

Don O. Callister  
1496 W 3700 N  
Howe, ID 83244  
(208)767-3117

Idaho Department of Water Resources  
Attn: Renea Ridgeway  
(208) 287-6700

To Whom It May Concern:

**BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES  
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO**

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50.01 OF THE CONJUNCTIVE  
MANAGEMENT RULES (37.03.11)

---

Docket No.

CLEAR SPRINGS FOODS, INC'S PETITION TO AMEND RULE 50

The Howe valley, (Little Lost River) has had farmers in it since 1879. They didn't file any water rights then because they never considered someone trying to take their water!

Any action taken against us might be legal, but it isn't right.

The world population is increasing. I'm sure that you have heard that by 2050 the farmers will need to produce more food than has been produced all through history. So you want to hassle an agricultural area?

If you are truly concerned about making more water available in the springs along the middle Snake River, you need to do something about putting more water in the aquifer.

Before 1910, the springs didn't flow as much as they have in more recent years. Around that time, water started being diverted from the Upper Snake River to canals. The farmers flood irrigated, putting the water back into the soil. As the years went by, they started to use the water more "efficiently" moving to hand lines, then wheel lines and center pivots.

The only trouble is, as they became more "efficient", they quit charging the aquifer. If you want to increase flow at springs along the Snake; in high water years, like 2011, you ought to put water in the canals before irrigation season starts, and keep putting it there after irrigation is done. If you could find a no longer used gravel pit or two to fill, so more water could be put in the ground that would be great!

I am skeptical this petition was filed solely because of irrigation water purposes. I think Idaho Power has someone behind the lines using irrigators as a front. Therefore, I am skeptical filling the aquifer in the early spring and late fall will ever happen.

Districts 33 and 34 don't get much precipitation. It would be logical to assume in high rainfall years, water might fill the aquifer so much that a little trickles into the Snake River aquifer. However, in a normal year, especially in a low water year, there isn't enough water in the aquifer to go anywhere.

We are at a higher elevation than the Snake River Aquifer; we never take water from it. From what I understand, maybe if we quit pumping, the springs along the Snake might get an additional 10 CFS in ten years. And after twenty years, it might be up to 21 CFS. The weir gauge on the river is plus or minus 300 CFS. No one would even notice our 21 CFS.

I am not a lawyer, but I would call that a futile call. Definitely a waste of a resource!

As I said, in a high water year, we **might** contribute to it, but if you are going to hit us for that, why not hit all the watersheds?

A partial list would be:

Big and Little Wood Rivers

Teton Basin

Ashton Area

Island Park Area

Willow Creek

Blackfoot River

Birch Creek

Camas Creek

Fairfield Area

Lincoln Fork

South Fork


Bannock Creek

Raft River

I could go on and on, but you get the picture. Singling out districts 33 and 34 is what I would call arbitrary. Do they figure that we have less money for lawyers than the Teton Basin and The Wood River Drainages?

I don't think they really expect or want our water. I think they want for us to have to buy water from the Palisades Reservoir for them in a dry year.

Sincerely,

  
Don O. Callister