John K. Simpson, ISB #4242 Travis L. Thompson, ISB #6168 Abigail R. Bitzenburg, ISB #12198 MARTEN LAW LLP

163 Second Avenue West P.O. Box 63

Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-0063 Telephone: (208) 733-0700

Email: jsimpson@martenlaw.com tthompson@martenlaw.com

abitzenburg@martenlaw.com

Attorneys for A&B Irrigation District, Burley Irrigation District, Milner Irrigation District, North Side Canal Company, and Twin Falls Canal Company Norman M. Semanko, ISB #4761 Garrett M. Kitamura, ISB #11502 PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER

800 W. Main St. Suite 1300

Boise, Idaho 83702

Telephone: (208) 562-4900

Email: <u>nsemanko@parsonsbehle.com</u> <u>gkitamura@parsonsbehle.com</u>

Attorneys for American Falls Reservoir
District #2 and Minidoka Irrigation District



BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF THE MITIGATION PLAN OF FALLS WATER CO. INC.

IN THE MATTER OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF WATER TO VARIOUS WATER RIGHTS HELD BY AND FOR THE BENEFIT OF A&B IRRIGATIO NDISTRICT, AMERICAN FALLS RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2, BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY, AND TWIN FALLS CANAL COMPANY

Docket No.: CM-MP-2024-004 Docket No.: CM-DC-2010-001

SURFACE WATER COALITION'S JOINT PROTEST

COME NOW, A&B IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AMERICAN FALLS RESERVOIR

DISTRICT #2, BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,

MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY and TWIN FALLS

CANAL COMPANY (hereinafter referred to as the "Coalition" or "SWC"), by and through their

attorneys of record, Marten Law LLP and Parsons Behle & Latimer, and hereby file this *Joint*Protest to the approval of the Falls Water Co., Inc. 's CM Rule 43 Mitigation Plan ("Mitigation Plan"), filed with the Idaho Department of Water Resources ("Department") on November 22, 2024 by Falls Water Co. Inc. ("Falls Water") pursuant to the provisions of Conjunctive Management Rule 43, IDWR Procedural Rule 250, and other applicable law.

The SWC is authorized to oppose the Mitigation Plan because the Plan attempts to mitigate injury to the SWC's senior surface water rights caused by Falls Water's junior priority ground water rights. The initial bases for SWC's *Protest* are as follows:

- 1. The proposed Mitigation Plan purports to adopt, without the Coalition's consent, a formula for mitigation that is represented to be similar to the Cities' mitigation plan approved by IDWR on April 9, 2019. Whether this proposed formula is appropriate or agreeable to the Coalition has yet to be determined.
- 2. The Mitigation Plan does not identify, with particularity, the water rights' current use and potential injury to the Coalition's senior surface water rights. Falls Water lists a "collective pumping for 2023" of "5,501 acre-feet" without specifying the location and which water rights were used for what purposes. No other information is supplied regarding Falls Water's water right use.
- 3. The Mitigation Plan does not identify, with particularity, circumstances or limitations on the availability of the storage water supply proposed to be used for mitigation. Further the Mitigation Plan does not identify, with particularity, the location of the Progressive Irrigation District recharge facilities, and the dates water will be recharged for purposes of mitigation. Further, the Mitigation Plan does not provide any authorization from the Idaho Water Resource Board for purposes of recharging mitigation water in the Board's facilities.
 - 4. The Mitigation Plan proposes to receive credit for "conversions" and "dry ups"

that are not defined with particularity, including location, water rights involved, and the estimated benefits that might accrue from the projects.

- 5. The Mitigation Plan proposes to receive credit for recharge of municipal effluent without any details, evidence of water rights for such purposes, or other required approvals for such a use.
- 6. The Mitigation Plan does not adequately identify "contingency provisions to assure protection of the senior-priority right in the event the mitigation water source becomes unavailable" and therefore violates CM Rule 43.03.c.
- 7. The Mitigation Plan does not identify how injury to SWC's right to reasonable carryover storage will be addressed.
- 8. In general, the Mitigation Plan is vague and ambiguous, does not provide for adequate mitigation, furnishes no certainty that the mitigation water will be delivered to prevent injury, does not supply a reliable source of replacement water, could result in diversion and use of ground water at a rate beyond the reasonably anticipated average rate of future natural recharge, and otherwise fails to adequately mitigate for injury caused by Falls Water's junior priority ground water rights.
 - 9. For such other and further reasons as may be discovered.

DATED this 31st day of March, 2024.

MARTEN LAW LLP

PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER

/s/ Travis L. Thompson
John K. Simpson
Travis L. Thompson
Abby R. Bitzenburg

/s/ Norman M. Semanko
Norman M. Semanko
Garrett M. Kitamura

Attorneys for A&B Irr. Dist., Burley Irr. Dist., Milner Irr. Dist., North Side Canal Company, and Twin Falls Canal Company Attorney for American Falls Reservoir District #2 & Minidoka Irrigation District

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 31st day of March, 2025, I served a copy of the foregoing SURFACE WATER COALITION'S JOINT PROTEST, by hand-delivery to the following:

Idaho Department of Water Resources Southern Region 650 Addison Ave W, Ste 500 Twin Falls, Idaho 83301-5858

Via Email to:

Robert L. Harris Holden Kidwell Hahn & Crapo PLLC P.O. Box 50130 Idaho Falls, Idaho 83405 rharris@holdenlegal.com

/s/ Travis L. Thompson
Travis L. Thompson