

Concepts for BWRGWMA Management Plan Response Form

Concept	Agree	Disagree	Maybe	Reason (Optional)
Plan Goal Item # 1	X			But, I want to see what Cooper and Sharon come up with at the next meeting.
Plan Goal Item # 2			X	See Goal1 – Also, I think safe harbor should be more broad than just 42-233b
Plan Goal Item # 3		X		Item 3 is not a goal
Flow Targets Item # 1			X	I think a flow target/storage water delivery combined table makes sense. But, we cannot be held responsible for meeting 32cfs plus providing a bunch of storage water in dry years. If 32 cfs is adequate in a wet year, then it is adequate in a dry year.
Flow Targets Item # 2			X	These targets must be pretty low with strong evidence that they were achievable prior to pumping even in poor water years. We also must take into account the effects of Cities and Industrial users that are “mitigating” by paying into the CIEF. Their impact on Big Wood flows cannot be covered by South Valley.
Flow Targets Item # 3			X	Change maintain to benefit
Flow Targets Item # 4			X	Same as Flow Target Item #3
Flow Targets Item # 5		X		I think the Cities should be required to either put water in the Big Wood, or mitigate somehow.
Flow Targets Item # 6			X	We need more specific language here that requires non-participants to meet the same requirements as the GWD and cities.
Management Actions Item # 1		X		We need to eliminate these – especially the early shut-off date.
Management Actions Item # 2	X			
Management Actions Item # 3			X	I think the overall principle might be ok but once again, we have not agreed to include ground water levels and other specifics included in this action.

Concepts for BWRGWMA Management Plan Response Form

Management Actions Item # 4			X	I think June 1 is the date we need to use since that is when the official basin supply is known.
CIEF Item # 1	X			
CIEF Item # 2	X			
CIEF Item # 3			X	Dividing the funds could have unintended consequences, but we do need a mitigation mechanism. If a City wants to fund the CIEF so that a landowner can fallow ground, then the City needs to be confident their money will be used for that purpose.
CIEF Item # 4	X			I agree with this item but point out that it necessitates including protecting senior surface water users above Magic Reservoir in the goals.
CIEF Item # 5	X			I agree with this and also believe that the contributions should be increased at the outset of this plan after the period of inflation we have already had.
Storage Water Item # 1	X			In light of Condition 161 and other lessons we have learned in the past 3 years, an annual storage water purchase requirement should not be in the plan. It should be one of the possible options to allow for pumping in water years where the supply is not adequate, but should not be a baseline requirement.
Storage Water Item # 2			X	This looks like it could be included in Storage Water Item #1.
Irrigation Season Item # 1			X	Possibly in dry years
Irrigation Season Item # 2		X		Producers need to be allowed to manage their pumping allocation as they see fit. The early shut-off of Sept. 15 th was somewhat arbitrary and not based on hard evidence that it makes a significant difference to Magic fill.
Irrigation Season Item # 3	X			
Fallowing Item # 1	X			
Enforcement Item # 1		X		We need to define 'certain dry years'.

Concepts for BWRGWMA Management Plan Response Form

Name _____ Pat Purdy _____