

Concepts for BWRGWMA Management Plan Response Form

Name _____ Rod, Nick, Carl, and Cooper _____

Concept	Agree	Disagree	Maybe	Reason (Optional)
Plan Goal Item # 1	X			
Plan Goal Item # 2	X			
Plan Goal Item # 3	X		X	Still need to hear TWG responses on GW well index and November baseflow as aquifer targets in support of hydraulically connected streams, including to support strong spring run off into Magic Res
	Agree	Disagree	Maybe	
Flow Targets Item # 1	X			Need flows at Sta10 because LW rights above Sta54 cannot be fully served with storage water and BWCC return flows
Flow Targets Item # 2	X			We recognize CC flow targets may be difficult to develop without USGS/IDWR study; keep a CC target placeholder
Flow Targets Item # 3	X			
Flow Targets Item # 4	X		X	We recognize CC flow targets may be difficult to develop without USGS/IDWR study; keep a CC target placeholder
Flow Targets Item # 5	X			
Flow Targets Item # 6	X			
	Agree	Disagree	Maybe	
Management Actions Item # 1	X			
Management Actions Item # 2	X			Pending adoption and implementation of A1A approach
Management Actions Item # 3	X			Pending adoption and implementation of A1A approach
Management Actions Item # 4	X			Pending adoption and implementation of A1A approach
	Agree	Disagree	Maybe	
CIEF Item # 1	X			
CIEF Item # 2	X			
CIEF Item # 3	X			
CIEF Item # 4			X	If agreeable concept, CIEF money should be used for permanent non-irrigated fallow, not annual (variable) fallowing. (Too much work for a volunteer CIEF to chase different acres every year; CIEF money should be for other projects too.)

Concepts for BWRGWMA Management Plan Response Form

CIEF Item # 5	X			
	Agree	Disagree	Maybe	
Storage Water Item # 1		X		We support the purchase of storage water but the CIEF better suited to water acquisition, with funds from GW users
Storage Water Item # 2	X			161 maximizes Snake water: we would be foolish to ignore the benefits
	Agree	Disagree	Maybe	
Irrigation Season Item # 1	X			Delayed start offers parity with BWCC; (we are all in this together)
Irrigation Season Item # 2	X			Need to hear TWG responses; May need early shutoff in addition to A1A approach to support spring flows into reservoir.
Irrigation Season Item # 3	X			Is this the school sports fields needing fall water?
	Agree	Disagree	Maybe	
Fallowing Item # 1			X	If we move to a volume allocation that results in lower total CU, this could work. We recognize the GW users desire flexibility.
Enforcement Item # 1			X	May need more discussion; Need to discuss the threshold amt for “dry year” (if A1A, then threshold could be any year when any GW CU reductions would be needed)