

**From:** [Vincent, Sean](#)  
**To:** [Contor, Bryce](#); [Kaiser, Kendra](#); [Miller, Eric](#); [Powell, Erick](#); [Shaw, Dave](#); [Squires, Ed](#); [Sukow, Jennifer](#); [Sullivan, Greg](#)  
**Cc:** [Luke, Tim](#); [Keen, Shelley](#)  
**Subject:** meeting follow-up  
**Date:** Friday, September 10, 2021 1:44:00 PM

---

All,

Thank you for participating yesterday in the first meeting of the Big Wood River Ground Water Management Area Technical Working Group (TWG). We have a lot of work to accomplish in a short amount of time but I think we're off to a good start. As mentioned, I am not intending to compile meeting notes for future TWG meetings, in part because I feel it discourages open dialogue. However, for the first meeting I agreed to send out a follow-up email briefly describing what was discussed/agreed upon. Here is a bullet-list summary:

1. After self-introductions, we discussed the role of the TWG. I emphasized that it is not our job to develop a ground water management plan but instead to support plan development by providing technical input to the BWRGWMA Advisory Committee.
2. Prior to the meeting, Greg Sullivan requested that I summarize the series of events that led to formation of the TWG. At the conclusion of my summary, I mentioned that item 3.a. of the Final 2021 Term Sheet requires water users to develop and submit a ground water management plan to the IDWR Director by December 1, 2021.
3. We are not doing work to support a water delivery call and we won't, therefore, need to evaluate material injury.
4. Although there are differing opinions on whether consumptive groundwater use on the Camas Prairie has an impact on inflows to Magic Reservoir, the TWG will not concern itself with resolving those differences. By order of a previous IDWR Director, groundwater users on the Camas Prairie are within the Big Wood River Groundwater Management Area. It is outside the purview of the TWG to reverse that decision.
5. So as to maintain a clear line of communication, we will route our work products back to the Advisory Committee through Tim Luke and/or Shelley Keen.
6. Following up on a commitment made during yesterday's meeting to share various hydrologic datasets with other members of the TWG, Jennifer Sukow sent out an email earlier today with seven attachments.
7. As mentioned during the meeting, a framework for the ground water management plan is in review and I expect that it will be sent to the Advisory Committee later today or early next week. At that time, I will forward a copy of the framework to the TWG.
8. We reviewed a list of questions for the TWG that were compiled by Tim Luke based on Advisory Committee input. During that discussion, several clarifying questions were raised by members of the TWG. I committed to seeking clarification on those questions from Tim

Luke.

9. Eric Miller said that he would send me information that he compiled relative to water savings resulting from the removal of end guns from center-pivot irrigation systems.
10. Jennifer Sukow agreed to make arrangements to post a MODFLOW 6 version of the WRV1.1 groundwater flow model on the IDWR website.
11. In terms of the upcoming schedule:
  - a. The next TWG is meeting is from 2 to 4 p.m. on Tuesday, September 14. The purpose of the meeting is learn about predictive tools for forecasting water supplies in the Big Wood Ground Water Management Area. I will describe the Big Wood River basin SWSIs and Kendra Kaiser will describe the Wood River Water Collaborative model.
  - b. We also scheduled a TWG meeting from 11 a.m. to 2 p.m. on Thursday, September 23. Tim Luke will attend this meeting and be available to help answer clarifying questions relative the list of questions that we have been assigned to answer in support of plan development.
  - c. Tim Luke is hoping to reconvene the Advisory Committee September 28, 29 or 30<sup>th</sup>.

That's it for now. Have a great weekend.

Sean