AGENDA

IDAHO WATER RESOURCE BOARD

Finance Committee Meeting No. 4-18
July 17, 2018 at 10:30 a.m.
Idaho Water Center
Conference Rooms C and D
322 E. Front St.
Boise, Idaho

1. Introductions and Attendance
2. FY 2019 Secondary Aquifer Planning, Management and Implementation Fund Budget*
3. Flood Management Grant Recommendations*
4. Other Items for Discussion
5. Adjourn

Committee Members: Vince Alberdi (Chair), Al Barker, Roger Chase, & Dale Van Stone

*Action Item: A vote of recommendation regarding this item may be made at this meeting. Identifying an item as an action item on the agenda does not require a vote to be taken on the item.

Americans with Disabilities
The meeting will be held in facilities that meet the accessibility requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act. If you require special accommodations to attend, participate in, or understand the meeting, please make advance arrangements by contacting Department staff by email nikki.regent@idwr.idaho.gov or by phone at (208) 287-4800.
## FY2019 Budget for the Secondary Aquifer Fund

### Carry-Over From FY18:
- General Fund (HB 677): $2,898,760
- IGWA Loan Repayment to the Secondary Fund: $5,000,000
- DOE-INL SEP Funds ($2.068 M over 3 years): $690,000
- Estimated interest: $250,000

**Total:** $17,838,760

### Budget Tracking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Sub-Category</th>
<th>FY19 Budget Approved</th>
<th>(as FY19 Amended Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ESPA Managed Recharge Operations</strong></td>
<td>Conveyance Cost</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equipment &amp; Supplies</td>
<td>$850,000</td>
<td>$850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recharge Monitoring</td>
<td>$554,550</td>
<td>$554,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regional Monitoring</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,334,550</td>
<td>$4,334,550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Managed Recharge Projects | Budgeted Projects | North Side Wilson Canyon Site | $1,750,000 | $1,900,000 |
| | AFRD2 MP29 Site | $2,150,000 | $2,150,000 |
| | South Fork and Other Small Upper Valley Sites | $1,000,000 | $1,000,000 |
| A&R Injection Wells | $550,000 | $550,000 |
| **Reserved for additional recharge infrastructure projects** | $500,000 | $100,000 |
| **TOTAL** | $6,950,000 | $7,100,000 |

| Managed Recharge Investigations | Budgeted Investigations | North Side Recharge Sites | $200,000 | $200,000 |
| | Large Upper Valley Site(s) | $200,000 | $200,000 |
| | Big / Little Wood Sites | $200,000 | $200,000 |
| **Reserved for additional investigations and engineering** | $300,000 | $300,000 |
| **TOTAL** | $900,000 | $900,000 |

ESPA Managed Recharge Total: $12,503,550

**Treasure Valley**

- Treasure Valley Aquifer Ground Water Model (Year 3 of 5 - Total $2.5 M): $500,000
- Boise River Storage Studies (final payment): $1,000,000
- Southeast Boise Groundwater Management Area Monitoring: $100,000
- Treasure Valley DCMI Water Conservation Study: $200,000

**TREASURE VALLEY TOTAL:** $1,800,000

**Camas Prairie**

- Ground & Surface Water Monitoring: $75,000

**CAMAS PRAIRIE TOTAL:** $75,000

**Big Lost Total**

- Hydrologic Monitoring (DOE Funding) (Year 1 of 3 - Total $928,000): $310,000

**Big Lost Total:** $380,000

**Palouse Basin**

- Water Sustainability Projects: $100,000

**Palouse Total:** $250,000

**Bear River Basin**

- Water Sustainability Projects: $250,000

**Bear River Basin Total:** $250,000

**State-Wide**

- Aquifer monitoring network enhancement in priority aquifers: $200,000
- Cooperative Cloud Seeding Program:
  - Operations & Maintenance Costs: $800,000
  - Cloud Seeding Modeling Project: $470,000
  - Administrative expenses (public information, staff training, etc): $80,000
  - Professional Assistance for securing Federal Funding: $100,000

**State-Wide Total:** $2,075,000

**Reserve for Work in Other Priority Areas or Carry-** $505,210 $355,210

**Grand Total:** $17,838,760

---

*Note: The above budget represents the FY2019 budget for the Secondary Aquifer Fund and includes various projects and funds as outlined.*
BEFORE THE IDAHO WATER RESOURCE BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE MP 28 HYDROPOWER PLANT ON THE MILNER-GOODING CANAL FOR AMERICAN FALLS RESERVOIR DISTRICT NO 2

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE FUNDS FROM THE SECONDARY AQUIFER PLANNING, MANAGEMENT, AND IMPLEMENTATION FUND AND PROVIDE SIGNATORY AUTHORITY

WHEREAS, House Bill 547 passed and approved by the 2014 Legislature allocates $5 million from the Cigarette Tax to the Idaho Water Resource Board’s (IWRB) Secondary Aquifer Planning, Management, and Implementation Fund (Secondary Aquifer Fund) for statewide aquifer stabilization; and

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 1402 passed and approved by the 2016 Legislature allocated $5 million in ongoing General Fund dollars and $2.5 million in Economic Recovery Reserve Funds to the IWRB’s Secondary Aquifer Fund for statewide water sustainability and aquifer stabilization; and

WHEREAS, the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer (ESPA) has been losing approximately 216,000 acre-feet annually from aquifer storage since the 1950’s resulting in declining ground water levels in the aquifer and declining spring flows from the aquifer; and

WHEREAS, the State Water Plan includes a goal to accomplish managed recharge in the ESPA averaging 250,000 acre-feet annually; and

WHEREAS, the 2016 Idaho Legislature passed and approved Senate Concurrent Resolution 136 directing the IWRB to develop the capacity to achieve 250,000 acre-feet of annual average managed recharge to the ESPA by December 31, 2024; and

WHEREAS, on May 18, 2018, the IWRB adopted the Secondary Aquifer Fund Fiscal Year 2019 Budget, which included $1,000,000 for infrastructure improvements on the MP 28 Hydropower Plant and reserved $500,000 for additional recharge infrastructure projects to improve managed recharge capacity in the ESPA; and

WHEREAS, American Falls Reservoir District No 2 (AFRD2) operates and maintains an irrigation delivery system that conveys water from the Snake River through the Milner-Gooding Canal to various recharge sites downstream of the MP 28 Hydropower Plant; and

WHEREAS, cost estimates provided by AFRD2 and their consultants to construct the required infrastructure to allow winter-time recharge water deliveries past the MP 28 Hydropower Plant total $1,400,000;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the IWRB authorizes expenditures budgeted for the MP 28 Hydropower plant improvements and $400,000 from funds reserved for other ESPA recharge projects, not to exceed a total of $1,400,000, from the Secondary Aquifer Fund for the infrastructure improvements to the MP 28 Hydropower plant that include improvements to the bypass gates, the power plant, the
forebay, and tailbay; and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the IWRB Fiscal Year 2019 budget for the Secondary Aquifer Planning, Management, and Implementation Fund will be amended to reflect the changes specified in this resolution.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the IWRB authorizes its chairman or designee, Brian Patton, Executive Officer to the IWRB, to execute the necessary agreements or contracts to complete the winterizing infrastructure at the MP 28 Hydropower Plant.

DATED this 27th day of July, 2018.

ROGER W. CHASE, Chairman
Idaho Water Resource Board

ATTEST
VINCE ALBERDI, Secretary
BEFORE THE IDAHO WATER RESOURCE BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF CONSTRUCTION OF THE
WILSON CANYON RECHARGE SITE OFF THE
NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE FUNDS FROM THE
SECONDARY AQUIFER PLANNING,
MANAGEMENT, AND IMPLEMENTATION
FUND AND PROVIDE SIGNATORY AUTHORITY

WHEREAS, House Bill 547 passed and approved by the 2014 Legislature allocates $5 million from the Cigarette Tax to the Idaho Water Resource Board's (IWRB) Secondary Aquifer Planning, Management, and Implementation Fund (Secondary Aquifer Fund) for statewide aquifer stabilization; and

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 1402 passed and approved by the 2016 Legislature allocated $5 million in ongoing General Fund dollars and $2.5 million in Economic Recovery Reserve Funds to the IWRB's Secondary Aquifer Fund for statewide water sustainability and aquifer stabilization; and

WHEREAS, the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer (ESPA) has been losing approximately 216,000 acre-feet annually from aquifer storage since the 1950's resulting in declining ground water levels in the aquifer and declining spring flows from the aquifer; and

WHEREAS, the State Water Plan includes a goal to accomplish managed recharge in the ESPA averaging 250,000 acre-feet annually; and

WHEREAS, the 2016 Idaho Legislature passed and approved Senate Concurrent Resolution 136 directing the IWRB to develop the capacity to achieve 250,000 acre-feet of annual average managed recharge to the ESPA by December 31, 2024; and

WHEREAS, on May 18, 2018, the IWRB adopted the Secondary Aquifer Fund Fiscal Year 2019 Budget, which included $1,750,000 for the North Side Wilson Canyon Recharge Site to improve managed recharge capacity in the ESPA; and

WHEREAS, on May 18, 2018, the IWRB adopted the Secondary Aquifer Fund Fiscal Year 2019 Budget, which included $655,210 reserve funds for work in other priority areas (reserve funds); and

WHEREAS, North Side Canal Company (NSCC) operates and maintains an irrigation delivery system that conveys water from the Snake River through the Main Canal to the Wilson Canyon Recharge Site; and

WHEREAS, cost estimates provided by NSCC and Quadrant Consulting Inc. to construct the required infrastructure and three (3) groundwater monitoring wells to make the Wilson Canyon Recharge Site a viable managed recharge location total $1,900,000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the IWRB authorizes expenditures budgeted for the North Side Wilson Canyon Recharge Site and $150,000 from the reserve funds, not to exceed a total of $1,900,000, from the Secondary Aquifer Fund for the development of the Wilson Canyon Recharge Site which includes construction of turnout structure, berms and basin improvements, and groundwater

Resolution No. ____________
monitoring wells; and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the IWRB Fiscal Year 2019 budget for the Secondary Aquifer Planning, Management, and Implementation Fund will be amended to reflect the changes specified in this resolution.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the IWRB authorize its chairman or designee, Brian Patton, Executive Officer to the IWRB, to execute the necessary agreements or contracts to complete the development of the Wilson Canyon Recharge Site.

DATED this 27th day of July, 2018.

VINCE ALBERDI, Secretary

ROGER W. CHASE, Chairman
Idaho Water Resource Board

Resolution No. ___________
Memorandum

To: Finance Committee, Idaho Water Resource Board  
From: Neeley Miller  
Date: July 13, 2018  
Re: Flood Management Grant Applications

REQUIRED ACTION: Funding Recommendation to IWRB

Background

House Bill 712 passed and approved by the 2018 Legislature included a FY 2018 transfer of $1,000,000 from the General Fund to the Water Management Fund in the Department of Water Resources budget. This funding was intended for a grant program administered by the Idaho Water Resource Board to provide competitive grants for flood-damaged stream channel repair, stream channel improvement, flood risk reduction, or flood prevention projects.

On May 18, 2018 the Board adopted by resolution an evaluation criteria for the award of Flood Management Grant funding. The criteria establish an application deadline of June 15, 2018 and indicated that funding awards would be announced at the July 27, 2018 Board meeting.

Application/Ranking

Staff received ten (10) applications during the applications period. The applications and sponsor's grant documents were evaluated, scored, and ranking according to criteria adopted by Board.

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends that all the applications be funded at the requested amounts.

Package includes:

1. Criteria
2. Ranking Application Sheet
3. Project Summaries
4. Draft Resolution
   Attachment A: Flood Management Grant Awards
   Attachment B: Amended Criteria
5. Abbreviated Flood Management Grant Applications
IWRB Flood Management Grant Program Criteria

The Idaho Water Resource Board (IWRB) Flood Management Grant Funding Program provides financial assistance on a competitive statewide basis to Flood Control Districts, Drainage Districts, Irrigation Districts, Canal Companies, Municipalities, Counties and other public entities interested in pursuing flood damaged stream channel repair, stream channel improvement, flood risk reduction, and flood prevention projects. (See HB 712; Statutes 42-1760; IDAPA 37.02.02)

Pursuing flood damage repair and improvement projects can help prevent or reduce flood damage in Idaho’s streams and rivers. To be considered for grant funding, entities must be able to provide evidence of flood damage, or evidence of conditions that create the risk of flooding in a stream channel and submit a funding request document outlining the proposed repairs and/or improvements to the stream channel.

Eligible Entities: Flood Control Districts, Drainage Districts, Irrigation Districts, Canal Companies, Municipalities, and Counties. Other public entities are eligible to apply.

Eligible Geographic Area: Statewide

Program Budget:
- $1,000,000
- No more than 50% ($500,000) of the total budget may be spent within a single IWRB district. This limit may be waived if there are no competing funding demands.

Funding Amount: up to $200,000 per project; one project per application
- Funding awards will be reallocated unless Flood Management work begins prior to November 1, 2018.
- Funding will not be distributed unless the project is fully permitted. Sponsor is responsible for providing permit documentation to IWRB staff.

Matching Funds for Projects:
- Entities requesting funding for flood management grant projects must provide at least 50% matching cost-share funding with non-state dollars. Projects that include higher cost share amounts will receive a higher ranking during project evaluations.
- In-kind services can be used for 30% of the total projects costs (e.g. a $100K project, sponsor would have to provide at least $50K in matching cost share funding. Of the $50K, the sponsor could provide up to $30K in in-kind services and $20K in cash to meet the matching cost-share requirement).
- Funding award will be based on project ranking

Evaluation Criteria: To maximize the effective and efficient use of available funds, applications and sponsor’s grant document will be evaluated, scored (120 point scale), and ranked according to the following criteria:

Effectiveness of Project (60 points)
- What is the urgency of the project and anticipated costs? (10 points)
- What are the objectives and benefits of the project? (10 points)
- How does the proposed project solution address the objectives? (10 points)
- How will the project measure success of its objectives, and describe the proposed monitoring plan? (5 points)
- Is the proposed budget and schedule realistic and is the budget appropriate for the scope of work provided? Has the applicant provided detailed construction expenses documenting how money will be spent to complete the project? (15 points)
• Are project sponsors using relevant and appropriate information to develop the proposed project? (Sponsor should include references to relevant studies, assessments, reports, management plans, etc.) How will the project account for expected future changes to hydrology, sediment regimes, or water supply? (10 points)

Readiness of Project (40 points)

• Lead sponsor of project is identified and there is a description of other affected stakeholders and jurisdictions. (10 points)
• Project sponsors will provide documentation that affected local stakeholders and jurisdictions have been consulted. If the project is located within a Flood Control District, the sponsor must provide documentation showing the Flood Control District supports the project, otherwise the project will be declared ineligible. (10 points)
• Specify cash matching funds that will be provided for the project, including any in-kind services. Indicate what funding sources are secured or pending. The applicant must provide at least 50% matching cost share funding with non-state dollars. In-kind services can be used for 30% of the total projects costs (e.g. a $100K project, sponsor would have to provide at least $50K in matching cost share funding. Of the $50K, the sponsor could provide up to $30K in in-kind services and $20K in cash to meet the matching cost-share requirement) (10 points)
• Projects that propose matching cost-share amounts above 50% will receive additional points in the ranking. (1 point for each additional 1% increase up to 60%, up to 10 additional points)

Organization Capacity (20 points)

• What is the sponsor’s history of successful accomplishments on projects similar to this one? The sponsor shall provide several past project examples, if possible. (10 points)
• What level of sponsor and consultant staffing will be directed toward the implementation of the proposed project? Discuss the number of sponsor and consultant staff and amount of time dedicated for each for the project. Will the project utilize volunteers? If so, how? Include brief resumes or list of qualifications for each member of the project team. (10 points)

Application Process:

Application Submittal Notice: May 21, 2018
Application Deadline: June 15, 2018
Project Funding Recommendations: July 2018 Finance Committee
Funding Awarded: July 27, 2018 Board meeting
Notice of Award: July 30, 2018

Payment Process:

• Funds will be distributed upon sponsor submitting funding reimbursement requests to the IWRB.
• Sponsor funding requests shall include a cover letter which shall include a description of the project activities, dates for performing the project activities, and contractor or supplier invoices.
• A total of 5% shall be retained from each payment request until the project has been completed, and the applicant has fulfilled their deliverable requirements. The 5% award-withholding will be included with the final payment request disbursement.
Project Deliverables:

Entities that receive flood management grant funding will be required to submit monthly progress reports updating the IWRB on project progress, and a final report at the completion of the project. Additionally, entities will be expected to provide completed plans and specifications, bid documents, material testing and sampling reports, and a letter from the sponsor or sponsor’s consultant that the project was completed in substantial conformance with the approved plans and specifications. If Flood Management Grant funding is available in the future, sponsor funding requests will not be considered if the sponsor does not comply with the deliverable requirements.

IWRB Districts are as follows:

District No. 1: Boundary, Bonner, Kootenai, Shoshone, Benewah, Latah, Clearwater, Nez Perce, Lewis and Idaho counties.

District No. 2: Adams, Valley, Washington, Payette, Gem, Boise, Canyon, Ada, Elmore and Owyhee counties.

District No. 3: Camas, Gooding, Jerome, Twin Falls, Cassia, Blaine, Lincoln, Minidoka, Lemhi, Custer and Butte counties.

District No. 4: Clark, Fremont, Jefferson, Madison, Teton, Bingham, Bonneville, Power, Bannock, Caribou, Oneida, Franklin and Bear Lake counties.

* No more than 50% ($500,000) of the total budget may be spent within a single IWRB district. This limit may be waived if there are no competing funding demands.
## Flood Management Grant Application Ranking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Funds Requested</th>
<th>Total Project Costs</th>
<th>Evaluation Score (130 Pts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flood Control District 9</td>
<td>$90,000.00</td>
<td>$273,809.00</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blaine County</td>
<td>$121,331.00</td>
<td>$306,334.00</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassia County</td>
<td>$42,336.00</td>
<td>$84,672.75</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Control District 10</td>
<td>$78,400.00</td>
<td>$156,800.00</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Control District 10</td>
<td>$153,550.00</td>
<td>$307,100.00</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Control District 10</td>
<td>$38,808.00</td>
<td>$77,616.00</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater Soil &amp; Water Conservation Dist.</td>
<td>$155,220.00</td>
<td>$310,439.00</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Control District 10</td>
<td>$22,000.00</td>
<td>$44,000.00</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Control District 11</td>
<td>$57,675.00</td>
<td>$115,350.00</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twin Lakes/Flood Control District 17</td>
<td>$7,750.00</td>
<td>$15,500.00</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total funds requested</strong></td>
<td><strong>$767,070.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. **FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT NO.9 – Bypass Canal and Bannon Flood Mitigation Project**

Flood Control District No.9 is requesting a $90,000 flood management grant for the $273,809 Bypass Canal and Bannon Flood Mitigation project. The project goal is to mitigate flood damage to irrigation water delivery structures that convey irrigation water to approximately 6,300 acres of land south of Bellevue. Due to the 2017 flood, the altered Wood River channel does not adequately deliver water to three head gate structures. The objectives of the project are to mitigate the effects of the flooding, alleviate future flood damage, ensure irrigation water delivery, stabilize streambanks, enhance riparian habitat, reduce the need for ongoing channel manipulations, and improve water quality.

2. **BLAINE COUNTY – Della View Subdivision Flood Mitigation Project**

Blaine County is requesting a $121,331 flood management grant for the $306,334 Della View Subdivision Flood Mitigation project. The remaining matching funding of $185,003 will be provided by Blaine County, City of Hailey, and Flood Control District No.9. The goal of the project is to reduce the amount and duration of flooding in the area. The project consists of two (2) areas of treatment, which include activating a side channel on the Big Wood River and developing conveyance channels in the subdivision.

3. **CASSIA COUNTY – Raft River Channel Project**

Cassia County is requesting a $42,336.38 flood management grant for the $84,672.75 Raft River Channel project. The remaining matching funding of $42,336.37 will be provided by Cassia County and the Raft River Flood District. The Raft River channel is a major irrigation water conveyance system to farms and ranches. The 2017 flood caused a significant amount of debris and sediment to collect at the Reid Springs Road bridge. Without the required stream channel repair, the bridge is at risk of major structural damage. The debris and sedimentation has altered the natural stream flow, damaging the stream channel. Cassia County will perform the stream channel repairs to restore the channel to its original configuration.

4. **FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT NO.10 – New Dry Creek Diversion Structure Project**

Flood Control District No.10 is requesting a $78,400.00 flood management grant for the $156,800 New Dry Creek structure project. Flood District No.10 will provide the matching funding of $78,400.00. The goal of the project is to repair the river bank adjacent to the New Dry Creek diversion structure, which was breached during the 2017 flooding on the Boise River,
and armor an area of severe bank erosion adjacent to the Greenbelt. The objective of the project is to return the river to its normal channel, protection of the greenbelt pathway, hardening of the channel bottom to reduce head cutting at the diversion, and to reduce flood risk to adjacent land owners and a gravel mining site.

5. **FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT NO.10 – Duck Alley Pit Capture Project**

Flood Control District No.10 is requesting a $153,550.00 flood management grant for the $307,100.00 Duck Alley Pit Capture project. The remaining matching funding of $153,550.00 will be provided by adjacent landowners, Flood District No.10, and the NRCS. The goals of the project are to redirect base river flow back to the pre-existing river channel, and redirect current flood waters from agricultural land back to the historic river channel. The project includes bank restoration using rock armoring and bioengineering methods, such as log root wads, willow plantings, cottonwood pole plantings, and grass seeding's for bank stability, and the removal of gravel currently blocking normal river flows.

6. **FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT NO.10 – Porter and Mulchay Project**

Flood Control District No.10 is requesting a $38,808.00 flood management grant for the $77,616.00 Porter and Mulchay project. Flood District No.10 will provide the matching funding of $38,808.00. The goal of the project is to reduce erosion to ranch lands and an irrigation diversion structure on the north side of the river channel. The erosion of the river bank and failure of the Porter’s diversion structure are a result of a continued accumulation of gravel in this reach of the Boise River. The project is a gravel removal project, with a total estimated removal volume of 26,600 cubic yards.

7. **CLEARWATER SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT – Quartz Creek Project**

The Clearwater Soil and Water Conservation District is requesting a $155,220.00 flood management grant for the $310,439.00 Quartz Creek project. PotlatchDeltic, a private industrial forestland owner/management firm, will provide the matching funding of $155,220.00. The goal of the project is to reduce the impacts of annual flooding on the Snake Creek Road, which is a major secondary road in Clearwater County. The Snake Creek Road provides access to private, state, and federal lands managed for timber and year-round recreation. The proposed project will replace sixteen (16) undersized culverts within Quartz Creek, and two (2) culverts within the Calhoun Creek drainage that have a direct impact on flows in Quartz Creek along Snake Creek Road.

8. **FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT NO.10 – Leighton and Wells Project**
Flood Control District No.10 is requesting a $22,000 flood management grant for the $44,000.00 Leighton and Wells project. Flood Control District No.10 will provide the matching funding of $22,000.00. The goal of the project is to reduce erosion to ranch lands and river channel banks. An accumulation of gravel in this stretch of the Boise River channel has caused serious erosion and flooding of adjacent property owner's fields. This project is a gravel removal project, with a total estimated gravel removal volume of 22,000 cubic yards.

9. FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT NO.11 – Tree and Debris Removal Project

Flood Control District No.11 is requesting a $57,675.00 flood management grant for the $115,350.00 tree and debris removal project on the Boise River. Flood Control District No.11 will provide the matching funding of $57,675.00. The goal of the project is to remove trees and debris from the Boise River that are causing out of bank flows and damage to the river bank, adjacent farm lands, and irrigation diversion structures. The Flood Control District has identified over 140 locations for tree and debris removal, and upon removal, all trees and debris will be disposed of by burning and/or chipping.

10. TWIN LAKES CREEK FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT NO.17 – Flood Control and Stream Flow Monitoring Equipment Project

Twin Lakes Creek Flood Control District No.17 is requesting a $7,750.00 flood management grant for the $15,500.00 flood control and stream flow monitoring equipment project. Twin Lakes Creek Flood Control District No.17 will provide the matching funding of $7,750.00. The goal of the project is to install lake level and discharge monitoring equipment, including telemetry, to provide real-time dam operations to the operators to reduce or prevent flooding downstream of the dam.
BEFORE THE IDAHO WATER RESOURCE BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF FLOOD MANAGEMENT GRANTS

RESOLUTION TO AWARD FUNDS AND AMEND THE CRITERIA

WHEREAS, House Bill 712 passed and approved by the 2018 legislature transferred $1,000,000 from the General Fund to the Water Management Fund creating a Flood Management Grant Program administered by the Idaho Water Resources Board (IWRB) to be used for the purpose of flood-damaged stream channel repair, stream channel improvement, flood risk reduction, or flood prevention projects; and

WHEREAS, House Bill 712 allows for the award of grants larger than $50,000 for the Flood Management Program, at the discretion of the IWRB; and

WHEREAS, House Bill 712 directs the IWRB to require the availability of fifty percent (50%) matching funds for all projects to be considered under the grant program; and

WHEREAS, House Bill 712 directs the IWRB to prioritize projects on a competitive statewide basis; and

WHEREAS, on May 18, 2018 the IWRB adopted a criteria for the award of Flood Management Grants, and

WHEREAS, the IWRB wishes to amend the criteria allow for a second round of funding awards, and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the IWRB approves the award of Flood Management Grants as specified in Attachment A to this resolution, and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the IWRB adopts the amended criteria attached hereto in Attachment B to allow for a second round of applications for the award of Flood Management Grants and directs staff to issue a statewide solicitation for Flood Management projects.

DATED this 27 day of July, 2018.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Funds Awarded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flood Control District 9</td>
<td>$90,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blaine County</td>
<td>$121,331.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassia County</td>
<td>$42,336.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Control District 10</td>
<td>$78,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Control District 10</td>
<td>$153,550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Control District 10</td>
<td>$38,808.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater Soil &amp; Water Conservation District</td>
<td>$155,220.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Control District 10</td>
<td>$22,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Control District 11</td>
<td>$57,675.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twin Lakes/Flood Control District 17</td>
<td>$7,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total funds awarded</strong></td>
<td><strong>$767,070.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTACHMENT B: IWRB Flood Management Grant Program Criteria - Amended

The Idaho Water Resource Board (IWRB) Flood Management Grant Funding Program provides financial assistance on a competitive statewide basis to Flood Control Districts, Drainage Districts, Irrigation Districts, Canal Companies, Municipalities, Counties and other public entities interested in pursuing flood damaged stream channel repair, stream channel improvement, flood risk reduction, and flood prevention projects. (See HB 712; Statutes 42-1760; IDAPA 37.02.02)

Pursuing flood damage repair and improvement projects can help prevent or reduce flood damage in Idaho’s streams and rivers. To be considered for grant funding, entities must be able to provide evidence of flood damage, or evidence of conditions that create the risk of flooding in a stream channel and submit a funding request document outlining the proposed repairs and/or improvements to the stream channel.

Eligible Entities: Flood Control Districts, Drainage Districts, Irrigation Districts, Canal Companies, Municipalities, and Counties. Other public entities are eligible to apply.

Eligible Geographic Area: Statewide

Program Budget:
- $1,000,000
- No more than 50% ($500,000) of the total budget may be spent within a single IWRB district. This limit may be waived if there are no competing funding demands.

Funding Amount: up to $200,000 per project; one project per application
- Funding awards will be reallocated unless Flood Management work begins prior to November 1, 2018.
- Funding will not be distributed unless the project is fully permitted. Sponsor is responsible for providing permit documentation to IWRB staff.

Matching Funds for Projects:
- Entities requesting funding for flood management grant projects must provide at least 50% matching cost-share funding with non-state dollars. Projects that include higher cost share amounts will receive a higher ranking during project evaluations
- In-kind services can be used for 30% of the total projects costs (e.g. a $100K project, sponsor would have to provide at least $50K in matching cost share funding. Of the $50K, the sponsor could provide up to $30K in in-kind services and $20K in cash to meet the matching cost-share requirement)
- Funding award will be based on project ranking

Evaluation Criteria: To maximize the effective and efficient use of available funds, applications and sponsor’s grant document will be evaluated, scored (120 point scale), and ranked according to the following criteria:

Effectiveness of Project (60 points)
- What is the urgency of the project and anticipated costs? (10 points)
- What are the objectives and benefits of the project? (10 points)
- How does the proposed project solution address the objectives? (10 points)
- How will the project measure success of its objectives, and describe the proposed monitoring plan? (5 points)
- Is the proposed budget and schedule realistic and is the budget appropriate for the scope of work provided? Has the applicant provided detailed construction expenses documenting how money will be spent to complete the project? (15 points)
• Are project sponsors using relevant and appropriate information to develop the proposed project? (Sponsor should include references to relevant studies, assessments, reports, management plans, etc.) How will the project account for expected future changes to hydrology, sediment regimes, or water supply? (10 points)

Readiness of Project (40 points)

• Lead sponsor of project is identified and there is a description of other affected stakeholders and jurisdictions. (10 points)
• Project sponsors will provide documentation that affected local stakeholders and jurisdictions have been consulted. If the project is located within a Flood Control District, the sponsor must provide documentation showing the Flood Control District supports the project, otherwise the project will be declared ineligible. (10 points)
• Specify cash matching funds that will be provided for the project, including any in-kind services. Indicate what funding sources are secured or pending. The applicant must provide at least 50% matching cost share funding with non-state dollars. In-kind services can be used for 30% of the total project costs. (e.g. a $100K project, sponsor would have to provide at least $50K in matching cost share funding. Of the $50K, the sponsor could provide up to $30K in in-kind services and $20K in cash to meet the matching cost-share requirement) (10 points)
• Projects that propose matching cost-share amounts above 50% will receive additional points in the ranking. (1 point for each additional 1% increase up to 60%, up to 10 additional points)

Organization Capacity (20 points)

• What is the sponsor’s history of successful accomplishments on projects similar to this one? The sponsor shall provide several past project examples, if possible. (10 points)
• What level of sponsor and consultant staffing will be directed toward the implementation of the proposed project? Discuss the number of sponsor and consultant staff and amount of time dedicated for each for the project. Will the project utilize volunteers? If so, how? Include brief resumes or list of qualifications for each member of the project team. (10 points)

Application Process:

Application Submittal Notice: May 21, 2018
Round One Application Deadline: June 15, 2018
Round One Funding Awarded: July 27, 2018 Board Meeting
Round Two Application Deadline: August 17, 2018
Round Two Funding Awarded: September 14, 2018 Board Meeting

Payment Process:

• Funds will be distributed upon sponsor submitting funding reimbursement requests to the IWRB.
• Sponsor funding requests shall include a cover letter which shall include a description of the project activities, dates for performing the project activities, and contractor or supplier invoices.
• A total of 5% shall be retained from each payment request until the project has been completed, and the applicant has fulfilled their deliverable requirements. The 5% award-withholding will be included with the final payment request disbursement.
Project Deliverables:

Entities that receive flood management grant funding will be required to submit monthly progress reports updating the IWRB on project progress, and a final report at the completion of the project. Additionally, entities will be expected to provide completed plans and specifications, bid documents, material testing and sampling reports, and a letter from the sponsor or sponsor's consultant that the project was completed in substantial conformance with the approved plans and specifications. If Flood Management Grant funding is available in the future, sponsor funding requests will not be considered if the sponsor does not comply with the deliverable requirements.

IWRB Districts are as follows:

District No. 1: Boundary, Bonner, Kootenai, Shoshone, Benewah, Latah, Clearwater, Nez Perce, Lewis and Idaho counties.

District No. 2: Adams, Valley, Washington, Payette, Gem, Boise, Canyon, Ada, Elmore and Owyhee counties.

District No. 3: Camas, Gooding, Jerome, Twin Falls, Cassia, Blaine, Lincoln, Minidoka, Lemhi, Custer and Butte counties.

District No. 4: Clark, Fremont, Jefferson, Madison, Teton, Bingham, Bonneville, Power, Bannock, Caribou, Oneida, Franklin and Bear Lake counties.

* No more than 50% ($500,000) of the total budget may be spent within a single IWRB district. This limit may be waived if there are no competing funding demands.
June 14, 2018

Idaho Water Resources Board
P.O. Box 83726
Boise, ID 83720

Dear Idaho Water Resources Board,

Flood Control District No. 9 is requesting $90,000 from the Flood Management Grant Funding Program for the Bypass Canal and Bannon Ditch Flood Mitigation Project on the Big Wood River. The Bypass Canal and Bannon Ditch Flood Mitigation Project will mitigate flood damage to irrigation water delivery structures that deliver water to approximately 6,300 acres of irrigated agricultural land south of Bellevue.

Flooding in the Big Wood River in 2017 caused major stream channel changes and severely eroded portions of the Bannon ditch. Now, the altered river channel does not adequately deliver water to the three headgate structures because of erosion and stream channel alignment. The objectives of this project are to mitigate the effects of flooding, alleviate future flood damage, ensure irrigation water delivery, stabilize streambanks, enhance riparian habitat, and reduce the need for ongoing channel manipulations, and improve water quality.

Match funding is provided by Flood Control District No. 9, Trout Unlimited, the Idaho Department of Water Resources 319 program, private fundraising, and volunteer effort.

This project is vital to continued irrigation water delivery to agricultural land in Blaine County and to restore functionality of the Big Wood River in this reach.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Bruce Tidwell
Chair, Flood Control District No. 9
II. General Information:
A. Type of organization: (Check box)
- Flood District
- Municipality
- County
- Other

Flood Control District No. 9
Organization name

PO Box 3181
PO Box/Street Address

Hailey, ID 83333
City, County, State, Zip Code

82-0385294
Taxpayer ID#

Bruce Tidwell, Chairman
Name and title of Contact Person

208-720-1647
Contact telephone number

bmtbruce@gmail.com
e-mail address

Project location/legal description
Bypass canal diversion on the Big Wood River off Glendale Rd, south of Bellevue. Coordinates are 43.429674 N - 114.261396 W

B. Is your organization registered with the Idaho Secretary of State's office? Yes ☒ No ☐

C. Purpose and name of project for this grant application.
- Stream Channel Repair
- Stream Channel Improvement
- Flood Risk Reduction
- Flood Prevention
- Other

III. WATER PROJECT ACTIVITY:
A. Source of water:
- Surface
- Reservoir
- Other
B. Describe the Flood Management Project/Activity - What is the primary purpose of this grant application?

The Bypass Canal and Bannon Ditch Flood Mitigation Project will restore stream channel erosion from the 2017 flood, implement instream treatments to prevent future flood damage, and repair the stream channel to enable adequate water delivery.

C. Does this project/activity address multiple objectives? If so explain.

In addition to addressing flood damage and prevention, this project will stabilize streambanks, reduce erosion and sedimentation, and minimize the need for annual channel manipulation for water delivery.

D. Will this flood management project/activity be implemented in a single year, or phased over multiple years?

☐ 1-year  ☐ Multiple-years (Phased)

E. Project start and completion date:

Project implementation would begin prior to Nov. 1, 2018. If grant funding is awarded, project elements such as permitting, award of construction bid, and access agreement will begin immediately.

F. Project detailed cost estimate, including all labor and materials:

The total project cost is $273,809. A detailed budget is provided in the application.

G. Has your organization performed stream channel or stream bank repair and/or improvement projects in the past?

Flood Control District No. 9 performs repair and maintenance of levees along the Big Wood River.

H. Provide the required regulatory approval and permit documents for this project.

Subject to Idaho Statute 42-1102, water users of the Bypass canal, Glendale diversion, and Bannon ditch are permitted Rights-of-Way to maintain irrigation water delivery. Stream alteration permit applications will be filed to the Army Corps of Engineers, Idaho Dept. of Water Resources, and Blaine County.
IV. FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

A. Does your organization have a regular assessment for a reserve or special needs fund?
   Yes [ ] No [X]

B. Does your organization have prior experience in working with the Idaho Water Resource Board?
   Yes [ ] No [X]

C. What other sources of funding have been explored to fund the project? (e.g. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, NRCS, FEMA, Banks, Local Government, etc.)
   Idaho Dept. of Environmental Quality, Blaine County Land, Water, and Wildlife Program

Amount of funds requested: $90,800

By signing this document you verify that all information provided is correct and the document is filled out to the best of your ability.

Authorized signature& date: [Signature] 6/14/2018
2.1 Project Background Information

2.1.1 Purpose

The Bypass Canal and Bannon Ditch Flood Mitigation Project will mitigate flood damage to irrigation water delivery structures off the Big Wood River by implementing instream treatments along 1,500 ft. of river. The Baseline Bypass canal, the Glendale diversion, and the Bannon ditch were constructed in the 1920s to deliver water to approximately 6,300 acres of irrigated agricultural land south of Bellevue. Extreme high water in the spring of 2017 severely eroded portions of the Bannon ditch and required emergency instream work to protect the Bypass canal. Now, the altered river channel does not adequately deliver water to the three headgate structures because of erosion and stream channel alignment. The objectives of this project are to implement instream treatments to mitigate the effects of flooding, ensure irrigation water delivery, stabilize streambanks, enhance riparian habitat, reduce the need for ongoing channel manipulations, and improve water quality. These measures will decrease future flood damage by dispersing flow velocity, protecting stream banks, and creating floodplain benches to absorb high flows.

The three delivery structures are managed by Surface Water District 37. Irrigation delivery typically occurs between April 15 and October 31 through control valves and check boards with measuring devices. The Bypass canal was constructed by the Upper Big Wood River Water Users Association in the 1920s to bypass the portion of the Big Wood River that flows subsurface during summer and fall months. The Bypass canal travels south along Highway 75, crosses underneath near Baseline Rd., and continues to irrigate agricultural land in the Bellevue triangle from the Baseline canal. The Bannon ditch was constructed in 1883, and the Glendale diversion was constructed in 1884 to deliver water to agricultural land on the west side of Highway 75. Water delivery through these structures irrigates approximately 6,300 acres and is critical to agriculture and the economy of Blaine County.

Aerial photographs from 1994 - 2014 show that over time, the river channel has changed course and deposited gravel and sediment mid-channel, requiring annual instream work to deliver adequate water supplies. During the flood of 2017, the river’s immense volume and velocity severely eroded the western streambank and destroyed 200 ft. of the Bannon ditch. The main force of the river was then focused across the channel directly at the Bypass canal headgate. This emergency situation required protection of the Bypass canal headgate to prevent complete destruction. After flood waters dissipated, an immense instream gravel bar remained and water delivery to the Bypass canal is impeded without major channel manipulation. Emergency stream work has cost the water users $98,000, in addition to annual costs of channel manipulation for water delivery.

This portion of the Big Wood River is also of concern for the Department of Environmental Quality. The Big Wood TMDL Implementation Plan for Agriculture in the Glendale reach recommends a 34.6% reduction in substrate sediments in this reach to meet beneficial uses of cold water aquatic life, salmonid spawning, recreation, special resource water, and drinking water. Since construction, yearly practices include the use of heavy equipment to manipulate the upstream river channel for water delivery. This ongoing channel manipulation causes sediment pollution to the southern reaches of the Big Wood River, impacting aquatic organisms and their habitat. A watershed assessment study completed in 2015 reported contributions of 0.214 tons/foot/year of sediment in this reach, equating to 321 tons of sediment/year for
this 1,500-ft. project site. The sediment load reduction will be reduced by 138 tons/year, according to Department of Environmental Quality calculations. Reductions in sedimentation through these instream treatments will support healthy cold-water aquatic life and other beneficial uses.

2.1.2 Project Area Description

a. The project site is approximately 1,500 ft. of the Big Wood River just upstream of the Glendale Bridge along Glendale Rd. approximately two miles south of Bellevue. Irrigated cropland served by these water delivery structures is both east and west of Highway 75 south of Bellevue.

b. Maps
c. Land use surrounding the project site is agricultural and rural residential.

2.1.3 Previous Projects and Studies


Rapp, C. F. 2006. Geomorphic Assessment of the Big Wood River. Prepared for Wood River Land Trust. online [link]
2.2 Project Sponsor

a. The project sponsor is Flood Control District No. 9 of Idaho, established in Blaine County, Idaho on July 13, 2007. The entity was formed under statutes of the Department of Reclamation of the State of Idaho. The formation order is attached.

b. Since 2006, Flood Control District No. 9 has focused its energies on bank stabilization projects partnering with private landowners and municipalities. In 2006 Flood Control District No. 9 spent considerable resources protecting private homes, property and state and county infrastructure fighting to maintain two significant levees on the Big Wood River during peak flow. In 2017 the largest expenditure went to stabilizing one levee during and after peak flow. This levee also protects private homes, property and county and municipal infrastructure.

c. The majority of Flood Control District No. 9 revenue is levied from property taxes. The District recently received a private donation for the first time in the entity’s existence. 2017 tax revenue was approximately $46,000.

d. Flood Control District No. 9 does not own any significant assets of real estate or machinery. The District is the local sponsors of six USACE levees located on the Big Wood River in the southern portion of the valley. Five of these levees are on private property and the sixth is on private and city owned property.

Trout Unlimited is a primary project partner and has experience in Idaho and nationwide completing restoration, flood mitigation, and irrigation projects. For more than a half century, Trout Unlimited—a grassroots sportsmen’s conservation organization—has worked to improve trout and salmon habitat across the United States. Today, Trout Unlimited is the premier 501c(3) coldwater conservation organization in the United States, with more than 300,000 members in more than 30 states. Trout Unlimited is also is an acknowledged leader in collaborating with the agricultural producers in the West to find creative solutions that benefit both fisheries and producers’ bottom lines. Trout Unlimited partners with farmers, ranchers and irrigation companies on pragmatic, voluntary, and market-driven solutions that benefit agricultural operations as well as fish and wildlife habitat. In Idaho, Trout Unlimited has successfully completed numerous projects in the Yankee Fork, Pahsimeroi, Lemhi, Blackfoot, and Upper Snake, and Wood River basins.

Big Wood River Project Manager, Ken York, will be the primary staff implementing this project, as a partner with Flood Control District No. 9. The Flood Control District will administer this grant and provide support as needed. As the Big Wood River Project Manager, Ms. York coordinates and manages restoration and water conservation projects in the Big and Little Wood River basins. She has successfully implemented projects that address aquatic habitat and irrigation water delivery on Rock Creek, a tributary to the Big Wood River. Ms. York administers the Wood River Water Collaborative, was an initial staff member on the Hailey Greenway Master Plan, and was involved in the Hulen Meadows restoration and recreation planning efforts. As a project partner, she also helped direct the Wood River Geomorphic Assessment. She completed numerous conservation easement and land management projects with private landowners and agricultural producers for 10 years in her previous position at Wood River Land Trust. Ms. York’s staff time as project manager is included in the overall project budget and will be provided by Trout Unlimited and the Department of Environmental Quality matching funds.
Other affected stakeholders in this project include water users along the delivery systems, appropriate state and local agencies, and interested conservation groups. The Upper Big Wood River Water Users Association, which manages the Bypass canal, has been consulted on this project and has provided a letter of support. Additional letters of support are provided by Water District 37, Trout Unlimited, Idaho Dept. of Fish and Game, and Wood River Land Trust. We appreciate the Board’s consideration of these support letters with a different project title, ‘Big Wood River Sediment Reduction and Water Delivery Project.’ Water users along the Bannon ditch and Baseline canal, including Glendale Farms LLC, and Brown Ranches have been consulted. Idaho Dept. of Fish and Game Regional Fisheries Manager and Idaho Dept. of Water Resources State Coordinator for the Stream Protection Program have also been consulted.

2.4 Project Description
2.4.1 Project Description

a. Project Description

The Blaine County Flood Control District proposes to implement instream treatments and Best Management Practices to mitigate damage caused by the 2017 flood and reduce future damage from high flows. Water delivery to the three control structures will be re-established by restoring historic river channel morphology and flow direction. By using information from previous studies, including the Big Wood River Geomorphic Assessment Report, Biota Research and Consulting has completed a design that is aligned with the natural geomorphology of the Big Wood River in this location. Implementation of a design that is based on

A primary eastern river channel will be reactivated to direct flow towards the Bypass canal, which delivers most of the irrigation water. Appropriate deflector structures and grade control will be applied to the eastern channel. A riffle/pool sequence will be created to effectively transport sediment storage areas for removal. A secondary western channel will remain to deliver water to the Glendale diversion and Bannon ditch and for high flows. These two channels will distribute flow proportionally and prevent the entire river’s velocity from damaging the Bypass canal headgate, which occurred in 2017. Portions of the Bannon ditch that were eroded in 2017 have been replaced with pipe. As part of this project, the streambank will be reconstructed and the pipe protected from further erosion and damage.

Streambanks will be established with woody structures to reduce erosion and create aquatic and riparian habitat. These structures use root wads, tree clumps, woody shrubs, or logs to stabilize banks, and transplanted woody clumps will increase bank roughness. Using wood to accomplish bank stabilization increases hydraulic roughness of the channel and reduces near-bank flow velocity and erosion. Transplanted willow clumps and willow stingers will be installed to establish a root matrix and growth of young plants. Porous matrix logjams using available woody debris will stabilize the upstream end of the gravel bar separating the east and west channels. Floodplain benches will be created to absorb high flows, promote bank stability, and floodplain sediment deposition. Creation of floodplain along the western channel will also enhance and restore riparian and aquatic habitat.
Along the eastern channel, a sediment storage area will be created to enable sediment extraction without significantly disturbing the streambed. Minimal sediment removal will likely be required due to the high input from upstream reaches and the need to prevent blockage of the Bypass Canal diversion. A heavy use protection area (haul road) will be created with appropriate materials to minimize the impacts of sediment extraction upstream of the headgate.

Streambank vegetation has additional benefits of filtering nutrients from runoff and reducing instream temperatures. Stormwater and runoff from agricultural fields flows through riparian vegetation and infiltrates into groundwater or flows directly into surface water. Roots and stabilized soil capture Phosphorus and other nutrients, improving water quality. Woody trees and shrubs planted along streambanks cools instream temperatures, which can be elevated to levels of concern for trout species during late summer months.

Success will be measured by the reduction in ongoing damage to the Bannon ditch and pipeline. Pipeline that was installed due to flood damage is inundated by high flows in 2018 and will continue to be threatened unless the streambank is reconstructed and stabilized with vegetation. Success will also be measured by the reduction in the amount of instream work required to deliver water to the Bypass canal and protect it during extreme high water. By designing instream treatments according to the river’s geomorphology, the proposed solution will be long-term and will address flood mitigation as well as environmental issues. The incorporation of multiple channels will disperse velocity and lessen high flow impacts on headgate structures and streambanks. Sedimentation and other water quality indicators will continue to be monitored by the Department of Environmental Quality through their BURP program.

During construction, the project will be monitored onsite daily by project partner, Trout Unlimited, and a contracted engineer or geomorphologist to ensure design specifications are followed. Prior to the project, photopoints will be established to provide qualitative information documenting changes to structures, vegetation, and specific features over time. Baseline photographs and drone imagery will identify existing conditions, and photographs will be taken after construction and thereafter annually to monitor the project. Photographs and annual site visits by Trout Unlimited will determine if any project maintenance is required. The Department of Environmental Quality will continue its water quality monitoring on the Big Wood River and provide information in its TMDL documents regarding reductions in sediment load and other parameters.

This project is aimed at mitigating impacts of the 2017 flood while providing a long-term solution for irrigation water delivery and improved river conditions. The benefits include reliable irrigation delivery to the Bypass canal, Bannon ditch, and Glendale diversion; reduction in annual channel manipulation and associated costs; improved streambank stability and riparian habitat; and reduction in sedimentation to the river. If this project does not occur, the river will exist in its current state and will be manipulated annually for water delivery, adding a financial burden to irrigators. High flows and flooding will continue to damage irrigation structures, contribute sediment load to the system, and require ongoing channel manipulation. The ongoing struggle to deliver adequate irrigation water to the three points of diversion will remain. Through
collaboration between the Blaine County Flood Control District, agricultural water users and Trout Unlimited, this project will be a leading effort in the basin and southcentral Idaho. Local contractors and consultants will be hired for engineering, construction, and sourcing materials wherever possible.

b. **Map**

![Bypass Canal and Bannon Ditch Flood Mitigation Project Map](image)
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- Glendale Canal
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- Upstream End of Project
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Please see attached Sheets 5 - 7 with Conceptual Design Plan and Cross Section.

c. **Conceptual Plan/Cross Section**

Please see attached Sheets 5 - 7 with Conceptual Design Plan and Cross Section.

d. **Conceptual Design and Repair Features**

The conceptual design and plan uses repair features that will mitigate impacts of the 2017 flood, alleviate future flooding, and enhance riparian and aquatic habitat. Along the western channel,
floodplain benches, floodplain wood structures, and meander bend structures will be installed to reactivate the floodplain, protect the streambank, and reconstruct the damaged portion of the Bannon ditch. The main channel will be realigned along the eastern bank, and deflector structures will be constructed along the channel to protect the eastern streambank and mid-channel gravel bar. Sediment storage areas will be created for annual sediment removal. A haul road will be constructed to access sediment storage areas. An instream riffle/pool sequence will be created to transport sediment not contained by the sediment storage areas. A pool will be created upstream of the Bypass canal diversion to flush transported sediment past the headgate.

e. **Right-of-Way / Easement**
Subject to Idaho Statute 42-1102, water users of the Bypass canal, Glendale diversion, and Bannon ditch are permitted Rights-of-Way to maintain irrigation water delivery. An access agreement with Swiftsure Ranch on the east side of the Big Wood River will be completed prior to any construction or implementation work.

2.4.2 **Cost Estimate**
Construction cost estimates are based on a bid from Biota Research and Consulting (see attached Sheet 12).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bypass Canal and Bannon Ditch Flood Mitigation Project Budget</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subcontract Costs - Design</strong></td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Design Development</td>
<td>$3,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Design, Engineering Analysis</td>
<td>$15,070.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>$18,270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subcontract Costs - Permitting</strong></td>
<td>$5,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMA CLOMR</td>
<td>$18,960.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>$24,360.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subcontract Costs - Installation</strong></td>
<td>$32,437.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Administration - Staking</td>
<td>$162,183.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency (15%)</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>$209,620.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drone footage and post-processing</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water delivery monitoring by Dist 37 and users</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>$3,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Management</strong></td>
<td>$6,876.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legal, Administrative, and Indirect Costs (4.9%)</strong></td>
<td>$13,433.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Administration</td>
<td>$565.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Counsel</td>
<td>$241.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>$12,627.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>$13,433.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$273,809.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4.3 Implementation Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final Design</td>
<td>August 1, 2018</td>
<td>August 15, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permitting and Regulatory Review</td>
<td>August 15, 2018</td>
<td>October 15, 2018 or sooner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finalize Access Agreement with Switsure Ranch</td>
<td>June 15, 2018</td>
<td>August 15, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Bid Evaluation</td>
<td>June 15, 2018</td>
<td>July 15, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Construction Monitoring</td>
<td>October 1, 2018</td>
<td>October 15, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>October 15, 2018</td>
<td>November 1, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Construction Monitoring</td>
<td>November 1, 2018</td>
<td>Annually thereafter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5 Financial Feasibility Analysis

The total cost for this project is estimated at $273,809. The request to Idaho Water Resources Board is $90,000 (33%). Match funding is provided by Flood Control District No. 9, the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality 319 Program, and Trout Unlimited. A grant has been awarded to Trout Unlimited in the amount of $64,864 from The Idaho Department of Environmental Quality through the 319 Agricultural BMP Program. Clean Water Act 319(h) grant funds through the federal Environmental Protection Agency are provided to qualifying states to administer nonpoint source pollution management programs. These funds are appropriated annually through Congress. In 2018, H.R. 1625—322 under Public Law 115-141 (the fiscal year 2018 omnibus appropriations bill) appropriated funds for the Environmental Protection Agency under section 319 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act. [https://www.congress.gov/115/bills/hr1625/BILLS-115hr1625enr.pdf](https://www.congress.gov/115/bills/hr1625/BILLS-115hr1625enr.pdf).

Please see attached letter from Trout Unlimited committing $25,959.47 of in-kind match. Cash match in the amount of $72,986 will be provided by Trout Unlimited, Flood Control District No. 9, and private fundraising.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Idaho Water Resources Board</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Control District No. 9</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho DEQ 319 Program</td>
<td>$64,864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trout Unlimited In-Kind</td>
<td>$25,959.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Management, Legal, Permitting, Administrative, Indirect, and Volunteers</td>
<td>$25,959.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Fundraising</td>
<td>$72,986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$273,809.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Description:

The Glendale Bypass project area is located on the Big Wood River approximately 2.4 miles south of Bellevue, Idaho. The area is within the unincorporated Blaine County in Township 01N, Range 15E, Section 12. The Big Wood River in this reach has been directly altered by anthropogenic activities that include encroachment on the floodplain; clearing of riparian vegetation; removal of in-stream woody debris; channel straightening; construction and maintenance of flood control berms and levees; installation of rip rap and bank armoring; and the establishment of transportation crossings. The result of these activities is an unstable river system that is highly dynamic and prone to severe deposition and bank erosion. Because of this, the Glendale Bypass Diversion and other diversions in the project area require ongoing in-stream manipulation to continue operations. Bank erosion has also completely eroded an approximately 200 ft section of a ditch in the project area (Bannon Ditch). The overall goals of the project are to create a stable and functional channel that provides reliable delivery to the Bypass canal and other diversion points while improving habitat quality; decreasing the need for ongoing in-stream channel manipulation; and improving water quality.
June 15, 2018

Idaho Department of Water Resources
Attn: Mr. Neeley Miller
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720

Dear Mr. Neeley and Selection Committee,

Blaine County is requesting Idaho Water Resource Board grant funding for the Della View Subdivision Flood Mitigation Project. The total cost of the project is estimated to be $306,334.00 and Blaine County is requesting $121,331.00 and with the help of the City of Hailey and Flood Control District Number 9, will match the remaining $185,003.00.

The Della View Subdivision Flood Mitigation Project is a flood reduction project consisting of two areas of treatment where the two smaller areas of treatment will be completed together to achieve a more positive outcome for the residents of Della View Subdivision and the now yearly flooding from the Big Wood River. By activating a side channel on the Big Wood River next to Della View Subdivision and developing conveyance channels in the subdivision, Blaine County and the City of Hailey hope to reduce the amount of flooding and the duration of flooding in the area.

Enclosed please find the Idaho Water Resource Board Grant Application and supporting documents per the application requirements. We appreciate your time and consideration for our application and process. If you have any questions about our application, please contact Chris Corwin, Blaine County Emergency Disaster Services Coordinator at (208) 788-5508 or by email at ccorwin@co.blaine.id.us.

Sincerely,

Angenie McCleary
Chairman

Lawrence Schoen
Vice-Chairman

Jacob Greenberg
Commissioner
APPLICATION FOR FLOOD MANAGEMENT GRANT

II. General Information

A. Type of Organization: (Check box)  
   □ Flood District  
   □ Municipality
   ☑ County
   □ Other

Blaine County  
Organization Name

206 1st Avenue South  
PO Box/Street Address

Hailey, Blaine, Idaho, 83333  
City, County, State, Zip Code

82-6000283  
Tax Payer ID#

B. Is your organization registered with the Secretary of State's office? ☑ Yes  □ No

C. Purpose and Name of Project for this Grant Application.
   □ Stream Channel Repair  
   □ Stream Channel Improvement  
   □ Flood Risk Reduction
   ☑ Flood Prevention  
   □ Other

Name of Project: Della View Subdivision Flood Mitigation Project

III. Water Project/Activity

A. Source of Water  
   ☑ Surface
   □ Reservoir
   □ Other

B. Describe the Flood management Project/Activity – What is the primary purpose of this grant application?
The project has two areas of treatments that will work together to lower flood levels and reduce the flood duration in the Della View Subdivision. The first treatment is a side channel activation of the Big Wood River next to the subdivision. The second treatment is the installation of a connected system of floodwater conveyance ditches along War Eagle Dr., Triumph Dr. and through Heagle Park.

C. **Does this project/activity address multiple objectives? If so explain.**

Yes the project addresses multiple objectives. This project will: 1. Mitigate flood impact to public property, such as undercutting and/or erosion of roadways, 2. Mitigate flood impact to City park lands and park amenities, 3. Mitigate flood impact to private property, such as driveway access and landscape damage, 4. Mitigate duration of flood events, allowing homeowners to stay in their homes, 5. Reduce expense of annual City flood response activities (road closures, sandbags, personnel, etc.), 6. Reduce expense associated with repair of damages to public and private property, 7. Reduce the occurrence of questionable and/or unlawful private property flood mitigation activities and 8. Create momentum to implement complementary projects to restore floodplain function and increase resiliency to flood events.

D. **Will this flood management project/activity be implemented in a single year or phased over multiple years?**

- [x] 1-year
- [ ] Multiple-years (Phased)

E. **Project start and completion date:**

- June - July 2018 Design
- July 27, 2018 Funding Awarded
- August - September 2018 Permitting
- October 29, 2018 Construction Commence
- November - December Construction Completed

F. **Project detailed cost estimate, including all labor and materials:**

See attached budgets

G. **Has your organization performed stream channel or stream bank repair and/or improvement projects in the past year?**

Yes, in the spring of 2017 Blaine County completed emergency stream bank repair in two other locations, separate from the project site on the Big Wood River. For this project, Blaine County will contract out the stream channel and bank repair work to a qualified firm.
H. Provide the required regulatory approval and permit documents for this project.

The project would require authorization under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. This would be accomplished through submission of a Joint Application for Permits that would be delivered to the Army Corps of Engineers and ID Department of Water Resources. The Corps would likely authorize this work under Nationwide Permit 27. IDWR would likely authorize this work through issuance of a Stream Alteration Permit. The project treatments would be located on Hailey City property, so would likely require a decision and approval to proceed on the part of the City Council. The project treatments are located in the county, so would require a Blaine County Stream Alteration Permit. Since the project is located outside of the mapped floodway, a No-Rise Certification is not expected to be needed. If base flood elevations (BFEs) are modified more than 1-ft, a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) may be required to be submitted to and approved by FEMA prior to construction and a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) after construction is completed.

IV. Financial Information:

A. Does your organization have a regular assessment for the reserve or special needs fund?

☐ Yes ☐ No

B. Does your organization have prior experience in working with the Idaho Water Resource Board?

☐ Yes ☐ No

C. What other sources of funding have been explored to fund the project? (e.g. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, NRCS, FEMA, Banks, Local Government, etc.)

For this project, we have applied for funds from the FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant Program.

Amount of funds requested: $121,331

By signing this document you verify that all information provided is correct and the document is filled out to the best of your ability.

Authorized signature & date: [Signature] 6/15/18

ATTEST:

Jolynn Drage
Blaine County Clerk
**Purpose:**

The Della View Subdivision Flood Mitigation Project is a flood reduction project consisting of two areas of treatment. It is two smaller areas of treatment will be completed together to achieve a more positive outcome for the residents of Della View Subdivision and the now yearly flooding from the Big Wood River. The cost of the project is estimated to be $306,334. Blaine County is requesting $121,331 from the Idaho Water Resource Board. The project would accomplish the objectives of both reducing the amount of flooding in the Della View Subdivision and reducing the duration of the flooding in the subdivision. During the winter of 2016-2017, the Big Wood River watershed received record amounts of snow. In the spring of 2017, the runoff from the snow melt caused extreme changes in the course and profile of the Big Wood River flood plain. The Della View Subdivision was most affected by these changes. In 2017, the neighborhood experienced the worst flooding in its history, causing nearly $750,000 in private property insurance claims. The City incurred $200,000 in expenses for flood response and clean-up. In the spring of 2018, with a near normal snowpack and an approximately average runoff, the Della View Subdivision was once again flooded by the waters of the Big Wood River. The changes in the river from the 2017 floods are now causing flooding at a much lower flow and likely on a regular basis in the future. Due to this change in the flow of the Big Wood River, there is an urgency to complete this project by next runoff. Not only are the citizen's homes threatened by this level of flooding but so is public infrastructure. War Eagle Drive in Hailey continues to have river water erode its shoulder and to flow over the road during normal spring runoff. With water flowing over the road, public safety response and public services like trash and school buses are unable to safely service this area. This project is important because it will reduce the amount of flooding and the duration of the flooding in the Della View Subdivision, lessening the impact to the citizen who live there. The project would require authorization under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. This would be accomplished through submittal of a Joint Application for Permits that would be delivered to the Army Corps of Engineers and ID Department of Water Resources. The Corps would likely authorize this work under Nationwide Permit 27. IDWR would likely authorize this work through issuance of a Stream Alteration Permit. The project treatments would be located on Hailey City property, so would likely require a decision and approval to proceed on the part of the City Council. The project treatments are located in the county, so would require a Blaine County Stream Alteration Permit. Since the project is located outside of the mapped floodway, a No-Rise Certification is not expected to be needed. If base flood elevations (BFEs) are modified more than 1-ft, a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) may be required to be submitted to and approved by FEMA prior to construction and a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) after construction is completed.

**Project location/ legal description**

The project is located within Blaine County in and next to the Big Wood River. The work within the Big Wood River will be completed in a side channel, next to the Della View Subdivision. The conveyance work is within the Della View Subdivision, along War Eagle Drive, which is located in the City of Hailey and next to the Big Wood River. A platted right of way in Della View Subdivision recorded on November 30, 1970 (Blaine County Instrument 137447). The two area of treatment are approximately 500 feet
from each other. The centroid GPS coordinates of the project are 43°30'28.28"N and 114°18'53.63"W. The project area is a residential subdivision and next to a public park (Heagle Park) that is within the subdivision. The land owner of the location of the project is the City of Hailey. City of Hailey will complete the flood conveyance portion of this project within its right of way on War Eagle Drive. City of Hailey has given Blaine County approval to complete the stream channel restoration part of the project on its parcel. RP02N1801635000 (Legal Description: Tax Lot 9338, Blaine County Instrument Number 621803).

**Previous Projects and Studies**

Attached to this application are three recent studies that have been completed within the Big Wood River. The first was a Geomorphic Study of the Big Wood River in 2014. Also in 2014, a Technical Assistance Report on flood potential Blaine County after the Beaver Creek Fire was completed. The Army Corps of Engineers also completed another Technical Assistance Report for the City of Hailey in 2017 during the flood. There was also a report done by Harmony Engineering for the City of Hailey in 2017, which was incorporated into the Hailey Greenway Master Plan.

**Project Sponsor**

Blaine County is a county government in the state of Idaho. In 1895, Blaine County was created by the Idaho State legislature by combining Alturas and Logan Counties. It was a large county consisting of five other present-day counties, Lincoln, Gooding, Minidoka, Jerome and Camas. Blaine County's current boundaries were established on February 8, 1917 as described in Idaho Code 31-109. Blaine County was formed under The Idaho Constitution – Article XVIII and Idaho Statutes Title 31 contains Idaho Code pertaining to counties.

Prospectors entered the Wood River area soon after the beginning of the 1862 Boise Basin mining boom. The County's first permanent settlers were farmers who arrived in 1879. The 1880 Wood River mining boom brought the first large population influx, including a significant number of Irish, Welsh, German and Chinese immigrants. Also starting in 1880, was the feeding and shipping of sheep. By 1900, more than 2 million sheep had been raised or trailed through the Wood River Valley. With the construction of Sun Valley Resort in 1936, Blaine County experienced a second boom. Averell Harriman, Chairman of the Board of the Union Pacific Railroad, conceived the idea of establishing, near Ketchum, a first-class resort for skiers, the first in the United States. Blaine County's topography, geographic location and seasonal variation in climate create a unique and varied natural environment, ranging from the scenic, high alpine country in the north to the desolate lava plains and high desert mountains in the south. As a recreational area, Blaine County is known throughout the world for the quality and beauty of its natural environment and recreational opportunities.

Blaine County's revenue source is from assessments. Blaine County is a local government that provides public services to the citizens of Blaine County, Idaho. Blaine County has a three person county commissioner's board and a county administrator. Blaine County has approximately 20 different departments and 166 employees. The departments include: Administrative Services, Assessors Office, Commissioners Office, Coroner, County Services, County, Emergency Communications, DMV, Elections, Facilities, GIS, Information Technology, Land Use and Building Services, Probation, Prosecuting Attorneys, Clerk/Recorders, Recycling, Road & Bridge, Sheriff, Treasurer and Weed Department. Blaine County has an official County Code that was last updated by ordinance 2018-03, passed February 13,
In the county code under Chapter 7 is the county commissioner bylaws. Given the size of Blaine County workforce and with their knowledge and experience, Blaine County has the organizational capacity to undertake and complete the project described in this application. Blaine County's project lead, Chris Corwin, has worked on several FEMA grants within the past 18 months, including the Emergency Management Program Grant, State Homeland Security Grant Program and the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program. Chris will work with other county staff including the county engineer and flood plain manager to ensure the work being complete meets both engineering standards and flood plain regulations. The amount of time that will need to be dedicated to this project is difficult to determine at this time but Chris has the flexibility in his job responsibilities to be able to put the needed time to complete the project timely, efficiently and properly. The project will take place on a parcel of land that falls within Blaine County's jurisdiction and within City of Hailey's jurisdiction. We are partnering with City of Hailey to complete the part of this project that takes place within their incorporated city limits. The local flood control district number 9 has provided a letter of support along with financial support as documented in the letter and in the financial analysis.

**Project Description**

The first area of treatment is a project that would activate a side channel on the west side of the Big Wood River across from Heagle Park in the Della View Subdivision. This would be done by excavating material to clear the historic overflow channel against the Della Mountain hillside and installing sufficient rock grade control structures to prevent enlargement of the re-established secondary channel. This project also includes selective clearing of debris. Debris configurations that impede establishment of the functional channel form would be modified and debris configurations that complement the functional channel form would be allowed to remain in place. For example, debris that would otherwise impair conveyance into the re-established secondary channel would be removed. Debris that is stabilizing banks would remain in place. Existing debris would be evaluated on a case-by-case basis to determine if debris is complementing or detracting from the design functional channel form. Monitoring of the completed project would be completed annually by Blaine County's engineer each fall to determine if any maintenance work needs to be completed in the treatment area.

The treatments objectives were identified based upon existing site conditions, previous assessments, land use constraints, and fluvial system potential. Project objectives include the following: 1. Restore side channel connectivity; 2. Increase floodwater conveyance capacity; 3. Minimize channel avulsion potential; 4. Identify self-maintaining treatments that maximize the ecological values of the Big Wood River.

The benefits of the treatment of the activation of the Heagle Park side channel provides an opportunity to improve riverine conditions while benefitting proximate land uses. The design plans were developed to achieve objectives of improved side channel connectivity and increased flood flow conveyance.

The second area of treatment is a project that consists of installing a connected system of floodwater conveyance ditches along War Eagle Dr., Triumph Dr., and through Heagle Park. The ditch designs vary depending on their location. Where there are no driveway or street crossings, the new ditches use either compacted structural backfill, or a combination of two sizes of crushed rock. At driveway crossings (three on War Eagle) and where the ditch crosses War Eagle at Triumph, the City will install concrete trench drains with traffic-rated grates. Although more costly, the concrete trench drains are
the best choice at these locations; they will reliably convey a significant amount of water. Culverts are not a good option because elevations and utilities at the crossing locations would dictate a tiny culvert size. Such culverts would be overwhelmed with water at very low flow levels. Concrete trench drains have the value-added benefit of being easily cleaned (just remove the grate), and they are also sturdy and immobile, which contributes to protecting against erosion of asphalt edges. Final design will involve further detailed analysis of this concept to fully prove out the cost-benefit, along with consideration of potential alternatives for the crossings.

The ditches along War Eagle and Triumph will convey floodwater to two conveyance ditches through Heagle Park: one on the far-east side of the park (natural ditch created by the 2017 flood will be modified to a permanent ditch under this project), and a new ditch on the far west side of the park. These new ditches in the park terminate to unoccupied, lower elevation, City-owned lands to the south, conveying all floodwaters back towards the Big Wood.

Conveyance Ditches will flow approximately 16.18 cfs at 2.31 ft/sec using a slope of 0.5% and a Manning’s roughness of 0.035. Preliminary project slopes range from 0.5% up to 2.0%, with the exception of the conveyance ditch on the north side of War Eagle which has a minimum preliminary slope of 0.35% to match existing topography. The structural channels will convey greater than 16 cfs due to the reduced Manning roughness coefficients of the structure material. Additional flow calculations and channel details will be refined during final design.

Approximately 1,282 cubic yards of material will be removed from floodplain for construction of the conveyance ditches, and they will disturb approximately 3,500 square yards of roadside area. Imported structural gravel will be used to line the ditches after initial shaping and will consist of uniformly graded fractured material sourced from local gravel pits. Subgrade and structural gravel will be compacted to 95% maximum laboratory density as defined by AASHTO T-99 to minimize future erosion.

The proposed project is intended to mitigate lower-flow flooding, which is much more common in the neighborhood than large, disaster-level flood events as were experienced in 2017. These lower-flow events are now expected to occur annually. For example, this year (a low snow year), the Big Wood at this location overtopped its banks at a mere 3.8 feet, causing flooding in the neighborhood. The historic flood stage at this location is 6 feet. The streets and neighborhood have been experiencing flooding for more than a month. Installing the proposed infrastructure has the following benefits:

- Mitigate flood impact to public property, such as undercutting and/or erosion of roadways
- Mitigate flood impact to City park lands and park amenities
- Mitigate flood impact to private property, such as driveway access and landscape damage
- Mitigate duration of flood events, allowing homeowners to stay in their homes
- Reduce expense of annual City flood response activities (road closures, sandbags, personnel, etc.)
- Reduce expense associated with repair of damages to public and private property
- Reduce the occurrence of questionable and/or unlawful private property flood mitigation activities
- Create momentum to implement complementary projects to restore floodplain function and increase resiliency to flood events
All work will be performed along existing roadsides or other previously disturbed areas during the dry season with no water present. Because of this, turbidity and other water quality issues will not be present, and compaction/stabilization can occur using conventional earthwork techniques. There are no other factors that require special consideration other than the use of low profile conveyance structures to prevent underground conflicts with existing utilities. City of Hailey’s public works director and engineer will work with his streets department to do annual inspections of the work to monitor any changes to the work that was completed.

All project locations are owned by the City of Hailey. No right of way acquisition or easements are required to implement the project. A City of Hailey Flood Hazard Development Permit is the only permit required for this part of the project, and is attached to this application. City of Hailey has given Blaine County approval to complete the stream channel restoration part of the project on its parcel, RP02N180163500 (Legal Description: Tax Lot 8338, Blaine County Instrument Number 621803).

Please see the attached concept plans in Appendix C and D of each treatment for more details.

**Cost Estimate**

We have attached two documents. Each document is a detailed cost estimate of each treatment of the project. They can be found in Appendix B.

**Implementation Schedule**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>June - July 2018</th>
<th>Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 27, 2018</td>
<td>Funding Awarded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August – September 2018</td>
<td>Permitting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 29, 2018</td>
<td>Construction Commence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November – December</td>
<td>Construction Completed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Financial Feasibility Analysis**

The total project cost is $306,334. The Idaho Water Resource Board grant request is $121,331. As shown on the two attached budget spreadsheets, the City of Hailey will contribute $79,000 cash to the project and $7,779 of in-kind services, which included $1431 of administrative services. Blaine County will contribute $60,000 cash to the project and $13,224 of in-kind grant administrative services. This cost is not listed in the Biota project budget. Flood Control District Number 9 has agreed to also contribute $25,000 cash to the project. The total matching fund is $185,003 or 60.4%.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>UNIT</th>
<th>UNIT COST</th>
<th>TOTAL COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FINAL DESIGN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field surveys (channel cross sections for final design and permitting)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop final design report and figures</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>hrs</td>
<td>$135</td>
<td>$6,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop construction drawings and specifications</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>hrs</td>
<td>$130</td>
<td>$4,940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PERMITTING</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blaine County Stream Alteration Permit, No-Rise Analysis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>$8,100</td>
<td>$8,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Application (Corps and IDWR)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>$1,620</td>
<td>$1,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOBILIZATION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment/Contractor Mobilization and Demobilization</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RIVER AND FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side Channel Inlet Excavation</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>cy</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavation and Haul Across River (excavator &amp; 2 haul trucks)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load and Haul Off-site to Disposal Area</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meander Bend Structures</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>$6,750</td>
<td>$13,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex Jam Structures</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>$8,500</td>
<td>$8,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardened Riffle, Rock Purchase and Delivery</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>cy</td>
<td>$65</td>
<td>$22,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardened Riffle, Construction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willow Bundles (river bank treatment)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Reclamation, Seeding, Clean-up</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$75,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Staking and Supervision</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>$15,101</td>
<td>$15,101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROJECT COST</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$121,518</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTICES:**

Fees and costs associated with the FEMA CLOMR process are not included in this estimate. It is assumed that permits for this project will be secured through a standard No-Rise analysis (instead of the FEMA CLOMR process).

The information contained here was prepared in June 2018 and is based on information available at that time. Actual costs to complete project activities may vary depending on changing conditions, regulatory requirements, and the needs of the client.
## An Opinion of Probable Construction Cost for

### Della View Flood Improvements - 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Item &amp; Category Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Item Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Shopping &amp; Grabbing - 6' Depth w/ ROW</td>
<td>S.Y.</td>
<td>3,505</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$3,505.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Excavation</td>
<td>C.Y.</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td>$3.50</td>
<td>$2.733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Remove and dispose of Trees</td>
<td>L.S.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>18&quot; Leveling Course Gravel, 4&quot; Depth</td>
<td>C.Y.</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>$37.00</td>
<td>$1,784.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Superpro HMA SPC 1/2&quot; gradation, YS58-34, 3&quot; Depth</td>
<td>S.Y.</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>$14.50</td>
<td>$546.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Remove and Reset Pavers</td>
<td>S.Y.</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$1,050.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Top Soil and Drought Tolerance Grass</td>
<td>S.Y.</td>
<td>1.115</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$3,344.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Concrete Channel: Product Purchase</td>
<td>L.F.</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>$489.00</td>
<td>$59,658.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Concrete Channel: Installation</td>
<td>L.F.</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>$163.00</td>
<td>$19,996.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Relocate Utilities (Power, Phone, Cable)</td>
<td>L.F.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Remove and Reset Signs</td>
<td>Each</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$1,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Remove and Reset Fence</td>
<td>L.F.</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$3,760.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Repair Park Irrigation System</td>
<td>L.F.</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td>$3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Replace Landscape Boulders</td>
<td>Each</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Traffic Control</td>
<td>L.S.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Traffic Control** | **$117,000**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Item &amp; Category Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Item Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Design Engineering &amp; Base Map Surveying</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Construction Surveying</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Construction Inspection: City Street Dept</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>48.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Construction Inspection: City Engineer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>$41.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Administration: City Staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>$25.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Construction Contingency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Contingency** | **$54,584**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Item &amp; Category Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Item Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 23 | Total Opinion of Probable Construction Cost | | | | **$171,592**

**City Match: Cash** | **$78,000**
**City Match: In Kind (rows 19-21 previously)** | **$7,779**
**Total Match** | **$85,779**
**Percentage of Total Project** | **39.4%**
**Total Grant Request** | **$84,813**
June 14, 2018

Idaho Department of Water Resources
Idaho Water Resource Board

RE: Flood Management Grant Funding Program

Dear Board Members:

The Cassia County Commissioners personally witnessed a 100 year flood event in the Raft River Channel on February 9, 2017. During this time there was significant flood damage throughout the County. In particular, one area that was affected in the County was the Raft River Channel. This channel is a major irrigation and watering artery to farms and ranches and provides water in areas where flood irrigation is utilized. Reid Springs Road crosses this Raft River Channel and the bridge at that crossing was constructed in 2002. Damage from the 2017 flood caused a significant amount of debris to collect at the bridge, as well as increased sediment in the area. The net effect of all of this has now altered the natural stream flow, and damaged the stream channel. Without stream channel repair, the bridge is at risk of major structural damage.

The County is seeking this grant, and coupled with County resources and assistance from the Raft River Flood District, the County can repair and improve the stream channel, as well as reduce sediment and further erosion problems which will restore the stream to its natural order, providing stability and longevity to the existing bridge.

In that context of joint cooperation and best use of resources, please accept our application for this grant funding.

Board of Commissioners for Cassia County, Idaho

By:  

Bob Kunau, Chair
APPLICATION FOR FLOOD MANAGEMENT GRANT

Answer the following questions and provide the requested material as directed. All pertinent information must be provided. Additional information may be requested by the Idaho Water Resource Board (IWRB) depending on the scope of the project and amount of funding requested.

Incomplete documents will be returned and no further action will be taken by IWRB staff. All paperwork must be submitted within twenty-eight (28) working days prior to the next IWRB meeting.

Board meeting agendas can be found at: http://www.idwr.idaho.gov/IWRB/meetings/

I. Overview:
This form applies to the IWRB Flood Management Grant Program. The Flood Management Grant Program provides financial assistance to eligible entities interested in pursuing flood damaged stream channel repair, stream channel improvements, flood risk reduction, or flood prevention projects. Pursuing flood management improvement and repair projects can assist in maintaining flow capacities in major waterways, prevent bank and channel erosion, and reduce property damage during flood events.

The grant funding shall require a 50% funding match by the sponsor of the total project costs. In-kind services can account for up to 30% of the total project costs. Legal/Administrative in-kind services are limited to 5% of total project costs.

Funds will be distributed by sponsor submitting funding reimbursement requests, which shall include:

1. Cover letter formally requesting a funding reimbursement, description of project activities, dates of project activities, and contractor or supplier invoices. Funds shall be distributed within 15-days of receipt of reimbursement request.

Upon completion of the project, sponsor and/or consultant, shall submit a notice of completion to the IWRB, and that the improvements and/or repairs were constructed in substantial conformance with the approved plans and specifications.

Prepare and attach a "Grant Document" to this application.

The Grant Application Document requirements are outlined in the IWRB Flood Management Grant Program Guidelines. The guidelines can be found at: http://www.idwr.idaho.gov/waterboard/Financial%20program/financial.htm

You can also obtain a copy by contacting IWRB staff.
II. General Information:
A. Type of organization: (Check box)
   □ Flood District
   □ Municipality
   ✔ County
   □ Other
   Sam Adams, Cassia County Road & Bridge, Supervisor
   Name and title of Contact Person
   208-312-1173
   Contact telephone number
   sadams@cassiacounty.org
   e-mail address

   Cassia County
   Organization name
   1459 Overland Avenue, Room 210
   PO Box/Street Address
   Burley, Cassia, Idaho 83318
   City, County, State, Zip Code
   82-6000282
   Taxpayer ID#

   Project location/ legal description Where Reid Springs Road and Raft River Drainage intersection.
   113°36'44.219" W, 42°02'32.793"N (Approx)

B. Is your organization registered with the Idaho Secretary of State's office? Yes □ No ✔

C. Purpose and name of project for this grant application.
   ✔ Stream Channel Repair
   ✔ Stream Channel Improvement
   □ Flood Risk Reduction
   □ Flood Prevention
   □ Other

III. WATER PROJECT/ACTIVITY:
A. Source of water:
   ✔ Surface
   □ Reservoir
   □ Other
B. Describe the Flood Management Project/Activity - What is the primary purpose of this grant application?

Rehabilitate flood damaged and altered stream channel and to fix and prevent damage to Reid Springs Road bridge structure.

C. Does this project/activity address multiple objectives? If so explain.

Provide for continued irrigation, enhance stream flow, and prevent erosion and related damage to a major bridge structure on county road, and maintain residents’ accessibility, major farming operations accessibility and school bus route access in the vicinity.

D. Will this flood management project/activity be implemented in a single year, or phased over multiple years?

☑ 1-year  ☐ Multiple-years (Phased)

E. Project start and completion date:

Project Start: September 25, 2018  Completion Date: November 29, 2018

F. Project detailed cost estimate, including all labor and materials:

Labor: $6074.00 + Materials: $78598.75 = $84,672.75

G. Has your organization performed stream channel or stream bank repair and/or improvement projects in the past?

Yes. Example: Elba Idaho Clyde Creek Project; Narrows Bridge Project Almo; All projects required extensive work with Idaho Department of Water Resources and Army Corp. of Engineers.

H. Provide the required regulatory approval and permit documents for this project.

Yes, will obtain the required regulatory approval and permits for this project.
IV. FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

A. Does your organization have a regular assessment for a reserve or special needs fund?
   Yes ☑ No ☐

B. Does your organization have prior experience in working with the Idaho Water Resource Board?
   Yes ☑ No ☐

C. What other sources of funding have been explored to fund the project? (e.g. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, NRCS, FEMA, Banks, Local Government, etc.)

Raft River Flood District.

Amount of funds requested: $42,336.38

By signing this document you verify that all information provided is correct and the document is filled out to the best of your ability.

Authorized signature & date: Bob Kuman 6/15/2018
Grant Application
For
IDWRB Flood Management Grant Funding Program

Cassia County Road and Bridge
Sam Adams, Supervisor

1459 Overland Ave., Burley, ID 83318
sadams@cassiacounty.org
208-312-1173
2.1 Project Background Information

2.1.1 Purpose
In February 9, 2017 the Raft River channel experienced a 100 year flood event. This event caused great damage to certain areas of the channel. One area in particular of the Raft River Channel suffered damage. That channel is a major artery of irrigation waters to farms and ranches. The area for our project, and which is of vital concern, is at the Reid Springs Road intersection with the Raft River Channel where a concrete bridge was constructed in 2002. Damage from the 100 year flood event was altered water flow due to significant debris build up. This damaged the stream channel and places the bridge at risk of major structural damage because it is exposed to high water flowing underneath the bridge, unprotected by the eroded and damaged stream banks.

Repairs will be implemented to improve and repair the stream channel, as well as reduce sediment and further erosion problems by using rip rap as well as replacing the stream to its natural order with reimplementation of willows thereby providing stability and longevity to the existing bridge structure.

2.1.2 Narrative
The Raft River Drainage begins in Lynn, Utah and winds its way northward through the Almo valley located in Cassia County, Idaho approximately 5.5 miles from the town of Almo, Idaho. It continues through the Narrows area and into the Malta Valley, where later it crosses Interstate 184 and continues northward. The Raft River Drainage collects all of the minor tributaries throughout the adjoining valleys.

A map showing location of the above area is attached to show the location of roadways, bridges, irrigation structures and the proposed the project site.

General characteristics of the project area include a dairy farming, several ranches, hundreds of acres of farm ground, school bus route access, and community access for residents in this area, one woodworking business, and emergency access services.

2.1.3 Previous Projects and Studies
On the Raft River we replaced an identical bridge in the same year approximately 5 miles away on the EY Road. Approximately ten miles from proposed project, the Narrows Bridge was completed and replaced, including the installation of four eight foot culverts.

2.2 Project Sponsor
Cassia County Idaho is a political subdivision of the State of Idaho since February 1879. Cassia County is largely of agriculture influence that depends on both water for irrigation and roads for access to lands which benefit and serve the agricultural economy of the area and the citizens of this County.
2.4.1 Project Description
a. We are proposing to work on the Raft River Drainage located 5.5 miles south of Almo, Cassia County, Idaho to do the following work:
   • Excavate and haul away debris, fines and sediment that were caused from, and built up by, the 2017 flooding in Cassia County.
   • Create a better channel, more effective water flow, and stabilize stream banks.
b. A Map of the entire project area showing the location of the proposed flood management activities and affected stream is attached.
c. Drawings are attached that show the current status and views of the proposed project as well as the finished project.
d. The drawings show proposed implementation of the best management practices for bank stabilization along the bridge, as well as erosion prevention, excavation and grading, and other factors.
e. Property owner Bruce Durfee owns the parcel of land where the project is located. A letter from Bruce Durfee, is attached that gives right to access the stream channel from his land and, as well, to repair the stream channel for this proposed project.

2.4.2 Cost Estimate
Cost Estimate of Proposed Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IDWR 50%</td>
<td>42,336.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plans</td>
<td>12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404 Permit</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic Report if Needed</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction County Share</td>
<td>8,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Raft River Flood District Contribution</td>
<td>8,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td><strong>84,672.75</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Week Time Frame 120 Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Schedule</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excavator 120 Hrs. x 128.50 hr.</td>
<td>15,420.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dump Truck 12 yd. 120 Hrs. x 71.50 hr.</td>
<td>8,580.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dump Truck 12 yd. x 71.50 hr.</td>
<td>8,580.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheel Loader 75 Hrs. x 60.25 hr.</td>
<td>4,518.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee 1 120 Hrs. x 21.12 hr.</td>
<td>2,534.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee 2 120 Hrs. x 15.50 hr.</td>
<td>1,860.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee 3 120 Hrs. x 14.00 hr.</td>
<td>1,680.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land, Right of Way Acquisition</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easements</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Inspections</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency Costs</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials: RipRap, Willows, etc. (Local Sources Free)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4.3 Implementation Schedule
The proposed project is tentatively scheduled to begin September 25, 2018 and the completion date is scheduled for November 29, 2018.

July 30th
Receive Grant Money from IDWR

July 31st
Start process for 404 Permit, Engineers Plan, Hydraulic Report if needed

Sept. 17th
Have in hand at Jobsite required permits, plans.

Sept. 17th - 20th
Move equipment into place, including excavator, loader, trucks, etc.

Sept. 25th
Work begins. Excavate Banks, remove debris from stream channel
Haul off loose dirt and sediment to keep jobsite clean as possible,
Estimated yards of removal 2000 yards.

Oct. 1st Week
Remove Sediment, and debris from underneath the bridge,
Compact Banks, and stream channel using vibratory compactor.

Oct. 9th Week
Line banks and stream bottom with engineered size rock, cleaning
Up any rock, dirt, etc. Leaving job site clean and presentable
To land owner, IDWR, Army Corp of Engineers and the General public.

2.5 Financial Feasibility Analysis
Amount Requested of Proposed Project Cost:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Estimate of Proposed Project</th>
<th>Total:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IDWR 50%</td>
<td>42,336.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plans</td>
<td>12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404 Permit</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic Report if Needed</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction County Share</td>
<td>8,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Raft River Flood District Contribution</td>
<td>8,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Week Time Frame 120 Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Schedule</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excavator 120 Hrs.</td>
<td>128.50 hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dump Truck 12 yd. 120 Hrs.</td>
<td>71.50 hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dump Truck 12 yd.</td>
<td>71.50 hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheel Loader 75 Hrs.</td>
<td>60.25 hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee 1 120 Hrs.</td>
<td>21.12 hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee 2 120 Hrs.</td>
<td>15.50 hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee 3 120 Hrs.</td>
<td>14.00 hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land, Right of Way Acquisition</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easements</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Inspections</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency Costs</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials: RipRap, Willows, etc. (Local Sources Free)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Financing Sources:

- From IDWR: 50%
- Cassia County: 10%
- Raft River Flood District: 10%
- In Kind Services (County): 30%

2.6

a. Cover Letter from Cassia Commissioners attached.

Narrative Responding to Grant Program Criteria.

Effectiveness of Project:
The urgency of this project is the stream flow has been altered by debris, and erosion of stream channel walls. Work needs to be done to abate further damage to the bridge and to repair and improve stream channel integrity prior to winter setting in and while the stream is dry and water has receded from the channel.

The work is to clean out all the debris, fines and sediment that have altered stream flow and to repair the stream channel banks and stop further damage to the stream channel and to protect the bridge infrastructure.

The stream banks will be improved and protected from further erosion and future damage. We will rehabilitate the stream channel by replanting willows and placing proper size riprap. Engineered design will help make this a model project.

We will also obtain the 404 permits as required, as well as conducting engineering studies as necessary, along with conducting the work to complete this project to Cassia County and State Standards.

Readiness of Project:
The lead sponsor will be Cassia County, through its County Road and Bridge Department. The County is supported by the Raft River Flood District. The Raft River Flood District is helping to match the cost share as well as providing some in-kind resources.

The land owner surrounding the project has written a letter of support and is granting access to do the work that is necessary to repair and rehabilitate the proposed project.

This project is estimated to take 120 hours of labor to complete. The project will begin on September 25, 2018 and will be completed by November 29th, 2018.

Past Projects: The County Road and Bridge Department has been involved, in past projects, with CMAQ, controlled management of air quality; with LHTAC grant funding on gravel crushing projects; with the Narrows Bridge replacement project (in cooperation with the Raft River Flood
Control District, which was a complete bridge removal including acquiring all the required permits from State and Army Corp. of Engineers; and, the Elba Square project which consisted of replacing culverts and live stream channel improvement to allow water flow while maintaining road integrity, this required permits from State and Army Corp. of Engineers.

**Implementation of Proposed Project:**

10% Cassia County  
10% Raft River Flood District  
30% In-kind Services (County)  
50% IDWR

Consulting Staff and Sponsor includes:

- Flood District  
- Engineer  
- Road Supervisor and Staff

Jay Black, Raft River Flood Advisory Board Member – Resume Included  
Sam Adams, Cassia County Road & Bridge Supervisor – Resume Included  
Randy Johnson, P.E. Forsgren Associates – Resume Included  
Steven Yearsley, P.E. Forsgren Associates – Resume Included

Also included: Letter from Property Owner Bruce Durfee  
Letter from Spencer Land & Livestock
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June 15, 2018

Idaho Water Resource Board  
322 East Front Street, Statehouse Mail  
Boise, ID 83720

ATTN: Grant Fund Committee

Please find attached an application for the Flood Management Grant Funding Program. The application has been completed pursuant to the criteria listed in the Flood Management Grant Funding Guidelines. The Boise River Flood Control District #10 Board of Commissioners has approved and supports this project.

If you have questions or need further information, please contact Mike Dimmick, District Manager, at projectmgr@boiseriver.org or (208) 861-2766. Also available for additional information, contact Steve Sweet at steve@quadrant.cc or (208) 850-3452.

Thank you for your consideration of this application. Your support is appreciated.

[Signature]

Mike Dimmick, District Manager  
Boise River Flood Control District #10
II. GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Type of Organization:
   - [x] Flood District
   - [ ] Municipality
   - [ ] County
   - [ ] Other

   Flood Control District #10 (FCD10)
   Organization Name

   Mike Dimmick, District Manager
   Contact Person, Name & Title

   PO Box 140396
   PO Box/Street Address

   208-861-2766
   Contact Telephone Number

   Garden City, ID, 83714-0396
   City, County, State, Zip Code

   projectmgr@boiseriver.org
   E-Mail Address

   82-0419262
   Taxpayer ID#

   Project location/ legal description: Boise River Mile 46.5
   Latitude: 43°40'2.53"N Longitude: 116°17'57.71"W See Attachment

B. Is your organization registered with the Idaho Secretary of State's office?
   - [x] Yes
   - [ ] No

C. Purpose and name of project for this grant application.
   - [x] Stream Channel Repair
   - [x] Stream Channel Improvement
   - [x] Flood Risk Reduction
   - [x] Flood Prevention
   - [x] Other: Diversion Stabilization

III. WATER PROJECT/ACTIVITY

A. Source of Water
   - [x] Surface
   - [ ] Reservoir
   - [ ] Other
B. Describe the Flood Management Project/Activity – What is the primary purpose of this grant application?
   The primary purpose of this project is to repair a failed river bank adjacent to the New Dry Creek diversion structure and armor an area of severe bank erosion adjacent to the Greenbelt.

C. Does this project/activity address multiple objectives? If so explain.
   Yes, the project will keep the river in the normal channel by repairing the breach formed by 2017 flood water. Bank armoring will protect the greenbelt pathway from damage. Hardening of channel bottom to arrest the continuing potential of a head cut flanking the diversion. The project also reduces flood risk to adjacent landowners and gravel mining site.

D. Will this flood management project/activity be implemented in a single year, or phased over multiple years?
   ☑ 1-Year ☐ Multiple-Years (Phased)

E. Project start and completion date:
   The project will be started during low flow in the Boise River. The start date will be November 2018 and will be completed by Spring of the following year.

F. Project detailed cost estimate, including all labor and materials:
   Cost estimate is $156,800. See Attached Itemized Cost Estimate.

G. Has your organization performed stream channel or stream bank repair and/or improvement projects in the past?
   Yes, FCD10 has performed ongoing stream channel, stream bank repair, and improvement projects during low river flows since 1972. See attached list of projects.

H. Provide the required regulatory approval and permit documents for this project.
   Permitting and letters of authorization from County, USACE, IDWR, and any other organizations will be accomplished after the project has been awarded. FCD10 secures required permits from regulatory agencies as standard operating procedure for the District.

IV. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

A. Does your organization have a regular assessment for a reserve or special needs fund?
   ☐ Yes ☑ No

B. Does your organization have prior experience in working with the Idaho Water Resource Board?
   ☑ Yes ☐ No

C. What other sources of funding have been explored to fund the project? (e.g. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, NRCS, FEMA, Banks, Local Government, etc.)
   FD10 will provide matching funds.
2.1 **Project Background Information**

1. **Purpose**
   Reducing flood risk by repairing bank and reducing impact to the diversion structure. Reducing out of bank events at lower than flood stage flows.

2. **Project Area Description**
   Ada County, Boise River Mile 46.5, Latitude: 43°40’2.53"N Longitude: 116°17’57.71"W

3. **Previous Projects and Studies**
   See attachment for list of multiple projects.

2.2 **Project Sponsor**

   a. Flood Control District #10

2.4 **Project Description**

   a. Project Description – See Plan Set Attachment
   b. Map – See Attached Plan
   c. Conceptual Plan/Cross Section – See Attached Plan
   d. Conceptual Design and Repair Features – See Attached Plan
   e. Right-of-Way/Easement – FCD10 is coordinating with adjacent landowners, City of Garden City, and Ada County to provide access for this project.

2.4.2 **Cost Estimate**

   See attached Cost Estimate.

2.4.3 **Implementation Schedule**

   Low water 2018, completion by April 2019.

2.5 **Financial Feasibility Analysis**

- **Amount of IWRB Grant Funding Requested**
  The total estimated project cost is $156,800. The amount of funding requested is $78,400.

- **Financing Sources**

  In addition to the State funds, the project match financing will be provided by FCD10.
Effectiveness of Project (60 points)

- What is the urgency of the project and anticipated costs? (10 points)
  The urgency of the project is to mitigate flood risk to adjacent and downstream property owners, gravel mining operation, and reduce the erosion to the Greenbelt and stabilizing the diversion. See attachment of cost estimates.

- What are the objectives and benefits of the project? (10 points)
  The objective is to reduce the flood risk to adjacent and downstream property owners and protect the Greenbelt. Another objective of this project is to prevent flanking of the diversion structure by an ongoing head cut.

- How does the proposed project solution address the objectives? (10 points)
  Repairing channel bank maintains the flow in the normal channel and reducing out of bank flooding at less than flood stage. The solution also reduces risk of damage to the Greenbelt.

- How will the project measure success of its objectives, and describe the proposed monitoring plan? (5 points)
  Periodic inspections by FCD10 staff and contract Engineer will assure project is maintained in a functional state. Maintenance work will be performed as needed.

- Is the proposed budget and schedule realistic and is the budget appropriate for the scope of work provided? Has the applicant provided detailed construction expenses documenting how money will be spent to complete the project? (15 points)
  The proposed budget is based upon best business practices and estimates provided by experienced river work contractors and consulting engineers. See attached cost estimates. Invoicing will follow Grant Application criteria.

- Are project sponsors using relevant and appropriate information to develop the proposed project? (Sponsor should include references to relevant studies, assessments, reports, management plans, etc.) How will the project account for expected future changes to hydrology, sediment regimes, or water supply? (10 points)
  As demonstrated in Attached list of projects, FCD10 has developed and performed numerous equivalent projects over a 35 mile river reach. These projects were performed using information and criteria required by ACOE, IDWR, DEQ, and other agencies.

Readiness of Project (50 points)

- Lead sponsor of project is identified and there is a description of other affected stakeholders and jurisdictions. (10 points)
  Flood Control District #10 is the lead for this project. City of Garden City, Ada County, adjacent landowners, and New Dry Creek Ditch Company are the additional stakeholders.

- Project sponsors will provide documentation that affected local stakeholders and jurisdictions have been consulted. If the project is located within a Flood Control District, the sponsor must provide
documentation showing the Flood Control District supports the project, otherwise the project will be declared ineligible. (10 points)

Boise River Flood Control District #10 supports this project, see application cover letter. Verbal commitments have been received from local stakeholders and jurisdictions. Written letters of support have been promised but due to time constraints, have not been received as of the application date.

- Specify cash matching funds that will be provided for the project, including any in-kind services. Indicate what funding sources are secured or pending. The applicant must provide at least 50% matching cost share funding with non-state dollars. In-kind services can be used for 30% of the total projects costs. Legal/Administrative in-kind services are limited to 5% of total project costs. (10 points)

We are applying for 50/50 match. See attached Match Funding Breakout.

- Projects that propose matching cost-share amounts above 50% will receive additional points in the ranking (1 point for each additional 1% increase up to 70% to receive up to 20 additional points).

Organization Capacity (20 points)

- What is the sponsor’s history of successful accomplishments on projects similar to this one? The sponsor shall provide several past project examples, if possible. (10 points)

See attached Permitting History Summary, which lists successfully completed projects.

- What level of sponsor and consultant staffing will be directed toward the implementation of the proposed project? Discuss the number of sponsor and consultant staff and amount of time dedicated for each for the project. Will the project utilize volunteers? If so, how? Include brief resumes or list of qualifications for each member of the project team. (10 points)

One FCD10 staff member with consulting engineering support will provide regular oversight up to 2 hours per day. No volunteers will be utilized.

Attachments supporting this application are available at the following cloud site:

https://app.box.com/folder/50381600784
Amount of Funds Requested: $78,400

By signing this document you verify that all information provided is correct and the document is filled out to the best of your ability.

Authorized signature & date: 

[Signature]

Applicant: Flood Control District #10

## Preliminary Estimates

### New Dry Creek Cost Estimate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access Road (VKS)</td>
<td>$4,500</td>
<td>$15 CY</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access Road (Dechambeau)</td>
<td>$10,500</td>
<td>$15 CY</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culvert (Temporary)</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>$20 LF</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenbelt Traffic Control</td>
<td>$4,800</td>
<td>$480 DAY</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material (Rock)</td>
<td>$28,800</td>
<td>$24 CY</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placement (Rock)</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>$15 CY</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road Removal (Dechambeau)</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenbelt Reconstruction</td>
<td>$48,000</td>
<td>$10 SF</td>
<td>4,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Restoration</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permitting and Plans</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td>$13,000 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin</td>
<td>$13,800</td>
<td>$7,150 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$156,800</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Matching Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>$78,400</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCD10</td>
<td>$78,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TYPICAL RIPRAP CROSS SECTION
June 15, 2018

Idaho Water Resource Board
322 East Front Street, Statehouse Mail
Boise, ID 83720

ATTN: Grant Fund Committee

Please find attached an application for the Flood Management Grant Funding Program. The application has been completed pursuant to the criteria listed in the Flood Management Grant Funding Guidelines. The Boise River Flood Control District #10 Board of Commissioners has approved and supports this project.

If you have questions or need further information, please contact Mike Dimmick, District Manager, at projectmgr@boiseriver.org or (208) 861-2766. Also available for additional information, contact Steve Sweet at steve@quadrant.cc or (208) 850-3452.

Thank you for your consideration of this application. Your support is appreciated.

[Signature]

Mike Dimmick, District Manager
Boise River Flood Control District #10
II. GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Type of Organization:

- [x] Flood District
- [ ] Municipality
- [ ] County
- [ ] Other

Flood Control District #10 (FCD10)
Organization Name

PO Box 140396
PO Box/Street Address

Garden City, ID, 83714-0396
City, County, State, Zip Code

82-0419262
Taxpayer ID#:

Mike Dimmick, District Manager
Contact Person, Name & Title

208-861-2766
Contact Telephone Number

projectmgr@boiseriver.org
E-Mail Address

Project location/ legal description: Boise River
Latitude: 43°40'54.57"N Longitude: 116°26'21.79"W See Sheet 1 of Attachment

B. Is your organization registered with the Idaho Secretary of State's office?

- [ ] Yes  - [x] No

C. Purpose and name of project for this grant application.

- [x] Stream Channel Repair
- [x] Stream Channel Improvement
- [x] Flood Risk Reduction
- [x] Flood Prevention
- [ ] Other: Gravel Removal

III. WATER PROJECT/ACTIVITY

A. Source of Water

- [x] Surface  - [ ] Reservoir  - [ ] Other
IWRB Flood Management Grant Application - 2018

B. Describe the Flood Management Project/Activity - What is the primary purpose of this grant application?
As described in the NRCS 2017 Equip, Flood Rehabilitation Design Report, the primary purpose of this grant application is to redirect base flow back to the pre-existing primary river channel and restore basic river functions through reconstructing the left bank using rock armoring and bioengineering methods for stability (log root wads, willow bundles, cottonwood pole plantings, and grass seedings), and establish willow varieties along the bank. In addition to the channel restoration, this project will redirect current flood waters from agricultural land back to the historic river channel.

C. Does this project/activity address multiple objectives? If so explain.
Yes, the project addresses stream channel repair, stream channel improvement, flood risk reduction, flood prevention, and gravel removal. The stream channel repair and improvement will be addressed by the bank repair and removal of gravel to decrease out of bank flow. Flood risk reduction/prevention will be a result of the removal of the gravel occlusion currently blocking normal river flows.

D. Will this flood management project/activity be implemented in a single year, or phased over multiple years?
☒ 1-Year ☐ Multiple-Years (Phased)

E. Project start and completion date:
The project will be started during low flow in the Boise River. The start date will be November 2018 and will be completed prior to Spring runoff (2019).

F. Project detailed cost estimate, including all labor and materials:
See Attached Cost Estimate.

G. Has your organization performed stream channel or stream bank repair and/or improvement projects in the past?
Yes, FCD10 has performed ongoing stream channel, stream bank repair, and improvement projects during low river flows since 1972. See attached list of projects.

H. Provide the required regulatory approval and permit documents for this project.
Permitting and letters of authorization from County, USACE, IDWR, and other organizations have been completed. See attached listing of 58 river related projects completed by FCD10.

IV. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

A. Does your organization have a regular assessment for a reserve or special needs fund?
☐ Yes ☒ No

B. Does your organization have prior experience in working with the Idaho Water Resource Board?
☒ Yes ☐ No

C. What other sources of funding have been explored to fund the project? (e.g. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, NRCS, FEMA, Banks, Local Government, etc.)
FCD10, adjacent landowner, and NRCS are jointly participating in providing the matching funds required. In addition, USACE, IDWR, Ada County, IDL, and City of Meridian.
Amount of Funds Requested: $153,550

By signing this document you verify that all information provided is correct and the document is filled out to the best of your ability.

Authorized signature & date: [Signature]

[Name]

Page 3 of 6
2.1 Project Background Information

2.1.1 Purpose
Reducing flood risk by reestablishing historic channel flow.

2.1.2 Project Area Description
Ada County, Boise River Mile 37, Latitude: 43°40'54.57"N Longitude: 116°26'21.79"W

2.1.3 Previous Projects and Studies
See attachment for list of 58 similar projects that FCD10 has completed within the District.

2.2 Project Sponsor:

a. Flood Control District #10
b. Tim Gibson/Ray Arana (Landowner)
c. NRCS

2.4 Project Description

e. Right-of-Way/Easement – Land owned by co-applicants, Tim Gibson and Ray Arana. No easement required.

2.4.2 Cost Estimate
Cost estimate $307,100. See attached itemized cost estimate.

2.4.3 Implementation Schedule
Begin work during low water 2018 with project completion by April 2019.

2.5 Financial Feasibility Analysis

- Amount of IWRB Grant Funding Requested
The total estimated project cost is $307,100. The amount of funding requested is $153,550.

- Financing Sources –
In addition to the IWRB matching funds, the project matching financing will be provided by FCD10, the adjacent landowner, and NRCS.

Effectiveness of Project (60 points)

- What is the urgency of the project and anticipated costs? (10 points)
The urgency of the project is to prevent the river from establishing a new primary channel by redirecting the river back to historic river channel. See attached itemized cost estimate.

- What are the objectives and benefits of the project? (10 points)
The objective is to re-establish river flow to historic channel, thereby reducing flood risk and property loss.

- How does the proposed project solution address the objectives? (10 points)
  Improving channel capacity reduces out of bank flooding at less than flood stage. The repairing of the stream bank and removal of excess gravel will effectively redirect the flood waters from agricultural land and return the river back to the normal channel.

- How will the project measure success of its objectives, and describe the proposed monitoring plan? (5 points)
  A sediment monitoring station has been installed by University of Idaho, Center for Ecohydraulics Research through a contract with FCD10 to provide continuous measurement and monitoring of sediment transfer and will be compared to previous studies for this reach.

- Is the proposed budget and schedule realistic and is the budget appropriate for the scope of work provided? Has the applicant provided detailed construction expenses documenting how money will be spent to complete the project? (15 points)
  The proposed budget has been developed in coordination with NRCS, landowners, and construction companies who all agree the costs are within realistic estimates. FCD10 will submit invoices from the Contractor as work is completed and invoices for admin. and engineering costs upon project completion per guidelines for the grant funding program.

- Are project sponsors using relevant and appropriate information to develop the proposed project? (Sponsor should include references to relevant studies, assessments, reports, management plans, etc.) How will the project account for expected future changes to hydrology, sediment regimes, or water supply? (10 points)
  The proposed project plan was developed to NRCS national standards. Refer to attached NRCS Project Plan and Arana EQIP17 Design Report.

Readiness of Project (50 points)

- Lead sponsor of project is identified and there is a description of other affected stakeholders and jurisdictions. (10 points)
  Flood Control District #10 is the lead. Additional stakeholders include: Arana/Gibson landowners, downstream landowners, NRCS, USACE, IDWR, Ada County, IDL, and City of Meridian.

- Project sponsors will provide documentation that affected local stakeholders and jurisdictions have been consulted. If the project is located within a Flood Control District, the sponsor must provide documentation showing the Flood Control District supports the project, otherwise the project will be declared ineligible. (10 points)
  Boise River Flood Control District #10 supports this project, see application cover letter. Verbal commitments have been received from local stakeholders and jurisdictions. Written letters of support have been assured but due to time constraints, have not been received as of the application date.
• Specify cash matching funds that will be provided for the project, including any in-kind services. Indicate what funding sources are secured or pending. The applicant must provide at least 50% matching cost share funding with non-state dollars. In-kind services can be used for 30% of the total project costs. Legal/Administrative in-kind services are limited to 5% of total project costs. (10 points)
See attached matched funding breakout.

• Projects that propose matching cost-share amounts above 50% will receive additional points in the ranking (1 point for each additional 1% increase up to 70% to receive up to 20 additional points).
The proposed match for this project is 50%/50%.

Organization Capacity (20 points)

• What is the sponsor's history of successful accomplishments on projects similar to this one? The sponsor shall provide several past project examples, if possible. (10 points)
See attached Permitting History Summary, which lists successfully completed projects.

• What level of sponsor and consultant staffing will be directed toward the implementation of the proposed project? Discuss the number of sponsor and consultant staff and amount of time dedicated for each for the project. Will the project utilize volunteers? If so, how? Include brief resumes or list of qualifications for each member of the project team. (10 points)
One FCD10 staff member with consulting engineering support will provide regular oversight up to 2 hours per day. No volunteers will be utilized.

Attachments supporting this application are available at the following cloud site:

https://app.box.com/s/byz2ua7edk26r31vmcg22673nqcw6j4g
### Duck Alley Pit Capture

#### General Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>$4,900</td>
<td>$4,900</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Control (Super Sack Placement)</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Control (Super Sack Removal)</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>$160</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCD10/Engineering</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin</td>
<td>$14,600</td>
<td>$14,600</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Channel Gravel Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excavation</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willow Root Wads (Harvest)</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Embankment Repair

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Load/Haul (Owner Furnished Rip Rap)</td>
<td>$28,800</td>
<td>$24</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Move Onsite Rip Rap</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Rip Rap Embankment in Breach</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz fill material placed on top of Rip Rap</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$15</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willow Root Wads and Bundles (Placement)</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Project Total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT TOTAL</td>
<td>$307,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Matching Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State (IWRB)</td>
<td>$153,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landowner</td>
<td>$28,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRCS</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCD10</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total</td>
<td>$307,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Downstream of the break at Site 2 in Figure 7 there are large gravel deposits. Gravel deposits at this location are not seen on Figures 4 through 6. Sediment removal will be in this section.
June 15, 2018

Idaho Water Resource Board
322 East Front Street, Statehouse Mail
Boise, ID 83720

ATTN: Grant Fund Committee

Please find attached an application for the Flood Management Grant Funding Program. The application has been completed pursuant to the criteria listed in the Flood Management Grant Funding Guidelines. The Boise River Flood Control District #10 Board of Commissioners has approved and supports this project.

If you have questions or need further information, please contact Mike Dimmick, District Manager, at projectmgr@boiseriver.org or (208) 861-2766. Also available for additional information, contact Steve Sweet at steve@quadrant.cc or (208) 850-3452.

Thank you for your consideration of this application. Your support is appreciated.

[Signature]

Mike Dimmick, District Manager
Boise River Flood Control District #10
II. GENERAL INFORMATION
A. Type of Organization:

- [x] Flood District
- [ ] Municipality
- [ ] County
- [ ] Other

Flood Control District #10 (FCD10)  
Organization Name: Mike Dimmick, District Manager  
Contact Person, Name & Title:  
PO Box 140396  
PO Box/Street Address: 208-861-2766  
Contact Telephone Number: projectmgr@boiseriver.org  
E-Mail Address:  
Garden City, ID, 83714-0396 City, County, State, Zip Code:  
82-0419262 Taxpayer ID#:  
Project location/ legal description: Boise River, River Mile 30.0  
Latitude: 43°40'55.97"N Longitude: 116°33'15.14"W See Attachment  

B. Is your organization registered with the Idaho Secretary of State's office?  
- [ ] Yes  - [x] No

C. Purpose and name of project for this grant application.  
- [ ] Stream Channel Repair  
- [x] Stream Channel Improvement  
- [ ] Flood Risk Reduction  
- [ ] Flood Prevention  
- [ ] Other: Gravel Removal

III. WATER PROJECT/ACTIVITY
A. Source of Water  

- [x] Surface  - [ ] Reservoir  - [ ] Other
B. Describe the Flood Management Project/Activity - What is the primary purpose of this grant application?
Project is designed to reduce erosion to ranch lands and irrigation diversion on the north side of the river channel. Continued accumulation of gravel in the reach has caused serious erosion and failure of Porter's diversion resulting in flooding of fields and roadways.

C. Does this project/activity address multiple objectives? If so explain.
Yes, the project addresses the accelerating loss of land (400 feet of Porter's property in last 25 years, see attachment) as demonstrated in the 2017 flooding. The project addresses the potential for a massive failure of the bank and diversion channel that will increase serious flood risk for the City of Middleton. The project will reduce the out of bank flooding at river flows less than 6,500 CFS.

D. Will this flood management project/activity be implemented in a single year, or phased over multiple years?
☒ 1-Year ☐ Multiple-Years (Phased)

E. Project start and completion date:
The project will be started during low flow in the Boise River. The start date will be November 2018 and will be completed by Spring of the following year.

F. Project detailed cost estimate, including all labor and materials:
See Attachment

G. Has your organization performed stream channel or stream bank repair and/or improvement projects in the past?
Yes, FCD10 has performed ongoing stream channel, stream bank repair, and improvement projects during low river flows since 1972.

H. Provide the required regulatory approval and permit documents for this project.
Permitting and letters of authorization from County, USACE, IDWR, and any other organizations will be accomplished after the project has been awarded. FCD10 secures required permits from regulatory agencies as standard operating procedure for the District.

IV. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

A. Does your organization have a regular assessment for a reserve or special needs fund?
☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Does your organization have prior experience in working with the Idaho Water Resource Board?
☒ Yes ☐ No

C. What other sources of funding have been explored to fund the project? (e.g. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, NRCS, FEMA, Banks, Local Government, etc.)
Yes, landowners adjacent to project will provide majority of the match in cooperation with FCD10.
Amount of Funds Requested: $77,616

By signing this document you verify that all information provided is correct and the document is filled out to the best of your ability.

Authorized signature & date: [Signature]

 Applicant: Flood Control District #10
 Porter & Mulchay - Gravel Management - IWRB-2018.docx
2.1 Project Background Information

2.1.1 Purpose
Reducing flood risk by improving channel flow.

2.1.2 Project Area Description
Canyon County, Boise River Mile 30, Latitude: 43°40'55.97"N Longitude: 116°33'15.14"W

2.1.3 Previous Projects and Studies
See attachment for list of multiple projects.

2.2 Project Sponsor
a. Flood Control District #10
b. Mike Mulchay (Landowner)
c. Rich Porter (Landowner)

2.4 Project Description

a. Project Description – Gravel Removal See Plan Set Attachment
b. Map – See Attachment
c. Conceptual Plan/Cross Section – See Attachment
d. Conceptual Design and Repair Features – See Attachment
e. Right-of-Way/Easement – Land owned by co-applicants, Mike Mulchay and Rich Porter. No easement required.

2.4.2 Cost Estimate
Cost estimate $77,616. See attached itemized cost estimate.

2.4.3 Implementation Schedule
Low water 2018, completion by April 2019.

2.5 Financial Feasibility Analysis

• Amount of IWRB Grant Funding Requested
  The total estimated project cost is $77,616. The amount of funding requested is $38,808

• Financing Sources –
  In addition to the IWRB matching funds, the project matching grant financing will be provided by FCD10, and the adjacent landowners.

• Amount of IWRB Grant Funding Requested –• Financing Sources – Effectiveness of Project (60 points)
  • What is the urgency of the project and anticipated costs? (10 points)
    The urgency of the project is to mitigate flood risk to the City of Middleton and reduce the rate of land loss for the landowner. See attachment of cost estimates.
  • What are the objectives and benefits of the project? (10 points)
    The objective is to increase channel capacity by removing excess bedload. The
benefit of the project is reducing flood risk and property loss.

- How does the proposed project solution address the objectives? (10 points)
  Improving channel capacity reduces out of bank flooding at less than flood stage.

- How will the project measure success of its objectives, and describe the proposed monitoring plan? (5 points)
  A sediment monitoring station has been installed by University of Idaho, Center for Ecohydraulics Research through a contract with FCD10 to provide continuous measurement and monitoring of sediment transfer and will be compared to previous studies for this reach.

- Is the proposed budget and schedule realistic and is the budget appropriate for the scope of work provided? Has the applicant provided detailed construction expenses documenting how money will be spent to complete the project? (15 points)
  The proposed budget is within FCD10 recent costs for gravel management projects. This project's budget is significantly less than FCD10's most recent gravel removal project at the Head of Eagle Island in December 2017. This lower cost is appropriate due to shorter haul distance and less road construction. FCD10 will submit invoices from the Contractor as work is completed and invoices for admin. and engineering costs upon project completion per guidelines for the grant funding program.

- Are project sponsors using relevant and appropriate information to develop the proposed project? (Sponsor should include references to relevant studies, assessments, reports, management plans, etc.) How will the project account for expected future changes to hydrology, sediment regimes, or water supply? (10 points)
  As demonstrated in the attachment FCD10 is acutely aware of sediment management issues in this area. As a consequence, FCD10 is presently contracted with the University of Idaho to complete a sediment management study in this reach of the Boise River.

Readiness of Project (50 points)

- Lead sponsor of project is identified and there is a description of other affected stakeholders and jurisdictions. (10 points)
  Flood Control District #10 is the lead, City of Middleton, Canyon County, Boise River Watermaster, and affected landowners are active cooperators in this project.

- Project sponsors will provide documentation that affected local stakeholders and jurisdictions have been consulted. If the project is located within a Flood Control District, the sponsor must provide documentation showing the Flood Control District supports the project, otherwise the project will be declared ineligible. (10 points)
  Verbal commitments have been received from local stakeholders and jurisdictions. Written letters of support will follow. The adjacent landowners are co-sponsors.
* Specify cash matching funds that will be provided for the project, including any in-kind services. Indicate what funding sources are secured or pending. The applicant must provide at least 50% matching cost share funding with non-state dollars. In-kind services can be used for 30% of the total project costs. Legal/Administrative in-kind services are limited to 5% of total project costs. (10 points)

FCD10 will provide administrative and engineering costs up to 10% of total project costs. The landowners will provide the remainder. See the shared cost attachment.

* Projects that propose matching cost-share amounts above 50% will receive additional points in the ranking (1 point for each additional 1% increase up to 70% to receive up to 20 additional points).

The proposed match for this project is 50%/50%.

Organization Capacity (20 points)

* What is the sponsor's history of successful accomplishments on projects similar to this one? The sponsor shall provide several past project examples, if possible. (10 points)

See attached Permitting History Summary, which lists successfully completed projects.

* What level of sponsor and consultant staffing will be directed toward the implementation of the proposed project? Discuss the number of sponsor and consultant staff and amount of time dedicated for each for the project. Will the project utilize volunteers? If so, how? Include brief resumes or list of qualifications for each member of the project team. (10 points)

One FCD10 staff member and engineering support will provide regular oversight up to 2 hours per day. No volunteers will be utilized.

Attachments supporting this application are available at the following cloud site:

https://app.box.com/s/0hxj35t95n1u0sk8vt5dfjxxrs6pd7ir
Amount of Funds Requested: $38,808

By signing this document you verify that all information provided is correct and the document is filled out to the best of your ability.

Authorized signature & date: [Signature]

Applicant: Flood Control District #10
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobilization/Demob</td>
<td>$ 4,000</td>
<td>$ 4,000</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Preparation</td>
<td>$ 12,000</td>
<td>$ 200</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavation (2 Scrapers)</td>
<td>$ 33,800</td>
<td>$ 96</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator and Fuel</td>
<td>$ 21,120</td>
<td>$ 60</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit/Design</td>
<td>$ 3,000</td>
<td>$ 3,000</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin</td>
<td>$ 3,696</td>
<td>$ 3,696</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 77,616</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matching Funds</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>$ 38,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landowners</td>
<td>$ 23,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCD10</td>
<td>$ 15,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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RE: Application for Flood Management Grant

To whom it may concern,

The Clearwater Soil & Water Conservation District has completed the application for Flood Management Grant funds in accordance with the 2018 program guidelines. The District appreciates the opportunity to submit a project proposal to this source of funds. The proposed project has been developed in partnership with PotlatchDeltic forest engineers. This project will repair significant drainage issues that have been identified in the Quartz Creek drainage, a class I tributary of Orofino Creek. The project is shovel ready and implementation will begin immediately upon notice of funding award.

The District looks forward to working with the ID Water Resources Board on reducing the risk of major roadway failure and the resulting sediment build up and erosion within the Orofino Creek watershed.

Feel free to contact our office at 208-476-5313 X 5 or by email at Clearwater_swcd@gmail.com.

Sincerely,

Mike Hoffman
Office Manager
Clearwater Soil and Water Conservation District
APPLICATION FOR FLOOD MANAGEMENT GRANT

Answer the following questions and provide the requested material as directed. All pertinent information must be provided. Additional information may be requested by the Idaho Water Resource Board (IWRB) depending on the scope of the project and amount of funding requested.

Incomplete documents will be returned and no further action will be taken by IWRB staff. All paperwork must be submitted within twenty-eight (28) working days prior to the next IWRB meeting.

Board meeting agendas can be found at: http://www.idwr.idaho.gov/IWRB/meetings

1. Overview:
This form applies to the IWRB Flood Management Grant Program. The Flood Management Grant Program provides financial assistance to eligible entities interested in pursuing flood damaged stream channel repair, stream channel improvements, flood risk reduction, or flood prevention projects. Pursuing flood management improvement and repair projects can assist in maintaining flow capacities in major waterways, prevent bank and channel erosion, and reduce property damage during flood events.

The grant funding shall require a 50% funding match by the sponsor of the total project costs. In-kind services can account for up to 30% of the total project costs. Legal/Administrative in-kind services are limited to 5% of total project costs.

Funds will be distributed by sponsor submitting funding reimbursement requests, which shall include:
   1. Cover letter formally requesting a funding reimbursement, description of project activities, dates of project activities, and contractor or supplier invoices. Funds shall be distributed within 15-days of receipt of reimbursement request.

Upon completion of the project, sponsor and/or consultant shall submit a notice of completion to the IWRB, and that the improvements and/or repairs were constructed in substantial conformance with the approved plans and specifications.

Prepare and attach a "Grant Document" to this application.

The Grant Application Document requirements are outlined in the IWRB Flood Management Grant Program Guidelines. The guidelines can be found at:
You can also obtain a copy by contacting IWRB staff.
II. General Information:
A. Type of organization: (Check box)
   □ Flood District
   □ Municipality
   □ County
   □ Other

   Clearwater Soil & Water Conservation District
   Terry White, Chairman
   12730 Hwy 12, Suite C
   Orofino, Clearwater County, ID 83544
   City, County, State, Zip Code
   82-0264269
   Contact telephone number
   clearwater.swcd@gmail.com
   E-mail address

   Project location/legal description
   Quartz Creek, Clearwater County, ID T 37 N R 05E Sections 5 & 8

B. Is your organization registered with the Idaho Secretary of State’s office? Yes □ No □

C. Purpose and name of project for this grant application.
   □ Stream Channel Repair
   □ Stream Channel Improvement
   □ Flood Risk Reduction
   □ Flood Prevention
   □ Other

III. WATER PROJECT/ACTIVITY:
A. Source of water:
   □ Surface
   □ Reservoir
   □ Other
B. Describe the Flood Management Project Activity - What is the primary purpose of this grant application?

The project primary purpose of the project is to reduce the risk of flood damage to a key secondary road within Clearwater County. Secondly, the proposed project will replace undersized and unsuitable culverts within the Quartz Creek watershed, a class I fish bearing stream.

C. Does this project/activity address multiple objectives? If so explain.

Yes, the proposed culvert replacement project will reduce the risk of flood damage to roadway surfaces, will improve fisheries habitat, and will provide stability to a heavily used secondary roadway providing access to industrial, state and federal forest lands as well as designated recreation sites used in all seasons.

D. Will this flood management project/activity be implemented in a single year, or phased over multiple years?

☑️ 1-year  ☐ Multiple-years (Phased)

E. Project start and completion date:

The project is shovel ready and will begin within 30 days of award notice.

The project is expected to be complete within 120 days.

F. Project detailed cost estimate, including all labor and materials:

Culverts $ 80,300; bedding rock $ 79,467; grass seeding $ 2,500; Cultural Resource monitoring $ 4,500;

Labor: Culvert replacement $ 104,340; Contract Supervision $ 26,222

G. Has your organization performed stream channel or stream bank repair and/or improvement projects in the past?

The Clearwater Soil & Water Conservation District has over twenty years experience in stream channel recession and improvement.

H. Provide the required regulatory approval and permit documents for this project.

The proposed activities are regulated under the Idaho Forest Practices Act.
IV. FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

A. Does your organization have a regular assessment for a reserve or special needs fund?
   Yes ☑ No ☐

B. Does your organization have prior experience in working with the Idaho Water Resource Board?
   Yes ☑ No ☐

C. What other sources of funding have been explored to fund the project? (e.g. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, NRCS, FEMA, Banks, Local Government, etc.)
   Funds ($155,219) from Potlatch-Deltic have been committed as match for this project.

Amount of funds requested: $155,220

By signing this document you verify that all information provided is correct and the document is filled out to the best of your ability.

Authorized signature & date: [Signature] [Date]
Purpose

The proposed project will reduce the impacts of flooding on the Snake Creek Road, a major secondary road within Clearwater County, Idaho. As a major secondary roadway, the Snake Creek Rd provides for an alternative route for traffic when the Grangemont Rd, a designated federal lands access roadway and a collector roadway, is closed due to slides or for major maintenance. The Snake Creek Road provides access to private, state and federal lands managed for timber and year-round recreation.

Currently, the Snake Creek Road experiences annually flooding - water over the roadway, as a result of the upper reaches of Quartz Creek peak flows due to mid winter thaw, spring run-off and from summer torrential rain storms. This Quartz Creek upper basin is bolstered by the influx of water flow by the tributary Calhoun Creek.

According to the US Geologic Services Stream Stats Report (https://streamstats.usgs.gov/), the upper end of the Quartz Creek drainage average annual precipitation is 44 inches and peak flows range between 36 and 157 cubic ft per second (see Attachment A: Quartz Creek Upper-basin Characteristics). These flooding events overwhelm the existing culvert network and water runs over and down the roadway causing surface damage and out of stream sediment delivery downstream. Photographs documenting the flooding are included in Attachment B.

The proposed project has been developed in partnership with PotlatchDeltic, a private industrial forestland owner management firm with landholdings accessed by the Snake Creek Road. The Clearwater Soil & Water Conservation District (District) works with private and public sector partners to address water quality issues across Clearwater County, ID. The District is often a lead facilitator on projects that address issues and concerns within water quality and/or stream channel issues.

The proposed project will replace sixteen (16) undersized culverts within the Quartz Creek and two (2) within Calhoun Creek drainages that have a direct impact on Quartz Creek flow along the Snake Creek Road. Specifically, the project will install eleven (11) 18" culverts and seven (7) open bottom culverts ranging in size from 3 ft to 8 ft. The project will include on-site cultural-historic resource monitoring and seeding to reduce site disturbance erosion. The proposed project costs is $310,439. PotlatchDeltic has pledged funds to cover fifty percent of the project costs, or $155,219 (see Attachment C: Letters of Support). This is a shovel ready project and if awarded the ID Water Resources Flood Management Grant funds would be immediately put towards project implementation.
Project Area

The Quartz Creek Flood Management project is located within Clearwater County, Idaho. Clearwater County is located within north central Idaho and is a rural county with four incorporated communities: Orofino, Elk River, Pierce and Weippe.

The project area is located seven (7) miles from Pierce and twenty-seven (27) miles from Orofino. The roadway system within the project area is secondary gravel surfaced roads used to access forestlands for management and recreation. Along the first mile of the Snake Creek Road there are two logging company shops, one residence and the County snowmobile groomer maintenance/storage Shed. Three miles up the road is Bald Mountain Ski Area. The secondary roadways included in the proposed project all lie within the Potlatch Deltec ownership.

According to USGS Stream Stats, the Quartz Creek upper basin watershed encompasses 3.7 square miles. 97% of which is forested land and slopes are moderate; within the watershed area elevations range 1,100 ft from peak to valley (see Attachment A Quartz Creek Upper-basin Characteristics).

Previous Projects and Studies

The District has worked on drainage issues along the Snake Creek Road with the Idaho Dept. of Lands, in 2014 with EPA 319 grant funds the District led a project to replace a 54" culvert with a 24' x 65' bridge to improve water quality and reduce stream flow impacts along the Snake Creek Road. Over the past fifteen years the District has focused its efforts on water quality projects and completed a significant amount of work in culvert replacement/bridge construction in partnership with the federal, state and private land managers/owners. In 2003 and 2007 the District completed projects specific to the Lower North Fork Clearwater River addressing watershed concerns identified in the Total Maximum Daily Load Implementation Plans. Funding for District projects has come from US Environmental Protection Agency 319 program, Pacific Coast Salmon recovery Fund and Idaho Agricultural Water Quality programs.

Project Sponsor

The Clearwater Soil & Water Conservation District (District) was established in 1946 with the mission to
Clearwater Soil & Water Conservation District: Quartz Creek Flood Management

Provide local leadership to educate and assist the public on the wise stewardship of soil, water, air, plants, and animal resources, and to promote strong conservation partnerships to find practical, economical solutions to natural resource problems and coordinate their implementation.

The District is a subdivision of government within the State of Idaho, it is governed by an elected Board of Supervisors who serve voluntarily. The District is funded by State and County appropriation, private sector contributions and project grants. Idaho Statute Title 22, Chapter 27 provides the framework for Conservation Districts and the allocation of funding. Attachment D provides documentation of the District formation.

The District has been active in implementing water quality improvement projects in conjunction with the Snake River Basin Adjudication process, Pacific Coast Salmon Recovery, the Environmental Protection Agency 319 program and US Forest Service. Additionally, the District has secured funding to provide local natural resource education in partnership with state and federal agencies and local school districts.

Project Description

The proposed project will upgrade an existing undersized culvert system within the Quartz Creek upper basin, located in Clearwater County, Idaho. The project will protect major secondary roadways used to access private, state and federal forestlands and recreation sites. By replacing the culvert network with properly sized features Quartz Creek will be contained within its natural pathway and when events such as mid-January thaw, spring rain on snow events and mid-summer torrential downpours occur the creek will not impact the roadway surfaces. The project will reduce the risk of catastrophic road failure as creek flow will be better contained within the waterway. The project will reduce the risk of sediment loading within Quartz Creek, a class I (fish producing) stream.

Proposed Scope of Work

The project will replace eighteen undersized and/or damaged culverts along the Quartz Creek. The installation will include eleven (11) 18" culverts and seven (7) open bottom culverts ranging in size from 3 ft to 8 ft. Currently, the majority of the culverts have been damaged by past flood events (crushed openings, sediment filled or simply rotten due to having been submerged in silt/sediment). Locations where intersecting roadways cross the creek open bottom culverts will replace existing circular steel culverts. The open bottom culverts will provide for fish passage at all times of the year, and provide for uninhibited flow during high water events.

At each project site, cultural-historic resource monitoring will take place to document the excavation and each site will be seeded to reduce site disturbance erosion.
Clearwater Soil & Water Conservation District: Quartz Creek Flood Management

Conceptual Design/Cross Section/Features

The proposed scope of work has been developed by on the ground staff, visits to the sites to identify where specific culverts have failed or are known to be insufficient to accommodate annual flood/high water events. In addition to on the ground review, the USGS Stream Characteristics online tool has been used to determine site specific stream flows (see Appendix A) and culverts sizing has been projected using a nomograph. Idaho’s guidance within the Forest Practices Act and established Best Management Practices for forest road management. The project development team has estimated excavation of 42.6 cubic yards of materials in conjunction with the removal/replacement each of the eleven 18" culverts and for each of the open bottom culverts the project proposes to install range from 47 cubic yards (4' x 3' x 50') to 78 cubic yards (7' x 5' x 45') with a total projected excavation of 580 cubic yards.

The project detailed engineering will be finalized as part of project implementation.

Easement/Right of Way

The proposed project lies within PotlatchDeltic landholdings and all project activities will take place within existing PotlatchDeltic landholdings/rights of way.

Cost Estimate

Material cost detail

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Item Cost</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18&quot; Culverts</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$610</td>
<td>$6,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Bottom Culverts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8'x6'x55'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$19,800</td>
<td>$19,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8'x6'x48'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$19,000</td>
<td>$19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7'x5'x45'</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$17,500</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6'x4'x40'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$11,240</td>
<td>$11,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'x3'x50'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$11,100</td>
<td>$11,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'x3'x50'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$8,600</td>
<td>$8,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Total Project Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>PotlatchDeltic</th>
<th>IDWR</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project design</td>
<td>$ 7,500</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 12,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culverts</td>
<td>$ 87,010</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 87,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culvert bedding rock (yards)</td>
<td>$ 39,734</td>
<td>$ 39,734</td>
<td>$ 79,468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culvert installation contract</td>
<td>$ 108,740</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 108,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural-Historic Monitoring</td>
<td>$ 4,500</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeding Erosion Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,500</td>
<td>$ 2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project oversight</td>
<td>$ 15,475</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 12,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration/Reporting</td>
<td>$ 4,245</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 3,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PROJECT</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 155,219</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 155,219</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 310,439</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Implementation Schedule

Project components include: Design, Permitting, Contract procurement, Materials procurement, Construction/Monitoring and Reporting.

The Design and Permitting will be conducted by PotlatchDeltic and follow the ID Dept. of Lands Forest Practices Act, Stream Channel Alteration Compliance procedure. It is estimated the project design and permitting process will be complete in thirty (30) days post award.

Contract and Materials procurement will be streamlined by the project partnership. The District will advertise and award based on the Idaho Procurement process and PotlatchDeltic will secure culvert materials. The project team expects to have a construction contract awarded no later than end of August 2018.

Construction monitoring, close out and reporting are expected to be complete by the end of calendar year 2018 (12/31/18).

Financial Feasibility

The Quartz Creek Flood Management project will provide 1:1 private sector funding to ID Water Resource Board funding. See appendix B: PotlatchDeltic pledge of project support (see Attachment C).
June 15, 2018

Idaho Water Resource Board
322 East Front Street, Statehouse Mail
Boise, ID 83720

ATTN: Grant Fund Committee

Please find attached an application for the Flood Management Grant Funding Program. The application has been completed pursuant to the criteria listed in the Flood Management Grant Funding Guidelines. The Boise River Flood Control District #10 Board of Commissioners has approved and supports this project.

If you have questions or need further information, please contact Mike Dimmick, District Manager, at projectmgr@boiseriver.org or (208) 861-2766. Also available for additional information, contact Steve Sweet at steve@quadrant.cc or (208) 850-3452.

Thank you for your consideration of this application. Your support is appreciated.

[Signature]

Mike Dimmick, District Manager
Boise River Flood Control District #10
II. GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Type of Organization:

- Flood District
- Municipality
- County
- Other

Flood Control District #10 (FCD10)

Mike Dimmick, District Manager

Organization Name

PO Box 140396

Contact Person, Name & Title

PO Box/Street Address

208-861-2766

City, County, State, Zip Code

Contact Telephone Number

Garden City, ID, 83714-0396

projectmgr@boise.river.org

City, County, State, Zip Code

E-Mail Address

Gravel Removal

Taxpayer ID# 82-0419262

Project location/ legal description: Boise River, River Mile 32.5

Latitude: 43°40'40.90"N Longitude: 116°32'36.23"W See attached plans.

B. Is your organization registered with the Idaho Secretary of State's office?

- Yes
- No

C. Purpose and name of project for this grant application.

- Stream Channel Repair
- Stream Channel Improvement
- Flood Risk Reduction
- Flood Prevention
- Other: Gravel Removal

III. WATER PROJECT/ACTIVITY

A. Source of Water

- Surface
- Reservoir
- Other

Applicant: Flood Control District #10
B. Describe the Flood Management Project/Activity - What is the primary purpose of this grant application?
Project is designed to reduce erosion to ranch lands and the sides of the river channel. Continued accumulation of gravel in the reach has caused serious erosion and flooding of adjacent property owner's fields.

C. Does this project/activity address multiple objectives? If so explain.
Yes, this project will reduce erosion to river bank adjacent to gravel accumulation, and minimize out of bank flooding at high flows. This project reduces the flood risk to the City of Middleton.

D. Will this flood management project/activity be implemented in a single year, or phased over multiple years?
☑ 1-Year □ Multiple-Years (Phased)

E. Project start and completion date:
The project will be started during low flow in the Boise River. The start date will be November 2018 and will be completed by Spring of the following year (2019).

F. Project detailed cost estimate, including all labor and materials:
Cost estimate is $44,000. See attached itemized cost estimate.

G. Has your organization performed stream channel or stream bank repair and/or improvement projects in the past?
Yes, FCD10 has performed ongoing stream channel, stream bank repair, and improvement projects during low river flows since 1972.

H. Provide the required regulatory approval and permit documents for this project.
Permitting and letters of authorization from County, USACE, IDWR, and any other organizations will be accomplished after the project has been awarded. FCD10 secures required permits from regulatory agencies as standard operating procedure for the District.

IV. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
A. Does your organization have a regular assessment for a reserve or special needs fund?
☑ Yes □ No

B. Does your organization have prior experience in working with the Idaho Water Resource Board?
☑ Yes □ No

C. What other sources of funding have been explored to fund the project? (e.g. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, NRCS, FEMA, Banks, Local Government, etc.)
Yes, landowners adjacent to project will provide majority of the match in cooperation with FCD10.
Amount of Funds Requested: **$22,000**

By signing this document you verify that all information provided is correct and the document is filled out to the best of your ability.

Authorized signature & date: [Signature]

Applicant: Flood Control District #10
2.1 Project Background Information

2.1.1 Purpose
Reducing flood risk by improving channel flow.

2.1.2 Project Area Description
Canyon County, Boise River Mile 30, Latitude: 43°40'40.90"N Longitude: 116°32'36.23"W

2.1.3 Previous Projects and Studies
See attachment for list of multiple projects.

2.2 Project Sponsor

a. Dawn Wells
b. Flood Control District #10
c. Trace Leighton (Cooperating landowner)

2.4 Project Description

a. Project Description – Gravel Removal See Plan Set Attachment
b. Map – See Attachment
c. Conceptual Plan/Cross Section –See Attachment
d. Conceptual Design and Repair Features –See Attachment
e. Right-of-Way/Easement – Cosponsors own land, no right-of-way or easement required

2.4.2 Cost Estimate
See attached itemized cost estimate.

2.4.3 Implementation Schedule
Low water fall 2018, completion by April 2019.

2.5 Financial Feasibility Analysis

- Amount of IWRB Grant Funding Requested
  The total estimated project cost is $44,000. The amount of grant funding requested is $22,000.

- Financing Sources –
  In addition to the IWRB matching funds, the project matching financing will be provided by FCD10 and the adjacent landowners, Dawn Wells and Trace Leighton.
Effectiveness of Project (60 points)

- What is the urgency of the project and anticipated costs? (10 points)
  The urgency of the project is to mitigate immediate flood risk to the adjacent landowners and City of Middleton. See attachment of cost estimates.

- What are the objectives and benefits of the project? (10 points)
  The objective is to increase channel capacity by removing excess bedload. The benefit of the project is reducing flood risk and property loss.

- How does the proposed project solution address the objectives? (10 points)
  Improving channel capacity reduces out of bank flooding at less than flood stage river flows.

- How will the project measure success of its objectives, and describe the proposed monitoring plan? (5 points)
  A sediment monitoring station has been installed by University of Idaho, Center for Ecohydraulics Research through a contract with FCD10 to provide continuous measurement and monitoring of sediment transfer and will be compared to previous studies for this reach.

- Is the proposed budget and schedule realistic and is the budget appropriate for the scope of work provided? Has the applicant provided detailed construction expenses documenting how money will be spent to complete the project? (15 points)
  The proposed budget is within FCD10 recent costs for gravel management projects. This project's budget is significantly less than FCD10's most recent gravel removal project at the Head of Eagle Island in December 2017. This lower cost is appropriate due to shorter haul distance and less road construction. FCD10 will submit invoices from the Contractor as work is completed and invoices for admin. and engineering costs upon project completion per guidelines for the grant funding program.

- Are project sponsors using relevant and appropriate information to develop the proposed project? (Sponsor should include references to relevant studies, assessments, reports, management plans, etc.) How will the project account for expected future changes to hydrology, sediment regimes, or water supply? (10 points)
  FCD10 is acutely aware of sediment management issues in this area. As a result, FCD10 is presently contracted with the University of Idaho to complete a sediment management study in this reach of the Boise River.

Readiness of Project (50 points)

- Lead sponsor of project is identified and there is a description of other affected stakeholders and jurisdictions. (10 points)
  Flood Control District #10 is the lead, Canyon County, and affected landowners (cosponsors) are active cooperators in this project.

- Project sponsors will provide documentation that affected local stakeholders and jurisdictions have been consulted. If the project is
located within a Flood Control District, the sponsor must provide documentation showing the Flood Control District supports the project, otherwise the project will be declared ineligible. (10 points)

Boise River Flood Control District #10 supports this project, see application cover letter. Adjacent landowners are directly involved in the project and are providing matching funds.

- Specify cash matching funds that will be provided for the project, including any in-kind services. Indicate what funding sources are secured or pending. The applicant must provide at least 50% matching cost share funding with non-state dollars. In-kind services can be used for 30% of the total projects costs. Legal/Administrative in-kind services are limited to 5% of total project costs. (10 points)

See attached matched funding breakout.

- Projects that propose matching cost-share amounts above 50% will receive additional points in the ranking (1 point for each additional 1% increase up to 70% to receive up to 20 additional points).

  The proposed match for this project is 50%/50%.

Organization Capacity (20 points)

- What is the sponsor’s history of successful accomplishments on projects similar to this one? The sponsor shall provide several past project examples, if possible. (10 points)

  See attached Permitting History Summary, which lists successfully completed projects.

- What level of sponsor and consultant staffing will be directed toward the implementation of the proposed project? Discuss the number of sponsor and consultant staff and amount of time dedicated for each for the project. Will the project utilize volunteers? If so, how? Include brief resumes or list of qualifications for each member of the project team. (10 points)

  One FCD10 staff member and engineering support will provide regular oversight up to 2 hours per day. No volunteers will be utilized.

Attachments supporting this application are available at the following cloud site:

https://app.box.com/s/42neu3gzagwvfr8z5s8dqakvz748uvti
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobilization/Demob</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Preparation</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavation (2 Scrapers)</td>
<td>$15,400</td>
<td>$96</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator and Fuel</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit/Design</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin</td>
<td>$2,100</td>
<td>$2,095</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$44,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Matching Funds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCD10</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT #10
WATER MANAGEMENT FUND PROJECT
GRAVEL BERM SEPARATING BOISE RIVER FROM SITE OPERATIONS.
June 18, 2018

Idaho Water Resource Board
322 East Front Street, Statehouse Mail
Boise, Idaho 83720

ATTN: Grant Fund Committee

Please find attached an application for the Flood Management Grant Funding Program. The application has been completed pursuant to the criteria listed in the Flood Management Grant Funding Guidelines. The Boise River Flood Control District #11 Board of Commissioners has approved and supports this project.

If you have questions or need further information, please contact Jim Thorpe, District Commissioner, at jim@thorpe.bix or (208) 859-2765. Also available for additional information, contact Steve Sweet at steve@quadrant.cc or (208) 850-3452.

Thank you for your consideration of this application. Your support is appreciated.

Steve Sweet, District Engineer
Boise River Flood Control District #11
II. GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Type of Organization:

- Flood District
- Municipality
- County
- Other

Flood Control District #11 (FCD11)  
Organization Name

PO Box 729  
PO Box/Street Address

Parma, ID, 83660

City, County, State, Zip Code

Jim Thorpe, District Commissioner  
Contact Person, Name & Title

208-859-2765  
Contact Telephone Number

jim@thorpe.biz  
E-Mail Address

Taxpayer ID#

Project location/ legal description:  Boise River Mile 2 - 8.5  
Latitude: 43°47'12.63"N Longitude: 116°56'59.08"W See Attachment for KMZ

B. Is your organization registered with the Idaho Secretary of State's office?

- Yes
- No

C. Purpose and name of project for this grant application.

- Stream Channel Repair
- Stream Channel Improvement
- Flood Risk Reduction
- Flood Prevention
- Other: Tree/Debris Removal

III. WATER PROJECT/ACTIVITY

A. Source of Water

- Surface
- Reservoir
- Other
B. Describe the Flood Management Project/Activity – What is the primary purpose of this grant application?
The primary purpose of this project is to remove trees and debris from the Boise River that is causing out of bank flows and damage to river banks, adjacent farm lands, and irrigation diversions.

C. Does this project/activity address multiple objectives? If so explain.
Yes, the project will maintain the river in the normal channel and relieve pressure on damaged banks, adjacent farm lands, and irrigation diversions.

D. Will this flood management project/activity be implemented in a single year, or phased over multiple years?
☐ 1-Year ☐ Multiple-Years (Phased)

E. Project start and completion date:
The project will be started during low flow in the Boise River. The start date will be November 2018 and will be completed by Spring of the following year.

F. Project detailed cost estimate, including all labor and materials:
Cost estimate is $115,350. See Attached Itemized Cost Estimate.

G. Has your organization performed stream channel or stream bank repair and/or improvement projects in the past?
Yes, FCD11 has performed ongoing stream channel, stream bank repair, and improvement projects during low river flows since 1972.

H. Provide the required regulatory approval and permit documents for this project.
Permitting and letters of authorization from Canyon County, USACE, IDWR, and other organizations will be accomplished after the project has been awarded. FCD11 secures required permits from regulatory agencies as standard operating procedure for the District.

IV. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
A. Does your organization have a regular assessment for a reserve or special needs fund?
☐ Yes ☒ No

B. Does your organization have prior experience in working with the Idaho Water Resource Board?
☒ Yes ☐ No

C. What other sources of funding have been explored to fund the project? (e.g. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, NRCS, FEMA, Banks, Local Government, etc.)
FCD#11 will provide matching funds.
Amount of Funds Requested: $57,675

By signing this document you verify that all information provided is correct and the document is filled out to the best of your ability.

Authorized signature & date: [Signature] June 15, 2018

Steve Sweet, PE
FCD11 District Engineer
2.1 **Project Background Information**

1. **Purpose**
   Reducing flood risk by removing tree and debris that is blocking the normal flow of the river.

2. **Project Area Description**
   Canyon County, Boise River Mile 2 – 8.5,
   Start - Latitude: 43°43'57.91"N Longitude: 116°53'14.22"W
   End - Latitude: 43°48'13.29"N Longitude: 117° 0'6.73"W

3. **Previous Projects and Studies**
   FCD11 has been conducting limited and regular debris maintenance since formation in 1972. In 2018, FCD11 commissioned Quadrant Consulting Inc. (Jared Richardson, EIT) to inventory the entire district for debris accumulation, bank erosion, and gravel deposits. The district’s entire study is attached.

2.2 **Project Sponsor**

a. Flood Control District #11

2.4 **Project Description**

a. Project Description – Remove trees and debris from section of Boise River.

b. Map – See Attached KMZ file for location of Tree and Debris.

c. Conceptual Plan/Cross Section – Return the river a functioning channel.

d. Conceptual Design and Repair Features – Remove and dispose of debris outside of the regulatory floodway.

e. Right-of-Way/Easement – FCD11 has jurisdiction within the Boise River to remove trees and debris that is restricting the normal flow of the river.

2.4.2 **Cost Estimate**

See attached Cost Estimate.

2.4.3 **Implementation Schedule**

Begin work during low water after October 2018, and complete by April 2019.

2.5 **Financial Feasibility Analysis**

- **Amount of IWRB Grant Funding Requested**
  The total estimated project cost is $115,350. The amount of funding requested is $57,675.

- **Financing Sources**
  In addition to the State funds, the project match financing will be provided by FCD11.
Effectiveness of Project (50 points)

- **What is the urgency of the project and anticipated costs?** (10 points)
  The urgency of the project is to mitigate flood risk to adjacent property owners from trees and debris that are blocking the channel and causing the river to flow out of bank. The trees are also a hazard for recreational users of the Boise River.

- **What are the objectives and benefits of the project?** (10 points)
  The primary objective of this project is to prevent bank erosion from blockage in channel that is putting more pressure on the side channels of the river. A secondary objective is to reduce the flood risk to protect adjacent and protect those using the river recreationally.

- **How does the proposed project solution address the objectives?** (10 points)
  Removing trees and debris will reduce the potential for out of bank flow.

- **How will the project measure success of its objectives, and describe the proposed monitoring plan.** (5 points)
  Periodic inspections by FCD11 staff and contract Engineer will assure project is maintained in a functional state. Following this project, maintenance work will continue to be performed as needed.

- **Is the proposed budget and schedule realistic and is the budget appropriate for the scope of work provided? Has the applicant provided detailed construction expenses documenting how money will be spent to complete the project?** (15 points)
  The proposed budget is based upon best business practices and estimates provided by experienced river work contractors and consulting engineers. See attached cost estimates. Invoicing will follow Grant Application criteria.

- **Are project sponsors using relevant and appropriate information to develop the proposed project?** (Sponsor should include references to relevant studies, assessments, reports, management plans, etc.) How will the project account for expected future changes to hydrology, sediment regimes, or water supply? (10 points)
  FCD11 is using the most relevant and appropriate information that was obtained in the winter following the 2017 flood. FCD11 contracted with their engineer to float the entire district and inventory trees, debris, bank erosion, and gravel bars. The results of the inventory have been used to identify locations where trees can be removed from the channel. The inventory database is attached to this application as a KMZ file.

Readiness of Project (50 points)

- **Lead sponsor of project is identified and there is a description of other affected stakeholders and jurisdictions.** (10 points)
  Flood Control District #11 is the lead for this project.

- Project sponsors will provide documentation that affected local stakeholders and jurisdictions have been consulted. If the project is...
located within a Flood Control District, the sponsor must provide
documentation showing the Flood Control District supports the project,
otherwise the project will be declared ineligible. (10 points)
Boise River Flood Control District #11 supports this project, see application
cover letter.

- Specify cash matching funds that will be provided for the project, including
  any in-kind services. Indicate what funding sources are secured or
  pending. The applicant must provide at least 50% matching cost share
  funding with non-state dollars. In-kind services can be used for 30% of the
  total project costs. Legal/Administrative in-kind services are limited to 5% of
  total project costs. (10 points)
  We are applying for 50/50 match. See attached Match Funding Breakout in the
cost estimate.
- Projects that propose matching cost-share amounts above 50% will
  receive additional points in the ranking (1 point for each additional 1%
  increase up to 70% to receive up to 20 additional points).

Organization Capacity (20 points)

- What is the sponsor's history of successful accomplishments on projects
  similar to this one? The sponsor shall provide several past project
  examples, if possible. (10 points)
  FCD11 has been maintaining the lower Boise River channel since being
  organized by the IDWR in 1972. The removal of flood debris is part of the normal
  maintenance conducted by the flood district. Funding is being sought for clearing
due to 101 days of flood flows in 2017 that put an inordinate amount of debris
into the river.

- What level of sponsor and consultant staffing will be directed toward the
  implementation of the proposed project? Discuss the number of sponsor
  and consultant staff and amount of time dedicated for each for the
  project. Will the project utilize volunteers? If so, how? Include brief
  resumes or list of qualifications for each member of the project team. (10
  points)
  One FCD11 staff member with consulting engineering support will provide
regular oversight up to 2 hours per day. No volunteers will be utilized.

Attachments supporting this application are available at the following cloud site:

https://app.box.com/s/pnb12lczz61mxjar21ezog0ic008pkox
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site #</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Duplicate</th>
<th>Time to Dispose (Hrs)</th>
<th>Cost per Tree</th>
<th><em>Access</em></th>
<th>Time/Access (Hrs)</th>
<th>Access Cost</th>
<th>Burning/Chipping Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost per Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$750</td>
<td>$2,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>LT Bank/River</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$750</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$750</td>
<td>$3,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Tree still Rooted</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$750</td>
<td>$3,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>LT Bank/River</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$900</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>River Right</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Tree with Root Wards</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$240</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>River Right</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Tree still Rooted</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$720</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Tree/Debris Locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site #</th>
<th>Point/Photo #</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Duplicate</th>
<th>Time to Dispose (hrs)</th>
<th>Cost per Tree</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Time/Access (hrs)</th>
<th>Access Cost</th>
<th>Burning/Chipping Total Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost per Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>8844</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>8851</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Multiple Trees with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>8850</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>8849</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>8848</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>8847</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>8846</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>8845</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>8844</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>8843</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>8842</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>8841</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>8840</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>8839</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>8838</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>8837</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>8836</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>8835</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>8834</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>8833</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>8832</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>8831</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>8830</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>8829</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>8828</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Single Tree with Root Wads</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ 450</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site #</td>
<td>Point/Phone #</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Duplicate</td>
<td>Time to Dispose (Hrs)</td>
<td>Cost per Tree</td>
<td>*Access</td>
<td>Time/Access (Hrs)</td>
<td>Access Cost</td>
<td>Burning/Chipping Cost</td>
<td>Total Cost per Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>8891</td>
<td>RT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$2,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8893</td>
<td>RT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8894</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Tree Leaning but Rooted</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>8895</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8899</td>
<td>RT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>8901</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8902</td>
<td>RT Bank/River</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8903</td>
<td>RT Bank/River</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>8904</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8905</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>8906</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8907</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>8908</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8909</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>8910</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8911</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8912</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8913</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8914</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8915</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8916</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8917</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8918</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8919</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8920</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8921</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8922</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8923</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8924</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8925</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8926</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FCD #11 Tree/Debris Locations**

**Site #**

**Point/Phone #**

**Location**

**Size**

**Description**

**Duplicate**

**Time to Dispose (Hrs)**

**Cost per Tree**

***Access**

**Time/Access (Hrs)**

**Access Cost**

**Burning/Chipping Cost**

**Total Cost per Group**

**Access & Debris Removal Contractor Estimates:**

**FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT #11**

**Burning/Chipping**

**Total Cost per Group**

**FILNAME: FCDS1 Tree and Debris Removal Cost Estimates.xls**

**QUADRENT CONSULTING, INC.**

**PRINTED: 4/25/2018**
| Site # | Row/ | Loca  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>8991</td>
<td>RT Bank/River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>R993</td>
<td>RT Bank/River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>8992</td>
<td>RT Bank/River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>9001</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>9002</td>
<td>RT Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>9003</td>
<td>RT Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>9004</td>
<td>RT Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>9005</td>
<td>RT Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>9006</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>9007</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>9008</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>9009</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>9010</td>
<td>River Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>9011</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>9012</td>
<td>RT Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>9013</td>
<td>RT Bank/River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>9014</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td>9015</td>
<td>RT Bank/River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td>9016</td>
<td>RT Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>188</td>
<td>9017</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189</td>
<td>9018</td>
<td>LT Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Time to Dispose (Hrs)</th>
<th>Cost per Tree</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Time/Access (Hrs)</th>
<th>Access Cost</th>
<th>Burning/Chipping Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost per Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Cost of Project: $115,350
Match by State: $97,675
Match by FCD11: $37,675
APPLICATION FOR FLOOD MANAGEMENT GRANT

Answer the following questions and provide the requested material as directed. All pertinent information must be provided. Additional information may be requested by the Idaho Water Resource Board (IWRB) depending on the scope of the project and amount of funding requested.

Incomplete documents will be returned and no further action will be taken by IWRB staff. All paperwork must be submitted within twenty-eight (28) working days prior to the next IWRB meeting.

Board meeting agendas can be found at: http://www.idwr.idaho.gov/IWRB/meetings/

1. Overview:
This form applies to the IWRB Flood Management Grant Program. The Flood Management Grant Program provides financial assistance to eligible entities interested in pursuing flood damaged stream channel repair, stream channel improvements, flood risk reduction, or flood prevention projects. Pursuing flood management improvement and repair projects can assist in maintaining flow capacities in major waterways, prevent bank and channel erosion, and reduce property damage during flood events.

The grant funding shall require a 50% funding match by the sponsor of the total project costs. In-kind services can account for up to 30% of the total project costs. Legal/Administrative in-kind services are limited to 5% of total project costs.

Funds will be distributed by sponsor submitting funding reimbursement requests, which shall include:
1. Cover letter formally requesting a funding reimbursement, description of project activities, dates of project activities, and contractor or supplier invoices. Funds shall be distributed within 15-days of receipt of reimbursement request.

Upon completion of the project, sponsor and/or consultant, shall submit a notice of completion to the IWRB, and that the improvements and/or repairs were constructed in substantial conformance with the approved plans and specifications.

Prepare and attach a "Grant Document" to this application.

The Grant Application Document requirements are outlined in the IWRB Flood Management Grant Program Guidelines. The guidelines can be found at:
http://www.idwr.idaho.gov/waterboard/Financial%20program/financial.htm
You can also obtain a copy by contacting IWRB staff.
II. General Information:
A. Type of organization: (Check box)
   - [X] Flood District
   - [ ] Municipality
   - [ ] County
   - [ ] Other

Twin Lakes Creek Flood Control District #17
Organization name
Gordon Stephenson
Name and title of Contact Person
5416 W. Village Blvd
PO Box/Street Address
Rathdrum, ID 83858
City, County, State, Zip Code
208-687-1906
Contact telephone number
gorjon@suddenlink.net
e-mail address
20-8239145
Taxpayer ID#

Project location/ legal description
Twin Lakes dam/outfall

B. Is your organization registered with the Idaho Secretary of State's office? Yes [X] No [ ]

C. Purpose and name of project for this grant application.
   - [ ] Stream Channel Repair
   - [ ] Stream Channel Improvement
   - [X] Flood Risk Reduction
   - [ ] Flood Prevention
   - [ ] Other

III. WATER PROJECT/ACTIVITY:
A. Source of water:
   - [X] Surface
   - [ ] Reservoir
   - [ ] Other
B. Describe the Flood Management Project/Activity - What is the primary purpose of this grant application?

Procurement and installation of electronics to allow remote monitoring of lake level, flow, sluice gate position, rainfall, and atmospheric conditions.

C. Does this project/activity address multiple objectives? If so explain.

Yes, this equipment will allow district operators to monitor stream flows in real time.

This will help them to take a more proactive approach to controlling flows and reducing flood risks.

D. Will this flood management project/activity be implemented in a single year, or phased over multiple years?

☐ 1-year ☐ Multiple-years (Phased)

E. Project start and completion date:

Start August 2018

Complete November 2018

F. Project detailed cost estimate, including all labor and materials:

Total project cost is estimated at $15,500

See attached

G. Has your organization performed stream channel or stream bank repair and/or improvement projects in the past?

Yes, the District has completed many projects to repair, maintain, and improve the stream channel.

H. Provide the required regulatory approval and permit documents for this project.

None required.
IV. FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

A. Does your organization have a regular assessment for a reserve or special needs fund?
   Yes [X] No [ ]

B. Does your organization have prior experience in working with the Idaho Water Resource Board?
   Yes [X] No [ ]

C. What other sources of funding have been explored to fund the project? (e.g. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, NRCS, FEMA, Banks, Local Government, etc.)

   None

Amount of funds requested: $7,750

By signing this document you verify that all information provided is correct and the document is filled out to the best of your ability.

Authorized signature & date: Bethany Hunsinger 6/13/18

See/ Treasurer
## Twin Lakes Creek Flood Control District
### Grant Application
#### ENGINEER's OPINION OF PRELIMINARY PROJECT COSTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>E-Tracker</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,045.00</td>
<td>$1,045.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Stevens Connect Fee</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$450.00</td>
<td>$450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Software</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$325.00</td>
<td>$325.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Enclosure</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$390.00</td>
<td>$390.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Gage Height Sensor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ultrasonic Level Sensor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$449.00</td>
<td>$449.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Weather Station</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,750.00</td>
<td>$2,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Heated Rain Gage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,018.00</td>
<td>$1,018.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Installation/Programming</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,500.00</td>
<td>$3,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subtotal = $11,427.00

15% Contingency = $2,000.00

Total Estimated Construction = $13,427.00

### ENGINEERING

- Preliminary Engineering: $500.00
- Design Phase Services: $500.00
- Geotechnical Subconsultant Materials Testing: $0.00
- Electrical Subconsultant: $500.00
- Test Holes/Exploratory Excavation: $0.00
- Reimbursable Expenses: $0.00
- Bidding Phase Services: $0.00
- Construction Phase Services: $500.00

### ESTIMATED TOTAL PROJECT COST

Total Estimated Construction = $13,427.00

Assumptions:
Project Background Information

Purpose:

The District is seeking grant funding to assist with the implementation of a flood control project. The District would utilize the funding to procure and install instrumentation that would allow them to more precisely monitor and control lake levels, weather, gate positions, and stream flow at the Twin Lakes dam.

The dam controls the rate of flow entering Twin Lakes creek. The proposed monitoring equipment would be connected to a telemetry system that would allow the District and its operators to monitor the situation in real-time and react more quickly to changing conditions to reduce or prevent flooding.

The District is seeking grant assistance funding for ½ of the estimated project cost. The total estimated cost is $15,500.

Project Area:

The work would take place at the existing Twin Lakes dam, which is at the bottom of Lower Twin, shown in the enclosed map.

Project Sponsor:

The Twin Lakes Creek Flood Control District has been operating the Twin Lakes dam since 1991.

Twin Lakes/Rathdrum Creek Flood Control District #17 operates under Idaho Code Title 42, Chapter 31.

Twin Lakes/Rathdrum Creek Flood Control District #17 uses property tax revenue for its operation. Annual revenue is $32,600 from those tax revenues.

Responsibilities are to construct, operate, and maintain structural works to prevent floodwaters and sediment damage, conservation, development utilization and disposal of water within the District; to annually fix and determine the amount of money to be raised by taxation for operation of the District.

The original dam constructed in 1908 was used to provide irrigation water to Greenacres Water District. In 1970, Greenacres Irrigation converted to groundwater wells and the dam's
purpose was changed to control flooding, improve recreation, and benefit fish and wildlife. The Flood Control District #17 was formed in 1991. The dam was replaced 2003/2005.

MISSION STATEMENT

"To encompass the watershed top to bottom. To evaluate weather and conditions to minimize water damage while maximizing the best use management of water resource, through dam and waterway maintenance, and operation to best serve ALL watershed interests."

Project Description:

The intent of the project is to be able to accurately monitor lake levels, stream flows, and gate position in real time. This will allow the operators to monitor changing conditions more closely and make adjustments to reduce flooding risks.

Specifically, the project will include the following:

- 2 Gage Height Sensors. These will most likely be pressure transmitters mounted in stilling wells. One will be mounted in the lake upstream of the dam and the other in the stream. They will collect data every minute. They will be a Stevens Smart PT or similar.

- 1 Ultrasonic Level Sensor. This will be mounted immediately downstream of the control gate and be used to monitor the position of the gate in real time to more accurately control flow. Data will be collected in one minute increments. This will be a Stevens SDI-12 Ultrasonic Level Sensor or similar.

- 1 Weather Station. In addition to precipitation, the weather station will also measure wind, temperature, humidity, barometric pressure. Being able to see weather conditions in real time will help alert operators to conditions such as rapid snow melt that may affect water flows.

- 1 Heated Rain Gage
- Software
- Weatherlight Enclosure
- Installation & Programming
- Connection to Cloud Based Monitoring System. The information collected by this equipment will be available for viewing on the District’s web site.

No alterations to the dam structure or stream channel are planned.
Right of Way:
All work will take place at the dam, which is owned by the District.

Cost Estimate:
The total Estimated cost for the project is $15,500. A breakdown of this estimate is included.

Implementation Schedule:
If funded, the District would immediately begin procuring the equipment. The installation would take place in the fall of 2018. They anticipate that the work would be complete in November of 2018.
Financial Feasibility Analysis:

The total estimated project cost is $15,500. The District is seeking grant funding for ½ of that amount. The District has sufficient cash available to fund the other ½ of the project cost.