

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Robyn M. Brody (ISB No. 5678) **BRODY LAW OFFICE, PLLC** P.O. Box 554 **Rupert, ID 83350**

Telephone: (208) 434-2778 Facsimile: (208) 434-2780 robynbrody@hotmail.com

DISTRICT COURT N FALLS CO., IDAHO J. Justin May (ISB No. 5818) MAY, BROWNING & MAY, PLLC 1419 W. Washington (1) 25 PM 3: 21 Boise, ID 83702 Telephone: (208)3429-0905

Facsimile: (208) 342-7278

jmay@maybrowning.com

CLERK

DEPUTY

Fritz X. Haemmerle (ISB No. 3862) HAEMMERLE & HAEMMERLE, PLLC

P.O. Box 1800 Hailey, ID 83333

Telephone: (208) 578-0520 Facsimile: (208) 578-0564 fxh@haemlaw.com

Attorneys for Petitioner, Rangen, Inc.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

RANGEN, INC., an Idaho Corporation,

Case No. CV-2014-4633

L(3): \$221.00

PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW

Petitioner,

VS.

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES and Gary Spackman, in his official capacity as Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources.

Respondents.

COMES NOW the Petitioner, RANGEN, INC. ("Petitioner" or "Rangen"), by and through its attorneys of record, Fritz X. Haemmerle of Haemmerle & Haemmerle, P.L.L.C.; Robyn M. Brody of Brody Law Office, PLLC; and J. Justin May of May Browning & May,

PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW - 1

PLLC, and pursuant to Idaho Code Sections 67-5270 through 67-5279 and I.R.C.P. 84 files this Petition for Judicial Review as follows:

PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW

- 1. Petitioner owns and operates a fish research and propagation facility in the Thousand Springs area near Hagerman, Gooding County, State of Idaho. The Petitioner Corporation is located and generally operates its business out of Buhl, Twin Falls County, State of Idaho.
- 2. The Petitioner operates the facility with several water rights. Because the Petitioner was not receiving the amount of water it rightfully possesses under water rights 36-02551 and 36-07694, Rangen filed a water call under Idaho's Constitution, statutes, and rules adopted by the Respondent, Idaho Department of Water Resources (hereinafter "Respondent" or "Department"), seeking conjunctive administration of water rights. The water call was filed on December 13, 2011. This matter came before the Department based on a contested case ("water call") in Department Case No. CM-DC-2011-004.
- 3. On January 29, 2014, Gary R. Spackman, the Director of the Department, entered an order finding that Rangen is being materially injured by junior-priority groundwater pumping. The Director entered an order of curtailment requiring that the holders of junior-priority groundwater rights deliver specified quantities of water at specified times or be curtailed.
- 4. Thereafter, Idaho Ground Water Appropriators, Inc. ("IGWA") filed its Fourth Mitigation Plan ("Magic Springs"), in Docket No. CM-MP-2014-006. Rangen timely filed a Protest to the Magic Springs Plan. On October 29, 2014, after hearing, the Director issued his Order Approving IGWA's Fourth Mitigation Plan (hereinafter the "Order").
 - 5. No Motions for Reconsideration were filed on the *Order*.

- 6. Name of agency from which judicial review is sought: Idaho Department of Water Resources, an agency of the State of Idaho, and its Director Gary Spackman ("Respondents").
- 7. The Petition is taken to the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District, County of Twin Falls.
 - 8. Decisions being appealed: The Order.
- 9. A transcript of all proceedings in Case No. CM-DC-2011-004 is requested. The Petitioner believes a transcript of that proceeding has been prepared, and to the extent it has not been prepared, that transcript is requested. The Petitioner also requests a transcript of all proceedings in CM-MP-2014-006. The contested hearing was held on October 8, 2014, and is believed to have been recorded by the Department. Also, there was a transcript prepared by M&M Court Reporters, Boise, Idaho. All other proceedings, including status conferences, were recorded by the Department. Petitioner also requests the record and transcript form the proceedings in IGWA's Second Mitigation Plan, CM-MP-2014-003, which was prepared for the judicial review proceedings in Twin Falls Case No. CV-2014-2935.
- 7. Petitioner has requested an estimate for preparation of the transcript and record, and Petitioner has tendered an estimated fee for same.
- 8. The Petitioner's substantial rights have been prejudiced by the *Order* including, but not necessarily limited to, the diminishment of water rights 36-02551 and 36-07694, as those rights were Decreed by the Snake River Basin Water Adjudication and permitted and licensed by the Department, and the Order denies the Petitioner's right to receive its legally entitled water under water rights duly perfected under Idaho law. Furthermore, the Petitioner's substantial rights have been further prejudiced by the failure of the Director and Department to deliver that

amount of water necessary to address the Petitioner's injury caused by junior-priority groundwater pumping.

- 9. Under the standards of evaluation as set forth under Idaho Code Section 67-5279, the *Order*:
 - a. is in violation of constitutional, statutory provisions or administrative rules of the Department;
 - b. is in excess of the statutory authority or authority of the Department under the administrative rules of the Department;
 - c. was made upon unlawful procedures; and
 - d. was arbitrary, capricious, and/or an abuse of the agency discretion.
- 10. The issues presented for the appeal, as identified in paragraph 9, and as more specifically identified in this paragraph include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following:
 - a. Whether the Director erred or exceeded his authority by approving a mitigation plan that does not provide replacement water, at the time and place required by Rangen, sufficient to offset the on-going depletive effect of ground water withdrawals by junior-priority groundwater pumping.
 - b. Whether the Director erred or exceeded his authority by failing to require a contingency plan and adequate conditions and provisions to assure protection of Rangen's water rights in the event the conditions of the Fourth Mitigation Plan are not satisfied or if the proposed mitigation water becomes unavailable or is not otherwise delivered for any reason, including the failure to satisfy the conditions set forth in the Order and other requirements of State and Federal law.

- c. Whether the Director erred or exceeded his authority by allowing continued outof-priority ground water pumping pursuant to a conditionally approved mitigation plan.
- d. Did the Director adequately consider and include in his Order all of the necessary conditions that must be satisfied before IGWA can deliver Magic Springs water to Rangen for mitigation, including, but not limited to, agreements and leases obtained between IGWA, the Idaho State Board of Water Resources, Idaho Fish and Game and SeaPac; and also relevant right-of-way agreements between IGWA and landowners for IGWA to build and construct its pipeline.
- e. Whether the Fourth Mitigation Plan provides for monitoring and adjustments as necessary to protect Rangen's senior-priority water rights and other senior-priority water rights from material injury.
- f. Whether the Director erred, exceeded his authority or otherwise abused his discretion in calculating and/or recalculating the credit given for the Morris/Sandy Pipeline exchange water.
- g. To the extent the Director relied upon the calculation of Morris credit from the Second Mitigation Plan, whether there is insufficient evidence for that calculation. The Second Mitigation Plan was based on historical analyses, but the actual 2014 irrigation flows were available when the Fourth Mitigation Plan was approved.
- h. Whether the Director's calculation of mitigation credits is arbitrary and capricious.
- i. Whether the Fourth Mitigation Plan is consistent with the conservation of water resources, the public interest or seeks to prevent injuries to other water users, the environmental resources of the state, and wildlife, given that the Magic Springs water source is over allocated.

- j. Whether the Director erred or exceeded his authority by failing to consider the environmental impacts that will result from the implementation of the Fourth Mitigation Plan.
- k. Whether the Director erred, exceeded his authority or otherwise abused his discretion by approving a mitigation Plan that damages other water users, and allows continued mining of the ESPA without mitigating that continued mining.
- 1. Whether the Director erred in concluding that the Fourth Mitigation Plan will provide the water required by the Curtailment Order.
- m. Whether the Director erred or exceeded his authority by requiring Rangen to accept the Magic Springs Plan or forfeit its delivery call rights.
- n. Whether the Director erred or exceeded his authority by requiring Rangen to allow access and/or grant easements over its real property for construction related to the Fourth Mitigation Plan or forfeit its delivery call rights.
- o. Whether the Director's *Order* requiring Rangen to allow access and/or grant easements over its real property for construction related to the Fourth Mitigation Plan or forfeit its delivery call rights constitutes a taking in violation of Rangen's constitutional rights.
- p. Whether the Director had authority to require Rangen, a fish propagator, to accept water which may introduce diseases.
- q. Whether the *Order* and/or the Director's application of the Conjunctive Management Rules deprives Rangen of its Constitutionally-protected property rights and its right to have its water right administered and protected under the prior appropriation doctrine.
- r. Whether the application of the CM Rules to Rangen's delivery call, including the subsequent mitigation plans submitted by IGWA, is contrary to law,

unconstitutional, and impairs or threaten to interfere with Rangen's legal rights and privileges.

- 11. Petitioner reserves the right to file a separate statement of the issues within fourteen (14) days after the filing of this Petition.
- 12. Other parties to this case include the Idaho Ground Water Appropriators, Inc. ("IGWA") and Kathy McKenzie.
- 13. Service of this Petition has been made on the Department, and notice of this filing has been made on parties to the contested case in CM-DC-2011-004 and CM-MP-2014-006 as well as William A. Parsons, counsel for Southwest Irrigation District, who has requested informational copies of all filings.

DEMAND FOR ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS

As a result of the Department's actions, Petitioner has had to retain counsel. For services rendered, the Petitioner is entitled to attorney fees and costs should they prevail in this action pursuant to Idaho Code Section 12-117 and pursuant to Rule 54 of the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure.

RIGHT TO AMEND

The Petitioner reserve the right to amend this Petition in any respect as motion practice and discovery proceed in this matter.

WHEREFORE, the Petitioner prays for the following relief:

- A. A finding that the *Order* is:
 - a. is in violation of constitutional, statutory provisions or current administrative rules of the Department;

- b. is in excess of the statutory authority or administrative rules of the Department;
- c. was made upon unlawful procedures; and
- d. was arbitrary, capricious, and/or an abuse of the agency discretion.
- B. That the Court set aside the *Order*, in whole or part, and/or remand the *Order* back for further proceedings;
- C. For an award of reasonable costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to applicable law, including but not limited to Idaho Code Section 12-117, and Idaho Rule of Civil Procedure 54; and
- D. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2 day of November, 2014.

MAY BROWNING & MAY. P.L.L.C.

By: J. Justin May

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned, a resident attorney of the State of Idaho, hereby certifies that on the day of November, 2014 he caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing document to be served upon the following as indicated:

3	served upon the following as indicated:	
ا د	Original:	Hand Delivery
4	Director Gary Spackman	U.S. Mail
·	Idaho Department of Water	Facsimile
5	Resources	Federal Express
	P.O. Box 83720	E-Mail
6	Boise, ID 83720-0098	
	deborah.gibson@idwr.idaho.gov	
7	Garrick Baxter	Hand Delivery □
	Idaho Department of Water	U.S. Mail
8	Resources	Facsimile
9	P.O. Box 83720	Federal Express □
	Boise, Idaho 83720-0098	E-Mail
10	garrick.baxter@idwr.idaho.gov	
	chris.bromley@idwr.idaho.gov	
11	kimi.white@idwr.idaho.gov	•
	emmi.blades@idwr.idaho.gov	
12	Randall C. Budge	Hand Delivery
	TJ Budge	U.S. Mail
13	RACINE, OLSON, NYE, BUDGE	Facsimile
14	& BAILEY, CHARTERED	Federal Express
17	201 E. Center Street	E-Mail
15	P.O. Box 1391	·
ļļ	Pocatello, ID 83204	
16	rcb@racinelaw.net	
	tjb@racinelaw.net	·
17	bjh@racinelaw.net	
4.5	William A. Parsons	Hand Delivery
18	137 W. 13 th St.	U.S. Mail
19	P.O. Box 910	Facsimile
17	Burley, ID 83318	Federal Express
20	wparsons@pmt.org	E-Mail
	Y/_d N/ T/	
21	Kathy McKenzie	Hand Delivery
	P.O. Box 109	U.S. Mail
22	Hagerman, ID 83332	Facsimile
	knbmac@q.com	Federal Express
23		E-Mail
24		
24		- D