



Facilitation, Collaboration & Conflict Management

Criteria to Evaluate Options/Action Items/Recommendations

DRAFT of 01 March 2010, Ver3

This list builds upon comments from Advisory Committee Meeting Nos. 1 and 2, and guidance from the CAMP brochure as it paraphrases the Committee's assignment.

1. Does the proposed action advance the four CAMP goals?
 - 1.1. Provide reliable sources of water projecting 50 years into the future.
 - 1.2. Avoid conflict (e.g., the experience in the Eastern Snake River Plain Aquifer).
 - 1.3. Prioritize future water investments.
 - 1.4. Bridge the gaps between future water needs and supply.

Source: IDWR CAMP Brochure

2. Is the proposed action clearly within the CAMP assignment from the Board? If not, should the subject matter of the action be referred to another entity or agency for consideration/action?
3. Will the proposed action have a measureable impact?
4. Is the proposed action legal (currently or within reasonable changes of law)?
5. Is the proposed action technically feasible?
6. Is the proposed action socially acceptable?
7. Is the proposed action financially feasible?
8. Viewing the Recommendations as a whole, do the Advisory Committee's recommendations:
 - 8.1. Appropriately address the management of ground and surface water resources into the future?;
 - 8.2. Guide IDWR's technical and management actions?; and
 - 8.3. Permit State agencies to exercise their duties in a manner consistent with the CAMP?

Source: IDWR CAMP Brochure