

RECEIVED  
JUN 29 2005  
DEPARTMENT OF  
WATER RESOURCES

James S. Lochhead  
Adam T. DeVoe  
BROWNSTEIN HYATT & FARBER, P.C.  
410 17<sup>th</sup> Street, Twenty-Second Floor  
Denver, Colorado 80202  
[jlochhead@bhf-law.com](mailto:jlochhead@bhf-law.com)  
[adevoe@bhf-law.com](mailto:adevoe@bhf-law.com)  
Telephone: 303.223.1100  
Facsimile: 303.223.1111

James Tucker, ISB #2038  
Senior Attorney,  
Idaho Power Company  
Legal Dept.  
1221 West Idaho Street  
Boise, Idaho 83702  
[jamestucker@idahopower.com](mailto:jamestucker@idahopower.com)  
Telephone: 208.388.2112  
Facsimile: 208.388.6935  
Attorneys for Idaho Power Company

**BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES  
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO**

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| IN THE MATTER OF DISTRIBUTION )   |  |
| OF WATER TO VARIOUS WATER )       |  |
| RIGHTS HELD BY OR FOR THE )       |  |
| BENEFIT OF A & B IRRIGATION )     |  |
| DISTRICT, AMERICAN FALLS )        |  |
| RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2, BURLEY )   |  |
| IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MILNER )     |  |
| IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MINIDOKA )   |  |
| IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NORTH SIDE ) |  |
| CANAL COMPANY, and TWIN FALLS )   |  |
| CANAL COMPANY )                   |  |
| )                                 |  |
| AND )                             |  |
| )                                 |  |
| IN THE MATTER OF GROUND )         |  |
| WATER DISTRICTS' APPLICATION )    |  |
| FOR APPROVAL OF MITIGATION )      |  |
| PLAN FOR THE AMERICAN FALLS )     |  |
| REACH OF THE SNAKE RIVER )        |  |
| )                                 |  |
| )                                 |  |

**IDAHO POWER COMPANY'S  
OPPOSITION TO IGWA'S  
MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE  
THE CONTESTED MATTER  
ON THE DIRECTOR'S MAY 2,  
2005 AMENDED ORDER  
WITH THE CONTESTED  
MATTER ON THE  
MITIGATION PLAN  
APPROVAL**

Idaho Power Company ("Idaho Power"), by and through its counsel, respectfully submits this Opposition To IGWA's Motion to Consolidate the Contested Matter on the Director's May 2, 2005 Amended Order with the Contested Matter on the Mitigation Plan Approval, pursuant to the June 16, 2005 *Order Regarding Status and Scheduling Conference of June 15, 2005* issued by the Director, Idaho Department of Water Resources ("IDWR").

## I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

### A. The Coalition's Requests for Water Right Administration within and outside Water District No. 120

On January 14, 2005, the Surface Water Coalition ("Coalition") delivered a letter to Karl Dreher, Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources, requesting water right administration within and outside of Water District 120. On May 2, 2005 the Director issued an Amended Order ("May 2<sup>nd</sup> Order"), without hearing, addressing the request for administration.

### B. Ground Water Districts' Application for Approval of a Mitigation Plan

On February 8, 2005 the American Falls-Aberdeen Ground Water District, Bingham Ground Water District, Bonneville County-Jefferson Ground Water District, Madison Ground Water District, South West Irrigation District, North Snake Ground Water District, and Magic Valley Ground Water District (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Ground Water Districts") applied for the approval of a Mitigation Plan. Idaho Power, the Coalition and USBR filed motions to dismiss the application for approval of the Mitigation Plan filed by the Ground Water Districts. The motions to dismiss are still pending and the Director has not yet set a schedule in that proceeding.

### C. IGWA Motion to Consolidate

On June 14, 2005, the Idaho Ground Water Appropriator's, Inc. ("IGWA") filed a motion to consolidate the hearing of the May 2<sup>nd</sup> Order with the Mitigation Plan matter.

## II. ARGUMENT

### A. Standard for Consolidation

Rule 556 of IDWR's Rules of Procedure provides that the Department “may consolidate two (2) or more proceedings for hearing upon finding that they present issues that are related and the rights of the parties will not be prejudiced.” IDAPA 37.01.01.556. The standard requires the cases to contain “related issues” and demands that no parties can be “prejudiced” by a consolidation. The hearing on the Director’s May 2, 2005 Amended Order responding to the Coalition’s request for water right administration and the contested case involving the Ground Water Districts’ Mitigation Plan do not involve “related issues” and will prejudice the parties to these individual matters.

### B. Idaho Power and Others Will be Prejudiced by Consolidation

Idaho Power would be prejudiced if it was required to proceed with a hearing for approval of the mitigation plan filed by the Ground Water Districts along with the hearing on the Director’s May 2<sup>nd</sup> Order. At the June 15<sup>th</sup> Pre-Hearing Conference on the May 2<sup>nd</sup> Order, the Director stated that he intends to hold a hearing in January 2006. That expedited schedule leaves approximately six months to conduct discovery, file motions, complete expert reports and testimony, and conduct a hearing on the Director's lengthy May 2<sup>nd</sup> Order involving numerous legal, technical, and factual issues. The large number of issues stated by each party who filed a petition requesting a hearing on the May 2<sup>nd</sup> Order is reason enough to decline consolidation. Adding more issues to this list, by including those involved with the Mitigation Plan and the protests to that plan, would only broaden the scope of the hearing and further hinder the ability of the parties to adequately prepare for a hearing.

Consolidation also prejudices the parties by confusing procedural, legal and factual issues, as well as the standards of review. Thus, consolidation will further complicate an already truncated hearing schedule on the May 2<sup>nd</sup> Order which involves legal, technical, and factual issues of first impression before the Department. As such, the parties would be prejudiced by consolidation of these issues.

**C. The Matters Involve Distinct and Separate Issues**

The May 2<sup>nd</sup> Order proceedings and the Mitigation Plan proceedings concerns distinct issues which are fundamentally different in both procedural and substantive aspects. The matter contesting the May 2<sup>nd</sup> Order concerns legal and factual issues regarding the Director's obligations to administer water rights according to priority, whereas the Mitigation Plan proceeding involves the adequacy of the Mitigation Plan and whether or not it meets the requirements of Rule 43.

Also, the Mitigation Plan sought to be approved by IGWA is a mitigation plan filed for and on behalf of certain named ground water districts, many of which are not within Water Districts 120 and 130, are not presently bound by any delivery call, and are apparently not affected by the May 2<sup>nd</sup> Order. The disparity of parties may substantially confuse the issues before the Director and cause an already tight hearing schedule to become unmanageable. Accordingly, these dissimilar matters should not be heard in the same proceeding, and the Director should deny IGWA's Motion to Consolidate.

### III. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, Idaho Power respectfully requests that IGWA's Motion to Consolidate be denied.

DATED this 29<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2005.

IDAHO POWER COMPANY

By: 

James Tucker, Senior Attorney  
IDAHO POWER COMPANY

and

James S. Lochhead  
Adam T. DeVoe  
BROWNSTEIN HYATT & FARBER, P.C.  
410 17<sup>th</sup> Street  
Twenty-Second Floor  
Denver, CO 80202

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on this 29<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2005, I served a copy of **IDAHO POWER COMPANY'S OPPOSITION TO IGWA'S MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE THE CONTESTED MATTER ON THE DIRECTOR'S MAY 2, 2005 AMENDED ORDER WITH THE CONTESTED MATTER ON THE MITIGATION PLAN APPROVAL** by depositing same in the United States mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope, addressed to the following:

Tom Arkoosh  
Arkoosh Law Offices  
P.O. Box 32  
Gooding, ID 83330  
alo@cableone.net

Scott Campbell  
Moffatt Thomas  
P.O. Box 829  
Boise, ID 83701  
slc@moffatt.com

W. Kent Fletcher  
Fletcher Law Office  
P.O. Box 248  
Burley, ID 83318-0148  
wkf@pmt.org

Kathleen Carr  
Office of the Field Solicitor  
550 W. Fort Street MSC 020  
Boise, ID 83724

Roger D. Ling  
Ling Robinson  
P.O. Box 396  
Ruper, ID 83350-0396  
lnrlaw@pmt.org

Matt Howard, PN-3130  
US Bureau of Reclamation  
Pacific Northwest Region  
1140 N. Curtis Road  
Boise, ID 83706

John Rosholt  
Travis Thompson  
Barker Rosholt  
113 Main Ave West, Suite 303  
Twin Falls, ID 83301-6167  
jar@idahowaters.com  
tlt@idahowaters.com

Ron Carlson  
Lewis Rounds  
IDWR  
Eastern Regional Office  
900 N. Skyline Drive  
Idaho Falls, ID 83402-6105  
ron.carlson@idwr.idaho.gov  
lewis.rounds@idwr.idaho.gov

John Simpson  
Barker Rosholt  
P.O. Box 2139  
Boise, ID 83701-2139  
jks@idahowaters.com

Terry Uhling  
J.R. Simplot  
P.O. Box 27  
Boise, Idaho 83707

Jeffrey C. Fereday  
Michael C. Creamer  
Givens Pursley LLP  
P.O. Box 2720  
Boise, ID 83701-2720  
cf@givenspursley.com  
mcc@givenspursley.com


Allen Merritt  
Cindy Yenter  
IDWR  
Southern Regional Office  
1341 Fillmore Street, Suite 200  
Twin Falls, ID 83301-3033  
allen.merritt@idwr.idaho.gov  
cindy.yenter@idwr.idaho.gov

Josephine P. Beeman  
Beeman & Associates, P.C  
409 West Jefferson Street  
Boise, Idaho 83702

Sarah A. Klahn  
White & Jankowski, LLP  
511 16<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 500  
Denver, CO 80202

Michael S. Gilmore  
Deputy Attorney General, State of Idaho  
Statehouse, Room 210  
Boise, Idaho 83720

Director Karl Dreher  
Idaho Department of Water Resources  
P. O. Box 83720  
Boise, Idaho 83720-0098  
karl.dreher@idwr.idaho.gov



---

James C. Tucker