	Q. Thereby making it difficult?
7	both the D45 and the Baseline. And those shortages are	7	A. It could be difficult, yes.
8	replaced by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. And	8	Q. And upon who would that burden fall to make
9	groundwater pumping in the Triangle reduces the	9	the determination?
10	discharge to Silver Creek.	10	A. Probably the Director.
11	MR. BARKER: That's all I have, Mr. Shaw. Thank	11	Q. And would that cause a burden to the
12	you.	12	Department?
13	Thank you, Mr. Director.	13	A. It would be the result of a new
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thanks,	14	application. It wouldn't be something the Director
15	Mr. Barker.	15	would just go out and decide he wanted to do.
16	Any cross-examination?	16	MS. McHUGH: Okay. No further questions.
17	Come on up.	17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
18		18	
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION	19	CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MS. McHUGH:	20	BY MR. LAWRENCE:
21	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Shaw.	21	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Shaw. Mike Lawrence
22	How do you define "material impact"?	22	representing the City of Hailey.
23	A. That's a good question.	23	How are you?
24	Q. I know. That's why I asked it.	24	A. Good, Mr. Lawrence.
25	A. I don't know what material impact is, but I	25	Q. Your summary in the summary of opinions in
	Page 604		Page 606
	1 form and initial the materials in the Taine 1	١.	
1	know from examining the water use in the Triangle and	1	your expert report, you said your opinion is No. 4
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	running the groundwater model there's measurable	2	A. Yes.
_			
_	running the groundwater model there's measurable	2	A. Yes.
2 3	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the	2 3	A. Yes. Q is that correct?
2 3 4	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle.	2 3 4	A. Yes.Q is that correct?A. Yes.
2 3 4 5	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if	2 3 4 5	A. Yes.Q is that correct?A. Yes.Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale
2 3 4 5 6	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is	2 3 4 5	 A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River.
2 3 4 5 6 7	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is material?	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River. Impacts to Big Wood River can result in earlier surface
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is material? A. I don't know what material is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River. Impacts to Big Wood River can result in earlier surface water cutoff dates, which may increase supplemental
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is material? A. I don't know what material is. Q. Okay. And in your summary of opinion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River. Impacts to Big Wood River can result in earlier surface water cutoff dates, which may increase supplemental groundwater diversions that may impact Silver Creek."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is material? A. I don't know what material is. Q. Okay. And in your summary of opinion No. 4, the last sentence there, you say, "These	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River. Impacts to Big Wood River can result in earlier surface water cutoff dates, which may increase supplemental groundwater diversions that may impact Silver Creek." If if the pumping upstream of Glendale
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is material? A. I don't know what material is. Q. Okay. And in your summary of opinion No. 4, the last sentence there, you say, "These dependent reactions of impacts are difficult to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River. Impacts to Big Wood River can result in earlier surface water cutoff dates, which may increase supplemental groundwater diversions that may impact Silver Creek." If if the pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge was mitigated to the extent that depletions to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is material? A. I don't know what material is. Q. Okay. And in your summary of opinion No. 4, the last sentence there, you say, "These dependent reactions of impacts are difficult to quantify, but the quantification difficulty does not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River. Impacts to Big Wood River can result in earlier surface water cutoff dates, which may increase supplemental groundwater diversions that may impact Silver Creek." If if the pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge was mitigated to the extent that depletions to the Big Wood River were fully mitigated, then there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is material? A. I don't know what material is. Q. Okay. And in your summary of opinion No. 4, the last sentence there, you say, "These dependent reactions of impacts are difficult to quantify, but the quantification difficulty does not eliminate the obligation of mitigation."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River. Impacts to Big Wood River can result in earlier surface water cutoff dates, which may increase supplemental groundwater diversions that may impact Silver Creek." If if the pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge was mitigated to the extent that depletions to the Big Wood River were fully mitigated, then there would not be there would not be a resulting earlier
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is material? A. I don't know what material is. Q. Okay. And in your summary of opinion No. 4, the last sentence there, you say, "These dependent reactions of impacts are difficult to quantify, but the quantification difficulty does not eliminate the obligation of mitigation." Do you see that sentence?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River. Impacts to Big Wood River can result in earlier surface water cutoff dates, which may increase supplemental groundwater diversions that may impact Silver Creek." If if the pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge was mitigated to the extent that depletions to the Big Wood River were fully mitigated, then there would not be there would not be a resulting earlier surface water cutoff date; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is material? A. I don't know what material is. Q. Okay. And in your summary of opinion No. 4, the last sentence there, you say, "These dependent reactions of impacts are difficult to quantify, but the quantification difficulty does not eliminate the obligation of mitigation." Do you see that sentence? A. I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River. Impacts to Big Wood River can result in earlier surface water cutoff dates, which may increase supplemental groundwater diversions that may impact Silver Creek." If if the pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge was mitigated to the extent that depletions to the Big Wood River were fully mitigated, then there would not be there would not be a resulting earlier surface water cutoff date; is that correct? A. If that were possible, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is material? A. I don't know what material is. Q. Okay. And in your summary of opinion No. 4, the last sentence there, you say, "These dependent reactions of impacts are difficult to quantify, but the quantification difficulty does not eliminate the obligation of mitigation." Do you see that sentence? A. I do. Q. Who would be tasked with quantifying the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River. Impacts to Big Wood River can result in earlier surface water cutoff dates, which may increase supplemental groundwater diversions that may impact Silver Creek." If if the pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge was mitigated to the extent that depletions to the Big Wood River were fully mitigated, then there would not be there would not be a resulting earlier surface water cutoff date; is that correct? A. If that were possible, yes. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is material? A. I don't know what material is. Q. Okay. And in your summary of opinion No. 4, the last sentence there, you say, "These dependent reactions of impacts are difficult to quantify, but the quantification difficulty does not eliminate the obligation of mitigation." Do you see that sentence? A. I do. Q. Who would be tasked with quantifying thewho who would be tasked with quantifying the difficult let me rephrase.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River. Impacts to Big Wood River can result in earlier surface water cutoff dates, which may increase supplemental groundwater diversions that may impact Silver Creek." If if the pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge was mitigated to the extent that depletions to the Big Wood River were fully mitigated, then there would not be there would not be a resulting earlier surface water cutoff date; is that correct? A. If that were possible, yes. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any redirect?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is material? A. I don't know what material is. Q. Okay. And in your summary of opinion No. 4, the last sentence there, you say, "These dependent reactions of impacts are difficult to quantify, but the quantification difficulty does not eliminate the obligation of mitigation." Do you see that sentence? A. I do. Q. Who would be tasked with quantifying the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River. Impacts to Big Wood River can result in earlier surface water cutoff dates, which may increase supplemental groundwater diversions that may impact Silver Creek." If if the pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge was mitigated to the extent that depletions to the Big Wood River were fully mitigated, then there would not be there would not be a resulting earlier surface water cutoff date; is that correct? A. If that were possible, yes. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any redirect? MR. BARKER: Mr. Director, I'd just like to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is material? A. I don't know what material is. Q. Okay. And in your summary of opinion No. 4, the last sentence there, you say, "These dependent reactions of impacts are difficult to quantify, but the quantification difficulty does not eliminate the obligation of mitigation." Do you see that sentence? A. I do. Q. Who would be tasked with quantifying thewho who would be tasked with quantifying the difficult let me rephrase. Who would be tasked with determining what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River. Impacts to Big Wood River can result in earlier surface water cutoff dates, which may increase supplemental groundwater diversions that may impact Silver Creek." If if the pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge was mitigated to the extent that depletions to the Big Wood River were fully mitigated, then there would not be there would not be a resulting earlier surface water cutoff date; is that correct? A. If that were possible, yes. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any redirect? MR. BARKER: Mr. Director, I'd just like to offer Exhibit 204.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is material? A. I don't know what material is. Q. Okay. And in your summary of opinion No. 4, the last sentence there, you say, "These dependent reactions of impacts are difficult to quantify, but the quantification difficulty does not eliminate the obligation of mitigation." Do you see that sentence? A. I do. Q. Who would be tasked with quantifying thewho who would be tasked with quantifying the difficult let me rephrase. Who would be tasked with determining what the quantity would be needed in order to mitigate?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River. Impacts to Big Wood River can result in earlier surface water cutoff dates, which may increase supplemental groundwater diversions that may impact Silver Creek." If if the pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge was mitigated to the extent that depletions to the Big Wood River were fully mitigated, then there would not be there would not be a resulting earlier surface water cutoff date; is that correct? A. If that were possible, yes. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any redirect? MR. BARKER: Mr. Director, I'd just like to offer Exhibit 204. THE HEARING OFFICER: Motion to bring
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is material? A. I don't know what material is. Q. Okay. And in your summary of opinion No. 4, the last sentence there, you say, "These dependent reactions of impacts are difficult to quantify, but the quantification difficulty does not eliminate the obligation of mitigation." Do you see that sentence? A. I do. Q. Who would be tasked with quantifying thewho who would be tasked with quantifying the difficult let me rephrase. Who would be tasked with determining what the quantity would be needed in order to mitigate? A. I expect if a new groundwater right were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River. Impacts to Big Wood River can result in earlier surface water cutoff dates, which may increase supplemental groundwater diversions that may impact Silver Creek." If if the pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge was mitigated to the extent that depletions to the Big Wood River were fully mitigated, then there would not be there would not be a resulting earlier surface water cutoff date; is that correct? A. If that were possible, yes. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any redirect? MR. BARKER: Mr. Director, I'd just like to offer Exhibit 204. THE HEARING OFFICER: Motion to bring Exhibit 204 into the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is material? A. I don't know what material is. Q. Okay. And in your summary of opinion No. 4, the last sentence there, you say, "These dependent reactions of impacts are difficult to quantify, but the quantification difficulty does not eliminate the obligation of mitigation." Do you see that sentence? A. I do. Q. Who would be tasked with quantifying thewho who would be tasked with quantifying the difficult let me rephrase. Who would be tasked with determining what the quantity would be needed in order to mitigate? A. I expect if a new groundwater right were applied for as a part of the approval process there would need to be an agreed-upon analysis, probably	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River. Impacts to Big Wood River can result in earlier surface water cutoff dates, which may increase supplemental groundwater diversions that may impact Silver Creek." If if the pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge was mitigated to the extent that depletions to the Big Wood River were fully mitigated, then there would not be there would not be a resulting earlier surface water cutoff date; is that correct? A. If that were possible, yes. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any redirect? MR. BARKER: Mr. Director, I'd just like to offer Exhibit 204. THE HEARING OFFICER: Motion to bring Exhibit 204 into the record. Any objection?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	running the groundwater model there's measurable reduction in Silver Creek by groundwater pumping in the Triangle. Q. So you know when you disagree if something's not material, you just don't know if it is material? A. I don't know what material is. Q. Okay. And in your summary of opinion No. 4, the last sentence there, you say, "These dependent reactions of impacts are difficult to quantify, but the quantification difficulty does not eliminate the obligation of mitigation." Do you see that sentence? A. I do. Q. Who would be tasked with quantifying thewho who would be tasked with quantifying the difficult let me rephrase. Who would be tasked with determining what the quantity would be needed in order to mitigate? A. I expect if a new groundwater right were applied for as a part of the approval process there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge primarily impacts flows in the Big Wood River. Impacts to Big Wood River can result in earlier surface water cutoff dates, which may increase supplemental groundwater diversions that may impact Silver Creek." If if the pumping upstream of Glendale Bridge was mitigated to the extent that depletions to the Big Wood River were fully mitigated, then there would not be there would not be a resulting earlier surface water cutoff date; is that correct? A. If that were possible, yes. MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any redirect? MR. BARKER: Mr. Director, I'd just like to offer Exhibit 204. THE HEARING OFFICER: Motion to bring Exhibit 204 into the record. Any objection? Seeing none, we'll bring it in.

l .			
1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Is there another exhibit	1	(Exhibit 202 admitted.)
2	we looked at that hasn't been brought in yet?	2	MR. HENDRICKS: Then we talked about 103. We'll
3	MS. McHUGH: Yeah. Exhibit 314, which is	3	be talking about it tomorrow, but might as well put it
4	Mr. Sullivan's report.	4	in now, I guess.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Do I have a simila	r 5	MR. BARKER: Which one was that?
6	offer?	6	MR. HENDRICKS: That was our expert report.
7	MS. McHUGH: Yeah. I would offer Exhibit 314.	7	Candice brought it up.
8	MR. BARKER: No objection.	8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection to bringing
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Seeing no objection, we'll		Exhibit 103 into the record, since it's been discussed?
10	bring that in.	10	MS. McHUGH: Just one moment.
11	(Exhibit 314 admitted.)	11	THE HEARING OFFICER: And I think you're done if
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we not also discuss	12	you want to be.
13	the Sukow memo? Was that an exhibit?	13	MR. FLETCHER: Was it admitted, 103?
14	MR. BARKER: We did 20	14	MR. BAXTER: Candice said she wanted to talk to
15	MS. McHUGH: I think it was 202. Exhibit 202.	15	
16	MR. BARKER: We should probably offer that as	16	THE HEARING OFFICER: There might be an
17	well, although I assume it will be discussed tomorrow.	17	objection.
18	Garrick, do you have a preference?	18	MR. LAWRENCE: Will Mr. Miller be here to
19	MR. BAXTER: Well, one bit of clarification with	19	testify tomorrow?
20	regards to that memo, Director, the supporting files	20	MR. HENDRICKS: Yes. Well, yes, our expert will
21	for that memo are not contained in here. They're in a	21	
22	format that doesn't allow themselves to be easily	22	MS. McHUGH: Part of and I should have
23	printed and made part of an exhibit.	23	probably made this same comment on 204, which I did
24	I'd ask that the Director take notice of	24	just mention to Heather. On 204 and 103 I suppose if
25	the supporting files associated with her memo when it	25	, ,
	Page 608		Page 610
1	becomes part of an exhibit.	1	questions for Erick Powell.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So we'll wait to	2	To me, if he's not going to offer anything
3	bring it into the record. I do note that there's a lot	3	further than what's already contained in his report,
4	of and I'm not sure what you mean by supporting	4	which I understand he's not, I guess that report's in.
5	61 1 7 111 1 1 11	_	T II II I M D II I I'C
5	files, because I see well logs and summary tables.	5	I would see no need to have Mr. Powell come testify.
6	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have	6	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I
			·
6	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have	6	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I
6 7	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have been	6 7 8	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I guess. I mean we could admit it today, and I don't
6 7 8	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have been MR. BARKER: So let's do this, Mr. Director.	6 7 8	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I guess. I mean we could admit it today, and I don't know that I have any questions or anything relative to
6 7 8 9	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have been MR. BARKER: So let's do this, Mr. Director. I will offer what number was it?	6 7 8 9	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I guess. I mean we could admit it today, and I don't know that I have any questions or anything relative to that. But that's why I was trying to confer.
6 7 8 9 10	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have been MR. BARKER: So let's do this, Mr. Director. I will offer what number was it? THE HEARING OFFICER: 202.	6 7 8 9 10 11	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I guess. I mean we could admit it today, and I don't know that I have any questions or anything relative to that. But that's why I was trying to confer. So if we admitted it today, would it
6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have been MR. BARKER: So let's do this, Mr. Director. I will offer what number was it? THE HEARING OFFICER: 202. MR. BARKER: 202.	6 7 8 9 10 11	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I guess. I mean we could admit it today, and I don't know that I have any questions or anything relative to that. But that's why I was trying to confer. So if we admitted it today, would it eliminate the need to have Mr. Miller come? Do we
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have been MR. BARKER: So let's do this, Mr. Director. I will offer what number was it? THE HEARING OFFICER: 202. MR. BARKER: 202. And then if Garrick would like to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I guess. I mean we could admit it today, and I don't know that I have any questions or anything relative to that. But that's why I was trying to confer. So if we admitted it today, would it eliminate the need to have Mr. Miller come? Do we agree to that?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have been MR. BARKER: So let's do this, Mr. Director. I will offer what number was it? THE HEARING OFFICER: 202. MR. BARKER: 202. And then if Garrick would like to supplement that offer tomorrow with additional	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I guess. I mean we could admit it today, and I don't know that I have any questions or anything relative to that. But that's why I was trying to confer. So if we admitted it today, would it eliminate the need to have Mr. Miller come? Do we agree to that? THE HEARING OFFICER: They're not your experts;
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have been MR. BARKER: So let's do this, Mr. Director. I will offer what number was it? THE HEARING OFFICER: 202. MR. BARKER: 202. And then if Garrick would like to supplement that offer tomorrow with additional information after Jennifer testifies about what's	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I guess. I mean we could admit it today, and I don't know that I have any questions or anything relative to that. But that's why I was trying to confer. So if we admitted it today, would it eliminate the need to have Mr. Miller come? Do we agree to that? THE HEARING OFFICER: They're not your experts; right?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have been MR. BARKER: So let's do this, Mr. Director. I will offer what number was it? THE HEARING OFFICER: 202. MR. BARKER: 202. And then if Garrick would like to supplement that offer tomorrow with additional information after Jennifer testifies about what's missing, that would be appropriate.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I guess. I mean we could admit it today, and I don't know that I have any questions or anything relative to that. But that's why I was trying to confer. So if we admitted it today, would it eliminate the need to have Mr. Miller come? Do we agree to that? THE HEARING OFFICER: They're not your experts; right? MS. McHUGH: They're not. Right, right, right. So that's what I'm saying MR. FLETCHER: Good point.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have been MR. BARKER: So let's do this, Mr. Director. I will offer what number was it? THE HEARING OFFICER: 202. MR. BARKER: 202. And then if Garrick would like to supplement that offer tomorrow with additional information after Jennifer testifies about what's missing, that would be appropriate. MR. BAXTER: That's fair.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I guess. I mean we could admit it today, and I don't know that I have any questions or anything relative to that. But that's why I was trying to confer. So if we admitted it today, would it eliminate the need to have Mr. Miller come? Do we agree to that? THE HEARING OFFICER: They're not your experts; right? MS. McHUGH: They're not. Right, right, right. So that's what I'm saying
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have been MR. BARKER: So let's do this, Mr. Director. I will offer what number was it? THE HEARING OFFICER: 202. MR. BARKER: 202. And then if Garrick would like to supplement that offer tomorrow with additional information after Jennifer testifies about what's missing, that would be appropriate. MR. BAXTER: That's fair. MR. HENDRICKS: We also talked about the 103.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I guess. I mean we could admit it today, and I don't know that I have any questions or anything relative to that. But that's why I was trying to confer. So if we admitted it today, would it eliminate the need to have Mr. Miller come? Do we agree to that? THE HEARING OFFICER: They're not your experts; right? MS. McHUGH: They're not. Right, right, right. So that's what I'm saying MR. FLETCHER: Good point.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have been MR. BARKER: So let's do this, Mr. Director. I will offer what number was it? THE HEARING OFFICER: 202. MR. BARKER: 202. And then if Garrick would like to supplement that offer tomorrow with additional information after Jennifer testifies about what's missing, that would be appropriate. MR. BAXTER: That's fair. MR. HENDRICKS: We also talked about the 103. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I guess. I mean we could admit it today, and I don't know that I have any questions or anything relative to that. But that's why I was trying to confer. So if we admitted it today, would it eliminate the need to have Mr. Miller come? Do we agree to that? THE HEARING OFFICER: They're not your experts; right? MS. McHUGH: They're not. Right, right, right. So that's what I'm saying MR. FLETCHER: Good point. MS. McHUGH: technically if he's not going to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have been MR. BARKER: So let's do this, Mr. Director. I will offer what number was it? THE HEARING OFFICER: 202. MR. BARKER: 202. And then if Garrick would like to supplement that offer tomorrow with additional information after Jennifer testifies about what's missing, that would be appropriate. MR. BAXTER: That's fair. MR. HENDRICKS: We also talked about the 103. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to bring 202 into the record now or	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I guess. I mean we could admit it today, and I don't know that I have any questions or anything relative to that. But that's why I was trying to confer. So if we admitted it today, would it eliminate the need to have Mr. Miller come? Do we agree to that? THE HEARING OFFICER: They're not your experts; right? MS. McHUGH: They're not. Right, right, right. So that's what I'm saying MR. FLETCHER: Good point. MS. McHUGH: technically if he's not going to do anything outside of his report
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have been MR. BARKER: So let's do this, Mr. Director. I will offer what number was it? THE HEARING OFFICER: 202. MR. BARKER: 202. And then if Garrick would like to supplement that offer tomorrow with additional information after Jennifer testifies about what's missing, that would be appropriate. MR. BAXTER: That's fair. MR. HENDRICKS: We also talked about the 103. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to bring 202 into the record now or MR. BARKER: Yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I guess. I mean we could admit it today, and I don't know that I have any questions or anything relative to that. But that's why I was trying to confer. So if we admitted it today, would it eliminate the need to have Mr. Miller come? Do we agree to that? THE HEARING OFFICER: They're not your experts; right? MS. McHUGH: They're not. Right, right, right. So that's what I'm saying MR. FLETCHER: Good point. MS. McHUGH: technically if he's not going to do anything outside of his report THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have been MR. BARKER: So let's do this, Mr. Director. I will offer what number was it? THE HEARING OFFICER: 202. MR. BARKER: 202. And then if Garrick would like to supplement that offer tomorrow with additional information after Jennifer testifies about what's missing, that would be appropriate. MR. BAXTER: That's fair. MR. HENDRICKS: We also talked about the 103. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to bring 202 into the record now or MR. BARKER: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. Any objection? MS. McHUGH: No objection.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I guess. I mean we could admit it today, and I don't know that I have any questions or anything relative to that. But that's why I was trying to confer. So if we admitted it today, would it eliminate the need to have Mr. Miller come? Do we agree to that? THE HEARING OFFICER: They're not your experts; right? MS. McHUGH: They're not. Right, right, right. So that's what I'm saying MR. FLETCHER: Good point. MS. McHUGH: technically if he's not going to do anything outside of his report THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. MS. McHUGH: and his opinions are there and I
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have been MR. BARKER: So let's do this, Mr. Director. I will offer what number was it? THE HEARING OFFICER: 202. MR. BARKER: 202. And then if Garrick would like to supplement that offer tomorrow with additional information after Jennifer testifies about what's missing, that would be appropriate. MR. BAXTER: That's fair. MR. HENDRICKS: We also talked about the 103. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to bring 202 into the record now or MR. BARKER: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. Any objection?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I guess. I mean we could admit it today, and I don't know that I have any questions or anything relative to that. But that's why I was trying to confer. So if we admitted it today, would it eliminate the need to have Mr. Miller come? Do we agree to that? THE HEARING OFFICER: They're not your experts; right? MS. McHUGH: They're not. Right, right, right. So that's what I'm saying MR. FLETCHER: Good point. MS. McHUGH: technically if he's not going to do anything outside of his report THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. MS. McHUGH: and his opinions are there and I don't have any cross of him THE HEARING OFFICER: So no objection to bringing 103 into the record?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. BAXTER: So the modeling files that have been MR. BARKER: So let's do this, Mr. Director. I will offer what number was it? THE HEARING OFFICER: 202. MR. BARKER: 202. And then if Garrick would like to supplement that offer tomorrow with additional information after Jennifer testifies about what's missing, that would be appropriate. MR. BAXTER: That's fair. MR. HENDRICKS: We also talked about the 103. THE HEARING OFFICER: So there's a motion to bring 202 into the record now or MR. BARKER: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. Any objection? MS. McHUGH: No objection.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	On Exhibit 103 I may feel similarly, I guess. I mean we could admit it today, and I don't know that I have any questions or anything relative to that. But that's why I was trying to confer. So if we admitted it today, would it eliminate the need to have Mr. Miller come? Do we agree to that? THE HEARING OFFICER: They're not your experts; right? MS. McHUGH: They're not. Right, right, right. So that's what I'm saying MR. FLETCHER: Good point. MS. McHUGH: technically if he's not going to do anything outside of his report THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. MS. McHUGH: and his opinions are there and I don't have any cross of him THE HEARING OFFICER: So no objection to

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. You do object? All	1	THE HEARING OFFICER: I think it was, yeah.
2	right.	2	MR. BARKER: Yes.
3	MS. McHUGH: That's my point. I'm just	3	MR. FLETCHER: I thought you said she wasn't
4	saying	4	available until 12:30.
5	MR. FLETCHER: What's the objection?	5	MR. BARKER: We'll work it out.
6	MS. McHUGH: The objection is I would rather	6	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Any other
7	have him testify before bringing it into the record.	7	business to take care of tonight?
8	If he's not going to testify, then we'll just	8	All right. Thanks. We'll see you tomorrow
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: That's fair. Let's just	9	morning at 9:00.
10	wait until tomorrow.	10	(Hearing adjourned at 5:21 p.m.)
11	MR. FLETCHER: Will you stipulate to it being	11	-oOo-
12	admitted if he doesn't testify? But if he does, you	12	
13	object?	13	
14	MS. McHUGH: Because if he testifies I just	14	
15	need to hear what he has to say, I guess. It seems	15	
16	redundant and unnecessary.	16	
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: We'll bring it back up	17	
18	tomorrow.	18	
19	MS. McHUGH: Fair enough.	19	
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: But I guess that leads	20	
21	into the last question that I have is, tomorrow we're	21	
22	going to lead with expert testimony by Jennifer Sukow.	22	
23	But we need to work out Kendra Kaiser, but	23	
24	also I assume Zach Hill, Bryce Contor, Eric Miller,	24	
25	Thane Kindred, and Erick Powell will also be	25	
	Page 612		Page 614
1	testifying? They'll be here tomorrow to testify?	1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	MR. HENDRICKS: They'll be here. I think we're	2	I, JEFF LaMAR, CSR No. 640, Certified Shorthand
3	going to try, at least for the Big Wood, just have one	3	Reporter, certify:
4	do it.	4	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.	5	me at the time and place therein set forth.
6	MR. HENDRICKS: Not three.	6	That the testimony and all objections made were
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Now, regarding	7	recorded stenographically by me and transcribed by me
8	Kendra.	8	or under my direction.
9	MR. BARKER: So if we could schedule her for	9	That the foregoing is a true and correct record
10	one o'clock. And, you know, depending on how things go	10	of all testimony given, to the best of my ability.
11	we may be finished take a long lunch, and we call	11	I further certify that I am not a relative or
12	all go take a nap before that. Although I think it's	12	employee of any attorney or party, nor am I financially
13	going to be tough to get everything done. But if we	13	interested in the action.
14	could schedule her then.	14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I set my hand and seal this
15	I haven't called her yet to see to tell	15	31st day of October, 2023.
16	her what time to be ready. But I think one o'clock	16	•
17	would work.	17	
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: I agree with that	18	
19	proposal. Let's go ahead and coordinate for her first	19	
20	thing after lunch. Hopefully it works out well. But	20	
21	if not, we might have to interrupt some testimony to	21	JEFF LaMAR, CSR NO. 640
22	accommodate that schedule.	22	Notary Public
23	MR. BARKER: Thank you, Mr. Director.	23	Post Office Box 2636
24	MS. McHUGH: Is that the earliest she's	24	Boise, Idaho 83701-2636
25	available?	25	My commission expires December 30, 2023
	Page 613		Page 615

0	590.1	10.00 451.15	16 200.6 10
&	589:1	10:00 451:15	16 388:6,10
& 317:11 318:6	1.0 536:9 551:10 591:16	10th 404:10	531:9 600:11
319:3,5,19		11 405:22	17 315:18
402:11 403:13	1.0. 592:3	545:3	178 440:19
405:13 406:18	1.1 601:21	11,000 505:14	17th 324:4
407:7 411:14	1/2 478:23	110 318:9	469:15 530:3
440:6	481:7	397:21	531:3,7
0	10 379:11	115 487:3	1912 345:23
0 440:15	405:4,14 493:4	1155 318:9	1913 351:4
	493:14 500:9	11:55 442:20	423:18
1	522:10 548:8	11th 536:1	1958 506:16
1 322:22 326:3	598:11 600:11	12 406:5 476:2	1969 424:16
326:17,18	10,000 451:11	500:9 531:11	1971 403:2
327:4 328:21	488:11	531:11	506:17
335:3 340:10	100 328:11	120 397:21	1980 346:21
340:25 346:24	331:1 332:6	1221 339:24	1990s 405:5
357:6,10,14,15	343:21 359:22	128 504:1	1991 403:7
367:5,13,16	386:4,5,12	12:30 614:4	1996 325:23
372:2 375:18	397:21 422:12	13 404:5	1:30 468:25
386:2,15,23,24	441:4 448:22	13,868 546:18	1:33 469:15
387:12,22	455:17 456:17	546:19	2
388:16 397:20	456:24 459:19	1300 319:21	2 318:14 327:4
403:9 420:8	491:10 581:10	134 564:19	389:20 403:11
440:10 449:14	581:17 582:19	13886 467:6	440:25 484:5
454:15 494:2	1000 414:5	14 386:25	493:23 494:3,4
505:21,25	533:25	388:3 406:14	523:13 526:25
506:2 523:13	1010 316:20	408:1	527:9 530:25
530:22 538:20	102 316:20	15 373:16	545:14 547:1
545:12,21	103 317:22	375:22 388:6,9	2-1 428:1
546:9 547:4,5	544:16,21	398:10,21	2.0 564:16,25
551:10 559:24	548:20 609:17	520:13 527:4	2.1 327:4
560:2,2,3,3,9	610:2,9,13,24	528:22 530:13	2.1. 329:2
560:15,18,25	611:6,24	531:1,5	2.2 329:4,5
561:10,20,20	10733 470:9	150 383:22	341:12 423:15
564:12 579:23			311.12 123.13

	I	I	I
2.3 329:4,4	542:20 549:6	23 466:17	30 505:10
365:11	553:11,13	487:18	510:24 615:25
2.3. 347:2	554:5 555:2	237 578:6	300 391:22
427:16	556:17 558:2,8	24 471:3,6	504:9
20 353:5 397:5	558:16 559:13	513:7	301 472:11
397:6,7,9	559:16,19,24	248 318:17	516:23
437:25 479:2,9	566:11 575:21	25 319:8 518:4	303 443:4,13
499:12 515:13	575:23 576:20	520:9 530:15	304 595:3,4
527:4 530:15	577:25,25	25-14193 466:9	308 492:19
608:14	585:15 599:10	466:20	498:10,13
200 383:12	600:15	25th 345:5	30th 529:9,25
2000 452:2	2022 499:12	403:2	311 493:19
466:16 558:22	2023 315:18	2636 615:23	312 384:3
2002 558:18	345:23 346:5,7	27-12091	314 323:6
2003 404:10	346:21 356:12	466:10,20	535:20,23
405:4	478:16 536:1	2720 318:23	550:25 551:1,2
2004 383:3	545:3 615:15	28 472:16	559:5 564:19
2007 383:4	615:25	516:24	564:20,23
200s 543:13,14	204 322:25	29-8236 466:11	565:10 572:8
2010s 375:6	543:23,24,25	466:20	574:6 602:6,7
2012 508:12	544:7 595:2,4	297 441:6	608:3,7,11
509:1	595:5 599:1	3	315-615 315:10
2015 595:19	603:19 607:19	3 322:23	31st 529:7
2017 509:2	607:21,24	341:12 346:3,5	531:23 615:15
2018 478:16	610:23,24,25	365:7 367:5,13	320 368:13
202 322:24	20th 508:12	367:16 403:12	322 315:20
558:21,22,23	21 502:12	420:11 421:17	316:10 546:24
559:1 561:11	519:8 561:11	505:17 541:8	32244 615:20
608:15,15	561:14,15	546:20,25	325 320:6
609:10,11,19	210 445:9	547:25 580:8	326 323:7
610:1	2139 316:21	581:9,11,22	402:6 410:10
2021 536:21	22 335:1 471:6	582:1,5,21	410:12,13
537:9,18,21	471:11	583:11 584:2,7	328 320:7
539:18 540:1	22-13886 466:9	599:3 600:9	323:8 474:20
540:18 542:5	466:20	J77.3 UUU.7	524:21 525:6

525:11,14,16 352 323:15 607:1 505:25 548:1 570:3 572:7 570:3 572:7 570:3 572:7 570:3 572:7 570:3 572:7 570:3 572:7 570:3 572:7 570:3 572:7 570:3 572:7 570:3 572:7 570:3 572:7 570:3 572:7 570:6 602:7,9 602:11 602:11 331 320:8 36-16592 410 320:24 525:414 602:11 503:14 525:1,2 364 320:16 412 320:25 50,000 523:11 522:22,23 486:13,21 323:7 50 398:6 50,000 523:11 521:12 323:7 50 398:6 50,000 523:11 522:22,23 42 443:12 501 322:6 50,000 523:11 501 322:6 501 322:6 50,000 523:11 501 322:6 323:11 501 322:6 323:11 525:8,17,19 503 322:13 323:14 4323:12,13 532:13 533 322:13 544 323:12 525:8,17,19,21 370 582:12 45 565:20 592:18 507:3 51		I		
478:4 524:21 355 320:15 402 320:23 574:6 602:7,9 331 320:8 36 511:12 36-16592 4055 504:14 602:11 334 320:9 362 320:16 412 320:25 50,000 523:11 503:14 525:1,2 525:22 364 320:17 414 321:6 50,000 523:11 337 488:15 367 322:22,23 42 443:12 521 322:7 496:4 524:23 368 320:20 42-111 405:19 525 323:8,9,10 524:24 37 535:9 559:7 430 321:7 526 323:11 340 320:13 37,000 523:11 37,000 523:11 39 321:9 550 322:13 344 323:10 37-7102 402:21 444 323:15,15 590 322:13 500:10 524:21 525:8,17,19,21 370 582:12 445 321:12 590 322:13 346 323:11 370 582:12 445 321:12 594 322:16 360:21,23,24 399 320:21 45 565:20 592:18 5525:9,23,25 526:2 3:10 531:13 45 565:20 592:18 37-14240 381:21 4 432:12 60 322:18 439 32:14 403:13 440:22 498:23 507:3 510:3 381:23 35-14240<	525:11,14,16	352 323:15	607:1	505:25 548:1
525:7,12,15,16 36 511:12 36-16592 4055 504:14 602:11 331 320:8 36-16592 466:13,21 323:7 50 398:6 334 320:9 362 320:16 412 320:25 50,000 523:11 503:14 525:1,2 365 505:13 418 546:17,19 50130 317:13 337 488:15 367 322:22,23 368 320:20 42 443:12 521 322:7 496:4 524:23 368 320:20 42 443:12 521 322:7 496:4 524:23 368 320:20 42 443:12 521 322:7 496:4 524:23 368 320:20 42 443:12 521 322:7 38 320:10 599:22 430 321:7 526 323:11 340 320:13 37,000 523:11 439 321:9 550 322:11 344 323:10 37-7102 402:21 444 323:15,15 594 322:12 508:5,7 524:21 370 582:12 323:14 590 322:13 346 323:11 379 320:21 45 565:20 592:18 525:9,23,25 526:2 3:10 531:13 455 321:14 6 381:21 349 320:14 432:12 440:22	330 323:9	444:1,2,6	40 504:10	570:3 572:7
331 320:8 36-16592 466:13,21 320:224 52.2 440:7 50.398:6 50.398:6 50.398:6 50.398:6 50.398:6 50.398:6 50.398:6 50.398:6 50.398:6 50.398:6 50.398:6 50.398:6 50.398:6 50.398:6 50.398:6 50.308:6 50.308:6 50.308:6 50.308:6 50.308:6 50.308:6 50.308:6 50.000 523:11 50.308:6 50.308:6 50.000 523:11 50.308:6 50.308:6 50.000 523:11 50.308:6 50.000 50.311 50.308:6 50.000 50.311 50.133 317:13 50.308:6 414.321:6 414.321:6 418.546:17,19 501.30 317:13 521.322:7 42.411.405:19 525.323:8,9,10 522.12 42.111 405:19 525.323:8,9,10 525.323:11 430.321:1 430.321:1 430.321:1 430.321:1 430.321:1 430.321:1 441.323:12,13 585.322:12 525.323:11 444.323:15,15 594.322:12 525.323:13 445.321:12 455.321:14 45.321:14 459.321:15 461.321:16 </th <th>478:4 524:21</th> <th>355 320:15</th> <th>402 320:23</th> <th>574:6 602:7,9</th>	478:4 524:21	355 320:15	402 320:23	574:6 602:7,9
3310 319:14 466:13,21 323:7 50 398:6 334 320:9 362 320:16 412 320:25 50,000 523:11 503:14 525:22 365 505:13 418 546:17,19 50130 317:13 337 488:15 367 322:22,23 42 443:12 521 322:7 496:4 524:24 37 535:9 559:7 430 321:7 526 323:11 338 320:10 599:22 433 321:8 533 322:10 340 320:13 37,000 523:11 439 321:9 550 322:11 344 323:10 37-21151 404:6 441 323:12,13 550 322:11 491:12 498:25 37-7102 402:21 444 323:12,13 590 322:13 346 323:11 379 320:21 345 321:12 590 322:13 346 323:14 4 323:14 590 322:15 526:2 3:10 531:13 455<	525:7,12,15,16	36 511:12	4055 504:14	602:11
334 320:9 362 320:16 412 320:25 50,000 523:11 503:14 525:1,2 364 320:17 418 546:17,19 50130 317:13 337 488:15 367 322:22,23 42 443:12 521 322:7 496:4 524:23 368 320:20 42-111 405:19 525 323:8,9,10 340 320:10 599:22 433 321:8 533 322:10 340 320:13 37,000 523:11 439 321:9 550 322:11 344 323:10 37-21151 404:6 441 323:12,13 585 322:12 491:12 498:25 37-7102 402:21 444 323:15,15 590 322:13 346 323:11 379 320:21 45 565:20 592:18 349 320:14 4 4 323:13 45 321:16 341:23 349 320:14 4 4	331 320:8	36-16592	410 320:24	5-2 440:7
503:14 525:1,2 364 320:17 414 321:6 501 322:6 525:22 365 505:13 418 546:17,19 50130 317:13 337 488:15 367 322:22,23 42 443:12 521 322:7 496:4 524:23 368 320:20 42-111 405:19 525 323:8,9,10 524:24 37 535:9 559:7 430 321:7 526 323:11 340 320:13 37,000 523:11 439 321:9 550 322:11 344 323:10 37-21151 404:6 441 323:12,13 585 322:12 491:12 498:25 37-7102 402:21 323:14 590 322:13 500:10 524:21 404:21 444 323:15,15 594 322:16 508:5,7 524:22 501:13 45 565:20 592:18 360:21,23,24 380 317:22 453 321:12 5:00 531:7 508:5,7 524:22 501:13 455 321:14 6 325:9,23,25 399 320:22 367:7 403:14 403:15 404:12 440:2,4 440:2,5 440:13 481:7 484 321:20 498:23 470 321:19 606 322:18 470 321:19 484 321:20 487 321:23	3310 319:14	466:13,21	323:7	50 398:6
525:22 365 505:13 418 546:17,19 50130 317:13 337 488:15 367 322:22,23 42 443:12 521 322:7 496:4 524:23 368 320:20 42-111 405:19 525 323:8,9,10 338 320:10 599:22 433 321:7 526 323:11 340 320:13 37,000 523:11 439 321:9 550 322:10 344 323:10 37-21151 404:6 441 323:12,13 585 322:12 491:12 498:25 37-7102 402:21 323:14 590 322:13 46 323:11 379 320:21 45 565:20 592:18 508:5,7 524:22 501:13 455 321:13 5:21 614:10 349 320:14 4 341:11 345:1 47 440:22 498:23 470 321:19 484 321:23 606 322:18 <tr< th=""><th>334 320:9</th><th>362 320:16</th><th>412 320:25</th><th>50,000 523:11</th></tr<>	334 320:9	362 320:16	412 320:25	50,000 523:11
337 488:15 367 322:22,23 42 443:12 521 322:7 496:4 524:24 37 535:9 559:7 430 321:7 526 323:11 388 320:10 599:22 433 321:8 533 322:10 340 320:13 37,000 523:11 439 321:9 550 322:11 344 323:10 37-7102 402:21 441 323:12,13 585 322:12 491:12 498:25 37-7102 402:21 444 323:14 590 322:13 500:10 524:21 404:21 444 323:15,15 594 322:16 525:8,17,19,21 370 582:12 445 321:12 500 531:7 360:21,23,24 380 317:22 455 321:14 6 325:9,23,25 526:2 3:10 531:13 5:21 614:10 381:13 44:1:23 367:7 403:14 403:15 404:12 498:23 604 322:18 351 323:14 439:22 440:9 444:13:23:12 507:3 510:3 608	503:14 525:1,2	364 320:17	414 321:6	501 322:6
496:4 524:23 368 320:20 42-111 405:19 525 323:8,9,10 338 320:10 599:22 433 321:8 533 322:10 340 320:13 37,000 523:11 439 321:9 550 322:11 344 323:10 37-21151 404:6 441 323:12,13 585 322:12 491:12 498:25 37-7102 402:21 323:14 590 322:13 500:10 524:21 404:21 444 323:15,15 594 322:16 525:8,17,19,21 370 582:12 445 321:12 500 531:7 360:21,23,24 380 317:22 45 565:20 592:18 525:9,23,25 501:13 455 321:14 6 525:9,23,25 526:2 3:10 531:13 5:21 614:10 40:2,5 441:16 4 341:11 345:1 453 321:14 6 40:2,5 441:23 367:7 403:14 403:15 404:12 484 321:20 606 322:18 439:22 440:24 438:12 494:4 541:8 546:20 546:25 547:25 532:22 332:22 351 323:14 548:22 582:1 532:22 346:24 357:6 606 561:19	525:22	365 505:13	418 546:17,19	50130 317:13
524:24 37 535:9 559:7 430 321:7 526 323:11 338 320:10 599:22 433 321:8 533 322:10 340 320:13 37,000 523:11 439 321:9 550 322:11 344 323:10 37-21151 404:6 441 323:12,13 585 322:12 491:12 498:25 37-7102 402:21 323:14 590 322:13 500:10 524:21 404:21 444 323:15,15 594 322:16 525:8,17,19,21 370 582:12 445 321:12 590 322:13 360:21,23,24 380 317:22 45 565:20 592:18 525:9,23,25 501:13 455 321:14 6 525:9,23,25 399 320:22 3:10 531:13 459 321:15 441:23 360:21,23;44 4341:11 345:1 47 440:22 498:23 440:2,5 441:16 431:13 481:7 484 321:20 487 321:23 604 322:18 439:22 440:9 441:17,23 481:2 494:4 541:8 546:20 546:25 547:25 532:22 351 323:14 439:22 440:24 546:25 547:25 565:2,9 580:8 581:22 582:1 532:22 66 561:19 <th>337 488:15</th> <th>367 322:22,23</th> <th>42 443:12</th> <th>521 322:7</th>	337 488:15	367 322:22,23	42 443:12	521 322:7
338 320:10 599:22 433 321:8 533 322:10 340 320:13 37,000 523:11 439 321:9 550 322:11 344 323:10 37-21151 404:6 441 323:12,13 585 322:12 491:12 498:25 37-7102 402:21 424 323:15,15 594 322:13 500:10 524:21 404:21 444 323:15,15 594 322:16 346 323:11 379 320:21 45 565:20 592:18 360:21,23,24 501:13 455 321:14 6 525:9,23,25 502:23 399 320:22 459 321:15 349 320:14 4 440:2,5 441:13 45:3 321:19 441:23 367:7 403:14 403:15 404:12 484 321:23 604 322:18 35-14240 381:21 481:2 494:4 541:8 546:20 546:25 547:25 532:22 532:22 610	496:4 524:23	368 320:20	42-111 405:19	525 323:8,9,10
340 320:13 37,000 523:11 439 321:9 550 322:11 344 323:10 37-21151 404:6 441 323:12,13 585 322:12 500:10 524:21 404:21 444 323:15,15 590 322:13 525:8,17,19,21 370 582:12 445 321:12 500 531:7 346 323:11 379 320:21 45 565:20 592:18 508:5,7 524:22 501:13 455 321:14 6 525:9,23,25 399 320:22 459 321:15 6 349 320:14 4 440:22 498:23 604 322:17 40:25 349:32:14 40:31:13 459 321:19 606 322:18 40:27 40:29 484 321:20 484 321:20 606 322:18 400:15 40:14 40:12 498:23 607 322:25 608 323:6 401 40:17:23 55 332:22 532:22 532:22 608 323:6	524:24	37 535:9 559:7	430 321:7	526 323:11
344 323:10 37-21151 404:6 441 323:12,13 585 322:12 491:12 498:25 37-7102 402:21 323:14 590 322:13 500:10 524:21 404:21 444 323:15,15 594 322:16 525:8,17,19,21 370 582:12 445 321:12 500 531:7 360:21,23,24 380 317:22 45 565:20 592:18 508:5,7 524:22 501:13 455 321:14 6 525:9,23,25 399 320:22 459 321:15 6 323:12 439:22 3:10 531:13 461 321:16 6 44:23 341:11 345:1 47 440:22 498:23 604 322:18 44:23 367:7 403:14 403:15 404:12 423:13 481:7 488:12 494:4 4341:17,23 488:12 494:4 4341:17,23 546:25 547:25 565:2,9 580:8 51:22 582:1 532:22 640 315:24 44:40:22 349:22 440:24 546:25 547:25 565:2,9 580:8 581:22 582:1 532:22 640:315:24 640:315:24	338 320:10	599:22	433 321:8	533 322:10
344 323:10 37-21151 404:6 441 323:12,13 585 322:12 491:12 498:25 37-7102 402:21 323:14 590 322:13 500:10 524:21 404:21 444 323:15,15 594 322:16 525:8,17,19,21 370 582:12 445 321:12 500 531:7 360:21,23,24 380 317:22 45 565:20 592:18 508:5,7 524:22 501:13 455 321:14 6 525:9,23,25 399 320:22 459 321:15 6 323:12 439:22 3:10 531:13 461 321:16 6 44:23 341:11 345:1 47 440:22 498:23 604 322:18 44:23 367:7 403:14 403:15 404:12 423:13 481:7 488:12 494:4 4341:17,23 488:12 494:4 4341:17,23 546:25 547:25 565:2,9 580:8 51:22 582:1 532:22 640 315:24 44:40:22 349:22 440:24 546:25 547:25 565:2,9 580:8 581:22 582:1 532:22 640:315:24 640:315:24	340 320:13	37,000 523:11	439 321:9	550 322:11
500:10 524:21 404:21 444 323:15,15 594 322:16 325:8,17,19,21 370 582:12 445 321:12 5:00 531:7 346 323:11 379 320:21 45 565:20 592:18 360:21,23,24 380 317:22 453 321:13 5:21 614:10 508:5,7 524:22 501:13 455 321:14 6 525:9,23,25 399 320:22 459 321:15 6 349 320:14 4 459 321:15 604 322:17 323:12 439:22 4341:11 345:1 498:23 604 322:17 441:23 367:7 403:14 403:15 404:12 484 321:20 608 323:6 381:21 439:22 440:9 441:17,23 488:12 494:4 541:8 546:20 546:25 547:25 532:22 351 323:14 565:2,9 580:8 581:22 582:1 346:24 357:6 60 561:19 441:17 23 404:14 25:5 404:1 425:5 66 561:19	344 323:10	37-21151 404:6	441 323:12,13	585 322:12
525:8,17,19,21 370 582:12 445 321:12 5:00 531:7 346 323:11 379 320:21 45 565:20 592:18 360:21,23,24 380 317:22 453 321:13 5:21 614:10 508:5,7 524:22 501:13 455 321:14 6 525:9,23,25 399 320:22 459 321:15 6 349 320:14 4 440:22 498:23 604 322:17 40:2,5 441:16 431:11 347:2 349:23 470 321:19 606 322:18 435-14240 381:21 367:7 403:14 403:15 404:12 484 321:20 608 323:6 350 323:13 488:12 494:4 592:17 5 381:13 439:22 440:9 546:25 547:25 5 332:22 346:24 357:6 60 561:19 351 323:14 323:14 565:2,9 580:8 581:22 582:1 565:2,9 580:8 581:22 582:1 66 <	491:12 498:25	37-7102 402:21	323:14	590 322:13
346 323:11 379 320:21 45 565:20 592:18 360:21,23,24 508:5,7 524:22 501:13 455 321:14 6 525:9,23,25 399 320:22 459 321:15 6 349 320:14 4 459 321:15 6 323:12 439:22 440:2,5 441:16 441:23 4341:11 347:2 349:23 470 321:19 606 322:18 35-14240 381:21 367:7 403:14 403:15 404:12 484 321:23 608 323:6 350 323:13 439:22 440:24 541:8 546:25 546:25 547:25 546:25 546:25 547:25 565:2,9 580:8 581:22 582:11 66 561:19	500:10 524:21	404:21	444 323:15,15	594 322:16
360:21,23,24 380 317:22 453 321:13 5:21 614:10 508:5,7 524:22 399 320:22 459 321:15 6 349 320:14 4 459 321:15 6 349 320:14 4 459 321:15 6 349 320:14 4 440:22 498:23 470 321:19 484 321:20 484 321:20 606 322:18 607 322:25 608 323:6 608 323:6 609 322:25 608 323:6 609 322:25 608 323:6 609 322:25 608 323:6 609 322:25 608 323:6 609 322:25 608 323:6 609 322:25 608 323:6 609 322:25 608 323:6 609 322:25 609 322:25 609 322:25 609 322:25 609 322:25 609 322:25 609 322:25 609 322:24 63 317:6 381:13 640 315:24 615:2,21 66	525:8,17,19,21	370 582:12	445 321:12	5:00 531:7
508:5,7 524:22 501:13 455 321:14 6 525:9,23,25 399 320:22 3:10 531:13 461 321:16 6 361:2 478:23 349 320:14 4 440:2,5 441:16 4341:11 345:1 498:23 604 322:17 606 322:18 41:23 35-14240 367:7 403:14 403:15 404:12 423:13 481:7 488:12 494:4 439:22 440:9 441:17,23 488:12 494:4 541:8 546:20 546:25 547:25 565:2,9 580:8 581:22 582:1 532:14 606 322:18 607 322:25 608 323:6 610 322:24 610 322:24 63 317:6 381:13 640 315:24 615:2,21 640 315:24 615:2,21 66 561:19	346 323:11	379 320:21	45 565:20	592:18
508:5,7 524:22 501:13 455 321:14 6 525:9,23,25 399 320:22 3:10 531:13 461 321:16 6 361:2 478:23 349 320:14 4 440:2,5 441:16 4341:11 345:1 498:23 604 322:17 606 322:18 41:23 35-14240 367:7 403:14 403:15 404:12 423:13 481:7 488:12 494:4 439:22 440:9 441:17,23 488:12 494:4 541:8 546:20 546:25 547:25 565:2,9 580:8 581:22 582:1 532:14 606 322:18 607 322:25 608 323:6 610 322:24 610 322:24 63 317:6 381:13 640 315:24 615:2,21 640 315:24 615:2,21 66 561:19	360:21,23,24	380 317:22	453 321:13	5:21 614:10
525:9,23,25 399 320:22 459 321:15 6 361:2 478:23 507:3 510:3 349 320:14 323:12 439:22 4 440:2,5 441:16 47 440:22 498:23 604 322:17 606 322:18 607 322:25 608 322:18 607 322:25 608 323:6 607 322:25 608 323:6 610 322:24 63 317:6 381:13 381:13 381:13 640 315:24 640 315:24 640 315:24 640 315:24 640 315:24 66 561:19 351 323:14 323:14 323:14 340:24 340:24 357:6 346:24 357:6 66 561:19		501:13	455 321:14	6
526:2 3:10 531:13 461 321:16 507:3 510:3 349 320:14 4 40:2,5 441:16 47 440:22 498:23 604 322:17 440:2,5 441:16 441:23 347:2 349:23 470 321:19 606 322:18 35-14240 367:7 403:14 403:15 404:12 484 321:23 608 322:25 381:21 423:13 481:7 488:12 494:4 4:40 592:17 507:3 510:3 351 323:14 403:15 404:12 487 321:23 606 322:18 488:12 494:4 541:8 546:20 546:25 547:25 5 332:22 63 317:6 381:13 5 5 332:22 640 315:24 441:17 23 581:22 582:1 346:24 357:6 66 561:19	· ·	399 320:22	459 321:15	
349 320:14 4 47 440:22 604 322:17 323:12 439:22 4341:11 345:1 347:2 349:23 470 321:19 606 322:18 441:23 367:7 403:14 403:15 404:12 484 321:20 608 323:6 350 323:13 439:22 440:9 488:12 494:4 498:23 607 322:25 488:12 494:4 541:8 546:20 4:40 592:17 381:13 5 332:22 346:24 357:6 640 315:24 606 322:18 607 322:25 608 323:6 610 322:24 63 317:6 381:13 640 315:24 65:2,9 580:8 346:24 357:6 615:2,21 66 561:19		3:10 531:13	461 321:16	
323:12 439:22 4 341:11 345:1 498:23 606 322:18 440:2,5 441:16 347:2 349:23 470 321:19 607 322:25 441:23 367:7 403:14 403:15 404:12 484 321:20 608 323:6 381:21 423:13 481:7 488:12 494:4 4:40 592:17 63 317:6 381:13 541:8 546:20 546:25 547:25 546:25 547:25 546:25 547:25 546:25 547:25 565:2,9 580:8 346:24 357:6 606 561:19 441:17 23 581:22 582:1 404:1 425:5 404:1 425:5 66 561:19	349 320:14		47 440:22	
440:2,5 441:16 4 341:11 345:1 347:2 349:23 367:7 403:14 403:15 404:12 484 321:20 487 321:23 608 322:18 487 321:23 608 323:6 488:12 494:4 498 321:24 439:22 440:9 441:17,23 351 323:14 546:25 547:25 439:22 440:24 541:8 546:20 565:2,9 580:8 565:2,9 580:8 581:22 582:1 346:24 357:6 404:1 425:5 404:1 425:5				
441:23 367:7 403:14 484 321:20 607 322:25 35-14240 403:15 404:12 487 321:23 608 323:6 488:12 494:4 498 321:24 488:12 494:4 498 321:24 439:22 440:9 541:8 546:20 546:25 547:25 546:25 547:25 546:25 547:25 546:25 547:25 546:24 357:6 66 561:19 441:17 23 581:22 582:1 404:1 425:5 404:1 425:5 608 323:6 610 322:24 608 323:6 610 322:24 63 317:6 381:13 640 315:24 640 315:24 66 561:19 565:2,9 580:8 581:22 582:1 404:1 425:5 66 561:19	440:2,5 441:16		470 321:19	
35-14240 367:7403:14 381:21 403:15 404:12 423:13 481:7 488:12 494:4 441:17,23 546:25 547:25 351 323:14 565:2,9 580:8 441:17,23 581:22 582:1 441:17,23 404:1 425:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
381:21 403:13 404:12 350 323:13 423:13 481:7 488:12 494:4 4:40 592:17 541:8 546:20 5 351 323:14 565:2,9 580:8 439:22 440:24 581:22 582:1 403:13 481:7 498 321:24 4:40 592:17 381:13 640 315:24 615:2,21 66 561:19 565:2,9 580:8 581:22 582:1 404:1 425:5	35-14240			
350 323:13 488:12 494:4 4:40 592:17 381:13 439:22 440:9 541:8 546:20 5 640 315:24 540:25 547:25 565:2,9 580:8 346:24 357:6 66 561:19 423:13 481:7 488:12 494:4 5 381:13 640 315:24 565:2,9 580:8 346:24 357:6 66 561:19	381:21			
439:22 440:9 488:12 494:4 5 441:17,23 541:8 546:20 5 351 323:14 565:2,9 580:8 346:24 357:6 640 315:24 439:22 440:24 581:22 582:1 346:24 357:6 66 561:19				
441:17,23 541:8 546:20 351 323:14 546:25 547:25 439:22 440:24 565:2,9 580:8 581:22 582:1 346:24 357:6 404:1 425:5 404:1 425:5				
351 323:14 439:22 440:24 441:17 23 346:25 347:25 565:2,9 580:8 581:22 582:1 346:24 357:6 404:1 425:5 66 561:19				
439:22 440:24 441:17 23 565:2,9 580:8 581:22 582:1 404:1 425:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
441·17·23 381:22 382:1 404:1 423:3		,		00 561:19
604:2 605:10 426:9 481:7				
		604:2 605:10	426:9 481:7	

[7 - acquire]

7	8:00 451:15	423:24 443:7	account 357:1
	9	448:9 453:7	388:16 425:15
7 440:13 441:3	-	461:25 483:8,9	491:22
478:24	9 405:8 565:3,9	483:16 497:22	accounting
70s 346:2	570:3 572:7	505:4 519:10	350:10,24
79 441:8	574:6 602:7,10	520:19 549:25	354:4,17 388:9
7th 529:12	602:11	565:13	415:13 416:12
8	9.7 504:22	above 389:1	416:13,19
8 387:7 404:22	505:9 517:17	390:24 404:14	417:1,6,8,11,21
440:13 441:3	90 452:17	540:3 554:6,14	417:23 418:5,5
80 499:17	598:14	554:15,18	419:10 421:14
800 319:21	97 581:7 582:4	556:14 560:7	425:10,11,13
802 325:7,13	99 538:16,17	561:23 564:11	425:19,24
594:14	586:3 9:00 315:18	567:2 583:19	426:2,4,24
80203 414:6	614:9	586:7,15	427:13,14
534:1		596:22	428:16,24
80204 339:25	a	aboveground	431:7 432:7
80302 318:10	a&b 316:13	493:18	506:2
83303-0063	498:7	absent 521:1	accounting's
317:7	a.m. 315:18	571:9 602:24	418:9
83318 318:18	451:15	absolute	accrue 540:22
83340 319:15	abarker 316:23	355:16	accrues 552:10
83405 317:14	aberdeen	absolutely	accurate
83440 319:9	319:17	354:22 356:16	454:18,18
83701-2139	abigail 316:19	356:22	484:16 485:9
316:22	ability 342:7	accessible	512:24
83701-2636	424:2 477:23	507:16 508:2	achieve 400:6
615:24	495:17 500:1,3	519:11	400:14
83701-2720	571:6,19	accommodate	achieved
318:24	615:10	432:11 613:22	418:15
83702 317:23	abitzenburg	accomplish	acknowledged
319:22	316:24	519:5	567:16
83720 316:11	able 352:9	accomplishes	acquire 352:5
84041 402:14	354:9 373:6	434:23	419:24 420:4
85 448:4	384:1 397:1		
452:17			

[acre - administrable]

acre 373:3	560:14 580:25	489:3 495:8	adhered 437:13
389:20,21	acute 559:15	521:1 545:13	adjacent
391:22 405:12	adams 321:18	555:24 567:14	504:14
440:20 441:6	467:13,19	571:5 581:3	adjourned
505:10,14	469:17,20	599:4 601:18	614:10
546:17,18,24	470:1,8,11,17	602:8 609:13	adjudicated
582:13,19,21	483:11,13,14	additionally	332:16,25
583:11 584:7	484:3 486:9	520:10	adjust 369:23
acreage 495:5	adaptable	additions	370:1 428:16
acres 436:24	421:15	416:18	adjusted
500:7 507:3	adaptive	address 325:6	369:10 380:4
act 424:16	358:23	339:22,24	380:12 428:24
action 615:13	add 369:4	343:24 368:9	431:2
activities	412:4 426:23	393:24 396:5	adjustment
471:22	443:12 482:10	401:5 413:6	431:24 432:5
actual 363:12	494:9 521:5	414:3,5 445:7	432:12
366:12,14,16	added 440:3	451:13 470:7,8	administer
380:6 396:18	476:15 574:5	486:24 487:3	343:5 344:12
396:25 398:16	602:21	496:24 501:10	354:9 357:25
431:1 493:8	adding 584:10	501:13 513:16	358:9,16 372:7
actually 360:8	addition	520:25 523:17	373:7 374:8
387:15 398:12	372:23 397:24	533:25 536:5	401:13 409:14
421:4,6,8	526:3	536:20 551:4	411:3 416:1
435:18 449:2	additional	586:20 587:8	426:12 506:9
451:5,10,23	344:23 370:6,7	594:12	administered
458:19 483:1	370:12,21	addressed	386:2 416:11
495:24 496:12	371:3,3,11	553:16 554:10	425:3
496:23 497:23	388:21,25	addressing	administering
506:21 507:24	390:13 393:22	383:14 536:13	373:10 400:8
508:4 509:17	394:9,17,19	567:24	414:14
509:20,22	396:3 410:15	adds 412:5	administers
510:23 512:21	412:5 416:18	adequate 452:9	357:15
517:15 520:12	417:4 424:17	adequately	administrable
520:20,20	424:20,25	488:5	418:20,22,25
523:7 546:22	429:5 485:4		425:22 426:5

[administrable - allocation]

437:14	524:4 525:11	afternoon	584:19 588:5
administration	525:17,23	455:5,5 459:3	593:4 602:20
341:21 342:19	526:10,11	459:3,5 468:24	606:5 611:12
344:2 354:15	611:7	469:11,14	613:18
355:17,22	admitted	470:17 486:15	agreed 339:2
358:23 363:23	322:21 323:5	486:16 487:9	439:12 531:20
386:16 409:11	367:16 410:13	550:8,11	605:23 606:3
414:17,19,22	429:17 441:23	594:22 604:21	agreement
414:25 415:17	443:4 444:6	606:21	346:10 418:4,8
415:24 416:7	524:20,24	ag 578:6	437:19,22
417:4,7 508:21	525:2,16,21	agencies	452:24
536:22,23	526:2,15	513:18	agricultural
539:15 541:14	607:24 608:11	agency 418:7	329:16
567:25 568:13	610:1,13	418:21 425:21	ahead 328:15
569:11 578:4	611:10 612:12	425:23,25	367:24 379:17
administratio	adopt 372:11	519:13	410:19,20
415:21	372:16,19	aggregate	414:7 430:4
administrative	adopting	541:22	442:24 463:20
359:2,6,18	376:15	aggressive	466:3 467:4
370:14 383:1	advance 593:2	497:2	470:13 483:20
388:23 398:1	advise 394:18	ago 330:22	524:12 525:10
400:18 401:19	396:2	356:5 365:25	533:16 585:3
408:9 512:1	advised 338:18	508:17 519:22	613:19
518:3 519:16	advocating	agree 366:20	akin 447:17
537:23 542:13	354:23 363:16	382:16 383:4	540:2 548:12
administrativ	363:17,21	388:14 390:22	al 337:21
509:3	364:2	395:18 399:8	410:20 454:25
administrator	affairs 343:25	399:11 400:9	466:6 590:23
373:18 415:17	affect 423:5,8	401:14 402:12	albert 316:18
417:19	539:4 541:9	404:25 405:20	338:11 410:24
admission	553:23,25,25	406:4 407:4	455:7 550:8
430:3 439:13	573:22	412:3 419:16	594:22
admit 367:5,6,7	affects 552:19	494:5 499:11	allocation
367:13 410:10	553:6,20	518:8,11	520:24
429:11 441:16		541:11 564:9	

[allow - appears]

485:7 527:13 458:16 548:18 551:15 456:25 564:5 608:22 amount 331:18 554:5 559:4 587:7 allowable 331:23 332:7 562:22 568:11 answering 451:15 336:9 377:15 573:7 576:10 575:6 allowed 415:10 369:9 377:15 573:7 576:10 anticipate 508:13 560:5 377:20 380:11 577:4 578:10 467:22 485:4 allowing 381:10 382:1 579:18 586:23 515:5 457:20 460:7 382:19,20,22 590:24 604:1 anticipate allows 475:1 389:16 390:16 605:23 520:5 alleration 422:4,18 576:13 anticipated 397:16 430:25 432:16 446:5,9 447:19 567:21 572:19 527:3 392:19 403:17 507:12 539:13 399:19 analyzed 450:14 anticipated 431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 anuex 319:5 analyzed 500:22 378:20,20 431:12 580:12,22,24 456:18 431:21 478:15 456:25 apparement		I	I	I
608:22 allowable amount 331:23 332:7 562:22 568:11 answering 575:6 answering 451:15 333:19 334:4 508:13 560:5 allowed 369:9 377:15 573:7 576:10 573:4,6 508:13 560:5 377:20 380:11 577:4 578:10 467:22 485:4 571:20 460:7 382:19,20,22 590:24 604:1 allowing 475:1 389:16 390:16 605:23 500:23 allows 475:1 389:16 390:16 605:23 390:23,24 analyze 541:7 anticipated 520:25 407:1 411:9 567:17,19 527:3 anticipating 397:16 430:25 432:16 alteration 422:4,18 576:13 anticipation 420:4,18 576:13 anticipation 420:4,18 576:13 anticipation 420:4,18 576:13 anticipation 420:24,18 576:13 anticipation 420:24,18 570:12 539:13 399:19 500:22 anystime 601:13 apartment 431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 annex 456:22 anternatively 577:1 580:12 400:25 513:23 alternatively 577:1 580:12 431:12 580:12,22,24 annexations and 476:15 and 431:9 456:25 annexations and 476:15 annex 431:9 456:25 annexations and 476:15 annex 431:9 476:24 annexation 324:10 380:16 472:11 518:22 annexation 380:6 431:19 analysis 366:1 383:13 486:12 435:22 537:23 annexation 380:6 431:19 analysis 366:1 380:10 431:3 484:4 537:24 538:1,2 484:4 537:24 538:1,2 annexation 317:9 542:1,2,11 505:18 537:16 557:10 573:4,6 575:6 anticipate 467:22 485:4 575:6 anticipate 467:22 485:4 560:23 500:22 anystime 601:13 apartment 378:20,20 anystime 601:13 apartment 378:20,20 annexations 378:20,20 apologize 456:18 431:21 478:15 apparent 379:28 375:18 375:18 apparent 336:17 apparent 336:17 apparent 336:17 apparent 336:6,12,16 500:11 519:8 316:1 317:1 apparances 316:1 317:1 apparances 316:1 317:1 apparances 344:9 annexation 317:9 542:1,2,11 505:18 505:18 537:16	allow 427:23	ammonia	545:11,12	answer 437:8
allowable 331:23 332:7 562:22 568:11 answering 451:15 333:19 334:4 572:10 573:4,6 575:6 allowed 415:10 369:9 377:15 573:7 576:10 anticipate 508:13 560:5 377:20 380:11 577:4 578:10 467:22 485:4 allowing 381:10 382:1 579:18 586:23 515:5 457:20 460:7 382:19,20,22 590:24 604:1 anticipated allows 475:1 389:16 390:16 605:23 anticipated 300:23,24 407:1 411:9 567:17,19 527:3 alteration 422:4,18 576:13 anticipating 397:16 430:25 432:16 analyzed 450:14 327:19,25 462:23 478:25 399:19 anytime 601:13 392:19 403:17 507:12 539:13 399:19 anytime 601:13 431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 andrus 319:5 anyartment 431:12 580:12,22,24 annexations 472:20 alum 476:15 601:9 604:6 455:25 456:4 47:20 amended </td <td>485:7 527:13</td> <td>458:16</td> <td>548:18 551:15</td> <td>456:25 564:5</td>	485:7 527:13	458:16	548:18 551:15	456:25 564:5
451:15 333:19 334:4 572:10 573:4,6 575:6 anticipate 508:13 560:5 377:20 380:11 577:4 578:10 467:22 485:4 allowing 381:10 382:1 579:18 586:23 515:5 457:20 460:7 382:19,20,22 590:24 604:1 anticipated allows 475:1 389:16 390:16 605:23 520:5 alluvial 551:23 390:23,24 analyze 541:7 567:17,19 527:3 602:25 407:1 411:9 567:17,19 527:3 397:16 430:25 432:16 analyzed 450:14 alteration 422:4,18 576:13 anticipation 397:19,25 462:23 478:25 399:19 analyzing 500:22 392:19 403:17 507:12 539:13 399:19 anytime 601:13 431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 annex 456:22 anytime 601:13 431:12 580:12,22,24 anexation 456:18 431:21 478:15 ambiguous amounts 431:9 456:25 apparent 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,37,99 <	608:22	amount 331:18	554:5 559:4	587:7
allowed 415:10 369:9 377:15 573:7 576:10 anticipate 508:13 560:5 377:20 380:11 577:4 578:10 467:22 485:4 allowing 381:10 382:1 579:18 586:23 515:5 457:20 460:7 382:19,20,22 590:24 604:1 anticipated allows 475:1 389:16 390:16 605:23 520:5 alluvial 551:23 390:23,24 analyze 541:7 527:3 alteration 422:4,18 576:13 anticipation 397:16 430:25 432:16 analyzed 450:14 alternative 446:5,9 447:19 567:21 572:19 anticipation 327:19,25 462:23 478:25 analyzing 500:22 392:19 403:17 507:12 539:13 399:19 anticlimactic 431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 annex 456:22 arys:20,20 431:12 580:12,22,24 annexations 476:11 571:17 annexations 431:12 601:9 604:6 456:25 apparent 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,37,9,9 <	allowable	331:23 332:7	562:22 568:11	answering
508:13 560:5 377:20 380:11 577:4 578:10 467:22 485:4 allowing 381:10 382:1 579:18 586:23 515:5 457:20 460:7 382:19,20,22 590:24 604:1 anticipated allows 475:1 389:16 390:16 605:23 520:5 alluvial 551:23 390:23,24 analyze 541:7 anticipating 602:25 407:1 411:9 567:17,19 527:3 alteration 422:4,18 576:13 anticipation 397:16 430:25 432:16 analyzed 450:14 327:19,25 462:23 478:25 analyzing 500:22 392:19 403:17 507:12 539:13 399:19 anticipation 431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 annex 319:5 apartime 601:13 431:12 580:12,22,24 annex 456:22 378:20,20 alternatively 577:1 580:12 456:18 477:20 456:18 ambiguous amounts 431:9 456:25 apparent 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,3,7,9,9 53	451:15	333:19 334:4	572:10 573:4,6	575:6
allowing 381:10 382:1 579:18 586:23 515:5 457:20 460:7 382:19,20,22 590:24 604:1 anticipated allows 475:1 389:16 390:16 605:23 520:5 alluvial 551:23 390:23,24 analyze 541:7 anticipating 602:25 407:1 411:9 567:17,19 527:3 alteration 422:4,18 576:13 anticipation 397:16 430:25 432:16 analyzed 450:14 alternative 446:5,9 447:19 567:21 572:19 anticlimactic 327:19,25 462:23 478:25 analyzing 500:22 392:19 403:17 507:12 539:13 399:19 anticlimactic 431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 annex 456:22 anytime 601:13 431:12 580:12,722,24 annexation apologize alternatively 577:1 580:12 456:18 431:21 478:15 ambiguous amounts 431:9 456:25 apparent 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,3,7,9,9 530:11	allowed 415:10	369:9 377:15	573:7 576:10	anticipate
457:20 460:7 382:19,20,22 590:24 604:1 anticipated allows 475:1 389:16 390:16 605:23 520:5 alluvial 551:23 390:23,24 analyze 541:7 anticipating 602:25 407:1 411:9 567:17,19 527:3 alteration 422:4,18 576:13 anticipation 397:16 430:25 432:16 analyzed 450:14 alternative 446:5,9 447:19 567:21 572:19 anticipation 327:19,25 462:23 478:25 analyzing 500:22 392:19 403:17 507:12 539:13 399:19 anticlimactic 431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 annex 456:22 378:20,20 440:25 513:23 570:11 571:17 annex 456:22 378:20,20 alternatively 577:1 580:12 456:18 431:21 478:15 431:12 580:12,22,24 456:25 app 447:22 alum 476:15 601:9 604:6 455:25 456:4 457:20 ambiguous anaerobic 372:8 375:18 apparentl	508:13 560:5	377:20 380:11	577:4 578:10	467:22 485:4
allows 475:1 389:16 390:16 605:23 520:5 alluvial 551:23 390:23,24 analyze 541:7 anticipating 602:25 407:1 411:9 567:17,19 527:3 alteration 422:4,18 576:13 anticipation 397:16 430:25 432:16 analyzed 450:14 alternative 446:5,9 447:19 567:21 572:19 anticimactic 327:19,25 462:23 478:25 analyzing 500:22 392:19 403:17 507:12 539:13 399:19 anytime 601:13 431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 annex 456:22 arytime 601:13 431:12 40:25 513:23 570:11 571:17 annex 456:22 apartment 431:12 580:12,22,24 456:18 431:21 478:15 431:12 60:15 60:46:6 601:9 604:6 455:25 456:4 457:20 ambiguous amounts 431:9 456:25 apparent 539:24 431:9 476:24 anual 345:7 336:17 amended anaerobic 372:8 375:18 372:8 375:18 399:20	allowing	381:10 382:1	579:18 586:23	515:5
alluvial 551:23 390:23,24 analyze 541:7 anticipating 602:25 407:1 411:9 567:17,19 527:3 alteration 422:4,18 576:13 anticipation 397:16 430:25 432:16 analyzed 450:14 alternative 446:5,9 447:19 567:21 572:19 anticlimactic 327:19,25 462:23 478:25 analyzing 500:22 392:19 403:17 507:12 539:13 399:19 anytime 601:13 403:24 429:23 541:8 549:10 andrus 319:5 apartment 431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 annexation apologize 440:25 513:23 570:11 571:17 annexations apologize alternatively 577:1 580:12 456:18 431:21 478:15 431:12 580:12,22,24 annexations app 447:22 alum 476:15 601:9 604:6 455:25 456:4 457:20 amended anaerobic 372:8 375:18 apparent 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,37,9,9 530:11 472	457:20 460:7	382:19,20,22	590:24 604:1	anticipated
602:25 407:1 411:9 567:17,19 527:3 alteration 422:4,18 576:13 anticipation 397:16 430:25 432:16 analyzed 450:14 alternative 446:5,9 447:19 567:21 572:19 anticlimactic 327:19,25 462:23 478:25 analyzing 500:22 392:19 403:17 507:12 539:13 399:19 anytime 601:13 403:24 429:23 541:8 549:10 andrus 319:5 apartment 431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 annex 456:22 378:20,20 440:25 513:23 570:11 571:17 annexation apologize 431:12 580:12,22,24 annexations 431:21 478:15 431:12 580:12,22,24 annexations app 447:22 ambiguous amounts 431:9 456:25 apparent 539:24 431:9 476:24 annual 345:7 336:17 amended anaerobic 372:8 375:18 apparently 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,37,9,9 530:11 472:11 518:22 analyses 576:8	allows 475:1	389:16 390:16	605:23	520:5
alteration 422:4,18 576:13 anticipation 397:16 430:25 432:16 analyzed 450:14 alternative 446:5,9 447:19 567:21 572:19 anticimactic 327:19,25 462:23 478:25 analyzing 500:22 392:19 403:17 507:12 539:13 399:19 anytime 601:13 403:24 429:23 541:8 549:10 andrus 319:5 apartment 431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 annex 456:22 378:20,20 440:25 513:23 570:11 571:17 annexation apologize alternatively 577:1 580:12 456:18 431:21 478:15 431:12 580:12,22,24 amexations app 447:22 alum 476:15 601:9 604:6 455:25 456:4 457:20 ambiguous anaerobic 372:8 375:18 apparent 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,3,7,9,9 530:11 472:11 518:22 analyses 576:8 387:13 388:8 appeal 529:3 amendment 366:6,12,16 500:11 519:8 316:1 317:1 318:13 466:12	alluvial 551:23	390:23,24	analyze 541:7	anticipating
397:16 430:25 432:16 analyzed 450:14 alternative 446:5,9 447:19 567:21 572:19 anticlimactic 327:19,25 462:23 478:25 399:19 500:22 392:19 403:17 507:12 539:13 399:19 anytime 601:13 403:24 429:23 541:8 549:10 andrus 319:5 apartment 431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 annex 456:22 378:20,20 alternatively 577:1 580:12 456:18 431:21 478:15 431:12 580:12,22,24 annexations app 447:22 alum 476:15 601:9 604:6 455:25 456:4 457:20 ambiguous amounts 431:9 456:25 apparent 539:24 431:9 476:24 annual 345:7 336:17 amended 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,3,7,9,9 530:11 472:11 518:22 analyses 576:8 387:13 388:8 appeal 529:3 amendment 577:4 578:3,9 388:10,12 529:24 american 366:6,12,16 500:11 519:8 316:1 317:1 318:13 4	602:25	407:1 411:9	567:17,19	527:3
alternative 446:5,9 447:19 567:21 572:19 anticlimactic 327:19,25 462:23 478:25 analyzing 500:22 392:19 403:17 507:12 539:13 399:19 anytime 601:13 403:24 429:23 541:8 549:10 andrus 319:5 apartment 431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 annex 456:22 378:20,20 440:25 513:23 570:11 571:17 annexation apologize 431:12 580:12,22,24 annexations app 447:22 4um 476:15 601:9 604:6 455:25 456:4 457:20 ambiguous amounts 431:9 456:25 apparent 539:24 431:9 476:24 annual 345:7 336:17 amended 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,3,7,9,9 530:11 472:11 518:22 analyses 576:8 387:13 388:8 appeal 529:3 amendment 577:4 578:3,9 388:10,12 529:24 380:6 431:19 analysis 366:1 390:20 492:24 appearances american 366:6,12,16 500:11 519:8 316:1 317:1	alteration	422:4,18	576:13	anticipation
327:19,25 462:23 478:25 analyzing 500:22 392:19 403:17 507:12 539:13 399:19 anytime 601:13 403:24 429:23 541:8 549:10 andrus 319:5 apartment 431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 annex 456:22 378:20,20 440:25 513:23 570:11 571:17 annexation apologize alternatively 577:1 580:12 456:18 431:21 478:15 431:12 580:12,22,24 annexations app 447:22 alum 476:15 601:9 604:6 455:25 456:4 457:20 ambiguous amounts 431:9 456:25 apparent 539:24 431:9 476:24 annual 345:7 336:17 amended 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,3,7,9,9 530:11 472:11 518:22 analyses 576:8 387:13 388:8 appeal 529:3 amendment 577:4 578:3,9 388:10,12 529:24 380:6 431:19 analysis 366:1 390:20 492:24 316:1 317:1 318:13 466:12 435:22 537:23 3nually 316:1 317:1 484:4 537:24 538:1,2 417:10 437:3 appears 344:9 <td>397:16</td> <td>430:25 432:16</td> <td>analyzed</td> <td>450:14</td>	397:16	430:25 432:16	analyzed	450:14
392:19 403:17 507:12 539:13 399:19 anytime 601:13 403:24 429:23 541:8 549:10 andrus 319:5 apartment 431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 annex 456:22 378:20,20 440:25 513:23 570:11 571:17 annexation apologize alternatively 577:1 580:12 456:18 431:21 478:15 431:12 580:12,22,24 annexations app 447:22 alum 476:15 601:9 604:6 455:25 456:4 457:20 ambiguous amounts 431:9 456:25 apparent 539:24 431:9 476:24 annual 345:7 336:17 amended anaerobic 372:8 375:18 apparently 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,3,7,9,9 530:11 472:11 518:22 analyses 576:8 387:13 388:8 appeal 529:3 amendment 577:4 578:3,9 388:10,12 529:24 380:6 431:19 analysis 366:1 390:20 492:24 appearances american 366:6,12,16 500:11 519:8 316:1 317:1 318:13 466:12 435:22 537:23 annually 318:1 319:1 48	alternative	446:5,9 447:19	567:21 572:19	anticlimactic
403:24 429:23 541:8 549:10 andrus 319:5 apartment 431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 annex 456:22 378:20,20 440:25 513:23 570:11 571:17 annexation apologize alternatively 577:1 580:12 456:18 431:21 478:15 431:12 580:12,22,24 annexations app 447:22 alum 476:15 601:9 604:6 455:25 456:4 457:20 ambiguous amounts 431:9 456:25 apparent 539:24 431:9 476:24 annual 345:7 336:17 amended anaerobic 372:8 375:18 apparently 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,3,7,9,9 530:11 472:11 518:22 analyses 576:8 387:13 388:8 appeal 529:3 amendment 577:4 578:3,9 388:10,12 529:24 380:6 431:19 analysis 366:1 390:20 492:24 appearances american 366:6,12,16 500:11 519:8 316:1 317:1 318:13 466:12 435:22 537:23 annually 318:1 319:1 484:4 537:24 538:1,2 417:10 437:3 appears 344:9 ammo	327:19,25	462:23 478:25	analyzing	500:22
431:6 440:10 564:4 567:11 annex 456:22 378:20,20 440:25 513:23 570:11 571:17 annexation apologize alternatively 577:1 580:12 456:18 431:21 478:15 431:12 580:12,22,24 annexations app 447:22 alum 476:15 601:9 604:6 455:25 456:4 457:20 ambiguous amounts 431:9 456:25 apparent 539:24 431:9 476:24 annual 345:7 336:17 amended 372:8 375:18 apparently 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,3,7,9,9 530:11 472:11 518:22 analyses 576:8 387:13 388:8 appeal 529:3 amendment 577:4 578:3,9 388:10,12 529:24 380:6 431:19 analysis 366:1 390:20 492:24 appearances american 366:6,12,16 500:11 519:8 316:1 317:1 318:13 466:12 435:22 537:23 annually 318:1 319:1 484:4 537:24 538:1,2 417:10 437:3 appears 344:9 ammon 317:9 542:1,2,11 505:18 537:16	392:19 403:17	507:12 539:13	399:19	anytime 601:13
440:25 513:23 570:11 571:17 annexation apologize alternatively 577:1 580:12 456:18 431:21 478:15 431:12 580:12,22,24 annexations app 447:22 alum 476:15 601:9 604:6 455:25 456:4 457:20 ambiguous amounts 431:9 456:25 apparent 539:24 431:9 476:24 anual 345:7 336:17 amended anaerobic 372:8 375:18 apparently 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,3,7,9,9 530:11 472:11 518:22 analyses 576:8 387:13 388:8 appeal 529:3 amendment 577:4 578:3,9 388:10,12 529:24 380:6 431:19 analysis 366:1 390:20 492:24 appearances american 366:6,12,16 500:11 519:8 316:1 317:1 318:13 466:12 435:22 537:23 annually 318:1 319:1 484:4 537:24 538:1,2 417:10 437:3 appears 344:9 ammon 317:9 542:1,2,11 505:18 537:16	403:24 429:23	541:8 549:10	andrus 319:5	apartment
alternatively 577:1 580:12 456:18 431:21 478:15 431:12 580:12,22,24 annexations app 447:22 alum 476:15 601:9 604:6 455:25 456:4 457:20 ambiguous amounts 431:9 456:25 apparent 539:24 431:9 476:24 annual 345:7 336:17 amended anaerobic 372:8 375:18 apparently 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,3,7,9,9 530:11 472:11 518:22 analyses 576:8 387:13 388:8 appeal 529:3 amendment 577:4 578:3,9 388:10,12 529:24 380:6 431:19 analysis 366:1 390:20 492:24 appearances american 366:6,12,16 500:11 519:8 316:1 317:1 318:13 466:12 435:22 537:23 annually 318:1 319:1 484:4 537:24 538:1,2 417:10 437:3 appears 344:9 ammon 317:9 542:1,2,11 505:18 537:16	431:6 440:10	564:4 567:11	annex 456:22	378:20,20
431:12 580:12,22,24 annexations app 447:22 alum 476:15 601:9 604:6 455:25 456:4 457:20 ambiguous amounts 431:9 456:25 apparent 539:24 431:9 476:24 annual 345:7 336:17 amended anaerobic 372:8 375:18 apparently 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,3,7,9,9 530:11 472:11 518:22 analyses 576:8 387:13 388:8 appeal 529:3 amendment 577:4 578:3,9 388:10,12 529:24 380:6 431:19 analysis 366:1 390:20 492:24 appearances american 366:6,12,16 500:11 519:8 316:1 317:1 318:13 466:12 435:22 537:23 annually 318:1 319:1 484:4 537:24 538:1,2 417:10 437:3 appears 344:9 ammon 317:9 542:1,2,11 505:18 537:16	440:25 513:23	570:11 571:17	annexation	apologize
alum476:15601:9 604:6455:25 456:4457:20ambiguousamounts431:9456:25apparent539:24431:9 476:24annual345:7336:17amendedanaerobic372:8 375:18apparently324:10 380:16476:11 480:22385:2,3,7,9,9530:11472:11 518:22analyses576:8387:13 388:8appeal529:3amendment577:4 578:3,9388:10,12529:24380:6 431:19analysis366:1390:20 492:24appearancesamerican366:6,12,16500:11 519:8316:1 317:1318:13 466:12435:22 537:23annually318:1 319:1484:4537:24 538:1,2417:10 437:3appears344:9ammon317:9542:1,2,11505:18537:16	alternatively	577:1 580:12	456:18	431:21 478:15
ambiguous 539:24amounts431:9 431:9 476:24456:25 annualapparent 345:7amended 324:10 380:16476:11 480:22 476:11 480:22385:2,3,7,9,9 387:13 388:8530:11 appeal472:11 518:22 amendment577:4 578:3,9 577:4 578:3,9388:10,12 380:6 431:19529:24 appearancesamerican 318:13 466:12 484:4 ammon366:6,12,16 435:22 537:23 537:24 538:1,2500:11 519:8 417:10 437:3318:1 319:1 appears 417:10 437:3ammon317:9542:1,2,11505:18537:16	431:12	580:12,22,24	annexations	app 447:22
539:24 431:9 476:24 annual 345:7 336:17 amended 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,3,7,9,9 530:11 472:11 518:22 analyses 576:8 387:13 388:8 appeal 529:3 amendment 577:4 578:3,9 388:10,12 529:24 380:6 431:19 analysis 366:1 390:20 492:24 appearances american 366:6,12,16 500:11 519:8 316:1 317:1 318:13 466:12 435:22 537:23 annually 318:1 319:1 484:4 537:24 538:1,2 417:10 437:3 appears 344:9 ammon 317:9 542:1,2,11 505:18 537:16	alum 476:15	601:9 604:6	455:25 456:4	457:20
amended anaerobic 372:8 375:18 apparently 324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,3,7,9,9 530:11 472:11 518:22 analyses 576:8 387:13 388:8 appeal 529:3 amendment 577:4 578:3,9 388:10,12 529:24 380:6 431:19 analysis 366:1 390:20 492:24 appearances american 366:6,12,16 500:11 519:8 316:1 317:1 318:13 466:12 435:22 537:23 annually 318:1 319:1 484:4 537:24 538:1,2 417:10 437:3 appears 344:9 ammon 317:9 542:1,2,11 505:18 537:16	ambiguous	amounts 431:9	456:25	apparent
324:10 380:16 476:11 480:22 385:2,3,7,9,9 530:11 472:11 518:22 analyses 576:8 387:13 388:8 appeal 529:3 amendment 577:4 578:3,9 388:10,12 529:24 380:6 431:19 analysis 366:1 390:20 492:24 appearances american 366:6,12,16 500:11 519:8 316:1 317:1 318:13 466:12 435:22 537:23 annually 318:1 319:1 484:4 537:24 538:1,2 417:10 437:3 appears 344:9 ammon 317:9 542:1,2,11 505:18 537:16	539:24	431:9 476:24	annual 345:7	336:17
472:11 518:22 analyses 576:8 387:13 388:8 appeal 529:3 amendment 577:4 578:3,9 388:10,12 529:24 380:6 431:19 analysis 366:1 390:20 492:24 appearances american 366:6,12,16 500:11 519:8 316:1 317:1 318:13 466:12 435:22 537:23 annually 318:1 319:1 484:4 537:24 538:1,2 417:10 437:3 appears 344:9 ammon 317:9 542:1,2,11 505:18 537:16	amended	anaerobic	372:8 375:18	apparently
amendment 577:4 578:3,9 388:10,12 529:24 380:6 431:19 analysis 366:1 390:20 492:24 appearances american 366:6,12,16 500:11 519:8 316:1 317:1 318:13 466:12 435:22 537:23 annually 318:1 319:1 484:4 537:24 538:1,2 417:10 437:3 appears 344:9 ammon 317:9 542:1,2,11 505:18 537:16	324:10 380:16	476:11 480:22	385:2,3,7,9,9	530:11
380:6 431:19 analysis 366:1 390:20 492:24 appearances american 366:6,12,16 500:11 519:8 316:1 317:1 318:13 466:12 435:22 537:23 annually 318:1 319:1 484:4 537:24 538:1,2 417:10 437:3 appears 344:9 ammon 317:9 542:1,2,11 505:18 537:16	472:11 518:22	analyses 576:8	387:13 388:8	appeal 529:3
american 366:6,12,16 500:11 519:8 316:1 317:1 318:13 466:12 435:22 537:23 annually 318:1 319:1 484:4 537:24 538:1,2 417:10 437:3 appears 344:9 ammon 317:9 542:1,2,11 505:18 537:16	amendment	577:4 578:3,9	388:10,12	529:24
318:13 466:12 435:22 537:23 annually 318:1 319:1 appears 344:9 ammon 317:9 542:1,2,11 505:18 318:1 319:1	380:6 431:19	analysis 366:1	390:20 492:24	appearances
484:4 537:24 538:1,2 417:10 437:3 appears 344:9 542:1,2,11 505:18 537:16	american	366:6,12,16	500:11 519:8	316:1 317:1
ammon 317:9 542:1,2,11 505:18 537:16	318:13 466:12	435:22 537:23	annually	318:1 319:1
	484:4	537:24 538:1,2	417:10 437:3	appears 344:9
355:7 430:11 543:1 545:8,10	ammon 317:9	542:1,2,11	505:18	537:16
	355:7 430:11	543:1 545:8,10		

[appetite - area]

appetite 527:24	398:3,8,16	443:11 456:11	approximate
applicable	424:18 430:18	465:15 576:9	434:21 546:4,9
349:1 355:19	446:23 447:15	609:15	546:9
applicant 376:7	455:18 456:1	appropriated	approximately
377:2,4,8,19	464:17,22,24	425:3	383:8 398:9
395:13	465:1,1,18	appropriately	472:8 487:16
applicants	472:19 517:3,5	428:4	487:18 504:1
425:23	518:8,23	appropriaten	504:19,22
application	526:18	548:14	505:10
328:1 370:8	applied 359:16	appropriation	april 472:8
377:19 389:25	542:12 605:22	351:19 352:7	aquifer 344:20
390:2,11,13	applies 411:15	521:1	344:21,23
393:6 396:5,21	apply 382:23	appropriations	345:4,14,19
399:19 421:3	455:21 456:13	334:5 383:20	428:22 437:12
421:10 424:1	480:16 481:6	398:14 416:2	450:20 451:6
424:22 426:20	491:1 500:1,8	433:15,20	458:4,8,9,12
426:22 428:6	514:24 549:4	appropriator	549:21 555:12
432:9 436:23	557:1,19	351:14,23	561:1,5,6,20
440:6,17	applying	approval 403:9	562:25 563:10
446:16 447:4,8	416:22 427:2	404:12,22	600:23 603:1
450:13 454:2	appreciate	405:7 406:13	area 315:5
464:17 465:19	500:21	406:20 409:3	324:9 334:6,14
481:23 485:6	approach	409:22 482:9	344:17 345:16
494:25 495:1,3	344:14 354:25	509:9,10 519:2	350:11 379:5
495:12 513:24	358:22 363:17	605:22	383:22 398:16
514:19 584:7	363:19,24,25	approvals	406:8 411:16
606:14	372:11,14	369:18 381:4	422:17 436:13
applications	408:2 409:7	510:19 514:14	464:18,22
315:7 333:25	520:22	519:18	474:6 477:9,10
334:2 343:10	approaches	approve 373:20	526:19 532:1
350:3,9 368:24	400:17 401:4,8	373:24 374:2	538:23 539:6
372:12,17	401:11,16	approved	539:19 541:4
378:3,5 396:9	415:13	343:16 350:9	551:18 552:19
396:10,11	appropriate	401:9 475:5	553:5 555:20
397:23,23	333:22 423:24	483:4	556:18 564:10

[area - available]

	I		
565:24 566:2	asking 337:20	608:17 612:24	343:15 353:20
566:13 578:11	359:3,24	assumed 363:4	353:25 415:14
595:21 596:6	364:18 365:25	assumes 493:3	augmented
596:20 597:19	393:24 408:7	assuming	597:22
598:8,11	461:23 478:13	540:23 560:12	august 545:3
areas 383:19	523:4 545:8	575:17 593:17	auraria 339:24
395:21 421:4	568:3 574:9	assumption	aurora 353:4,5
464:24 502:20	584:9	359:23 363:18	353:9 354:3
510:22 553:6	aspect 381:10	396:14	360:3,5,16
argue 576:16	475:16	assumptions	425:7
argument	aspects 342:14	363:2,12 366:6	aurora's
359:5 529:2,8	342:24 352:3	366:13,17	347:23 426:1
529:21	352:23 475:17	479:7	author 327:3
arid 458:5	475:19	attached 326:3	341:12
arm 465:17	assess 551:4	340:9	authored
arrangement	assessing	attachment	594:24
424:14	552:14	338:16	authority
arrangements	assigned	attachments	396:21,22
485:7	397:17,19	326:20	406:9 451:20
ascertain	assist 457:4	attempt 572:9	authorized
384:24	511:13 519:23	591:7	381:11 382:1
ascertained	assistant 502:7	attempting	389:17 447:2
492:14	assistants	338:24	authorizes
aside 476:20	370:14	attention 591:8	407:15
asked 326:23	associated	attorney 316:7	authorship
326:25 337:17	378:23 402:17	351:2 364:12	599:2
341:6,7 357:9	504:11 608:25	498:7 615:12	availability
363:15 375:8	association	attorneys 459:7	356:6 455:16
379:25 380:2	319:4 540:10	472:4 478:9,17	available
382:25 384:5	543:3 544:15	attributable	342:15 344:1
394:15 408:8	assume 337:1	364:23	352:8 358:8
455:10 459:10	359:10 360:14	audits 434:9	359:20 361:20
506:1 574:16	362:25 399:20	augment 490:2	366:21 386:17
604:24	413:14 419:22	augmentation	394:23 395:1,3
	422:11 562:3	341:17 343:10	396:12 426:11

[available - basically]

426:16 435:1	496:4 498:10	410:19,23,24	431:24 432:13
506:8 508:2	506:19 509:7	412:8 438:18	433:3,7,23
518:18 567:7	517:17 520:4	438:20 455:4,7	441:13 449:7
571:17 593:15	527:21 531:12	456:15 457:9	449:13 475:22
604:6 613:25	549:17 553:12	458:20 465:15	483:14 498:21
614:4	563:1 569:17	465:21 469:10	505:7 506:18
average 434:21	571:9 583:21	534:21 550:7,9	515:3 517:9
478:22,23	593:20,25	551:2 558:22	521:23 537:3
492:24 505:7	594:20 603:18	558:25 561:15	541:15,21
avoid 485:8	612:17	564:19,21,24	542:1 545:25
aware 330:1,5	backflow	565:5,9 580:1	546:22 547:12
330:9 331:22	460:18	580:4,20	558:4 560:16
336:9 337:8,12	background	584:22 588:24	564:12 570:7
337:14 349:5	329:8 478:13	590:9 591:23	575:13,13
381:6 384:14	503:8 598:25	594:18,22	576:7 577:25
384:20 434:9	backlog 375:9	595:5,8,10	579:17 583:22
443:7 460:1	383:5,9,17,18	604:11,15	586:2,11
		60F 10 0F	7 00 3 4 5 04 40
522:14 556:5	383:21	607:18,25	599:21 601:19
522:14 556:5 b	383:21 bacterial	607:18,25 608:8,14,16	599:21 601:19 601:21 604:1
b	-	·	
b b 322:20 323:4	bacterial	608:8,14,16	601:21 604:1
b b 322:20 323:4 361:4 458:19	bacterial 475:13 balance 345:25 346:1 348:13	608:8,14,16 609:8,11,20	601:21 604:1 baseline 566:18 566:22 596:23 597:4,6,10
b b 322:20 323:4 361:4 458:19 back 324:11	bacterial 475:13 balance 345:25	608:8,14,16 609:8,11,20 610:5 613:9,23	601:21 604:1 baseline 566:18 566:22 596:23
b b 322:20 323:4 361:4 458:19 back 324:11 326:1 332:8	bacterial 475:13 balance 345:25 346:1 348:13 348:14 358:25 363:2	608:8,14,16 609:8,11,20 610:5 613:9,23 614:2,5	601:21 604:1 baseline 566:18 566:22 596:23 597:4,6,10 600:5 604:7 basically
b b 322:20 323:4 361:4 458:19 back 324:11	bacterial 475:13 balance 345:25 346:1 348:13 348:14 358:25 363:2 balances	608:8,14,16 609:8,11,20 610:5 613:9,23 614:2,5 barker's 335:16 337:4 barley 377:7,10	601:21 604:1 baseline 566:18 566:22 596:23 597:4,6,10 600:5 604:7 basically 343:24 345:24
b b 322:20 323:4 361:4 458:19 back 324:11 326:1 332:8 337:25 346:20 346:24 367:23	bacterial 475:13 balance 345:25 346:1 348:13 348:14 358:25 363:2 balances 426:25	608:8,14,16 609:8,11,20 610:5 613:9,23 614:2,5 barker's 335:16 337:4 barley 377:7,10 base 330:25	601:21 604:1 baseline 566:18 566:22 596:23 597:4,6,10 600:5 604:7 basically 343:24 345:24 347:24 357:17
b b 322:20 323:4 361:4 458:19 back 324:11 326:1 332:8 337:25 346:20 346:24 367:23 368:17 379:13	bacterial 475:13 balance 345:25 346:1 348:13 348:14 358:25 363:2 balances 426:25 banner 546:11	608:8,14,16 609:8,11,20 610:5 613:9,23 614:2,5 barker's 335:16 337:4 barley 377:7,10 base 330:25 390:6,12,17	601:21 604:1 baseline 566:18 566:22 596:23 597:4,6,10 600:5 604:7 basically 343:24 345:24 347:24 357:17 363:22 405:18
b b 322:20 323:4 361:4 458:19 back 324:11 326:1 332:8 337:25 346:20 346:24 367:23	bacterial 475:13 balance 345:25 346:1 348:13 348:14 358:25 363:2 balances 426:25 banner 546:11 barely 389:10	608:8,14,16 609:8,11,20 610:5 613:9,23 614:2,5 barker's 335:16 337:4 barley 377:7,10 base 330:25 390:6,12,17 440:5	601:21 604:1 baseline 566:18 566:22 596:23 597:4,6,10 600:5 604:7 basically 343:24 345:24 347:24 357:17 363:22 405:18 424:3 489:13
b 322:20 323:4 361:4 458:19 back 324:11 326:1 332:8 337:25 346:20 346:24 367:23 368:17 379:13 394:8 400:22 401:24 408:25	bacterial 475:13 balance 345:25 346:1 348:13 348:14 358:25 363:2 balances 426:25 banner 546:11 barely 389:10 barker 316:18	608:8,14,16 609:8,11,20 610:5 613:9,23 614:2,5 barker's 335:16 337:4 barley 377:7,10 base 330:25 390:6,12,17 440:5 based 327:8	601:21 604:1 baseline 566:18 566:22 596:23 597:4,6,10 600:5 604:7 basically 343:24 345:24 347:24 357:17 363:22 405:18 424:3 489:13 493:16,17
b 322:20 323:4 361:4 458:19 back 324:11 326:1 332:8 337:25 346:20 346:24 367:23 368:17 379:13 394:8 400:22 401:24 408:25 416:5 420:1,16	bacterial 475:13 balance 345:25 346:1 348:13 348:14 358:25 363:2 balances 426:25 banner 546:11 barely 389:10 barker 316:18 320:10,24	608:8,14,16 609:8,11,20 610:5 613:9,23 614:2,5 barker's 335:16 337:4 barley 377:7,10 base 330:25 390:6,12,17 440:5 based 327:8 342:13 344:5	601:21 604:1 baseline 566:18 566:22 596:23 597:4,6,10 600:5 604:7 basically 343:24 345:24 347:24 357:17 363:22 405:18 424:3 489:13 493:16,17 499:19,23
b 322:20 323:4 361:4 458:19 back 324:11 326:1 332:8 337:25 346:20 346:24 367:23 368:17 379:13 394:8 400:22 401:24 408:25	bacterial 475:13 balance 345:25 346:1 348:13 348:14 358:25 363:2 balances 426:25 banner 546:11 barely 389:10 barker 316:18 320:10,24 321:14 322:11	608:8,14,16 609:8,11,20 610:5 613:9,23 614:2,5 barker's 335:16 337:4 barley 377:7,10 base 330:25 390:6,12,17 440:5 based 327:8 342:13 344:5 356:21 358:24	601:21 604:1 baseline 566:18 566:22 596:23 597:4,6,10 600:5 604:7 basically 343:24 345:24 347:24 357:17 363:22 405:18 424:3 489:13 493:16,17 499:19,23 538:10,15
b 322:20 323:4 361:4 458:19 back 324:11 326:1 332:8 337:25 346:20 346:24 367:23 368:17 379:13 394:8 400:22 401:24 408:25 416:5 420:1,16 422:1,5 426:18	bacterial 475:13 balance 345:25 346:1 348:13 348:14 358:25 363:2 balances 426:25 banner 546:11 barely 389:10 barker 316:18 320:10,24 321:14 322:11 322:16 338:6,7	608:8,14,16 609:8,11,20 610:5 613:9,23 614:2,5 barker's 335:16 337:4 barley 377:7,10 base 330:25 390:6,12,17 440:5 based 327:8 342:13 344:5 356:21 358:24 366:9 371:24	601:21 604:1 baseline 566:18 566:22 596:23 597:4,6,10 600:5 604:7 basically 343:24 345:24 347:24 357:17 363:22 405:18 424:3 489:13 493:16,17 499:19,23 538:10,15 539:3 541:2
b 322:20 323:4 361:4 458:19 back 324:11 326:1 332:8 337:25 346:20 346:24 367:23 368:17 379:13 394:8 400:22 401:24 408:25 416:5 420:1,16 422:1,5 426:18 440:2 444:14	bacterial 475:13 balance 345:25 346:1 348:13 348:14 358:25 363:2 balances 426:25 banner 546:11 barely 389:10 barker 316:18 320:10,24 321:14 322:11 322:16 338:6,7 338:10,11	608:8,14,16 609:8,11,20 610:5 613:9,23 614:2,5 barker's 335:16 337:4 barley 377:7,10 base 330:25 390:6,12,17 440:5 based 327:8 342:13 344:5 356:21 358:24 366:9 371:24 373:23 389:13	601:21 604:1 baseline 566:18 566:22 596:23 597:4,6,10 600:5 604:7 basically 343:24 345:24 347:24 357:17 363:22 405:18 424:3 489:13 493:16,17 499:19,23 538:10,15 539:3 541:2 546:13 547:17
b 322:20 323:4 361:4 458:19 back 324:11 326:1 332:8 337:25 346:20 346:24 367:23 368:17 379:13 394:8 400:22 401:24 408:25 416:5 420:1,16 422:1,5 426:18 440:2 444:14 450:20 467:10	bacterial 475:13 balance 345:25 346:1 348:13 348:14 358:25 363:2 balances 426:25 banner 546:11 barely 389:10 barker 316:18 320:10,24 321:14 322:11 322:16 338:6,7 338:10,11 339:6 349:10	608:8,14,16 609:8,11,20 610:5 613:9,23 614:2,5 barker's 335:16 337:4 barley 377:7,10 base 330:25 390:6,12,17 440:5 based 327:8 342:13 344:5 356:21 358:24 366:9 371:24 373:23 389:13 390:7,17 417:7	601:21 604:1 baseline 566:18 566:22 596:23 597:4,6,10 600:5 604:7 basically 343:24 345:24 347:24 357:17 363:22 405:18 424:3 489:13 493:16,17 499:19,23 538:10,15 539:3 541:2
b 322:20 323:4 361:4 458:19 back 324:11 326:1 332:8 337:25 346:20 346:24 367:23 368:17 379:13 394:8 400:22 401:24 408:25 416:5 420:1,16 422:1,5 426:18 440:2 444:14 450:20 467:10 468:24 477:22	bacterial 475:13 balance 345:25 346:1 348:13 348:14 358:25 363:2 balances 426:25 banner 546:11 barely 389:10 barker 316:18 320:10,24 321:14 322:11 322:16 338:6,7 338:10,11	608:8,14,16 609:8,11,20 610:5 613:9,23 614:2,5 barker's 335:16 337:4 barley 377:7,10 base 330:25 390:6,12,17 440:5 based 327:8 342:13 344:5 356:21 358:24 366:9 371:24 373:23 389:13	601:21 604:1 baseline 566:18 566:22 596:23 597:4,6,10 600:5 604:7 basically 343:24 345:24 347:24 357:17 363:22 405:18 424:3 489:13 493:16,17 499:19,23 538:10,15 539:3 541:2 546:13 547:17

[basin - better]

1 1 217 12	100 10 01	107.5	4744047740
basin 315:10	400:10,21	begun 485:6	454:18 455:10
324:10 381:13	410:18,20	behalf 338:11	459:9,13,23
403:5 440:17	412:12 413:11	355:7 410:25	460:6,13
449:9 457:19	429:15,22,24	430:10 532:24	496:22 532:25
458:8 472:11	432:1 438:23	550:9 594:23	533:1,11
535:9 536:22	443:20 459:2,6	behle 319:19	535:16 536:19
551:7,23 553:3	460:10,12,21	believe 324:11	537:12 538:12
556:3,21 559:7	461:23 469:6	325:24 330:12	539:22 549:23
561:22 567:2	486:5 521:18	366:5,9 381:16	558:12 574:25
595:16 599:22	529:18 561:13	383:1 403:17	575:10 590:10
basins 398:13	564:22 565:7	403:18 432:1	590:17,18
446:16 447:13	589:17 591:9	433:22 434:3	592:1 596:10
447:23 450:19	593:1 608:19	436:3 442:15	596:11,14
458:10 491:5	609:6,16	454:20 455:13	597:2,7,9
basinwide	610:14	456:17 458:19	bellevue's
332:22	baxter's 461:4	459:12 464:7	445:17
basis 329:12,14	beat 579:21	467:7,15 473:9	beneficial
374:12 375:18	beauties 394:24	474:19 493:13	375:12 381:10
378:17 385:8	becoming	494:20 498:24	482:18 483:2
386:13 452:16	415:21 428:18	504:10 506:19	521:12
489:11,13	beds 554:1,2,6	507:10 510:23	benefit 344:10
490:22,22	554:15 561:24	514:20 516:12	400:3,15
517:7,18	562:5,11,16	519:6,14 520:2	401:18 422:2
518:24 538:22	563:17,20,24	520:6,17 522:4	451:6 581:4
557:5 574:21	564:2 566:5	522:20 523:7	benefits 343:19
batch 494:16	began 356:5	523:12,20	422:6 564:15
bathrooms	472:8	524:17 525:3	bengals 503:10
474:19	beginning	525:22 526:10	best 456:10
baxter 316:9	343:9 420:12	534:3 603:6,10	463:5 483:18
320:20,25	421:18 424:21	bellevue 317:16	615:10
321:15 325:11	426:9 464:4	427:20 444:11	bet 374:17
367:22 368:16	476:7 585:13	445:10,16	503:10
379:7,25 380:2	586:13	447:2 449:22	better 348:22
380:19 382:25	begins 357:9	451:8,19	494:18 533:7
384:5 393:12	506:1	452:19 454:17	

[beyond - brian]

beyond 389:1	573:24 574:5	bioreactor	bonneville
412:5 451:16	575:16 577:16	494:18	512:16
531:8 540:23	578:5,24 579:5	biosolids	boots 419:4
big 315:4	579:11,20	480:14,16,19	bottom 335:24
318:13 319:3	580:8,15,17,21	480:21	449:21 481:5
324:8 383:9	580:22 581:2,4	bit 328:22	564:16,25
403:5 407:11	581:6,8,10,18	341:16 347:22	565:8 602:9
407:21 411:7,7	582:3,4 585:9	374:13 376:16	boulder 318:10
419:25,25	587:11,15,20	380:1 382:25	boulevard
452:25 474:6	588:4,7 589:7	383:13 408:8	318:9
490:20 491:8	590:11,19,21	439:16 448:23	bound 344:23
491:11 496:10	592:6 595:16	527:3,13 529:4	508:6
496:13,15,23	597:10,13	532:5 548:12	boundaries
499:14 532:1	598:15,16,18	555:6,7 582:9	357:17 403:13
535:25 536:6	599:25 600:3	590:14 608:19	465:7 474:9
536:24 537:18	600:11,16	bitzenburg	526:20
538:12 540:9	601:10,13	316:19	boundary
541:3,4,9	602:21 603:1,3	blackfoot	546:18
544:11 545:2	603:13 604:5	466:11	box 316:21
545:10 551:7	607:6,7,12	blaine 596:20	317:6,13
551:17 552:18	613:3	blessed 514:2	318:17,23
552:20 553:5	bigger 558:22	blue 360:21	319:14 460:16
553:15,19,20	billed 512:22	503:15	615:23
553:23 554:14	512:24	board 345:4	breach 529:24
554:18 555:19	billion 505:17	469:8	break 379:9,12
556:3,7,9,13	binder 326:1	body 509:17,21	430:1 444:12
562:12 563:13	360:20 402:7,9	515:24	463:25 467:11
567:3,7,12,14	488:14 493:20	boise 315:21	526:17,22
568:1,4,6,7,14	494:3 499:1	316:11,22	527:4,13 532:7
568:15,17,18	503:14 505:21	317:18,23	593:19,21,23
568:18,21,25	508:6 516:23	318:24 319:22	brian 321:22
569:6,8 570:13	543:8 544:1	364:13 467:20	468:2 486:13
570:25 571:2	binders 449:15	467:23,25	486:16,18
571:10,16	biological	615:24	487:2
573:7,9,10,17	476:6,13		

[bricker - calculated]

	I	I	
bricker 318:7	briefing 527:23	334:21 335:4,5	388:21,23
320:8,14 321:6	527:25 528:15	337:15 402:2,3	401:20 408:10
321:9,19 331:6	528:24 531:6,6	402:5 410:9,14	436:7 606:8,11
331:7,10	531:8	442:4,5,7,10	burdens 370:16
334:16 349:17	briefly 453:16	463:20,21	393:22 464:19
349:20 355:2	503:7 586:19	464:2,12,15	519:16
355:10 363:15	596:3	465:2,4,8,11,16	burdensome
367:21 413:16	briefs 530:17	465:20,24	388:15,16
414:8,11	bring 367:15	466:4,5,22	519:4
420:11 429:9	391:18 410:12	467:2,8 468:13	burley 316:14
429:18,20,21	417:16 441:22	468:16,19	318:18
429:23,25	444:5 448:5	528:21 529:11	business 414:5
430:4 438:25	456:8,23 509:7	bromley's	530:3 531:7
439:1,5,16,17	520:3 522:6	338:14	533:25 614:7
439:20 441:16	523:16 525:14	brought 517:17	businesses
441:24 467:15	525:19,25	523:14 536:5	405:19
469:22 470:16	526:15 548:17	608:2 610:7	button 325:9
483:10 486:7,9	553:8 591:7	bryce 532:10	buy 582:8
524:1,3 525:6	607:20,23	535:1 545:4	bypass 565:16
525:11 528:1	608:10 609:3	612:24	566:3,4,9,22
bridge 536:24	609:19,24	buffer 428:22	570:13,15,17
538:10,11,19	612:17	building 378:9	570:23 571:1
538:21,23	bringing	378:10,18,19	596:11,21,24
540:4 553:23	413:14 443:16	378:20,20	596:24
555:3 558:1	443:19 610:8	483:8	c
560:7 564:1	611:24 612:7	built 381:5	c 318:7 338:16
565:1,19 567:3	broad 557:20	475:20	599:3,4 600:9
568:14 574:25	574:24	bunch 402:24	c.s.r. 315:24
575:10 586:5	broader 401:15	404:20	calculate 332:2
586:15 589:6	537:17 539:1	burden 343:7	382:20 439:11
596:22 599:6	brockway	343:13,16	477:4
599:25 603:2	324:14 442:7,9	370:2,6,12	calculated
607:6,11	bromley	371:5 372:5	331:24 431:24
brief 364:17	317:19,21	376:6 383:1	475:22
	320:9,23	385:19 388:6	T13.22

[calculating - cefalo]

coloulating	533:11 594:6	agnacity	550.5 0 10
calculating 507:12	613:15	capacity 356:14 375:25	558:5,8,10 559:8 574:21
calculation	calling 467:24	409:14 427:9	574:21 575:1,1
346:6 348:19	calls 400:10	475:20,22,25	577:12 591:4
431:11 433:9	532:25	476:2 500:4	599:10,23
435:1 498:20	camas 556:12	501:21	caselle 448:5
505:12	campus 516:12	capture 330:13	cases 343:11
calculations	canal 316:15	car 378:21	347:12 370:13
363:3 427:10	316:16 318:13	care 371:23	416:22 426:20
478:2,8	319:17 328:10	443:2,14	cataloging
caldwell 335:23	334:12 337:10	482:21 614:7	342:10
336:3,10,19	337:10 395:10	carey 427:20	cathy 467:20
338:22 339:3	395:11 565:13	carryover	cause 364:22
caldwell's	565:15 566:3,4	601:6	364:25 539:11
338:23	566:10,18,23	cascade 569:18	555:25 572:5
calendar 529:6	567:15 569:15	case 324:17	606:11
529:15	570:13,15,23	326:21,22	caused 422:24
call 324:18	585:10 595:25	327:17,18	538:18,20
343:10 367:19	596:21	328:5,7,7	causes 452:19
367:22 373:1	canals 565:17	333:5 336:15	568:4 589:7
380:24 381:25	566:2,13 568:9	343:1 344:6,7	causing 462:2
436:12,19	570:21,24	351:7,12	462:21
460:3 463:2	571:3,5 596:5	352:12 370:9	cbromley
513:9 522:15	596:19	370:11 371:11	317:25
542:13 548:13	cancel 417:16	403:19,23	cefalo 320:19
549:5 559:11	cancellation	406:18 421:1	357:25 367:22
559:11 567:24	407:25	422:7,7 425:16	368:1,12,17
578:6 580:23	cancelled 409:5	454:19 457:10	380:21 393:13
592:23 613:11	candice 317:20	484:24 498:24	399:6,8 400:1
called 325:2	337:17 532:24	502:19 513:5	400:14 401:22
339:18 368:2	535:8 610:7,14	515:19 517:7,7	410:16,24
413:22 445:2	canyon 318:9	518:23,23	412:13 417:15
448:1 470:2	capability	522:12 540:10	419:13 430:16
477:9,12	432:7	542:16 549:14	433:5 438:13
486:19 501:6		557:5,22,22	443:6 466:12

[cefalo - city]

519:15	change 327:13	characterized	cities 317:17
cefalo's 414:12	328:5 331:14	348:2 361:18	326:21,25
	345:22 369:12	428:4 430:21	,
430:13			327:12,18
cents 520:9,13	370:10 371:2,8	430:25	329:8 330:1,5
certain 376:18	371:9 378:7,17	charge 530:12	330:9 331:13
386:10 389:6	381:10,11	charges 523:14	331:21 347:5
431:10 457:5	382:21 410:6	charles 442:7	349:4 358:2
459:10 475:12	411:8,8 431:9	charts 601:3	362:5,7,11,13
475:14 481:4	431:10 436:24	chase 319:6	362:22 363:8
493:12 522:24	439:10 441:14	cheaper 463:6	394:20 419:21
556:6,21	448:20 449:5	489:24	420:2 427:20
557:18 560:19	449:12 481:9	check 460:17	428:4,8 433:24
certainly 328:3	481:11 482:7	506:24	434:3,9,10,14
331:18 333:5	495:9 551:5	checked 363:11	436:5 489:23
355:18 396:25	changed 371:1	417:10	502:18 548:22
490:16 496:20	424:10 431:14	chose 328:9	549:23 585:15
497:24 534:9	437:3 477:15	chris 317:21	587:12
563:23	478:25 549:5	321:11 322:5	citizens 496:18
certainty 332:3	changes 331:16	402:5 442:2,4	city 317:9,9,16
certificate	331:21 369:9	444:10 445:1,9	317:17,17,18
615:1	370:20 407:22	468:7 501:1,3	318:5,20 327:8
certifications	409:3,18 419:4	501:5,12,18	328:5,8 330:20
503:8	421:15 428:9	508:9 521:14	335:23 336:10
certified 615:2	428:15 431:1	chubbuck	336:15,19,24
certify 615:3,11	431:10 437:2	474:16	337:8 338:22
cfs 504:1	439:11 441:13	chuck 324:14	338:23 339:3
600:11	481:17 515:17	circle 368:13	347:22 349:20
challenged	changing 449:9	circumstance	353:4,5 354:3
453:5	494:12,14	422:9	355:7,7 360:3
challenges	515:5	circumvent	361:1,5 364:13
384:7 395:20	channel 397:16	396:5	388:11 394:19
425:16	428:23	cite 351:7	396:2 403:18
challenging	characterize	409:17	403:19 407:10
395:6,8	409:20 552:7	cited 351:4	409:10 410:1
			425:7 430:10

[city - collecting]

	Ι	I	I
435:19,24	488:4,5,9,24	463:5 500:13	clearly 553:22
436:9 444:11	489:1,3,17,19	503:22 515:3	clerical 398:1
445:16,20	489:24 490:8	516:6 517:10	clients 342:2,23
446:5,9 447:2	490:12,25	civil 461:11	421:1 434:23
447:19,24	491:17 492:16	claim 352:6,11	574:1 587:4
448:16,20,25	493:7 494:6,25	424:3 435:20	591:25
449:4,22	495:4,23,25	436:1,1	close 530:2
450:12,14,21	496:5,17	claiming	531:7 546:6,6
451:8,19 452:4	497:22 498:19	333:10	562:12 592:24
452:19 453:7	499:7,25	clarification	closely 551:24
454:17 455:10	501:20,22,25	463:22 539:23	closer 325:11
456:9,21,23	502:5,6,8,10,13	548:23 553:1	514:21
457:10 459:9	503:3,5,18	558:3 608:19	cmchugh
459:23 460:13	504:5,20 505:6	clarifications	317:24
461:7,25 466:9	507:16,19	476:9	coalition
466:10,11,11	510:14,16,18	clarify 362:9,23	317:17 325:3
466:13,13	511:8,17	380:24 431:4	326:2,20
467:6,7,8,13,23	512:10,14,18	436:4 461:3	337:13 339:19
467:25 468:3,7	514:11,24	530:6 539:18	346:10 357:6
468:11 470:20	515:5,9 516:7	539:20 585:12	436:12 449:16
470:25 471:2	516:20 519:12	590:13,15	541:18 542:5
472:4 473:11	520:8 521:4	591:19,22,24	576:7,18,23
473:12,13,15	525:8,9 532:24	clarifying	577:11 578:1
473:17,22	532:25 533:1,3	362:4 547:9	coalition's
474:8,9,15,18	533:11,12	589:23	420:7 505:20
475:1,3,15	535:16,16	clark 319:12	code 518:3
476:5 477:5	536:18,19	class 458:18,19	collect 356:14
478:8,17	537:12,12	471:14 495:17	433:24 435:5
480:17 481:19	549:8 562:4,5	clean 413:8	448:10 474:13
481:22 482:16	590:10,11	514:3 515:21	474:15 512:10
482:17 485:3,3	591:25 592:1	524:7	512:14
485:15,16,21	606:22	cleaning 507:2	collected
486:14 487:3	city's 446:2	clear 443:21	474:10
487:12,14,16	448:17 450:3	593:6	collecting
487:18,25	452:22 457:11		433:25 434:4

[collecting - completed]

435:18	339:13,14,17	comfortable	community
collection	339:23 340:24	531:5	460:6 472:20
349:3 356:20	349:18 355:6	coming 337:25	497:18 517:4,6
471:24 474:3,6	364:11 367:3	375:14 384:17	517:22,22
474:8 504:9	423:14 425:5	401:24 409:21	518:4 522:14
511:11	506:6	420:1 422:5	522:15
collects 504:5	colvin's 340:20	451:4 455:25	company
college 471:10	424:8	484:10 495:18	316:15,16
516:12	combined	500:20 505:6	318:13 319:17
colorado	373:2,2,3	589:2	355:8 395:10
318:10 339:24	491:17	commensurate	395:11 430:11
341:17,24	come 324:21	381:17	585:10
342:1,2,9,18,20	338:5 358:21	comment	company's
343:10 348:22	364:7 375:17	338:22 340:18	337:10
348:25 349:3	375:20,21	348:20 509:24	compare
349:24 351:5,9	378:5 379:13	602:4 610:23	476:25 519:10
352:5,13,23	385:7 395:1	comments	compared
353:25 354:6,8	407:2 413:17	347:3 348:9	328:11 345:14
354:18 355:12	416:5 431:21	commercial	421:10 495:5
355:23 356:4,9	432:22 435:25	377:21,24,25	499:9 538:14
356:25 360:6	438:24 453:15	378:4,9 379:2	546:17
362:12 414:6	458:22 460:16	473:18 474:1	comparing
414:16,20	460:24 467:10	504:6	355:22
415:16,20	473:8 493:17	commission	comparison
418:3,17,21	505:18 513:8	615:25	342:18 379:4
423:16,18,22	520:19 578:13	commit 466:23	491:20 538:16
423:23 424:10	582:12,25	commitment	compiled
424:13,15,23	593:14,20	331:17	328:24
425:3,11,20	604:17 611:5	committee	complete
426:17 533:25	611:11	345:4	443:10 512:7
column 428:2	comes 377:2	common	532:9
440:14	417:5 473:10	415:19 428:19	completed
colvin 320:12	475:8 476:19	436:14	508:1 513:11
324:16 326:19	480:25 505:5	communication	541:18
328:25 329:5	573:14	356:10	

[completely - confer]

completely	454:2,4	concluded	430:17 431:1,2
493:12	components	324:12 538:15	431:7,14,23
completeness	499:24	concludes	432:6,6 433:7
368:8	composed	367:2 524:15	433:23 437:10
complex 374:3	489:18	concluding	440:5 519:2
374:8 409:14	compound	592:23	conditioning
409:16 412:21	408:8	conclusion	401:8 402:16
414:14,18	computation	344:4,19	403:9
415:2 416:14	432:11	400:11 457:7	conditions
416:15 425:9	compute 427:6	572:16,18	330:16 333:23
425:11,17	563:4 582:20	579:3 592:20	333:24 345:14
complexity	computed	602:4	350:10,20
388:25 412:4,5	431:9 432:15	conclusions	371:19,21
416:6	computer	456:14 545:17	372:3,5 373:1
compliance	379:9 480:13	545:20 589:2	373:8,25
343:8 376:10	comstock 351:5	condition	374:16,23
390:19 391:16	423:18	368:23 369:4	375:2,4 376:8
391:18 392:14	concentrated	369:10,12,23	381:7 385:20
392:19 405:18	480:24	369:25 380:4,7	387:19,21
412:16,25	concept 382:23	380:11,16,17	388:6,7 392:11
417:16 451:20	concern 513:19	381:5,9,21	401:13 403:8
452:15,18	537:12 539:14	382:6,7,19	404:3,20 407:1
458:17 497:16	591:25 592:4	385:17 386:25	407:5,24
513:3,8,14	concerned	387:7,8 388:3	408:17 412:22
523:8	370:2,3 414:14	388:3,9 389:5	412:24 413:1
complicated	458:7	390:16 393:1,5	417:12 418:19
342:21 371:22	concerning	403:9,11,12,14	418:22 425:9
418:23 425:19	484:10	403:15,17,24	425:22 437:13
comply 376:7	concerns 396:6	404:11,13,21	438:7,9 440:1
406:18 412:21	425:15 450:6	404:23 405:7,9	519:18
446:20 453:8	457:14,16,24	405:14,22,24	conduct 359:19
complying	457:25 458:3	406:3,6,13,14	conducting
417:17 512:1	conclude	407:19 408:1	386:16
component	442:16 560:6	408:16 409:2	confer 611:9
351:17 365:1	591:1	409:22 418:14	

[conference - contested]

conference	considered	consumable	396:25 399:9
391:15,16	472:21 517:5	342:4 347:25	399:24 416:23
412:16	545:9 556:6	352:3,16,25	417:2 418:13
configuration	590:6	353:14 358:10	422:12 423:25
483:15	considering	358:16 359:11	424:7,9,11
confirm 467:18	343:21	426:13	426:4 427:2,6
confirmation	consistency	consume	428:12,16
532:8	481:7	331:24 472:24	429:5 430:25
confirms	consistent	569:16	431:8 432:15
551:16	417:11 479:2,9	consumed	432:16 433:9
conformance	479:20,21	342:7 399:21	434:22 435:2
511:20	480:8 504:23	419:23 421:22	435:10,10,11
conjunction	555:1	441:5 473:25	439:11 440:19
480:20	consistently	517:19	440:22 441:3,6
conjunctive	542:12	consuming	441:7,13
536:21 578:3	consists 504:8	334:4	448:21,22
connected	consolidated	consumption	449:4,9 455:11
332:12 551:24	324:4	355:13 382:20	455:17 472:21
connection	constant	429:5 440:16	492:25 498:21
496:13 552:1,3	378:13,15	440:18 492:2	506:10 517:5
552:6,16	constituents	519:7	517:12 518:9
555:15,18	458:11 511:6	consumptive	518:10 570:14
556:2 557:10	constitution	328:2 331:1	572:6 573:16
connections	501:13	334:6 341:3	590:6
504:7	constructed	343:22 344:4,9	contact 421:7
conservation	506:16	347:19 348:18	contain 328:23
462:25 497:3	constructing	356:3 359:22	contained
conservative	495:20	363:19 364:24	485:2 545:20
496:24	construction	365:3 366:7	608:21 611:3
consider	483:7	369:6,6,9	contaminate
341:18 485:6	consultants	371:1,2,4,4	458:12
534:11 540:21	514:17	377:5,14,18,24	content 475:13
546:3 547:7,24	consulting	378:22,25	contested
consideration	325:22 340:5	380:11 381:6	343:11 370:9
439:13 465:10	487:17	389:3 396:15	370:11,13

[contested - correct]

371:11 559:8	contrary	correct 327:20	436:23 437:12
599:10,23	539:25	327:21 331:16	438:9,12
context 341:10	control 351:14	331:21 332:9	441:15 443:8
382:23 573:13	353:15 433:19	333:19,23	447:17,18
contingent	453:10 462:6	334:1,7,8	448:2,11,15,19
387:9	462:12	335:5 336:20	450:25 451:3,6
continually	controlled	337:5 346:11	451:7 452:13
480:24	494:19	349:24 350:3	453:24 456:11
continue	conversations	352:7,14	459:14 461:18
327:13 331:18	336:9,19	353:10,23	461:21 462:15
356:23 469:15	conversely	354:10 358:2	462:16,20,22
494:24 497:9	538:19	361:17 366:2	463:4 464:6
514:23 516:13	conversion	369:20 373:18	465:8 466:22
573:1 585:1	505:9 516:7,7	374:19 376:21	468:16 477:18
continued	convert 494:17	381:5,11	477:19 482:9
317:1 318:1	converted	382:12 384:13	489:1,2,5
319:1 321:1	516:13	387:2 389:17	490:5 491:10
322:1 323:2	converting	389:18 390:14	491:23,24
494:23 540:23	395:2	390:15,18,21	492:3,15 496:7
continuing	convey 395:10	391:4,11,22,23	496:8,11,12
471:12 572:25	conveyance	392:4,14 395:5	498:12 500:2
continuous	348:4 504:12	395:7,15,17	500:14 503:24
361:14 477:25	conveying	396:16 397:24	503:25 504:2
480:9 489:13	482:14	399:22 401:9	505:10 510:8,9
490:23,24	cook 390:1,2	403:5,6 404:14	511:15 512:25
511:1	cooper 467:20	404:15 405:2,5	516:9,20,21
continuously	467:25	405:6 406:23	517:20 518:5
361:17 477:24	cooperate	406:24 408:17	528:25 537:20
480:6 510:11	523:16	410:7 412:14	539:2 557:7
510:14	coordinate	412:17 413:16	559:14 570:22
contor 532:10	613:19	417:25 430:13	571:12 579:8
535:1 545:4	copies 429:12	431:25 433:15	587:12,15,18
612:24	429:13 441:11	433:17,25	588:8,12 589:3
contract 382:1	corn 377:8	434:5,18	589:4,8 590:6
		435:13,18	599:7,16 607:3

[correct - cross]

	271.10	7 /4 7	#0#4# #0 * 4
607:14 615:9	course 351:18	credentials	585:15 586:4
correctly	372:8 379:2,5	471:13	586:22 587:5
359:16 466:20	386:15 392:1	credit 429:8	588:25 590:12
537:19 586:2	398:1 411:15	435:20	590:21 592:7
correspond	464:8 473:5	credits 471:10	597:12,14,16
372:23	483:7	creek 374:19	599:4 601:20
cost 327:14	courses 421:3	436:23,23	601:23 602:1
395:1 419:24	473:22 516:8	489:21 496:7,9	602:14 603:4,8
481:22 482:11	516:11	496:10,13	603:12,17
482:13,14	court 333:9	509:19 532:3	604:10 605:3
514:4,22	338:18 424:5	535:15,25	607:9
costs 520:7	424:19 466:2	537:2 538:17	creeks 551:24
council 455:13	court's 423:18	539:7,19	criminal 523:9
481:19 485:16	cover 360:21	540:16 541:4,5	523:14
485:16 495:23	360:21 580:23	541:10 542:8	criteria 509:13
council's 488:4	581:1	545:2 546:16	509:25
counsel 380:24	covered 588:25	548:1,25	critical 450:4
430:16 457:4	589:18,18	549:11,13,20	457:12
579:24	598:11	552:21 553:7	crop 389:6,14
counsel's	covers 474:7	553:14 554:19	cross 320:7,8,9
408:12	craft 408:16	555:4 556:11	320:14,15,16
count 387:6	crafted 417:18	556:11,12	320:17,21,22
528:18	crapo 317:11	558:2,12	320:23,24
counter 537:8	create 376:6	559:18 560:19	321:8,13,14,15
558:6	393:22	564:15 565:1	321:20,24
county 596:20	created 499:3	568:1,21,23	322:11,12,17
couple 334:24	creates 388:23	569:4,7,10,17	322:18 324:12
338:14 346:22	425:2	569:19 570:18	328:18 331:9
358:22 362:3	creative 400:17	572:4 573:20	334:20 349:14
364:16 455:9	401:4,8,10	573:23 575:8	349:16 355:4
461:3 481:18	415:12 425:14	575:16,23	362:1 364:6,9
484:5 494:25	520:25	579:7,12,20	379:13,18,20
516:3 538:5	creativity	580:9,13,18,24	399:4 402:1
546:1 589:22	400:7 407:2	581:5,9,14	410:16,22
		582:5,6,21	423:3 432:22

[cross - date]

433:1 453:14	481:9 485:21	560:1,14 561:6	dam 397:17
453:19 454:24	490:25 491:1,4	561:19 564:12	damages
455:3 459:1	491:8 494:15	575:17,19,25	523:13
483:13 484:1	495:4,16	576:1,8 577:5	data 344:1
498:4 521:15	501:19 515:4	578:3 579:17	346:8 348:12
550:4,6 585:7	518:19 519:6	583:22 585:21	349:3 356:6,15
589:20 604:16	520:8 521:8	586:11 589:2	356:15,20,21
604:19 606:19	533:23 590:4	600:21 601:4,8	358:7,15,20,24
611:22	curtail 386:10	curtailments	358:25 359:3,4
crossing 554:16	406:22 459:13	453:1 459:11	359:8,9,20,22
556:14	459:23 538:10	575:18,20	359:25 362:14
csr 615:2,21	560:7 568:6	customers	362:22 363:1
cu 440:13	curtailed 391:1	520:9,12	363:13 366:8
culinary 460:2	391:3 409:6	cut 335:14	366:12,14,16
460:8 462:10	453:6 460:7,14	466:5	366:21 426:11
474:17 481:24	462:11 538:6,9	cutoff 560:25	426:15,16
503:22 505:6	560:17,18,25	607:8,14	433:24 434:4
current 326:25	562:3,4 598:17	cutouts 546:23	434:16,17,19
327:8 330:16	curtailing	cuts 419:8	434:25 435:5,7
340:7 343:24	540:15 561:20	cv 326:3 340:9	448:9 479:23
348:16 369:5	600:16	cycle 451:13	479:25 480:1,4
383:18 391:21	curtailment	d	480:5,10 506:8
396:13 451:1	386:7 452:20	d 320:1 321:1	506:12,19
457:18 471:16	459:16,17	322:1 323:2	510:22 511:1
507:20 515:6	460:2 461:24	603:22	512:6 519:11
541:16,23	462:18 463:2	d45 596:8,10	563:22
601:21	497:22 537:18	596:12,13,17	database
currently	537:22 538:4,4	597:10 600:5	384:25 385:18
325:19,21	538:24 539:19	604:7	398:18 464:20
329:19 336:3	540:20,22,23	daily 386:16	466:8 508:2
340:4 345:14	541:20 542:1	411:9 459:15	databases
349:4 373:17	542:22 546:19	459:20 478:1	512:5
395:12 433:6	547:12 548:12	478:23 479:20	date 315:18
433:25 449:6	554:20,21,23	480:6 489:11	371:25 386:11
470:18,20	555:4 559:23	490:22 517:18	403:1 404:8,13

[date - department]

404:17,17	615:15	598:23	delivered
405:3 508:11	days 358:22	declines 364:19	333:18 387:10
509:7 531:22	505:13 562:8	364:23	387:15 473:25
541:20 577:5	de 548:1,4,7,10	declining	474:3 488:5
607:14	dead 579:21	344:22	499:20 500:11
dated 531:23	deal 342:4,22	decreases	delivers 387:23
536:1 545:3	387:22 415:18	382:22	473:17 566:7
dates 607:8	419:25,25	decree 418:18	566:10 597:6
dave 320:12	433:8 514:18	556:25	delivery 436:12
324:16,16,20	dealing 377:16	decreed 351:20	460:3 542:12
324:22,23	378:3 454:16	dedicated	548:13 549:5
325:13 326:18	522:9	383:25 511:8	559:11 578:6
334:22 339:14	deals 513:14	dedication	demand 459:15
339:17,23	dealt 422:9	482:4	459:20
340:3 341:2	540:19	deep 516:15	demands 347:8
532:11,19	debris 476:8	deference	587:24
535:1 543:21	decades 342:21	364:2	demonstrate
594:3,19	356:5	deferring	424:1
david 320:5	december	355:21 363:22	demonstrated
322:15 325:1,7	615:25	define 604:22	561:4,18
325:9 594:5,14	decide 606:15	defined 378:6	denver 325:23
dawned 443:6	decided 435:24	definition	339:24 340:6
day 324:4	485:18 549:6	518:6	414:6 533:25
374:12,12,12	decision 351:5	degradation	department
378:17,17,22	356:21 423:19	458:4 509:21	315:1,19 316:6
378:22 386:12	560:13	degrade 449:3	316:8 333:21
386:12 409:11	decisions	degrading	340:16 350:8
409:11 417:4,4	485:13	458:7	350:25 354:14
419:3,3 452:5	declares 382:8	degree 332:3	359:19 363:22
452:6,7 476:2	declaring	539:12 563:5,6	364:2 368:3
496:25 497:1	380:11 381:6	deliver 336:4,7	370:13 373:21
504:21,22	decline 345:19	386:5 473:16	375:8 381:3
505:10 523:11	346:1,21 365:1	597:4	382:13,18
523:12 554:3	450:7 457:23	deliverable	383:2 384:1,8
592:22,23	526:22 598:21	381:24	384:9,12

[department - determine]

388:24 389:4	569:11 578:2	deq 477:22	description
389:15,23	591:8	482:9,9 483:4	494:6
390:25 391:4,9	departure	484:18 507:24	desert 505:2
391:10,24	591:5	508:18 509:6	design 475:20
392:12,25	depend 459:20	509:10 513:7	475:22,25
393:8,17,22	570:12	520:14,22	476:1
394:7 395:12	dependent	521:3,10,24	designated
397:14 399:20	605:11	522:9,13 523:2	344:17
400:16 401:11	depending	523:18	designation
401:20 406:9	372:1 378:17	derived 491:25	344:20 345:15
407:2,23	451:13 513:14	describe	designed
408:11,16	532:16 541:6	325:19 326:6	330:12 428:20
410:5 418:20	564:3 613:10	326:16,23	desire 327:12
426:3,6 427:13	depends 450:16	327:6 340:12	425:12
427:22 430:16	482:3 552:13	340:24 341:5	detailed 511:6
433:6,19 436:7	552:14 555:14	341:14 345:13	details 403:20
436:18 438:8	depleted	346:4 347:1	586:16
452:21 453:8	552:15 604:5	366:19 439:15	determination
454:11,13	depletes 604:6	439:23 471:7	518:1 536:23
459:7 464:6,19	depletion	474:5,24 476:3	537:8 540:1
484:20 497:21	328:11 422:18	477:7 487:22	557:1,25
507:22 519:7	549:21 555:25	489:16 491:14	558:11 568:12
520:2 542:20	572:5 600:11	496:21 498:18	575:1 576:14
548:6 554:14	601:22	503:7 504:4	580:10,19
557:9 574:10	depletions	513:4 596:3,5	581:25 583:13
574:17 577:6	353:10,23	described	584:3,17 606:9
577:10 578:19	354:5 357:1	412:6 435:15	determinations
578:22 580:14	422:23 423:8,8	504:25 510:15	425:21 548:7
580:19 581:25	567:25 573:17	531:25 538:5	556:1
591:5,20	579:10 607:11	569:20 599:13	determine
595:12 606:12	deponent 443:7	describes 361:5	385:14 395:14
department's	deposition	387:8 427:17	404:17 517:7
324:7 345:6	358:4,6 443:5	describing	548:4 559:12
355:21 464:20	443:8	342:17	577:4
466:7 551:5			

[determined - discharge]

determined	different	digital 545:24	502:23 517:2
327:1 418:19	333:16 342:10	diploma 471:9	524:1 526:3
418:21 462:2	356:7,12	direct 320:6,13	527:1 541:25
513:3 541:6	371:24,25	320:20 321:6,7	565:5 576:18
542:4,5 548:9	372:1 373:4	321:12,19,23	578:23 584:23
558:2 574:20	386:14 387:5	322:6,10,16	585:14,19,22
590:5	388:22 393:4	324:12 325:16	586:6,14 587:3
determining	397:11 399:25	340:1 349:9	587:8 590:5
419:8 579:16	404:13,17	351:15 368:15	593:1 596:4
605:19	411:19,20	412:6 414:10	604:4,13
develop 341:9	412:1 435:8	420:21 421:10	606:10,14
425:23 509:13	440:1 481:18	430:8 432:10	607:18 608:20
606:4	481:25 482:3	444:18 445:12	608:24 609:8
developed	508:20 509:16	453:12 470:15	613:23
381:16 545:24	510:24 513:15	481:24 487:7	director's
developing	518:14,16	501:16 515:6	341:9
400:7,17	520:4 538:5	533:4,19	disagree
development	546:1,10,22	581:13 594:17	388:14 557:4,5
381:18 436:24	553:6,6 560:2	direction 393:9	577:10 590:23
device 403:11	562:1 584:1	393:18,25	605:5
506:22 510:13	differently	478:18 485:19	disagreed
devin 468:17	376:19	615:8	577:6
differ 546:4	difficult 373:4	directly 365:4	discharge
difference	374:7,9 385:14	384:17 514:12	327:20 328:6
352:2,23	385:18 395:22	562:12 563:8	334:11 345:23
378:24 394:13	408:10,10	director 338:7	347:17 360:6
399:13 425:2	415:21 519:3	338:18 339:11	407:15 411:6,9
491:21,25	605:11,18	375:7,7 394:5	420:16,22
differenced	606:2,6,7	399:2 406:19	421:10,20
538:14	difficulty	409:6,7 412:9	432:10 440:10
differences	605:12	429:15 438:20	441:1 446:3,13
341:22 348:25	digesters	443:1 444:9	450:23 453:22
355:24,25	480:22	458:20 487:3,5	458:12,18
358:21 506:25	digestion	487:13 501:23	473:5 475:1,6
546:13	476:11	501:25 502:4,7	475:7,15,23

[discharge - diversion]

477:13,14	412:2 477:20	disinfection	distribution
478:21 479:20	483:2 495:6	458:15 476:17	495:18,21
479:23 480:20	disconnected	477:19 484:14	500:5
481:12 485:12	342:5	504:17	district 316:13
487:25 490:17	disconnects	disposal 327:2	316:14,14,15
490:19,21	555:22,23	327:13 421:16	318:14,14
491:10,18	discovered	428:3 440:1,6	319:11 328:10
492:1 495:2	376:4,9	440:10,25	336:6,10,14,18
499:9 504:21	discretion	441:14 490:5	338:12 339:2
507:13,25	495:22	494:7,13,22,22	357:10,14,15
509:16 510:14	discuss 349:23	494:23 495:9	357:17 360:12
513:11 514:12	456:19 608:12	dispose 327:15	360:16,17
514:23 515:7	discussed 344:6	331:15 477:14	372:2 373:11
516:1 555:12	353:1 370:24	514:5	375:18 386:2,4
600:16 601:13	371:16 378:16	disposed	386:5,12,15,23
604:10	381:12 423:14	328:12	386:24 387:12
discharged	429:13 524:5	disposes 475:4	387:22 388:16
407:21 408:25	524:19 525:4	481:10	390:20 397:20
473:4 476:17	567:23 608:17	disposing 328:8	402:12 403:13
476:20 477:1	610:9	dispute 327:22	405:13 406:18
479:4 480:23	discusses 425:6	345:9	407:8 410:25
481:2 484:15	discussing	disrupt 527:17	411:14 455:8
491:8 499:13	335:1 365:12	distance 562:22	484:4,5 498:7
504:17 505:11	458:2	596:23	506:2 512:16
505:14 507:7	discussion	distant 421:20	540:8,9 550:10
discharger	336:5 337:1,2	distilled 417:22	594:7,23
411:6	350:16 430:15	distinguish	595:19,24
discharges	456:10 465:12	440:4	districts 319:3
337:9 407:10	467:3 526:17	distribute	372:21 374:7
411:7 426:18	527:20 540:13	357:20 429:14	397:20,21
446:10 458:8	565:11 590:9	430:5	413:4 516:19
477:5,17	599:9	distributes	543:2,11,13
509:14	discussions	596:17	diversion 315:8
discharging	329:7 485:24	distributing	382:2 386:3
411:20,21		386:18	399:21 403:17

[diversion - early]

	T	T _	T
403:24 406:7	503:2 511:10	doors 497:7	duly 325:3
422:3,5,15,19	divisions	downstream	339:19 368:3
460:17 462:6	397:11 487:15	353:19 554:1	413:23 445:3
549:12 567:1	502:24	563:18 565:18	470:3 486:20
569:15 604:6	doable 427:5,6	565:18 566:8	501:7 533:12
diversions	document	568:8 596:23	594:7
331:24 332:6	340:12 472:14	drafted 380:17	dunn 318:6
353:9,22 354:4	474:22 478:6	dragging	duration
357:1 366:9	508:8 515:11	329:23	570:12
387:17 396:18	559:1	drainage	duties 444:15
414:21 416:17	documentation	383:21 398:13	471:19,21
421:22 427:1	341:18	398:21 552:20	487:22
445:21 607:9	documented	dredge 481:5	dynamic 431:8
divert 377:3	523:15 538:1	drier 458:5	432:7
539:8 565:13	documents	drilling 397:18	dyslexia 564:21
565:18,19	335:15 439:13	drinking	e
571:3,18	527:6	519:23 520:10	e 319:13 320:1
597:13	doing 348:22	521:3	320:3,3 321:1
diverted	348:24 356:22	drive 347:9	321:3,3 322:1
351:18 390:24	386:15 396:13	445:10	
499:13 567:7	421:2 443:1	drivers 481:18	322:3,3,20 323:2,4 467:12
567:12,14	449:6 450:15	dry 374:19	513:10
568:9	530:14 573:11	436:22,23	earlier 372:20
diverting 378:9	dollars 449:12	452:3 554:1,2	
412:1 414:23	domain 538:7	554:6,15	398:11 399:12 425:18 452:1
diverts 334:10	539:8,11	561:24 562:5	489:23 504:25
472:25 565:21	domestic	562:11,15	
566:5,20	376:18 381:15	563:17,20,24	510:16 514:2
596:10,17,22	381:18 459:9	564:2 566:5	519:15 563:3
596:23	460:7,8,14	582:10,25	575:14 576:15
divide 531:20	462:10 472:20	drying 570:13	592:18 607:7
divided 546:19	517:4,6	570:25 571:2	607:13
division 343:3	door 378:5	due 371:10	earliest 560:13
354:5,8 414:17	497:7	381:24 479:6	613:24
418:7 488:1		479:14 531:6	early 375:6
			560:12

[easements - ensure]

easements	educational	513:24 514:12	encompassing
404:1	503:8	516:18 517:11	552:25
easier 465:22	effect 423:12	effort 342:25	encourage
easily 418:15	440:15,17	435:25	497:3
427:6 507:15	441:4 541:22	efforts 488:7	ended 371:19
608:22	554:15,16,19	eight 384:11	ends 523:18
east 315:20	564:12 569:3	either 324:15	enforce 412:20
316:10 319:8	570:18 571:6	342:23 351:15	461:7,10
357:18 395:19	572:2,17 573:2	365:24 371:3	enforced
512:17 565:19	581:2 601:4,18	382:22 394:10	497:12
596:7,13,21	601:25	421:12 423:4	enforcement
597:3,12,21,25	effective	426:18 443:12	412:14,25
598:19	489:24 514:4	443:14 462:25	413:8 438:12
eastern 357:19	514:22	489:18 495:24	513:18 519:17
372:24 375:10	effectively	500:11 546:15	engage 519:23
378:3 381:14	332:7 511:20	549:9 592:22	engaged 336:5
383:5,10	effects 547:12	601:24	engaging
384:11,19	547:13	element 369:4	336:18
385:12 394:11	effectuated	eliminate 375:8	engineer
394:20,24	392:25	495:1 605:13	397:16 487:17
395:21 397:4	effectuating	611:11	487:19 502:6
409:12 502:17	382:12	else's 550:19	engineering
505:1 578:24	effluent 336:4,7	emerged	457:4 471:10
easy 371:12,14	336:20 337:9	515:20	483:5 498:22
402:10 426:23	339:4 347:25	emmett 325:14	502:25 503:11
economically	360:7 407:9	594:15	engineers
495:23	421:3 427:24	employ 374:11	332:2 342:22
economics	428:21 435:11	employed	534:2
331:19	440:1,6,10,16	501:19 533:23	enhanced
edification	440:18,25	employee	476:6,13
488:8	441:5 457:17	615:12	enlargement
education	475:7 481:12	employees	390:9
326:7 340:13	491:2 494:7	343:2 508:3	ensure 333:18
471:7,12	504:5 506:14	511:12	333:21 376:1
	512:10,11,18		390:19 412:25

[ensure - exactly]

426:3 455:15	457:14,15,24	establishing	evaporative
458:16 460:6	458:1 507:22	324:7 540:2	509:19
460:13 511:19	epa 507:20	estimate 398:7	events 479:14
ensuring 343:7	508:19,21	398:15,19	479:19 505:1
405:17	509:1,4 519:22	434:17 444:17	569:18
entails 474:6	522:10	444:18 498:23	everybody
476:4	equal 418:13	500:8	469:8 586:15
enter 512:6	equivalent	estimated	588:2 598:13
entered 586:8	354:1 360:6,15	348:15 435:12	everyone's
enters 512:20	eric 532:10	600:15	443:7 488:8
entire 334:13	535:1 544:2,3	estimates	evidence
600:18,22	544:8 545:3	499:16	333:11 365:2
entirely 573:3	612:24	estimation	403:7
entirety 422:22	erick 532:11	581:21	evident 518:19
entities 329:16	534:25 543:21	evaluate	evolution
336:5,8 343:6	544:7 611:1	382:19 406:10	354:24 402:16
354:3 355:13	612:25	575:22 578:3	407:1 408:17
356:18 411:20	ero 325:21	evaluated	420:21
412:1 414:23	espa 332:11	494:24 517:7	evolve 354:20
498:7 512:11	344:16 345:21	518:23 575:18	410:6 416:7
512:11,13,19	345:25 347:5	575:20 584:11	evolved 341:24
522:3,6,19,24	364:19,23	584:14	342:20 354:18
526:6 533:2	365:7,8 374:7	evaluating	356:5 414:18
entitled 440:5	essentially	376:14 386:17	414:20 415:1
535:24 545:1	382:8 391:8	evaluation	evolves 415:17
entitlements	396:17 431:22	386:12 387:13	415:18
395:5	431:23 503:3	448:13 515:14	exact 345:19
entity 393:10	505:4 557:9	564:10 595:11	365:9 366:18
418:1 436:6	establish	599:21	383:11 520:1
509:23 513:20	389:13 509:25	evap 330:12	exactly 356:17
522:2 523:21	established	evaporated	357:16 365:15
596:16	351:8 358:7,14	517:15	373:14 422:21
environmental	426:10 506:7	evaporation	428:25 499:21
427:22 428:21	506:12 507:11	330:17 428:6	546:4 566:21
450:6 454:11	512:6 515:3	441:1	566:24 586:18

[examination - exhibits]

examination	484:1 487:7	exclude 538:23	493:19,23
320:6,7,8,9,10	498:4 501:16	excluded	496:4 498:10
320:13,14,15	521:20 533:19	538:10 586:10	498:25 500:10
320:16,17,20	550:4,6 585:7	586:13,15	503:14 505:20
320:21,22,23	589:20 590:1	exclusion	505:21,25
320:24,25	594:17 604:16	586:18	508:5,7 516:23
321:6,7,8,9,12	604:19 606:19	exclusive 475:3	516:23 525:17
321:13,14,15	examined	excuse 350:2	525:19,21,25
321:16,19,20	556:9,13	372:13 427:18	526:2 535:20
321:23,24	examining	503:14 585:10	535:23 543:6
322:6,7,10,11	605:1	execute 452:4	543:19,23,24
322:12,13,16	example	executive	544:5,16,20,21
322:17,18	334:10 350:19	451:17	544:22,25
324:12 325:16	375:16 381:19	exempt 536:25	548:20 550:24
328:18 331:9	381:19 387:7	exhibit 326:1,3	558:18 559:1,5
334:20 338:9	425:8 432:10	326:17,18	561:11 564:18
338:15 339:9	435:19 436:16	328:21,23	564:23 565:2
340:1 349:9,14	440:11 441:2,7	335:3 340:10	565:10 572:8
349:16 355:4	449:6 496:18	340:25 345:1	574:6 595:2
362:1 364:9	514:25 520:8	346:3,5,24	599:1 602:7
367:2,18,20	523:8 549:9	357:6 360:20	603:19 607:19
368:15 379:13	563:6 582:4,19	360:21 367:6,7	607:21,24
379:18,20	584:2	384:3 402:6,8	608:1,3,7,11,13
399:4 402:1	examples 375:3	410:10,12,13	608:15,23
410:16,22	522:24	420:8 440:2,5	609:1 610:1,9
412:6,11	exceed 405:11	440:8,9,24	611:6
414:10 430:8	418:13	441:16 443:2,4	exhibit's 510:3
432:21,22	excel 441:12	443:13,13,20	exhibits 326:2
433:1 439:19	except 352:1	443:23,24	367:5,13,16
444:19 445:12	578:22	444:2,6 449:14	439:1,22
453:13,14,19	exception	454:15 472:11	441:11,20,23
454:24 455:3	490:10	474:20 478:4	524:4,7,12,19
459:1 461:1	excerpt 351:12	488:14,15	525:11,14,16
469:16,22	excess 390:23	491:12,15	526:4,14 527:5
470:15 483:13	414:23	492:19 493:3	565:7

[exist - fairly]

		100 110 0	0 474.4
exist 387:19	414:16 415:16	439:4 447:9	facilities
396:8	417:6 418:3	449:1 451:25	360:10 411:20
existed 408:21	434:12 471:11	484:9 536:2,17	474:19 487:15
424:21	508:15 513:18	537:11,21,24	516:17
existence	515:16 517:9	539:5 540:13	facility 378:11
422:24	519:16	542:25 570:16	378:12 387:10
existing 344:24	experienced	600:13 604:3	411:23 490:8
347:9 375:4	502:14	explaining	490:15,19
391:25 392:3,4	experiencing	537:17	515:11
394:23,25	502:21	explanatory	fact 377:1
396:7 415:10	expert 326:9,13	404:4	411:14 450:9
509:7 583:3	340:15,20	exploring	451:4,23
exists 422:8	341:1,7 349:21	327:19	461:15 514:7
512:16	351:4 357:4	extend 373:8	521:6 534:5
exodus 527:15	365:11 367:18	570:21	540:2 541:19
expansion	420:7 442:17	extended 509:3	590:9
455:14	449:16,17	extends 596:24	factor 427:2
expect 373:6	454:15 456:24	extensive 449:2	factors 416:22
393:4 416:7	485:2,15 506:6	extent 391:8	416:23 435:9
493:10 605:21	532:4,7 533:1	415:10 441:20	facts 548:15
expectations	534:5,7,17	489:22 607:11	failed 427:20
386:9	535:9,25 540:7	extinction	fails 406:18
expected 369:6	543:1 545:1	327:10 330:3,6	failure 407:25
377:5,12 415:8	550:13 592:21	330:10 342:8	fair 330:1
expedited	594:2,24 607:1	365:20,23	342:9 349:2
560:13	610:6,20	496:2 517:16	360:14 383:6
expensive	612:22	518:20	497:20 511:25
415:7 419:18	experts 324:13	extrapolated	531:1 564:13
420:5,5,15,24	367:19 442:3	549:4	567:4 589:5
421:8 485:5,8	442:13 542:8	f	591:15 609:16
485:10,20	611:13	face 369:13	612:9,19
495:22 514:13	expired 509:2	371:8 402:13	fairly 328:24
experience	expires 615:25	faced 485:4	449:2 462:14
341:25 371:13	explain 357:13	facilitate	479:1,9 482:16
371:14 373:24	358:18 407:20	416:18	504:23 512:7

[fairly - first]

523:15	498:11 517:23	feet's 583:11	find 336:6
faith 522:23,25	522:18 528:15	felt 391:9 522:8	345:18 363:1,1
fall 606:8	595:15,25	field 342:13	391:17 413:2,7
falls 316:15	familiarity	399:23 450:18	483:9 569:22
317:7,9,10,14	517:10 599:22	fields 481:24	finding 375:19
317:18 318:13	far 359:8	figure 435:22	548:15 549:3
333:7,15 355:7	385:19 450:8	492:17 519:8	575:15 585:14
355:8 361:1	450:11 454:8	569:5 580:6	585:23 586:6,9
368:12 383:3	477:22 481:25	figures 417:22	findings 548:15
411:17 430:11	514:18 515:15	file 409:18	fine 483:18
430:11 437:19	515:21,21	436:10	488:6 530:10
437:22 466:12	542:17 548:13	filed 324:6,6	535:10
466:13,14	573:12	375:12 378:3	fined 497:14
468:8 484:4	farm 481:24	384:17 385:4	fines 497:8
501:13,20,22	farmer 447:10	385:10 409:4	513:21
502:2,4,11	farmland	540:10	finish 482:23
503:18 504:20	480:17	files 608:20,25	579:25 592:22
506:13 507:19	farms 597:8	609:5,6	finished 463:22
509:8 511:9,25	fast 600:23	filing 407:23	613:11
512:15 513:2	601:23 602:2	436:12	fire 452:9 458:6
513:23 514:2	favor 528:4	filings 397:25	463:7
514:10 515:9	feasible 495:24	fills 588:22	firm 325:22
517:11 518:21	fed 463:5	filtration	340:6
519:1,4,12	fee 521:2	476:15	first 324:18
520:9 521:4	feel 376:24	final 369:19	345:2 361:7,9
525:9	394:7 401:13	482:15 484:14	362:5 367:20
familiar 332:21	455:16 522:12	579:3	368:11 369:1,3
344:16 345:6	611:6	finalize 483:5	375:20 380:2
371:15,18	feet 389:20	finalizing 500:4	384:8 387:14
381:14 382:5	440:20 441:6	finally 428:17	391:6 402:8,25
400:1,3 411:1	451:11 505:10	440:24 497:20	406:3 410:20
411:13,17	505:14 546:17	finance 482:5	427:16 429:16
445:17 446:2	546:18,24	483:8	431:22 442:17
484:24,25	582:13,19,21	financially	461:4 467:6
488:6 490:4	584:7	615:12	482:8 510:4

[first - forth]

7011016	5 00 40 50 4 44	m 222 m	
524:12,16	500:18 521:16	flows 332:7	followed
538:4 540:20	527:11,14	347:19 351:24	333:24 374:11
540:22 551:14	529:2,9,24	358:2 361:19	376:2,5 388:4
554:20,21,22	530:16,21,24	419:10 422:1	476:8,17
555:4 575:16	531:9 534:13	427:1 429:6	504:16 506:16
592:19 595:8	534:15,19,21	459:21 478:16	513:10
610:15 613:19	585:4,5,8	504:12 552:19	following 428:9
fit 408:20	589:14 593:3	553:25 567:3,6	461:4 476:14
fittings 493:17	610:13 611:17	568:1 569:17	601:4
five 456:2	612:5,11 614:3	570:18 572:3	follows 325:3
464:21 466:8	flexibility	573:22 587:14	339:19 368:4
471:18 480:8	380:18 381:4,8	588:8 601:9,20	413:24 445:3
482:25 487:16	flip 404:19	607:6	470:4 486:20
491:18,20	490:1	fluctuations	501:7 533:13
494:17 508:15	flooded 479:15	479:11	594:8
508:24 515:13	flow 327:11	flume 477:10	font 531:9
520:6 526:18	351:10 352:14	477:20 480:7	foot 346:22
532:18 542:24	352:21 356:15	484:13,16	foregoing
593:1	358:7,14	flyers 497:7	615:4,9
flagged 385:16	361:11 386:17	focus 329:1	forget 440:8
fletcher 318:15	386:18 422:16	554:8 588:23	forgot 401:25
318:16 321:8	423:3,3,17	588:25	524:4 543:6
321:13,20	426:11,15,16	focused 542:18	forgotten
322:12 337:19	447:21 477:22	559:15 560:4	532:13 566:12
337:22 338:2	478:2,7 479:2	576:6 587:5	form 481:6
349:12 432:23	479:7,8,9,14	focuses 359:19	formal 376:11
433:2 438:15	480:7 504:23	focusing	481:9,11,15
441:19 442:15	505:8,17 506:8	471:10 546:15	485:23 525:4
453:15,16,20	506:12 510:5,6	folks 597:12	format 608:22
453:21 454:21	510:7 511:1	follow 346:17	formed 503:2
463:14 467:4,5	541:9 568:8	355:10 393:2	forms 418:5,5
467:9 469:1,3	571:16 587:18	417:14 467:11	forth 404:3
469:8 483:21	589:7,10 603:3	519:17 533:4	423:17 493:17
483:22 484:2,3	603:4,12	570:9	615:5
486:1 500:17			

[forward - general]

forward 391:17 488:14 550:22 590:6,18 549:4,4 573:18 549:4,4 573:18 574:21 574:2				
found 341:22 595:2 602:6 function g 392:13 398:18 413:5 537:16 503:20 frustrating 520:18 fund 520:16,21 563:16,23 563:12 563:16,23 563:16,23 563:16,23 563:16,23 564:2,3 gaining 563:16,23 564:2,3 gains 563:17 563:12 563:12 564:2,3 gains 563:17 563:18 563:17 563:12 563:13 564:2,3 gains 563:17 563:18	forward 391:17	488:14 550:22	590:6,18	549:4,4 573:18
392:13 398:18 413:5 537:16 547:5 585:19 four 335:2,6 435:8 471:14 495:16 508:24 525:3 529:17 542:24 547:16 582:22 582:22 426:8 449:17 fourth 317:22 frame 520:1 583:25 framed 586:20 framework 575:18 583:25 fredericksen 322:5 468:7 322:5 468:7 322:5 468:7 322:5 468:7 322:5 468:7 351:14 570:12 frequency 356:10 361:13 511:4 570:12 frequent 315:20 316:10 343:14 357:5 377:20 316:10 343:14 357:5 377:20 316:10 343:14 357:5 377:20 316:10 343:14 357:5 377:20 316:10 343:14 357:5 377:20 316:10 343:14 357:5 377:20 316:10 343:14 357:5 377:20 316:10 343:14 357:5 377:20 318:0 568:17 fund mental 423:21 funding 519:23 564:2,3 gains 563:17 galena 319:11 540:8 gallon 497:4 gallons 476:2 423:11 423:21 gains 563:12 564:2,3 gains 563:17 galena 319:11 540:8 gallon 497:4 gallons 476:2 428:11 429:9 428:11 429:9 428:11 429:9 438:15 442:9 438:15 442:9 438:15 442:9 458:11 454:21 563:16,23 564:2,3 gains 563:12 564:2,3 gains 563:17 galena 319:11 540:8 gallon 497:4 gallons 476:2 478:24 504:22 505:10,16,17 517:17 garden 582:23 garrick.316:9 438:22 459:6 529:13 544:10 558:20 460:21 558:20 593:18 608:18 609:12 garrick.baxter 316:12 gary 375:7 gather 478:1,8 478:17 gather 478:1,8 478:17 garden 58:22 505:10,16,17 517:17 garden 582:23 garrick.baxter 316:12 garrick.baxter 316:12 gary 375:7 gathere 478:1,8 478:17 garden 58:22 558:10 36:13 356:23 368:23 369:10 372:12 366:10 36:13 377:24 395:3 356:23 368:23 369:10 372:12 36:10 343:14 423:21 423:21 funding 519:23 564:2,3 gains 56:317 galena 319:11 540:8 galons 476:2 478:24 504:22 478:24 504:22 478:24 504:22 505:10,16,17 517:17 garden 582:23 garrick.baxter 316:12 garrick.baxter 316:12 garrick.baxter 316:12 garrick.baxter 316:12 garrick.baxter 316:12 533:12 540:8 478:17 540:8 478:24 504:22 505:10,16,17 517:17 garden 58:22 505:10,16,17 517:17 garden 58:2:23 52:12 478:24 504:22 505:10,16,17 517:17 garden 58:2:23 36:16:23 564:2,3 gains 56:317 galena 319:11 540:8 galons 476:2 478:24 504:29 478:24 504:29 478:24 506:10 558:10 36:13 551:11 540:8 566:8 589:15 592:10 606:16 611:3 615:11 611 611:3 615:11 611 611:3	481:8	557:22 558:18	607:12	574:21
392:13 398:18	found 341:22	595:2 602:6	function	g
413:5 537:16 frustrating fund 520:16,21 563:16,23 four 335:2,6 fulfilling 423:21 funding 519:23 564:2,3 gains 563:17 galena 319:11 540:8 564:2,3 gallon 497:4 gallon 49:4 gallon 497:4 gallon 497:4 gallon 497:4 gallon 497:4	392:13 398:18	603:20	435:17	
54/:5 585:19 520:18 fulfilling 423:21 fundamental 564:2,3 gains 563:17 galena 319:11 435:8 471:14 495:16 508:24 full 329:19 521:2 52:3 529:17 357:8 377:20 521:2 54:24 547:16 398:4 399:21 funk 381:20 further 336:24 37:15 339:6,8 37:15 339:6,8 37:15 339:6,8 37:15 339:6,8 37:15 339:6,8 37:15 339:6,8 37:15 339:6,8 37:15 339:6,8 37:15 339:6,8 37:16 355:2 582:22 45:310 456:19 470:7 486:24 583:25 489:22 511:12 583:25 489:22 511:12 583:25 fully 341:3 42:1,14 429:9 428:11 429:9 428:11 429:9 438:22 459:6 559:13 544:10 558:20 593:18 foredericksen 344:8 347:25 322:5 468:7 352:3,16,25 353:14 356:3 511:4 570:12 353:14 356:3 511:4 570:12 353:14 356:3 511:4 570:12 396:15 399:9 350:10 361:13 510:25 frequent 510:25 friday 530:3 428:11 448:21 510:25 friday 530:3 428:11 448:21 front 315:20 449:3 472:21 316:10 343:14 377:5 377:20 316:10 343:14 377:5 377:20 378:6 430:21 518:10 568:17 518:9 578:20 573:17 552:2,4,15 520:18 funding 519:23 funding 519:23 gains 563:17 galena 319:11 540:8 gallon 497:4 gallons 476:2 478:24 504:22 505:10,16,17 517:17 garcher 336:24 478:24 504:22 505:10,16,17 517:17 garcher 319:11 540:8 gallon 497:4 gallons 476:2 478:24 504:22 505:10,16,17 517:17 garcher 319:11 540:8 gallon 497:4 gallons 476:2 478:24 504:22 505:10,16,17 517:17 garcher 319:11 540:8 gallon 497:4 gallons 476:2 478:24 504:22 505:10,16,17 517:17 garcher 319:11 540:8 gallon 497:4 gallon 497:4 gallons 476:2 478:24 504:22 505:10,16,17 517:17 garcher 319:11 540:8 gallon 497:4 gallons 476:2 478:24 504:22 505:10,16,17 517:17 garcher 319:11 540:8 gallon 497:4 gall	413:5 537:16	frustrating	fund 520:16,21	
four 335:2,6 fulfilling 423:21 gains 563:17 435:8 471:14 375:23 full 329:19 521:2 521:2 540:8 319:11 542:24 547:16 398:4 399:21 further 336:24 337:15 339:6,8 371:15 339:6,8 337:15 339:6,8 478:24 504:22 478:24 504:22 478:24 504:22 478:24 504:22 505:10,16,17 517:17<	547:5 585:19	520:18	fundamental	
495:16 508:24 full 329:19	four 335:2,6	fulfilling	423:21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
495:16 508:24 full 329:19 521:2 funk 381:20 540:8 gallon 497:4 gallons 476:2 478:24 504:22 542:24 547:16 542:20 414:2 422:4,17 426:8 449:17 426:8 449:17 470:7 486:24 489:22 511:12 489:22 511:12 489:22 511:12 489:22 511:12 421:19,19 428:11 429:9 438:22 459:6 529:13 544:10 558:23 framework 599:20 432:18,21 428:11 429:9 438:22 459:6 529:13 544:10 558:23 fredericksen 322:5 468:7 322:5 468:7 352:3,16,25 486:11 352:15 free 486:11 frequency 356:10 361:13 377:24 395:3 51:6 428:11 448:21 510:25 friday 530:3 428:11 448:21 510:25 friday 530:3 531:6 front 315:20 378:6 439:21 518:10 568:17 425:12 537:5 377:20 378:6 439:21 518:10 568:17 485:5 521:7 552:2,4,15 521:2 funk 381:20 funk 39:21 funk 39:25 funk 39:20 funk 39:25 f	435:8 471:14	375:23	funding 519:23	
525:3 529:17 357:8 377:20 funk 381:20 gallon 497:4 542:24 547:16 398:4 399:21 414:2 422:4,17 337:15 339:6,8 478:24 504:22 582:22 426:8 449:17 351:16 355:2 505:10,16,17 517:17 fourth 317:22 453:10 456:19 364:4 379:7 388:25 412:9 478:24 504:22 505:10,16,17 frame 520:1 470:7 486:24 398:25 412:9 421:19,19 428:11 429:9 438:22 459:6 529:13 544:10 558:23 38:23 505:10,16,17 517:17 517:17 garden 582:23 582:13 516:9 438:22 459:6 529:13 544:10 558:20 593:18 529:13 544:10 558:20 593:18 608:18 609:12 588:18 609:12 588:18 609:12 588:18 609:12 588:18 609:12 588:18 609:12 588:18 609:12 588:18 609:12 588:18 609:12 588:18 609:12 588:18 609:12 588:18 609:12 588:17 53:14 566:8 589:15 566:8 589:15 566:8 589:15 599:10 606:16 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11	495:16 508:24	full 329:19	521:2	
542:24 547:16 398:4 399:21 turther 336:24 337:15 339:6,8 476:2 478:24 504:22 582:22 426:8 449:17 351:16 355:2 478:24 504:22 505:10,16,17 517:17	525:3 529:17	357:8 377:20	funk 381:20	
547:17 582:20 414:2 422:4,17 337:15 339:6,8 478:24 504:22 582:22 426:8 449:17 351:16 355:2 505:10,16,17 fourth 317:22 470:7 486:24 398:25 412:9 398:25 412:9 frame 520:1 470:7 486:24 398:25 412:9 421:19,19 framed 586:20 588:15,18,21 428:11 429:9 438:22 459:6 framework 599:20 432:18,21 529:13 544:10 575:18 583:25 584:1 342:4,7 344:3 451:18 452:3 608:18 609:12 fredericksen 344:8 347:25 458:20 460:21 558:20 593:18 322:5 468:7 352:3,16,25 458:20 460:21 316:12	542:24 547:16	398:4 399:21	further 336:24	_
582:22 426:8 449:17 351:16 355:2 505:10,16,17 fourth 317:22 453:10 456:19 364:4 379:7 364:4 379:7 517:17 frame 520:1 470:7 486:24 398:25 412:9 421:19,19 421:19,19 428:11 429:9 438:22 459:6 438:22 459:6 529:13 544:10 558:20 593:18 529:13 544:10 558:20 593:18 608:18 609:12 608:18	547:17 582:20	414:2 422:4,17	337:15 339:6,8	
fourth 317:22 453:10 456:19 364:4 379:7 398:25 412:9 517:17 frame 520:1 470:7 486:24 398:25 412:9 421:19,19 428:11 429:9 438:22 459:6 582:23 garrick 316:9 438:22 459:6 529:13 544:10 558:20 593:18 608:18 609:12 558:20 593:18 608:18 609:12 <	582:22	426:8 449:17	351:16 355:2	
frame 520:1 470:7 486:24 398:25 412:9 garden 582:23 framed 586:20 588:15,18,21 428:11 429:9 438:22 459:6 529:13 544:10 555:18 583:25 584:1 438:15 442:9 438:22 459:6 529:13 544:10 558:20 593:18 608:18 609:12 <td>fourth 317:22</td> <td>453:10 456:19</td> <td>364:4 379:7</td> <td>, ,</td>	fourth 317:22	453:10 456:19	364:4 379:7	, ,
583:25 489:22 511:12 421:19,19 garrick 316:9 framed 586:20 588:15,18,21 428:11 429:9 438:22 459:6 575:18 583:25 599:20 432:18,21 529:13 544:10 575:18 583:25 342:4,7 344:3 451:18 452:3 608:18 609:12 fredericksen 344:8 347:25 453:11 454:21 368:18 609:12 322:5 468:7 352:3,16,25 458:20 460:21 368:18 609:12 501:1,3,5,12 353:14 356:3 521:13 532:15 549:2 550:3 561:22 563:18 56:10 361:13 377:24 395:3 566:8 589:15 592:10 606:16 611:3 615:11 61:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 6	frame 520:1	470:7 486:24	398:25 412:9	
framed 586:20 588:15,18,21 428:11 429:9 438:22 459:6 framework 599:20 432:18,21 438:22 459:6 575:18 583:25 fully 341:3 438:15 442:9 529:13 544:10 584:1 342:4,7 344:3 451:18 452:3 608:18 609:12 fredericksen 344:8 347:25 453:11 454:21 558:20 593:18 501:1,3,5,12 353:14 356:3 521:13 532:15 549:2 550:3 316:12 garrick.baxter 356:10 361:13 377:24 395:3 566:8 589:15 592:10 606:16 478:17 gather 478:1,8 478:17 gathered 474:2 gathered 474:2 frequent 399:21 419:23 611:3 615:11 future 327:2 gears 376:16 general 316:7 510:25 424:7,9 426:13 369:10 372:12 372:13,16 372:13,16 372:13,16 372:13,16 372:13,16 355:17 425:12 533:22 540:7 552:2,4,15	583:25	489:22 511:12	421:19,19	
framework 599:20 432:18,21 529:13 544:10 575:18 583:25 fully 341:3 342:4,7 344:3 438:15 442:9 558:20 593:18 fredericksen 344:8 347:25 453:11 454:21 558:20 593:18 322:5 468:7 352:3,16,25 458:20 460:21 360:18 609:12 501:1,3,5,12 353:14 356:3 521:13 532:15 549:2 550:3 36:12 563:18 free 486:11 358:9,16 549:2 550:3 561:22 563:18 478:17 378:17 377:24 395:3 566:8 589:15 592:10 606:16 378:6 439:21 424:7,9 426:13 592:10 606:16 611:3 615:11 608:18 609:12 375:7 376:16 376:12 378:17 378:10 56:23 368:23 375:7 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:17 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16 376:16	framed 586:20	588:15,18,21	428:11 429:9	
575:18 583:25 fully 341:3 438:15 442:9 558:20 593:18 584:1 342:4,7 344:3 451:18 452:3 608:18 609:12 fredericksen 344:8 347:25 453:11 454:21 608:18 609:12 322:5 468:7 352:3,16,25 458:20 460:21 316:12 501:1,3,5,12 353:14 356:3 521:13 532:15 316:12 316:12 316:12 free 486:11 358:9,16 549:2 550:3 561:22 563:18 478:17 316:12 356:10 361:13 377:24 395:3 566:8 589:15 592:10 606:16 611:3 615:11 478:17 32thered 474:2 478:17 frequent 399:21 419:23 611:3 615:11 future 327:2 36:23 368:23 36:10 372:12 36:23 368:23 36:10 372:12 372:13,16 472:5 347:3,10 355:17 425:12 347:3,10 355:17 425:12 533:22 540:7 552:2,4,15	framework	599:20	432:18,21	
584:1 342:4,7 344:3 451:18 452:3 608:18 609:12 fredericksen 342:4,7 344:3 453:11 454:21 garrick.baxter 322:5 468:7 352:3,16,25 458:20 460:21 316:12 501:1,3,5,12 353:14 356:3 521:13 532:15 316:12 free 486:11 358:9,16 549:2 550:3 gather 478:1,8 478:17 396:15 399:9 566:8 589:15 592:10 606:16 611:3 615:11 frequent 399:21 419:23 611:3 615:11 future 327:2 gathering 510:25 424:7,9 426:13 356:23 368:23 369:10 372:12 372:13,16 front 315:20 449:3 472:21 372:13,16 355:17 425:12 378:6 439:21 518:10 568:17 455:14,15 533:22 540:7 378:6 439:21 518:10 568:17 485:5 521:7	575:18 583:25	fully 341:3	438:15 442:9	
fredericksen 344:8 347:25 453:11 454:21 garrick.baxter 322:5 468:7 352:3,16,25 458:20 460:21 316:12 501:1,3,5,12 353:14 356:3 521:13 532:15 316:12 free 486:11 358:9,16 549:2 550:3 361:22 563:18 356:10 361:13 377:24 395:3 566:8 589:15 396:15 399:9 592:10 606:16 478:17 36hered 474:2 378:6 23 368:23 369:10 372:12 376:16	584:1	342:4,7 344:3	451:18 452:3	
322:5 468:7 352:3,16,25 458:20 460:21 316:12 501:1,3,5,12 353:14 356:3 521:13 532:15 316:12 free 486:11 358:9,16 549:2 550:3 375:7 3ather 478:1,8 478:17 396:15 399:9 566:8 589:15 399:21 419:23 592:10 606:16 611:3 615:11 3472:5 3472:5 376:16 399:21 419:23 611:3 615:11 472:5 36:10 36:12 348:11 369:10 372:12 347:3,10 355:17 425:12 347:3,10 355:17 425:12 353:22 540:7 352:2,4,15	fredericksen	344:8 347:25	453:11 454:21	
501:1,3,5,12 353:14 356:3 521:13 532:15 gary 375:7 free 486:11 358:9,16 549:2 550:3 gather 478:1,8 356:10 361:13 377:24 395:3 566:8 589:15 gather 478:1,8 511:4 570:12 396:15 399:9 592:10 606:16 gathered 474:2 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 gathering 472:5 611:3 615:11 future 327:2 gears 376:16 611:3 615:11 gears 376:16 78:6 439:21 472:24 506:10 430:17 455:14 378:6 439:21 518:10 568:17 485:5 521:7	322:5 468:7	352:3,16,25	458:20 460:21	
free 486:11 358:9,16 349:2 550:3 gather 478:1,8 356:10 361:13 377:24 395:3 566:8 589:15 592:10 606:16 478:17 gathered 478:17 511:4 570:12 396:15 399:9 592:10 606:16 592:10 606:16 611:3 615:11 611	501:1,3,5,12	353:14 356:3	521:13 532:15	
frequency 359:11 363:19 561:22 563:18 478:17 356:10 361:13 377:24 395:3 566:8 589:15 gathered 474:2 511:4 570:12 396:15 399:9 592:10 606:16 gathering 472:5 frequent 399:21 419:23 611:3 615:11 472:5 gears 376:16 531:6 424:7,9 426:13 356:23 368:23 369:10 372:12 372:13,16 347:3,10 347:3,10 355:17 425:12 357:5 377:20 517:5,11 518:9 455:14,15 533:22 540:7 533:22 540:7 552:2,4,15	free 486:11	358:9,16	549:2 550:3	
356:10 361:13 377:24 395:3 566:8 589:15 511:4 570:12 396:15 399:9 592:10 606:16 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 472:5 510:25 421:22 423:25 56:23 368:23 611:3 615:11 472:5 592:10 606:16 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 615:11 611:3 61:3 61:3 61:3 61:3 61:3 61:3 61:3 61:3 61:3 61:3 61:3 61:3 61:3	frequency	359:11 363:19	561:22 563:18	,
511:4 570:12 396:15 399:9 592:10 606:16 gathering frequent 399:21 419:23 611:3 615:11 472:5 510:25 421:22 423:25 future 327:2 gears 376:16 friday 530:3 424:7,9 426:13 356:23 368:23 369:10 372:12 front 315:20 449:3 472:21 372:13,16 347:3,10 316:10 343:14 472:24 506:10 430:17 455:14 355:17 425:12 378:6 439:21 518:10 568:17 485:5 521:7	356:10 361:13	377:24 395:3	566:8 589:15	
frequent 399:21 419:23 611:3 615:11 472:5 510:25 421:22 423:25 future 327:2 gears 376:16 friday 530:3 424:7,9 426:13 356:23 368:23 369:10 372:12 347:3,10 front 315:20 449:3 472:21 372:13,16 355:17 425:12 316:10 343:14 472:24 506:10 430:17 455:14 533:22 540:7 378:6 439:21 518:10 568:17 485:5 521:7	511:4 570:12	396:15 399:9	592:10 606:16	•
510:25 421:22 423:25 future 327:2 friday 530:3 424:7,9 426:13 356:23 368:23 531:6 428:11 448:21 369:10 372:12 front 315:20 449:3 472:21 372:13,16 316:10 343:14 472:24 506:10 430:17 455:14 357:5 377:20 517:5,11 518:9 455:14,15 378:6 439:21 518:10 568:17 485:5 521:7	frequent	399:21 419:23	611:3 615:11	
friday 530:3 424:7,9 426:13 356:23 368:23 general 316:7 front 315:20 449:3 472:21 372:13,16 355:17 425:12 316:10 343:14 472:24 506:10 430:17 455:14 533:22 540:7 378:6 439:21 518:10 568:17 485:5 521:7	510:25	421:22 423:25	future 327:2	
531:6 428:11 448:21 369:10 372:12 347:3,10 front 315:20 449:3 472:21 372:13,16 355:17 425:12 316:10 343:14 472:24 506:10 430:17 455:14 533:22 540:7 378:6 439:21 518:10 568:17 485:5 521:7	friday 530:3	424:7,9 426:13	356:23 368:23	•
front 315:20 449:3 472:21 372:13,16 316:10 343:14 472:24 506:10 430:17 455:14 533:22 540:7 357:5 377:20 517:5,11 518:9 455:14,15 552:2,4,15	531:6	428:11 448:21	369:10 372:12	
316:10 343:14 357:5 377:20 378:6 439:21 316:10 343:14 517:5,11 518:9 518:10 568:17 430:17 455:14 455:14,15 485:5 521:7 552:2,4,15	front 315:20	449:3 472:21	372:13,16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
357:5 377:20 517:5,11 518:9 455:14,15 552:2,4,15	316:10 343:14	472:24 506:10	430:17 455:14	
378·6 430·71	357:5 377:20	517:5,11 518:9	455:14,15	
	378:6 439:21	518:10 568:17	485:5 521:7	

[generally - good]

generally 326:6	glen 504:14	482:1 483:20	453:23 463:21
327:6 340:12	glendale	485:17,19	463:23 466:18
341:5,14	536:24 538:9	486:11 497:6	467:11 469:5
345:13 346:17	538:11,19,21	503:10 509:11	469:15 483:15
347:1 348:11	538:23 540:3	523:2 524:12	485:1 487:1
356:19 366:19	546:17 553:22	525:10 531:18	488:16 501:11
369:17 391:15	555:3 558:1	532:17,19	503:13 505:12
395:19 397:10	560:7 561:23	533:16 554:1	505:19 508:4
437:23 445:18	563:13 564:1	561:22 562:12	509:4 514:20
474:5 498:18	564:11 565:1	563:1,22	516:22 524:8
504:4,7 508:15	565:18,25	564:15 582:25	526:22 527:14
509:11 513:6	567:2 568:14	585:3 593:9,22	530:24 533:5
515:19 533:5	574:25 575:10	593:25 600:7	542:6 544:6
539:10 540:13	583:19 586:5,7	602:7 603:18	547:8 548:23
565:17,22	586:15 589:6	603:22 606:15	550:17 562:10
569:20 570:20	596:22 599:5	613:10,12,19	562:11 563:8
585:18 598:6	599:25 600:17	goals 488:4	564:4 568:3
generate 514:8	603:2 607:5,10	goes 346:20	571:9 575:2
geographical	global 347:6	356:8 359:8	578:18 582:19
502:25	go 325:10	432:16,16	582:21 583:21
getting 418:4,8	328:15 336:23	446:6 447:8,22	584:4 588:24
419:18 422:22	341:20 353:18	450:9,11	591:10 593:8
480:12 573:13	367:24 379:12	471:12 476:12	601:15 606:1
give 444:16	379:17,25	481:1 491:10	611:2,18 612:8
485:15 533:22	402:20 404:5	496:16 499:17	612:22 613:3
534:6 539:14	410:19,20	506:19 507:24	613:13
given 337:4	414:7 420:4	going 327:14	golf 411:15
615:10	430:4 434:19	337:17 347:12	421:3 473:22
givens 318:21	435:24 442:24	348:11 361:2	516:8,11
364:12	443:7 447:23	377:7,7 379:25	good 324:1
givenspursle	448:21 449:3	384:3 388:5	328:20 331:11
318:25	456:20 463:20	402:25 410:10	331:12 334:22
giving 429:15	466:3 467:4	419:19 431:18	334:23 338:12
glass 531:9	469:4,12	439:3 442:12	338:13 355:6
	470:13 476:14	450:16,19	362:3 379:22

[good - guess]

10000		7.0.10.770.0	
403:8,22	gravel 378:11	549:10 550:9	597:17,23,24
412:23 413:9	378:11	569:12 594:7	598:2,10,12,13
419:14 436:16	gravity 463:5	594:23 595:19	598:24 599:5
444:22,23	great 335:12,23	595:23	599:24 600:4
455:5 459:3,5	342:22 404:8	groundwater	600:16 601:16
463:16 469:14	472:10 473:15	324:9 340:8	601:17,19,22
470:17 486:15	473:24 474:16	342:5 344:17	602:25 603:16
486:16 487:9	474:20 476:3	345:7,15 365:7	604:8,9 605:2
497:15,17,19	478:4,20	386:3 394:22	605:3,21,24
522:11,23,25	480:14 481:8	394:25 395:11	607:9
527:18 530:19	494:5 509:8	395:13 406:1	group 317:16
550:8,11	515:15 521:13	423:3 450:7,22	502:25
594:22 604:21	550:20	451:1 452:25	grow 377:7,7
604:23 606:21	greater 419:24	453:5 457:22	growth 393:10
606:24 611:17	493:8,10,14	462:1,24 463:1	393:19 394:17
gotten 573:12	516:1 601:9	473:10 503:23	396:3 502:15
578:19	greatest 522:4	504:1 516:8	502:17,21
governor's	greg 324:14	528:11 532:1	guess 327:4
393:9,18 394:3	348:7 368:19	539:8,10	342:17 344:6
grab 325:25	469:7 532:9,25	540:15 541:14	345:9,13
511:3 535:20	533:21 535:14	541:21 543:2	348:20 350:12
grabbed 480:5	610:15	543:13 549:9	352:15,19
480:5	gregory 321:5	549:12,15,16	355:20 360:1
graduate	322:9 413:19	549:17,18,19	371:3 392:10
503:10	413:21 414:4	551:17,18,23	408:3,5,6
graph 345:21	533:10,24	552:4,9,18,19	413:14 429:8
345:21 346:18	gross 331:24	553:5 555:11	442:2 496:22
480:4	ground 315:5,9	555:15,18,19	513:16 516:14
graphical	316:13 319:11	555:24 556:2	518:1 527:24
546:11	324:8 338:12	557:1,11	542:15 543:18
graphs 345:17	374:6 385:23	559:13 560:18	552:7 553:9,17
545:25 546:1	397:20 410:25	563:4,9 564:11	569:9 578:25
546:14,23	419:4 455:8	572:1,3 575:9	580:10 582:8
grass 476:23	492:11 496:16	577:17 579:19	582:25 586:7
	540:8,8 543:10	595:22 596:1	590:13 610:4

[guess - hearing]

611:4,7 612:15	halfway 570:10	hard 415:22	547:11
612:20	hand 440:14	448:4 532:18	hear 330:7
guidance 393:9	615:14	592:18	400:25 414:12
456:21 488:3	handing 429:19	harris 317:12	465:25 469:18
guides 515:11	handle 370:13	320:15,21	478:11 554:25
guys 329:24	397:13 434:11	321:7 322:6	612:15
383:17 530:7	handled 369:18	355:5,6 360:24	heard 415:20
h	413:4	361:21,24	433:4 436:22
h 322:20 323:4	handles 397:18	379:17,21	437:1,5,9
hahn 317:11	511:10	381:3 393:15	438:13,20
hailey 318:20	handling	393:16 394:6	448:23 464:18
364:13 468:3	377:17	398:25 401:24	466:12 468:5
486:14 487:3,4	handy 558:18	412:13 430:9	478:12 566:17
487:12,14,16	564:17	430:10 432:3	566:17 599:8
487:18,25	hang 497:7	432:18 443:1,4	599:13 602:17
488:9,24 491:1	happen 347:16	443:25 444:3,7	hearing 315:14
492:16 493:24	416:8 422:11	468:9 501:17	315:17 322:7
494:1,12	428:14 448:24	505:24 521:13	324:1,2,5,5,21
495:25 496:5	452:22 453:3	524:8,11,17	325:5 326:15
496:23 498:19	513:2,5 514:15	525:1,22 526:3	328:15 331:5
525:8 532:25	567:17	527:10 529:19	335:3 337:16
533:4,12	happened	529:22	337:21,23
535:17 536:19	328:4 392:20	harvest 481:4	338:5 339:8,12
537:12 538:13	426:1 453:9	hazelton	339:15,21
539:22 549:23	537:17 586:18	427:21	340:3,22
558:11 562:4,5	happening	head 398:19	343:12 349:8
563:7,20 582:7	386:1 398:12	481:1 534:20	349:13 352:25
590:11,17,18	happens	563:22 564:8	360:22 361:23
592:1 606:22	390:24 391:13	566:16 593:7	362:20 364:5
hailey's 490:4,9	416:17 418:20	headgate	366:23 367:1,6
493:8 494:6	419:11 436:8	399:23	367:10,12,17
562:10,22,25	438:14 473:24	headgates	367:24 368:7
half 398:20,23	513:6 578:12	419:7	369:24 370:1
405:12 502:1	happy 528:8	heading 485:3	370:14 379:8
502:12 505:17		493:24 494:1	379:15 381:1

[hearing - holder]

202 12 17	500 17 10 22	501 10 500 11	(10.00, (10.0, (
382:13,17	500:17,19,23	591:12 592:11	610:20 613:2,6
384:23 390:2,3	500:25 501:9	592:15,17	heo 319:16
390:5 393:14	505:22 517:1	593:6,10,25	hesitant 374:2
394:2 400:12	521:15,17,21	594:10 595:4,7	hey 376:4
400:19,22,25	523:23 524:2,6	599:8 604:14	heyburn
401:3 410:11	524:10,13,16	606:17 607:17	468:12
410:15 411:25	524:18 525:10	607:20 608:1,5	hi 399:7 402:3
413:12,17	525:13,18,24	608:9,12 609:2	higgs 346:6
414:1,7 420:9	526:13 527:8	609:10,18,21	high 471:9
429:19 430:2,6	527:12,16,17	609:24 610:8	479:13,17
432:20 438:17	527:21,23,25	610:11,16	505:2
438:22,24	528:5,9,14,18	611:13,20,23	higher 346:22
439:2,7 441:18	528:23 529:1,5	612:1,9,17,20	497:4 515:1
441:21,25	529:13,20	613:5,7,18	highly 370:9
442:5,8,8,11,16	530:2,18,23	614:1,6,10	hill 532:10
442:18,24	531:4,11,15,18	heart 374:10	535:8,10
443:3,16,22	531:21,23	596:25 597:1	612:24
444:4,8,16,20	533:7,15 534:3	heather 319:13	hinder 331:20
445:5 453:12	534:8,14,16,20	610:24	hindering
453:17 454:23	534:23 535:5,9	held 347:5	482:7
457:8 458:22	535:12 543:15	488:23	hired 536:19
460:9,11,23	543:18 544:11	hello 399:6	historic 390:17
463:11,15,19	544:14,18	402:4 445:14	historical 390:8
463:23 464:7,8	547:8,11,18,22	501:2,3	history 326:7
464:11,13,25	548:14,20	help 476:16	340:13
465:3,6,9,12,25	550:4 553:11	516:14 520:13	hit 325:9 391:2
466:3,18,23,25	553:13 554:8	520:16 523:21	hold 360:12
467:3,7,10,16	555:5 558:16	531:9	391:15 395:9
468:2,5,10,11	559:11,12	helpful 464:15	395:10 439:5
468:14,17,20	560:11 561:14	516:4	454:25 534:11
469:2,14,18,21	564:18,20,23	helps 514:9	holden 317:11
470:6,10,13	565:2 566:12	hendricks	holdenlegal.c
483:12,20	579:24 580:2	319:6 534:22	317:15
486:2,4,8,10,13	584:25 585:3	544:8,13,16	holder 372:9
486:22 488:16	589:16,19	609:17 610:2,6	375:16,17,20

[holder - idwr]

388:10,11	huge 509:4	343:19,25	508:3,18 509:8
406:17 409:18	huh 383:15	345:3 348:22	511:9,25
425:24	389:11 398:24	348:23 349:4	512:15 513:2
holders 350:23	403:25 406:21	350:5 354:1,13	513:23 514:2,9
376:12 392:18	408:23	354:21 355:7	515:9 516:12
411:25	human 421:7	355:12 356:12	517:11 518:21
holding 396:7	hundred 583:7	356:13,22	519:1,4,12,21
452:8 480:23	hundreds	358:8 361:1	520:9 521:4
holds 337:1	383:10,23	362:5,13,22	522:11 525:9
home 325:22	394:21 409:9	363:8,16	615:24
460:19 461:9	hundredths	364:14 365:12	idaho's 522:4
461:20 462:11	346:22	365:14,17,20	idea 423:2
homeowner	hunt 499:20	365:23 368:12	436:17 463:25
460:16	hydraulic	372:24 378:4	560:17
homes 405:19	552:3 555:15	381:14 383:3	ideas 485:24
460:5,13	555:23 575:11	383:10 393:11	identified
hope 366:2	hydraulically	393:19 394:20	341:12 344:4
432:5	332:12	394:24 395:22	428:7 467:21
hopefully	hydrogeologic	399:16 400:2	492:5 532:8
613:20	551:14	415:24 416:8	534:18 556:22
horizon 515:13	hydrologic	419:19 424:13	569:24 570:2
horse 579:21	552:5	425:4 426:3,11	identify 348:12
hose 460:20	hydrologist	426:16 427:7	465:16 522:5
582:23	576:10	427:22 429:3	535:22 544:22
hour 513:7	i	430:11 433:6	544:25
hourly 489:11	idaho 315:2,19	445:10 454:13	identifying
hours 436:18	315:21 316:6,8	468:7 470:9	521:9
451:14	316:11,22	471:15 484:20	idwr 326:10
house 460:2,8	317:7,9,14,14	487:4 501:13	335:9 344:12
489:18 500:13	317:23 318:18	501:14,20,22	358:8,15
household	318:20,24	502:1,4,11,17	368:23 369:12
448:18	319:9,15,22	503:12,18	369:15,23,25
housekeeping	325:14,23	504:20 505:2	370:2 371:6
463:22 464:1,3	330:2,6,10	506:8,13	372:5,11,15,16
532:6	341:21,23	507:19,22	372:18 373:15

[idwr - inappropriate]

	I	I	
373:16,24	549:16,19	536:7 538:3,17	implementing
374:15,22	552:10 554:6	539:11 540:15	483:1
375:3,25 376:6	554:22 555:3	540:19,21	implication
376:18 377:3	558:11 559:12	541:3,15,15	420:20 571:19
377:22,23	562:9 563:8,9	542:21 545:2	implications
412:20,24	564:25 568:17	546:16,17	572:23
426:12 428:11	568:20,22,24	547:2,4,15,21	implied 348:22
430:17 489:14	569:7,9,11,15	548:25 550:1	importance
506:9 519:1,16	569:19 572:11	553:13,15	450:6 457:13
520:25 537:4	573:19 574:25	554:1 558:2	important
538:22 572:9	575:2,3,15	559:17 561:9	415:25 539:17
idwr's 412:14	576:13 577:2	565:12 569:23	539:20 548:17
460:1	579:20 580:8	570:11,12,21	imported 342:6
idwr.idaho.gov	580:22 581:1,8	571:11 573:22	impose 372:5
316:12	582:15,18,20	575:11,22	374:22 383:2
igwa 346:10	584:19 585:14	576:3 578:3	401:19 412:24
ii 315:9	585:23 586:3,7	579:5,6 580:6	497:8
illustrate	586:21,23	581:13,13,17	imposed 375:2
434:21 441:13	587:9,14,18	583:3 587:5	390:4
illustrative	588:1,11	589:7 590:12	imposing
417:1 441:20	589:10,11	590:19,20,20	333:22
503:17	592:7 599:24	591:6 599:5	impossible
imagine 354:11	600:21 601:9	603:3 605:11	395:8
521:11	603:2,4,8,11,12	607:6,7	improve
immaterial	603:17 604:22	impairments	356:24 457:18
422:25 573:6	604:25 605:25	515:24	458:9
immediately	607:9	implement	improvements
351:17 504:14	impacted 422:2	373:1 409:14	506:17
512:16	551:8 574:9,13	433:7	inaccuracies
impact 347:18	575:9	implementati	443:8
532:2 537:2	impacting	551:15	inaccurate
539:7,13 540:4	568:14	implemented	352:12
541:7 542:24	impacts 357:1	410:3 451:23	inappropriate
546:23 547:24	395:24 421:21	452:15	577:23
549:11,13,15	423:3 535:25		

[incentive - instantaneous]

incentive	increasing	indoor 435:9	infrastructure
521:25	365:12 457:16	industrial	515:4
incident 523:11	increasingly	377:21,23,25	infrequent
include 407:19	415:3,4 419:18	378:4,25 379:3	505:3
443:11 448:13	420:5 428:19	399:14,16	initial 418:4
502:25	incurred 521:9	473:19 504:7	initially 508:14
included	independent	industry	initiated
363:13 382:18	351:19 394:1	485:20	376:11
393:5 416:10	509:14	infiltrate	injure 344:24
443:9 492:2	index 346:5,23	492:10	injury 333:17
510:1 526:4	indian 489:21	infiltration	333:22 351:19
554:4 585:20	496:7,9,10,13	440:17 446:15	368:24 395:16
includes 503:19	indicate 336:21	447:5,13,17	415:11 423:10
including	359:20	450:10 454:4	452:20 462:2
332:13 336:6	indicated	458:8,10	462:21 549:24
397:7,9 433:4	331:13 337:21	479:15 490:11	579:10
532:3 586:22	355:11 357:20	491:5	input 342:22
inconvenience	358:1 592:18	inflow 490:21	485:15 490:13
379:16	indicates	506:23	inputs 348:14
incorrect	348:16 510:10	inflows 490:14	348:18 349:2
380:23 603:6,7	indicating	491:7	362:10,14,21
increase 328:1	420:10 428:2	influxes 505:1	363:2,6,9
334:5 347:14	488:17 532:14	inform 448:16	431:10 439:10
365:3,14,17	indication	information	490:7
381:18 416:2	327:12 369:5	326:21 329:9	inquiries 377:6
419:14 450:5,6	429:2	339:5 342:11	inside 378:10
450:13,16,18	indirect 348:2	343:4 344:25	526:19
457:13,14	353:18 428:18	345:10 347:6	inspections
567:3,6 607:8	429:1	361:19 418:2	471:25
increased	individual	436:19 465:22	installation
347:18 364:23	378:25 379:2	472:5 503:1	403:11 448:8
395:16	447:25 461:7	507:15 508:1	installing
increases	488:7	519:2 609:14	447:25
382:22 428:10	individually	informed	instantaneous
520:3	526:9	356:20	478:2

[institute - issues]

institute 451:17	interrupt	irrigated	island 522:20
instituted	613:21	436:13,24	issuance 324:7
424:16	interrupted	451:12 456:5	512:5
integration	397:8	516:8	issue 332:22
546:12,12,25	intervene	irrigation	341:3 349:1
intended	455:10	316:13,14,14	352:24 365:5
431:20 575:22	intimately	318:14 328:10	369:15 380:25
intending	488:6	329:16,16	384:1 389:15
571:24	introduction	330:14 336:6	391:10 409:8
intent 327:1	531:16 591:17	336:10,14,18	442:17 467:1
347:24 356:25	introductions	339:2 351:15	469:7 513:20
424:1	525:5	376:25 377:3,9	515:25 519:11
intention	involve 425:9	377:11 381:16	520:24 524:16
351:16,23	involved	389:13,20	526:21,25
interaction	403:19,21	394:22 395:2	527:9 529:24
551:16,21	414:22 455:11	399:20 405:12	530:5,6,16
interconnected	471:21 484:23	405:25 447:15	531:6,25 534:9
333:9	492:25 509:24	447:16 451:16	534:12 535:15
interest 347:13	530:24 585:21	460:18 473:21	542:8 553:10
406:10 463:6	585:24	476:23 481:23	553:15 554:2
interested	involvement	484:4 496:19	557:25 572:19
587:4 615:13	472:1	498:7 499:22	581:24 586:20
interesting	involves 385:24	500:13 507:3	586:20 587:2,8
530:1 541:17	578:8	516:19 556:18	issued 376:11
interim 375:7	iona 466:10	556:20,21	381:4 391:10
internally	512:16	559:13,19	507:20 509:1
511:22,23	ipdes 407:14,22	561:1,7 570:14	517:2 578:23
interpret	411:2 446:17	575:23 576:3	590:4
574:15	454:8 458:15	576:20 582:9,9	issues 344:6
interpretation	521:6	587:17,24	352:20 360:6
405:18	irrelevant	588:6,7 601:14	379:9 396:8
interpreted	571:1	irrigators	401:5 469:6
537:8 546:7	irrigate 377:9	603:15	507:23 514:17
558:6 592:6	389:6 460:19	ish 499:15	520:4 522:12
	461:8 571:18		523:17 530:14

[issues - know]

	I	I	I
531:2,21	573:4 577:15	jurisdiction	356:8 358:21
534:15 536:5	579:18 586:12	333:9	358:25 363:16
isu 503:10	589:1 590:24	justification	370:23 383:22
italicized	609:14 612:22	344:1	421:6,9 422:10
537:11,13	jennifer's	justify 428:11	503:17 512:4
551:9	543:1 545:23	481:21	535:3 568:2
italics 536:14	546:14 555:1	k	569:10 576:19
537:6 592:3	575:12 579:18	k 321:5 322:9	577:3,15
item 380:2	jerome 334:10	413:19,21	578:10 581:24
418:12	336:24 337:9	414:4 533:10	585:14
items 363:3	jerry 319:7	533:24	kindred 532:11
510:24 511:2	job 357:24	kaiser 532:10	545:4 612:25
511:21,22	383:17 394:11	535:6 612:23	kinds 539:9
ivan 468:11	johnson 321:11	kaiser's 535:2	575:11 578:8
\mathbf{j}	444:10 445:1,9	keep 329:22	580:14
j8 337:10	445:14 453:21	463:6 490:11	klahn 318:8
james 320:19	455:7 459:4	keeping 524:19	know 327:24
367:22 368:1	468:6	kendra 532:10	328:25 347:8
368:12 379:22	joining 469:17	535:5 612:23	351:1 352:1,19
402:3,16	486:11	613:8	355:18 356:2
406:25 407:18	joint 530:6	kent 318:16	356:17,19
430:13 433:5	jrigby 319:10	349:9 442:13	358:19 363:8
443:5	july 345:5	453:21 484:3	365:9,15 374:7
jaxon 346:6	536:1 559:24	kept 349:4	374:9 375:23
jeff 315:24	560:2,3,9,15,18	362:12,22	376:11 378:8
466:1 615:2,21	560:25 561:20	480:6	381:7 383:8,18
jefferson	564:12 579:23	ketchum	384:22 385:5,7
316:20	589:1 599:19	319:15 407:10	387:19 388:2,3
jennifer 469:5	jump 370:19	563:13 564:1	388:11 390:10
532:9,17 537:4	380:1 421:9	key 482:6	394:3,10
537:22 554:5	june 560:2,12	552:21	395:21 397:2
558:15,16	junior 333:17	keyed 432:15	403:16,20
559:3,21	352:11 386:10	kidwell 317:11	404:1 405:19
564:10 565:12	462:2	kind 328:25	408:24 411:5
567:13 569:20		329:4 330:24	411:12,22

[know - lawrence]

412.670	520.14.520.4	-	lon dasseries -
413:6,7,9	529:14 530:4	1	landscaping
414:22 415:6,7	538:19 539:13	l 317:5,12	507:4
415:9,9,22,23	541:18 542:10	lab 511:18	language
416:4,5,6,16,17	542:14 543:9	labeled 326:1	335:18,20,21
416:19 417:8	543:19 549:2,5	327:4 347:2	337:3,6 341:10
417:13,14,15	549:16 552:12	labs 511:23	380:6 404:16
417:15,19,22	553:9,21	lag 379:11	518:22 538:25
417:24,25,25	555:23 556:4,8	lagoon 449:7	551:10 553:4
418:8,11,12,12	556:24 557:3	480:23 481:6	555:9 574:17
418:14,15,17	558:4,20 560:9	lamar 315:24	large 329:17
418:24 419:6,8	562:6,21 563:4	615:2,21	330:12 455:25
419:9,18,19,20	563:15,21,24	lament 415:19	488:9 514:3
419:21,21,22	563:24 564:5,7	land 328:1	598:12
420:1,2 421:1	565:14,15,16	411:15 421:10	larger 399:16
421:1,4,11,14	565:20 566:9	426:20,22	600:24
421:25 422:8	566:15,20,22	428:5 432:9	laski 319:12
422:21,23	569:14 572:22	440:6,16	late 459:21
423:1,4,4,6,8	574:12,18	446:16,23	latimer 319:19
423:10,11,24	578:15 580:7	447:4,8,22	law 316:17
424:3,8,21	580:13 582:18	450:13,17	317:4 318:15
425:2,13,19	582:22 588:20	454:1 456:4,13	319:5 327:8
426:25 428:5	601:7 603:13	456:22,23	383:3 400:2
428:13 429:5	604:24,25	457:20 458:5	415:11 423:22
431:18 432:9	605:1,5,6,8	480:16 481:6	456:12 457:5
438:2,3,4	606:4 611:8	481:23 485:6	law.com 319:10
456:2,15,17	613:10	487:20 491:1	lawfully 351:18
458:3 464:10	knowledge	494:25 495:1,3	lawrence
465:18 479:7	363:23 392:6	495:11 500:1,8	318:22 320:17
479:18 482:25	473:8,9	513:24 514:19	320:22 321:23
485:23 487:10	known 378:6	514:24 582:10	322:18 364:7
487:12 488:3,8	knows 596:4	582:25	364:10,12
500:7 505:15	koester 504:15	landowners	366:22 399:2,5
506:13 514:5		456:8	400:14 401:6
522:9,22 523:2		landscape	401:22 467:22
523:4 528:22		415:15	467:24 468:4

[lawrence - llp]

487:5,8 488:19	legally 344:7	lifespan 377:10	linkage 567:25
497:25 524:23	legislature	378:13 380:12	568:2,20
524:25 525:17	416:1 433:14	lift 474:11	liquid 481:6
530:13 533:3	433:21 521:2	504:10	list 361:7
543:13 544:2,4	lengthy 482:16	light 530:14	467:17 468:21
550:25 593:4	level 346:8,16	likely 370:9,19	488:23 496:4
606:20,21,24	348:17 355:17	420:15 450:5	503:20,24
607:16 610:18	356:10 364:19	457:13 601:14	532:9,12
lawson 319:12	380:17 384:7,8	limit 389:16	listed 510:21
lawsonlaski.c	384:9 475:14	390:4,7 391:2	510:22 592:2
319:16	583:13	475:23 580:15	lists 428:4
lawsuit 455:11	levels 371:25	limitation	litigation
lay 442:20	384:6 450:7	389:3	343:13
444:10 463:24	457:23 458:16	limitations	little 319:3
467:12,17	555:11	331:20 451:9	328:22 374:13
468:23 469:3	levi 321:18	482:6 528:15	380:1 382:25
469:16 487:21	467:13,19	528:17 572:10	439:15 448:23
524:15	469:17,20	572:15 592:8	527:3,13 529:3
lead 496:24	470:1,8	limited 390:16	530:13,14
612:22	lewiston 333:4	450:17 451:11	531:15 532:5
leader 340:8	333:14	494:25	537:2 538:18
leading 591:21	license 471:15	limits 373:2,2,3	540:9 544:11
leads 612:20	503:11	407:6 476:16	547:4 548:12
learned 373:23	licensed 373:21	482:10,14	552:22 553:14
lease 382:1	375:13 487:19	531:5	555:6 559:18
leased 381:23	licenses 369:15	line 418:11	560:20 572:4
leave 351:14	373:21 471:13	422:25 423:1,3	575:23 581:2
449:13 469:1	503:9	423:5,7,11	582:9 586:4
527:16	licensing 375:9	437:15,16	590:14
led 356:9	376:3 383:5	440:3 546:16	live 368:12
left 440:21	392:13	lines 433:13	415:15
legal 356:9	life 523:12	548:7	living 394:24
400:10 456:14	lifeblood	lingering	llc 317:16
457:7 572:10	415:24	568:20 590:20	llp 316:17
572:15,22			317:4 318:21

[local - magic]

	I	T	1
local 424:23	576:16 580:6	556:18,19	lots 500:12
512:11 522:11	look 326:25	559:16 566:11	loud 602:15
522:13	328:21 333:4	571:11 576:13	low 479:7,8
locally 421:21	345:1 346:3	looks 384:4	lower 555:11
located 504:13	356:4 364:5	404:12 447:16	lowest 346:23
538:13 565:16	386:24 404:11	477:7 515:12	lows 475:21
location 315:19	409:21 410:2	578:12	lre 340:5
411:6 421:21	432:21 459:17	losing 563:12	lunch 442:21
563:5,11	459:20 464:20	563:16,19,23	444:12 463:25
locations	466:24 505:24	563:23 564:2,3	469:13 613:11
332:14 555:22	508:5 515:23	loss 353:15	613:20
logan 414:5	516:13 518:17	434:4 435:9,23	m
533:25	523:7 535:3	436:2 492:5	m 317:20,21
logging 511:1	536:12 543:5	493:4	319:20
logs 609:5	561:10 576:2	losses 434:11	machine
long 330:22	576:16 584:1	435:20 448:14	379:10
334:3 373:14	looked 358:25	491:23 492:2,7	mackey 468:17
444:14,17	365:4 409:9	492:10,14,17	made 327:23
467:18 470:25	511:3 513:23	493:8,13,16	423:9 424:19
471:4,16,23	520:2 556:17	500:15	425:13,21
482:22 495:15	558:17 598:3	lost 353:15	485:14 515:21
501:24 506:13	601:2 608:2	499:18	521:23 538:24
508:13,17	looking 324:11	lot 342:12,25	548:7 549:18
515:8 541:2	333:13,14	343:2,13	558:16 560:13
542:24 545:9	385:20 404:6	348:14 355:16	568:12,18,21
545:11 547:3	404:14 405:1	355:24 356:5,8	569:6 580:11
547:24 562:14	410:5 422:14	356:11 378:21	580:20,21
562:17,20	426:8 428:1	394:13,25	584:16 585:14
576:1 580:9	450:3 481:8	398:11 415:22	585:22 586:6
581:8 595:16	485:21 495:4	434:12 451:12	591:20 608:23
613:11	496:4 499:11	464:18 465:22	610:23 615:6
longer 541:13	510:2,24	475:21 479:14	magic 532:3
541:16 542:2,9	523:21 532:6	482:2,19,20	552:20 586:22
542:11,25	544:2,20	497:1 515:20	586:24 587:10
561:23 571:5	545:25 546:8	530:21 609:3	588:8,11,15,20

[magic - mchugh]

	I	I	I
589:11	573:5 576:13	marker 385:1	549:4,6 550:15
mail 467:12	606:6	marten 316:17	552:2,4,15
513:10	manage 343:4	317:4	553:2 556:17
main 319:21	351:11	martenlaw.com	563:6 573:15
487:3 592:4	management	316:23,24	576:6,7,18
596:5	315:5 324:9	317:8	577:25,25
maintain 463:7	341:20 342:14	mass 527:14	matters 353:7
major 490:10	344:17 345:16	mat 315:17	548:6 573:8
505:1	347:14 352:24	324:2	578:20
majority 363:4	356:20 403:4	match 371:2	max 349:20
476:9 507:7	427:18 428:10	material 425:1	maximilian
make 331:16	452:25 480:15	515:17 574:20	318:7
345:7 356:11	523:22 532:1	574:24 575:2,3	maximize
359:13 368:22	551:16,18	576:13 578:16	415:9
374:11 375:8	552:19 553:5	588:24 603:2,4	maximum
377:6 380:14	555:19	603:12 604:22	377:5,5,12,13
387:13 416:1,2	manager	604:25 605:6,7	377:18 399:24
417:10 418:24	373:19 378:2	605:8	400:2,15
420:2,3 423:25	384:19 394:12	materially	401:17 459:20
433:15 435:1	managers	574:9,13 575:9	497:1
443:10,20,22	488:1	603:2	mayor 444:10
449:4 481:17	mandates	math 480:4	445:16 451:17
488:4 514:6,14	520:19	mathematically	463:16
524:14 558:10	manholes	491:25	mbricker
568:25 569:8	479:16	matter 315:4,7	318:11
572:8 573:7,9	manifest 536:8	324:3 423:6	mccall 330:11
580:16,17,19	manner 428:7	439:8 479:24	330:20,20,25
582:1,3 601:16	manners 553:7	480:12 485:13	mccall's 330:19
606:8	march 531:23	518:7 528:7,9	mccracken
makes 352:11	mark 429:24	536:22 537:1,4	468:11
395:5,7	430:4 443:13	537:9,18	mchugh 317:19
making 331:21	marked 322:21	538:24 539:20	317:20 320:7
356:21 371:7	323:5 340:10	540:1,18	320:16 321:12
386:12 414:23	444:2	541:20 542:5	321:16 322:10
419:22 454:25		542:13 548:21	322:13,17

[mchugh - mentioned]

328:19 331:4	mchughbrom	meant 355:15	mechanical
337:25 362:2	317:24,25	362:23 539:23	546:12,24
364:4 365:25	mcvay 345:10	570:20,23	mechanism
442:23,24	mcvay's 346:18	571:2 586:10	419:14,17
444:8,9,18	mean 331:15	measurable	424:4
445:13 453:11	352:19 372:15	605:2	mechanisms
456:14 457:7	385:6 405:4	measure 358:1	431:6 516:14
459:10 460:24	409:20 415:2	362:12 386:21	meet 351:10
461:2 463:10	415:19,21	387:6 426:21	459:15 475:12
527:1 528:3,8	416:15,25	426:23 445:20	476:16 483:6
528:10,13,17	419:2,6 425:10	446:5,9 447:19	587:23
528:19,22,25	425:17 428:14	453:22 454:1	meeting 324:3
529:7,10,12	434:24 436:13	477:23 506:21	345:4
530:1,8,10,15	437:11 457:15	512:18	meetings 472:3
530:20 531:1	461:25 462:4	measured	members 397:3
532:22,23,24	480:4 499:23	330:19 416:21	398:2 436:17
533:20 534:3	523:10 528:17	477:17 506:14	membrane
534:24 535:7	539:6 542:3,10	547:13	449:13 494:18
535:10,13	548:21 552:1,6	measurement	memo 565:12
543:17,19,22	553:8 555:21	341:19 346:16	572:9,14
543:24 544:6	558:21 570:16	348:17 417:8	608:13,20,21
544:19 547:10	574:8,19 575:4	484:16 510:7	608:25
547:23 550:3	575:8 579:1,14	measurements	memorandum
558:21 589:22	583:14,19	330:24 342:13	538:2
590:2 591:10	592:6 609:4	345:7 426:18	mention 427:20
591:15 592:10	611:7	454:7 478:1	507:19 515:9
593:8 604:20	meaning 370:7	484:9	516:4 610:24
606:16 608:3,7	371:8 373:2,7	measures	mentioned
608:15 609:23	411:10 447:8	451:18 477:20	354:17 360:2
610:10,22	means 332:7	506:22 523:9	372:19 388:2
611:15,18,21	385:17 420:4	measuring	421:13 425:18
611:25 612:3,6	513:15 514:4	403:11 416:16	427:11 435:8
612:14,19	523:15 574:13	416:20 419:7	437:15 452:1
613:24	600:13 603:14	484:8,12	453:22 484:7
		510:13	496:6 507:21

[mentioned - mitigation]

510:25 514:1	596:18	minute 337:18	605:20
517:16 518:15	midway 505:25	379:12 442:25	mitigated
572:8,24	mike 345:10	527:4 593:19	389:21 549:12
meridian	346:18 364:11	593:22 602:13	581:13 582:2
317:17	533:3 606:21	minutes 365:25	582:14 584:20
met 488:4	miles 488:12	444:19 593:2	607:11,12
metals 511:2	504:9 563:10	mischaracteri	mitigates 353:9
meter 447:21	563:10	380:20 427:25	mitigating
460:16 480:7	miller 532:11	misconstrue	453:1 549:16
metering 448:3	535:1 544:2,3	557:21	579:6 581:16
meters 447:25	544:8 545:4	misdemeanor	582:17 590:11
448:4,8 506:23	610:18 611:11	461:11	mitigation
512:21	612:24	misinformed	333:18 350:10
method 331:14	miller's 582:12	423:2	354:1 370:23
441:14 448:21	million 449:12	missed 526:12	371:1,5,9,24
448:22,22	476:2 478:24	missing 468:22	374:3 375:15
477:13 481:10	504:22 505:9	609:15	375:24 376:2,4
546:22 606:4	517:17 523:13	misspoke	376:13,15
methodology	523:13	352:17	377:4,15
355:23 513:25	milner 316:14	misunderstand	381:22 382:3,8
515:6 541:19	mind 325:12	355:14	382:10,15,21
545:16 576:18	371:12 429:19	misunderstan	382:21 384:11
576:25 578:1	505:16 550:19	423:22	385:25 387:8
methods	590:14	mitigate 332:5	392:19 408:12
327:13 416:9	mine 528:6	334:3 377:20	410:2,3 415:13
494:13,15	minidoka	396:12 397:1	418:12 432:13
495:9 545:16	318:14 484:4	422:4 451:1	432:14,16
545:20	minimal 564:11	458:6 549:8,24	452:10 453:4
metro 360:11	minimis 548:1	549:25 569:1	463:8 539:15
360:16	548:4,7,10	573:10,11,14	568:15 571:10
michael 318:22	minor 476:22	573:15,16,17	572:11,15
midafternoon	495:4	573:18 579:4,5	573:18,21,24
469:4	minuscule	579:9 580:22	574:2,22
middle 440:21	477:3	581:22 582:6	580:12,21
515:10 536:15		583:3,9 590:18	581:3 582:3

[mitigation - multiple]

	ı	I	
602:25 605:13	modflow 539:9	341:10 343:20	413:18,19
model 395:13	modify 558:4	344:15 350:11	414:13 423:14
395:20,23,23	moment 391:2	352:4 363:24	444:23 448:23
538:7,7 539:5	610:10	403:3,4 405:5	452:6,7 519:15
539:8,11	monday 529:3	407:6 408:21	592:20 614:9
541:13,21	529:10	408:22 464:18	motion 367:12
542:18 543:1	money 482:20	464:22,24	607:20 609:18
545:10 546:5	483:9 485:18	465:7 517:24	motions 525:4
546:18 547:16	monitor 343:17	526:19 535:25	motivated
549:19 552:8,8	419:9	536:7,18	512:23
552:9 554:11	monitoring	537:16 538:25	mouse 401:25
555:7 558:10	361:5 374:22	539:21 545:2	move 367:5
559:22,22	458:15 510:4	548:15,20,21	395:22 410:10
560:16,21,24	513:11	555:10 557:13	420:6 429:11
561:4,18	monitors	557:19,20	441:16 482:17
564:12 567:13	447:21 448:6	574:5 578:5,11	513:20 524:4
575:12,13	month 398:11	578:11,13,22	524:11 525:11
576:2 577:7,11	398:21 491:17	578:23 579:1	525:17,23
577:14,15,17	491:20 520:10	583:4 590:4	526:10,24
577:18,19,21	520:13 600:14	591:4,6,20	532:4
580:7 581:7	601:8	602:21	moved 334:12
590:24 599:9	monthly 350:1	moratoriums	movement
599:14,19,23	417:9 424:13	341:4 350:13	356:19
600:14 601:22	475:21 478:2,7	morning 324:1	moving 344:8
605:2,24	478:15 489:12	324:22,23	387:23 395:18
modeled 396:8	490:22 491:18	325:18 328:20	mpl 318:25
modeling 396:6	499:5 507:25	331:11,12	muddled
537:3 540:14	513:12	334:22,23	590:14
540:14,18	months 560:2	338:12,13	mulching
551:15 558:7	562:8,19	339:12,14	474:16
578:25 579:2	599:20 600:20	340:3 349:18	multimedia
586:3 609:6	602:1	349:19 355:6,9	476:14
models 539:10	moratorium	362:3 364:11	multiple 480:1
563:4	324:8,8,10	364:15 368:17	584:1
	334:6,13 341:2	379:22 410:24	

[multiyear - never]

multiyear	487:24 488:24	470:7 486:24	400:25 423:9
545:12 576:1	488:25 489:4	487:11 501:10	428:14 433:14
municipal	489:18 490:9	501:12 533:21	437:13,14
327:9 328:2	492:25 496:5	533:24 594:12	442:15 455:14
329:8,12	501:6 503:19	name's 324:2	462:7,25
331:23 334:3	503:23 504:2	nampa 328:8	465:18 485:13
341:3 342:1,23	506:9 516:11	336:15	489:20 495:2,5
343:21 344:9	516:18 517:3	nampa's 328:5	524:7,11 535:1
349:4 352:4,25	518:9,14,22	nap 613:12	535:2 539:14
355:13 356:3	519:3 526:6,18	narrow 557:25	539:15 571:7
358:9,16	573:15 583:8	nationwide	571:20 576:12
359:10 360:20	584:10 590:5	347:6	580:10 582:2
362:11 364:24	municipalities	natural 325:22	583:9 585:12
365:3,6,13,16	329:11,13	328:9 386:17	593:1 605:23
365:19,22	335:8 338:15	386:18 415:3	611:5,11
366:7 368:24	338:17 342:3	416:6 420:16	612:15,23
376:18,25	344:10 362:11	420:21 587:18	needed 394:17
377:16 378:14	363:18,20	589:10	415:23,25
378:16,23	411:21 419:14	nature 436:15	416:3 435:5
379:1,4 380:5	502:18	513:21 539:9	447:12 451:18
382:23 386:4	municipality	near 601:25	451:21 490:1
393:6 395:2	379:6 392:7	nearby 423:5	509:5 576:20
399:8,14,17	394:16 509:14	485:7,22 563:9	605:20
403:15 406:6	municipality's	necessarily	needs 425:17
406:15 413:23	347:16 429:6	358:17 360:1	433:15 500:14
420:15 422:12	n	384:21 417:20	520:5 529:14
422:16 426:12	n 320:1,3 321:1	419:2 423:7	negotiations
427:6,9,23	321:3 322:1,3	425:17 437:11	456:18
428:11,19	323:2	necessary	neighborhood
445:2 450:4	name 325:6,7	348:18 363:12	522:17
455:17 457:11	339:22,23	422:4 425:14	net 331:23
457:12 464:17	364:11 368:8	459:13 463:1	334:5 347:18
465:1,2,4,4	402:11 414:3,4	584:3	491:20
470:2 472:19	445:7 466:9,10	need 343:3	never 330:17
473:13 486:19	466:10,11,13	354:23 367:19	375:22 389:24

[never - o'clock]

	I	I	I
462:19 497:18	nonconsumpt	notices 370:8	375:14 384:23
554:12 584:12	517:8	424:22	397:19 409:2
584:15	nonrevenue	notification	409:17 440:8
new 334:4	499:19	386:25 388:5	443:24,25
343:21 358:9	nontributary	424:20,25	508:20 509:4
358:16 359:10	342:5 424:10	513:7	511:21 519:25
380:4 383:20	norman 319:20	notified 388:16	524:6 526:6
387:11 390:7	north 316:15	notify 350:2	543:6,20
396:4 398:14	319:8 334:11	424:18	550:24 564:18
399:19 415:10	337:9 470:9	notifying	565:7 582:11
420:15 424:6	512:15 538:11	521:10	595:8 609:9
426:12 455:19	538:12,20,23	nov 392:7	numbered
455:21 456:4	546:16 561:22	412:16	564:24
483:6 485:8	599:5,25	november	numbers
506:9 512:5	600:17 601:25	529:12 530:3	345:20 382:21
520:25 548:24	603:1	531:3,7	383:11 431:25
568:16 573:13	notary 315:25	npdes 360:5,15	432:13 435:12
578:13 582:17	615:22	361:1 407:14	435:18 440:23
582:18 583:6,7	note 385:1	407:21 409:1	441:8 454:12
583:7,7 584:7	609:3	409:17,23	465:19,19
584:10,13	notes 324:11	411:1,10	466:19 477:21
605:21 606:13	467:14	474:25 475:9	484:17,20
newly 503:2	nothing's	477:12 494:19	543:12 545:25
newspapers	520:17	506:18 507:18	546:1,3,14
424:23	notice 350:5	507:20 508:5	547:25 558:22
night 452:7,8	371:10 391:7,9	508:13 509:9	564:8 601:1
nitrate 458:16	391:11 424:24	510:19 513:4	numerical
nodding 534:20	464:5,9,16,23	515:15 521:6	545:23
non 334:12	465:17 526:18	523:8	nutrient 475:13
422:17 517:6	526:23 531:22	nsemanko	476:6
517:22 588:7	550:12 608:24	319:23	0
noncompliance	noticeable	nuances 374:10	o'clock 442:22
392:11,17	479:3	488:6	527:5,19
413:3,5	noticed 567:12	number 365:9	532:18 592:24
		372:21 375:11	613:10,16

[o'leary - officer]

o'leary 319:13	obligations	october 315:18	340:4,22 349:8
535:8 543:21	574:3	324:4 469:15	349:13 360:22
543:23 544:1,3	observation	529:25 561:2	361:23 364:5
544:5,7	502:14	561:20 615:15	366:23 367:1,6
object 611:25	observations	odd 451:13	367:10,12,17
612:1,13	331:2 378:2	452:5,6 496:25	367:24 368:7
objection	observed	offer 326:13	379:8,15 381:1
367:14,15	372:25 401:10	607:19 608:6,7	390:2,3,5
380:19 381:2	obtain 396:2	608:16 609:9	393:14 394:2
393:12 400:10	obtained	609:13 611:2	400:12,19,22
400:20,21	519:21	offered 327:3	400:25 401:3
410:11 430:20	obtaining	341:15	410:11,15
432:1 441:18	396:4 418:18	office 316:7,21	413:12,17
441:19,22	obviously	317:6,13	414:1,7 420:9
443:16,18	497:17 522:23	318:15,17,23	429:19 430:2,6
444:5,21	548:14	319:14 325:22	432:20 438:17
456:14 457:7	occasional	325:23 372:22	438:22,24
	479:13	375:10 378:8	· ·
525:13,18,24			439:2,7 441:18
591:9,12,13 592:25 593:21	occasionally 588:22	378:10,19,19 383:13 384:12	441:21,25
			442:5,8,11,16 442:24 443:3
607:22 608:8,9	occasions 479:17	384:18 385:7	
609:22,23		385:11 392:8	443:16,22
610:8,17	occupation 340:7	392:13,16	444:4,8,16,20
611:23 612:5,6		393:9,18 394:4	445:5 453:12
objection's	occur 377:18	397:13 398:8	453:17 454:23
394:2	387:9 401:19	411:4,17	457:8 458:22
objections	418:9 428:15	615:23	460:9,11,23
615:6	437:2	officer 315:17	463:11,15,19
obligated	occurred	322:7 324:1,3	463:23 464:7
327:10 353:21	391:20 438:2,4	324:21 325:5	464:13,25
obligation	occurring	326:15 328:15	465:3,6,9,12,25
432:13,14	428:7 429:2	331:5 335:3	466:3,18,23
536:25 548:24	434:22 437:6	337:16,21,23	467:3,7,10,16
568:15 572:25	occurs 436:24	338:5 339:8,12	468:2,5,11,14
573:14 605:13		339:15,21	468:17,20

[officer - okay]

469:2,14,19,21	604:14 606:17	336:17,23	445:17,20
470:6,10,13	607:17,20	337:8,22	446:5,17 447:1
483:12,20	608:1,5,9,12	338:21 339:21	447:6 449:10
486:2,4,8,10,13	609:2,10,18,21	340:23 346:25	449:14,20
486:22 488:16	609:24 610:8	349:6 352:18	450:2,21
500:17,19,23	610:11,16	353:1 355:25	451:19 452:11
500:25 501:9	611:13,20,23	357:4,24	452:19 453:2
505:22 521:15	612:1,9,17,20	358:18 359:7	453:11,17
521:17,21	613:5,7,18	360:2,2,19,25	454:12,15,23
523:23 524:2,6	614:1,6	361:3,12,23	457:9 460:1,5
524:10,13,18	officers 370:14	362:8 363:8,11	461:22 462:13
525:10,13,18	offices 522:13	363:15 364:1	462:23 464:1
525:24 526:13	official 464:5,9	366:4 367:10	464:12 465:3
527:8,12,16,21	464:16,23	367:24 368:7	465:11 466:3
528:5,9,14,18	503:4 526:17	368:22 369:1	467:16 468:2
528:23 529:1,5	526:22	381:1,3 382:18	468:14,20
529:13,20	offset 450:21	382:25 383:25	469:2,18,21
530:2,18,23	549:20 568:17	384:16,19,22	470:6 472:13
531:4,11,15,18	offsets 602:24	388:1 391:6	472:17 474:21
533:7,15 534:4	oftentimes	392:2,6 393:8	478:5 483:10
534:8,14,16,20	378:1 413:5	401:3 402:10	483:12,20
534:23 535:5	oh 337:22	402:19 403:3	484:19 485:1
535:12 543:15	354:22 360:8	404:11,16,16	486:1,2 488:13
543:18 544:11	364:7 374:17	404:25 405:7	488:18 489:6
544:14,18	402:10 439:5	405:11,17,22	491:13 492:4,7
547:8,11,18,22	454:25 494:3	406:4,5,13	492:13,20
550:4 561:14	529:10 530:1	414:1 419:13	494:5 496:14
564:18,20,23	543:5,10,24	421:17 429:18	496:17 498:9
565:2 579:24	544:3,5,7	429:19 430:6	498:15 499:2
580:2 584:25	562:17 570:1	433:11 437:10	499:25 500:16
585:3 589:16	602:11	437:15,21	501:21,24
589:19 591:12	okay 327:25	438:22,24	502:3,13,17
592:11,15,17	329:3,6,11,15	439:2,7 441:21	503:3,13,16
593:6,10,25	329:19 334:16	442:11 443:18	504:4,19
594:10 595:4,7	335:12,18,21	444:3,20	505:19 506:21

[okay - options]

507:6,15	567:19,21	open 357:4	571:1 576:17
508:11,17	570:1,3,5	383:20 384:3	589:6 599:24
510:2,17	571:13 572:14	398:13 439:9	600:2 603:11
511:13 512:13	572:18 574:4,8	495:12 529:14	604:4 605:9
512:23 513:2	577:21,23	543:4	607:1
514:11 516:3	578:5,10 579:3	operate 394:1	opinions 327:3
516:22 517:14	584:4,25	452:5 488:3	327:7 328:23
518:7,12,21	586:19 587:12	522:25	341:2,9 534:6
519:1,14	588:17,23	operated	548:19 550:18
524:18 525:10	589:19 590:22	360:11 425:6	550:19 595:11
526:13,16	591:3,16,18	473:11,13	603:22,25
527:21 528:5,9	592:11,12,14	operating	606:25 611:21
528:13 529:5	595:25 596:3	509:2 522:22	opponent
529:10,14	596:15,19	523:19	336:14
530:9 531:4	597:18 598:3	operation	opportunities
533:7 534:3,14	598:25 599:21	450:5	450:17 457:21
535:12,14,21	600:18,25	operations	463:8
535:22 536:9	601:2,7 602:12	457:13 481:17	opportunity
536:16 537:5	602:16,19	570:14	343:23 375:11
537:10,15	603:18,25	operator 480:6	429:16 458:5
540:6,12,25	604:3 605:9	opinion 330:25	527:22 528:2
544:19 545:7	606:16,17	341:12,14	oppose 342:24
545:19 547:7	608:5 609:2	342:21 344:3	opposed 457:25
547:23 548:11	612:1 613:5,7	347:2 351:13	463:25 513:20
549:8 550:21	once 333:13	355:20 359:11	opposers
551:9,13 552:5	504:15 512:6	359:17,21,25	425:15
552:17 555:8	598:20	364:22 365:13	opposite
557:16 558:7	one's 402:7	365:16,19,22	358:13 359:25
558:24 559:3,7	ones 360:10	376:22 409:16	420:25
559:21 560:9	384:14 466:15	422:23 433:5	opposition
561:10 562:14	ongoing 343:16	433:11 519:4	341:8 593:16
562:18,24	online 495:18	520:15 539:18	option 450:22
564:14 565:9	508:1	539:21 542:23	options 394:18
565:20 566:4	ooo 614:11	545:15,19	396:1 446:15
566:25 567:10		548:2 553:21	481:25 482:3,5

[options - page]

485:22	ordinance	overall 346:21	own 369:12
oral 529:2,7	461:12	356:25 390:7	511:17 550:13
order 324:7,9	ordinances	401:14 458:5	573:4
324:10 332:21	451:10 452:2	518:7 547:2	owned 425:6
333:2 343:20	456:22	overappropri	473:22
344:4,12,15	original 351:14	347:12 352:9	owner 395:9
376:24 379:25	352:7 370:8,19	415:3	ownership
401:8 417:2	403:4 422:3	overarching	523:21
433:8 467:11	429:22	431:6	owns 480:18
472:12 509:9	originally	overcome	p
511:19 514:14	330:12 518:1	330:16	p 316:18
517:2,24	529:19	overlapping	318:22
531:21,23	ought 541:20	395:4	p.l.l.c. 317:11
536:7,18	outcomes	overly 342:21	p.m. 451:15
537:16 538:24	523:18	overmitigate	469:15 531:7
538:25 539:21	outdoor 435:10	396:17,20	614:10
547:6 548:16	451:9,21	420:2,3	page 320:5,12
555:10 557:13	500:13	overmitigated	321:5,11 322:5
557:19,20	outflow 506:14	581:10	335:1,7,10,24
574:5,17	506:22,24	overmitigating	341:11 345:20
576:25 578:5	outflows	581:2,6,17,18	346:24 349:23
578:11,13,23	506:18	overnight	357:6 361:2
579:1 583:4	outliers 452:18	448:24	373:7 404:19
585:21 586:8	output 546:5	overpumping	420:11 421:17
586:11 590:4	554:11	391:19	423:13,13
591:4,7,20	outputs 439:24	overruled	425:5 426:9
602:21 605:20	560:22	394:2	443:9,12
ordered 452:21	outside 393:12	oversee 487:14	449:19 472:16
497:21	460:6,14 461:8	488:1 502:24	472:19 493:23
orders 341:9	461:16 462:10	oversight 512:1	494:2,3,4
369:16,18,19	462:12 463:3	overutilize	505:25 506:1
369:19 376:11	479:19 482:16	516:16	510:3 516:24
386:7 453:8	511:23 523:5	owe 579:16,16	516:25 524:14
578:22	611:19	owed 580:15	528:17,18
			531:5 536:15

[page - percent]

	1	I	
551:10 561:11	parcel 405:12	567:2 568:8	party 333:10
561:13,15	pardon 330:4	569:11 585:10	615:12
564:22,24	544:24 581:15	585:12 596:20	passes 428:21
565:2,7,9	park 378:18	603:5,7,8	past 353:7
570:3 572:7	522:20	605:22 608:23	358:22 375:2
574:6 591:16	parks 421:4	609:1 610:22	451:24 506:20
599:3 600:9	473:20,21	participate	575:5
602:7,9	487:15 494:25	523:22	paste 335:14
pages 315:10	495:4,12	participating	path 391:17
335:2,6 336:24	500:12 516:8	527:9	paul 427:21
373:8 402:20	parkside 445:9	particular	pause 442:25
404:5 417:20	parkway	348:10 374:6	pay 391:19
417:21,21	339:24	384:6 405:12	485:17 520:9
528:22 530:13	parse 505:4	410:4 422:9	520:13
530:15,15	553:4	425:15 482:5	pdf 335:2,7
531:1	parshall 477:20	508:25 509:12	429:12 439:12
palmer 476:15	480:7 484:13	509:25 510:21	439:24 441:11
paragraph	484:16	511:5 521:12	penalties 497:9
357:8 361:4	parsing 582:4	536:6,16	penalty 391:19
420:13 421:18	parsons 319:19	542:16 555:22	pending 455:18
422:14 423:15	parsonsbehle	557:21,22	464:16,21,23
426:8 428:17	319:23	571:22 579:15	464:25 465:1
449:23,25	part 327:4	590:17 591:4	465:18 526:19
493:25 506:1	329:8,17 330:7	particularly	people 397:7,9
570:7,10 592:2	330:11 341:12	341:3 347:10	397:19,25
paralegal	347:2 359:14	559:15	398:4,5 452:18
335:17 337:4	380:4 394:11	parties 340:19	456:22 461:16
parameters	414:22 418:6	342:15 429:16	488:11 523:16
510:20 511:5	426:17 431:22	464:10 527:25	527:16 530:21
539:1	450:9 499:22	534:4	598:14
paraphrase	499:23 507:17	partnership	people's 497:7
366:2	507:25 510:18	522:8	550:13
paraphrasing	510:20 515:14	parts 553:3	percent 328:11
366:1 572:20	529:6 540:5	556:21 566:5	331:1 332:6
	554:11 557:12		343:21 359:22

[percent - placed]

365:7 398:6	performing	509:1,3,9,12,22	phased 508:23
422:12 440:15	354:15	509:1,3,9,12,22	phenomenon
440:22 441:4,8	period 520:6	511:7,14,21	567:22
′	547:17 571:5	, ,	
448:4,22		512:5 513:4,14	phone 513:9
452:17 455:17	600:19,22	514:17 515:15	phonetic
456:17,24	601:8	515:18 519:3	476:15
459:19 481:7	periods 451:16	519:18	phosphorus
491:10 493:4	permit 315:8	permits 333:23	476:16 515:25
493:14 498:23	334:1 350:9,17	347:5 350:24	516:1
499:12,17	350:19,21	360:13 361:6	phrase 506:11
538:17,20	360:5,15 361:2	369:15 373:20	physical 476:8
541:8 546:20	372:9 373:25	373:21 375:11	physically
546:25,25	375:16,20	375:15,19	462:3 497:23
547:1,1,5,25	376:12 380:5	376:10,12	pick 468:23
548:1,8 561:19	381:22 384:5	381:20 385:1,8	562:3
580:8 581:7,9	385:24 388:18	389:16 392:14	picking 375:19
581:10,11,17	390:13 392:18	392:17,21	piece 461:22
581:22 582:1,4	393:2 396:5,9	397:16,18	pieces 480:17
582:5 584:2	397:22 398:3,7	409:9 427:22	pioneer 328:10
586:3 598:11	398:16 401:9	506:18 509:5,7	pipe 477:11
598:14	407:14,15,21	520:25 523:8	pipeline 482:17
percentage	407:22,22	permitting	pipelines
435:23,25	409:1,1,3,17,18	398:12 400:8	493:16
440:22 441:7	409:21,23	482:9 483:4	pipes 474:11
477:4 547:2	410:1 411:2,8	person 417:23	piping 504:10
584:16 585:23	411:10 446:18	478:12 606:4	place 333:19
percentages	446:24 447:1	personnel	379:4 381:24
440:13 441:4	447:14,20	419:4	387:14 396:18
percolate	454:8 458:15	perspective	403:12 422:1,6
450:19	474:25 475:1,9	345:25 520:18	451:11 461:12
perfect 497:18	475:11,18,20	pertain 408:4	495:19 497:10
perform 427:9	476:1,16	pertaining	507:11 560:12
performed	477:12 494:19	454:17	573:21 615:5
434:9 537:3,22	507:18,20	petitions 324:5	placed 496:17
	508:5,11,13	1	539:19

[places - portneuf]

-1 415.20	476.7.00.00	1 470.0	
places 415:20	476:7,20,23	plus 479:9	pointed 345:18
548:25	478:21 479:23	500:15	pointing 542:9
plain 357:19	481:1 484:11	pmt.org 318:19	points 403:24
578:24	491:8,19	pocatello 318:5	406:7 445:25
plan 341:17	504:13,15	349:21 403:19	479:13 519:12
343:10 353:25	506:15 507:1,4	403:19 467:14	police 497:10
354:1 371:2,9	510:8 511:9,20	467:19 470:9	policing 497:18
374:3 375:24	plants 426:19	470:20 471:1,2	policy 343:20
376:5 403:4	518:14	472:4,24	344:19 393:10
444:17 452:25	platte 348:1	473:11,14,16	393:19 396:13
453:4 482:24	360:11	473:17,23	400:2,4,6
494:16,17	plaza 325:8,13	474:10 475:2,4	460:1 551:16
515:11 573:19	594:14	476:5 478:9	572:10,15,22
574:22	please 325:5,19	480:14,17	pond 330:12,17
planned 494:23	326:16 339:21	481:9,16 485:3	330:18 331:3
planning	400:13 414:2	504:25 507:1	509:18,19
355:21 448:25	420:7 443:3	510:16 525:6	ponds 428:6
457:3,4 515:11	470:6,18	pocatello's	441:1
plans 343:15	472:10,16	473:7,12 474:8	pool 372:2
353:21 376:2	474:20 478:4	478:17	375:18
376:13,15	478:10,11	point 346:23	populate
392:19 410:2,3	486:22 487:10	348:24 350:12	561:24
455:21,24	487:11 488:13	351:25 352:2	population
481:9,11,13,15	489:16 491:12	352:22 357:24	479:5 488:10
494:12,14	491:14 492:19	372:18 391:9	502:15
495:8,25	503:7 536:10	393:23 403:17	portion 381:23
573:21,24	544:23,25	456:18 462:6	494:21 594:24
plant 389:14	590:15	475:6 477:11	597:7 599:1
407:9 411:22	pllc 317:19	477:13,16	portions
449:13 453:23	319:5,12	509:1,16 514:4	328:23
453:24 470:21	plumbed	540:17 542:15	portneuf 473:6
470:24 471:22	460:15	549:20 583:8	475:2,6,15,24
473:4 474:4,12	plumbing	584:9,18	476:18 477:2,6
474:13 475:8	460:5,12	611:17 612:3	477:21 478:21
475:10 476:5,6	461:19		481:2 484:15

[position - prices]

position 366:11	potential 377:5	preference	presents
396:22 409:10	377:13,13,18	443:15,19	395:20
445:15 464:14	399:24 421:7	444:11 608:18	president 534:1
470:22 471:8	495:11 520:2	preliminary	presiding
471:17,20	541:3 570:11	369:16,18	469:18
487:11 502:3,5	591:5	premise 401:15	press 593:14
533:22 534:11	potentially	prepare 335:18	pressure
557:8 566:25	431:14 434:25	335:20 439:12	516:15
positions 502:5	455:23 539:25	535:18	presumably
possesses	569:19	prepared	571:25
503:19	powell 532:11	326:18 341:1	presume
possibility	534:25 543:21	346:6 434:15	419:21 431:18
431:12 549:3	544:7 599:2	478:18 533:1	pretreatment
569:9 570:2	611:1,5 612:25	535:24 538:2	471:25
possible 332:4	power 503:19	545:3	pretty 371:22
413:9 425:13	514:9	preparing	383:4 403:9
493:7 551:4,5	practice 336:11	329:6 370:7	404:3 444:13
584:18,21	337:13 391:12	388:8	479:20 497:15
607:15	practicing	preponderance	497:17,19
possibly 332:10	383:3	333:10	504:24 514:2
360:1 382:24	prairie 347:23	present 318:8	518:18 553:22
384:25 391:18	353:1,8 425:6	319:7,18 348:6	600:23 601:23
580:8	426:2	466:16 559:7	prevent 368:24
post 316:21	precedent	573:23 593:15	390:9 423:10
317:6,13,18	356:9	presentation	460:18
318:17,23	precious 415:7	345:11 430:12	prevention
319:14 527:23	precise 435:1	presentations	463:8
527:25 615:23	564:8	519:25	previous 408:5
postdated	precisely	presented	507:21
405:5	382:11	341:18 344:14	previously
potable 348:2	predates 403:3	345:10 346:18	328:12 531:20
353:18 428:18	predetermine	362:17 363:24	547:5
490:12 500:12	557:12	416:14 434:20	price 497:4
514:21 516:16	predicted	541:10 545:12	prices 419:19
	561:19	587:3,8	

[primacy - properly]

	410.9 462.10	504.25 500.11	
primacy 507:23 508:18	419:8 462:19	594:25 599:11	produce 330:15
	private 523:21	599:23	product 488:5
519:21 520:4	privilege	proceedings	production
522:9	451:17	331:14 376:11	487:23,24
primarily	probably	615:4	489:6 491:17
327:13 329:2	360:12,14	process 371:10	492:1 499:6
394:20 463:7	365:15 379:23	371:12 375:9	500:11 519:7
489:17 499:4	456:1 471:6	376:4,14 391:4	professional
502:18,20	482:25 493:14	391:14,25	332:3 487:19
517:1 536:13	497:15 507:21	392:13 394:8	487:20 503:11
571:24 597:10	508:23 544:9	394:21 397:25	program
598:15 607:6	546:5 554:2	398:2 412:14	347:21 397:17
primary	562:19 570:19	412:16,25	413:8 448:3,5
336:14 385:22	570:22 583:12	415:15 418:4,6	451:24 480:15
387:8 388:6	596:4 605:23	418:8,25	480:19 497:11
445:25 463:4	606:10 608:16	424:20,25	508:22 519:24
476:9 489:20	610:23	431:17 432:11	520:11,16
499:24 504:16	problem	447:25 448:24	521:3
506:16 513:18	337:13 418:16	474:6,17 476:4	programs
513:25 536:2	437:12 462:8	476:10 480:9	397:14 520:21
printed 608:23	522:5	480:21 482:22	projects 483:9
prior 350:13	problems 529:6	483:6 507:1	516:7
405:1 406:1	procedure	509:12,25	promise 377:9
431:3 487:17	424:24	512:7 513:4	389:13
502:3 541:23	procedures	515:10 518:2	promote
547:5 557:25	341:17 355:23	519:22 527:17	457:18
579:1	421:14 548:8	605:22	proof 375:11
priorities	proceeding	processes 328:1	propagate
351:20	338:19 392:7	431:18 456:2	562:10,15
priority 353:9	460:3 472:2	508:21 513:24	propagating
353:22 371:25	493:1 498:8	515:22 517:11	563:9
381:25 386:6	537:23 559:8	processing	properly
386:13 403:1	575:21 578:7	370:7 397:15	403:23 435:17
404:8,13,17,17	585:10,16,21	449:8	435:17 541:1
405:3 416:2	585:24 586:14		

[properties - punitive]

properties	370:21	prudent 434:10	538:9,11,18,20
566:9	proved 332:16	public 315:25	539:3,10 540:3
proportion	332:25	370:7 371:10	540:15,19
382:3	proves 333:10	406:10 463:17	541:3,8,15,20
proposal	provide 327:10	487:2,13	541:22 545:3
370:23 444:22	341:2 354:4	501:23,25	549:15 551:6,8
527:18 529:18	372:20 377:4	502:3,7,23	552:13,18
531:5 583:20	388:12 393:23	503:2 504:8	553:5,15,18,19
583:21 592:25	447:4 474:18	509:23 519:23	553:20,21,22
593:3 613:19	479:23 488:3	615:22	554:6,14,15,18
proposals	519:9	publication	555:2,25 558:1
372:10	provided	350:6	558:11 559:13
propose 416:10	326:21 335:16	published	559:17 560:18
429:15 522:6	341:8 345:1	424:22	562:10 563:4
proposed 380:9	348:10 424:17	pull 325:11	564:4,11,25
396:18 401:11	503:23 541:19	449:14	567:2 568:6
439:1,22 517:8	provider 327:9	pulled 466:8,15	571:11,22
602:8,12	365:14,17,20	pump 389:22	572:2 575:9,15
proposes 377:2	365:23	396:14 489:20	579:20 580:8
proposing	providers	552:9 568:4	582:16,21
376:12 377:9	329:8,12 349:4	571:25 582:19	585:15 586:4,7
396:14 401:12	360:20 362:11	587:12	586:21,24
433:23	413:23 445:3	pumped 390:21	587:9,14
proposition	470:3 486:20	499:12 549:10	588:10 589:6
351:22 514:13	492:25 501:7	562:24	590:5 592:6
569:6	provides	pumpers	598:23 599:5
protect 333:17	381:22 406:9	580:16	599:24 600:4
344:11 522:3	431:23 457:20	pumping	601:16,18,25
protected	provision	359:10 390:8	602:25 603:16
333:22	556:24	390:17 422:24	604:8,9 605:3
protest 333:25	provisions	423:2,4 450:22	607:5,10
334:2 401:5	374:10 406:12	451:2 462:24	pumps 476:24
422:8	proximity	462:24 463:1	571:8,20
protestants	570:7	532:1 536:1,8	punitive 513:21
370:16,19,20		537:1 538:3,6	523:9,13

[purchase - quoting]

purchase 396:1	q	407:18 408:4,9	459:8,10
purpose 342:17		408:14,24	460:21 461:3
350:1 351:7	qualifications 340:20 534:25	416:5 437:8	483:11 484:6
434:20 435:3		443:2 444:17	497:25 498:8
435:14 536:2,4	535:2,3	455:12 459:22	500:18 516:3
551:2 559:10	qualified 326:9 326:13 340:15	460:9 461:5	521:14,16,17
559:12 591:24	534:6	462:4,5,9	523:24 524:3
purposes		465:5 467:5	533:4 550:3
330:14 351:16	qualitative 567:20,22	494:21 521:22	553:18,24
353:20 377:3	568:10	527:22 539:12	584:22 589:15
422:16 435:14	quality 427:22	545:7 547:9	589:23 592:10
450:24 504:2	454:11 475:16	552:12 562:1	606:16 611:1,8
517:6	507:22 511:18	571:14 575:7	quick 455:9
pursley 318:21	513:19 515:1	577:9 580:3	526:5
364:12	quantification	590:22 591:9	quicker 527:3
pursuant	605:12 606:1	591:11,14	quickly 464:2
503:23 573:18	quantify	604:23 612:21	480:11
push 375:8	385:18 493:15	questioning	quiet 526:12
pushing 448:4	567:11 569:21	483:17	quite 341:16
put 326:14	569:25 570:1	questions	347:22 383:13
328:9 348:1,3	605:12	328:13 329:1	495:22
371:6 389:5	quantifying	331:4 334:17	quote 332:24
406:6 417:9	605:16,17	334:24 335:12	333:1 351:13
422:1 426:21	quantities	337:24 338:14	420:13,17
432:9 452:4	490:22 492:13	339:6 349:6,21	421:19,22
469:5 480:4	quantity	355:2 361:21	422:15,19
508:5 514:8	475:17,19	362:4 364:16	423:19 426:13
527:6 560:4	499:21 605:20	379:7 380:1	427:17,19,24
571:10 574:17	quarter 452:4,5	384:5 393:13	428:9,12,18,23
579:4 593:11	quarterly	398:25 408:11	472:19,22
610:3	489:14	413:11 429:10	485:1 564:14
puts 568:7	question 359:3	432:19 438:15	quoting 358:6
putting 332:8	359:12 363:16	438:23 439:6	565:11
457:19	393:15 394:4	441:24 454:22	
	400:12,23	455:9 458:20	

[r - record]

r	reactions	600:4	receiving
r	605:11	reasonable	509:21 515:23
r 316:19 319:7	reactor 494:16	332:3 358:8	recent 357:25
rain 479:14	read 351:12	359:9,14,22	451:24,24
rainwater	371:19 400:22	361:18 407:19	522:14 578:19
479:16	454:16 467:6	408:2 409:7	recently 330:23
raises 530:16	485:1 493:25	426:11 427:2	516:6 541:18
ramsay 423:19	517:22 572:12	435:21 506:8	recess 379:14
ramsey 351:5	602:12,15	reasons 481:16	469:13 531:14
ran 416:9	readily 519:11	reboot 379:10	593:24
441:11 522:5	readings 480:7	rebut 367:19	recharge
ranch 596:25	ready 328:16	rebuttal 320:19	387:10 447:17
ranches 597:8	331:5 379:18	321:5 367:23	450:23 457:20
range 434:22	470:10 526:5	368:2 413:22	458:4 514:13
495:14 548:6	526:24 585:4	414:13 430:13	549:9,17
rapid 440:17	613:16	recall 362:15	601:24
446:15 447:5	reality 334:15	362:20 364:20	reclamation
447:13,17	409:25	366:15 369:1,8	360:10
450:10 454:4	realized 547:21	369:11 373:14	recognize
458:8,10 491:4	561:9	375:14 376:16	508:8 558:25
rare 479:17	really 351:8	389:7 390:1	recognizing
rate 373:2	410:2 425:1	403:7 412:18	375:25 546:8
391:21 399:21	436:7 462:19	430:22 519:19	recollection
452:15 497:3	479:1 509:13	545:8 556:23	369:3 403:8,22
497:16	509:16 513:8	560:2 586:16	567:16
rather 351:13	514:1 518:2,5	586:17 601:24	recommendat
357:19 366:12	522:2 553:16	recapture	481:19
465:16 480:10	554:8 572:19	351:16,23	recommending
526:8 563:9	588:25 602:2	receive 391:7	574:4
570:22,22	realtime 372:8	393:8,17,25	
582:4 612:6	reason 327:22	398:16 433:20	reconvene 527:19
reach 475:14	345:9 418:1	509:9	record 325:6
547:15	420:2,3 455:12	receives 390:20	326:14 339:22
reaches 504:15	514:11 550:14	398:8 512:19	340:25 346:4
reaction 560:19		370.0 312.17	
	558:14 560:6		367:13 368:9

[record - relied]

	I	I .	I
383:16 403:7	recycled	518:4 526:5	397:13
410:12 414:3	516:18	570:25 600:10	regular 329:12
429:17 439:14	redelivered	600:10,11	329:14
441:17,22	348:4	referenced	regulated
443:5,17 445:8	redirect 320:10	346:9	386:4
469:12 470:7	320:25 321:9	references	regulation
486:25 501:10	321:16 322:13	341:4	403:10 421:9
525:15,20	337:17 338:2,4	referencing	regulations
526:1,15	338:9 366:23	346:19 518:2	483:7
527:21 531:17	410:17 412:11	referred 406:2	reissued 509:5
531:18 533:22	438:25 439:19	572:23	rejected 401:12
594:1,13	441:25 460:23	referring	541:25 576:17
607:21 609:3	461:1 463:12	362:16 409:23	related 370:23
609:19 610:9	486:6,7 500:19	reflected	426:4 536:7
610:25 611:24	589:21 590:1	409:25 541:22	relationship
612:7 615:9	607:17	reflecting	540:3
recorded	reduce 331:18	429:4	relative 539:6
361:17 389:22	461:25 567:1	reflects 423:21	540:14,14
510:11 615:7	571:16	regarding	590:17 611:8
recording	reduced 347:19	335:8 342:11	615:11
361:16 507:12	381:17 382:2	613:7	relatively 507:6
510:14 512:8	452:8 462:23	regardless	569:14
recordings	600:4 601:14	579:11	release 330:13
505:7	603:14	regards 462:25	331:19
records 443:10	reduces 600:5	497:5 535:15	reliable 358:7
464:5 466:24	604:9	592:1 608:20	358:14,20
recover 347:24	reduction	regimen 433:8	359:4,9,21,24
353:17 428:20	382:3 440:19	433:23	361:19 426:10
recovered	571:16 600:15	region 377:14	427:3 506:7,12
348:3	605:3	383:5,19,21	507:11
recross 338:3	redundant	384:19 385:12	reliably 358:20
413:12 463:13	414:2 612:16	409:12 522:10	relic 423:2
592:11	refer 521:7	regional 373:17	relied 542:19
recycle 481:13	reference	373:19 375:10	555:2 558:15
496:1	341:16 510:6	378:2 394:11	559:23

[rely - reporting]

rely 366:6	494:18	replaces 353:9	567:1,23
412:24 418:1	removed	353:10	569:21 570:4
598:15	476:10	report 326:18	572:7,23
relying 382:9	removing	326:24 327:4	582:12 590:16
406:11 413:7	383:17	329:7 334:25	591:17,22
540:18 559:4	render 539:21	335:2 341:1,6	594:25 600:9
573:3 589:1	548:2,18	345:10 346:18	601:3 602:4
remain 378:15	rendered 534:6	348:12 349:22	604:1 607:1
561:5,6	renewal 515:16	350:24 351:4	608:4 610:6
remainder	renewed	353:2 357:4	611:3,19
463:24	515:18	361:10 365:11	report's 611:4
remained 561:1	rent 375:17	385:2,4 388:8	reported
remains 378:12	rental 372:2	388:10,12	315:23 342:14
378:12 383:22	375:18 387:9	390:20 416:10	358:20,24
414:13	rentals 372:2	420:7 425:5	389:23 489:14
remark 574:4,8	rented 375:22	427:14 428:1	521:8 522:16
602:8,13,20	repairs 449:7	436:9 440:8	523:4 545:16
remarkable	repeat 393:14	448:10 449:16	reporter 466:2
383:17	466:18 554:24	449:17 454:7	615:3
remarked	588:24	454:10,12,15	reporter's
548:20	repeating	477:22 484:17	615:1
remarks	572:20	484:19 485:2	reporting
583:15	repercussions	506:6 519:2,7	341:17 342:12
remember	522:25	521:24,25	342:13 343:8
350:16 363:5	rephrase	522:23 533:1	343:17 348:17
366:18 383:11	605:18	534:7 535:18	350:10 354:18
392:9 520:1	replace 353:21	535:24,25	356:11 363:20
560:24 566:11	353:23 576:25	536:3,5,10	366:9 374:23
585:17	580:16 581:9	537:7,10	385:4,9,14
reminder 324:2	603:16	542:17 545:1,5	414:21 416:21
remote 321:18	replaced 604:8	548:18,19	417:8 418:2
remotely 318:8	replacement	550:22 551:3	419:10,11
319:7,18 470:3	576:19 580:18	551:10 554:10	436:6,14 438:7
removal 476:6	replacements	559:4 561:11	446:20 505:16
476:8,13	423:9 580:17	564:14,16	507:17,24,25

[reporting - respond]

		T	
511:13 512:2	465:23 526:12	354:20 361:5	496:19 500:11
513:7 523:1,1	requested	375:15,24	504:6
reports 341:8	479:22	420:14,23	residents
341:10 372:9	require 371:9	421:5 423:17	452:12 461:8
384:12 385:6,9	371:24 372:1	436:14 437:22	473:18 514:9
417:9,10,18	377:4,14	446:21 453:3	resolution
418:10 436:8	382:12,16	485:5 510:18	462:12
436:12 513:11	385:2,3 387:18	511:14 512:2	resolve 343:12
540:7,12 541:1	395:8 407:23	requires	513:20
541:1 543:2,4	417:3 426:5	342:22 351:21	resolved 344:7
550:13	433:24 438:7	351:22 388:9	resource
represent	451:14,20	388:10 395:12	325:22 344:21
332:24 349:20	456:7,22 460:2	413:2 415:12	345:4
353:4,6 362:6	475:9 580:11	436:23 438:12	resources
364:13 407:8	required	457:6 475:11	315:1,19 316:6
484:3	352:21 353:22	requiring	316:8 325:21
representation	375:16 385:9	332:5 350:10	343:3 354:5,9
454:18	387:14 451:8	377:19 405:25	354:14 368:3
representations	454:8 456:12	572:11,15	384:1 393:23
327:22 551:6	456:16 506:18	rescind 406:19	394:9 400:3,16
representative	507:18 509:20	rescinded	401:18 407:24
391:17 528:10	511:4,14 519:1	392:23 393:2	410:6 414:17
528:11	520:3 549:23	reservoir	415:25 418:7
represented	requirement	318:13 351:15	433:6 452:21
327:18 380:3	350:6 385:15	484:5 532:3	454:13 459:7
394:16 526:7	386:6 399:23	552:21 586:22	484:21 497:21
representing	417:4 418:18	586:25 587:10	521:1 522:4
342:23 417:24	419:12 424:21	588:8,11,15	respect 338:21
530:11 535:14	426:21,22,23	589:12	487:23
585:9 606:22	432:7 435:21	reservoir's	respond 420:19
represents	454:14 510:4	588:20	421:23 422:20
498:19,20	requirements	residence 448:1	423:20 424:12
533:3	343:8 350:11	448:1	426:14 427:24
request 326:19	351:9,10	residential	428:12 465:22
394:9 452:12	352:14 354:18	451:20 473:25	466:25

[responding - right]

responding	result 370:10	return's 422:5	563:22
551:11	371:10 407:25	returned	reviewed
responds	555:11 569:19	421:20	335:21 337:6
600:23 601:23	581:4 606:13	returning	344:25 346:13
602:2	607:7	422:17	346:15 350:21
response 324:5	resulted 370:8	returns 416:20	437:25 498:16
324:6 407:5	568:15	416:20 518:18	540:6,7 545:5
415:3 545:1	resulting	reuse 335:8	reviewing
578:6 593:17	607:13	347:4,4,7,9,15	397:15 436:19
responses	results 395:23	348:2 352:6,11	revised 376:13
528:24	422:17 440:19	353:17,18	376:15
responsibilities	541:7 545:14	365:12,14,17	revisit 535:4,5
387:3,5 394:11	545:24,24	365:20,23	rex 319:10
397:11 471:20	546:5,8,11	421:2,2,6	rexburg 319:9
487:23 502:22	resume 349:23	424:2,4 427:23	350:17 371:15
responsibility	424:13,15,24	428:7,10,18	371:20 372:11
358:1 385:23	442:21 593:20	429:1 446:24	372:14 373:25
responsible	resumes 350:2	447:1,14,20	388:11 393:1
329:2 373:10	retire 329:21	450:8,9,13	409:10 410:1
429:7 503:4	329:22	481:13,22	rharris 317:15
rest 377:10	retired 329:20	485:13,17,18	ri 447:23 449:8
404:3 426:24	return 327:11	485:21 496:1	450:19 457:19
restate 400:12	332:7 334:11	500:1	rib 440:6
460:11 561:3	347:19 351:10	reused 330:18	richfield
591:13	351:24 352:14	347:17 516:18	427:21
restrict 461:16	352:21 353:16	reusing 347:13	rigby 319:5,5,7
restriction	358:2,7,14	review 341:7	right 324:17,21
463:2 496:19	361:19 419:10	342:15 343:3	328:2 331:25
539:18	421:25 422:16	346:7 347:4	333:3,19
restrictions	423:17 426:11	361:20 372:8	337:16,25
451:21 461:7	426:15,16	375:11 384:13	338:5 339:8,12
496:18,25	427:1 429:6	397:22 398:2	343:9 346:12
497:1,2,8,10	436:2 506:8,12	429:16 436:11	348:24 349:8
516:1	569:17 591:16	437:21 438:8	349:13 350:2,4
		466:24 535:2	350:14 351:5

[right - rights]

352:6,11 353:2	409:5,6,18,21	526:18 531:12	353:6,14,14,16
353:11 354:6	411:13,19	533:15 534:23	353:18 354:9
354:18,25	412:7,8,15	535:12,13	355:17,22
357:21 358:5	413:13,17	537:10 539:3	356:3 357:21
360:22 361:12	417:17 418:19	544:20 546:24	357:25 358:9
365:10 366:7	419:5 422:2	548:24 551:25	358:16,23
367:1 368:24	424:1,4,6,6	559:8,20	359:10 370:6
369:5,7,13,25	425:24 429:9	560:20 561:7	370:20 373:3,7
370:1,10,25	430:18 431:2	567:8 568:16	373:11 374:8
371:8,16,20	432:14,20	568:20 572:12	374:23 375:5
372:8,12 373:9	433:9 435:16	573:13 574:5	377:16 378:5
374:1,19	437:11,17	576:21 577:7	378:23 379:3,4
375:16 377:11	440:14 441:14	579:7,12 582:9	381:22 382:4
378:13 379:1,1	442:1 444:8	582:17,19	383:9 385:2,3
379:12 380:5	445:5 452:24	583:6,20	386:2,5,10,17
380:13 381:4	455:15 456:7	584:25 585:3	386:19 389:13
381:16,21	461:16 462:14	585:13 586:14	391:25 392:4
382:2,8,9,10	462:15,18,21	586:15,19	392:21 394:19
383:7,23 385:5	463:15,19	588:17 589:16	394:22,23,25
386:8 387:1,20	465:19 468:15	594:10 595:7,8	395:3,9,25
388:10,11,13	468:23 470:10	595:13,14	399:9,16,17
389:1,12,15,17	470:17 476:1	599:6 600:8	400:7,8,9,18,18
389:20 390:6,6	480:5,15	601:5 602:19	403:15 405:25
390:7,13,14,17	481:11,15	604:14 605:21	406:6,25 407:3
392:15,23	482:24 485:25	609:24 611:14	407:20 408:18
393:3,6 396:4	486:4,8,12,22	611:15,15,15	409:11,15
396:7,24	493:13 494:15	612:2 614:6,8	411:15,18,25
397:25 401:7,7	500:20,25	rights 341:21	413:4 414:14
402:11,15,18	504:3 505:9,15	342:1,3,4,11	414:18,19,24
402:21 403:10	505:23 508:8	343:5,21 344:2	415:1,9,10,10
404:2,6,9,21	510:11,12	344:9,13,24	415:24 416:4,7
405:1,4,15	516:10 519:3	347:25 348:3	416:11 417:3
406:11,15,23	519:18 520:25	351:11 352:4,8	423:16,23,25
407:7 408:1,17	521:8 525:14	352:10,13,16	424:9,18,22
408:19 409:2,4	525:19 526:4	352:24,25	425:8 426:12

[rights - run]

436:14 437:2,3	383:21 386:1	562:12,15,23	roll 594:21
437:25 445:18	386:14,22	563:2,8 568:1	roof 419:20
445:24 452:23	387:17 395:19	568:4,7,8,14,16	room 592:22
453:5 455:19	395:20,24	568:17,18,19	rose 391:8
455:22 456:11	398:13 403:5	569:8 571:2,10	rotation 461:15
456:20 459:11	407:11,21	573:17,25	roughly 354:2
459:13,24	411:7 422:24	575:16 577:17	365:7 452:14
461:24 466:24	423:6,9 472:11	586:4 587:18	547:24
488:23,24,25	473:6 475:2,6	587:19,20	round 367:18
489:4,7 496:5	475:15 476:18	589:7,11	367:20 442:12
503:18,19,24	476:21 477:6	590:12,19,21	528:23 531:7
504:2 506:9	477:11,21	592:6 596:7	533:16 587:12
536:24 556:9	478:22 481:3	597:11 603:1,3	587:15
556:13,15,18	484:15 485:12	605:24 607:6,7	routine 418:10
556:20,21	485:17 490:20	607:12	rows 440:12,13
560:17,18	491:9,11,19	rivers 412:3	441:3
567:25 582:9	495:2,7 496:10	551:24	rule 579:16
583:7,7,8	496:13,15,23	riverside	580:5
584:10,13,13	499:9,14	326:20 327:17	rules 456:21
587:18 595:15	504:14,18	328:4,7 335:8	542:7,10,14
596:1 598:3,7	505:11,14,18	336:6,10,13,15	run 394:7
598:16 599:22	506:22,24	336:18 338:18	538:8 540:21
600:17	507:8,13	338:21 339:2	542:18,21
rigid 431:13	509:15 514:3,8	344:5 454:19	543:1 547:20
rio 470:9	514:23 515:7	455:11 457:10	547:21 558:10
rise 539:14	517:18 536:22	484:23	558:15 559:22
risk 414:1	536:25 537:3	road 325:13	560:3,5,16,21
458:6	537:18 538:12	470:9 594:14	560:23,24
river 315:4,10	538:18 541:4,4	rob 355:6	561:4,18
324:9,10 332:8	541:9 551:7,7	430:10	564:12 576:2,5
332:13 333:4,6	551:18 552:18	robert 317:12	577:21,22,24
333:7,14,15,15	552:20,22	rock 596:25	579:17 580:6
334:6 348:1	553:14,15,19	597:1	583:22 599:9
351:18 357:16	553:20,23	role 373:20	599:14,19,23
357:18 371:25	555:19 559:18	388:19 548:4	600:15

[running - secondary]

running 448:6	525:2,8 530:4	556:25 564:10	sdr 494:16
605:2	530:9 531:17	574:11 576:25	se 386:6
runoff 597:22	sat 599:8	581:7 583:20	seal 476:24
runs 448:5	satisfactory	602:14,24	615:14
504:15 511:20	426:5	607:5	search 466:7,16
514:3 538:6,15	satisfied 438:9	scalable 372:14	season 452:3
541:13,21	438:11 518:21	scale 373:13	459:21 554:5
542:9 545:10	save 463:24	scaled 546:13	559:13,19
555:1 558:10	592:19	scaling 545:13	561:2,7 564:4
558:16 559:22	saw 355:24	546:1	575:24 576:4
560:1 575:12	519:25	scanlan 324:13	576:20 587:17
575:13 576:5	saying 356:13	324:14 348:7	588:6,7 601:14
590:24	362:21 369:8	374:21 376:17	seasonal
rupert 427:21	377:17 393:21	scanlan's	462:18
S	465:17 554:17	348:21 374:18	seat 325:5
s 320:3,3,3	554:18 557:14	374:24	445:6 501:9
321:3,3,3	557:17 561:8	scenario	seated 339:21
322:3,3,3,20	571:9 574:23	521:12 589:2	486:23
323:4	574:24 575:1,2	scenarios	seattle 522:10
safety 397:17	578:2,17	441:11	second 319:8
salmon 333:6,6	581:20 583:18	scene 419:11	324:4 370:22
333:15,16	584:6,12	schedule 613:9	375:21 379:11
383:20 398:12	588:18 590:16	613:14,22	402:8 408:19
398:21	590:16 611:16	scheduled	420:13 422:14
sample 361:13	612:4	324:5	423:15 428:17
361:15	says 335:7	scheduling	461:22 469:7
	336:3 358:6	531:21,23	494:20 531:25
sampled 510:23	420:13 421:19	scheme 371:6	552:17 592:5
	423:16 427:17	408:12	602:24
samples 511:3	427:19 449:21	school 471:9	secondary
	450:2,3 472:19	scope 378:25	476:12 504:16
503:1	485:3 493:24	393:13,20	506:17 568:22
sarah 318:8	494:3 506:7	399:13	568:24 569:9
325:9 367:11	517:3 539:3	screen 429:12	573:19,22
444:1 524:18 524:21,24	551:14 552:17	441:12	581:1,3,4
344.41,44			

[secondary - seven]

590:12,20,20	599:1 601:3	493:21 567:13	sentences
seconds 480:8	602:9 605:14	569:10	421:18 517:22
section 341:15	609:5 611:5	seepage 331:2	sentinel 346:5,7
365:11 423:15	613:15 614:8	selected 560:10	346:9,23
427:16 513:13	seeing 367:15	self 382:12	separate
536:9 564:16	441:21 443:18	404:4 513:7	333:11 443:13
564:25 599:3,4	464:21 525:25	521:8,24,25	443:20,22
600:9	593:22 607:23	522:16,23	480:21 495:20
secure 394:18	608:9	523:1,4	530:17 531:2
400:2	seek 434:19	seller 395:9	556:6,22,25
see 335:10	seeking 415:8	semanko	557:2 581:24
336:1 343:19	509:23 558:3	319:20	september
349:3 351:21	seem 344:13	semantics	404:10 405:4
357:11 358:11	seemed 348:13	352:22 380:15	508:12 599:19
361:7 372:18	369:22 542:3	send 447:10	sequence
373:12 402:20	591:4	495:19 511:22	494:16
402:22,23	seemingly	511:23	series 474:11
403:8 404:19	551:5	sending 509:17	serve 514:9
404:20 405:7	seems 327:11	senior 333:17	served 374:5
406:25 408:1	344:5 359:20	333:21,25	411:16
408:16 415:8	405:24 512:3	386:18 422:2,6	service 379:5
418:14 420:12	537:7 542:11	462:15 549:24	512:22,25
421:17 426:7	558:5 560:11	sense 449:4	services 372:21
429:2 434:13	561:8 569:12	567:24	set 371:25
440:21 442:2	578:1 612:15	sent 476:10	407:6 423:17
444:21 449:23	seen 330:17	sentence 358:5	440:13 441:3
453:13 456:1	347:20 381:18	358:11 420:12	460:5,13
463:12 472:18	389:24 401:4	422:15 426:9	475:12 591:11
479:17 493:5	403:14 404:23	427:17,19	615:5,14
494:3 503:20	405:9,14,22	428:9,18 450:3	sets 546:11
504:25 506:3	406:5,14	450:11 472:18	setting 419:7
531:22 532:14	420:25 449:17	506:5 551:14	settlements
534:20 544:9	449:25 472:14	552:17 592:5	401:12
556:20 561:12	474:22 478:6	602:24 605:10	seven 482:25
561:18 587:25	488:19 492:21	605:14	502:24

[several - silver]

several 327:18	604:21 606:21	491:15,16	592:7
363:3 384:6	shaw's 506:6	576:2 602:1	significantly
392:14 448:3	592:21	shut 453:7	419:4
449:12 451:11	sheet 360:21	462:1,3 497:23	sigstedt 346:7
480:17 488:15	503:15	sic 483:16	silver 532:3
489:15,19	short 328:25	side 316:15	535:15,25
497:5 519:22	386:21 447:10	334:11 337:9	537:2 538:17
526:4 552:11	515:12 562:23	337:17 357:18	539:7,19
560:1 602:17	571:21 596:22	361:12 370:7	540:16 541:4,5
severe 479:14	shortage	396:23 423:5,7	541:10 542:8
sewer 402:11	381:25 601:16	423:11 508:6	545:2 546:16
403:13 405:13	601:19 603:16	511:11 523:9	548:1,24
406:18 407:7	shortages	528:3,5 553:19	549:11,13,19
411:14 453:23	604:7	587:11 596:7	552:21 553:7
504:7 512:16	shorten 550:15	596:14,21	553:14 554:19
shallow 597:23	shorthand	597:3,12,21,25	555:3 556:11
share 519:12	615:2	598:19	556:11 558:2
shared 520:7	show 418:12	sides 343:1	558:12 559:18
599:2	424:4,5 539:6	sidestream	560:19 564:15
shares 395:10	539:6 541:13	476:10	565:1 568:1,21
395:11	546:16 601:3	signal 578:18	568:23 569:4,7
shaw 320:5	605:24	578:21	569:10,17,19
322:15 324:16	showed 375:22	signature	570:18 572:3
324:20,23	416:24 429:12	615:20	573:20,22
325:1,7,13,18	432:8 439:10	significant	575:8,16,22
328:20 331:11	537:25 560:25	371:9 383:5	579:7,12,20
334:17,25	579:19	421:25 425:2	580:9,13,18,24
335:13 338:12	showerheads	495:6 515:17	581:5,9,14
339:10 340:18	479:8	539:14 551:16	582:5,6,21
341:2 532:11	showing 345:23	551:21 554:16	585:15 586:4
532:19 535:1	439:25 546:10	554:19,22	586:22 587:5
543:21 592:23	shown 345:17	555:3,18	588:25 590:12
593:9,14,20	542:24	580:11 583:13	590:21 592:7
594:3,5,14,22	shows 345:24	583:16,16,19	597:12,14,15
595:11 604:11	346:21 402:13	584:11,12,15	599:4 601:20

[silver - sorry]

(01.02.600.1	. 1.4 552.0	•4 4•	507 12 500 15
601:23 602:1	simulate 552:9	situations	507:13 509:15
602:14 603:4,8	simulated	355:19 393:24	514:23 515:7
603:12,17	559:23 561:5	394:7	517:17 578:24
604:10 605:3	simultaneous	six 384:11	sold 381:23
607:9	528:19 531:3	592:24 602:1	solely 589:1
similar 366:11	single 351:9	size 399:13	598:11,12
371:7 376:25	352:13,21	450:18 497:1	solids 476:9
379:24 404:13	411:21 423:17	skinny 420:9	480:22,24
408:1 424:14	423:23 542:1	sklahn 318:12	481:5,7
426:16 436:11	sink 496:14	slip 354:12	solution 372:14
496:22 504:24	sinks 496:16	small 379:3	372:16,19
506:25 510:15	sir 453:25	476:23 477:3	373:12,13
514:18 518:16	454:6,20	505:22 508:6	solutions 522:7
521:6,11 578:8	455:20 456:6	509:19 518:4	solves 437:11
578:10 608:5	456:25 458:14	530:13 547:1,1	somach 318:6
similarities	459:25 460:4	547:6 548:3,5	somachlaw.c
355:16	460:22 486:15	548:25 569:14	318:11,12
similarly 576:6	501:2 524:2	580:12,18	somebody
611:6	596:9	581:21 582:1	397:15,18
simmons 318:6	sit 339:15	584:16	411:5 550:19
simple 354:24	444:23 520:19	smaller 379:5	557:21
409:22 414:21	594:11	smallness	something's
416:22,25	site 514:13	548:6	605:6
425:10,13	516:2	snake 315:10	somewhat
426:25	sitting 375:13	324:10 332:13	415:2 423:1
simpler 363:17	situation	333:4,7,13,15	546:9 569:12
363:19,25	341:23 343:12	334:6 357:15	soon 495:18
simplified	415:4 421:5	357:18,19	550:16 598:22
344:14	422:7,10	371:25 386:14	sophia 346:6
simplify 343:24	457:19 508:25	387:17,24	sophisticated
simply 331:19	531:10 548:13	395:19,20,24	407:3
357:1 359:24	548:13 559:16	398:15 422:24	sorry 324:6
388:17 412:24	575:19 579:15	423:5 472:11	325:25 330:8
424:25 518:22	588:4	504:14,17	337:24 359:12
		505:18 507:8	368:11 377:13

[sorry - staff]

379:15 397:8	552:14 563:5	speak 365:18	spreadsheets
420:10 442:5	571:23 581:18	365:24 385:6	429:12 512:5
478:12 535:22	597:16,24,25	speaking	spring 346:15
543:17 545:18	600:3	397:10 507:6	459:21 462:13
554:24 564:19	sources 332:12	530:11	462:14,18
569:22 571:13	348:13 373:4	specific 352:24	489:18,23
580:1 597:25	474:14 489:9	356:3 362:10	490:11 496:7,9
599:10	489:15 491:17	362:14,21	499:6,13
sort 341:20	499:6,13 532:2	363:5,9 374:10	spring's 463:4
342:10 369:23	551:8 586:21	378:6 386:11	springfield
382:5 385:3	586:24 587:1,9	387:10 397:19	319:17
388:17 393:17	597:9,11	492:5 557:18	springs 345:22
415:2 417:1,6	598:15,18,21	585:23	446:1 489:21
418:1 431:6	598:22	specifically	597:18,20,25
483:2,6 545:13	south 316:13	350:22 537:13	sprinkler
567:24 568:19	317:22 325:7	570:23 590:10	460:20
569:18 575:17	325:13 338:11	specificity	spronk 498:21
581:3	348:1 357:18	384:24	534:1
sorted 549:7	360:11 410:25	specified	square 451:11
sought 396:21	455:8 487:3	381:22 431:7	488:12
513:16	538:9,18 540:8	speculation	srba 332:16,21
sound 333:3	543:10 550:9	400:11	332:25 333:9
485:9	575:10 586:5	spell 533:21	403:23 556:1
sounds 365:10	594:6,14,23	spend 485:18	556:25
463:16 505:15	595:18,21,23	spends 397:15	stabilization
530:13 557:8	596:5	spent 436:18	345:4
557:16,17	southern	550:12	stacked 598:7
source 328:9	596:20 597:2	spikes 479:17	staff 370:13
332:17 333:1	southwest	splits 596:16	372:6 374:15
333:12 386:1	597:7	spoken 394:4	375:8,25 376:6
420:17 421:20	spaces 495:12	spread 489:19	384:24 397:3
422:18 445:23	500:12	spreadsheet	397:22 398:1,2
451:5 462:13	spackman	416:25 417:1	433:14 436:17
462:14 489:21	375:7	432:8 439:8,11	478:8,16 511:8
516:16 539:4		439:25 491:16	513:9 520:5,25

[staff - stream]

521.0 529.2	state 215.2	517.2 510.0	sticks 505.16
521:9 538:2	state 315:2	517:2 518:8	sticks 505:16
staffed 433:7	325:6 330:2,5	521:23 536:6	stillwater
staffing 415:23	330:10 339:22	536:13,14,17	368:13
416:3 433:10	342:15 343:2	537:6,11,13,15	stipulate
520:2	343:17,25	539:24 551:19	534:15,25
stand 520:21	345:19 359:12	552:23,24,25	612:11
553:1 594:20	368:8 384:18	555:13 557:6	stipulated
standard 373:1	390:19 391:25	564:13 572:8	326:12 340:20
382:7 385:17	393:10,19,23	573:5 586:2,11	534:4
389:1 401:7	394:9 409:1	591:20 592:2,4	stipulating
403:9 404:16	414:2 432:4	603:5	534:16
515:1 582:24	436:10,11	statements	stipulation
standards	445:7,14 470:7	335:7,14,19	340:19
475:12 485:11	471:15 486:24	338:15,16	stop 600:7
514:21	501:10 503:12	557:18,20	storage 386:19
stanton 554:16	503:12 508:3	591:23	387:23,23
556:14	519:13,22	states 415:16	388:17 480:23
start 379:13,17	520:4 522:17	426:10	481:5 509:18
379:24 387:1	533:21 541:21	stations 474:11	588:11 589:11
404:21 420:11	547:15,19	504:10	storm 490:10
435:18 438:6	571:13 577:18	status 327:1	505:1
469:5 476:7	594:12	534:17	storms 490:11
482:23 527:4,7	state's 400:15	statute 391:21	stormwater
532:16,22	401:18	stay 562:25	505:5 508:22
550:21 583:8	stated 358:3	stayed 479:6	520:14
584:10 594:2	454:19 487:10	steady 541:21	straightforward
598:23 601:16	489:23	547:15,19	444:13 512:7
started 383:2,3	statement	577:18	strain 452:8
472:3 483:1	336:21 338:23	stenographic	strategy 412:23
586:14 595:10	357:9 359:17	615:7	413:10
595:18	407:4 420:19	step 477:9,10	stream 397:16
starting 347:4	422:20 423:15	484:14 523:6	420:22 421:11
469:7,10 560:1	423:21 424:9	551:13	426:19 440:11
570:6	454:16,17	steps 480:2	556:25 563:19
	457:10 506:11		

[streamlined - sun]

streamlined	struggling	412:20 419:13	408:13 413:15
344:14	408:3	suggesting	413:19,21
streams 552:3	studied 433:10	554:13 583:15	414:5,12
556:6,7,22	434:8	suggestion	427:17 433:3
557:2 597:20	study 515:14	369:2,4 370:22	438:21 439:9
598:19	stuff 328:24	370:25 530:19	439:21 442:1,9
street 315:20	573:8	suggestions	469:7,10
316:10 317:22	stumbled 413:6	368:23 430:17	498:22 532:9
319:21 414:5	subject 352:13	430:21	532:16,25
487:4 533:25	352:20 392:7	suite 316:20	533:10,24
streets 479:15	423:16 462:17	317:22 318:9	534:5 544:19
487:15 503:1	submit 343:18	319:21	550:8 584:24
stressed 344:22	submitted	sukow 532:10	585:9 592:13
347:11	327:16 372:9	532:17 537:4	sullivan's
stretch 564:2	418:6,10	537:22 559:3	348:12 366:1
stretches	467:12 542:17	559:22 564:10	380:20,22,23
563:12	submitting	572:9 573:4	432:2 492:24
stricter 482:10	417:23	586:2 592:18	600:10 602:4
482:14	substance	593:2,9,11	608:4
strictly 359:20	485:24	608:13 612:22	summaries
strike 427:18	substances	sukow's 542:18	526:5 532:6
432:3	494:19	554:5 565:12	summarize
stringent	substantial	567:13 572:14	335:13 416:5
420:14,23	552:2,5	589:1 590:24	441:10
421:6	successful	599:9 600:10	summarizing
strong 444:21	520:22	600:14 601:3	540:25
structure	successive	sullivan 321:5	summary 350:2
431:23 477:7	352:6	322:9 324:14	492:24 494:6
497:3	sugar 466:9	348:7 362:17	499:5 503:17
structured	467:7,8	366:5,15	547:18 603:22
408:20	suggest 369:22	368:20,22	605:9 606:25
struggle 372:25	552:25 553:4	369:8,22	606:25 609:5
374:15	564:6	370:22 371:16	summer 463:9
struggled 375:4	suggested	374:21 376:17	sun 402:11
	374:22 380:16	380:3,21,24	403:13 405:13

[sun - system]

406:18 407:7	suppose 341:20	405:25 406:3	swan 437:19,22
411:14	365:21 515:8,8	420:6 428:22	swear 533:8
super 381:14	610:24	436:11,19	sweden 387:11
superintendent	supposed 437:3	449:16 451:5	sweep 574:24
470:23	437:25	496:12 505:20	switching
supervisory	supreme	516:8,10,13,18	376:16 469:6
471:21	423:18	528:10 532:2	sworn 325:3
supplement	sure 330:15	541:18 542:4	339:19 368:3
447:11 609:13	351:25 356:16	549:24 551:17	413:23 445:3
supplemental	356:17 357:16	552:3,10,19	470:3 486:20
405:24 424:17	359:13 360:8,9	553:6,25	501:7 533:12
571:23 598:10	360:18 362:12	555:12,16,18	594:7
607:8	362:21 374:11	555:24 556:2	synoptic 345:7
supplies 333:18	375:6 380:14	557:11 559:17	system 332:8
347:9,11,15	394:6 402:7	571:17,24,25	342:20 343:24
419:15 559:17	414:23 417:11	576:7,17,21,22	347:14,23,24
588:18	422:21 423:10	577:11 578:1	348:2 349:23
supply 327:9	426:7 428:25	586:21,24	352:9 353:2,8
348:5 429:6	429:21 439:6	587:1,9 595:20	353:16,18
445:23 447:12	439:17 443:10	595:23 597:9	386:20 415:4
452:9 473:7,13	443:14 452:9	597:22 598:9	424:15 425:6
489:15,17	455:1 459:19	598:11,13,21	426:2 431:3
490:2 497:23	487:13 488:4	598:22 601:15	434:4 435:9,20
499:7 559:16	492:9 495:23	603:15 607:7	435:22,22
600:5 603:13	499:21 518:5	607:14	436:2 446:3,3
604:5	524:14 543:5	surprise 407:12	446:7,11 448:6
supply's 496:6	571:15 581:1	407:16	448:14,17
support 520:10	596:7 604:5	surveyor	449:2,8,12
520:13 521:4	609:4	487:20	450:8,10 452:4
supporting	surface 315:9	suspended	461:15 463:4
351:22 608:20	325:2 326:2,19	475:13	471:24 474:9
608:25 609:4	332:12 337:12	sustain 591:13	489:17 490:5
supports	339:18 342:6	sustained 381:2	490:12,13
327:17	346:10 357:5	457:8	491:23 492:1,5
	395:4 396:12		492:7,10,13

[system - term]

	I	I	
493:3,8,13,15	tables 434:2,15	talk 335:23	tangent 584:5
495:18,21	609:5	379:23 402:15	tank 452:9
499:20 500:5	tabulated	438:13 442:23	tasked 605:16
500:15 503:22	560:22	466:12 520:20	605:17,19
504:8,12,24	tabulating	555:6,9 558:7	team 340:8
505:5 506:16	427:1	558:9 591:23	technically
507:10 512:21	tabulation	593:2 610:14	611:18
523:19 541:23	491:16	talked 374:13	technologies
565:13 570:15	tackle 384:1	379:22 393:1	485:8 515:20
systems 341:19	take 339:3	393:21 408:18	technology
341:24 342:1,6	343:12 345:1	412:13 416:16	347:7 356:6,14
347:12 356:4	354:24 379:9	416:19 417:15	483:15
428:20 434:10	400:16,17	421:13,15	teeth 392:10
460:5,12,18,19	442:21 443:2	426:25 431:12	tell 329:17
471:25 472:21	444:13 449:11	440:23 565:24	340:3 466:19
474:3 503:1,5	449:11 456:12	575:14 609:17	470:18 487:11
517:4,6 522:14	464:5,9,16,23	610:2	488:22 567:21
522:15 565:15	465:9,17	talking 334:13	598:6 613:15
567:15 596:1	466:24 477:25	334:15 352:3	ten 353:7
t	482:19 484:10	362:18 372:13	379:12 444:19
t 319:6 320:3	508:18 516:14	378:8 396:9	456:3 495:13
321:3 322:3,20	526:9,22 527:4	399:13 408:9	502:1 583:7
323:4	551:13 561:23	426:18 429:1	588:21 593:19
table 361:4	562:14 596:12	433:8 436:9	593:22
362:16 428:1	608:24 613:11	450:9 461:6	tenure 477:15
434:20,23	613:12 614:7	523:10 530:4	478:25
435:3,9,12,16	taken 338:17	546:6 548:11	term 515:12
440:7 491:22	338:17 407:1	550:12 563:7	518:6 541:2,13
491:24 492:6	480:7 482:20	569:14 575:5	541:16 542:2,9
498:11,16	508:20 520:14	575:21 576:22	542:11,24,25
499:3 510:21	522:9 523:20	576:24 577:3	545:9,11 547:3
520:20 523:16	615:4	582:16,17	547:24 575:2
545:12,14,21	takes 400:7	583:5 590:10	576:1,16 580:6
546:9 547:12	401:7 407:8,9	610:3	580:9 581:8
561:10	515:23 596:13		

[termination - things]

termination	testifying	541:24 563:2	521:14 523:23
381:25	362:10 366:15	580:21 581:23	523:25 524:25
terminology	376:17 408:13	585:13,17,25	531:12 532:23
570:20	409:19 468:13	590:13 592:21	547:22 580:4
terms 343:25	468:19 613:1	593:20 594:2	584:24 585:5
392:10 406:19	testimony	612:22 613:21	589:14 592:15
411:1 422:23	320:5,12,19	615:6,10	594:10 604:11
423:7 428:5	321:5,11,18,22	testing 510:17	604:13 607:16
507:11 517:21	322:5,9,15	511:5,17,24	607:25 613:23
517:23 562:20	326:13 327:16	tests 331:2	thanks 328:14
terry 324:12,14	348:7,10,21	teton 386:1	334:18 349:8
348:7	354:13 355:11	text 431:1	355:2 367:4
tested 510:23	355:12,14	thane 532:11	368:18 442:1
511:21	362:15,20,24	545:4 612:25	445:5 463:11
testified 325:3	364:1 367:23	thank 325:12	469:17 500:23
339:19 368:3	368:19 372:20	331:4,7 337:15	501:9,18
371:17 380:3	374:19,25	338:7 339:6,7	533:15 592:16
380:21 381:20	380:6,20,22,23	339:10,11	604:14 614:8
384:6 389:10	381:15 384:10	361:22,23	therefrom
392:11 413:23	388:14 389:2,7	366:22 367:3	351:20
431:5 433:13	389:8 399:12	398:25 401:22	thereof 381:23
433:22 434:4	399:15 401:17	401:22 410:9	523:22 540:4
441:9 445:3	401:21 408:5	410:14 412:8	thing 330:25
470:3 486:20	410:8 411:24	413:11,13	361:9 434:10
490:3 501:7	414:13 424:12	414:8 432:18	510:4 512:9
519:15 533:12	427:12 430:13	438:16 444:7	578:11 590:17
535:9 576:15	430:24 431:20	454:22 458:21	592:19 613:20
594:7 595:12	432:2,4 433:4	460:21 463:10	things 329:23
testifies 609:14	436:22 437:1,5	463:15,18	348:24 354:12
612:14	442:9,12,17	467:2,9 483:22	355:18 356:11
testify 435:4	444:13 448:23	486:1,9,10,12	399:25 415:22
468:1,9 526:8	459:12 487:20	487:5 488:18	438:6 447:6
610:19 611:5	500:21,23	494:11 497:25	479:8 482:12
612:7,8,12	519:19 524:15	498:2 500:16	497:5 507:2
613:1	532:5 541:1,19	500:20,24	513:22 518:15

[things - time]

548:9 557:18	448:22 449:15	584:4 586:1	thousand
613:10	449:17 464:4	589:5,17	345:22
think 324:15	464:15 468:14	590:22 591:22	thousands
325:25 326:12	469:12 482:22	592:12,17	373:11 394:22
334:16 338:3	483:10,14	593:10 595:7	413:3
343:23 344:22	486:11 488:16	600:24 603:9	thread 467:13
347:10 352:1	490:3 497:20	606:3 608:15	threatening
352:22 355:16	505:22 506:15	610:11 613:2	523:12
355:20 356:16	516:3 517:25	613:12,16	three 324:13
356:18,22	517:25 518:13	614:1	373:7,8 398:5
357:20 358:20	518:18 520:17	thinking 462:9	402:20 439:12
361:18 362:16	520:21 521:5	528:16 580:14	439:25 441:10
363:21 367:1,8	522:2,10 524:6	thinnest 544:9	441:11 445:25
368:10 371:21	526:16 527:17	544:18	479:18 495:16
372:10 375:3	528:1,19	third 357:8	516:11 527:5
380:16,19	530:18 531:4	426:8 449:18	527:19 529:11
381:12 383:4	533:7 535:10	thompson	529:16,22
385:18 388:14	538:16 539:22	317:5 320:6,13	533:16 547:16
393:12,20	541:6,10,12,12	321:24 324:19	547:17 565:17
394:13 398:20	541:14 545:7	324:20 325:17	599:20 600:14
400:25 403:6,6	546:7,16	326:16 328:13	600:20 601:8
404:3 406:2	547:14,24	340:2,18,23	613:6
408:8 409:25	548:3,12,17,22	349:6 364:18	threshold
412:23 416:1	550:18 552:1	366:24 367:5,8	548:8
416:13 418:16	553:10,21	438:17,19	thresholds
418:25 420:20	557:15 558:3	442:14 486:2,3	372:1
421:24 422:10	559:25 560:3	498:5,6 500:16	tie 504:8
425:1,11 427:5	562:6,7 563:16	528:6,12	tiered 497:2
427:8,11,25	564:13 572:24	thorough 533:5	time 329:20
431:5 433:21	574:3,14,15,20	thought 464:8	330:11,22
434:6,23	575:5,6,12,14	466:6 478:13	332:23 333:19
435:20 438:20	576:9,12	518:2 526:21	345:15 354:11
441:8 442:14	577:23 579:3	534:13 553:1	368:11 371:1
443:6,10	579:17 582:11	579:25 614:3	371:18 378:7
444:11,22	582:13 583:2		381:17 383:25

[time - treating]

	I	I	ı
397:15 398:4,6	487:20 501:18	561:24	transient 538:3
401:1 408:21	514:22 532:18	town 596:10	542:18,21
411:8 414:20	535:15 593:14	track 417:2	547:20 577:7
414:25 429:11	595:8 611:7,10	422:13 489:6	577:11,13,18
436:18 439:12	together	490:14,21	577:22
449:12 458:14	520:21 522:3,6	524:19	transit 503:2
467:21 480:1	527:6,6	tracked 506:17	translate
482:3,20 497:1	toilets 479:7	tracking	601:11
502:8,13	told 583:25	342:10 355:13	travel 562:2,4,7
511:12 515:9	tomorrow	375:4 376:1	travis 317:5
515:18 520:1	608:17 609:13	386:19 387:19	442:13 498:6
526:9 529:4	610:3,19	traditional	528:8
531:2 541:5	612:10,18,21	447:15,16	treat 377:23
545:18 547:15	613:1 614:8	training 471:8	428:14 473:5
550:12 551:14	tonight 614:7	transactions	475:11 504:20
562:2,4,7	took 371:18	387:9	514:25
571:6,22	509:6 570:24	transcribed	treated 327:20
573:23 584:18	top 335:7	615:7	328:6 336:4,19
588:3 593:10	398:18 472:18	transcript	337:9 339:3
613:16 615:5	481:1 516:25	315:14 443:5,8	407:8,20 421:3
times 354:12	563:21 564:8	transfer 390:11	422:16 427:23
356:5 425:20	566:16	390:12 394:21	435:11 440:1,6
451:16 479:18	topic 465:13	395:4,6,7,13	440:10,25
481:4 594:19	topics 379:24	396:1,10,11	450:4 457:12
595:12 602:17	torn 378:18,19	397:23 402:10	475:4 477:14
timing 495:11	378:21	402:13,17	480:22 481:2
528:18 532:19	total 422:18	406:19 407:23	491:1 496:1
552:13	441:5 478:7	409:4,19	507:7 517:11
tiny 580:12,23	495:5 502:9	419:24 431:16	518:10
title 345:21	totalizer	431:19 548:8	treating 376:18
440:3	478:16	transferred	412:2 428:11
titled 440:9,25	tough 613:13	381:23 474:12	482:11,13
today 324:3,15	toward 335:24	transfers 390:1	485:11,12
379:23 433:4	towards 356:20	398:3 419:13	514:21 515:6
438:21 442:3	420:21 432:15		

[treatment - turning]

	I	I	I
treatment	515:4	350:8,23	549:7 550:15
327:14,25	trend 327:11	358:13 359:25	563:25 574:15
330:21 348:4	327:17 331:17	392:16 420:24	584:5 590:23
356:2 411:22	346:17 347:20	421:24 534:9	591:11,22
420:14,23	trends 347:7	551:19 552:23	611:9
421:5 426:19	421:11 479:3	554:4 555:13	tschohl 325:9
446:3,6,10,14	triangle 563:1	557:24 567:9	367:11 444:1
448:21 453:23	565:15,19	579:14 586:6	524:21,24
458:14 470:21	567:8 570:22	615:9	525:2,8 530:4
470:23 471:15	571:3,7,18	trust 334:10,12	530:9 531:17
471:23 473:4	572:3 575:10	334:12 406:8	tthompson
474:4,12,13	582:10 596:5	406:11,12	317:8
475:8,10 476:3	596:14,18	418:1 422:15	turn 335:1
476:5,13,20	597:3,7,9,21	422:17,18,25	336:23 341:11
478:21 479:23	598:1,4,19	423:1,5 437:15	357:6 360:19
481:1 483:6	601:12,15,19	437:16,17,24	361:2 402:6
484:11 485:5,8	601:25 603:15	505:12	420:7 449:18
487:24 490:5,8	604:8,9 605:1	try 345:18	462:7 469:21
490:15 491:8	605:4	364:17 379:25	472:10,16
491:19 494:14	tributaries	384:23 391:17	474:20 478:4
494:15,22	597:12,15	410:5 413:6	480:10 488:13
495:17 500:4	603:1	434:19 468:24	491:12 492:19
504:13,16	tributary 496:9	490:11 509:7	493:19 498:10
506:15 507:4	496:10 552:21	513:16 522:3	498:25 503:13
508:18 510:7	597:14	533:5 567:17	505:19,25
511:9,11	tried 434:25	567:19 591:21	508:4 510:3
513:24,25	554:12 567:10	603:10 613:3	516:22,24
515:12,22	567:11 576:16	trying 329:21	532:21 536:9
517:10 518:14	591:23	329:22 348:12	570:3 571:7,20
522:15	trim 548:7	352:1,22 362:9	598:23
treatments	tripping 380:15	362:23 388:20	turned 378:18
494:13	true 332:11,15	401:5 448:2	378:19,21
treats 360:17	332:19 333:8	466:5 492:16	463:6
376:24 407:9	333:14,20	493:15 494:24	turning 346:24
504:5 512:10	337:2 346:14	496:24 499:20	423:13 427:16

[twice - unique]

twice 511:4	513:22 514:17	396:13 407:21	understanding
twin 316:15	516:14	415:11 423:15	326:15 328:22
317:7 333:7,15	typical 387:5	437:1 447:1,2	336:13 338:20
411:17 466:13	394:8 528:14	447:14,20	338:25 339:1
466:14	typically 342:4	456:12 465:9	340:22 357:23
two 336:24	391:13	478:18 485:2	374:24 375:1
368:22 373:7,8		488:11,12	380:8,10 408:6
385:24 398:4,5	u	489:7 493:25	419:20 426:15
399:24 408:18	u.s. 428:19	494:19 511:14	447:24 450:12
411:19,20	uh 383:15	546:11,12,24	452:22 453:2
421:17 431:5,5	389:11 398:24	570:15 583:4	463:16 508:24
440:3 446:1,15	403:25 406:21	615:8	514:16 515:2
447:6,15	408:23	undergo 396:2	522:11 523:5
455:25 479:18	ultimately	underground	526:14 532:15
495:13 499:24	347:14 353:17	562:11	534:9 537:19
517:21 522:3	396:6 412:2	underneath	549:22 590:3
524:11 528:20	541:9 559:23	505:23 537:10	understood
528:21 529:19	560:3	understaffed	355:11 380:22
529:20 530:7	unanswered	394:8	384:10 430:24
530:14 531:21	553:18	understand	498:21 583:24
534:12 538:15	unasked	336:16 359:5	593:8,18
546:10 565:17	553:17	365:6 380:5	undertake
583:6 586:21	unauthorized	388:20 396:22	510:18 572:9
591:25 602:1	391:7	408:3 437:16	undertaken
type 342:11,18	unavailable	437:19,21,24	516:6 520:23
344:19,20	382:9,10	448:12 461:23	523:10
347:20 361:15	395:25	461:24 462:4	undoubtedly
373:12 375:2	uncapable 354:14	462:11,14	426:1
379:11 387:20		492:8,23	unfortunately
388:22 389:14	under 330:16 333:8 334:4	499:25 545:22	483:14 509:5
428:3 518:4	352:4 353:8	559:10,21	550:17
549:19 572:11	359:10 361:4	566:25 581:16	unfunded
575:25	369:6 375:24	583:23 586:1	520:19
types 342:3	377:19 382:2	588:17 593:3,5	unique 387:21
507:2,23	388:7 389:17	611:4	401:15 514:2,7

[unique - users]

570:17	uptake 512:4	420:15 422:12	518:9 569:15
universally	urban 421:4	423:17,23	570:14 571:24
422:11	urbanized	424:11 426:4	572:6 573:16
unmitigated	502:20	427:2,6 428:3	577:15,21
571:11	usage 416:23	428:11,16	595:16 601:11
unnecessary	516:15 581:22	429:6 430:25	605:1
612:16	use 315:8 327:9	431:9 432:15	used 341:19
unpack 554:12	328:2 330:2,6	432:16 433:9	342:7 348:13
unplowed	330:10 334:6	434:22 435:2,9	351:15 366:17
569:12	336:7,19	435:10,10,10	366:19 381:24
unreasonable	342:25 347:19	435:11 439:11	394:21 401:16
358:15 408:15	348:18 351:9	440:19,22	414:20 419:17
408:15	351:16 352:6	441:3,6,7,13	456:19 457:18
unused 395:10	352:14,21	445:18 447:11	473:3 476:24
396:7	356:14,15	448:12,18	512:1 517:15
unusual 392:2	363:1 364:24	449:9 450:4,17	517:24 518:19
392:5	365:3,6,7	450:22 451:9	538:22 539:25
upcoming	366:7 369:6,9	451:21 455:12	555:4,5 570:19
529:21	371:1,2,4,4	455:14,17	577:13,22,24
update 346:7	375:12 377:5,9	456:11,19	580:14 598:7
407:24 409:4	377:14,18	457:11,25	user 332:5,8
updating	378:10,12,22	459:9 460:7,8	333:18 336:4
515:10	379:4 380:11	460:14 461:17	352:5 389:6,19
upper 319:3	381:7,11,15,24	462:10,10,12	391:1,6,16,18
567:2 568:4	386:4,19 389:3	463:3 472:20	412:21 417:17
573:24	391:7,24 395:2	472:20 474:17	582:2
upstream	395:2,13	481:24 482:15	users 319:4
532:2 536:24	396:19,25	482:18 483:2	331:23 333:22
552:20 553:22	399:20,24	484:17 490:9	333:25 334:3
555:2 558:1	400:2,15	492:24,25	342:23 343:6
563:16 568:6	401:18 403:12	494:7 496:18	343:16,17
568:13 586:22	405:15,25	496:25 497:4	350:3 353:19
586:24 587:10	406:10 415:9	497:22 498:21	357:2 392:3
589:6 607:5,10	416:23 417:3	500:13 506:25	400:16 415:8
	418:13 419:23	517:3,4,8	423:24 424:18

[users - want]

427:9,14	v	verify 438:8	390:7,17,21,24
452:20 456:8	valley 316:13	verifying 343:7	391:2 495:6
457:21 462:2	319:3 338:11	versa 432:17	volumes 476:25
473:19 474:1	402:11 403:13	version 386:21	477:1 479:4,6
485:7,22 518:4	405:13 406:18	601:21	479:20
520:8 540:9	407:7 410:25	versus 335:8	voluntary
543:3 544:14	411:14 455:8	351:5 389:14	451:24 452:11
549:24 571:7	496:23 540:8	399:14 411:23	452:12,16
571:18 573:15	543:10 550:9	423:18 425:4	462:25 463:1
576:21 595:20	594:6,23	492:1 505:5	497:11,13
595:22,23	595:18,21,23	vice 432:17	W
598:4,9 601:15	valuable 415:5	view 358:13	w 318:16 320:3
uses 327:19	481:21	388:18 394:14	321:3 322:3
352:7 376:19	values 332:2	407:5 483:16	wade 417:20
376:19,25,25	345:23 346:21	517:9 583:16	wait 376:4
377:22,24	431:24	viewed 591:6	430:2 609:2
378:1,6,14,14	valve 460:17	violated 453:3	612:10
378:16 399:14	variability	violating 497:7	waiting 375:13
399:14 447:20	378:22	violation 391:4	waiting 375.13 waiting 465:17
460:3 476:19	variable 370:23	391:10,11,14	want 326:14
476:22 504:7	371:5 387:22	392:4 521:10	344:10 359:13
505:6 506:11	408:12 410:3	violations	379:24 384:8
using 343:25	varies 552:1	413:9 513:15	389:20 435:24
344:1 366:16	564:7	521:8,24 522:1	455:15 458:9
448:17,18	various 332:14	522:16 523:2,3	459:8 465:17
571:23 577:6	342:3,14,24	virtually 537:1	485:16,18
577:10,24	347:5 516:19	537:2 579:19	497:13 510:22
578:2 580:13	532:6	vision 409:24	513:19 521:7
605:24	vary 511:6	vista 470:9	522:5 524:12
usually 505:15	vast 507:6	void 376:12	524:14 526:14
utah 503:12	veolia 318:20	voided 392:16	528:6 543:5
utilize 489:22	364:13 467:20	392:22	548:22 553:4
516:10	467:25	volume 315:9	555:9 557:12
uv 476:17	verbiage	345:22 373:2	574:12 586:19
477:19 484:14	366:18	389:16,22	600:7 610:12
	200.10		000.7 010.12

[wanted - water]

wanted 328:21	477:15 478:21	333:5,6,8,11,23	370:1,6,10,20
402:15 435:19	479:22 480:20	334:3,11,12,12	371:8,15,20
461:3 464:9	481:10,14,17	337:12 338:12	372:2,7,12,21
479:25 539:23	482:1 484:10	339:18 340:5,5	373:3,7,9,11,11
548:22 589:22	485:10,19	341:19,21	373:25 374:6,8
590:13 591:19	487:14,24	342:1,3,4,6,6	374:19,23
606:15 610:14	488:2 490:4,8	342:11,14,24	375:4,17,17,22
warning 391:8	490:13,15	342:25 343:3,5	377:3 378:4,9
warranted	491:7,19 494:7	343:6 344:2,9	378:13,23,25
344:20	494:13,15	344:13,24	379:1,3 380:5
wash 378:21	496:1 503:1,5	345:3,22,25	380:13 381:4
washing 378:11	504:5,9,13,13	346:8,10,16	381:21,25
378:11	504:20 506:15	347:4,4,7,11,13	382:1 383:8
waste 411:6	507:4 508:18	347:15,17,25	385:1,3 386:1
573:1	508:22 509:18	348:3,4,13,14	386:2,4,5,5,10
wastewater	510:7 511:6,9	348:17 350:1,9	386:11,15,16
327:2,20 328:8	511:10,11	351:11 352:4,5	386:18,21,23
330:16,21	512:14,20	352:8,10,11,13	386:24 387:6
331:15,19	513:3 514:5	352:16,23,25	387:10,12,15
347:17 360:12	515:5,10,12	353:6,13,14,16	387:20,22,23
361:19 407:9	517:10 518:14	353:18,19	387:24 388:16
407:20 408:25	519:11,21	354:5,8,9,14	389:1,5,13,15
411:21 416:20	520:12,13	355:8,17,22	389:17,19
420:13,16,22	522:15	356:3,15,19	390:20,23
421:15 426:19	water 315:1,5,9	357:2,5,9,13,15	391:1,6,7,16,18
427:23 428:3	315:19 316:6,8	357:16,21,21	391:25 392:3,4
428:10,20	316:13 317:10	357:25 358:9	392:21 393:6
441:14 446:3,6	318:20 319:4	358:22,25	394:17,19,22
446:10,14	319:11 325:2	359:10 360:10	394:23 395:5,9
448:20 450:4	326:2,19 327:9	360:11 363:2	395:19,22,25
450:23 457:11	327:15 328:2,9	364:13,19	396:2,4,7,12
457:12 470:21	328:12 330:2,6	365:12,14,17	397:20,20,21
471:5,14,23	330:10,13,18	365:20,23	397:25 399:9
473:4 474:14	331:23 332:5,7	368:3,24 369:5	399:16,17
475:4,10,12	332:12,15,25	369:7,13,25	400:3,7,8,8,15

[water - water]

	Т		
400:16,18,18	427:9,17	482:13,15,17	543:2,11
401:6,7,18	428:10,22	483:2 484:10	544:14 548:24
402:11,11,21	429:6 430:11	484:20 485:7	549:24 550:9
403:13,15	430:18 431:2	485:22 487:14	551:17 552:10
404:6,9,21	432:9 433:6,9	487:23,24	552:19 553:6
405:1,3,13,15	436:12,14,19	488:2,23,24,25	555:12,16,19
405:25 406:3,6	437:2,2,11,17	489:3,6,7,21,24	555:24 556:3,9
406:8,10,11,11	437:24 445:18	490:2,12	556:13,15
406:12,15,18	445:20,24	492:24 494:6	557:11 559:16
406:22,25	446:6,10,24	495:17,19	559:17 560:17
407:3,7,20,24	447:1,11,11,14	496:5,18,25	560:25 561:5,6
408:1,17,18,19	447:20 448:12	497:2,4,21,22	561:23 562:2,5
409:2,4,5,6,11	448:17,18	497:23 498:22	562:24 563:19
409:15 410:6	449:16 451:4,5	499:5,7,12,19	565:13 566:5
410:25 411:14	451:9,16,21	499:19,19	567:7,11,14,25
411:15,18,23	452:20,21,22	500:1,10 503:2	568:7,16,20,20
411:25 412:1,2	453:23 454:13	503:4,18,22,23	569:16 570:14
412:21 413:3,4	455:8,15,19,22	504:9 505:20	570:24 571:5,7
414:14,17,17	456:7,8,11,13	506:2,9,25	571:10,17,18
414:19,24	456:19,23	507:1,7,12	571:21,24,25
415:1,4,6,8,23	457:18,25	509:17 511:18	573:1,13 576:7
415:24 416:4,4	459:7,11,13,23	513:19 514:8	576:17,20,21
416:6,11 417:3	461:7,16,24	514:21,25	576:22 577:1
417:16 418:7	462:15 463:3	515:1,7,21,24	577:11 578:1
418:19 419:15	465:19 466:24	516:9,10,13,16	580:12,15
419:18,19,23	472:20,20,24	516:17,19	582:17,18,23
419:24 420:5,6	473:3,5,7,10,13	517:3,4,6	583:1,6,7,7,8
420:16 422:2	473:16,17,24	518:9,17,19	584:13,13
422:15,17,18	474:2,10,15,17	519:3,18,24	586:21,24
423:16,22,23	474:18 475:1,6	520:9,10,25	587:9,23 588:2
423:24,25	475:16,17,23	521:3 526:4,18	588:3,8 594:7
424:2,6,6,9,10	476:12,19,24	528:10 532:2	594:23 595:15
424:18,18,22	476:25 477:1	534:1 536:24	595:16,19,20
425:3,8,16,24	478:20 480:25	540:8,8,9	595:23,24
426:2,12,21,25	481:21,22	541:18 542:4	596:12,13,17

[water - witness]

597:4,6,9,14,14	385:21 403:20	529:16,17,20	widespread
597:16,22	404:2 408:20	602:1	372:16,19
598:3,4,7,9,12	417:19 421:12	weighing	373:13 436:15
598:13,16,21	424:17 428:14	546:22	willing 549:25
598:22 599:22	431:8,21 433:7	weighs 509:19	winter 588:10
600:3 601:11	443:14,15	welcome	withdraw
601:15 603:14	444:14 475:3	368:17	400:21
603:14,15	481:12 501:13	wells 346:9	withdrawals
604:6 605:1	504:15 515:4	390:21 446:1	344:23
607:8,14	515:13 532:14	453:10 462:6,7	witness 325:2,7
watering	539:25 542:12	463:6 473:10	325:13 326:10
451:13	542:13 546:15	489:18,19	326:14 339:11
watermaster	551:22 552:7	490:1 499:6	339:18,23
372:20 374:6,9	561:8 567:20	519:8 562:22	340:16,21
384:7 385:19	567:22 568:5	562:25 568:13	360:23 367:4
386:11,15	577:13 582:10	571:23 598:23	368:2,6,10
387:12,16,18	593:11 596:13	wellsprings	394:3 400:24
388:15 403:10	ways 336:6	317:16 468:18	401:2,4 413:22
409:12 427:13	546:2	wendell 427:21	414:4 439:3
watermaster's	we've 325:23	went 341:22	442:23 444:10
385:22	367:17 373:9	362:25 392:12	445:2,9 463:18
watermasters	385:25 393:1	392:13 434:2	469:12 470:2,8
372:24,25	408:17 411:24	434:24 447:12	470:12 483:18
373:5,6,10	438:13,20	531:22	486:12,19
374:14 385:25	448:2,3 464:18	west 316:20	487:2,21
387:1,4 409:13	468:5 509:2	319:21 347:11	488:18 498:2
419:3	521:9 522:5,13	347:21 395:19	500:22,24
waters 347:23	555:17 602:17	597:7	501:6,12
351:13 353:1,8	weaver 315:17	western 415:16	523:25 524:15
408:25 425:6	324:2 469:19	428:19 474:16	532:5 533:11
way 341:18	week 529:3	wetland 428:22	533:17 534:5
348:21,23	weekly 475:21	whatnot 511:3	543:14 544:17
356:13,24	478:1	whereof 615:14	547:14,20
367:17 372:4	weeks 528:20	white 503:14	551:1 558:24
377:17,22	528:21 529:11		565:4 580:5

[witness - wrote]

585:2 589:18	554:14,19	605:24 607:6,7	439:9 470:25
592:14,16	555:19 556:3,7	607:12 613:3	471:2,4 502:6
594:6,14,24	556:9,14	word 586:9	502:9 520:15
595:9 615:14	559:18 560:20	words 389:5	522:13 595:20
witnesses	562:12 563:13	406:22 408:12	working
367:18 442:20	567:4,7,12,14	538:16 574:12	329:19 409:13
463:24 467:12	568:1,4,7,7,14	579:4,9 591:6	425:23 442:19
467:17 468:24	568:16,17,18	work 325:20,21	492:16 495:15
469:3,16	568:18,21,25	326:7 329:11	500:3 509:6
483:16 532:7	569:6,8 570:13	329:13,15	522:3 595:17
534:17	571:1,2,10,16	330:20,22	595:18
wkf 318:19	572:4 573:7,9	340:4,5,13	works 425:24
wondering	573:10,17,24	359:6,18 360:3	463:17 487:2
592:19	574:5 575:16	372:24 376:14	487:13 501:23
wood 315:4	575:23 577:16	388:22,22,25	501:25 502:4,7
318:13 319:3,3	577:17 578:5	394:14 397:19	502:23 509:22
324:9 403:5	578:24 579:6	398:12 408:11	509:24 529:23
407:11,21	579:11,20	419:1,3 435:16	613:20
411:7,7 452:25	580:8,15,17,22	467:17 470:8	world 365:13
490:20 491:9	580:22 581:3,4	470:19,20	394:14
491:11 496:10	581:6,8,10,19	480:19 487:3	worry 583:10
496:13,15,23	582:3,5 585:9	501:13,20	584:8 588:18
499:14 532:1	586:4 587:11	502:4 509:8,12	wrap 532:17
535:25 536:6	587:15,20	511:9 513:19	write 337:3
536:22,25	588:4,8 589:7	514:16 520:14	528:6,8
537:3,18	589:11 590:11	532:19 598:7	writer 509:12
538:12,18	590:19,21	612:23 613:17	509:22
540:9,9 541:3	592:6 595:16	614:5	written 367:8
541:4,9 544:11	597:10,11,14	workday 488:7	409:2,8
544:12 545:2	598:15,16,18	worked 330:23	wrong 348:23
545:10 551:7,7	599:25 600:3	347:21,22	366:3 424:11
551:17 552:18	600:11,16	353:5 362:6,7	570:19 586:9
552:20,22	601:10,13	373:15,16	595:6
553:5,14,15,19	602:21 603:1,3	383:11,13	wrote 466:19
553:20,23	603:13 604:5	409:10 418:24	

[x - zero]

X	497:17 499:15	479:18 481:4	541:23 547:16
x 320:1 321:1	504:6 505:7	495:13 505:13	552:11 582:20
322:1,20 323:2	506:4 508:5	511:4 515:13	582:22 584:1
323:4	513:6 522:21	520:5,5,6	588:21
xyz 407:22	524:8,10,21	531:24 538:4	yellow 440:14
	528:3 529:1	540:20,22,24	yep 364:7
y	530:18,20	541:16,23	530:23
yeager 321:22	533:17 534:20	542:1,22,25	yesterday
468:2 486:14	535:10 536:21	547:17 554:20	324:13 326:12
486:16,18	538:1 540:17	554:21,22	340:19 345:18
487:2,9 498:1	542:10,21	555:4 560:12	348:6,10
498:6	543:5,14,17,18	575:16,19,25	350:14 359:1
yeah 341:16	543:22 544:3,4	576:8,12 577:1	362:17 367:7
342:12 343:9	544:13,16,17	577:2,22 578:2	368:20 371:16
343:23 346:15	547:10 554:7	578:12,13	371:17,19
347:10,22	555:6 559:25	579:17 583:22	374:18,25
355:15 360:18	561:4,8 564:13	587:12,15	376:17 378:16
360:18,24	566:1 570:19	599:13,20	379:23 381:12
362:20 368:10	576:5 577:15	600:18,22	384:10 416:9
389:9 390:4	578:25 583:12	601:4	416:14,24
393:16 406:12	585:2 587:2	yearly 462:17	421:14 428:2
408:19 410:18	588:23 593:4	years 343:12	429:13 432:8
414:19 420:20	599:12 601:2	353:6,7 373:16	433:4 434:2,3
420:22 422:3	602:5 608:3,7	375:23 387:11	434:15,20
422:21 427:11	609:21 611:20	401:16 437:25	436:22 439:8
429:1,4,7,25	614:1	447:11 448:3	439:25 440:12
430:4 431:19	year 346:13	456:3 466:17	440:23 441:9
432:5 434:12	375:21,21	471:3,6,11,18	441:12 443:6
438:13 439:6	377:7,8 383:22	479:2,10 483:1	yukon 512:15
442:6 444:9	383:22 384:13	487:16,18	Z
448:13 463:20	387:1,4,11	491:18,20	zach 532:10
464:2 465:14	398:8 436:20	494:17 495:16	535:8,10
466:2 467:4,14	447:12 448:7	502:1,9,12	612:24
474:8 482:2,6	452:2 456:2	508:16,24	zero 440:15
484:12 486:10	472:9 479:12	515:13 519:22	ZCIU 440.13
494:4,4 496:16			

[zoning - zoning]

zoning	457:3,4