November 10th Meeting Summary For the Treasure Valley Comprehensive Aquifer Management Plan Advisory Committee (Meeting #7)

Meeting goals
1. Develop options to address Goal 2: Avoid and manage future conflicts.
2. Identify need for information and education of conflict management alternatives. Identify members for drafting subcommittee for Goal 2.
3. Learn about the Corps Storage Feasibility Study through a status update.
4. Learn about the status and results of the climate change/variability study.
5. Review, revise, and continue to seek agreement on strategic actions for CAMP Goal #1. Identify members for drafting subcommittee for Goal 1.

List of Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ron Abramovich</td>
<td>Gary Duspiva</td>
<td>Kathy Peter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brent Adamson</td>
<td>Allen Funkhouser</td>
<td>Clinton Pline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelle Atkinson</td>
<td>Michael Fuss</td>
<td>John Prigge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rex Barrie</td>
<td>Stephen Goodson</td>
<td>Scott Rhead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gayle Batt</td>
<td>Matt Howard</td>
<td>Lon Stewart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellen Berrgren</td>
<td>Bill Larson</td>
<td>Warren Stewart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Bowling</td>
<td>Lynn McKee</td>
<td>John Thornton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russ Dane</td>
<td>Greg Nelson</td>
<td>Rick Ward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Dixon</td>
<td>Brian Patton</td>
<td>Paul Woods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is approximately 70% attendance of AC members.
Facilitators: Joseph McMahon, Daisy Patterson
IDWR: Helen Harrington, Neeley Miller, Sandra Thiel, Cynthia Bridge Clark

Welcome, Introductions, and Review of Agenda
The facilitation team, the Advisory Committee and the public observers all introduced themselves. Joe McMahon and Daisy Patterson reviewed the meeting goals and agenda for the day.
**Small group dialogue: Completing the Matrix**

Joe and Daisy explained the development of the small groups and the matrix from the last meeting. (See October meeting notes for explanation of that process).

**Committee dialogue: Results from small group discussions and the future of the small group approach to working**

**Decision by AC not to use special issue groups; deal with special issues inside existing work on matrix**

The Advisory Committee decided that small group work (the four groups formed at our October meeting) has been productive and that they would like to continue the use of small groups, without rearranging or reforming new groups. The Committee also decided that the special issues previously identified (for example conjunctive management and storage) would be addressed within the small groups as they complete matrices for Options to Meet Future Demand and Options to Prevent Conflict.

The Advisory Committee engaged in a lengthy discussion on what was covered by the small group conversations. This discussion was not directed at making decisions but rather to let the groups see what other groups thought.

The facilitation team will be compiling results from the small group discussions on Goal 1 into one spreadsheet that contains all of the input from the small groups as well as a new matrix synthesizing the each group’s input. Rather than list a few points from that discussion, and unintentionally adding emphasis to the few points mentioned, please see the compiled matrix for details on the small group work.

**Informational session: Climate Variability**

Venkat Sridar, Boise State University presented results from the Climate Variability Study. His presentation can be found on the Treasure Valley CAMP portion of the IDWR website.

**Informational session: Recharge**

Neal Farmer, IDWR, described the ongoing study to assess suitable areas for enhanced recharge in the Treasure Valley. Michelle Atkinson described Micron’s water reuse and recovery system. Please see both of their presentations on the Treasure Valley CAMP portion of the IDWR website.

**Next Steps, Future Meeting Dates, Final Questions**

**Next steps.**

The small groups will submit matrices to Daisy Patterson by November 17th. The facilitation team will compile the matrices and return to the small groups by November 24. The small groups will evaluate the compiled matrix and determine what adjustments should be made.
The facilitation team will also provide a framework, similar to the first matrix, for the small groups to use to elaborate on the proposed actions to prevent conflict. Small groups may meet prior to the next meeting. Time will be provided for small groups on the next agenda.

The facilitation team provided a work plan to the Advisory Committee which is attached to this summary. This plan will be modified as needed by the Committee as we continue to work.

**Public Comment**

Liz Paul, Idaho Rivers United, mentioned a wetlands program that will be help on November 30 at 6pm at the Garden City Library. Lynn Tominaga said that a study is currently underway to identify some of the benefits of recharge and information is forthcoming.

**Future dates and meetings.**

- December 14 – Advisory Committee meeting
- January 7 - Advisory Committee meeting
- February – Meeting date to be determined by a doodle scheduling mechanism: [http://doodle.com/gsthhuv8p4wmdys](http://doodle.com/gsthhuv8p4wmdys)
Treasure Valley CAMP Work Plan - Nov 2010 to March 2011

Goal 1 - Water Supply: Assess options to meet future demand, get needed expert input, compare against “success criteria” and identify those supply options on which we find consensus.

Goal 2 - Conflict mitigation: Identify likely conflicts and options to reduce conflict, compare against “success criteria” and identify those conflict options on which we find consensus.

Nov 2010
1) Future Demand – complete and discuss “Matrix”
2) Climate change – variability report
3) Form the necessary small specialty groups

Dec 2010
1) Get reports from work groups
2) Make decisions on water supply recommendations
3) Identify key conflict options and make decisions on most suitable options.

Jan 2011
1) Get reports from work groups
2) Make final decisions on water supply recommendations
3) Make final decisions on conflict options.
4) Give specific directions on drafting recommendations.

Feb 2011
1) Review final draft
2) Present recommendations, get public input and revise as needed

Mar 2011
Submit final recommendations to IWRB

Feb 15: Final draft ready for public comment

Feb 15: Final draft ready for public comment

Drafting Coordination Group: Meet as needed to assemble the various component sections and edit – complete final draft for February meeting