BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 05/30/11
IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transpiration.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: _______________ Date: 5-30-11
IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5/30/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: ____________________________ Date: 5-30-2011

(Handwritten Signature)
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: __________________________ Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]  Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5/30/2011
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES  
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO  

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)  

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50  

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:  

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.  

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.  

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.  

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.  

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.  

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.  

Signature: [Signature]  
Date: 5/30/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 05/30/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES  
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC. ’S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]  Date: 5/30/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 5/30/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transpiration.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 05/30/2011
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a future call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: \[Signature\] Date: \[5/30/11\]
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

   Signature: ________________________  Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'s PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transpiration.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 05/30/2011
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5/30/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 05/30/2011
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transpiration.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]

Date: May 30, 2010
IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.
2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.
3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.
4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.
5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.
6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: 

Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 5/30/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: D. Mencus  Date: 5-30-11

Signature: Joseph Sprake  Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: __________________________ Date: 5-30-2011
IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC. 'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 5-30-11
IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: Ralph S. Parke  Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: Pam Forbes
Date: 5. 30 2011
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transpiration.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: Doug Hendrick

Date: 5/20/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES  
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC. 'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: Howard A. Wood  Date: 5/30/11
IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: Howard A. Wood  Date: 5/30/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: ___________________________  Date: 5-29-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.’S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transpiration.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: KEITH [Signature]
Date: 5-29-11
IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.
2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.
3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transpiration.
4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.
5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.
6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 5/30/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 5-29-11
IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transpiration.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 5-29-11
IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.
2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.
3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transpiration.
4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.
5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.
6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5-29-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 6/29/2011
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated
   from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filed in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5/30/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 5.29.011
IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature:  
Date: 05-24-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 03-29-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5/29/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.’S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature:  ___________________________  Date: 5-29-11
IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 59.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 59

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc.'s petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.
2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.
3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transpiration.
4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.
5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.
6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: [Date]

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc.'s petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.
2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.
3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transpiration.
4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.
5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.
6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: [Date]
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 5-29-2011
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated
   from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 5/29/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 5-29-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 5-27-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC. ’S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: __________________________ Date: 5-29-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 05/29/2011
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 5/29/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: [Signature]
IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.’S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: KEITH WADDUPS
Date: 5-29-11
IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transpiration.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 5/30/11
IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: Jennifer Darde Date: 5-29-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: _____________________________ Date: 5-29-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 5-29-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc.'s petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.
2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.
3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transpiration.
4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.
5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.
6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 5/30/11

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 5/30/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 5-30-11
BETORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Centur, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5-29-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated
   from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: KEITH WARDUPS
Date: 5-29-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.’S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5/30/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.’S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 05/30/2011
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.’S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 5-29-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 5/30/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: Date: 5/27/1
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 5-27-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5-30-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: ______________________ Date: 5.29.2011

LORALEE GRAY
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5/29/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5.29.11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporation.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5-29-11
BETORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: Charity Mine Date: 5/29/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: Wesley F. Watson   Date: 5/29/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]  Date: 5/25/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC. 'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 05/29/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature:  
Date: 5-24-2011
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5-29-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 5/29/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 5-24-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5-29-11
IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5/30/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted
   by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from
   the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the
   region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions
   exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water
   rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should
   warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5-29-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 5/29/11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO
AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn’t developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region’s demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 5-27-11
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

INFORMAL RESPONSE TO CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I oppose Clear Springs Food Inc. petition based on the following points:

1. The model wasn't developed for the purpose of administration.

2. The Big and Little Lost River basins were included for social reasons as quoted by Bryce Contour, a member of the modeling committee.

3. The USGS studies indicate that the Big and Little Lost Rivers are separated from the East Snake River Plain and any effect is lost through transevaporization.

4. When the Big and Little Lost Rivers are high and may impact the aquifer, the region's demands are satisfied and no call is made. When drought conditions exist and calls are made all water is fully appropriated and in fact many water rights are not filled in our own basins.

5. Delay factors that indicate up to 150 years before benefits may result should warrant a futile call on any petition.

6. The Lost River basins should qualify for the less than 10% impact rule.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5-30-11