# Skinner, Corey

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

justin stevenson <justinflood75@hotmail.com> Friday, August 30, 2024 9:52 AM Keen, Shelley; Skinner, Corey Fwd: Memo Comments

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Hi Shelly and Corey,

Here are my comments on the Memo. Thanks for keeping the ball moving forward, Justin

#### **Plan Goals**

1-Yes

I thought that Cooper and Sharon were to provide the Goals

Plan must provide safe harbor not just reduce likelihood.

2-yes

Aquifer health is ambitious and the data shows the aquifer is in a steady state

### **Flow Targets**

1- maybe
Location of target my be changed
Target should drop in dry years and must take into account storage water delivery
2- maybe
How to administer?
SVGWD has very little impact here and therefore cannot 'maintain" flow. Perhaps the cities and SVC should bear this responsibility
3-maybe
Don't like maintain
4- yes
Don't like maintain
5- yes

### **Management Actions**

1- no Don't remember this discussion 2-yes 3-yes We don't like baseline action and would like to focus on total reductions GW levels concept needs to be vetted 4- maybe Not sure of the date

# CIEF

1-yes
2- yes
3- no
This seems to reduce flexibility
4-yes
5- yes

# **Storage Water**

1- yesAmount of storage water should decrease on dry years not increase2- yesThis seems very meaningful

#### **Irrigation Season**

1- no

Modeling shows very little impact from this action. Rather focus on annual pumping reductions

2-see above

3-yes