Item	Question or Suggestion	Comments	
6		Management Plan was based on worst water supplies in 30 years. The proposal is to reduce the obligations if projection is above 240 KAF. The 240 KAF was chosen by Dave based on 1884 priorities.	The TWG members will look at I next TWG meeting. Sean wants Dave will change the 240 KAF li look at a GW metric.
7	Determine the impacts of GW use by cities, other B37 GW users, and domestic users on flows at Station 10 and Stanton Crossing	Erick Powell looked at cities and Zach Hill is looking at WMIS database for diversions by unaffiliated GW users. Domestic impacts are assumed to be insignificant, we need to demonstrate that to the Committee. Domestic impacts were quantified in 2020. No way to compel domestic user to reduce usage; requiring community wells (instead of individual wells) for developments at the local level.	Erick Powell will provide more c files, spreadsheets, descriptive Jennifer will look at the domest
8	Impact of fallowing acres on stream flows at Station 10 and Stanton Crossing	Can't use Station 10 because of diversions, but Sportman Access can be used. Erick Powell has done initial modeling for Sportman, as well as the Hailey-to-Stanton reach. Jennifer has the information to address this and points out that we can break out the Wood River Ranch-to-Stanton reach. The Wood River Ranch-to-Stanton reach and Willow Creek are the contributions to Stanton.	No assignment.
9	Establish a mechanism for extending the irrigation season beyond Sept. 15 in wet years	This is related to the first item that Dave Shaw looked at - April 1 SWSI covers April 1 - Sept 30, Erick Powell looked at impact of early shutoff. Jennifer would like more detail on Erick Powell's model - Erick says this was preliminary and more modeling is necessary. There was discussion about predictions other than SWSI and NW River Forecasting Center.	No assignment
10	Impact of irrigation season delayed start on flows at Station 10 and Stanton Crossing	This has been assumed to be insignificant and impacts due to a May 1 pumping start date have not been quantified. Late onset pumping occurs automatically in wet years due to snow cover. Greg thinks we should do an analysis of wet and dry years to determine how often this occurs. Look at Alex's CIR analysis in combination with GW irrigated acres area to get CU on GW irrigated acres to determine if further work is needed. 2021 is a good candidate for a worst-case year. There was a suggestion to model late start and report to committee.	Alex will present his CIR/distrib 2013.
11	Impact of early irrigation shutoff on flows at Station 10 and Stanton Crossing	Erick Powell modeled September 15 shutoff.	No assignment.
26	In-season adjustment of consumptive reductions	The Plan already has actions for dry years, but what about wet years? There was discussion about using a combination of model predictions on April 15 (e.g. Kendra's model and the NW River Forecast).	No assignment.
12	Benefits to the water supply from CIEF projects	Identify what projects have been done and assess/quantify impacts. Larry Shoen is CIEF chairman.	No assignment
46	What role can GW monitoring play in effective GW management and what is the best method to structure that monitoring	Greg asked if we can use water levels to predict river flows. Jennifer was concerned about water levels after extended drought, but Dave stated that carryover in the aquifer is small in comparison to changes in surface water supply. Jennifer stated that there is currently one targe, but things outside of the aquifer impact flow at Station 10 and thinks water levels are the better way to assess conditions. Kendra thought that Jennifer should reiterate this point to the Committee.	No assignment
27	Determine if buying additional storage water is needed in very dry and extremely dry years	The volume was a negotiated value. The GW users would like to revisit and find scientific justification for this, or a new value.	No assignment

## TWG Assignments

at Dave's analysis and report their findings/suggestions at the nts to be able to report the Committee that everyone looked at it. F line in his chart to be solid and a different color. Eric Miller will

e detail so TWG can review the model runs. TWG requests model ive details, assumptions, and rationale regarding the analysis. Estic impacts that were quantified in 2020.

ribution analysis. He also needs to look at the other years since

Target date for DRAFT management plan isMisc.9/30/2024

Greg asked about Camas inclusion in the next plan. Data are not available to to include Camas before the deadline for the revised plan. May have to wait until the USGS study is completed. However, there may be some data available befor the study is completed.