

From: [Weaver, Mathew](#)
To: [Regent, Nikki](#)
Cc: [Golart, Aaron](#)
Subject: FW: Memo on supplements
Date: Monday, December 18, 2017 4:51:24 PM

Nikki,

Here are comments from Gay Richarson.

Mathew Weaver, PE | deputy director
Idaho Department of Water Resources
www.idwr.idaho.gov | 208.287.4800

From: gay richardson [mailto:gayricharddson@idaho.net]
Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 2:20 PM
To: Weaver, Mathew <Mathew.Weaver@idwr.idaho.gov>
Subject: Memo on supplements

Mathew,

I read over the Memo on the special supplements again after the Dec. 5th. Meeting. You people definitely need help in writing these supplements, rules, regulations, stipulations or whatever you want to call them. I see Ron Miller and David Hembree want to discuss this with you also. Had trouble with the computer Microsoft letter writer so was unable to set down and write on what was not discussed at the Dec. 5th meeting. Using e-mail may not work because of length, tomorrow is the last day for comment and I don't think I could get it all in because it is apparent the reports IDWR used to justify your supplements need to be gone over a sentence at a time. A lot of what these so called biologists have to say does not make sense and I know some of it is just plain false, yet you people use this stuff. Just a small sample: one report the bio. says there are "deep pools" at the mouth of tributary streams and this is supposed to justify not mining at the tributaries. Anybody can come up here and see the tributaries to the South Fork, tributaries to the Red River, tributaries to Newsome Creek. Where are all these deep pools at the mouth of tributaries the bio. Is talking about? One more is on the mercury, IDWR special condition 18; not good, this shows a lack of understanding of mercury in relation to placers and dredge mining. You would be better off adopting EPA's new Memo ,NPDES for comment on mercury at part D. Best Management Practices. This is closer to nature reality but still needs to be discussed. There is more. One thing I did like to a degree was what was said in the Memo on invasive species and decontamination and less burdensome. How true. Does anybody in or out of IDWR know of any map that shows the extent of invasive species in relation to the streams in these areas? It would be nice to know you may not have to keep decontaminating if in specific areas. The reason being asked is two of us would like to test Lolo Creek and other bedrock creeks which is about 100 miles from here in Elk City. Can't agree with the 5 day drying period if you had to check a number of areas; you would use up the season.

This could go on and on so will quit for now. May resend data sent to Tim Luke some time ago that

bears on some of the special conditions.

Gay Richardson

gayrichardson@idaho.net

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10



Virus-free. www.avast.com