IDAHO WATER RESOURCE IWRB

MEETING MINUTES 2-11

Idaho Water Center
Boise, Idaho
March 11, 2011

Chairman Uhling called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m. and asked for roll call. All 7 members were present. Chairman Uhling stated that a quorum was present. Mr. Jerry Rigby’s term expired and the vacant seat has not been filled as of this meeting date.

Agenda Item No. 1, Roll Call

Board Members Present

Terry Uhling, Chairman
Gary Chamberlain
Chuck Cuddy
Leonard Beck

Vince Alberdi
Roger Chase
Bob Graham

Staff Members Present

Brian Patton, Bureau Chief
Rich Rigby, Federal Liaison
Morgan Case, Biologist
Neeley Miller, Planner
Bill Quinn, Engineer

Helen Harrington, Section Manager
Dan Nelson, Hydrologist
Sandra Thiel, Planner
Cynthia Bridge-Clark, Engineer
Diana Ball, Administrative Assistant

Guests Present

Lynn Tominaga, IGWA
Ben Hepler, Boise City Canal Company
Bruce Smith, Moore Smith Buxton Law
Gary Lemmon, Blind Canyon Aquaranch
Jon Bowling, Idaho Power

Shelley Davis, Barker Rosholt
Liz Paul, Idaho Rivers United
Walt Poole, Idaho Fish and Game
Dave Wilkins, Capital Press

Agenda Item No. 2, Agenda and Approval of Minutes 1-11

Mr. Chamberlain moved to approve Minutes for Meeting 1-11 as submitted. Mr. Beck seconded the motion. All were in favor. Minutes for Meeting 1-11 were approved as submitted.

Chairman Uhling called for any changes to the Agenda. Mr. Brian Patton suggested removing Item 8, Policy Direction on Snake River Minimum Stream Flows and adding the Director’s Report under Item 8. Mr. Chamberlain moved to amend the agenda as suggested. Mr. Beck seconded the motion. Chairman Uhling called for a
voice vote. All were in favor.

**Agenda Item No. 3, Public Comment**

Chairman Uhling asked for public comment regarding any items not included on the agenda.

Ms. Liz Paul, Idaho Rivers United, addressed the IWRB regarding new storage facilities on the Boise River and water conservation measures. She provided a handout on conservation and a copy of the March 2011 Idaho Rivers United newsletter.

**Agenda Item 4, Financial Items**

a. **Status Report**

Mr. Brian Patton presented the Financial Status Report. As of February 1, 2011, total IWRB funds committed but not disbursed totaled approximately $13.9 million. The outstanding loan principal balance is $19.2 million, and the total uncommitted balance is approximately $2 million.

Mr. Patton summarized the following financial action items to be presented to the IWRB: 1) $15,000 loan from the Revolving Development Account to Boise City Canal Company to repair a section of a buried canal, and 2) funding up to $32,130 for a one-year extension of the Pole Creek project, which has been active the last 5 years.

Mr. Patton provided a brief summary of the annual rental pool reports for Water District 63 (Boise), Water District 65 (Payette), and Water District 01 (Upper Snake). Surcharge received from these major rental pools totaled $248,155 for 2010, and the funds have been deposited into the IWRB’s Revolving Development Account.

Chairman Uhling asked what the 5-year average was for surcharge funds received from the rental pools. Mr. Patton stated the average is $300,000 to $350,000. Mr. Chase asked if the 10% fee associated with the rental pool is comparable to other states. Mr. Patton replied that Idaho is unique in its water marketing program and isn’t aware of other western states that have a similar program. Mr. Uhling stated that Idaho’s program may become a banking model for other states. Mr. Beck asked what effort is needed by the IWRB and staff relating to the income from these rental pools. Mr. Patton replied that the IWRB oversees the program by appointing the local committees and reviewing and approving the procedures. He also stated that surcharges will generally be down in a full-water year. Mr. Patton stated that he maintains a running total of rental pool surcharges and will provide it at the next regular IWRB meeting.

Mr. Patton stated that there are quite a few loan applications that may come before the IWRB in the near future. Mr. Graham noted that several of the projects appear to be ones that have previously been before the IWRB. Mr. Patton stated that there are projects for several of the same entities however the new loan requests are for different project phases. Mr. Uhling commented that many of the projects would likely contribute towards water conservation.

Mr. Patton provided information on appropriate reserve funds for both Dworshak and Pristine Springs and stated that $1 million would probably be adequate for Pristine Springs however they are still working on numbers for Dworshak. The key is in trying to establish an emergency reserve fund amount. There was a discussion about replacement costs and availability of parts in an emergency situation.
b. Boise City Canal Company Loan

Mr. Dan Nelson presented a loan application on behalf of Boise City Canal Company in the amount of $15,000 for rehabilitation of an enclosed section of the Boise City Canal. The Boise City Canal Company has two current loans with the IWRB: 1) 2003 Phase 1 loan in the amount of $89,865 with an annual payment of $11,922, which will be paid in full in May 2014, and 2) 2004 Phase 2 loan in the amount of $114,709 with an annual payment of $14,852, which is scheduled to be paid in full June 2015.

Mr. Uhling suggested that rather than granting an additional loan in the amount of $15,000 and to make the request more efficient for both the IWRB and the Boise City Canal Company, the IWRB could delay one annual payment of $14,852 on the Phase 2 loan to satisfy the current loan amount of $15,000 requested. The existing Phase 2 loan would be modified to allow for an additional year to repay the Phase 2 loan of $114,709 in full, which would make the final Phase 2 loan payment due by June 2016.

Mr. Ben Hepler, Boise City Canal Company, addressed the IWRB regarding the option to delay the 2011 payment due on the Phase 2 loan and extending repayment of the loan in full to June 2016 rather than securing an additional loan with the IWRB in the amount of $15,000. All parties were in agreement with this funding option. The Boise City Canal Company would not be required to make the annual payment of $14,852 in June 2011 however the amount due in 2011 would be added to the end of the loan, thereby extending the final due date to June 2016.

Mr. Graham questioned the location of the buried canal as indicated on the map provided. There was discussion on whether the homeowner was aware of the buried canal when they purchased the property. Mr. Hepler stated that the homeowner disclosed that they were not aware of the buried canal when they purchased the home.

Mr. Chamberlain made a motion to extend the term date of the current Phase 2 loan to Boise City Canal Company with an annual payment due of $14,852 to June 2016. The motion was seconded by Mr. Alberdi. Chairman Uhling called for a roll call vote.

Roll Call Vote: Mr. Graham: Aye; Mr. Alberdi: Aye; Mr. Cuddy: Aye; Mr. Chamberlain: Aye; Mr. Beck: Aye; Mr. Chase: Aye; Chairman Uhling: Aye. Roll Call Vote: 7 Ayes. Motion carried.

c. Water Transaction Program – Pole Creek

Ms. Morgan Case presented a resolution for a one-year minimum flow renewal agreement for Pole Creek with Salmon Falls Land and Livestock Company. Pole Creek has the potential to provide high quality habitat for threatened Chinook salmon and bull trout. For the past 5 years, the IWRB transaction maintained a flow of 5 cfs in Pole Creek. The water user renewal agreement requires that a minimum flow of 6 cfs be maintained in Pole Creek, as measured at the IDWR gage, through the 2011 irrigation season. Salmon Falls Land and Livestock will be compensated for every day that it is necessary to run a diesel generator to power the pivot irrigation system.

There was a discussion regarding the cost of diesel fuel to run the IWRB-owned diesel generator. Since diesel fuel is rising at a rapid rate, Mr. Chamberlain suggested increasing the total amount of the agreement for compensation from $32,130 to $50,000 to cover the water right owner’s potential diesel costs.

There was a brief discussion regarding who is responsible for repairs and maintenance on the generator. Ms. Case stated that the landowner has been responsible in the past for routine maintenance such as oil changes. The IWRB would likely be responsible for a major overhaul of the generator. The long-term plan is for the landowner to convert to hydropower and eliminate the need for the generator.
Mr. Chamberlain made a motion that the Pole Creek Salmon Falls Land & Livestock water transaction resolution be accepted as modified, increasing the agreement amount to $50,000.00 and subject to the condition that the IWRB receives the requested funding from the Bonneville Power Administration through the Columbia Basin Water Transaction Program in the amount of $50,000.00. The motion was seconded by Mr. Cuddy. Chairman Uhling called for a roll call vote.

Roll Call Vote: Mr. Graham: Aye; Mr. Alberdi: Aye; Mr. Cuddy: Aye; Mr. Chamberlain: Aye; Mr. Beck: Aye; Mr. Chase: Aye; Chairman Uhling: Aye. Roll Call Vote: 7 Ayes. Motion carried.

d. **ESPA Managed Recharge Program Update**

Mr. Bill Quinn presented an update and stated that 2011 contracts have been prepared. The early season program is expected to be similar to last year’s with several canal companies and irrigation districts participating. The plan is to equally divide recharge above and below American Falls, consistent with the Eastern Snake Plan Aquifer (ESPA) Comprehensive Aquifer Management Plan (CAMP). Because of limited funding, new provisions have been inserted into 2011 recharge contracts, specifying well defined volume and dollar “not to exceed” limits and conditions. Approximately $217,000 remains in the recharge conveyance budget. Currently, Southwestern Irrigation District is the only one recharging, and they are recharging at approximately 21 cfs through several injection wells. To date, no other recharge has been reported.

Mr. Beck asked about Idaho Irrigation District, milepost 31, in the Egin Lakes area and what it would take to ramp them up for large amounts of recharge, specifically related to cost. Mr. Quinn replied that milepost 31 is an ideal site because of its location in the interior of the basin for maximized retention and its success at retaining water. He stated that this will likely be a policy decision due to cost.

There was discussion regarding potential for recharge at the three ESPA committee recommended sites. Mr. Uhling suggested that more information be prepared for the IWRB to consider when making policy decisions on future recharge, including costs, pros, cons, rankings, and data gaps. Mr. Patton stated that the three sites were recommended by the ESPA committee, and the IWRB has already allocated a portion of the $2.4 million Legislature funds for recharge at Egin Lakes and for Idaho Irrigation District.

There was additional discussion on the financial and functional possibility of building large holding ponds. Mr. Uhling stated that different versions of storage are being considered, including aquifer, reservoir, and holding ponds. Mr. Patton stated that canal / re-regulation ponds are a great idea in most cases. They help canal companies in strategic locations. Canal re-regulation ponds are built into the current AWEP plan and have been successful in the past. Studies may be needed to consider capacities of existing canals for additional holding water, and precise numbers are needed to make good policy decisions.

Mr. Uhling recommended that staff identify long-term goals for the IWRB that make sense from an overall state perspective.

There was a short break in the meeting.

Staff provided a map showing the amount of water leaving the state and identifying the river basins.

**Agenda Item No. 5, Planning Activities**

a. **ESPA CAMP**

Mr. Rich Rigby provided a brief update on the current ESPA processes and meetings. Mr. Rigby recommended not having another ESPA CAMP Implementation Committee meeting at this point due to lack of new information. He stated that with respect to disposition of funds there are two ways to measure
benefits: 1) who gets paid for the use of the water, and 2) who gets the water. Progress needs to be made on separating these two issues.

There are other canal companies, including Aberdeen Springfield and the Great Feeder, who are interested in recharge. Mr. Rigby suggested that a “go-separately” approach be considered. Staff will work on identifying uses of the ESPA CAMP funds to present at the next regular IWRB meeting.

b. Rathdrum Prairie CAMP

Ms. Helen Harrington provided an update on the Rathdrum Prairie (RP) CAMP program. In January, the RP CAMP Advisory Committee submitted a Recommended Draft Management Plan for the IWRB’s consideration. No additional comments have been received from the public or the IWRB since that time. The Advisory Committee has continued work compiling a list of projects and costs associated with implementing the recommended Draft Plan. The Draft Plan will likely be refined as public comment comes in and as the Advisory Committee considers different implementation concepts. Staff recommended moving forward in accepting the Draft Plan as submitted by the Advisory Committee through the resolution before the IWRB.

An additional action item was submitted to the IWRB to appoint Mr. Dale Peck, environmental director of the Panhandle Health District, to the RP Advisory Committee, as a replacement for Mr. Chris Beck whose term on the Board of Health for the Panhandle Health District ends March 2011. Mr. Peck has been an active participant and has attended almost all RP Advisory Committee meetings.

Mr. Graham made a motion that Mr. Dale Peck be appointed to the Rathdrum Prairie Advisory Committee as a replacement for Mr. Chris Beck. The motion was seconded by Mr. Cuddy. Chairman Uhling called for a voice vote. All were in favor.

In the matter of the IWRB resolution, staff recommends that the IWRB consider accepting the Draft Plan with the intent of taking it forward for public comment and public hearing, which would be held in conjunction with the regular IWRB meeting scheduled for May 12 and 13 in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho.

Ms. Harrington stated that there is a 60-day public comment period required with the IWRB’s consideration of formally adopting the Draft Plan. It is expected that the public comment period will be set around the timeframe of the public hearing. The tentative plan is to hold an open house immediately prior to the formal hearing to provide for a question and answer period and to discuss the Draft Plan in an informal setting, followed by the public hearing to allow for public testimony.

Mr. Graham made a motion to accept the Draft Rathdrum Prairie Comprehensive Aquifer Management Plan and stressed that it is a “draft” plan. The motion was seconded by Mr. Cuddy. Chairman Uhling called for a voice vote. All were in favor.

c. Treasure Valley CAMP

Mr. Neeley Miller provided an update on the Treasure Valley CAMP process. The TV Advisory Committee held a two-day meeting on February 3 and 4, 2011. At those meetings, they established a drafting group responsible for drafting the CAMP document. The drafting group completed a preliminary draft outline as presented to the IWRB. A list of the drafting group committee members was provided. The RAFN (Reasonably Anticipated Future Needs) drafting group was also established and a list was provided to the IWRB. The Advisory Committee expects to present a Draft Plan to the IWRB by early summer.

Chairman Uhling commented that the Advisory Committee should include appropriate and adequate representation on both committees. He commended the drafting group on the draft outline and stated they appeared to be heading in the right direction.
d. **State Water Plan**

Ms. Harrington provided a brief update on the State Water Plan revision process and stated that the revision process is moving forward however no meetings have been held since the last IWRB meeting. Staff and the Office of the Attorney General are evaluating the degree to which the State Water Plan revision process can resolve outstanding issues surrounding water planning and management, including: 1) minimum stream flow water rights on the Snake River (mainstem), 2) management issues related to new water appropriations in the Salmon River basin, and 3) water flow issues related to the Owyhee Initiative.

**Agenda Item No. 6, Water Storage Studies**

Ms. Cynthia Bridge-Clark updated the IWRB on the three ongoing storage water studies: 1) Lower Boise River Interim Feasibility Study, 2) Henrys Fork Basin Study, and 3) the Weiser-Galloway Project.

**Lower Boise River**

In the Lower Boise River, the *Water Storage Screening Analysis* was published by the U.S. Corp of Engineers (Corps) in August 2010 and presented to the IWRB in September 2010. The initial six sites were ranked and the IWRB selected the top three for evaluation based on future funding: Arrowrock, Alexander Flats, and Twin Springs. There is currently no funding in the Corp’s budget for matched funding for Federal FY2011 and FY2012. The Corp is aware of the State’s obligation to fulfill its mandate to complete an investigation of storage and is making an effort to secure even partial funding to support further study activities.

Chairman Uhling asked if this was under consideration that the Corp ranked this as the number one risk project related to flooding. Ms. Clark stated that it was, and Chairman Uhling extended the IWRB’s offer to assist the Corp in seeking funding for these projects.

**Henry’s Fork Basin**

In the Henry’s Fork Basin, the Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) and the State of Idaho, in collaboration with a stakeholder working group, are conducting a study on water resources and development of alternatives to improve water supply conditions in the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer and Upper Snake River basin. Stakeholder meetings continue in conjunction with the Henry’s Fork Watershed Council monthly meetings held in Rexburg, Idaho. BOR staff has provided updates on study activities and presented information on existing and potential water development projects at these meetings. The March Watershed Council meeting was cancelled to allow for more time to get further along in their evaluations and are hoping to have a preliminary short list for the May meeting and then potentially reduce that list by June. Work will continue on those alternatives through the summer and then reconvene in September. The BOR has also offered to provide updates and presentations to the IWRB at any time.

Chairman Uhling commented that it looks like a diverse group, which is positive, and stated that if there is information generated that is transferable to other basins, ideas or concepts, the IWRB would be interested in reviewing that. Ms. Clark stated that it is a very diverse and engaged group and will take it under consideration to look at studies that may be applicable to other basins. Ms. Clark also provided a copy of an article published by the Rexburg Standard Journal regarding a poll taken on the rebuilding of Teton Dam, which was commissioned by American Rivers. Ms. Clark stated that Scott Bosse, American Rivers, has offered to present those results to the IWRB based on time and interest.

**Weiser-Galloway Project**

The IWRB and the Corp executed a cost-share agreement on June 3, 2010, to initiate the *Weiser-Galloway Gap Analysis, Economic Evaluation and Risk-Based Cost Analysis Project* the existing Galloway Dam site and Reservoir and to consider current conditions. The report is intended to be used by decision
makers in determining whether to move forward with a full feasibility study. The total costs associated with the study are estimated to be $200,000 and will be shared equally between the Corp and the IWRB. At this point the report is complete and currently being printed and will likely be presented to the IWRB at the next possible IWRB meeting.

Chairman Uhling stated that the Weiser-Galloway report should be presented when the IWRB meets in Boise or could be presented at a Storage Subcommittee meeting with the full IWRB invited to attend. He also commented that there is always a concern that projects usually incur additional costs as questions continue to arise and encouraged staff to pursue getting answers to those questions sooner than later for efficient use of staff time and funds.

Mr. Graham stated that the printed report should initially be presented to the IWRB for review and then to the Storage Subcommittee to speed up the process in getting the full report out to the public. Chairman Uhling agreed that would be an efficient way to proceed.

**Agenda Item No. 7, Establishment of the Upper Snake River Advisory Committee**

Mr. Patton addressed the IWRB regarding the resolution introduced by Mr. Clive Strong, Deputy Attorney General, to form a standing IWRB Subcommittee known as the Upper Snake River Advisory Committee. Participants would include a representative of the IWRB, Bureau of Reclamation, Idaho Power Company, two representatives of the Committee of Nine (one member from the southern area of Water District O 1 and one member from the northern area of Water District O 1), and such other interested stakeholders as the IWRB may determine.

The new Committee would provide a collaborative forum for the review and exchange of relevant information on how the State, the Bureau of Reclamation, and the Water District O 1 Committee of Nine, in the exercise of their respective authorities, can optimize the management of the water resources and operation of the reservoir system above Milner Dam to provide a reliable supply of water for existing and future beneficial uses.

Mr. Chamberlain made a motion that the IWRB accept the resolution to form the Upper Snake River Advisory Committee as written. The motion was seconded by Mr. Beck. Chairman Uhling called for a voice vote. All were in favor.

There was a brief discussion on the IWRB’s appointment to this Committee. Mr. Beck made a motion to appoint Mr. Roger Chase as the IWRB representative to the Upper Snake River Advisory Committee. The motion was seconded by Mr. Chamberlain. Chairman Uhling called for a voice vote. All were in favor.

Mr. Chamberlain asked for clarification of the average amount of water flowing from Idaho to Oregon as measured near Rome, Oregon, as shown on the map that was distributed by staff after the break. Mr. Patton stated that the Rome Gage is only on the Owyhee River. There is no gage below Hells Canyon shown on the map. Mr. Patton stated that the Lower Granite Dam Gage would be representative of what leaves the State in the Snake River Basin. Mr. Patton stated that the Weiser Gage is just above the Hells Canyon Complex, which is not shown, but can be added, along with Milner. Chairman Uhling asked Mr. Patton to make those adjustments to the chart.

**Agenda Item No. 8, Director’s Report**

Mr. Patton presented items to the IWRB on behalf of Interim Director Gary Spackman. The Western States Water Council fees were paid in full and full voting status has been restored. The State’s representative, Mr. Jerry Rigby, has inquired about how the IWRB wants him to follow-up in reporting on
WSWC activities. Mr. Graham suggested that Mr. Rigby provide a brief written summary, as well as report back in person. Chairman Uhling suggested that the other State representatives to WSWC also report to the IWRB to provide a full view of WSWC activities.

Mr. Patton acknowledged that IWRB officer elections are needed and will be scheduled as soon as the remaining IWRB appointments have been made, likely by the May meeting.

Mr. Patton reported on the snowpack, which is above average in most parts of the State, and noted that the Department will be looking at the April 1 Heise forecast in terms of the Surface Water Coalition call.

Mr. Patton reported that the Department is moving forward working directly with the operator at Priest Lake while working with Avista over settlement of the existing agreement. The operator needs to be contracted directly by the Department.

In regards to the Department’s budget, Mr. Patton stated that JFAC has addressed the Department’s budget. He stated several members of that Committee spoke very highly of the Department and opposed efforts to make deeper cuts to the Department’s budget. Several legislators spoke very highly of the Department and its work, including Representative Patrick, Representative Wood, Senator Bear, Senator Brackett, Senator Keough, Representative Bedke, Representative Jaquet, Representative Eskridge, and Senator Broadsword. JFAC recommended approval of the $2.4 million fund transfer that the IWRB has committed to the ESPA CAMP process. Those funds will be deposited into the secondary aquifer fund to be spent on ESPA projects. The transfer will likely be completed as soon as the Legislature approves the Department’s budget.

Several pieces of Department legislation are being considered, including fee bills for well drilling permits and water right application and transfer applications. Both of these have passed the House and are at the Senate. The IWRB also has a fee rule related to the IWRB’s Water Supply Bank application fee, which passed both the House and Senate committees and has been included in the Senate concurrent resolution 107, which approves all rules not explicitly rejected. The resolution has passed the Senate and is now before the House.

Chairman Uhling discussed details surrounding the regular IWRB meeting in May, presently scheduled for May 12 and 13 in Coeur d’Alene to accommodate the Rathdrum Prairie Draft Plan public hearing. Staff will evaluate efficient use of time and costs associated with the public hearing, work session, and regular IWRB meeting.

Mr. Patton also stated that the July meeting is tentatively scheduled for Lewiston and was originally planned in conjunction with potential State Water Plan activities. Chairman Uhling stated that he has a direct conflict with the scheduled July meeting dates. Mr. Chamberlain suggested scheduling the July meeting for Boise in consideration of costs and delaying out-of-town meetings that are related to State Water Plan activities. After a brief discussion, the July meeting dates were changed to July 28 and 29, 2011, and will be held in Boise.

**Agenda Item No. 9. Other Items IWRB Members May Wish to Present**

Mr. Cuddy expressed his appreciation for the efforts of the IWRB, staff, and the facilitation team for their hard work in expediting the process on the Rathdrum Prairie CAMP draft document. He also commented that there has been an ongoing conflict between the State and the US Forest Service over a water right in the Clearwater Water District.

Mr. Beck expressed his appreciation for Diana organizing the retirement dinner for Patsy. Chairman Uhling also expressed his appreciation on behalf of the IWRB.
Agenda Item No. 10, Next Meeting and Adjourn

Meeting was adjourned at approximately 11:00 a.m. The next regular IWRB meeting is scheduled for May 12 and 13, 2011, in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho.

Respectfully submitted this 20 day of April, 2011

Bob Graham, Secretary

Diana Ball, Administrative Assistant II

Board Actions:

1. Mr. Chamberlain moved to approve Minutes for Meeting 1-11 as submitted. Motion was seconded by Mr. Beck. Voice Vote: 7 ayes. Motion carried.

2. Mr. Chamberlain made a motion to extend the term date of the current Phase 2 loan to Boise City Canal Company with an annual payment due of $14,852 to June 2016. The motion was seconded by Mr. Alberdi. Chairman Uhling called for a roll call vote.

Roll Call Vote: Mr. Graham: Aye; Mr. Alberdi: Aye; Mr. Cuddy: Aye; Mr. Chamberlain: Aye; Mr. Beck: Aye; Mr. Chase: Aye; Chairman Uhling: Aye. Roll Call Vote: 7 Ayes. Motion carried.

3. Mr. Chamberlain made a motion that the Pole Creek Salmon Falls Land & Livestock water transaction resolution be accepted as modified increasing the proposed amount of $32,130 to $50,000 and subject to the condition that the IWRB receives the requested funding from the Bonneville Power Administration through the Columbia Basin Water Transaction Program in the amount of $50,000. The motion was seconded by Mr. Cuddy. Chairman Uhling called for a roll call vote.

Roll Call Vote: Mr. Graham: Aye; Mr. Alberdi: Aye; Mr. Cuddy: Aye; Mr. Chamberlain: Aye; Mr. Beck: Aye; Mr. Chase: Aye; Chairman Uhling: Aye. Roll Call Vote: 7 Ayes. Motion carried.

4. Mr. Graham made a motion that Mr. Dale Peck be appointed to the Rathdrum Prairie Advisory Committee as a replacement for Mr. Chris Beck. The motion was seconded by Mr. Cuddy. Chairman Uhling called for a voice vote. All were in favor.

5. Mr. Graham made a motion to accept the Draft Rathdrum Prairie Comprehensive Aquifer Management Plan and stressed that it is a “draft” plan. The motion was seconded by Mr. Cuddy. Chairman Uhling called for a voice vote. All were in favor.

6. Mr. Chamberlain made a motion that the IWRB accept the resolution to form the Upper Snake River Advisory Committee as written. The motion was seconded by Mr. Beck. Chairman Uhling called for a voice vote. All were in favor.

7. Mr. Beck made a motion to appoint Mr. Roger Chase as the IWRB’s representative to the Upper Snake River Advisory Committee. The motion was seconded by Mr. Chamberlain. Chairman Uhling called for a voice vote. All were in favor.