

**RECEIVED**

AUG 23 2005

DEPARTMENT OF  
WATER RESOURCES

Josephine P. Beeman #1806  
BEEMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.  
409 West Jefferson Street  
Boise, ID 83702  
(208) 331-0950  
(208) 331-0954 (Facsimile)  
[office@beemanlaw.com](mailto:office@beemanlaw.com)

Sarah A. Klahn  
William A. Hillhouse II  
White & Jankowski, LLP  
511 16th St., Ste. 500  
Denver, CO 80202  
(303) 595-9441  
(303) 825-5632 (Facsimile)  
[sarahk@white-jankowski.com](mailto:sarahk@white-jankowski.com)

Attorneys for City of Pocatello

**BEFORE THE DIRECTOR  
OF THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES  
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO**

IN THE MATTER OF THE REQUEST FOR )  
ADMINISTRATION IN WATER DISTRICT 120 )  
AND THE REQUEST FOR DELIVERY OF WATER )  
TO SENIOR SURFACE WATER RIGHTS BY )  
A&B IRRIGATION DISTRICT, )  
AMERICAN FALLS RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2, )  
BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT, )  
MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, )  
MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, )  
NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY, AND )  
TWIN FALLS CANAL COMPANY )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

**POCATELLO'S RESPONSE  
TO SWC'S MOTION FOR  
CLARIFICATION AND  
SUPPLEMENTAL  
INFORMATION  
CONCERNING RECORD**

**SUMMARY**

The City of Pocatello, by and through its undersigned attorneys, submits its response to the Surface Water Coalition's Motion for Clarification and Supplemental Information Concerning Record (SWC Motion). Based upon Pocatello's understanding of the SWC Motion, Pocatello supports the Motion. Principles of due process require that the Department provide all

materials relied upon by the Department in entry of its Orders in this matter, that such materials be provided in a timely fashion, and that the Parties have meaningful opportunity to include (or rebut) the information used by the Department in their own analyses.

Further, the Department should make knowledgeable persons available for deposition regarding the uses made by the Department of the materials relied upon. Finally, the Department should include in the Record of Decision *all* materials provided by the Parties or previously relied upon and disclosed by the Department.

### **REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION AND ACTION BY THE DEPARTMENT**

It appears that the SWC Motion seeks to clarify three things:<sup>1</sup>

1. Whether all the materials, memoranda, and other evidence relied upon by the Department in entering its May 2, 2005 Order and the July 22, 2005 Supplemental Order<sup>2</sup> have been disclosed to the parties to this matter through the Department's Record of Decision?
2. Whether the Department's May 2, 2005 Order and July 22, 2005 Supplemental Order were based upon any facts or other information of which the Department took official notice under Procedure Rule 602? And if so, what were the "officially noticed" items the Department relied upon?
3. Whether and how these earlier relied-upon materials will be made part of the Department's record in this formal proceeding?

Pocatello urges the Department to answer the first question as soon as possible. If there are other documents, or tangible items relied upon in the May 2 Order and the July 22 Supplemental Order that have yet to be provided, then the parties should be apprised of that as soon as possible and such documents and tangible items be made available on or before September 2, 2005.

---

<sup>1</sup> To the extent this is *not* what SWC sought to clarify, Pocatello makes its own request for clarification on these three matters and would ask that these issues be clarified along with any distinct issues raised by the SWC Motion.

<sup>2</sup> Reference to the May 2, 2005 and July 22, 2005 Orders includes all associated interlocutory orders, such as the orders on replacement water plans.

The Department should also indicate whether the Department took “official notice” of any materials for either its May 2 or July 22 Order, and if so, the source of those materials, and how the materials were utilized. The most efficient means for the Department to provide this information is to make a responsible staff member available for deposition about the analyses and investigations conducted and materials relied upon in the Department’s Orders.<sup>3</sup>

On the third issue, based on principles of administrative law and the Department’s Rules of Procedure, the Department should take the position that *all* materials and information previously relied upon, *as well as* whatever evidence the parties introduce during the course of the hearing, and matters of which the Director takes official notice should form the record of decision in this matter. Procedure Rule 650. Any other course of action introduces the possibility that legal error will attach to the final decision in this matter.

In summary, Pocatello requests that the Department:

1. By September 2, 2005, provide any remaining documents or other tangible items relied upon in the entry of the May 2 and July 22, 2005 Orders in this matter;
2. Also by September 2, 2005, identify a person or persons who are knowledgeable and may be deposed regarding the use of such materials with respect to the Department’s May 2 and July 22, 2005 Orders.
3. Rule that all materials and information previously relied upon by the Department in reaching the May 2 and July 22, 2005 Orders, together with evidence the parties introduce during the course of the hearing in this matter, and additional materials that the Director advises the parties before the hearing that he will officially notice<sup>4</sup>, shall form the record of decision.

---

<sup>3</sup> This request is also made by Pocatello and IGWA in their Joint Written Request for Depositions filed contemporaneously with this Response.

<sup>4</sup> This does not limit the requirement that any Department Notice that official notice will be taken for the hearing presently scheduled to begin January 30, 2006 must include all specific facts and material to be noticed (even if duplicative of facts or material relied upon for the May 2 and July 22 Orders).

Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of August 2005.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Josephine P. Beeman  
Beeman & Associates, P.C.  
Attorneys for the City of Pocatello

  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sarah A. Klahn  
White & Jankowski, LLP  
Attorneys for the City of Pocatello

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 23rd day of August 2005, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document by regular U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to:

Roger D. Ling  
Ling Robinson & Walker  
PO Box 396  
Rupert, Idaho 83350

C. Tom Arkoosh  
Arkoosh Law Offices, Chtd.  
PO Box 32  
Gooding, Idaho 83330

John A. Rosholt  
Travis L. Thompson  
Barker Rosholt & Simpson  
113 Main Ave. West, Suite 303  
Twin Falls, Idaho 83301-6167

W. Kent Fletcher  
Fletcher Law Office  
PO Box 248  
Burley, Idaho 83318

John Simpson  
Barker Rosholt & Simpson  
PO Box 2139  
Boise, Idaho 83301-2139

Scott L. Campbell  
Angela Schaer Kaufmann  
Moffatt Thomas  
101 S. Capitol Blvd., 10th Floor  
PO Box 829  
Boise, Idaho 83701-0829

Jeffrey C. Fereday  
Michael C. Creamer  
Givens Pursley  
601 Bannock Street, Suite 200  
PO Box 2720  
Boise, Idaho 83701-2720

Michael S. Gilmore  
Deputy Attorney General  
Statehouse, Room 210  
P. O. Box 83720  
Boise, ID 83720-0010

Kathleen Marion Carr  
Office Of The Field Solicitor  
550 W. Front Street, MSC 020  
Boise, Idaho 83724

Matt Howard, PN-3130  
U.S. Bureau of Reclamation  
1150 N. Curtis Road  
Boise, ID 83706


Ron Carlson  
Lewis Rounds  
IDWR Eastern  
900 N. Skyline Drive  
Idaho Falls, ID 83402-6105

Allen Merritt  
Cindy Yenter  
IDWR Southern  
1341 Fillmore Street, Suite 200  
Twin Falls, ID 83301

James S. Lochhead  
Adam T. DeVoe  
Brownstein Hyatt & Farber  
410 17th Street, 22nd Floor  
Denver, CO 80202

James Tucker  
Idaho Power Company  
1221 West Idaho street  
Boise, ID 83702

Terry Uhling  
J. R. Simplot  
P. O. Box 27  
Boise, ID 83707

  
Josephine P. Beeman [6809]