

From: [Hyrum Webb](#)
To: simpson.mail@mail.house.gov; [Ragan, Brian](#); bfuhriman@house.idaho.gov; jvanorden@senate.idaho.gov; dcannon@house.idaho.gov
Subject: Potential Legal Challenges of the Lost River merge with the Snake River
Date: Wednesday, March 26, 2025 11:53:57 AM
Attachments: [Potential Legal challenges of the Lost River Merge.docx](#)

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Please view the attached persuasion that covers my full argument. Here is a summary of some of the major points.

1. The Lost River ground water users have not injured Snake River Water users.
2. The merge would injure Lost River ground water users doubly due to separate weather that effects drought and due to curtailment of the Snake River.
3. The distance and relation between the two systems is not evidence based.
4. The merge of the two systems would cause a loss of common water.
5. The buoyancy effect has not been previously discussed in our constitution and common water is defined by water collection. Such science manipulates the prior appropriation doctrine and enormously and unethically expands the definition of groundwater tributaries.
6. The Lost River does not effect the flow of the Snake River's flow to hydroelectric dams. Therefore, they are unconnected to curtailments that result from water demands made by hydroelectric dams.