




Name: Red Pancheri
 Address: 
 City, State: 
 Telephone: 

**BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
 OF THE STATE OF IDAHO**

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND)	Docket No.
RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE)	
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11))	INFORMAL RESPONSE TO
_____)	CLEAR SPRINGS FOOD, INC.'S
	PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

I'm opposed to extend the boundaries of the ESPA in the upper reaches of the Little Lost River valley based on a few concerns or reasons.

- 1. The amount of water that would actually reach the impact area if the Ground wells were shut off in LLR valley. Almost immeasurable*
- 2. The time it would take for the water to reach the affected areas is anybody's guess. years or decades or possible centuries*
- 3. The boundary lines proposed to extend up the upper reaches of LLR valley were drawn for convenience on section lines and not from findings from the model.*