

In the matter of petition to amend rule 50.01 of the conjunctive management rules 37.11

My name is Scott Allen, my address is [REDACTED]

Howe, ID 83244

home phone is [REDACTED]

I am a farmer and ground water user in district 33 in the Little Lost Valley near Howe, ID. I am writing to let you know that I am opposed to Clear Springs Foods petition to ammend rule 50, for the following reasons.

The ESPAM models were never intended to, or designed to, address boundary changes to ESPA. This petition is aking the D.W.R. to change the current ESPA boundries based on a model created soley for another purpose. There seems to be no scientific or logical reasoning behind this proposal to amend Rule 50.01. If boundries are to be changed they should be changed for the right reasons and in a scientific manner.

The proposed boundries exclude some areas that should be included and include some that probably should not be included. Everyone needs to be treated fairly.

The Little Lost Valley does not contribute a significant amount of water to the ESPA and what it does contribute takes many years to get there. It is a futile call.

Please consider denying the Clear springs petition. It is not right. It is not fair.

Sincerely,

N. Scott Allen