

**Table 5** Percentage of land served by sprinkler or surface water field application methods.

<b>Irrigation District</b>	<b>Gravity Irrigated Land</b>	<b>Sprinkler Irrigated Land</b>
AFRD2	35%	65%
A&B	27%	73%
MIL	25%	75%
MID	19%	81%
BID	26%	74%
TFCC	75%	25%
NSCC	12%	88%


Note: Source of data is from SWC initial information submittal to IDWR for delivery call proceedings.

**Table 6** Field application percentage efficiency applied for each SWC member in the calculation of irrigation diversion requirements.

	<b>Mar</b>	<b>Apr</b>	<b>May</b>	<b>Jun</b>	<b>Jul</b>	<b>Aug</b>	<b>Sep</b>	<b>Oct</b>	<b>Average</b>
AFRD2	60%	65%	70%	70%	70%	70%	60%	55%	65%
A&B	60%	70%	80%	80%	80%	80%	70%	60%	73%
MIL	50%	50%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	59%	57%
MID	50%	55%	60%	60%	60%	60%	55%	50%	56%
BID	55%	60%	67%	67%	67%	67%	63%	55%	63%
TFCC	55%	60%	62%	62%	62%	62%	55%	50%	59%
NSCC	60%	65%	72%	72%	72%	72%	65%	60%	67%

**Table 7** Total length of all canals and laterals in each SWC member conveyance system.

<b>Irrigation District</b>	<b>Length of Canals and Laterals (miles)</b>
AFRD2	508
A&B	12
MIL	45
MID	476
BID	286
TFCC	860
NSCC	847



**Figure 3** Digitized canals and laterals for BID used to determine conveyance losses. (MID canals shown in red)